



University of Warwick institutional repository: http://go.warwick.ac.uk/wrap

This paper is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please scroll down to view the document itself. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our policy information available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this paper please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published version may require a subscription.

Author(s): Daniel Munday and Jeremy Dale Article Title: Palliative care in the community

Year of publication: 2007 Link to published version:

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1136/bmj.39174.605081.80

Publisher statement: None

BMJ 2007;334:809-810 (21 April), doi:10.1136/bmj.39174.605081.80

Editorials

Palliative care in the community

UK programme shows promise but services also need adequate investment

Primary care has a vital role in delivering palliative care.¹² In most developed countries more people die in hospital than at home,³ although substantially more people would prefer to die at home.⁴ Primary care professionals play a central role in optimising available care, but they often lack the processes and resources to do this effectively.¹⁵

The Gold Standards Framework for community palliative care⁶ is a primary care led programme in the United Kingdom that is attracting international interest.² The framework enables general practitioners and community nurses to optimise practice by providing guidance through workshops and locally based facilitation on how to implement processes needed for good primary palliative care. It is supported by a plethora of practical tools, guidance documents, and examples of good practice.⁷ It integrates many established aspects of primary palliative care: identifying patients systematically; naming a lead general practitioner and community nurse for each patient; coordinating multidisciplinary working through regular meetings; and planning of care with patients. Good communication, with out of hours and specialist care providers is also stressed, as is the importance of support for family carers.⁶

The framework is applicable to end of life care in general, not just cancer care, and its key elements could also be applied in any culture or healthcare setting through developing locally relevant tools and processes, so enabling the delivery of more effective and equitable end of life care in the community.

The programme has evolved rapidly and has been incorporated into UK health policy. After it was piloted in 12 practices in Yorkshire in 2001, its national implementation was sponsored by the charity Macmillan Cancer Support. More recently it has been sponsored by the National Health Service End of Life Care Programme in England and the National Lottery in Scotland. As a result, the framework has now been adopted by around 3000 practices in England, which cover a third of the population, and two thirds of practices in Scotland.

Despite limited evidence of its cost effectiveness and clinical effectiveness, it was recognised as an effective programme for palliative care by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) in 2004, endorsed by the Royal College of General Practitioners in 2005, and identified in the 2006 government white paper on community services as a central aspect of future health policy in the NHS in England. The Gold Standards Framework is now firmly embedded within primary care and has raised the profile of palliative care both professionally and politically.

The national evaluation of the framework has focused on 1305 practices, 73% of which completed an audit questionnaire at baseline and after 12 months in the programme. Initial results suggest that the programme led to change—most participating practices reported that they had set up registers of patients undergoing palliative care, organised regular multidisciplinary team meetings, and were more confident that they were delivering good palliative care.⁹

A qualitative study of practices that have adopted the programme found that, in general, palliative care patients were being systematically identified and that communications had improved. As a result, planning of care had improved and practitioners had more confidence in symptom control. However, variations in how the programme had been implemented; differences in levels of commitment among professionals within individual practices; and the increased administrative burden, particularly on the framework coordinator within the practice, were highlighted as drawbacks. The extent to which these problems threaten the effectiveness of the programme in future practices remains to be seen.

Despite a paucity of evidence linking structured approaches in primary palliative care with outcomes, patients and carers undoubtedly value a holistic approach with care planning, good communication, and continuity of care from primary care teams. ^{11 12} While some practice based audits suggest that adopting the framework may enable more patients to die at home, ⁷ it is still uncertain how widely this aim can be realised. Improved primary care practice needs to be supported by realistic funding to make community nursing care and "night sitting" services available, and to provide access to specialist palliative care support, 24 hours a day seven days a week. ⁸

As populations in developed countries become increasingly elderly, care of the dying becomes ever more important as a public health issue. Primary care can fulfil a central role in delivering effective palliative care, and the Gold Standards Framework is a model that can be built upon by applying its fundamental principles within the context of the local health service. However, it must be properly resourced, especially when competition for healthcare funding is so intense. Without this, the mismatch between preference for a home death and the prospect of this occurring will persist.

Daniel Munday, Macmillan consultant and honorary clinical senior lecturer in palliative medicine, **Jeremy Dale**, professor of primary care

Health Services Research Institute, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL d.munday{at}warwick.ac.uk

Competing interests: DM and JD received a grant from Macmillan Cancer Support to evaluate phases 3-6 of the Gold Standards Framework and are members of the Macmillan Primary Care Research Collaborative.

Provenance and peer review: Non-commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

References

- 1. Murray S, Boyd K, Sheikh A, Thomas K, Higginson I. Developing primary palliative care. *BMJ* 2004;329:1056-7. doi: 10.1136/bmj.329.7474.1056[Free Full Text]
- 2. Murray S, Mitchell G, Burge F, Barnard A, Nowells D, Charlton R. It's time to develop primary care services for the dying. *J Palliat Care* 2006;22:1.
- 3. Davies E, Higginson I, eds. Palliative care: the solid facts. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2004. http://www.euro.who.int/document/E82931.pdf.
- Gomes B, Higginson I. Factors influencing death at home in terminally ill patients with cancer: systematic review. *BMJ* 2006;332:515-21. doi: 10.1136/bmj.38740.614954.55[Abstract/Free Full Text]
- Munday D, Dale J, Barnett M. Out of hours palliative care in the UK: perspectives from general practice and specialist services. J R Soc Med 2002;95:28-30.[Abstract/Free Full Text]
- 6. Thomas K. Caring for the dying at home: companions on the journey. Oxford: Radcliffe Medical, 2003.
- 7. National Health Service. End of Life care Programme. Gold Standards Framework. 2006. http://www.goldstandardsframework.nhs.uk/
- 8. National Institute for Hhealth and Clinical Excellence. Guidance on cancer services: Improving supportive and palliative care for adults. London: NICE, 2004.
- 9. Munday D, Mahmood K, Agarwal S, Dale J, Koistinen J, Lamb K, et al. Evaluation of the Macmillan Gold Standards Framework for palliative care (GSF) phases 3-6, 2003-2005. *Palliat Med* 2006;20:134.
- 10. King N, Thomas K, Martin N, Bell D, Farrell S. "Now nobody falls through the net": practitioners' perspectives on the Gold Standards Framework for community palliative care. *Palliat Med* 2005;19:619-27.[Abstract/Free Full Text]
- 11. Kendall M, Boyd K, Campbell C, Cormie P, Fife S, Thomas K, et al. How do people with cancer wish to be cared for in primary care? Serial discussion groups of patients and carers. *Fam Pract* 2006;23:644-50.[Abstract/Free Full Text]

12. Mitchell G. How well do general practitioners deliver palliative care? A systematic review. *Palliat Med* 2002;16:457-64.[Abstract/Free Full Text]



Relevant Articles

Exploring preferences for place of death with terminally ill patients: qualitative study of experiences of general practitioners and community nurses in England

Daniel Munday, Mila Petrova, and Jeremy Dale BMJ 2009 339: b2391. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

Factors influencing death at home in terminally ill patients with cancer: systematic review

Barbara Gomes and Irene J Higginson
BMJ 2006 332: 515-521. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

Developing primary palliative care

Scott A Murray, Kirsty Boyd, Aziz Sheikh, Keri Thomas, and Irene J Higginson BMJ 2004 329: 1056-1057. [Extract] [Full Text] [PDF]

This article has been cited by other articles:

- Shaw, K., Clifford, C., Thomas, K., Meehan, H. (2010). Review: Improving end-of-life care: a critical review of the Gold Standards Framework in primary care. *Palliat Med* 24: 317-329 [Abstract]
- Chiu, T.-Y., Hu, W.-Y., Huang, H.-L., Yao, C.-A., Chen, C.-Y. (2009). Prevailing Ethical Dilemmas in Terminal Care for Patients With Cancer in Taiwan. JCO 27: 3964-3968
 [Abstract] [Full text]
- Munday, D., Petrova, M., Dale, J. (2009). Exploring preferences for place of death with terminally ill patients: qualitative study of experiences of general practitioners and community nurses in England. *BMJ* 339: b2391-b2391 [Abstract] [Full text]
- Dale, J, Petrova, M, Munday, D, Koistinen-Harris, J, Lall, R, Thomas, K (2009). A
 national facilitation project to improve primary palliative care: impact of the Gold
 Standards Framework on process and self-ratings of quality. Qual Saf Health Care 18:
 174-180 [Abstract] [Full text]

- Walshe, C, Caress, A, Chew-Graham, C, Todd, C (2008). Implementation and impact
 of the Gold Standards Framework in community palliative care: a qualitative study of
 three primary care trusts. *Palliat Med* 22: 736-743 [Abstract]
- Murray, S. A, Boyd, K., Campbell, C., Cormie, P., Thomas, K., Weller, D., Kendall, M. (2008). Implementing a service users' framework for cancer care in primary care: an action research study. Fam Pract 25: 78-85 [Abstract] [Full text]
- Charlton, R., Currie, A. (2008). A UK Perspective on Worldwide Inadequacies in Palliative Care Training: A Short Postgraduate Course Is Proposed. AM J HOSP PALLIAT CARE 25: 63-71 [Abstract]
- Munday, D., Mahmood, K., Dale, J., King, N. (2007). Facilitating good process in primary palliative care: does the Gold Standards Framework enable quality performance?. Fam Pract 24: 486-494 [Abstract] [Full text]

Rapid Responses:

Read all Rapid Responses

Palliative care services in the community. Some experiences from Cienfuegos, Cuba.

Alfredo A. Espinosa-Roca, et al. bmj.com, 23 Apr 2007 [Full text]

Let's go to hospital: palliative care in A&E

Kirsty J Boyd, et al. bmj.com, 8 May 2007 [Full text]

This Article

- Extract FREE
- PDF
- Respond to this article
- Read responses to this article
- Alert me when this article is cited
- Alert me when responses are posted
- Alert me when a correction is posted
- View citation mapServices
- Email this article to a friend

- Find similar articles in BMJ
- Find similar articles in ISI Web of Science
- Find similar articles in PubMed
- Add article to my folders
- Download to citation manager
- **Request Permissions**

Citing Articles

- Read articles citing this article
- Citing Articles via Web of Science (4)
- Citing Articles via Google Scholar

Google Scholar

- Articles by Munday, D.
- Articles by Dale, J.
- Search for Related Content

PubMed

- **PubMed Citation**
- Articles by Munday, D.
- Articles by Dale, J.

Related Content

- Hospice
- General practice / family medicine
- End of life decisions (geriatric medicine)
- End of life decisions (palliative care)
- End of life decisions (ethics)
- **Relevant Articles**
- Find this article in its weekly table of contents

Bookmark with



















What's this?

What's new

Last 7 days

•	Past weeks
•	Current print issue
•	Rapid responses
	• Blogs
	• Podcasts
	Blogs
	Podcasts
	Keep updated
	Get email alerts
	Get RSS alerts
•	Latest from BMJ
•	BMJ simple search
•	Follow BMJ on twitter
•	Watch on YouTube
	Services
•	Submit an article
•	Subscribe/Activate
•	Request permissions
	Tools
	Email to friend
	Print this page
	Online poll
	Take Our poll(web poll) Find out more
	Resources
•	Readers
	Authors
•	DUILUIA

Reviewers

- Media
- BMA members
- Advertising and sponsors
- Subscribers

Rapid responses for this article

- Palliative care services in the community. Some experiences from Cienfuegos, Cuba. Alfredo A. Espinosa-Roca, et al.
- Let's go to hospital: palliative care in A&E Kirsty J Boyd, et al.

More

Print issues



- Current issue contents
- Current cover image
- Past issues
- Subscribe





