

Binocular vision and reading ability in a Portuguese population of school age children Carla Costa Lança MSc<sup>1,2</sup>, Helena Serra PhD<sup>3</sup>, João Prista PhD<sup>2,4</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Escola Superior de Tecnologia da Saúde de Lisboa, <sup>2</sup>Centro de Investigação e Estudos em Saúde Pública, Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública, Portugal, <sup>3</sup>Escola Superior de Educação de Paula Frassinetti, <sup>4</sup>Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Preventable visual loss caused by amblyopia (2 to 4%) and its risk factors such as strabismus (3%) and uncorrected refractive errors (5 to 7%) represent an important public health problem (Collins, 2006; Kvarnström et al., 2006; Schmucker et al., 2009).

Children with binocular vision anomalies could be at disadvantage in reading and writing (Dusek, Pierscionek & McClelland, 2010).

## **OBJECTIVES**

(1) Describe binocular vision measures in children of school age; and (2) Describe the impact of abnormal binocular vision on reading ability (reading errors and reading speed).

# **METHODOLOGY**


A cross-sectional study was performed with data from 672 children of school age. Children from  $1^{st}$  to  $4^{th}$  grade participated in this study.

Reading errors and reading speed were assessed with a list of 34 Portuguese words. Children also received a vision screening emphasizing binocular vision anomalies.

# RESULTS

Children were classified as normal binocular vision (NBV=486) and binocular vision anomalies (BVA=186). Binocular vision anomalies represented a prevalence of 28%.

There was a statistically significant difference in the number of errors (NBV=1.95±2.74; ABV=4.19±5.53-p=0.000) and reading speed (NBV=28.45±15.94;ABV=24.84±17.27-p=0.010) between the two groups of binocular vision



Graphic 1 - Number of errors per binocular vision.

The number of errors was also statistically different between the two groups for all four grades.

#### RESULTS

Reading speed in the 3<sup>th</sup> grade was not statistically different between the two groups (NBV=34.19±11.93; BVA=29.96±12.90 - p=0.113).

### **DISCUSSION/CONCLUSION**

Children with BVA are at educational disadvantage (read more slowly and with more errors). This effect on reading is higher in the 1<sup>st</sup> three grades.

Health professionals must involve the school community and teachers in problems resolution related to binocular vision anomalies (Ethan, Basch, 2008).

## REFERENCES

Collins, M. L. Z. (2006). Screening Methods for Detection of Preclinical Visual Loss in Children:Implementing Programs — The Political Will. American Orthoptic Journal, 56, 50-53.

Dusek W, Pierscionek BK, McClelland JF. A survey of visual function in an Austrian population of school-age children with reading and writing difficulties. BMC ophthalmology. 2010;10(16):1-10.

Ethan, D., & Basch, C. E. (2008). Promoting healthy vision in students: progress and challenges in policy, programs, and research. The Journal of school health, 78(8), 411-6. doi:10.1111/j.1746-1561.2008.00323.x

Kvarnström, G., Jakobsson, P., Lennerstrand, G., & Dahlgaard, J. (2006). Preventable Vision Loss in Children: A Public Health Concern? American Orthoptic Journal, 56, 3-6.

Schmucker, C., Grosselfinger, R., Riemsma, R., Antes, G., Lange, S., Lagrèze, W., & Kleijnen, J. (2009). Diagnostic accuracy of vision screening tests for the detection of amblyopia and its risk factors: a systematic review. Graefe's archive for clinical and experimental ophthalmology, 247, 1441-54. doi:10.1007/s00417-009-1150-2

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have no financial or propriety interests in the subject of this presentation.