



Influence of alpha-1 glycoprotein acid concentrations and variants on atazanavir pharmacokinetics in HIV-infected patients included in the ANRS 107 trial.

Aurélie Barrail-Tran, France Mentré, Claudine Cosson, Christophe Piketty, Corine Chazallon, Laurence Gérard, Pierre-Marie Girard, Anne-Marie Taburet

▶ To cite this version:

Aurélie Barrail-Tran, France Mentré, Claudine Cosson, Christophe Piketty, Corine Chazallon, et al.. Influence of alpha-1 glycoprotein acid concentrations and variants on atazanavir pharmacokinetics in HIV-infected patients included in the ANRS 107 trial.: alpha 1 glycoprotein acid polymorphism and atazanavir pharmacokinetics. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, American Society for Microbiology, 2010, 54 (2), pp.614-9. <10.1128/AAC.00797-09>. <inserm-00471277>

HAL Id: inserm-00471277 http://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-00471277

Submitted on 7 Apr 2010 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Influence of alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentrations and variants on atazanavir
2	pharmacokinetics in HIV-infected patients included in ANRS107 trial
3	
4	A. Barrail-Tran ¹ *, F. Mentré ² , C. Cosson ³ , C. Piketty ⁴ , C. Chazallon ⁵ , L. Gérard ⁵ , P.M. Girard ⁶ ,
5	A.M. Taburet ¹
6	
7	¹ Clinical Pharmacy, Hôpital Bicêtre, AP-HP, France, ² INSERM, U738, Paris, France ;
8	Université Paris 7, UFR de Médecine, Paris, France; AP-HP, Hôpital Bichat, UF de
9	Biostatistiques, Paris, France, ³ Biochemistry, Hôpital Bicêtre, AP-HP, France, ⁴ Department of
10	immunology, Hôpital Georges Pompidou, AP-HP, France, ⁵ Inserm SC10, Villejuif, France, ⁶
11	Department of infectious diseases, Hôpital Saint Antoine, AP-HP, Paris, France
12	
13	Correspondance : Dr Aurélie Barrail-Tran
14	Hôpital Bicêtre, Clinical Pharmacy
15	78 rue du Général Leclerc
16	94275 Le Kremlin Bicêtre cedex, France
17	Tel : +33 1 45 21 29 64
18	Fax : +33 1 45 21 28 60
19	E-mail: <u>aurelie.barrail-tran@bct.aphp.fr</u>
20	Running title: alpha 1 glycoprotein acid polymorphism and atazanavir pharmacokinetics
21	27 pages, 2 tables, 2 figures
22	This work was presented in part at the 14th Conference on Retroviruses and opportunistic
23	infections, Los Angeles, February 2007.

24 Abstract

25 Atazanavir is an HIV-1 protease inhibitor (PI) with high protein binding in human plasma. The 26 objectives were first to determine the *in vitro* binding characteristics of atazanavir, second to 27 evaluate whether plasma protein binding to albumin and to orosomucoid (alpha 1 glycoprotein 28 acid) influence the pharmacokinetics of atazanavir in HIV-infected patients. For the in vitro 29 study, atazanavir protein binding characteristics were determined in alpha 1 glycoprotein acid and 30 albumin purified solutions. Atazanavir was found to bind alpha 1 glycoprotein acid on a high affinity saturable site (association constant 4.61 10⁵ L/mol) and albumin on a low-affinity non-31 32 saturable site. For the *in vivo* study, blood samples from 51 patients included in the ANRS107– Puzzle 2 trial were drawn prior to drug intake at week 6. For 10 patients included in the 33 34 pharmacokinetic substudy, five additional blood samples were collected during one dosing 35 interval at week 6. Atazanavir concentrations were assayed by LC-MS/MS. Albumin 36 concentrations, alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentrations and phenotypes were also measured in 37 these patients. Concentrations of atazanavir were modelled using a population approach. A one-38 compartment model with first-order absorption and elimination best described atazanavir 39 pharmacokinetics. Atazanavir pharmacokinetic parameters and their interindividual variabilities (%) were as follows: absorption rate constant (ka) 0.73 h^{-1} (139.3%), apparent clearance (Cl/F) 40 41 13.3 L/h (26.7%) and apparent volume of distribution (V/F) 79.7 L (27.0%). Atazanavir Cl/F 42 decreased significantly when alanine aminotransferase and/or alpha 1 glycoprotein acid levels 43 increased (p<0.01). ORM1*S alpha 1 glycoprotein acid phenotype also significantly increased 44 atazanavir V/F (p<0.05). These in vivo results indicate that atazanavir pharmacokinetics is 45 moderately influenced by its protein binding, especially to alpha 1 glycoprotein acid without 46 expected clinical consequences.

48 Introduction

49 Atazanavir is an azapeptide protease inhibitor, with a distinct resistance and tolerance profile 50 approved for use in combination treatment of HIV-1 in the US and Europe (11, 12, 17). In the 51 US, one of the recommended antiretroviral regimens is the combination of atazanavir / ritonavir 52 with tenofovir / emtricitabine. Recommended doses of atazanavir are 400 mg once daily taken 53 with food without ritonavir in therapy-naive patients or 300 mg in combination with low-dose 54 ritonavir (100 mg) once daily in antiretroviral-experienced patients, or when combined with 55 tenofovir (7). The major advantages of atazanavir are its simplicity of administration and a 56 favourable adverse effects profile, especially on lipid parameters.

57 Major pharmacokinetic characteristics of atazanavir are a variable absorption through the gut, 58 86% plasma protein binding on albumin and alpha 1 glycoprotein acid (orosomucoid) and 59 elimination through biotransformation which involved CYP3A. Although consequences of 60 CYP3A metabolism on first pass effect and drug-drug interactions have been largely studied, 61 there is a lack of information on consequences of protein binding on atazanavir pharmacokinetics. 62 It is now recognized that protein binding is an important modulator of protease inhibitor 63 disposition and unbound concentration inhibitor is considered as the active moiety which is 64 available to cross cell membranes. Therefore variations in the concentrations and structures of 65 orosomucoid and albumin under physiological or pathological infections such as HIV infection 66 are likely to influence protease inhibitors pharmacokinetics (3). Albumin concentrations could be 67 significantly reduced in patients with liver disease such as co-infections with hepatitis B virus or 68 C virus. Orosomucoid, also called alpha 1 glycoprotein acid, is a glycoprotein which is controlled 69 by a cluster of three adjacent genes : AGP-A which codes for the major protein ORM1; AGP-B 70 and AGP-B' which code for the protein ORM2 (14). The proteins ORM1 and ORM2 are different by a sequence of 22 amino-acids. The protein ORM1 is polymorphic with three variants:
ORM1*F1, ORM1*S and ORM1*F2, whereas the protein ORM2 is generally monomorphic with
one variant: ORM2*A (8). This polymorphism could be responsible for interindividual variation
in the plasma binding of protease inhibitors, which might influence their pharmacokinetic
parameters.

The purpose of this work was to determine the *in vitro* binding characteristics of atazanavir. Then we evaluate whether albumin concentration and/or alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentration and alpha 1 glycoprotein acid variants are pertinent covariates in the population pharmacokinetics analyses of atazanavir used in combination with ritonavir tenofovir in HIV-infected patients included in a clinical trial (ANRS 107 – Puzzle 2) (22).

81 Methods

82 Atazanavir in vitro binding experiments

83 Alpha 1 glycoprotein acid and serum albumin solutions were prepared in pH 7.4 phosphate-84 buffered saline. Concentrations of alpha 1 glycoprotein acid and serum albumin used were those 85 found in normal patients and were 0.7 g/L and 40 g/L respectively. These solutions were spiked 86 with known amounts of atazanavir to yield the following final concentrations: 0, 500, 1000, 1500, 87 2000, 5000, 10000, 15000 and 30000 ng/mL. Bound and unbound atazanavir were separated by 88 ultrafiltration of 500 µL samples using Centrifree® devices (Amicon, YM-300 filter system, 89 Millipore Corp., Bedford, Massachussets, USA) at 3000 g during two hours at 30°C. Atazanavir 90 was then measured in the ultrafiltrate according to a method developed for amprenavir (1). The 91 Amicon Centrifree YM-300 filter system (Millipore Corp. Bedford, MA) with a membrane 92 molecular weight cut off of 30,000 Daltons was used to ultrafiltrate plasma samples. The driving

93 force for ultrafiltration was provided by centrifugation (Jouan, GR 4.11) at 2000 g, at 30°C. 94 Duration of centrifugation was 120 minutes to obtain an ultrafiltrate volume of at least 200 mL 95 from a 500-mL. Atazanavir concentrations in ultrafiltrate were measured by HPLC with 96 separation on a C18 column after liquid-liquid extraction and UV detection at 220 nm. The 97 mobile phase consisted of pH 5.6 phosphate buffer/acetonitrile/methanol (480/110/110, vol/vol) 98 and the flow rate was 1.1 mL/min. Retention times for atazanavir and 6,7-dimethyl-2,3-di-(2-99 pyridyl)-quinoxaline (internal standard from Sigma Aldrich Chemicals) were 15 and 30 minutes 100 respectively in our chromatographic system.

101 Unbound fraction was the ratio of unbound atazanavir and total atazanavir concentrations. The
102 graph of the drug bound concentration as a function of the drug unbound concentration depicts
103 the protein binding system (24).

104

105 Population pharmacokinetic analysis of atazanavir in patients

106 Study design and population

107 ANRS 107–Puzzle 2 was a randomised, open-label, multiple-dose trial evaluating the efficacy 108 and safety of a combination of atazanavir/ritonavir and tenofovir in HIV-infected patients with 109 multiple antiretroviral treatment failures. The study design is detailed elsewhere (22). From week 110 3 to week 26, all patients received atazanavir/ritonavir (300 mg / 100 mg QD) plus tenofovir 111 disoproxil fumarate (300 mg QD equivalent to 245 mg of tenofovir disoproxil or 136 mg of 112 tenofovir) and nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) selected according to the 113 baseline reverse transcriptase genotype of the HIV isolate infecting each patient. Drugs were 114 administered in the morning with a light continental breakfast.

Before inclusion, all patients gave their written informed consent. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Saint Antoine Hospital, Paris VI University. HIV-infected patients were eligible for inclusion if they met the following criteria: documented treatment failure with at least two PIs and one non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, HIV RNA >10 000 copies/mL, no change in antiretroviral treatment within the last month before inclusion in this study, normal liver function.

121 Plasma collection

122 Blood samples for biochemical and immuno-virological measurements were drawn at screening 123 and at regular time intervals after inclusion. Blood samples for atazanavir plasma concentrations 124 assay were collected prior to drug intake in the morning at week 6, 1 month after starting the full 125 antiretroviral treatment, atazanavir + ritonavir + tenofovir + optimized background treatment, 126 according to the design of the ANRS107 study (22). For 10 patients included in the 127 pharmacokinetic substudy, additional blood samples were collected after dosing at times 1, 2, 3, 128 5, 8 and 24 hours (23). Plasma samples were kept at -20°C until analysis. The actual times of 129 drug administration and sampling were recorded.

130 Drug assays

Atazanavir concentrations were measured in plasma patients samples by validated LC/MS/MS
assays (Bristol-Myers Squibb, Saint Nazaire, France). The lower limits of quantification (LOQ)
were 1 ng/mL. Day-to-day variabilities for the quality control samples were 5.7%.

134 Biochemical and virological measurements

Absolute numbers of CD4 lymphocytes, plasma HIV RNA levels, blood chemistry parameters
(albumin, total bilirubin, total cholesterol, triglycerides, alanine and aspartate aminotransferases)
were determined according to standard assays (22).

138 Determination of alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentrations and phenotypes

Alpha 1 glycoprotein acid plasma concentrations were measured by nephelemetry (BN Prospec,
Dade Behring). Alpha 1 glycoprotein acid phenotypes (ORM1*S-ORM2*A, ORM1*F1SORM2*A and ORM1*F1-ORM2*A) were determined on plasma samples by isoelectric focusing
according to the method developed by Eap and Baumann, with some modifications (10).

143 **Population pharmacokinetic modelling**

144 Data at week 6 were analysed using a population approach with the first-order method 145 (WinNonMix version 2.0.1, Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA, USA) and 146 parameterised with the apparent volume of distribution (V/F), the first order absorption rate 147 constant (ka) and the apparent clearance (Cl/F). The statistical model for the observed plasma 148 concentrations of the drug C_{ij} in patient *i* at time t_{ij} was given by: $C_{ij} = f(t_{ij},\theta_i) + \varepsilon_{ij}$ where θ_i is the 149 pharmacokinetic parameter vector of patient *i*, ε_{ij} the residual error and f the pharmacokinetic 150 model.

151 An exponential random-effect model was chosen to describe inter-individual variability: $\theta_i = \theta$ 152 *exp* (η_i) where θ is the population mean vector of the pharmacokinetic parameters and η_i 153 represents the random effect vector. Random effects were assumed to follow a normal 154 distribution with zero mean and variance matrix Ω which was supposed to be diagonal. Residual 155 variability was modelled using a proportional error model. 156 Goodness of fit plots (observed versus predicted population and individual concentrations, 157 weighted residuals versus predicted concentrations and versus time) were examined for each 158 model. Tested covariates were age, weight, body mass index, creatinine clearance at week 6, 159 alanine aminotransferase (ALAT) and aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT) at baseline, plasma 160 alpha 1 glycoprotein acid and albumin concentrations at week 6, alpha 1 glycoprotein acid 161 phenotypes, coinfections (hepatitis B infection and/or hepatitis C infection), ritonavir trough and 162 average concentrations and combined NRTIs.. Continuous variables were centred on their 163 median. The sex of the patients was not tested as a covariate because there were only two females 164 in the population. The effects of covariates were tested on each individual parameter (correlation 165 test for continuous variables and ANOVA for categorical variables) using Statgraphics version 166 5.1 (Manugistics, Inc. Rockville, Maryland, USA). The covariates that were found to have a 167 significant effect (p < 0.05) were then evaluated in the population analysis. The effect of a 168 covariate was assessed by the likelihood ratio test. In the forward inclusion process, a covariate 169 was retained in the model if there was a decrease greater than 3.84 in the objective function (p < 1170 0.05, 1 degree of freedom) and if there was a decrease in the interindividual variability of the 171 associated pharmacokinetic parameter. From the best model including covariates, a backward 172 elimination procedure was then used to test whether all covariates selected should remain in the 173 final model. When deletion of a covariate (p < 0.05) significantly increased the log-likelihood (> 174 3.84), that covariate was kept in the model.

175 **Results**

176 Atazanavir in vitro binding

177 In a solution of 0.7 g/L of alpha 1 glycoprotein acid, atazanavir was found to bind to one high affinity saturable site at about 31.1%. The association constant was 4.61 10^5 L/mol and the 178 179 number of sites 0.61. In a solution of 40 g/L of serum albumin, mean unbound fraction of 180 atazanavir was found to be 21.3% and was constant up to 15000 ng/mL of total atazanavir. This suggested that atazanavir binds to one low-affinity non-saturable site. The number of sites and the 181 182 affinity constant were not differentiated and the product was estimated as 6352 L/mol. When 183 atazanavir total concentrations were above 15000 ng/mL, atazanavir unbound fraction increase to 184 30.9%, protein binding sites becoming saturated (figure 1).

185 Population pharmacokinetic analysis of atazanavir in patients

186 **Patients**

Fifty-one patients completed the study at week 6 and ten patients were included in the pharmacokinetic substudy. The characteristics of these 51 patients are summarised in table 1. The NRTIs combined with ritonavir-boosted atazanavir plus tenofovir were lamivudine (n=40), abacavir (n=30), didanosine (n=18), zidovudine (n=15), zalcitabine (n=5) and stavudine (n=2). Twenty-three patients were hepatitis virus B and/or C co-infected.

192

193 Alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentrations and phenotypes

194 Alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentrations were 1.0 g/L (0.6-1.5) at week 6 and were elevated in

195 15 patients (28.8%) accounting for their age and sex.

Five patients had the phenotype ORM1*S-ORM2*A, 19 ORM1*F1-ORM2*A and 28 were heterozygous and presented ORM1*F1S-ORM2*A. The allele ORM1*F2 was not observed in this rather small sample. The allele ORM2*A was monomorphic and observed in all sera of patients. The ORM1 allele frequencies were as follows: 0.635 for ORM1*F1 and 0.365 for OMR1*S. Good agreement was found between observed and expected values, assuming a Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p > 0.5). Total plasma concentrations of alpha 1 glycoprotein acid were not found to be significantly dependant on alpha 1 glycoprotein acid phenotypes.

203 Atazanavir model

204 Basic model

A one-compartment model with first-order absorption and elimination was used to describe atazanavir pharmacokinetics. The population parameter estimates, their relative standard error of estimation (RSE %) and their inter-individual variability for this basic model are shown in table 2.

209 Covariates model building

From this basic model, we tested the effects of the covariates on the individual estimates of the random effects. Using the estimated individual parameters, significant effects of hepatitis B and/or C infection on ka (p = 0.030), of plasma alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentration, didanosine, ALAT level, ASAT level, ritonavir trough and average concentrations on Cl/F (p =0.001; 0.028; 0.037; 0.017; 0.009; 0.006 respectively) and of plasma alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentration, ORM1*S alpha 1 glycoprotein acid phenotype on V/F (p = 0.009; 0.008 respectively) were found.

According to the likelihood ratio test, the final population model had plasma alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentration (AAG), ALAT level effects on Cl/F (p < 0.01; p < 0.01 respectively) and ORM1*S alpha 1 glycoprotein acid phenotype (ORM1*S) effects on V/F (p < 0.05). The equations are:

221
$$Cl/F_{i} = (Cl/F - \beta^{ALAT}_{CL/F} (ALAT_{i}/29) - \beta^{AAG}_{CL/F} (AAG/1.0)) \times exp(\eta_{Cl/Fi})$$

where 29 (IU/L) and 1.0 (g/L) are the median ALAT and AAG levels.

223
$$V/F_i = (V/F \times \beta^{ORM1*S}_{V/F}) x \exp(\eta_{V/Fi})$$

The population parameters of this final model and their relative standard errors of estimation are given in table 2. The goodness of fit plots (not shown) were all very satisfactory for the basic and final models.

227 The apparent volume of distribution was estimated to be 79.7 L and increased about 2-fold in 228 patients with the ORM1*S phenotype. Absorption rate constant was estimated to be 0.73 /h. The 229 apparent clearance was estimated to be 8.1 L/h when ALAT was 29 IU/L and alpha 1 230 glycoprotein acid level was 1.0 g/L. Median (range) half-life was 6.9 h (4.0-18.2). We found an 231 increase of 34% in mean atazanavir area under the curve (AUC, 47410 ng.h/mL versus 35432 232 ng.h/mL, p=0.0004) and of 12% in mean half-life (7.8 h versus 6.9 h, p=0.03) in patients who had 233 an elevated ALAT level (\geq 40 IU/L), compared with patients with a normal ALAT level. A 36% 234 increase in mean atazanavir AUC (49644 ng.h/mL versus 36529 ng.h/mL) and a 28% increase in 235 mean half-life (9 h versus 7 h) were observed in patients with elevated alpha 1 glycoprotein acid 236 (according to their age and sex), compared with those with a normal alpha 1 glycoprotein acid 237 level.

The inter-individual variabilities of absorption rate constant, apparent volume of distribution and apparent clearance were 139.3%, 27.0% and 26.7%, respectively. They were slightly decreased from the basic model by the incorporation of the covariates. Residual variability was 19.9%.

241 Figure 2 shows the model-predicted concentrations and the observed concentrations versus time

242 when ALAT and plasma alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentrations were 29 IU/L and 1.0 g/L,

respectively, in patients with or without the alpha 1 glycoprotein acid ORM1*S phenotype.

244 **Discussion**

245 Atazanavir is a potent and safe HIV PI with a pharmacokinetic profile that allows once daily oral 246 administration and which can be optimised by adding low-dose ritonavir. All patients included in 247 this study received ritonavir boosted atazanavir and a relationship was found between atazanavir 248 clearance and ritonavir concentrations. However variation in ritonavir concentrations was not 249 found to impact atazanavir pharmacokinetics to a significant extent and therefore was not retain 250 in the final model. It is known that protein binding affect PI disposition (3) and could reduce the 251 antiviral effect of these drugs (13). It was reported that atazanavir binds to both alpha 1 252 glycoprotein acid and albumin to a similar extent, but binding parameters were unknown (12). 253 Our *in vitro* data demonstrate that atazanavir has a higher affinity to alpha 1 glycoprotein acid 254 than to albumin. However, alpha 1 glycoprotein acid is present at about 1/40 the concentration of 255 albumin in plasma. Consequently, the binding of atazanavir to alpha 1 glycoprotein acid was 256 saturable above 6000 ng/mL whereas the saturation of albumin appears above 15000 ng/mL of 257 atazanavir. A similar result was already found for saquinavir (15). As for many basic drugs, alpha 258 1 glycoprotein acid has a high affinity but albumin has a higher capacity for binding (16). In 259 patients treated by atazanavir/ritonavir at the recommended daily dose of 300/100 mg, trough 260 plasma atazanavir concentrations are around 1000 ng/mL. Consequently, alpha 1 glycoprotein

acid is the major protein involved in atazanavir binding. These findings are essential because alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentration can vary considerably as a result of disturbances of homeostasis. It increases during acute or chronic inflammation and infectious disease. HIVinfected patients are therefore likely to exhibit increased concentrations of alpha 1 glycoprotein acid (3, 16, 21). Moreover the alpha 1 glycoprotein acid polymorphism could be responsible for interindividual variation in the plasma binding of protease inhibitors, which might influence their disposition.

The impact of proteins that bind atazanavir on atazanavir pharmacokinetics was evaluated in 51 HIV infected patients having failed several lines of previous antiretroviral treatment and included in the ANRS 107-Puzzle 2 trial. Unfortunately, atazanavir unbound fraction could not be measured in these patients as remaining samples volumes was too low

This is the first population model analysis to explore the possible influence of alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentrations, alpha 1 glycoprotein acid phenotypes, albumin concentrations, ALAT and ASAT levels and/or hepatitis B and C coinfections on atazanavir pharmacokinetic parameters.

276 In this very advanced population, alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentrations remained elevated in 277 29% of the patients even though they had received a new treatment for 6 weeks. This could be 278 explained by the weak virological response to the new treatment and the lack of effect of the 279 antiretroviral treatment on the inflammation due to HIV infection (22). The relative frequencies 280 of alpha 1 glycoprotein acid phenotypes found here are close to those previously described in 281 healthy subjects or in HIV-infected patients (5, 8). We did not find ORM1*F2 on this population 282 but this variant is present only at a low allelic frequency (9, 19). As already described in healthy 283 subjects, alpha 1 glycoprotein acid plasma concentrations were not found to differ between the 3 284 phenotypes (18).

285 To determine atazanavir pharmacokinetic parameters and the influence of protein binding, we 286 used a population approach because only ten patients had a complete pharmacokinetic profile. 287 The pharmacokinetics of atazanavir was described by a one-compartment model with first-order 288 absorption and first-order elimination with random effects on ka, V/F and Cl/F. This structural 289 model was similar to that of Dailly et al. and Colombo et al., although Colombo et al. described a 290 first-order absorption with a lag time (4, 6). Our estimation of atazanavir pharmacokinetic 291 parameters, apparent volume of distribution, absorption rate constant, apparent clearance and 292 half-life are in the same range as those of previous studies (4, 6, 17, 23). The atazanavir apparent 293 clearance of 9.8 L/h demonstrates that atazanavir has low extraction ratio with clearance 294 depending on the unbound fraction and intrinsic clearance. The atazanavir apparent volume of 295 distribution of 78 L (1.2 L/kg) indicates that plasma protein binding is not a restricting factor to 296 body distribution. Assuming that pharmacologic effect is related to exposure to unbound drug 297 concentrations (AUCu), which after oral administration, depends on the fraction absorbed 298 through the gut wall, the dose and the intrinsic clearance as demonstrated by Benet and Hoener 299 (2), any change in total drug concentrations should not have clinical consequences. Large inter-300 individual variability was found for ka (139.3%) as variable absorption of PIs has already been 301 described.

We found that the apparent clearance of atazanavir decreases with increasing plasma alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentration. A similar effect was previously reported for lopinavir and indinavir clearance (5). This result suggests that elevated alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentrations could decrease the protein unbound fraction of atazanavir, leading to increased total atazanavir concentrations, but without change in unbound atazanavir concentrations linked to drug efficacy (2).

308 Interestingly, we found that atazanavir apparent volume of distribution decreases with increased 309 with alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentrations and increases with the ORM1*S phenotype. This 310 suggests that atazanavir may preferentially bind to the ORM1*F1 variant, compared with the 311 ORM1*S variant. Unfortunately, this hypothesis could not be tested in vitro as human plasma 312 samples tested for alpha 1 glycoprotein acid variants were not available. In contrast, it was 313 suggested that lopinavir and indinavir may preferentially bind to the ORM1*S variant (5). There 314 are conflicting data on preferential binding of neutral and basic drugs such as quinidine to 315 ORM1*S and ORM1*F1 (18, 20). In vitro drug-binding studies are warranted to determine the 316 possible different capacities of the ORM1 variants.

317 Overall, increases in alpha 1 glycoprotein acid lead to a modest 28% increase in atazanavir half 318 life which should not have any clinical consequence, but could contribute to the interindividual 319 variability of atazanavir pharmacokinetics although to a lesser extend than absorption or CYP3A 320 activity.

321 We found that the apparent clearance of atazanavir decreases with increasing ALAT. This was 322 expected as atazanavir, like other PIs, is extensively metabolised by hepatic CYP3A isoenzymes, 323 which are decreased in patients with liver failure and directly affect the intrinsic clearance. 324 However, such a relationship is remarkable as liver dysfunction was mild to moderate in our 325 patients. A 42% increase in AUC and longer terminal half-life (12 versus 6 hours) have been 326 reported in volunteers with hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh grade B or C), compared with 327 healthy volunteers (12). In the present study, we found a similar increase in atazanavir AUC and half-life in patients who had an elevated ALAT level. Our data, although limited, could suggest 328 329 that high atazanavir concentrations are expected with severe liver dysfunction. As previously 330 described, age, body weight and body mass index did not influence atazanavir pharmacokinetics 331 (4). Most of our patients were males, so no influence of sex on atazanavir pharmacokinetics could be detected. In keeping with our *in vitro* data, atazanavir pharmacokinetics was not influenced by
albumin concentrations; however in these patients, there was a small variability in albumin
concentrations.

335

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that atazanavir pharmacokinetics is modestly influenced by its protein binding, especially to alpha 1 glycoprotein acid. The effect of alpha 1 glycoprotein acid concentrations or polymorphisms or liver enzyme elevations on the unbound moiety able to cross biologic membranes and exert a pharmacologic effect is unknown as is therefore, the potential clinical significance, and that this is a limitation of this work.

342	Acknowledgements

343 This study was supported by ANRS (National Agency for AIDS Research), Paris, France.

344 We thank Gilead sciences and Bristol-Myers Squibb for helpful discussions and support for 345 carrying out this trial.

346 We thank the following investigators who participated in the ANRS trial 107-Puzzle 2 trial: 347 Hôpital Saint Louis, Paris (E Oksenhendler, O Carel, JM Molina, M Tourneur, P Palmer, I 348 Madelaine-Chambrin, N Mezreb); Hôpital de Bicêtre, Kremlin Bicêtre (JF Delfraissy, C Goujard, 349 M Mole, Y Quertainmont, N Idri, C Le Tiec); Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Paris (M 350 Kazatchkine, A Aouba, N Bengrait, A Si-Mohamed, B Sabatier); Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Paris (D 351 Bollens, F Besse, B Gaujour, L Morand-Joubert, A Charrois, A Daguenel-Nguyen); Hôpital 352 Sainte-Marguerite, Marseille (JA Gastaut, I Poizot-Martin, V Marin-Frixon, C Tamalet, P 353 Colson, C Penot-Ragon); Hôpital de l'Archet, Nice (JP Cassuto, M Poirée, C Ceppi, S 354 Benhamou, MC Rigault); Hôpital Purpan, Toulouse (P Massip, L Cuzin, M Obadia, J Izopet, A 355 Jaafar, I Peyranne).

357

References

358	1.	Barrail, A., C. Le Tiec, S. Paci-Bonaventure, V. Furlan, I. Vincent, and A. M.		
359		Taburet. 2006. Determination of amprenavir total and unbound concentrations in plasma		
360		by high-performance liquid chromatography and ultrafiltration. Ther Drug Monit 28:89-		
361		94.		
362	2.	Benet, L. Z., and B. A. Hoener. 2002. Changes in plasma protein binding have little		
363		clinical relevance. Clin Pharmacol Ther 71: 115-21.		
364	3.	Boffito, M., D. J. Back, T. F. Blaschke, M. Rowland, R. J. Bertz, J. G. Gerber, and V.		
365		Miller. 2003. Protein binding in antiretroviral therapies. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses		
366		19: 825-35.		
367	4.	Colombo, S., T. Buclin, M. Cavassini, L. A. Decosterd, A. Telenti, J. Biollaz, and C.		
368		Csajka. 2006. Population pharmacokinetics of atazanavir in patients with human		
369		immunodeficiency virus infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50:3801-8.		
370	5.	Colombo, S., T. Buclin, L. A. Decosterd, A. Telenti, H. Furrer, B. L. Lee, J. Biollaz,		
371		and C. B. Eap. 2006. Orosomucoid (alpha1-acid glycoprotein) plasma concentration and		
372		genetic variants: effects on human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitor clearance		
373		and cellular accumulation. Clin Pharmacol Ther 80:307-18.		
374	6.	Dailly, E., O. Tribut, P. Tattevin, C. Arvieux, P. Perre, F. Raffi, and P. Jolliet. 2006.		
375		Influence of tenofovir, nevirapine and efavirenz on ritonavir-boosted atazanavir		
376		pharmacokinetics in HIV-infected patients. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 62:523-6.		
377	7.	Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), and		
378		http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines/, posting date. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral		
379		agents in HIV-1 infected adults and adolescents. [Online.]		

380	8.	Duche, J. C., F. Herve, and J. P. Tillement. 1998. Study of the expression of the genetic
381		variants of human alpha1-acid glycoprotein in healthy subjects using isoelectric focusing
382		and immunoblotting. J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl 715:103-9.

- 383 9. Dulmer, M., G. Reker, T. T. Nguyen, L. Henke, and J. Henke. 1998. Human
 384 orosomucoid (ORM1) subtyping: further population genetic data and reports on the
 385 feasibility to type aged blood samples and stains. J Forensic Sci 43:413-6.
- 386 10. Eap, C. B., and P. Baumann. 1988. Isoelectric focusing of alpha-1 acid glycoprotein
 387 (orosomucoid) in immobilized pH-gradients with 8M urea: detection of its desialylated
 388 variants using an alkaline phosphatase-linked secondary antibody system. Electrophoresis
 389 9:650-4.
- 39011.EuropeanMedicinesAgency,and391http://www.emea.eu.int/humandocs/Humans/EPAR/reyataz/reyataz.htm, posting392date. [Online.]
- 393 12. FDA, and <u>http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2006/021567s007lbl.pdf</u>, posting date.
 394 CDER for Revataz. [Online.]
- 395 13. Ford, J., S. H. Khoo, and D. J. Back. 2004. The intracellular pharmacology of
 396 antiretroviral protease inhibitors. J Antimicrob Chemother 54:982-90.
- Fournier, T., N. N. Medjoubi, and D. Porquet. 2000. Alpha-1-acid glycoprotein.
 Biochim Biophys Acta 1482:157-71.
- 399 15. Holladay, J. W., M. J. Dewey, B. B. Michniak, H. Wiltshire, D. L. Halberg, P. Weigl,
- 400 Z. Liang, K. Halifax, W. E. Lindup, and D. J. Back. 2001. Elevated alpha-1-acid
- 401 glycoprotein reduces the volume of distribution and systemic clearance of saquinavir.
- 402 Drug Metab Dispos **29:**299-303.

- 403 16. Kremer, J. M., J. Wilting, and L. H. Janssen. 1988. Drug binding to human alpha-1404 acid glycoprotein in health and disease. Pharmacol Rev 40:1-47.
- 405 17. Le Tiec, C., A. Barrail, C. Goujard, and A. M. Taburet. 2005. Clinical
 406 pharmacokinetics and summary of efficacy and tolerability of atazanavir. Clin
 407 Pharmacokinet 44:1035-50.
- 408 18. Li, J. H., J. Q. Xu, X. M. Cao, L. Ni, Y. Li, Y. Y. Zhuang, and J. B. Gong. 2002.
 409 Influence of the ORM1 phenotypes on serum unbound concentration and protein binding
 410 of quinidine. Clin Chim Acta 317:85-92.
- 411 19. Li, J. H., J. Q. Xu, Y. Li, Y. Y. Zhuang, and J. B. Gong. 1999. Genetic polymorphisms
 412 of orosomucoid on the Han population in Nanjing of China. Clin Chim Acta 288:161-8.
- 413 20. McCollam, P. L., M. A. Crouch, and P. Arnaud. 1998. Caucasian versus African414 American differences in orosomucoid: potential implications for therapy.
 415 Pharmacotherapy 18:620-6.
- 416 21. Oie, S., M. A. Jacobson, and D. I. Abrams. 1993. Alpha 1-acid glycoprotein levels in
 417 AIDS patients before and after short-term treatment with zidovudine (ZDV). J Acquir
 418 Immune Defic Syndr 6:531-3.
- Piketty, C., L. Gerard, C. Chazallon, A. G. Marcelin, F. Clavel, A. M. Taburet, V.
 Calvez, I. Madelaine-Chambrin, J. M. Molina, J. P. Aboulker, and P. M. Girard.
 2006. Salvage therapy with atazanavir/ritonavir combined to tenofovir in HIV-infected
 patients with multiple treatment failures: randomized ANRS 107 trial. Antivir Ther
 11:213-21.
- 424 23. Taburet, A. M., C. Piketty, C. Chazallon, I. Vincent, L. Gerard, V. Calvez, F. Clavel,
- 425 J. P. Aboulker, and P. M. Girard. 2004. Interactions between atazanavir-ritonavir and

- 426 tenofovir in heavily pretreated human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients.
 427 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:2091-6.
- 428 24. Wright, J. D., F. D. Boudinot, and M. R. Ujhelyi. 1996. Measurement and analysis of
 429 unbound drug concentrations. Clin Pharmacokinet 30:445-62.

431 Figures legends

Figure 1: Bound atazanavir concentrations versus unbound atazanavir concentrations in solutions
of orosomucoid (solid circles) and albumin (open circles). The curve is an ordinary least-square
fit of the Emax model to the data using WinNonLin.

- 436 Figure 2: Observed atazanavir concentrations (solid circles in patients with the ORM1*S
- 437 phenotype and open circles in patients without the ORM1*S phenotype) and predicted population
- 438 concentrations for median ALAT (29 IU/L) and median orosomucoid (1.0 g/L) in patients with
- 439 (continuous line) or without (dashed line) the ORM1*S phenotype versus time, at week 6.

Tables

442 Table 1: Characteristics of the 51 patients included in the population pharmacokinetic analysis

	Median	min-max
Age (years)	41	29-62
Week 6 weight (kg)	65.6	45.0-105.6
Week 6 body mass index (kg/m ²)	21.3	17.0-33.6
Baseline ALAT level (IU/L)	29	5-114
Baseline ASAT level (IU/L)	29	16-149
Week 6 ALAT (IU/L)	38	7-175
Week 6 creatinine clearance (mL/min)	87	23-178
Week 6 albumin (g/L)	40.4	31.2-47.7
Week 6 orosomucoid (g/L)	1.0	0.6-1.5

444 ALAT: alanine aminotransferase

445 ASAT: aspartate aminotransferase

447	Table 2: Population pharmacokinetic parameters of atazanavir (estimates and relative standard
448	errors of estimation) for the basic model and for the final model

	Basic model		Final model	
Parameters	Estimate	RSE (%)	Estimate	RSE (%)
ka (h ⁻¹)	0.75	7.6	0.73	10.7
Cl/F (L/h)	7.8	9.0	13.3	13.2
$\beta^{ALAT}{}_{Cl/F}$	-	-	0.86	35.0
$\beta^{AAG}{}_{Cl/F}$	-	-	4.3	46.9
V/F (L)	78.2	10.5	79.7	10.5
$\beta^{ORM1*S}{}_{V\!/\!F}$	-	-	1.9	32.8
ω_{ka} (%)	138.7	21.0 ^a	139.3	26.2 ^a
$\omega_{\mathrm{Cl/F}}$ (%)	43.4	54.4 ^a	26.7	27.8 ^a
$\omega_{\mathrm{V/F}}$ (%)	31.1	64.8 ^a	27.0	102.2 ^a
σ(%)	18.3	37.2 ^a	19.9	50.3 ^a

450 ^{*a*} RSE for ω^2_{ka} , $\omega^2_{V/F}$, $\omega^2_{Cl/F}$ and σ^2

- β^{ALAT}_{CVF} : facteur associated with ALAT concentrations on atazanavir CV/F
- β^{AAG}_{CUF} : facteur associated with AAG concentrations on atazanavir CL/F
- $\beta^{ORM1*S}_{V/F}$: facteur associated with ORM1*S phenotype on atazanavir V/F
- $\omega_{ka}, \omega_{Cl/F}, \omega_{V/F}$: inter individual variabilities for ka, Cl/F and V/F respectively
- σ : residual error

⁴⁵¹ ka: absorption rate constant, Cl/F: apparent clearance, V/F: apparent volume of distribution



