

# The grammaticalization of modal auxiliaries in Maltese and Arabic vernaculars of the Mediterranean area'

Martine Vanhove, Catherine Miller, Dominique Caubet

# ▶ To cite this version:

Martine Vanhove, Catherine Miller, Dominique Caubet. The grammaticalization of modal auxiliaries in Maltese and Arabic vernaculars of the Mediterranean area'. J. Van der Auwera. Grammaticalization of Modal particles, Mouton de Gruyter, pp.325-362, 2009. <a href="halshs-red">chalshs-red</a>. 00555583 >

HAL Id: halshs-00555583

https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-00555583

Submitted on 18 Jan 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# The grammaticalisation of modal auxiliaries in Maltese and Arabic vernaculars of the Mediterranean area

Martine Vanhove, Catherine Miller, and Dominique Caubet

#### 1. Introduction

The grammaticalisation processes of modal auxiliaries in Arabic vernaculars have rarely been a key issue of studies among specialists of these languages. Moreover, different theoretical frameworks and terminologies have been used, and the descriptions and analyses are far from being developed to the same extent. Such a situation does not ease the comparison between the different systems, but, as will be shown below, does not jeopardize it altogether.

In order to fit in the general pattern of this book, this chapter will focus exclusively on the expression of epistemic and intersubjective (also called agent-oriented) modalities in a sample of four varieties of Arabic spoken along the Mediterranean coast, belonging to the two main branches, (i) Western (Maghribi) Arabic: Maltese, the official and national language of Malta, a Western Arabic vernacular in origin, and Moroccan Arabic; (ii) Eastern Arabic: Egyptian and Levantine (i.e. Jordanian, Syrian, Palestinian) Arabic. For the first two languages we relied on our own fieldwork data (Vanhove 1993, Caubet 1993), and we made use of Mitchell and al-Hasan (1994), Lentin (1997), Woidich (2006), and Salame and Lentin (forthcoming), for the latter two. We will first describe the systems of the vernaculars (section 2, 3, and 4),<sup>2</sup> then compare the grammaticalisation patterns and grammaticalisation chains of the different modal values (section 5).

For the description of Maltese and Moroccan Arabic, we used the framework of enunciative theory, which can be translated, albeit somewhat roughly, into Bybee and Fleishman's (1995) approach of modality.<sup>3</sup> Epistemic modality is defined from an enunciative viewpoint as "establishing a *relation* between the *enunciator* and the *propositional content* represented by the predicative relation",<sup>4</sup> a definition in line with

the traditional approach of logicians: epistemic elements are considered "clausal-scope indicators of a speaker's commitment to the truth of a proposition" (Bybee and Fleishman 1995: 6). In terms of the framework of enunciative theory, this means that the enunciator is not certain that the predicative relation is valid, that he does not choose between its validity ('truth' in the traditional approach) or non-validity, but simply gives a quantitative estimation of the chances of the predicative relation to be validated, hence these elements denote values of probability, and contingency.

The second type of modality is called 'intersubjective modality', and concerns the *relations* between the *subject* and the *predicate* within the predicative relation. The truth of the propositional content is not at stake, but the validation of the predicative relation depends on the will of the subject of the utterance, or on the will, the pressure or the demand that the enunciator is exerting over the subject of the utterance. This includes the so-called deontic or 'root' modalities. In general, this corresponds to the 'agent-oriented' modality defined by Bybee and Fleischman (1995: 6) as follows: "all modal meanings that predicate conditions on an agent with regard to the completion of an action referred to by the main predicate, e.g. obligation, desire, ability, permission and root possibility."

Regarding Egyptian and Levantine Arabic, we transposed the existing descriptions into the above described framework in order to ease the comparisons between the various systems.

It should be borne in mind that enunciative theory distinguishes two other types of modality (assertive and appreciative), and explicitly claims that they may overlap. One must also be aware that the following study only represents a part of the modal systems of the Arabic vernaculars under study, and that other devices are used (e.g., intonation, moods, tenses, verbs, adverbs, and adverbial constructions). Furthermore, the boundaries between aspectual and modal values, like in many other languages, are not clear-cut, and subtle modal and semantic values are also conveyed by tenses and the type of assertive modality. We do not deal with these questions in this paper.

Following are the criterions we used to characterize the verbal auxiliary category. These criterions are valid for Arabic vernaculars, but also apply cross-linguistically:<sup>5</sup>

- A verbal periphrastic construction made of an auxiliary verb and a main verb is a morphological, syntactic and semantic unit.
- Within the utterance, the syntactic scope of the auxiliary is the predicative kernel, and not the utterance itself.
- No coordinating or subordinating elements can occur between the two verbs.

- Both verbs have the same subject.
- The complement, if any, is that of the main verb.
- Most often, a semantic abstractification (rather than bleaching) of the auxiliary occurs, in the sense that the meaning of the auxiliary verb is more "general" (its original meaning as a main verb does not need to be general) than that of the main verb, because it needs to combine with all (or at least most) semantic classes of verbs.
- Paradigmatic substitution is only possible for the auxiliary.

Verbal particles, whether of verbal origin or not, only differ from auxiliaries in their degree of grammaticalisation, in the sense that they are more grammaticalised than verbal auxiliaries.

For readers unfamiliar with the verbal system of Arabic vernaculars, it is necessary to remind that, unlike what is the case in many European languages, the morphology of periphrastic constructions with an auxiliary is usually characterized by the juxtaposition of two *finite* verbal forms, i.e. both forms are fully inflected for TAM, gender, and number. The rare exceptions mostly concern the modal auxiliary forms themselves: they may be participial forms inflected only for gender and number, and if they are non-verbal in origin, they may have acquired a different type of inflection, based on suffix pronouns, or have no inflection at all. In the latter case they are more accurately analyzed as particles.

#### 2. Maltese

The verbal system of Maltese is particularly rich in auxiliaries and verbal particles which express almost as many aspectual, temporal and modal values as the forty-five forms that have been analyzed in Vanhove (1993). Most of them are the result of internal grammaticalisation processes, but in a few instances (see Vanhove 1994, 2000, and 2001) the Maltese auxiliary can clearly be traced back as a calque from the contact languages (Sicilian and Italian for a millennium, English for two centuries).

Six auxiliaries, which are also used as full verbs, are relevant for the study of modality as defined for the purpose of this book: *seta'* 'can', *jaf* 'know', *ried* 'want', *għandu* (and its 'irregular' forms *kellu* and *ikollu*) 'have', *mess* 'touch', and *ikun* 'be'. When used as modal auxiliaries, they may acquire new meanings as will be seen below.

2.1. Seta' 'can'

The Maltese modal verb *seta*' 'can' is a cognate of the Arabic root TW whose tenth derived form *istaṭa a* also means 'can'. Like most modal auxiliaries and particles, *seta*' is polysemous for both epistemic and intersubjective modal values. Still the latter are far more frequent than the former. The precise modal values depend on the TAM inflection of both verbal forms of the periphrasis, the semantics of the main verb, as well as on contextual factors.

The degree of grammaticalisation of *seta*' can be considered as minimal, as the auxiliary retains all its verbal and semantic characteristics, and can even be separated from the main verb by a subject, an object or an adverb.

# 2.1.1. Intersubjective modality

The modalities of capacity (1), possibility (2), and permission (3) and their negative counterparts are the most frequent values of *seta* 'can':

- (1) il-mara<sup>8</sup> tiegħ=i ma tista=x issajjar

  ART-wife of-1SG NEG can.IPFV3F.SG-NEG cook.IPFV3F.SG

  għax marid=a
  because ill-F

  'My wife cannot cook because she is sick.'
- (2) *kullħadd jista' jikkultiva l-għelieqi* every\_one can.IPFV3M.SG cultivate.IPFV3M.SG ART-fields *tiegħ=u* of-3M.SG
  - 'Every one will be able to cultivate his own lands.'
- (3) il-ħobż biex seta' jinbieħ...

  ART-bread PURP can.PFV3M.SG be.sold.IPFV3M.SG

  'The bread, so that it could be sold.' [had to be stamped by an official stamp]

When both verbs of the periphrasis are in the perfective, the value is that of an unfulfilled possibility:

(4) dawn kważi setgħu kienu sunetti

DEM.PL almost can.PFV3PL be.PFV.3PL sonnets

'These could almost have been sonnets.'

The construction can also take, in this case, a value of a posteriori advice, often with a connotation of reproach:

(5) stajt gdimt ilsien = ek int ukoll can.IPFV2SG bite.IPFV2SG tongue-2SG 2.SG also 'You could have hold your tongue!'

# 2.1.2. Epistemic modality

Seta' can also be used to express epistemic values, although rarely. It should be noted that this is only possible with the imperfective form for both the auxiliary and the main verb. This is regularly the case when the main verb is *kien* 'be', in the  $3^{rd}$  person masculine singular of the imperfective. The periphrasis means 'it is probable that', and is equivalent to Arabic forms such as *yumkin/yəmkən* or *mumkin* 'possible' (this is lost in Maltese; see below section 3.5. and 4.5.):

(6) *jista' jkun ma niftakar=x* can.IPFV3M.SG be.IPFV3M.SG NEG remember.IPFV1SG-NEG 'I might forget.'

With other verbs it may also be used to express an eventuality, a probability, the feasibility of an action:

- (7) taħt il-maskra tista' tinheba r-rejaltà under ART-mask can.IPFV3F.SG be.hidden.IPFV3F.SG ART-reality 'Under the mask, it happens that the reality is hidden.'
- wieħed jista' (8) 1-iskrivanja  $tieg\hbar = i$ wara behind ART-desk of-1SG can.IPFV3M.SG one jistrieħ mhux hekk? jidħol sew enter.IPFV3M.SG rest.IPFV3M.SG precisely NEG thus 'Behind my desk, someone could come and rest, precisely, don't you think?'

#### 2.2. Jaf 'know'

Jaf is a defective verb in Maltese limited to the imperfective inflection. It is cognate with Arabic <sup>9</sup>arafa 'I know'. Aquilina (1987: 8), in his dictionary, mentions what he calls an 'adverbial' use of the verb jaf

'know' when used before another verb. The examples given are translated by 'maybe, it is possible that', showing that *jaf* loses its original meaning as a full verb in this particular construction. The examples provided by Aquilina all have epistemic values:

(9) jaf jagħmel ix-xita llum know.IPFV3M.SG do.IPFV3M.SG ART-rain today 'It is quite possible that it may rain today.'

As a matter of fact, the use of *jaf* as a modal auxiliary is very rare<sup>10</sup> in spontaneous speech and writing, and all occurred with the verb *qal~igħid* 'say'. Still, both epistemic (possibility) and intersubjective (capacity) values are possible:

- (10) Ma taf=x tgħidi=l=na forsi xi
  NEG know.IPFV2SG-NEG say.IPFV2SG-to-1PL maybe some
  ħaġa...
  thing
  'Could you not tell us maybe something...'
- (11) għandu żewġ skopijiet sa fejn naf have.PRS1SG two objectives till where know.IPFV1SG ngħid say.IPFV1SG 'He has two objectives as far as I can judge.'

The scarcity of the modal use of *jaf* combined with the native speakers' judgement about Aquilina's examples as 'archaic' is probably an indication that the auxiliary use of *jaf* is dying out.

#### 2.3. Ried 'want'

The modality of volition is usually expressed by the verb *ried* 'want', a cognate of Arabic 'araada' want'. It can either be used in a completive structure with the complementizer *Ii* 'that', introducing another predicative relation (the subjects are not co-referential), or in an asyndetic construction. In the latter, if the subjects of the two verbs are not co-referential, the subject of the second verb is the pronominal suffix object of the first one (see (13)), which rules out an interpretation of *ried* as an auxiliary in this case (see section 1 for the criterions of auxiliarihood):

- (12) *iridu li int tiekol* want.IPFV3PL that 2SG eat.IPFV2SG 'They want you to eat.'
- (13) ma rrid=ek=x tgħid li jien dittur NEG want.IPFV1SG-2SG-NEG say.IPFV2SG that 1SG vain 'I don't want you to say that I am vain.'
- (14) ma ried=x jinnegozja magħ=hom NEG want.PFV3M.SG-NEG bargain.IPFV3M.SG with-3PL 'He didn't want to bargain with them.'

More important for the purpose of this study, the verb *ried* 'want' is also used as a modal auxiliary in a periphrastic construction (formally similar to that in 14 above) for the expression of the intersubjective modalities of necessity, obligation, ineluctability, advice, and interdiction. If the subject is animate, only contextual factors can help decide if the original meaning of volition is retained or not (compare 14 and 18). But with inanimate subjects, no such a polysemy is possible, and only the intersubjective interpretations are possible. No epistemic use has been noted for the modal auxiliary *ried*.

- (15) il-garaxx ridna noborxu-h koll=u
  ART-garage want.PFV1PL brush.IPFV1PL-3M.SG all-3M.SG
  mil=l-qieħ
  from-ART-foot
  'The garage, we had to brush it all from bottom up.'
- (16) biex tikber trid tiekol.

  PURP grow up.IPFV2SG want.IPFV2SG eat.IPFV2SG 'In order to grow up, you must eat.'
- (17) *trid tmur lejn ix-Xagħra jew iż-Żebbuġ* want.IPFV2SG go.IPFV2SG towards ART-Xagħra or ART-Żebbuġ [there are things like that for sale at Xagħra and Żebbuġ] 'you should go to Xagħra or Żebbuġ.'
- (18) ma rrid=x ninnegozja qalt=l=i

  NEG want.IPFV1SG-NEG bargain.IPFV1SG say.PFV3F.SG-to-1SG

  omm=i

  mother-1SG

  'I must not bargain, my mother told me.'

#### 2.4. Għandu / kellu / ikollu 'have'

The Maltese language has grammaticalised two different lexical sources and grammatical constructions into so-called pseudo-verbs meaning 'have'.

Ghandu, as in many Arabic vernaculars, is the result of the agglutination of the preposition \* 'and' at' cognate with Classical Arabic 'inda with the suffix object pronouns, which have become subject indices and the regular inflection of this pseudo-verb. It has the temporal value of present tense.

*Kellu* and *ikollu* are both cognate with the verb *kien* 'be' in the perfective and imperfective forms respectively, to which the preposition *lil* 'towards, to', shortened to *I*, has been suffixed, and to which the suffix object pronouns are added as inflectional morphemes, also functioning as subject indices. They are past and future tense, respectively.

# 2.4.1. Intersubjective modality

When used as a modal auxiliary, the three forms of this pseudo-verb mainly have intersubjective values of necessity, obligation, ineluctability, a posteriori advice, and interdiction. The difference with the auxiliary verb *ried* (see section 2.3. above) is mainly that of register, the use of *għandu / kellu / ikollu* being regarded as higher than that of *ried*. This feeling of Maltese native speakers might be linked to the long-standing contact with Italian and English:<sup>12</sup> the contact situation may be the reason behind the Maltese auxiliation construction, unknown or very marginal in other Arabic vernaculars (see section 4.8.).

- (19) tmur fejn għandek tmur go.IPFV2SG where have.PRS2SG go.IPFV2SG 'You go where you have to go.'
- (20) *kellek taħseb qabel* have.PST2SG think.IPFV2SG before 'You should have thought about it before.'
- (21) biex thit=ha ikollna nxarrbu=ha
  PURP sew.IPFV2SG-3F.SG have.FUT1PL wet.IPFV1PL-3F.SG
  'In order to sew it, we'll have to wet it.'

# 2.4.2. Epistemic modality

These pseudo-verbs can have epistemic values, more frequently than *seta*' 'can'. These are values of logical probability (or inference), near-certainty, and calculation. They are more likely to occur with *għandu* than with the two other forms.

- (22) tgħarraf=ni għandek tifhem x' know.IPFV2SG-1SG have.PRS2SG understand.IPFV2SG what irried ngħid bi=ha
  Want.IPVF1SG say.IPFV1SG with-3F.SG
  'You know me, you should understand what I mean by that.'
- (23) għandhom ikunu hawn għal s-sebgħa have.PRS3PL be.IPFV3PL here for ART-seven 'They should be here around seven'
- (24) *k ikollok ir-riħ fuq sa erbgħat ijiem ħamsa* if have.FUT2SG ART-wind on till four days five *ikollha tinxef* have.FUT3F.SG dry.IPFV3F.SG 'If you have a North wind, it should dry within four or five days.'

# 2.5. Mess 'touch'

The verb *mess* 'touch' (cognate of Arabic *massa* 'touch'), a full verb regularly inflected for perfective and imperfective, can also be used as a modal auxiliary. When it functions as an auxiliary, the morphology is different from what it is as a full verb: to the 3<sup>rd</sup> person of either the perfective or the imperfective are added the object suffix pronouns which co-refer to the subject of the periphrasis. These bound pronouns constitute the inflection of the auxiliary.

Although the verb itself is of Arabic origin, the auxiliary construction is obviously a calque of vernacular Italian.<sup>13</sup> The semantics and the grammaticalisation process can thus be considered as the result of language contact.

The periphrastic construction with *mess* only has intersubjective interpretations.

When both or either of the two verbs are in the perfective form, the modal value is that of an a posteriori advice or suggestion, always with a connotation of reproach or regret:

(25) messek tkellimt qabel touch.PFV2SG talk.PFV2SG before 'You should have talked before!'

When both verbs are in the imperfective, unachieved necessity is expressed, again with a connotation of reproach or regret:

(26) *imissek tistħi* touch.IPFV2SG be.ashamed.IPFV2SG 'You should be ashamed of yourself!'

#### 2.6. Ikun 'be'

When used as an auxiliary preceding a main verb in the imperfective, the imperfective form *ikun* of *kien* 'be' expresses modal values which are limited to the epistemic domain. The precise value is linked to the syntactic context.

In an adverbial, completive or relative clause, *ikun* conveys a value of eventuality, probability:

(27) taħseb li l-iswed ikun
think.IPFV2SG REL ART-black be.IPFV3M.SG
jixraq=l=i
suit.IPFV3M.SG-to-1SG
'Do you think black would suit me?'

In independent or coordinated clauses, the epistemic value is that of a logical consequence, a logical probability (inference):

(28) sib ħmar ċkejken u saqaj=k ikunu find.IMP.SG donkey small and feet-2SG be.IPFV3PL imissu ma' l-art touch.IPFV3PL with ART-ground 'Find a small donkey and your feet will touch the ground!'

# 2.7. Summary

From the above study of Maltese modal auxiliaries, it can be concluded that intersubjective modalities are more commonly expressed with modal auxiliaries that epistemic ones. The different values of the periphrastic constructions and the forms of the auxiliaries are summarized in the following table (note that, apart from the forms of 'have', it does not provide information on the tenses of the two verbs of the periphrasis. The negative values are not listed either):

Table 1. Values of Maltese modal auxiliaries

	Modal value	Auxiliary	
INTERSUBJECTIVE	Possibility	seta'	
	Capacity	seta', jaf (rare)	
	Permission	seta'	
	Obligation	ried, għandu, kellu, ikollu	
	Necessity	ried, għandu, kellu, ikollu	
	Ineluctability	ried, għandu, ikollu (rare)	
	Advice	ried	
	a posteriori advice	mess, kellu (rare)	
	unachieved necessity	mess	
EPISTEMIC	Eventuality	seta', jaf (rare)	
	Feasibility	seta'	
	Probability	seta', ikun	
	logical probability (inference)	għandu, ikollu, ikun	
	Calculation	kellu	

Although polysemy is the rule for most modal auxiliaries, it should be noted that one of them is limited to epistemic values (ikun 'be'). Conversely, two others are limited to intersubjective values (ried 'want' and mess 'touch').

Several auxiliaries have modal values that are similar, but their semantic functions do not always fully overlap. They may be specialized in a restricted number of modal values within each type of modality. Within the intersubjective modality, għandu, kellu and ikollu are not used with an advice<sup>14</sup> value, only *ried* and *mess* can be. The latter, although sharing the value of advice and necessity with its four synonyms, is actually specialized for the expression of a posteriori advice, and for unachieved necessity. On the other hand, in the domain of necessity, only

the pseudo-verbs meaning 'have', *għandu, kellu* and *ikollu*, can also occur with epistemic values.

#### 3. Moroccan Arabic

Moroccan Arabic is one of the most innovative vernaculars, the present koinè being very influenced by what is referred to as "prehilali dialects", pertaining to the first centuries of arabicisation. One of the consequences of this historical situation is that innovation, and thus grammaticalisation, are pervasive in the morphosyntax of this language.

In the verbal system itself, the use of a preverb has led to the development of two imperfective forms: ka-y-kt-b and y-kt-b (the oldest form). The preverb ka- is most probably a truncated form of the verb kan ('be'). The bare form (i.e. without the preverb) is reduced to either syntactic dependency or modal values, whereas the new preverbal form marks the "real" imperfective. This includes the notions of habitual, repetition, general truths or progressive (see Caubet 1993, and 1994).

*ka-yəktəb* means 'he reads' or 'he is reading', according to the context, whereas *yəktəb* can only mean 'let him read, he'll read, he'd read' (hortative, vague future, eventual, etc.), or be used in concatenatives like:

(29) baayi yəktəb want.ACT.PTCP.M.SG write.IPFV3M.SG 'He wants to write.'

As can be seen in the above example with  $baa\gamma i$ , the active participle has also developed into a pseudo-verbal conjugation, adopting the syntactic behaviour of a verb whereas it remains a nominal form, morphologically, with nominal marks of gender and number. With a negation, the construction of objects is similar to that of verbs, but the form has no personal indices and can only take feminine and plural markers like all adjectives and participles, as in:

- (30) ma mṣəbbn-a-š əl-ħwaayəž NEG wash.ACT.PTCP-F.SG-NEG ART-clothes 'I (fem.)/she hasn't washed the clothes.'
- (31) ma mṣəbbn-iin-š əl-ħwaayəž NEG wash.ACT.PTCP-PL-NEG ART-clothes 'We haven't washed the clothes.'

As for modals, innovation and grammaticalisation are also one of the characteristics of Moroccan Arabic. There are various degrees of grammaticalisation, from conjugated auxiliaries to frozen particles or adverbial forms.

Four verbs will be analyzed here. They are currently still used as verbs with their full semantic values: qdər 'can, may', qədd 'can', kaan 'be', xəss 'must'. We'll also consider the case of yəmkən 'may, maybe', a verb in origin, but which is mostly used in its frozen form as an adverbial.

#### 3.1. qdər 'can, may'

The original meaning of the verb qdər is 'to have the capacity, the strength, the power, the faculty, the possibility to'; the nominal godra (derived from the same root QDR) is linked semantically to divine power, predestination, fate or chance.<sup>15</sup> It is used in Moroccan Arabic to express both intersubjective and epistemic modalities. The differentiation between the two main types of modality is reflected in the morphology of the auxiliary verb.

#### 3.1.1. Intersubjective modality

When expressing intersubjective values, *qdər* is inflected as a regular verb in all its forms, perfective, imperfective or active participle. It either marks a general capacity or a permission:

- (32) ka-nəqdər nhəzz ət-təbla TAM-can.IPFV1SG lift.IPFV1SG ART-table 'I can lift the table.'
- (33) waš təqdər tži? can.IPFV2SG come.IPFV2SG Q - nəqdər! can.IPFV1SG '- Will you be able to come?' '- Yes, I will!'
- $m^{s}$ -ya? (34) təqdər tži can.IPFV2SG come.IPFV2SG with-1SG 'Can/could you come with me?'

- 14 Martine Vanhove, Catherine Miller, and Dominique Caubet
- (35) təqdər təmši daba can.IPFV2SG go.IPFV2SG now 'You can go now!'

# 3.1.2. Epistemic modality

As an epistemic auxiliary, *qdər* is invariable and frozen in the imperfective 3<sup>rd</sup> person singular form, *yəqdər*. It expresses values of possibility, probability or plausibility:

- (36) *yəqdər yži ħməd* can.IPFV3M.SG come.IPFV3.MSG Ahmed 'Ahmed may come.'
- (37) *yəqdər ykuun naa <sup>s</sup>əs* can.IPFV3M.SG be.IPFV3M.SG sleep.ACT.PTCP.M.SG 'He may be asleep.'

# 3.2. *qədd* 'can'

The verb *qədd* has very similar intersubjective values as *qdər*. Etymologically, it means 'to be enough or to suffice, to be of sufficient size, to be able (physically or morally)':

- (38) ka-nqədd nhəzz haad əṭ-ṭəbla TAM-can.IPFV1SG lift.IPFV1SG this ART-table 'I can lift this table.'
- (39)  $n = {}^{s} w = n 2k?$  help.IPFV1SG-2SG
  - *la,* nqədd nhəzz-ha NEG can.IPFV2SG lift.IPFV1SG-3F.SG
  - '- Shall I help you?'
  - '- No, I can lift it!' (I'll manage)
- (40) qəddiiti tži γədda? can.PFV2F.SG come.IPFV2F.SG tomorrow 'Can/could you come tomorrow?'

# 3.3. *ykuun* 'be'

As a modal auxiliary, kaan 'to be' is only used in the imperfective form ykuun, and marks logical probability, i.e. inference, an epistemic modality derived from the basic 'vague future' value the bare form of the imperfective conveys (see above, section 3):

- (41) ykuun mša 1-əl-<sup>s</sup>arubiya be.IPFV3M.SG go.PFV3M.SG to-ART-country 'He'll be gone to the country!'
- (42) ykuunu <sup>s</sup>aad ka-yṭəyybu əl-yda be.IPFV3M.PL still TAM-cook.IPFV3M.PL ART-lunch 'They must still be preparing lunch!'

#### 3.4. xəss 'must'

The original meaning of the verb xəss is 'to be missing or lacking, to be insufficient, to be absent, nonexistent'. When used as a modal auxiliary, it can express both epistemic and intersubjective modalities.

#### 3.4.1. Intersubjective modality

The morphology of xəss as a modal auxiliary is different from its full verb morphology. Two forms are used, and intersubjective values vary according to aspect.

xəss can be used as an impersonal frozen form in the 3<sup>rd</sup> person masculine singular of the ka-imperfective, and suffixed with personal pronouns co-referencial with the subject. It then conveys the idea of an obligation imposed from the outside, by the circumstances or by somebody, i.e. not self-imposed (*cp.* English 'you have to', as opposed to 'you must'<sup>16</sup>):

- (43) ka-yxəss-ha taakul əl-fromaaž TAM-lack.IPFV3M.SG-3F.SG eat.IPFV3F.SG ART-cheese 'She has to eat cheese!' (it is good for her health)
- (44) ka-vxəss-ək tšuufi daak-əš-ši TAM-lack.IPFV3M.SG-PR2SG see.IPFV2F.SG that-ART-thing dvaal əl-Məžduub

of El-Mejdoub 'You have to see what El Mejdoub said!' (you can't escape it)

 $x \ni s \not s$  can also be used in a frozen form of the perfective, the  $3^{\rm rd}$  M.SG to which suffix pronouns are added as inflectional markers. It then means 'to be under the obligation', whether one likes it or not:

- (45) xəṣṣ-u yəmši lack.PFV3M.SG-3M.SG go.IPFV1SG 'He has to go!' (even if he don't feel like it)
- (46) kaan xəşş-ni nəktəb-l-ha be.PFV3M.SG lack.PFV3M.SG-1SG go.IPFV1SG-to-3F.SG 'I should have written to her.' (but I didn't)

# 3.4.2. Epistemic modality

As an epistemic auxiliary,  $x \ni s \ni s$  expresses near-certainty. Again, its morphology is different from what it is when a full verb. For the expression of the value of 'to be under the obligation' described above, it is frozen in the  $3^{rd}$  person masculine singular of the perfective, suffixed with personal pronouns, but in addition, it is followed with the imperfective form of 'be', ykuun. It thus forms a pseudo-conjugation,  $x \ni s \ni u ykuun$  'he/it must be':

(47) xəṣṣ-u ykuun mša lack.PFV3M.SG-3M.SG be.IPFV3M.SG go.PFV3M.SG 'He must be gone!'

# 3.5. yəmkən 'may, maybe'

The original meaning of the verb *mkən* is 'to be possible or able'. Used as a modal, it can mean 'to be possible, probable, likely'. It is nearly always used in a frozen form, that of the 3<sup>rd</sup> person masculine of the imperfective *yəmkən*, and has even become an adverb meaning 'maybe, could be!'.

# 3.5.1. Intersubjective modality

For the expression of permission with second person subjects, the invariable verbal particle yəmkən is used in a periphrastic construction before the main verb, which is in a relation of syntactic dependency (concatenation) with the particle, and thus occurs in the bare form of the imperfective (see Caubet 1994):

(48) yəmkən tži can.IPFV3.M.SG come.IPFV2SG 'You may come.'

But to mark capacity, the set form of the frozen verb yəmkən is constructed with suffix pronouns referring to the subject, and introduced by a preposition I 'to': yəmkən-l-ek, yəmkən-l-u, etc. (litt. 'it is possible to you/ him', 'you can, he can'...):

tži? (49) yəmkən-l-ek can.IPFV3.M.SG-to-2SG come.IPFV2SG 'Can you come?'

#### 3.5.2. Epistemic modality

The syntactic status of the form *yəmkən* as an epistemic modal is hybrid: still verbal, but mostly adverbial. Within the utterance, its syntactic position can be that of an adverb, i.e. after the main verb or at the end of the utterance (see (50) and (51)), or that of an auxiliary verb, i.e. before the main verb (see (52) and (53)), in which case it can be analyzed as a verbal particle, a degree higher on the scale of grammaticalisation than auxiliaries. When placed in this position, it always requires syntactic dependency:

- (50) γaadi yži, yəmkən come.IPFV3M.SG maybe 'He'll come, maybe.' or:
- (51) *yži* vəmkən come.IPFV3M.SG maybe 'He'll come, maybe.'
- (52) yəmkən nži can.IPFV3M.SG come.IPFV1SG

'I may come.'

(53) *yəmkən ykuun naa <sup>s</sup>əs* can.IPFV3M.SG be.IPFV3M.SG sleep.ACT.PTCP.M.SG 'He may be asleep.'

# 3.6. Summary

In Moroccan Arabic, even though there are more adverbs implicated in the expression of modality, some modal auxiliaries are also used. The use of the verb xəṣṣ 'to be missing or lacking' to express obligation is very characteristic of this vernacular. Most auxiliaries, be they verbal or pseudo-verbal, and particles, are used for both types of modality, epistemic and intersubjective. The sole exception is ykuun 'be' which can only express epistemic modality. There are some morphosyntactic constraints for most of them (they may be used with only one type of inflection, or in an invariable form). One case of grammaticalisation to an adverb has been recorded, that of yəmkən 'maybe'.

The different values of the periphrastic constructions and the forms of the auxiliaries are summed up in the following table:

Table 2. Values of Moroccan modal auxiliaries

Modal value		Auxiliary		
INTERSUBJECTIVE	Possibility	qdər, qədd, yəmkən-l-ek		
	Capacity	qdər, qədd, yəmkən-l-ek		
	Permission	qdər		
	Obligation	XƏŞŞ		
	Necessity	XƏŞŞ		
	Ineluctability	XƏŞŞ		
	Advice	XƏŞŞ		
	a posteriori advice unachieved necessity	XƏŞŞ		
EPISTEMIC	Eventuality	yəmkən		
	Feasibility	gdər		
	Probability	qdər		
	logical probability	xəşş-u, ykuun		
	Calculation	xəss-u, ykuun		

# 4. Egyptian and Levantine Arabic

Very similar to Moroccan Arabic, the verbal systems of both Egyptian Arabic (EA) and Levantine Arabic (LA, i.e. Syrian, Jordanian and Palestinian) have split the imperfective aspect into two forms. One is the continuation of the old form, the so-called bare-form (yiktib), and the other is an innovative one, namely a b- preverb (b-yiktib), with values similar to those mentioned for Moroccan. The verb class contains numerous verbs expressing epistemic and intersubjective modal values, a few functioning also as auxiliaries. It may be noted that the most frequent ones are either pseudo-verb forms (i.e. based on a nominal construction but functioning as verbs), participials, and frozen forms in the 3<sup>rd</sup> SG.M imperfective. The auxiliary class cuts across word boundaries, and auxiliaries occur in the form of participials, nouns, adjectives, finite verbs and prepositional phrases. EA and LA share a number of modal auxiliaries but not all, as will be seen, and differ with regards to the TAM markers of the main verb. The selected forms for this paper are 'idir 'can', 'irif 'know', bədd- (bidd-) 'wish', 'awiz ('ayiz) 'want', laazim 'must', yimkin/mumkin 'possible', and ykuun 'be'. It ends with a mention of the grammaticalisation of a noun ( $ha^{??}$  truth') and a prepositional phrase ( ${}^{s}ale$ 'on') as modal auxiliaries.

# 4.1. <sup>2</sup>idir-yi <sup>2</sup>dar (~yi <sup>2</sup>dər), <sup>2</sup>aadir 'can'

EA and LA modal verb *idir* is a cognate of the Arabic root QDR 'be able to'. 'idir carries intersubjective modal values. The precise modal values depend on the TAM inflection of both verbal forms of the periphrasis, as well as on the semantics of the main verb. 2idir is always inflected for gender and number. Although it can be used in the perfective, imperfective, and active participial forms when expressing capacity and possibility, it is only used in the imperfective for permission.

#### 4.1.1. Intersubjective

*idir* expresses the deontic notions of (i) capacity, ability (ii) possibility, potentiality, and (iii) permission, and their negative counterparts. It is frequently associated with physical and intellectual ability:

(54) miš <sup>?</sup>adr-a t<sup>2</sup>uum mi-s-siriir NEG can.ACT.PTCP-F stand.IPFV3F.SG from-ART-bed 'She cannot stand up from the bed.' (WOI:319)<sup>17</sup>

- (55) ma-ḥaddi-š <sup>?</sup>idir yi <sup>?</sup>ul-l-u ḥkaaya NEG-person-NEG can.PFV3M.SG say.IPFV3M.SG-to-3M.SG story 'Nobody could tell him a story.' (WOI:319)
- (56) *ni* <sup>2</sup>dαr *nit* <sup>2</sup>aabil <sup>5</sup>a-l-γada bukrα can.IPFV1PL meet.IPFV1PL over-ART-lunch tomorrow 'Could we meet over lunch tomorrow?' (M&H:48)
- (57) *iza ma get-š is-saa <sup>s</sup>a sab <sup>s</sup>a ti <sup>2</sup>daṛ* if NEG come-PFV1SG-NEG ART-time seven can.IPFV2M.SG *tiṛawwaḥ* go.IPFV2M.SG

'If I don't arrive at 7 o'clock you can leave.' (WOI:317) Already in Levantine Arabic texts of the 16<sup>th</sup>-18<sup>th</sup> century (Middle LA), *qadar*<sup>18</sup> was the most frequent 'can' auxiliary and was used in both the perfective and imperfective (bare and *b*- imperfective) forms to express capacity and ability. Note however that in (58) and (59) the imperfective form of *qadar* (i.e. *naqdir*) appears in combination with either another modal (*yumkin* in (59)) or (as in (58)) with the perfective form of *qadar*. This could be an indication that its modal value still needed to be emphasised through repetition:

- (58) maa qadarnaa naqdir narqud tilka al-layla
  NEG can.PFV1PL can.IPFV1PL sleep.IPFV1PL that ART-night
  'We could not sleep that night.' (LEN:629)
- (59) wa laa yumkin naqdir
  COORD NEG be.possible.IPFV3M.SG can.IPFV1SG
  naşif-hu sal haqiiqat-uh
  describe.IPFV1SG-3M.SG over fact-3M.SG
  'I can't describe (in details) all these events.' (LEN:629)
- (60) maa b-aqdar b-atkallam

  NEG TAM-can.IPFV1SG TAM-speak.IPFV1SG
  'I can't speak (Arabic).' (LEN:629)

# 4.1.2. Epistemic

yi'dar alone does not express epistemic values. It needs to be combined with either the future particle ha- or the adverb mumkin 'maybe', thus expressing eventuality:

- (61) ha- $^{2}$ dár ašuuf-ak márrα taani FUT-can.IPFV1SG see.IPFV1SG-2MSG second time 'Shall I be able to see you again?' (M&H:49)
- 4.2. 'irif, yi'raf, 'aarif' know, can'

The verb sirif can function as a full-fledged verb keeping its original meaning of 'know'. It can also function as an auxiliary and convey the intersubjective values of ability and capacity. It overlaps with 2idir to express physical and intellectual ability. Like 2idir, it can be used in the perfective, bare imperfective and b- imperfective forms as well as in the active participial form:

- (62) ma <sup>s</sup>rifti-š titsarraf NEG know.pfv2f.sg-neg behave.ipfv2f.sg 'You were not able to behave (properly).' (WOI:320)
- (63) b-a<sup>s</sup>raf a <sup>?</sup>ra aktib W TAM-know.IPFV1SG read.IPFV1SG COORD write.IPFV1SG 'I can read and write.' (WOI:320)

The same modal value is recorded in Middle LA, mainly in negative sentences:

maa <sup>s</sup>arafuu (64) maktuub write.PAS.PTCT.M.SG NEG know.PFV3M.PL idabbiruu-h prepare.IPFV3M.PL-3M.SG 'They were unable to write an answer.' (LEN:631)

4.3. *bədd- (bidd-)* 'want'

 $b ext{-}add$ - (bidd-) is a frequent Levantine form, but it is rarer in EA. It is based on the prepositional phrase b-wudd, lit. 'with-love' and is attested in Middle LA since the  $18^{th}$  c. The suffix object pronouns added to this nominal base co-refer to the subject of the periphrasis and have become the regular inflection of this pseudo-verb. Syntactically,  $b ext{-}add$ - can function as a full-fledged verb:

- (65) wa bədd-u min-hu X <sup>?</sup>alf kiis COORD want-3M.SG from.3M.SG X thousand bag 'X wants from him 500 000 piasters.' (LEN:624)
- (66) bidd-hum is-sayyaara titṣallaḥ want-3PL ART-car repair.IPFV3F.SG 'They want the car to be repaired.' (M&H:39)

#### 4.3.1. Intersubjective

Preceding a bare imperfective form, *bədd*- functions as a modal intersubjective auxiliary expressing (i) volition, (ii) ineluctability, necessity, and obligation imposed from the outside: <sup>19</sup>

- (67) bədd-i <sup>?</sup>əḥki ma<sup>?</sup>-ak kələmt-een want-1SG say.IPFV1SG with-2SG word-DU 'I want to tell you a few words.' (LEN:624)
- (68) maa kunt bədd-i <sup>?</sup>ənzel
  NEG be.PFV1SG want-1SG go.IPFV1SG
  'I did not have the intention to go there.' (S&L)
- (69) al-yoom bədd-ak tsaafer
  ART-day want-2M.SG travel.IPFV.2M.SG
  'You have to travel today.' [because the Pacha is coming tomorrow]
  (LEN:626)
- (70) bidd-u(h) yikuun yi raf ingliizi want-3M.SG be.IPFV3M.SG know.IPFV3M.SG English 'He has to know English.' (i.e. as a condition of his employment) (M&L:40)

Note that in the above example, the value of necessity is reinforced by the combination of two auxiliaries (bidd- and yikuun).

bidd often functions with an inanimate agent or impersonal subject:

(71) banṭaloon-i bidd-u(h) kawy trouser-1SG want-3M.SG pressing 'My trouser needs pressing.' (M&H:40)

#### 4.3.2. Epistemic

The periphrastic construction b = dd + yikun + b-imperfective form expresses a hypothesis given as a logical probability or inference (cp. the intersubjective value in (71) above):

- (72) ha-l-hajar bədd-o ykuun zmərrod DEM-ART-stone want-3M.SG be.IPFV3M.SG emerald 'This precious stone might be an emerald.' (S&L)
- b-isəlli (73) *bidd-uh* ykuun want-3M.SG be.IPFV3M.SG TAM-pray.IPFV3M.SG 'He must be praying.' (inferential) (M&H:40)

The above sentence is to be distinguished from bidd-uh ysəlli 'he wants to pray' or 'he has to pray' which has an intersubjective value of volition or necessity, according to the context.

b-yi<sup>s</sup>raf (74) *bidd-uh* ykuun ingliizi want-3M.SG. be.IPFV3M.SG TAM-know.IPFV3M.SG English 'He must know English.' (since he lived in England; as opposed to 70 above) (M&H:40)

# 4.4. <sup>s</sup>aawiz~ <sup>s</sup>aayiz 'want'

The EA participial form 'aawiz~'aayiz of the verb 'aaz~yi'uuz 'want, need' functions as a full lexical verb as well as an auxiliary expressing the intersubjective values of (i) volition, intention, and (ii) need, obligation:

(75) fi wahda sitti <sup>s</sup>avz-a t<sup>2</sup>aabil siyadt-ak there.is one woman want-F.SG meet.IPFV3F.SG Sir-2sG 'There is a woman who wants to meet you.' (WOI:317)

(76) il-<sup>s</sup>arabiyya <sup>s</sup>awz-a titγisil
ART-car want-F.SG wash.IPFV3F.SG
'The car needs to be washed.' (WOI:316)

This use is similar to that of *bidd*- in section 4.3. Note that in both cases, the subject is an inanimate agent, and the predicate is either a verbal noun like *kawy* 'pressing' (71), or a verbal form with a passive meaning (*cp.* also Maltese *ried*, section 2.3.).<sup>20</sup>

#### 4.5. laazim 'must'

*laazim* is originally the participial form of the Arabic root LZM, whose bare verbal form means 'be necessary'. In both EA and LA it has become invariable, and can be considered as being grammaticalised as a modal particle, and, when occurring at the very beginning of an utterance, as an adverb.

# 4.5.1 Intersubjective

*laazim* is the most common deontic modal of necessity and obligation in both EA and LA:<sup>21</sup>

(77) *laazim timši dilwa²ti* must go.IPFV2SG now 'You have to go now.' (M&H: 51)

#### 4.5.2 Epistemic

*laazim* can convey an epistemic value of (i) logical probability (inference), or (ii) near-certainty, when combined with a perfective verb or an imperfective verb prefixed with the TAM markers ha- (in EA), b- (in LA), or with the auxiliary ykuun 'be':

(78) inta laazim širibta-ha 2M.SG must drink.PFV2F.SG-3F.SG 'You have certainly drunk it.' (M&H: 43) (79) *laazim ha-(/bi-)yiigi* dilwa²ti TAM-(/TAM-)come.IPFV3M.SG now must 'He must come soon.' (I feel sure, I judge that) (M&H:43)

The above utterance can be contrasted to *laazim yiigi dilwa*<sup>2</sup>ti, expressing the intersubjective value of 'he must (he has to) come soon' (i.e. 'is under an obligation to').

(80) *laazim* yikuun wisil maktab-uh be.IPFV3M.SG arrived.PFV3SG office-PR3SG 'He must (probably) have reached his office.' (M&H:51)

It may be noted that in LA bi-ykuun regularly occurs together with laazim (bi-ykuun wisil) in epistemic inferential sentences to reinforce this value:

(81) *laazim ha-(/bi-)ykuun* bi-yutbux must TAM-(/TAM-)be.IPFV3M.SG TAM-cook.IPFV3M.SG 'He will certainly be cooking.' (M&H:51)

# 4.6. yimkin/mumkin 'be possible'

*yimkin* is originally the 3<sup>rd</sup> M.SG imperfective form of the base verbal form of the Arabic root MKN 'be possible'. mumkin is the passive participial form. Similar to the Moroccan form yəmkən, both forms remain invariable. When used in a periphrastic construction they function as modal particles, but do not seem in these vernaculars to have become adverbs, as their position within the utterance does not vary.<sup>22</sup>

Both are polysemous and express intersubjective values of possibility, capability, permission and an epistemic value of probability, the latter being more frequent that the former, the distinction between the two being a matter of context (see (84) and (85)):

is-sawwaa? (82) amma ykuun miš mawguud fa if be.IPFV3M.SG ART-driver NEG find.PASS.PTCP and <sup>2</sup>ana mumkin aruuh bidaal-u 1-mugamma <sup>s</sup> possible go.IPFV1SG instead-3M.SG ART-Mugamma' 'If the driver is not present, then I can go instead of him in the Mugamma.' (ability, potentiality) (WOI:319)

(83) mumkin <sup>s</sup>ali izuur-ni bukra ba <sup>s</sup>d iḍ-ḍuhr possible Ali visit.IPFV3M.SG-1SG tomorrow after ART-noon 'Ali may well visit me tomorrow afternoon' (probability) or 'Ali is allowed to visit me tomorrow afternoon.' (permission) (M&H:48)

According to Mitchell and al-Hassan (1994:47), *mumkin* 'very likely' rates higher than *yimkin* 'might be possible' on the likehood-scale:

- (84) mumkin tišuuf-hum hinaak possible see.IPFV2M.SG-3PL there 'You can see them there.'
- (85) *yimkin tišuuf-hum hinaak* possible see.IPFV2M.SG-3PL there 'You may (perhaps) see them there.'

# 4.7. yikuun 'be'

*yikuun* is the imperfective form of the TAM auxiliary *kaan~ykuun* 'be'. It has already been mentioned (section 4.3.2.) that *yikuun* added to another modal marker reinforces the epistemic value of probability, eventuality, doubt, etc.

It can also precede a perfective, an imperfective (bare or *b*-form) and a participial form with a value of logical probability (inference):

- (86) walla akun-ši γliṭṭti fi š-šaari <sup>ς</sup> or be.IPFV1SG-Q mistake.PFV1SG in ART-street 'Or did I mistake the street?' (WOI:306)
- (87) in ša allaah il-²ahwaal tikuun mašy-a if wish God ART-state be.IPFV3F.SG go.ACT.PTCP-F kwayyis ma²a-ak good with-2M.SG 'I hope that everything will be OK for you.' (WOI:308)

Numerous examples with subtle semantic modal values can be found in Woidich (2006).

4.8. Grammaticalisation of nominal and prepositional constructions

In order to express the intersubjective values of necessity and obligation, in addition to *laazim* (described in section 4.5.) EA has grammaticalised certain nominal and prepositional constructions.

# 4.8.1. ha?? 'truth'

One of them is based on the noun ha?? 'truth', to which suffix pronouns are added. In the domain of modality, when preceding a finite verb, it functions as a modal auxiliary with an intersubjective value of advice:

(88) ha<sup>??</sup>-ik tiruuhi tayayyari hduum-ik truth-2F.SG go.IPFV2F.SG change.IPFV2F.SG clothe-2F.SG 'You should go and change your clothes.' (WOI:316)

# 4.8.2. <sup>s</sup>ale 'on: have'

The preposition 'ale 'on, over' when inflected with suffix pronouns functions as a pseudo-verb 'have', albeit marginally in EA.23

(89) ma-<sup>s</sup>ale-huu-š zamb NEG-on-3M.SG-NEG responsible 'He has no responsibility / he is not responsible.' (WOI:139)

Similar to what has occurred in Maltese (see section 2.4.), but apparently to a much lesser extent, this construction can also be used as an intersubjective auxiliary when preceding a verb in the imperfective. Its modal value is that of advice:

(90) *Sale-ek* tiruuh on-2M.SG go.IPFV2M.SG 'You should go.' (M&H:52)

# 4.9. Summary

From this brief survey, it can be concluded that, like in Maltese, intersubjective modalities are more commonly expressed by modal auxiliaries than epistemic ones. A number of modals are polysemous and express both intersubjective and epistemic values (laazim, mumkin). It should be noted that epistemic modalities are more often expressed by adverbial or nominal constructions, or by TAM particles like b- and ha- than by auxiliary verbs. In fact the only productive epistemic auxiliary is ykuun 'be'. Apart from 'idir' be able', it is noteworthy that most modals are either pseudo-verbs of nominal origin such as badd-, ha?'-, or participial forms, or verbal forms frozen in the  $3^{rd}$  M.SG imperfective. The grammaticalisation of a nominal base + a suffix pronoun co-referential with the subject of the periphrasis is particularly developed in EA, but not all such constructions have been grammaticalised as auxiliaries.

Table 3. Values of Egyptian and Levantine modal auxiliaries

	Modal value	Auxiliary	
INTERSUBJECTIVE	possibility	<sup>?</sup> idir, mumkin	
	capacity	<sup>?</sup> idir, <sup>s</sup> irif, mumkin	
	permission	<sup>?</sup> idir, mumkin	
	obligation	laazim	
	necessity	laazim, bədd-, <sup>s</sup> aawiz	
	ineluctability	bədd-, laazim	
	advice	ḥa <sup>??</sup> -, <sup>s</sup> ale	
	a posteriori advice		
	unachieved necessity		
EPISTEMIC	eventuality	bi-(ḥa-)yi²dar	
	feasibility	mumkin, /yimkin	
	probability	yimkin, bədd- + yikuun, laazim +	
		ḥa-/bi-	
	logical probability calculation	bədd- + yikuun, laazim + yikuun	

#### 5. Conclusion: Grammaticalisation chains of modal auxiliaries

Morphologically, four different source types for the grammaticalisation into modal auxiliaries have been identified for Maltese and the Arabic vernaculars in this survey: finite verbs, active participles, nouns, and prepositional phrases.

Semantically, they belong to the following categories: modal verbs, be they finite or non finite (capacity, possibility, necessity, volition), verbs of cognition ('know'), perception verbs ('touch'), quantitative verbs ('lack, be insufficient', 'suffice'), existentials ('be' > 'have'), locative prepositions ('at', 'on' > 'have'), and nouns ('truth', 'love').

The languages discussed here have all grammaticalised two semantic domains as modal auxiliaries (or particles): a modal verb meaning 'can', and the existential verb meaning 'be'. Three categories are shared by two or three languages, but not all four: 'know', 'want', and locative prepositions. This does not mean that the lexical items involved are all cognate forms. The other sources are language specific. One of them, the Maltese perception verb, can be directly attributed to language contact. It should be noted that the grammaticalisation of prepositions into modal auxiliaries involves an intermediary stage, that of a predicative function as the verb of possession 'have'.

Several morphological and functional changes have also been noted: (i) reduction of the verbal or participial paradigms up to invariability; (ii) whatever the morphological category of the source item, the use of suffix pronouns which are both possessive and object pronouns and have become, in the course of the grammaticalisation process, co-referential with the subject of the main verb; (iii) agglutination of prepositions to nouns or verbs.

Below is a table summing up the sources and goals involved in the grammaticalisation of modal auxiliaries and particles in Maltese and the Arabic vernaculars.

Table 4. Grammaticalisation chains of modal auxiliaries and particles

Source	Intermediate stage (if known)	Modal value as auxiliary or particle	Language	Forms
capacity verb		I.S. capacity possibility permission E. eventual probable feasible	Maltese Moroccan EA, LA Maltese Moroccan EA, LA	seta' qdər (inflected) 'idir seta' qdər (frozen) TAM + 'idir
possibility verb		I.S. capacity permission  E. possible	Moroccan EA, LA Moroccan	yəmkən (frozen)/ yəmkən + PREP + PR yimkin, mumkin (frozen), yəmkən yimkin, mumkin
		probable	EA, LA	ymikm, mumkm

30 Martine Vanhove, Catherine Miller, and Dominique Caubet

cognitive verb ('know')		I.S. capacity  E. potential Possible	Maltese EA, LA Maltese	jaf <sup>§</sup> irif jaf
volition - verb - ACT.PART	volition auxiliary	<i>I.S.</i> necessity obligation	Maltese EA, LA	ried <sup>s</sup> aawiz
- ACT.PART			EA, LA	aawiz
necessity (ACT.PART)		<i>I.S.</i> necessity obligation	EA, LA	laazim (frozen)
		E. inference near-certain	EA, LA	laazim (frozen)
verb 'suffice'		I.S. capacity possibility permission	Moroccan	qədd
verb 'lack'		I.S. obligation E.near-	Moroccan Moroccan	XƏŞŞ-PR
		certain	Wioroccan	<i>XƏŞŞ</i> -PR
verb 'touch'	calque	I.S. advice	Maltese	mess-PR
verb 'be'		E. inference eventual	Maltese	ikun
		probable inference	Moroccan EA, LA	ykuun ikuun
'be' + prep + PR	'have'	I.S. necessity obligation	Maltese	kellu, ikollu
		E. inference	Maltese	kellu, ikollu
locative prep.	'have'	<i>I.S.</i> necessity obligation	Maltese	<i>għand</i> -PR

'on'	'have'	E. inference near-certain I.S. advice	Maltese EA, LA	<i>għand</i> -PR <sup>s</sup> ale-PR
nouns 'truth' prep+'love'	volition verb + aux.	•	EA EA, LA	<i>ḥa<sup>??</sup>-</i> PR <i>bədd-</i> PR

I.S. = Intersubjective modality; E. = Epistemic modality

If we look at the whole system of intersubjective and epistemic modalities, whatever their grammatical category in the Arabic vernaculars and Maltese, it seems that there might exist some kind of correlation between the grammaticalisation strategies and the extension of the system of modal auxiliaries as a whole. The languages that tend to grammaticalise the TAM morphemes in general as verbal auxiliaries, as is the case for Maltese, have developed this strategy extensively for modals as well. Those which have already fully morphologized part of their TAM system (with preverbs which are either reduced forms of former verbs, participials, or prepositions), as are found in Moroccan, Egyptian and Levantine Arabic, tend to use other devices than auxiliaries more frequently and/or to grammaticalise them further towards adverbs, even though modal auxiliaries do exist.

It should also be mentioned that the imbalance between intersubjective and epistemic values in favour of the former at a quantitative and frequency level, could be the result of the fact that epistemic values are frequently taken in charge by the imperfective forms (bare or preverbed forms depending on the language) in all the languages concerned.

#### Acknowledgments

Special thanks are due to Jérôme Lentin for his help with Levantine Arabic and for having given us access to part of the manuscript of his coauthored dictionary with Claude Salame. Of course any error would be ours.

#### Notes

- 1. Within these national boundaries, there also exists a great variety of sub-divisions, but for the purpose of this study we use the geographical terms as convenient cover terms.
- We aimed at being exhaustive for each variety, which means that not all varieties described have the same modal auxiliaries. They vary in their semantic origin and in number.
- 3. Or the refined version of van der Auwera and Plungian (1998).
- 4. Our translation of Bouscaren and Chuquet's definition (1987: 37) of epistemic modality: "la modalité épistémique établit une relation entre l'énonciateur et le contenu propositionnel représenté par la relation prédicative".
- 5. The criterions are taken from David Cohen's approach (still unpublished, but see Simeone-Senelle and Vanhove (1997, and 2003) for a sketch of the criterions). It differs from Heine's approach (1993), but not fundamentally.
- 6. Today, tri-lingualism (Maltese, English, Italian) is the rule for most Maltese speakers, as well as code-switching with English (see Camilleri 1995). A millennium of linguistic contact with Sicilian and Italian led to a radical change in the language, as compared with Arabic vernaculars, at all linguistic levels: phonetic, phonological, morphological, syntactic, and lexical (see Brincat 2004).
- 7. This root has been reinterpreted in Maltese as \*ST<sup>c</sup>, and the verb *seta*' is morphologically a base form.
- 8. The Maltese examples are given in the official orthography, which does not note vowel length, nor, apart from the article, the morphological boundaries, materialized by a dash in the glosses. In order to facilitate the reading of examples and glosses an = sign has been added to the Maltese orthography to materialized suffix and clitic boundaries.
- 9. The root consonants <sup>c</sup> and R have been lost. They surface again at the derived forms
- 10. In the 50 hour-recording, the dozen novels, and the newspapers surveyed in Vanhove (1993), only three occurrences of *jaf* as a modal auxiliary were found.
- 11. There is also another volition verb: *xtieq* 'desire, want'.
- 12. See footnote 5.
- 13. Colloquial Italian (Venetian, Lombardian, Sicilian, Corsican...) as well as literary Italian (see e.g. Boch's Italian-French, French-Italian dictionary under *toccare*) have grammaticalised *toccare* 'touch' and its cognates as modal auxiliaries expressing necessity, obligation. No such modal use of a verb meaning 'touch' is recorded in Arabic vernaculars.
- 14. In table 1, *kellu* is listed as rare in the line concerning a posteriori advice. As a matter of fact, there are only two written examples in the data, both taken from

the same novel. They were considered to belong to a very high register by native speakers and were said not to occur in spoken discourse.

- 15. See Iraqi-Sinaceur (1993: 1539).
- 16. For a detailed analysis see Bouscaren and Chuquet (1987: 48 and 68).
- 17. WOI = Woidich, M&H = Mitchell & El-Hassan, LEN = Lentin, S&L= Salame
- 18. 'idiidir of the contemporary vernaculars is the reflex of Middle Levantine Arabic qadar.
- 19. It may be noted, however, that the most frequent value of the auxiliary badd-/bidd- is that of imminent future (see Lentin 1997, Salame and Lentin forthcoming, as well as Mitchell and El-Hassan 1994).
- 20. It should be noted that the grammaticalisation of nominal phrases into modal auxiliaries meaning 'want' or 'intend' is also recorded in other constructions in EA. All are agglutinations of a nominal base + a suffix object pronoun: <sup>?</sup>aṣd-'intention', yaraq- 'desire' and nifs- 'self, mind' (see Woidich 2006: 318).
- 21. Many other constructions express the deontic values of necessity and obligation such as the nominals daruuri 'necessary', il-mafruud 'the duty', or the negative compound form laa-budd 'no way out', etc. None of them has been grammaticalised as an auxiliary.
- 22. The subject of the periphrasis can be inserted between the particle and the main verb, but this is not a categorical criteria for adverbs, and the same can occur with auxiliaries.
- 23. More commonly used are the constructions with the prepositions  $ma^{\varsigma}$  'with', 'and 'at', li 'at', wayya 'with', all inflected with suffix pronouns.

# References

Aquilina, Joseph

1987 Maltese-English Dictionary. vol. 1. Malta: Midsea Books.

Bouscaren, Janine, and Jean Chuquet

1987 Grammaire et Textes Anglais. Guide pour l'Analyse Linguistique. Gap, Paris: Ophrys.

Boch, Raoul (con la collaborazione di Carla Salvioni)

Dizionario Francese-Italiano, Italiano-Francese (quarta edizione). 2007 Bologna: Zanichelli.

Brincat, Joseph M.

2004 Malta. Una storia linguistica. Genova: Le Mani.

Bybee, Joan, and Suzanne Fleischman (eds.)

1995 Modality in Grammar and Discourse. Amsterdam: Benjamins. Camilleri, Antoinette

1995 *Bilingualism in Education. The Maltese Experience.* Heidelberg: Julius Groos Verlag.

#### Caubet, Dominique

1993 *L'arabe Marocain.* Tome II. Louvain : Peeters.

La conjugaison préfixale nue en arabe marocain: une forme en attente de repérage. *Quaderni di Studi Arabi* 11: 83-105.

#### Heine, Bernd

1993 Auxiliaries. Cognitive Forces and Grammaticalisation. New York/Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Iraqi Sinaceur, Zakia (ed.)

1993 *Le Dictionnaire COLIN d'Arabe Dialectal Marocain* (8 volumes). Rabat: Editions Al Manahil.

#### Lentin, Jérôme

1997 Recherche sur l'Histoire de la Langue Arabe au Proche Orient à l'Epoque Moderne. Lille: Service de publication des thèses.

Mitchell, Terrence F., and Shahir El-Hasan

1994 Modality, Mood and Aspect in Spoken Arabic (with Special Reference to Egypt and the Levant). London/New York: Kegan Paul International.

# Simeone-Senelle, Marie-Claude, and Martine Vanhove

1997 La formation et l'évolution d'auxiliaires et particules verbales dans des langues sémitiques: les langues sudarabiques modernes et le maltais. In *Mémoires de la Société de Linguistique de Paris.*Grammaticalisation et Reconstruction, 85-102. Paris: Klincksieck.

2003 Le fonctionnement d'auxiliaires en afar. In Mélanges David Cohen. Etudes sur le Langage, les Langues, les Dialectes, les Littératures, Offertes par ses Élèves, ses Collègues, ses Amis. Présentés à l'Occasion de son Quatre-Vingtième Anniversaire, Jérôme Lentin, and Antoine Lonnet (eds.), 615-34. Paris: Maisonneuve et Larose.

#### Salame, Claude, and Jerôme Lentin

forth. *Dictionnaire d'Arabe Dialectal Syrien (Parler de Damas)*. Damascus: Institut Français du Proche Orient (IFPO).

van der Auwera, Johan, and Vladimir A. Plungian

1998 Modality's semantic map. *Linguistic Typology* 2: 79-124.

#### Vanhove, Martine

1993 La langue maltaise. Etudes syntaxiques d'un dialecte arabe "périphérique". Wiesbaden, Otto Harrassowitz.

The Island of Malta, a Crossroad for Influences? The Case of Auxiliary Verbs. In Languages of the Mediterranean. Substrata. The Islands. Malta. Proceedings of the Conference held in Malta, 26-29 September 1991, Joseph M. Brincat (ed.), 286-292. Malta: University of Malta.

- 2000 Le maltais et les interférences linguistiques. In Language in the Mediterranean Area. Typology and Convergence. Il Progetto MEDTYP: Studio dell'area linguistica mediterranea, Sonia Cristofaro, and Ignazio Putzu (eds.), 187-199. Milano: FrancoAngeli.
- 2001 Contacts de langues et complexification des systèmes: le cas du maltais. Faits de Langues. Langues de diaspora. Langues en contact 18: 65-74.

# Woidich, Manfred

Das Kairenisch-Arabische. Eine Grammatik. Wiesbaden: Otto 2006 Harrassowitz.