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#### Abstract

We establish some existence results for the modified binormal curvature flow equation from $\left(\mathbb{R}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbb{T}^{l}\right)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ where the velocity of the curve depends not only on the binormal vector but the parametrization of the curve, the time and the position of the point in the space. We achieve our objective via the Schrödinger map equation. A Local well-posedness result is proved for the Schrödinger map equation in the space $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, H_{\text {loc }}^{3}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.


[^0]
## 1 Introduction

The modified binormal curvature flow equation for $\gamma:\left[0, T\left[\times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}\right.\right.$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \gamma=g\left(\partial_{x} \gamma \wedge \partial_{x}^{2} \gamma\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*} \cup\{+\infty\}, x$ is the arc-length parameter of the curve $\gamma(t,$.$) for all t \in[0, T[$ and $g$ is a real function.
The first goal of this article will be to consider the case where $g=g(t, x)$ and to prove the existence of solution $\gamma \in L^{\infty}\left(\left[0, T\left[, H_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right.\right.$. Then, we prove a well-posedness result in more regular space $\left(\gamma \in L^{\infty}\left(\left[0, T\left[, H_{l o c}^{4}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right)\right.\right.$ via the Schrödinger map equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=\partial_{x}\left(u \wedge g \partial_{x} u\right)=u \wedge \Delta_{g}(u) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{g}(u) \equiv \partial_{x}\left(g(x) \partial_{x} u\right)$ and $u \equiv \partial_{x} \gamma$.
Finally, we consider the case where $g=g(t, x, \gamma)$ and we prove a local existence result of solution $\gamma \in L^{\infty}\left(\left[0, T_{1}\left[, H_{l o c}^{3}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right.\right.$, with $T_{1}>0$ depending on $\gamma_{0} \equiv \gamma(0,$.$) and g$. The transition from results for (1.2) to results for (1.1) occurs by Lemma 1.7.

Theorem 1.1 Let $u_{0}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^{2}$ be such that $\frac{d u_{0}}{d x} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), T>0$ and let $g \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ be such there exists $\alpha>0$ with $g \geq \alpha$. Then the equation (1.2) has a solution $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, S^{2}\right)\right)$ with $u(0,)=.u_{0}$. Moreover, if $g=g(x)$, then $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, H_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, S^{2}\right)\right)$

Theorem 1.2 Let $l>0$ and $T>0$. We denote $\mathbb{T}^{l} \simeq \mathbb{R} / l \mathbb{Z}$. Let $u_{0}: \mathbb{T}^{l} \rightarrow S^{2}$, and let $g \in$ $W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{l}\right)\right)$ such that there exists $\alpha>0$ with $g \geq \alpha$. Then the equation (1.2) has a solution $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{l}, S^{2}\right)\right)$ with $u(0,)=.u_{0}$. Moreover, if $g=g(x)$, then $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, H_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{l}, S^{2}\right)\right)$.

Theorem 1.3 Let $u_{0}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^{2}$ be such that $\frac{\text { duo }}{d x}$ belongs to $H^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $g \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, W^{3, \infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Assume that there exists $\alpha>0$ with $g \geq \alpha$. Then there exists $T_{1}=T_{1}\left(g, u_{0}\right)>0$ such that equation (1.2) has a unique solution $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, H_{l o c}^{3}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ with $u(0,)=.u_{0}$.

The uniqueness is deduced from the following quantitative theorem
Theorem 1.4 Let $T>0$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function verifying the conditions of Theorem 1.3. Let $u$ and $\tilde{u}$ be two solutions for (1.2) with initial datum $u_{0}, \tilde{u}_{0}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^{2}$ respectively. Assume that $\partial_{x} u, \partial_{x} \tilde{u}$ belong to $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. There exists two positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ depending on $g, T$ and the $H^{2}$ norm of $\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{0}}{\partial x}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|u(t, .)-\tilde{u}(t, .)\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{1}\left\|u_{0}-\tilde{u}_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\|u(t, .)-\tilde{u}(t, .)\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{2}\left\|u_{0}-\tilde{u}_{0}\right\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R})}
\end{aligned}
$$

for almost every $t \in] 0, T[$.
In what concerns the case $g=g(t, x, \gamma)$, we have
Theorem 1.5 Assume that $g=g(t, x, \gamma)$ and let $g \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, W^{2, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}\right)\right.$. We further assume that there exists $\alpha>0$ with $g \geq \alpha$. Let $\gamma_{0}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$, be such that $\frac{d^{2} \gamma_{0}}{d x^{2}} \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. There exists $T_{1}=T_{1}\left(g, \gamma_{0}\right)$ such that equation (1.1) has a solution $\gamma \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, H_{l o c}^{3}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ with $\gamma(0,)=.\gamma_{0}$.

Equation (1.1) (with $g \equiv 1$ ) forms a model of the motion of a very thin vortex with radius $\epsilon$ and arc-length parameter $x$ in an incompressible fluid by its own induction. The original equation for this model is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \gamma=G \kappa B \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is the curvature of $\gamma, B$ is the binormal vector of the Frenet-Serret formula

$$
\partial_{x}\left(\begin{array}{l}
T  \tag{1.4}\\
N \\
B
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \kappa & 0 \\
-\kappa & 0 & \tau \\
0 & -\tau & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
T \\
N \\
B
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
G=\frac{\Gamma}{4 \pi}\left(\log \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)+O(1)\right)
$$

is the coefficient of local induction which is proportional to the circulation $\Gamma$ of the vortex and may be regarded as constant if we neglect the slow variation of the logarithm with respect to $\epsilon^{-1}$. In this approximation, the local motion is approximated by that of a very thin circular ring with the same curvature and the tangential motion due to stretching is neglected. This model is called Localized Induction Approximation (LIA). It was developed in 1965 by Arms and Hama [1]. More analysis concerning the limitation of this model was realized in $[3,6]$.


Figure 1: Approximation (LIA).
Our aim in this paper is to prove some existence results for Cauchy problem associated to some generalization of (1.1). Namely, in the formula (1.1) the velocity is proportional to the curvature with identical coefficient in every point of the curve. In our case, we assume that this coefficient can be depending on the time $t$, the arc-length parameter $x$ and eventually on the position of the point in the space $\gamma(t, x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \gamma=g \kappa B, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(g=g(t, x, \gamma(t, x)))$. Since we have $\partial_{x} \gamma=T$ and $B=N \wedge T$, (1.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \gamma=g \partial_{x} \gamma \wedge \partial_{x}^{2} \gamma \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.6) (with $g \equiv 1$ ) was presented in 1906 by Da Rios [5]. We denote $u=\partial_{x} \gamma$, then by deriving (1.6) with respect to $x$, we obtain at least formally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=u \wedge \partial_{x}\left(g \partial_{x} u\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $g=g(t, x)$ does not depend on $\gamma$, we use the last formula together with Lemme 1.7 in the next part to study the Cauchy problem of (1.6). The case $g \equiv 1$ belongs to the Schrödinger map equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=u \wedge \partial_{x}^{2} u \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose Cauchy problem was first studied by Zhou and Guo [4] in 1984 when $u(t,$.$) is defined on an$ interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ into $S^{2}=\left\{v \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right.$ s. t. $\left.|v|=1\right\}$, and by Sulem, Sulem and Bardos [2] in 1986 when $u(t,$.$) is defined on \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$ into $S^{2}$. They proved that (1.8) has a weak solution in $L^{\infty}\left(H_{l o c}^{1}\right)$. Namely,

Theorem 1.6 Let $u_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow S^{2}$ to be such that $\nabla u_{0} \in\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)^{N}$. Then there exists a weak solution $u: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow S^{2}$ for (1.8) such that $\nabla_{x} u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $u(0,)=.u_{0}$.

### 1.1 Reconstruction of flow $\gamma$

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$be an interval containing 0 , and let $u \in L^{\infty}\left(I, H_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ be a solution for (1.2). We define the function $\Gamma_{u} \in L^{\infty}\left(I, H_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{u}(t, x)=\int_{0}^{x} u(t, z) d z \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have, In the sense of distributions on $I \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}\left(\partial_{t} \Gamma_{u}-g \partial_{x} \Gamma_{u} \wedge \partial_{x}^{2} \Gamma_{u}\right)=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction, the curves $\Gamma_{u}(t,$.$) all have the same base point \Gamma_{u}(t, 0)$ fixed at the origin. If they were smooth, equation (1.10) would directly imply the existence of a function $c_{u}=c_{u}(t)$ such that the function

$$
\gamma_{u}(t, x)=\Gamma_{u}(t, x)+c_{u}(t)
$$

is a solution for (1.1) (with $g=g(t, x)$ ). In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{u}(t) & =\gamma_{u}(t, x)-\Gamma_{u}(t, x) \\
& =\gamma_{u}(0, x)+\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau, x) u(\tau, x) \wedge \partial_{x} u(\tau, x) d \tau-\int_{0}^{x} u(t, z) d z \\
& =\gamma_{u}(0,0)+\int_{0}^{x}(u(0, z)-u(t, z)) d z+\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau, x) u(\tau, x) \wedge \partial_{x} u(\tau, x) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, the function $c_{u}$ represents the evolution in time of the actual base point of the curves.
The relation between the modified binormal curvature flow equation and the Schrödinger map equation is specified in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.7 Let $\omega \in L^{\infty}\left(I, H_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, S^{1}\right)\right)$ be a solution for (1.2) such that $\partial_{x} \omega \in L^{\infty}\left(I, L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, S^{1}\right)\right)$. Let $\Gamma_{\omega}$ be defined by (1.9). Then there exists a unique continuous function $c_{\omega}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ satisfying $c_{\omega}(0)=0$ such that the function $\gamma_{\omega} \in L^{\infty}\left(I, H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$ defined by

$$
\gamma_{\omega}(t, x)=\Gamma_{\omega}(t, x)+c_{\omega}(t)
$$

is a solution for equation (1.1) on $I \times \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. We define $a \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ by

$$
a(t, x)=\int_{0}^{x}(\omega(0, z)-\omega(t, z)) d z+\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau, x) \omega(\tau, x) \wedge \partial_{x} \omega(\tau, x) d \tau
$$

Let $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(z) d z=1$. We set

$$
c_{\omega}(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(z) a(t, z) d z
$$

By construction, we have $c_{\omega}(0)=0$, and since $\omega \in W^{1, \infty}\left(I, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, we have $c_{\omega} \in \mathcal{C}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{x}\left(\partial_{t} a\right) & =\partial_{t} \partial_{x} \Gamma_{\omega}-\partial_{x}\left(g \omega \wedge \partial_{x} \omega\right) \\
& =\partial_{t} \omega-\omega \wedge \Delta_{g} \omega \\
& =0 \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\omega$ is a solution to (1.2). Since $\partial_{t} a(t, x)$ does not depend on $x$, we have for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{I} c_{\omega}(t) \cdot \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t & =\int_{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(z) a(t, z) \cdot \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t d z \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(z) \int_{I} \partial_{t} a(t, z) \cdot \varphi(t) d t d z \\
& =-\int_{I} \partial_{t} a(t, z) \cdot \varphi(t) d t \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Relation (1.12) means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\omega}^{\prime}=\partial_{t} a=-\partial_{t} \Gamma+g \omega \wedge \partial_{x} \omega \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show now that the function $\gamma_{\omega}$, defined on $I \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\gamma_{\omega}(t, x)=\Gamma_{\omega}(t, x)+c_{\omega}(t)
$$

is a solution to (1.1) on $I \times \mathbb{R}$. For this aim, assume that $\psi \in \mathcal{D}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and

$$
\varphi(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t, z) d z \in \mathcal{D}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

Using (1.13), we finally find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\partial_{t} \gamma_{\omega}-g \partial_{x} \gamma_{\omega} \wedge \partial_{x}^{2} \gamma_{\omega}, \psi\right\rangle_{I \times \mathbb{R}} & =\left\langle\partial_{t} \Gamma_{\omega}-g \omega \wedge \partial_{x} \omega, \psi\right\rangle_{I \times \mathbb{R}}+\left\langle c_{\omega}, \psi\right\rangle_{I \times \mathbb{R}} \\
& =-\left\langle\partial_{t} a, \varphi\right\rangle_{I}+\left\langle c_{\omega}^{\prime}, \varphi\right\rangle_{I} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle,\rangle_{I \times \mathbb{R}}$ is the duality pairing between $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $\mathcal{D}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, and $\langle,\rangle_{I}$ is that between $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $\mathcal{D}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. This proves the existence of $c_{\omega}$. Since $c_{\omega}$ is required to be continuous with $c_{\omega}(0)=0$ and since its distributional derivative $c_{\omega}^{\prime}=\partial_{t} a$, its uniqueness follows.

### 1.2 Approximation by discretization of the Schrödinger map equation

We present here the strategy of proof of theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3. We discretise, in space, the continuous system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u=\partial_{x}\left(u \wedge g \partial_{x} u\right)=u \wedge \partial_{x}\left(g \partial_{x} u\right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{1.14}\\
u(0, .)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in the following sense:
For some $h>0$, we consider the sequence $u_{h} \equiv\left\{u_{h}\left(t, x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying the semi-discrete system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}=D^{+}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)=u_{h} \wedge D^{+}\left(g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right), \quad t \geq 0  \tag{1.15}\\
u_{h}\left(0, x_{i}\right)=u_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, is a uniform subdivision of $\mathbb{R}$ with step $h, g_{h} \equiv\left\{g\left(t, x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and $D^{+}, D^{-}$are two operators approximating the derivative operator $\partial_{x}$. The sequence $\left\{u_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is constructed such that it converges to $u_{0}$ in certain sense (for example: since $u_{0} \in H_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, we can choose $u_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)=$ $\left.u_{0}\left(x_{i}\right) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$. We solve the problem (1.15) in some space discretising the space $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, H_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ where our research for solving the continuous problem (1.14) takes a place. Then, we prove the boundedness properties for discrete derivatives $\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right.$ in the case of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 ; and $D^{-} D^{+} u_{h}, D^{+} D^{-} D^{+} u_{h}$ in the case of Theorem 1.3) which allows us, using the compactness properties
in spaces $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $H_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, to extract a subsequence $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}{ }^{1}$ converging to a solution of (1.14). The proof of Theorem 1.4 is standard. It consists of considering two solutions $u$ and $\tilde{u}$ with initial datum $u_{0}$ and $\tilde{u}_{0}$ respectively and then proving Grönwall-type inequalities for $\|u-\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1}}$ and $\|u-\tilde{u}\|_{H^{2}}$. For Theorem 1.5, we follow the same strategy followed in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

In what follows, we define the elements of the discrete problem (1.15). Then, we prove some convergence properties before we skip to the proofs of previous theorems.

Definition 1.8 Let $h>0$. Let

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{h}=\left\{x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x_{i+1}-x_{i}=h \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

We define the two spaces $L_{h}^{2}$ and $L_{h}^{\infty}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{h}^{2}=\left\{v_{h}=\left\{v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{h}}, \quad \sum_{i}\left|v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}<+\infty\right\}, \\
& L_{h}^{\infty}=\left\{v_{h}=\left\{v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{h}}, \quad \sup _{i}\left|v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|<+\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the scalar product $(,)_{h}$ on $L_{h}^{2}$ by

$$
\left(u_{h}, v_{h}\right)_{h}=h \sum_{i} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad u_{h}, v_{h} \in L_{h}^{2}
$$

Its associated norm $|\cdot|_{h}$ is defined by

$$
\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}=h \sum_{i}\left|v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

Let $l>0, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h=\frac{l}{N}$. We define the space of $N$-periodic sequences

$$
P_{l, N}=\left\{v_{h} \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{h}}, \quad v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)=v_{h}\left(x_{i+N}\right), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
$$

We define the scalar product $(,)_{l, N}$ by

$$
\left(u_{h}, v_{h}\right)_{l, N}=h \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) .
$$

Its associated norm $|\cdot|_{l, N}$ is defined by

$$
\left|v_{h}\right|_{l, N}^{2}=h \sum_{i=1}^{i=N}\left|v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} .
$$

Let $v_{h} \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{h}}$. We define the left and the right approximations of the derivatives in $x_{i}$ by the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D^{-} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)-v_{h}\left(x_{i-1}\right)}{h} \\
D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{v_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)-v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)}{h}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is clear that for two sequences $u_{h}=\left\{u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$ and $v_{h}=\left\{v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$ we have

$$
D^{ \pm}\left(u_{h} v_{h}\right)=\tau^{ \pm} u_{h} D^{ \pm} v_{h}+D^{ \pm} u_{h} v_{h}
$$

with

$$
\tau^{ \pm} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)=u_{h}\left(x_{i \pm 1}\right)
$$

[^1]The two spaces $L_{h}^{2}$ and $P_{l, N}$ verify the following property
Lemma 1.9 1) If $v_{h} \in L_{h}^{2}$, then we have $D^{+} v_{h} \in L_{h}^{2}$, and

$$
\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{h} \leq \frac{2}{h}\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}
$$

2) If $v_{h} \in P_{l, N}$, then we have also

$$
\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{l, N} \leq \frac{2}{h}\left|v_{h}\right|_{l, N}, \quad h=\frac{l}{N} .
$$

Proof. It follows directly from the inequality

$$
\left|D_{h}^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{h^{2}}\left(\left|v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|v_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2}\right) .
$$

Definition 1.10 We define the norm

$$
\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2}=\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}, \quad v_{h} \in L_{h}^{2},
$$

and the space

$$
H_{h}^{-1}=\left\{v_{h} \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{h}}, \quad \sup _{u_{h} \in L_{h}^{2}} \frac{\left\langle v_{h}, u_{h}\right\rangle_{h}}{\left|u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}}<+\infty\right\} .
$$

Its clear that $L_{h}^{2} \subset H_{h}^{-1}$ and the function $v_{h} \mapsto\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{-1}} \equiv \sup _{u_{h} \in L_{h}^{2}} \frac{\left\langle v_{h}, u_{h}\right\rangle_{h}}{\left|u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}}$ define a norm on $H_{h}^{-1}$. Similarly, we define the norms

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{l, N}^{1}}^{2}=\left|v_{h}\right|_{l, N}^{2}+\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{l, N}^{2} \\
\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{l, N}^{-1}}=\sup _{u_{h} \in P_{l, N}} \frac{\left\langle v_{h}, u_{h}\right\rangle_{l, N}}{\left|u_{h}\right|_{H_{l, N}}^{1}}, \quad v_{h} \in P_{l, N} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The two norms $|\cdot|_{H_{h}^{-1}}$ and $|\cdot|_{H_{l, N}^{-1}}$ are the dual norms of $|\cdot|_{H_{h}^{1}}$ and $|\cdot|_{H_{l, N}^{1}}$ with respect to scalar product $\langle,\rangle_{h}$ et $\langle,\rangle_{l, N}$ respectively.

Lemma 1.11 For each $\left(v_{h}, u_{h}\right) \in L_{h}^{\infty} \times \in L_{h}^{2}$, we have (discrete integration by parts formula)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot D^{+} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)=-\sum_{i} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot D^{-} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for all $v_{h}, u_{h} \in P_{l, N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot D^{+} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot D^{-} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $v_{h} \in L_{h}^{\infty}, u_{h} \in L_{h}^{2}$ and $K \in \mathbb{N}$. We develop the sum $\sum_{i=-K}^{K} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot D^{+} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and we make a change in index, then (1.16) holds by using the property $\lim _{|i| \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=0$ and the assemption $\left(v_{h} \in L_{h}^{\infty}\right)$. In the second case, we simply develop the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot D^{+} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and make a change in index, then we use the periodicity of $v_{h}$ and $u_{h}$.

Definition 1.12 Let $h>0$. We set $C_{i}=\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\left[, i \in \mathbb{Z}\right.\right.$. Let $P_{h}$ and $Q_{h}$ be the two interpolation operators defined, for all $v_{h}=\left\{v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{h}}$, by the functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{h} v_{h}: \quad \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad x \mapsto Q_{h} v_{h}(x)=v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad \forall x \in C_{i}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \\
P_{h} v_{h}: \quad \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad x \mapsto P_{h} v_{h}(x)=v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right), \quad \forall x \in C_{i}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{gathered}
$$

In all that follows we keep the notation of this definition. We have the following important lemma
Lemma 1.13 1) Let $\left\{v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ be a sequence satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{h} \in H_{h}^{-1}, \quad \forall h>0, \\
\exists C>0, \quad\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{-1}}<C
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{P_{h} v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ is bounded in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$.
2) Let $l>0$ and $\left\{v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ be a sequence satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h=\frac{l}{N}, \\
v_{h} \in P_{l, N}, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \\
\exists C>0, \quad\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{l, N}^{-1}}<C, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{P_{h} v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ is bounded in $H^{-1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{l}\right)$.
Proof. 1) We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|P_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} & =\sup _{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}, \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}}{\|\varphi\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}} \\
& \leq \sup _{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}, P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}}{\|\varphi\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}}+\sup _{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}, \varphi-P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}}{\|\varphi\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}} \tag{1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\varphi_{h}=\left\{\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$. Since

$$
\left\|\varphi-P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq h\left\|\left(\varphi-P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \quad \text { (Poincaré) }
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} & =\left\|P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\varphi-P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right) \cdot\left(\varphi-P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right) d x+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right)^{\prime} \cdot\left(\varphi-P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right)^{\prime} d x \\
& \geq\left\|P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\varphi-P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}-2 h\left\|P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\left\|\left(\varphi-P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists $h_{0}>0$ such that for all $h<h_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} & \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\varphi-P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \max \left(\left\|P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2},\left\|\varphi-P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain by substituting in (1.18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \sup _{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}, P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\|P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}}+\sqrt{2} h\left\|P_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} & =\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left|\frac{x_{i}-x}{h} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)+\frac{x-x_{i}}{h} \varphi\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\sum_{i} h\left|\frac{\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)-\varphi\left(x_{i+1}\right)}{h}\right|^{2} d x \\
& =\sum_{i} \frac{h}{3}\left(\left|\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\varphi\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2}+\varphi\left(x_{i+1}\right) \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)\right)+\left|D^{+} \varphi_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \\
& \geq \sum_{i} \frac{h}{6}\left(\left|\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\varphi\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2}\right)+\left|D^{+} \varphi_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \geq \frac{1}{3}\left|\varphi_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|D^{+} \varphi_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \geq \frac{1}{3}\left|\varphi_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have on the one hand

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}, P_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} & =\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)+D^{+} \varphi_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right)\right) d x \\
& =\left(v_{h}, \varphi_{h}\right)_{h}+\frac{h}{2}\left(v_{h}, D^{+} \varphi_{h}\right)_{h}+\frac{h}{2}\left(D^{+} v_{h}, \varphi_{h}\right)_{h}+\frac{h^{2}}{3}\left(D^{+} v_{h}, D^{+} \varphi_{h}\right)_{h} \\
& =\left(v_{h}, \varphi_{h}\right)_{h}+\frac{h}{2}\left(v_{h}, D^{+} \varphi_{h}\right)_{h}-\frac{h}{2}\left(v_{h}, D^{-} \varphi_{h}\right)_{h}+\frac{h^{2}}{3}\left(D^{+} v_{h}, D^{+} \varphi_{h}\right)_{h} \\
& \leq\left(v_{h}, \varphi_{h}\right)_{h}+h\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}\left|D^{+} \varphi_{h}\right|_{h}+\frac{h^{2}}{3}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{h}\left|D^{+} \varphi_{h}\right|_{h}, \tag{1.21}
\end{align*}
$$

and on the other hand

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|P_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} & =\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left|v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(\left|v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}\right) d x \\
& =2\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\frac{2 h^{2}}{3}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Then by combining (1.19), (1.20), (1.21) and (1.22) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} & \leq \sup _{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{\left(v_{h}, \varphi_{h}\right)_{h}+h\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}\left|D^{+} \varphi_{h}\right|_{h}+\frac{h^{2}}{3}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{h}\left|D^{+} \varphi_{h}\right|_{h}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left|\varphi_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}}+2 h\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}+\frac{2 h^{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{h} \\
& \leq \sqrt{6}\left(\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{-1}}+h\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}+\frac{h^{2}}{3}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{h}\right)+2 h\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}+\frac{2 h^{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{h} \\
& \leq \sqrt{6}\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{-1}}+(\sqrt{6}+2) h\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}+2\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right) h\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \\
& \leq \sqrt{6}\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{-1}}+\left(\sqrt{6}+2+2 \frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\right) h\left|v_{h}\right|_{h} \\
& \leq C\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{-1}} & =\sup _{u_{h}} \frac{\left(v_{h}, u_{h}\right)_{h}}{\left|u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}} \\
& \geq \sup _{u_{h}} \frac{\left(v_{h}, u_{h}\right)_{h}}{\left[\left|u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\frac{4}{h^{2}}\left|u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{h}{\sqrt{h^{2}+4}} \sup _{u_{h}} \frac{\left(v_{h}, u_{h}\right)_{h}}{\left|u_{h}\right|_{h}} \\
& \geq \frac{h}{\sqrt{h_{0}^{2}+4}}\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}, \quad \forall h \geq h_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of 2) is similar to that of 1 ).
The following lemma shows that the space $L_{h}^{2}$, equipped with the norm $|\cdot|_{H_{h}^{1}}$, is continuously embedded in $L_{h}^{\infty}$.

Lemma 1.14 There exist two constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that for all $h>0$ and $v_{h} \in L_{h}^{2}$ we have

$$
C_{2}\left|v_{h}\right|_{h} \leq\left\|P_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{1}\left|v_{h}\right|_{h} .
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+D^{+} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} d x & =h\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) D^{+} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{3} h^{3}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{5}{6} h\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{6} h u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) D^{+} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{3} h\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and
$\frac{3}{4}\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{5}{6}\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{6} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) D^{+} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{11}{12}\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{5}{12}\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2}$,
we have

$$
\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \leq\left\|P_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq \frac{4}{3}\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} .
$$

Corollary 1.15 If $v_{h} \in L_{h}^{2} \subset L_{h}^{\infty}$, then $P_{h} v_{h} \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and there exists $C>0$ (which does not depend on $h$ ) such that

$$
\left|v_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}} \leq C\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}} .
$$

Proof. Since $\frac{d P_{h} v_{h}}{d x}=Q_{h} D^{+} v_{h} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $P_{h} v_{h} \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ (Lemma 1.9). On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} & =\sup _{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup _{x \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}[ \right.}\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+D^{+} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right)\right| \\
& =\sup _{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \max \left(\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|,\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|\right) \\
& =\left|v_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The space $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is continuously embedded in the space $H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ (Sobolev) and there exists $\tilde{C}>0$ such that

$$
\left.\left\|\left.v\right|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \tilde{C}\right\| v\right|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \forall v \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|v_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2} & =\left\|P_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \\
& \leq \tilde{C}^{2}\left\|P_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \\
& \leq \tilde{C}^{2}\left(C_{1}^{2}\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left\|Q_{h} D^{+} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq C^{2}\left|v_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2 Proofs of principal theorems

Let us first show some important properties.

### 2.1 Convergence properties

Lemma 2.1 1) Let $\left\{v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ be a sequence satisfying

$$
v_{h} \in L_{h}^{2}, \quad \forall h,
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists C>0, \quad\left|v_{h}\right|_{h} \leq C \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges weakly to zero in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
2) Let $l>0$ and $\left\{v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ be a sequence satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h=\frac{l}{N}, \\
v_{h} \in P_{l, N}, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists C>0, \quad\left|v_{h}\right|_{l, N} \leq C \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left\{P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges weakly to zero in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{l}\right)$. Moreover, if $\left\{Q_{h} v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $v$ in $L^{2}$ $\left(L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right.$ or $\left.L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{l}\right)\right)$, then $\left\{P_{h} v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to the same limit in $L^{2}$.

Proof. 1) We write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} & =\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{3} h^{3} \sum_{i}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{3} h^{2}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{4}{3}\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{4}{3} C^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}, \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\right| \leq & \left|\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}, Q_{h} \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\right| \\
& +\left\|P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\left\|\varphi-Q_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left.\varphi_{h}=\left\{\varphi_{( } x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$. We have on the one hand

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varphi-Q_{h} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} & =\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left|\varphi(x)-\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& =\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left|\int_{x_{i}}^{x} \varphi^{\prime}(s) d s\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(\int_{x_{i}}^{x}\left|\varphi^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{h^{2}}{2} \sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x}\left|\varphi^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \\
& =\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}, Q_{h} \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\right| & =\frac{h}{2}\left|\left\langle D^{+} v_{h}, \varphi_{h}\right\rangle_{h}\right| \\
& =\frac{h}{2}\left|\left\langle v_{h}, D^{-} \varphi_{h}\right\rangle_{h}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{h}{2}\left|v_{h}\right| h\left|D^{-} \varphi_{h}\right|_{h} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} C\left[h \sum_{i}\left|\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} \varphi^{\prime}(s) d s\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} C h\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then combining (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$
\left|\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}, \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\right| \leq\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}+\frac{1}{2}\right) C\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} h
$$

Thus the proof of 1 ) is completed. The proof of 2) is similar to that of 1). To prove the strong convergence property, let $v \in L^{2}$, then it suffices to note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & =\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{3} h^{3} \sum_{i}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left\|\tau_{-h} Q_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\tau_{h} w=w(\cdot-h)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tau_{-h} Q_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}} & \leq\left\|\tau_{-h} Q_{h} v_{h}-v\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|Q_{h} v_{h}-v\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|\tau_{h} v-v\right\|_{L^{2}}+2\left\|Q_{h} v_{h}-v\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the convergence $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\tau_{h} v-v\right\|_{L^{2}}=0$ completes the proof.

Lemma 2.2 1) Let $v \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\left\{v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ be a sequence such that the sequence $\left\{Q_{h} v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $v$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ weak star. Then the sequence $\left\{P_{h} v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $v$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ weak star.
2) Let $l>0, v^{l} \in H^{-1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{l}\right)$ and $\left\{v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ be a sequence satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h=\frac{l}{N}, \\
v_{h} \in l_{N}, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \\
Q_{h} v_{h} \rightarrow v^{l} \quad \text { in } \quad H^{-1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{l}\right) \quad \text { weak star. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left\{P_{h}^{l} v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $v^{l}$ in $H^{-1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{l}\right)$ weak star.
Proof. 1) First, we prove that $P_{h} v_{h} \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}), \forall h$. To this end, we first write

$$
P_{h} v_{h}=Q_{h} v_{h}+\left(P_{h}-Q_{h}\right) v_{h} .
$$

Then it suffices to prove that $\left(P_{h}-Q_{h}\right) v_{h} \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}), \forall h$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\varphi_{h}=\left\{\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}, \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\right| & \leq\left|\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}, Q_{h} \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\right|+\left|\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}-Q_{h} v_{h}, \varphi-Q_{h} \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{h}{2}\left|\left(D^{+} v_{h}, \varphi_{h}\right)_{h}\right|+\left|\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot \int_{x_{i}}^{x} \varphi^{\prime}(s) d s\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right) d x\right| \\
& \left.\leq \frac{h}{2}\left|\left(v_{h}, D^{-} \varphi_{h}\right)_{h}\right|+\left.\left|h^{2} \sqrt{h} \sum_{i}\right| D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left|\cdot \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\right| \varphi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{h}{2}\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}\left|D^{-} \varphi_{h}\right|_{h}+h^{2}\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{h}\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \leq \frac{h}{2}\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}+2 h\left|v_{h}\right| h\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \leq \frac{5}{2} h\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sequence $\left\{h\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}\right\}_{h}$ is bounded. Indeed, the sequence $\left\{Q_{h} v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $v$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ weak star. Then there exists $C>0$ such that $\left\|Q_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C$ for all $h$, hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\langle Q_{h} v_{h}, R_{h}^{N} v_{h}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}}{\left\|R_{h}^{N} v_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}} \leq C, \quad \forall h, \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{h}^{N} v_{h}$ is a piecwise function with compact support (hence $R_{h}^{N} v_{h} \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ ) such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle Q_{h} v_{h}, R_{h}^{N} v_{h}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}=h \sum_{-N}^{N}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2}  \tag{2.8}\\
\left\|R_{h}^{N} v_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq h^{-1} \sum_{-N}^{N}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For example, we can take

$$
R_{h}^{N} v_{h}=Q_{h} \tilde{v}_{h}+\sum_{i} D^{+} \tilde{v}_{h} \chi\left(x-x_{i}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{v}_{h}=\left\{\tilde{v}_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$ with $\tilde{v}_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right), & |i| \leq N \\ 0, & |i|>N,\end{array}\right.$ and $\chi$ is given by

$$
\chi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad x<0 \quad \text { or } \quad x>h \\
-\frac{3}{2} x, \quad x \in\left[0, \frac{h}{3}[ \right. \\
\frac{3}{2}\left(x-\frac{2 h}{3}\right), \quad x \in\left[\frac{h}{3}, \frac{2 h}{3}[ \right. \\
3\left(x-\frac{2 h}{3}\right), \quad x \in\left[\frac{2 h}{3}, h[ \right.
\end{array}\right.
$$



Figure 2: The function $\chi$.

Since

$$
\frac{h \sum_{N}^{N}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2}}{\left[h^{-1} \sum_{-N}^{N}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C, \quad \forall h, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}
$$

we get $h\left|v_{h}\right|_{h} \leq C, \forall h$. Finally, we have $\left\|\left(P_{h}-Q_{h}\right) v_{h}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C, \forall h$, then $\left\|P_{h} v_{h}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C, \forall h$.

To show that $\left\{P_{h} v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $v$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ weak star, we need to prove that

$$
P_{h} v_{h} \rightharpoonup v, \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})
$$

To this end, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$. We denote $\tau_{h} \varphi=\frac{1}{2}(\varphi+\varphi(.-h))$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle P_{h} v_{h}, \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} & =\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}\right)\right) \cdot \varphi(x) d x \\
& =\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(\frac{v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+v_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)}{2}+D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}-\frac{h}{2}\right)\right) \cdot \varphi(x) d x \\
& =\left\langle Q_{h} v_{v}, \tau_{h} \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}+\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i}-\frac{h}{2}\right) \cdot \int_{x_{i}}^{x} \varphi(t) d t\right) d x \\
& =\left\langle Q_{h} v_{v}, \tau_{h} \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}+\int_{0}^{h} \int_{0}^{s}\left(s-\frac{h}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i} D^{+} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}+\rho\right)\right) d \rho d s \\
& =\left\langle Q_{h} v_{v}, \tau_{h} \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}+\frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{h} \int_{0}^{s}\left(s-\frac{h}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}+\rho\right)-\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}+\rho\right)\right)\right) d \rho d s \\
& =\left\langle Q_{h} v_{v}, \tau_{h} \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}+\frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{h} \int_{0}^{s}\left(s-\frac{h}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(x+\rho) d x\right) d \rho d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{h} v_{h} \rightarrow v, \quad \text { dans } H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text { weak star, }  \tag{2.9}\\
\tau_{h} \varphi \rightarrow \varphi, \quad \text { in } H^{1}(\mathbb{R})
\end{array}\right.
$$

hence $\left\langle Q_{h} v_{v}, \tau_{h} \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow\langle v, \varphi\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\sum_{i} v_{i} \cdot \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(x+\rho) d x \leq\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}\left\|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{h} \int_{0}^{s}\left(s-\frac{h}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i} v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(x+\rho) d x\right) d \rho d s\right| & \leq h^{2}\left|v_{h}\right|_{h}\left\|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \leq C h\left\|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus the proof of 1 ) is completed. The proof of 2 ) is similar to that of 1 ).
We establish now a compactness result which will be useful in the proofs of principal theorems.
Lemma 2.3 Let $T>0$ and $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ be a sequence whose elements belong to the space $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Assume that $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and further the sequence $\left\{\partial_{t} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Then we can extract from $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ a subsequence converging in $\mathcal{C}\left(0, T, L_{\text {loc }}^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

Proof. The proof is a consequence of the following proposition
Proposition 2.4 ([7]) Let $X, B$ and $Y$ be three Banach spaces such that $X \subset B \subset Y$. Assume that the embedding $X \subset B$ is compact. Let $F$ be some bounded subset in $L^{\infty}(0, T, X)$ such that the subset $G=\left\{\partial_{t} f, \quad f \in F\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{r}(0, T, Y)$, with $1<r \leq \infty$. Then $F$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{C}(0, T, B)$.

We denote by $\left.I_{k}=\right]-k, k\left[\right.$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the three spaces $X=H^{1}\left(I_{k}\right), B=L^{2}\left(I_{k}\right)$ and $Y=H^{-1}\left(I_{k}\right)$. The embedding $H^{1}\left(I_{k}\right) \subset L^{2}\left(I_{k}\right)$ is compact, hence using previous proposition, we can extract from $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ a subsequence (depending on $k$ ) which converges in $\mathcal{C}\left(0, T, L^{2}\left(I_{k}\right)\right)$. Thus the diagonal subsequence of Cantor converges in $C\left(0, T, L^{2}\left(I_{k}\right)\right)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

### 2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We construct a weak solution for the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u=\partial_{x}\left(u \wedge g(x) \partial_{x} u\right)=u \wedge \partial_{x}\left(g \partial_{x} u\right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{2.10}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

as a limit, when $h \rightarrow 0$, of a sequence $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ of solutions for the semi-discrete system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}=D^{+}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)=u_{h} \wedge D^{+}\left(g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right), \quad t \geq 0  \tag{2.11}\\
u_{h}(0)=u_{h}^{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u_{h}^{0}=\left\{u_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{h}}$ with $\left|u_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=1$ and $g_{h}=\left\{g\left(t, x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$.
Proposition 2.5 Let $u_{h}^{0}=\left\{u_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{h}}$ be such that $\left|u_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=1$, and $D^{+} u_{h}^{0} \in L_{h}^{2}$. Let $g \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ such that there exists $\alpha>0$ with $g \geq \alpha$. Then equation (2.11) has a global solution $u_{h}=\left\{u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+},\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{h}}\right)$ with $\left|u_{h}\left(t, x_{i}\right)\right|=1$ and $D^{+} u_{h} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, L_{h}^{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $h>0$. We endow the space

$$
E_{h}=\left\{v_{h} \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{h}}, \quad v_{h} \in L_{h}^{\infty} \quad \text { and } \quad D^{+} v_{h} \in L_{h}^{2}\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\left\|v_{h}\right\|_{h}=\left|v_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}+\left|D^{+} v_{h}\right|_{h}, \quad \forall v_{h} \in E_{h},
$$

for which the space $\left(E_{h},\|\cdot\|_{h}\right)$ is a Banach space. Let $R>0$ and $\Omega=B_{E_{h}}\left(u_{h}^{0}, R\right)$. We define the function
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}F: \Omega \rightarrow E_{h}: \quad v_{h} \mapsto F\left(v_{h}\right), \\ \left(F\left(v_{h}\right)\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=D^{+}\left(v_{h} \wedge\left(g_{h} D^{-} v_{h}\right)\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(g\left(x_{i}\right) v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \wedge v_{h}\left(x_{i-1}\right)-g_{h}(i+1) v_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \wedge v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) .\end{array}\right.$

In what follows we denote $\beta=\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$. Let $u_{h}, v_{h} \in \Omega$. We have on the one hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(u_{h}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)-F\left(v_{h}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)= & \frac{g_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)}{h^{2}}\left[u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \wedge\left(u_{h}\left(x_{i-1}\right)-v_{h}\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right)+\left(u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)-v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \wedge v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{g_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)}{h^{2}}\left[\left(v_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)-u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right) \wedge v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\left(v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)-u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F\left(v_{h}\right)-F\left(u_{h}\right)\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}} \leq \frac{4 \beta}{h^{2}}\left(R+\left\|u_{h}^{0}\right\|_{h}\right)\left|v_{h}-u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 1.9 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D^{+}\left(F\left(v_{h}\right)-F\left(u_{h}\right)\right)\right|_{h} & =\left|D^{+}\left[D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left(v_{h} \wedge D^{-} v_{h}-u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right)\right]\right|_{h} \\
& \leq \frac{4 \beta}{h^{2}}\left|v_{h} \wedge D^{-} v_{h}-u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{h} \\
& \leq \frac{4 \beta}{h^{2}}\left(\left|v_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\left|D^{-}\left(v_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right|_{h}+\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|{ }_{h}\left|D^{-}\left(v_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right|_{h}\right. \\
& \leq \frac{4 \beta}{h^{2}}\left(R+\left\|u_{h}^{0}\right\|_{h}\right)\left(\left|D^{-}\left(v_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right|_{h}+\left|D^{-}\left(v_{h}-u_{h}\right)\right|_{h}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F\left(v_{h}\right)-F\left(u_{h}\right)\right|_{h} \leq \frac{4 \beta}{h^{2}}\left(R+\left\|u_{h}^{0}\right\|\right)\|v-u\|_{h} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, combining (2.12) et (2.13), we deduce that

$$
\left\|F\left(v_{h}\right)-F\left(u_{h}\right)\right\|_{h} \leq \frac{8 \beta}{h^{2}}\left(R+\left\|u_{h}^{0}\right\|_{h}\right)\left\|v_{h}-u_{h}\right\|_{h}
$$

Thus $F$ is locally Lipschitz-continuous and Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem holds. Hence there exists $T^{*} \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+} \cup\{+\infty\}$ and $u_{h}:\left[0, T^{*}\left[\rightarrow\left(E_{h},\| \|_{h}\right)\right.\right.$ satisfying (2.11). Taking the usual $\mathbb{R}^{3}$-scalar product in (2.11) with $u_{h}$, we find that $\frac{d}{d t}\left|u_{h}\left(t, x_{i}\right)\right|=0$, hence $\left|u_{h}\left(t, x_{i}\right)\right|=\left|u_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=1$ on $\left[0, T^{*}[\right.$. Then we have $\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{h}=1+\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}$ which gives $T^{*}$ the following characterisation

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow T^{*}}\left|D^{+} u_{h}(t)\right|_{h}=+\infty \quad \text { if } \quad T^{*}<+\infty
$$

Taking the $L_{h}^{2}$-scalar product in (2.11) with $D^{+}\left(g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)$, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \sum_{i} g_{h}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\left(t, x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i} \partial_{t} g\left(t, x_{i}\right)\left|D^{-} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\left(t, x_{i}\right)
$$

from which and by using the Grönwall lemma, we obtain

$$
\left|D^{+} u_{h}(t)\right|_{h}=\left|D^{-} u_{h}(t)\right|_{h} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left|D^{+} u_{h}^{0}\right|_{h} \exp \left(\frac{\beta_{1} t}{2 \alpha}\right) \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T^{*}[.\right.
$$

This means that $\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*}}\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{h} \neq+\infty$, hence we finally get $T^{*}=+\infty$.
In what follows, we consider $T>0$ fixed. For each sequence $\left\{v_{h}\right\}_{h}$ of elements in $L_{h}^{2}$, we have $\left(\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}, v_{h}\right)_{h}=-\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}, D^{-} v_{h}\right)_{h}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{H_{h}^{-1}} \leq \beta \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left|D^{+} u_{h}^{0}\right| \exp \left(\frac{\beta_{1} t}{2 \alpha}\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{u_{h}^{0}\right\}_{h}$ be a sequence satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}Q_{h} u_{h}^{0} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { in } & L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R})  \tag{2.15}\\ Q_{h} D^{+} u_{h}^{0} \rightarrow \frac{d u_{0}}{d x} & \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) .\end{cases}
$$

Then we have

Lemma 2.6 The sequence of solutions $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ satisfying (2.11), with initial data $\left\{u_{h}^{0}\right\}_{h}$ satisfying (2.15), has the properties
i) $\left\{\partial_{t} P_{h} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.
ii) $\left\{P_{h} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

Proof. Property i) is an immediate result of (2.14) and Lemma 1.13.
ii) Let $I=[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{h} u_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(I)}^{2} & =\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left|\frac{x_{i}-x}{h} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+\frac{x-x_{i}}{h} u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\sum_{i} h\left|\frac{u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)-u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)}{h}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \sum_{i} \frac{h}{3}\left(\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2}+u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right)+\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \\
& \leq b-a+2 h+\left|D^{+} u_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sequence $\left\{\left|D^{+} u_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}\right\}_{h}$ is bounded, since $Q_{h} D^{+} u_{h}^{0} \rightarrow \frac{d u_{0}}{d x}$ in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
Since $\left\{P_{h} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ and $\left\{\partial_{t} P_{h} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ are bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ respectively and in view of Lemma 2.3, there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ and $u$ such that $\left\{P_{h} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $u$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T, L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and almost everywhere. Moreover, $\left\{\partial_{t} P_{h} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $\partial_{t} u$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ weak star. The sequence $\left\{Q_{h} u_{h}\right\}$ converges also to $u$ almost everywhere. To show that the second member $\left\{P_{h} D^{+}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right\}_{h}$ converges to $\partial_{x}\left(u \wedge g(x) \partial_{x} u\right)$, we note first that by Lemma 2.1, the two sequences $\left\{P_{h}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right\}_{h}$ and $\left\{Q_{h}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right\}_{h}$ converge to the same limit in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ weak star. Since

$$
Q_{h}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)=Q_{h} u_{h} \wedge\left(Q_{h} g_{h} Q_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{h} g_{h} \rightarrow g \text { almost everywhere, }  \tag{2.16}\\
Q_{h} u_{h} \rightarrow u \text { almost everywhere, } \\
Q_{h} D^{-} u_{h} \rightarrow \partial_{x} u \quad \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \quad \text { weak star }
\end{array}\right.
$$

we have

$$
Q_{h}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right) \rightarrow u \wedge\left(g \partial_{x} u\right) \quad \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \quad \text { weak star }
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{h}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right) \rightarrow u \wedge\left(g \partial_{x} u\right) \quad \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \quad \text { weak star, }  \tag{2.17}\\
\partial_{x} P_{h}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right) \rightarrow \partial_{x}\left(u \wedge\left(g \partial_{x} u\right)\right) \quad \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \quad \text { weak star. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is clear that

$$
Q_{h} D^{+}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)=\partial_{x} P_{h}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)
$$

then using lemma 2.2, the sequence $\left\{P_{h} D^{+}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right\}_{h}$ converges to $\partial_{x}\left(u \wedge\left(g \partial_{x} u\right)\right)$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ weak star.

When $g=g(x)$ does not depend on time, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)\left|\partial_{x} u(t, x)\right|^{2} d x=0
$$

then

$$
\left\|\partial_{x} u(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq \frac{\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\left\|\frac{d u_{0}}{d x}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}
$$

and $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, H_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

### 2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this proof we use, without details, the same techniques of previous proof. Let $l>0$. We construct a solution $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, H^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{l}, S^{2}\right)\right)$ for the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u=\partial_{x}\left(u \wedge g \partial_{x} u\right)=u \wedge \partial_{x}\left(g \partial_{x} u\right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^{l},  \tag{2.18}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

as a limit, when $h \rightarrow 0$, of a sequence $\left\{u_{h}=\left\{u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i} \in P_{l, N}\right\}_{h}$ (with $h=\frac{l}{N}$ ) of solutions for the semi-discrete system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}=D^{+}\left(u_{h} \wedge g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)=u_{h} \wedge D^{+}\left(g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right), \quad t>0  \tag{2.19}\\
u_{h}(0)=u_{h}^{0} \\
u_{h}\left(t, x_{0}\right)=u_{h}\left(t, x_{N}\right), \quad t \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)^{0}\right|=1$, and $g_{h}=\left\{g\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$ such that $g\left(t, x_{0}\right)=g\left(t, x_{N}\right)$.
Proposition 2.7 Let $u_{h}^{0} \in P_{l, N}\left(\right.$ with $\left.h=\frac{l}{N}\right)$ be such that $\left|u_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=1$, and $g \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{T}^{l}\right)\right)$ be such that there exists $\alpha>0$ with $g \geq \alpha$. Then there exists a solution $u_{h}=\left\{u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, P_{l, N}\right)$ for (2.19) with $\left|u_{h}\left(t, x_{i}\right)\right|=1$ for every $i$.

Proof. Let $l>0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote $h=\frac{l}{N}$. We endow the space $P_{l, N}$ by the norm

$$
\left|v_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}=\sup _{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|, \quad \forall v_{h} \in P_{l, N}
$$

which makes $\left(P_{l, N},|\cdot|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\right)$ a Banach space. Let $R>0$ and $\Omega=B_{P_{l, N}}\left(u_{h}^{0}, R\right)$. We define the function $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{l, N}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(F\left(v_{h}\right)\right)\left(x_{i}\right) & =D^{+}\left(v_{h} \wedge\left(g_{h} D^{-} v_{h}\right)\right)\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(g_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) \wedge v_{h}\left(x_{i-1}\right)-g_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right) v_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \wedge v_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we follow the same steps followed to demonstrate Proposition 2.5.
The rest of proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.1 and requires property (1.17) and results of Lemmas 1.13, 2.1 and 2.2.

### 2.4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We denote

$$
\Delta_{g_{h}} v_{h}=D^{+}\left(g_{h} D^{-} v_{h}\right)=D^{-}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+} v_{h}\right), \quad D^{2}=D^{+} D^{-}=D^{+} D^{-}, D^{3}=D^{+} D^{-} D^{+}
$$

and $g_{h}^{t}=\left\{\partial_{t} g\left(t, x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$. Since $g$ is given in $W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, W^{3, \infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\right)$, then there exist $\beta, \beta_{1}, \beta^{\prime}, \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|g_{h}\right|_{L_{h}} \leq \beta, \quad\left|g_{h}^{t}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}} \leq \beta_{1} \\
\left|D^{+} g_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}=\left|D^{-} g_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}} \leq \beta^{\prime}, \quad\left|D^{+} g_{h}^{t}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}=\left|D^{-} g_{h}^{t}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}} \leq \beta_{1}^{\prime} \\
\left|D^{2} g_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}} \leq \beta^{\prime \prime}, \quad\left|D^{2} g_{h}^{t}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{\infty} \leq \beta_{2}^{\prime \prime} \\
\left|D^{3} g_{h}\right|_{h h}^{\infty} \leq \beta^{\prime \prime \prime} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Our proof consists of several steps

### 2.4.1 Step 1

In this step, we establish two a priori estimates in $\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}, D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}, \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}$ and $D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}$. We start by proving that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}\right) \leq C_{1}\left(\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}\right)^{2}+C_{2} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are two positive constants independent of $h$. For any two sequences $u_{h}=\left\{u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$ and $v_{h}=\left\{u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{g_{h}}\left(u_{h} v_{h}\right) & =D^{+}\left(g_{h} \tau^{-} v_{h} D^{-} u h+g_{h} u_{h} D^{-} v_{h}\right) \\
& =\tau^{+} \tau^{-} v_{h} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}+g_{h} D^{+}\left(\tau^{-} v_{h}\right) D^{-} u_{h}+\tau^{+}\left(g_{h} D^{-} v_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h}+u_{h} \Delta_{g_{h}} v_{h} \\
& =v_{h} \Delta_{g_{h}} u h+g_{h} D^{-} v_{h} D^{-} u_{h}+\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+} v_{h} D^{+} u_{h}+u_{h} \Delta_{g_{h}} v_{h} \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

We derive (2.11) with respect to $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u_{h}}{d t^{2}}=\left(u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}+u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}}\left(u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)+u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.21) and $\left|u_{h}\left(t, x_{i}\right)\right|=1$, we deduce from equation (2.22) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2} u_{h}}{d t^{2}}= & \left(u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}-\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h} \\
& +u_{h} \wedge\left(g_{h} D^{-} u_{h} \wedge D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}+\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+} u_{h} \wedge D^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}+u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}}^{2} u_{h}\right) \\
= & u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}+\left(u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}-\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h}+\left(u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}}^{2} u_{h}\right) u_{h}-\Delta_{g_{h}}^{2} u_{h} \\
& +E, \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E= & g_{h} u_{h} \wedge\left(D^{-} u_{h} \wedge D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)+\tau^{+} g_{h} u_{h} \wedge\left(D^{+} u_{h} \wedge D^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) \\
= & g_{h}\left(u_{h} \cdot D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{-} u_{h}+\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(u_{h} \cdot D^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h} \\
& -g_{h}\left(u_{h} \cdot D^{-} u_{h}\right) D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}-\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(u_{h} \cdot D^{+} u_{h}\right) D^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
u_{h} \cdot D^{ \pm} u_{h}=\mp \frac{h}{2}\left(D^{ \pm} u_{h}\right)^{2}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(u_{h} \cdot D^{+} u_{h}\right) D^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} & =-\frac{h}{2} \tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} \\
& =-\frac{h}{2}\left\{D^{-}\left[\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2} \tau^{+}\left(g_{h} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)\right]-D^{-}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right) \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right\} \\
& =-\frac{h}{2}\left\{D^{+}\left[g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right]-D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right) \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{h}\left(u_{h} \cdot D^{-} u_{h}\right) D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} & =\frac{h}{2} g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} \\
& =\frac{h}{2}\left\{D^{+}\left[g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} \tau^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right]-D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right) \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which together give

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(u_{h} \cdot D^{+} u_{h}\right) D^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}-g_{h}\left(u_{h} \cdot D^{-} u_{h}\right) D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}=\frac{h^{2}}{2} D^{+}\left[g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right] \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} & =u_{h} \cdot\left(D^{+} g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}+\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}\right) \\
& =\frac{h}{2} D^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \tau^{+} g_{h}\left(\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}+\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}+\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{h} \cdot D^{ \pm} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} & =D^{ \pm}\left(u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)-D^{ \pm} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{ \pm}\left(\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} D^{ \pm}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}+\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right)-D^{ \pm} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{ \pm}\left(\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.24) and (2.25) we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E= & \frac{h^{2}}{2} D^{+}\left[g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2} g_{h} D^{-}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}+\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right) D^{-} u_{h}-g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{-} u_{h} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}+\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right) D^{+} u_{h}-\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the $L_{h}^{2}$-scalar product in (2.23) with $\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}$ and using $u_{h} \cdot \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}=0, \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} \cdot \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}=0$ and

$$
\Delta_{g_{h}}\left(\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)=\frac{d}{d t} \Delta_{g_{h}}\left(u_{h}\right)-\Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}
$$

we obtain by integration by parts

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}\right)=J_{1}+J_{2}+I_{1}+I_{2}^{+}+I_{2}^{-}+I_{3}^{+}+I_{3}^{-}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{1}=\left(\Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}, \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)_{h}, \\
J_{2}=\left(u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}, u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)_{h}, \\
I_{1}=\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left(D^{+}\left[g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right], \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h} \\
I_{2}^{+}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}+\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right) D^{+} u_{h}, \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h}, \\
I_{2}^{-}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{h} D^{-}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}+\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right) D^{-} u_{h}, \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h} \\
I_{3}^{+}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h}, \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h} \\
I_{3}^{-}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{-} u_{h}, \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

To bound from above these terms we apply essentially the Hölder inequality and Lemmas 1.9 and 1.15. We start by

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1}+J_{2} & \leq 2\left|\Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}\right|_{h}\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h} \\
& \leq 2\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta_{1}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h} \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we have on the one hand

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & \leq \frac{h^{2}}{2}\left|D^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \\
& \leq h\left|g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \\
& \leq h \beta\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \\
& \leq 2 C \beta\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2}\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} . \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

and on the other hand $I_{2}^{+}=I_{21}^{+}+I_{22}^{+}$, with
$I_{21}^{+}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right) D^{+} u_{h}, \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h}, \quad I_{22}^{+}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right) D^{+} u_{h}, \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h}$.
Moreover,

$$
I_{21}^{+}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}+\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{-}+\tau^{+} D^{-}\right) u_{h} \cdot D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h}, \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{21}^{+} & \leq \frac{1}{2} \beta\left(\beta^{\prime}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{h}+2 \beta\left|D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} C \beta\left(\beta^{\prime}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{h}+2 \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2}\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we find that

$$
I_{22}^{+} \leq \frac{1}{2} C \beta\left(\beta^{\prime}\left|D^{-} u h\right|_{h}+2 \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2}\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{+} \leq C \beta\left(\beta^{\prime}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{h}+2 \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2}\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $I_{3}^{+}$we easily note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}^{+} \leq \frac{1}{2} C \beta\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2}\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two terms $I_{3}^{-}$and $I_{2}^{-}$can be treated in the same way followed to bound $I_{3}^{+}$and $I_{2}^{+}$. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2} & =\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \\
& \leq\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

we get by combining $(2.26),(2.27),(2.28),(2.29),(2.30)$ and (2.14)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}\right) \leq C_{1}\left(\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}\right)^{2}+C_{2} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ are two constants depending on $\alpha, \beta, \beta_{1}, \beta^{\prime}, \beta_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\left|D^{+} u_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}$. Then we establish an a priori estimate in $D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}$ and $D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}$. Let

$$
A_{g_{h}} u_{h}=\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2}+\tau^{+} g\left(D^{+} u_{h}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

We have found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u_{h}}{d t^{2}}+\Delta_{g_{h}}^{2} u_{h}=\left(u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}-\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h}+\left(u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}}^{2} u_{h}\right) u_{h}+u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}+E \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
E= & \frac{h^{2}}{2} D^{+}\left[g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right] \\
& -g_{h} D^{-}\left(A_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{-} u_{h}-g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{-} u_{h} \\
& -\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+}\left(A_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h}-\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h} \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we deduce from (2.21) that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}}^{2}\left(u_{h}\right)= & \Delta_{g_{h}}\left(u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)-\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|^{2}-g_{h} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u \cdot D^{-} u_{h}-\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u \cdot D^{+} u_{h} \\
= & -\Delta_{g_{h}}\left(A_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)-\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|^{2}-g_{h} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u \cdot D^{-} u_{h} \\
& -\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u \cdot D^{+} u_{h} . \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus Combining (2.32), (2.33) and (2.34), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2} u_{h}}{d t^{2}}+\Delta_{g_{h}}^{2} u_{h}= & -\Delta_{g_{h}}\left(A_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) u_{h}-A_{g_{h}} u_{h} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}-\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+}\left(A_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h}-g_{h} D^{-}\left(A_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{-} u_{h} \\
& -g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{-} u-g_{h} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u \cdot D^{-} u_{h}-\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|^{2} \\
& -\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h}-\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u \cdot D^{+} u_{h}-\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|^{2} \\
& +\frac{h^{2}}{2} D^{+}\left[g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right]+u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}^{ \pm}} u_{h} \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{-} u-g_{h} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u \cdot D^{-} u_{h}-\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|^{2}=-D^{-}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) u_{h}\right) \\
- & \tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h}-\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u \cdot D^{+} u_{h}-\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|^{2}=-D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) u_{h}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D^{+} u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}=D^{+} g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} g_{h} D^{+}\left(\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{h}{2} g_{h}\left|D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}, \\
D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}=D^{-} g_{h}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \tau^{+} g_{h} D^{-}\left(\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{h}{2} \tau^{+} g_{h}\left|D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g_{h} D^{+}\left(\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) u_{h}=D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h}\right)-\tau^{+}\left(\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) D^{+}\left(g_{h} u_{h}\right), \\
\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{-}\left(\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) u_{h}=D^{-}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h}\right)-\tau^{-}\left(\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) D^{-}\left(g_{h} u_{h}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{-}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) u_{h}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{g_{h}}\left(g_{h}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{2} D^{-}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\left[D^{+} g_{h} u_{h}-\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+} u_{h}\right]\right) \\
& +\frac{h}{2} D^{-}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h} g_{h}\left|D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) u_{h}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{g_{h}}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{2} D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2}\left[D^{+} g_{h} u_{h}-g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right]\right) \\
& +\frac{h}{2} D^{+}\left(\tau^{+} g_{h} g_{h}\left|D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus equation (2.35) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2} u_{h}}{d t^{2}}+\Delta_{g_{h}}^{2} u_{h}= & -2 \Delta_{g_{h}}\left(\left(A_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) u_{h}\right)+u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2}\left[2 g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}-D^{+} g_{h} u_{h}-D^{-} g_{h} \tau^{-} u_{h}\right]\right) \\
& -\frac{h}{2}\left(D^{+}+D^{-}\right)\left(\tau^{+} g_{h} g_{h}\left|D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{2} D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)(2 \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying operator $D^{-}$on (2.36) and taking the $L_{h}^{2}$-scalar product with $g_{h} D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}$, we get, after integration by parts,

$$
\frac{h}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \sum_{i} g_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\right)=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4}+J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}=-2\left(D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}}\left(\left(A_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) u_{h}\right), g_{h} D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h} \\
I_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(D^{-} D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2}\left[2 g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}-D^{+} g_{h} u_{h}-D^{-} g_{h} \tau^{-} u_{h}\right]\right), g_{h} D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h} \\
I_{3}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(h D^{-}\left(D^{+}+D^{-}\right)\left(\tau^{+} g_{h} g_{h}\left|D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right), g_{h} D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h} \\
I_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{2} D^{-} D^{+}\left[g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right], g_{h} D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h} \\
J_{1}=\left(D^{-}\left(u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}, g_{h} D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)\right)_{h} \\
J_{2}=\left(g_{h} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}, D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}\right)_{h} \\
J_{3}=\frac{h}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \sum_{i} g_{h}^{t}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

We start by bounding $J_{1}, J_{2}$ and $J_{3}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|J_{1}\right| \leq \beta\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\left(\beta_{1}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta_{1}^{\prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \\
&+\beta\left(2 \beta_{1}^{\prime}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta\left|D^{3} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}  \tag{2.37}\\
&\left|J_{2}\right| \leq \beta\left(2 \beta_{1}^{\prime}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta\left|D^{3} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)\left|D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}  \tag{2.38}\\
& \quad\left|J_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}\left(\left|D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}\right) \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

For the term $I_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I_{2}\right| \leq & \frac{1}{2} \beta\left\{2\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h}^{2}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2} D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right|_{h}+\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h} D^{+} g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h}\right)\right|_{h}\right. \\
& \left.+\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h} D^{-} g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2} \tau^{-} u_{h}\right)\right|_{h}\right\}\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \tag{2.40}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h}^{2}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2} D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right|_{h} \leq & C\left\{\left(\left(\beta^{\prime 2}+\beta \beta^{\prime \prime}\right)\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}+\beta^{2}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\right)\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right. \\
& \left.+\beta \beta^{\prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta^{2}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|D^{3} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right\} . \tag{2.41}
\end{align*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h} D^{+} g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h}\right)\right|_{h} \leq & C\left\{\left(\left(\beta \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 \beta^{\prime} \beta^{\prime \prime}\right)\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}+\left(\beta^{\prime 2}+\beta \beta^{\prime \prime}\right)\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\right)\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right. \\
& +\left(\beta \beta^{\prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}+\left(\beta^{2}+\beta \beta^{\prime \prime}\right)\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\right)\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h} \\
& \left.+\beta \beta^{\prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\left|D^{3} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right\} \tag{2.42}
\end{align*}
$$

The term $\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h} D^{-} g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2} \tau^{-} u_{h}\right)\right|_{h}$ can be bounded from above by the same term of the right-hand side of (2.42). To find a suitable bound for $I_{1}$, we write first

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}}\left(g_{h}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h}\right) & =D^{2}\left(g_{h} D^{-}\left(g_{h}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h}\right)\right) \\
& =D^{2}\left(g_{h}^{2} \tau^{-}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2} D^{-} u_{h}+g_{h} D^{-} g_{h} \tau^{-}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2} \tau^{-} u_{h}+g_{h}^{2} D^{-}\left(\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) u_{h}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the two terms $\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h}^{2} \tau^{-}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2} D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right|_{h}$ and $\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h} D^{-} g_{h} \tau^{-}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2} \tau^{-} u_{h}\right)\right|_{h}$ can be bounded from above by the members of right-hand side of (2.41) and (2.42) respectively. For the term $D^{2}\left(g_{h}^{2} D^{-}\left(\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) u_{h}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{2}\left(g_{h}^{2} D^{-}\left(\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) u_{h}\right), g_{h} D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h}=I_{21}+\left(D^{3}\left(\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) u_{h}, g_{h}^{3} D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{21} \leq & C \beta\left\{\beta^{2}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right| h+\left(\left(\beta \beta^{\prime \prime}+\beta^{2}\right)\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}+\beta \beta^{\prime}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}+\beta \beta^{\prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\right)\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\beta \beta^{\prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}+\beta^{2}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\right)\left|D^{3} u_{h}\right| h\right\}\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \tag{2.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating by parts the second term of the right-hand side member of (2.43), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(D^{3}\left(\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) u_{h}, g_{h}^{3} D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h}= & -\left(D^{2}\left(\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) u_{h}, D^{+} g_{h}^{3} D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h} \\
& -h \sum_{i} g^{3}\left(x_{i}\right) D^{2}\left(\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right)\left(x_{i}\right) D^{+}\left(u_{h} \cdot D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $u_{h} \cdot \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{+}\left(u_{h} \cdot D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right) & =D^{+} u_{h} \cdot D^{+} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}+u_{h} \cdot D^{2} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}-D^{2}\left(u_{h} \cdot \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right) \\
& =-D^{2} u_{h} \cdot \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}-D^{-} u_{h} \cdot D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(D^{3}\left(\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right) u_{h}, g_{h}^{3} D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h} \leq & C\left\{\beta^{3}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta^{\prime} \beta^{2}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\beta^{\prime} \beta^{2}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}+\beta^{3}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\right)\left|D^{3} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right\}\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \\
& +\beta^{3}\left\{\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\left|D^{3} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right. \\
& \left.+\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right| h\right\}\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \tag{2.45}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the definition of $I_{3}$ and $I_{4}$, we have

$$
\left|I_{3}\right| \leq h \beta\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h} \tau^{+} g_{h}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right)\right|_{h}\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}
$$

and

$$
\left|I_{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} h^{2} \beta\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)\right|_{h}\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} ;
$$

where, applying Lemma 1.9, we get

$$
h\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h} \tau^{+} g_{h}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right)\right|_{h} \leq 2\left|D^{+}\left(g_{h} \tau^{+} g_{h}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right)\right|_{h}
$$

and

$$
h^{2}\left|D^{2}\left(g_{h}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)\right|_{h} \leq\left.\left. 4\left|g_{h}\right| D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}
$$

which gives together with previous estimates of $I_{3}$ and $I_{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{3}\right| \leq C \beta^{2}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\left(\beta^{\prime}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta\left|D^{3} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|I_{4}\right| \leq 2 \beta^{2}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}=D^{2} g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}+g_{h} D^{3} u_{h}+D^{+} g_{h} D^{2} u_{h}+D^{-} g_{h} D^{-} D^{-} u_{h} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{4}\right| \leq 2 \beta^{2}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{h}+2 \beta^{\prime}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta\left|D^{3} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.37-2.46) and (2.48), we finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} h \sum_{i} g_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\right) \leq C A_{1} A_{2} \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{1}=\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}+\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}+\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}+\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}, A_{2}=\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2}+\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2}+\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}$ and $C>0$ is some constant depending on $\beta, \beta_{1} \beta^{\prime}, \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

### 2.4.2 Step 2

We construct the sequence $\left\{u_{h}^{0}\right\}_{h}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{h} u_{h}^{0} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { in }  \tag{2.50}\\
\left.Q_{h} D^{+} u_{h}^{0} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{R}}{2}\right), \\
Q_{h} D^{2} u_{h}^{0} \rightarrow \frac{d^{2} u_{0}}{d x^{2}} \\
\text { in } \\
L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \\
Q_{h} D^{3} u_{h}^{0} \rightarrow \frac{d^{3} u_{0}}{d x^{3}}(\mathbb{R}), \\
\text { in }
\end{array} L^{2}(\mathbb{R}),\right.
$$

then

Lemma 2.8 There exists $T_{1}>0$ such that the sequences $\left\{\partial_{t} P_{h} u_{h}\right\}_{h},\left\{\partial_{t} P_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right\}_{h},\left\{P_{h} D^{2} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ and $\left\{P_{h} D^{3} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ are bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

Proof. Let $T>\frac{1}{\sqrt{C_{1} C_{2}}}$. For $t \in[0, T]$ we denote

$$
G(t)=C_{2} T+\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}(0)\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}(0)\right|_{h}^{2}+C_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}(\tau)\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}(\tau)\right|_{h}^{2}\right)^{2} d \tau
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are the constants of inequality (2.31), hence $\frac{1}{G} \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T)$ and in view of (2.31) we have

$$
\left.\left(\frac{1}{G(t)}\right)^{\prime} \leq C_{1}, \quad \text { for almost everywhere on } \quad\right] 0, T[
$$

then we have

$$
C_{1} t+\frac{1}{G(t)} \geq \frac{1}{G(0)}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T[
$$

and

$$
G(t) \leq \frac{G(0)}{1-C_{1} G(0) t}, \quad \forall t \in\left[0,\left(C_{1} G(0)\right)^{-1}[\right.
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(0)=C_{2} T+\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}(0)\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}(0)\right|_{h}^{2} & \\
& \leq 2\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}(0)\right|_{h}^{2}+C_{2} T \\
& \leq 4 \beta^{2}\left|D^{+} u_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}^{2}+4 \beta^{2}\left|D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}^{2}+C_{2} T
\end{aligned}
$$

the sequences $\left\{\left|D^{+} u_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}\right\}_{h}$ and $\left\{\left|D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}\right\}_{h}$ are bounded. Thus there exists $M>0$ such that

$$
4 \beta^{\prime 2}\left|D^{+} u_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}^{2}+4 \beta^{2}\left|D^{+} D^{-} u_{h}^{0}\right|_{h}^{2}+C_{2} T \leq M
$$

then

$$
G(0)^{-1} \geq M^{-1}>0
$$

Let $\tilde{T}=\frac{1}{2}\left(C_{1} M\right)^{-1}$. Then, for all $t \in[0, \tilde{T}]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \leq G(t) \leq \frac{M}{1-\frac{1}{2} M^{-1} G(0)} \leq 2 M \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Corollary 1.15, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}} \leq C\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}, \quad\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}} \leq C\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}} .
$$

Thus combining (2.49) and (2.51), we have for all $t \in[0, \tilde{T}]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} h \sum_{i} g_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\right) \leq C_{1}\left(\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}\right)^{2}+C_{2} \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ depend on $\beta, \beta_{1}, \beta^{\prime}, \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}, \alpha$, and $M$. Following the same argument in the previous part of this step, we find that there exists $K>0$ and $0<T_{1} \leq \tilde{T}$ such that, for all $t \in\left[0, T_{1}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}+\left|D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h} \leq K \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} & =D^{+} g_{h} D^{+} u_{h}+g_{h} D^{2} u_{h} \\
D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}=D^{2} g_{h} D^{-} u_{h} & +g_{h} D^{3} u_{h}+D^{+} g_{h} D^{2} u_{h}+D^{-} g_{h} D^{-} D^{-} u_{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

we deduce from (2.51) and (2.53) that sequences $\left\{\left|D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}\right\}_{h},\left\{\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}\right\}_{h},\left\{\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right\}_{h}$, and $\left\{\left|D^{3} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right\}_{h}$ are bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}\right)$. The result then yields from Lemma 1.14.

### 2.4.3 Étape 3

We already proved, by Lemma (2.6), that there exists $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and a subsequence $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ such that

$$
P_{h} D^{-} u_{h} \rightarrow \partial_{x} u \quad \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \quad \text { weak star }
$$

for all $T>0$. According to lemma 2.8, there exist $v, w \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and a subsequence $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}P_{h} D^{2} u_{h} \rightarrow v & \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)  \tag{2.54}\\ P_{h} D^{3} u_{h} \rightarrow w \text { in } \quad \text { weak star, } \\ L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) & \text { weak star. }\end{cases}
$$

Consequently, the sequence $\left\{\partial_{x} P_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $\partial_{x}^{2} u$ in the sense of distributions. On the other hand, $\partial_{x} P_{h} D^{-} u_{h}=Q_{h} D^{2} u_{h}$, and the two sequences $\left\{Q_{h} D^{2} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ and $\left\{P_{h} D^{2} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converge to the same limit in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ weak star (Lemma 2.1). It follows that $\partial_{x}^{2} u=v \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, hence $\left\{P_{h} D^{2} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $\partial_{x}^{2} u$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ weak star. A similar argument shows that $\partial_{x}^{3} u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and thus the proof is completed.

### 2.5 Proof of Theorem 1.4

First, we establishing the following two lemmas
Lemma 2.9 Let $g \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ be such that there exists $\alpha>0$ with $g \geq \alpha$. Let $T>0$ and $u:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^{2}$ be some solution for (1.2) such that $\partial_{x} u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Then there exist $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ depending on $g$ and $\left\|\partial_{x} u(0, .)\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}$ such that for almost every $t \in[0, T]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\Delta_{g} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq C_{1}+C_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|\partial_{t} u(\tau)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\Delta_{g} u(\tau)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\right) d \tau \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Taking the $L^{2}$-scalar product in (1.2) with $\Delta_{g} u$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{t} g(x)\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2} d x
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x} u(t, .)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\|g\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\alpha}}\left\|\partial_{x} u(0, .)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \exp \left(\frac{\left\|\partial_{t} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}}}{2 \alpha}\right), \forall t \in[0, T] \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2 u \cdot \partial_{x} u=\partial_{x}|u|^{2}=0$, and by deriving (1.2) with respect to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t}^{2} u & =\left(u \wedge \Delta_{g} u\right) \wedge \Delta_{g} u+u \wedge \Delta_{g}\left(u \wedge \Delta_{g} u\right)+u \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u \\
& =\left(u \cdot \Delta_{g} u\right) \Delta_{g} u-\left|\Delta_{g} u\right|^{2} u+u \wedge\left(\Delta_{g} u \wedge \Delta_{g} u+2 g \partial_{x} u \wedge \partial_{x} \Delta_{g} u+u \wedge \Delta_{g}^{2} u\right)+u \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} \\
& =\left(u \cdot \Delta_{g} u\right) \Delta_{g} u-\left|\Delta_{g} u\right|^{2} u+2 g\left(u \cdot \partial_{x} \Delta_{g} u\right) \partial_{x} u+\left(u \cdot \Delta_{g}^{2} u\right) u-\Delta_{g}^{2} u+u \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u \tag{2.57}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that $\partial_{t} u \cdot u=0$, then we get by taking the $L^{2}$-scalar product in (2.57) with $\partial_{t} u$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left|\partial_{t} u\right|^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g} u\right|^{2}\right) d x= & 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left(u \cdot \partial_{x} \Delta_{g} u\right)\left(\partial_{x} u \cdot \partial_{t} u\right) d x \\
& +2 \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u\right) \cdot\left(u \wedge \Delta_{g} u\right) d x \\
& +2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u \cdot \Delta_{g} u d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u \cdot \partial_{x} \Delta_{g} u & =\partial_{x}\left(u \cdot \Delta_{g} u\right)-\partial_{x} u \cdot \Delta_{g} u \\
& =-\frac{3}{2} \partial_{x}\left(g\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x} g\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2} \tag{2.58}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(u \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u\right) \cdot\left(u \wedge \Delta_{g} u\right) & =\Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u \cdot \Delta_{g} u-\left(u \cdot \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u\right)\left(u \cdot \Delta_{g} u\right) \\
& =\Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u \cdot \Delta_{g} u-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t} g^{2}\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{4} \tag{2.59}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, integrating by parts, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left|\partial_{t} u\right|^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g}\right|^{2}\right) d x= & \frac{3}{4} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{2}\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{4} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} g \partial_{x} g\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}\left(\partial_{x} u \cdot \partial_{t} u\right) d x \\
& -\frac{5}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{t} g^{2}\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{4} d x+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u \cdot \Delta_{g} u d x \tag{2.60}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
I(u)=\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\Delta_{g} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}-\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{2}\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{4} d x \\
J(u)=-\int_{\mathbb{R}} g \partial_{x} g\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}\left(\partial_{x} u \cdot \partial_{t} u\right) d x-\frac{5}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{t} g^{2}\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{4} d x+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u \cdot \Delta_{g} u d x
\end{gathered}
$$

Relation (2.60) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\Delta_{g} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}=I(u(0, .))+\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{2}\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{4} d x+2 \int_{0}^{t} J(u(\tau)) d \tau \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then applying Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities on $\partial_{x} u$, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{6}(\mathbb{R})} \leq K_{6}\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2}{3}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{3}},  \tag{2.62}\\
\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{4}(\mathbb{R})} \leq K_{4}\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{3}{4}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{4}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $K_{6}, K_{4}>0$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g \partial_{x}^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq 2\left\|\Delta_{g} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+2\left\|\partial_{x} g \partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find a suitable uper bound for $I(u(0,)$.$) , we use the relation$

$$
\left|\partial_{t} u\right|^{2}=\left|u \wedge \Delta_{g} u\right|^{2}=\left|\Delta_{g} u\right|^{2}-g^{2}\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{4}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u(0, .)) & =2\left\|\Delta_{g} u(0, .)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}-\frac{5}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{2}\left|\partial_{x} u(0, .)\right|^{4} d x \\
& \leq 2\left\|\Delta_{g} u(0, .)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\frac{5}{2} K_{4}^{4}\left\|\partial_{x} u(0, .)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{3}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} u(0, .)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{2.64}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, inequalities (2.56), (2.62), (2.63) and (2.64) together with $g \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ allow, by using Hölder inequality, to upper-bound the second member of (2.61) by

$$
C_{1}+C_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|\partial_{t} u(\tau)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\Delta_{g} u(\tau)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\right) d \tau
$$

where the two constants above depend on $g$ and $\left\|\partial_{x} u(0, .)\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}$.

Corollary 2.10 Under the assumptions of lemma 2.9, we have for all $t \in] 0, T[$

$$
\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} u(t, .)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq D_{1} e^{D_{2} t}
$$

where $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are two positive constants depending on $g$ and $\left\|\partial_{x} u(0, .)\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}$.
Proof. Let

$$
\psi(t)=\left\|\partial_{t} u(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} u(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}
$$

Inequality (2.55) implies that

$$
\psi(t) \leq C_{1}+C_{2} \int_{0}^{t} \psi(\tau) d \tau
$$

then conclusion follows from Grönwall lemma.
Lemma 2.11 Let $g \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, W^{3, \infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ be such that there exists $\alpha>0$ with $g \geq \alpha$. Let $T>0$ and $u:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^{2}$ be a solution for (1.2) such that $\partial_{x} u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Then there exist $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ depending on $g$ and $\left\|\partial_{x} u(0, .)\right\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R})}$ such that for almost every $\left.t \in\right] 0, T[$ we have

$$
\left\|\partial_{t} \partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{3} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq C_{1}+C_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|\partial_{t} \partial_{x} u(\tau)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{3} u(\tau)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\right) d \tau
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \cdot \Delta_{g}^{2} u=\Delta_{g}\left(u \cdot \Delta_{g} u\right)-2 g \partial_{x} u \cdot \partial_{x} \Delta_{g} u-\left|\Delta_{g} u\right|^{2} \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get by combining $(2.57),(2.58)$ and (2.65)

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t}^{2} u+\Delta_{g}^{2} u= & u \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t}} u-\Delta_{g}\left(g\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}\right)-g\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2} \Delta_{g} u-2 \partial_{x}\left(g\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}\right) \partial_{x} u \\
& -2 g\left(\partial_{x} u \cdot \Delta_{g} u\right) \partial_{x} u-2 g\left(\partial_{x} u \cdot \partial_{x} \Delta_{g} u\right) u-2\left|\Delta_{g} u\right|^{2} \\
= & u \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g}-\Delta_{g}\left(g\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2} u\right)-2 \partial_{x}\left(g\left(\partial_{x} u \cdot \Delta_{g} u\right) u\right) \\
= & u \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g}-2 \Delta_{g}\left(\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2} u\right)+\partial_{x}\left(\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}\left(g \partial_{x} u-\partial_{x} g u\right)\right) . \tag{2.66}
\end{align*}
$$

Deriving (2.66) with respect to $x$ and taking the $L^{2}$-scalar product with $g \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u$, we get by integrating by parts

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left(\left|\partial_{t} \partial_{x} u\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{x} \Delta_{g} u\right|^{2}\right) d x= & -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \partial_{x} \Delta_{g}\left(\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2} u\right) \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}} g \partial_{x}^{2}\left(\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}\left(g \partial_{x} u-g^{\prime} u\right)\right) \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}} g \partial_{x}\left(u \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u\right) \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}} g \partial_{x} \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} u \cdot \partial_{x} \partial_{t} \Delta_{g} u d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{t} g\left|\partial_{x} \Delta_{g} u\right|^{2} d x .( \tag{2.67}
\end{align*}
$$

We upper-bound the $L^{2}$ norm of the right-hand side member of (2.66) by applying the chain rule on operators $\partial_{x} \Delta_{g}$ and $\partial_{x}^{2}$. All the terms of the right hand side member of (2.67) except for

$$
J_{1}=-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{3} \partial_{x}^{3}\left(\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}\right) u \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u d x
$$

can be upper-bounded by $C\left(\left\|\partial_{t} \partial_{x} u(\tau)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{3} u(\tau)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\right)$. To upper-bound $J_{1}$, we integrate by parts hence we get

$$
J_{1}=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x}^{2}\left(\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}\right) \partial_{x}\left(g^{3} u \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u\right) d x
$$

then we develop
$\partial_{x}\left(u \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u\right)=\partial_{x} u \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u+u \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x}^{2} u=\partial_{x} u \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u+u \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x}^{2} u-\partial_{x}^{2}\left(u \cdot \partial_{t} u\right)=-\partial_{x} u \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u-\partial_{x}^{2} u \cdot \partial_{t} u$.
Thus we get

$$
J_{1}=6 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{\prime} g^{2} \partial_{x}^{2}\left(\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}\right) u \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u d x-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{3} \partial_{x}^{2}\left(\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}\right)\left(\partial_{x} u \cdot \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u+\partial_{x}^{2} u \cdot \partial_{t} u\right) d x
$$

and the conclusion holds from Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding.
Corollary 2.12 Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.11, we have for all $t \in] 0, T[$

$$
\left\|\partial_{x}^{3} u(t, .)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq D_{1} e^{D_{2} t}
$$

where $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are two positive constants depending on $g$ and $\left\|\partial_{x} u(0, .)\right\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R})}$.
Proof. The proof is an immediate result of Grönwall lemma.

### 2.5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let $u$ and $\tilde{u}$ be two regular solutions for (1.2) with initial data $u_{0}$ and $\tilde{u}_{0}$ respectively such that $\frac{d \tilde{u}_{0}}{d x}, \frac{d u_{0}}{d x} \in H^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. We denote $\omega=u-\tilde{u}$ and $\omega_{0}=u_{0}-\tilde{u}_{0}$. In what follows, we prove that there exist $C_{k}>0, \quad k=1, . .5$, depending on $g$ and the $H^{2}$ norm of $\frac{d \tilde{u}_{0}}{d x}$ and $\frac{d u_{0}}{d x}$, such that for almost every $t \in] 0, T_{1}[$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq C_{1}\left\|\omega_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+C_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\|\omega(\tau)\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} d \tau \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\partial_{t} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq & C_{3}\left\|\omega_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+C_{4}\left(\left\|\left.\partial_{t} \omega\right|_{t=0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} \omega_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\right) \\
& +C_{5} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|\partial_{t} \omega(\tau)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} \omega(\tau)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\right) d \tau . \tag{2.69}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (2.10) and (2.66) on $u$ and $\tilde{u}$ and subtracting, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \omega=z \wedge \Delta_{g} \omega+\omega \wedge \Delta_{g} z \tag{2.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t}^{2} \omega+\Delta_{g}^{2} \omega= & z \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} \omega+\omega \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} z-2 \Delta_{g}(g Q \omega)+\partial_{x}\left(Q\left(g \partial_{x} \omega-\partial_{x} g \omega\right)\right) \\
& -4 \Delta_{g}\left(g\left(\partial_{x} z \cdot \partial_{x} \omega\right) z\right)+2 \partial_{x}\left(\left(\partial_{x} z \cdot \partial_{x} \omega\right)\left(g \partial_{x} z-\partial_{x} g z\right)\right) \tag{2.71}
\end{align*}
$$

with $z=\frac{1}{2}(u+\tilde{u})$ and $Q=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{x} \tilde{u}\right|^{2}\right)$. Multiplying (2.70) by $\omega$, we find that $|\omega|^{2}=2(z \wedge$ $\left.\Delta_{g} \omega\right) \cdot \omega$, which means that $\omega \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, integrating by parts and using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\omega|^{2} & =-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left(\omega \wedge \partial_{x} z\right) \cdot \partial_{x} \omega \\
& \leq 2\left\|g \partial_{x} z\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}\|\omega\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\left\|\partial_{x} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \leq\left\|g \partial_{x} z\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}\|\omega\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \tag{2.72}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we take the $L^{2}$-scalar product in (2.70) with $\Delta_{g} \omega$. Integrating by parts and using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left|\partial_{x} \omega\right|^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{t}\left|\partial_{x} \omega\right|^{2}-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \partial_{x}\left(\omega \wedge \Delta_{g} z\right) \cdot \partial_{x} \omega \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{t}\left|\partial_{x} \omega\right|^{2}-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left(\omega \wedge \partial_{x} \Delta_{g} z\right) \cdot \partial_{x} \omega \\
& \leq\left(\left\|\partial_{t} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}+\left\|g \partial_{x} \Delta_{g} z\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}\right)\|\omega\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} . \tag{2.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, (2.68) holds from Corollaries 2.10 and 2.12 and from Sobolev's embedding ${ }^{3}$ after summing (2.72) and (2.73).

Finally, taking the $L^{2}$-scalar product in (2.71) with $\partial_{t} \omega$ and integrating by parts, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left|\partial_{t} \omega\right|^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g} \omega\right|^{2}\right)=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}-2 E_{1}-4 E_{2}+E_{3}+2 E_{4}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} \omega \cdot \Delta_{g} \omega \\
I_{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} z \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} \omega \cdot \partial_{t} \omega, \quad I_{3}=\int_{R} \omega \wedge \Delta_{\partial_{t} g} z \cdot \partial_{t} \omega \\
E_{1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{g}(g Q \omega) \cdot \partial_{t} \omega, \quad E_{3}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x}\left(Q\left(g \partial_{x} \omega-g^{\prime} \omega\right)\right) \cdot \partial_{t} \omega \\
E_{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{g}\left(g\left(\partial_{x} z \cdot \partial_{x} \omega\right) z\right) \cdot \partial_{t} \omega, \quad E_{4}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x}\left(\left(\partial_{x} z \cdot \partial_{x} \omega\right)\left(g \partial_{x} z-g^{\prime} z\right)\right) \cdot \partial_{t} \omega .
\end{gathered}
$$

The terms $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, E_{1}, E_{3}$ and $E_{4}$ can be treated by applying Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's embedding $H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Applying the chain rule on $\Delta_{g}$, the term $E_{2}$ can be written

$$
E_{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{2}\left(\partial_{x} z \cdot \partial_{x}^{3} \omega\right)\left(z \cdot \partial_{t} \omega\right)+E_{21}
$$

where $E_{21}$ can be treated by Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's embedding. Finally, we have $z \cdot \partial_{t} \omega=$ $-\omega \cdot \partial_{t} z$ (since $|u|^{2}-|\tilde{u}|^{2}=0$ ) and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{2}\left(\partial_{x} z \cdot \partial_{x}^{3} \omega\right)\left(z \cdot \partial_{t} \omega\right)=-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{\prime} g\left(\partial_{x} z \cdot \partial_{x}^{2} \omega\right)\left(z \cdot \partial_{t} \omega\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{2} \partial_{x}^{2} \omega \cdot \partial_{x}\left(\left(\omega \cdot \partial_{t} z\right) \partial_{x} z\right)
$$

which is now in a suitable form to be upper-bounded as above. This yields the desired claim at the $H^{2}$ level.

### 2.6 Proof of Theorem 1.5

We construct a solution $\gamma \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, H_{l o c}^{3}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ for the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \gamma=g(t, x, \gamma) \partial_{x} \gamma \wedge \partial_{x}^{2} \gamma,  \tag{2.74}\\
\gamma(0, .)=\gamma_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^2]as a limit, when $h \rightarrow 0$, of a sequence $\left\{\gamma_{h}\right\}_{h}$ of solutions for the semi-discrete system
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \gamma_{h}}{d t}=g_{h} D^{+} \gamma_{h} \wedge D^{2} \gamma_{h}, \quad t>0,  \tag{2.75}\\
\gamma_{h}(0)=\gamma_{h}^{0}
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

where $\gamma_{h}^{0}=\left\{\gamma_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{h}}$ is such that $\left|D^{+} \gamma_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=1$, and $g_{h}=\left\{g\left(t, x_{i}, \gamma_{h}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right\}_{i}$. We denote $u_{h}=D^{+} \gamma_{h}, g_{h}^{t}=\partial_{t} g\left(t, x_{i}, \gamma\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ and $\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}=D^{+}\left(g_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right)$. Then, applying $D^{+}$on (2.75), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}=u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} \tag{2.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \sum_{i}\left(g \gamma_{h}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)= & \sum_{i} \frac{d \gamma_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)}{d t} \cdot \nabla_{\gamma} g\left(t, x_{i}, \gamma_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\left|D^{-} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i} g_{h}^{t}\left(x_{i}\right)\left|D^{-} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\sum_{i}\left(g_{h} D^{-} u_{h} \cdot D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using Lemma 1.16, we obtain

$$
h \sum_{i}\left(g_{h} D^{-} u_{h} \cdot D^{-} \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=-\left(\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}, \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h}=0
$$

Thus, using $\frac{d \gamma_{h}}{d t}=g_{h} u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h}$, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \sum_{i}\left(g_{h}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right)\left(x_{i}\right) \leq & \left\|\nabla_{\gamma} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}} \sum_{i}\left(g_{h}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right)\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& +\left\|\partial_{t} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \sum_{i}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} . \tag{2.77}
\end{align*}
$$

To get another estimate in $\left|\Delta_{g_{h}}\right| h$, we derive (2.76) with respect to $t$. This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2} u_{h}}{d t^{2}}= & \frac{d u_{h}}{d t} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u h+u_{h} \wedge \frac{d}{d t} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} \\
= & \left(u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} \\
& +u_{h} \wedge\left(D^{+}\left(\frac{d \gamma_{h}}{d t} \cdot \nabla g\left(\gamma_{h}\right) D^{-} u_{h}\right)+\Delta_{g_{h}}\left(\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)+\Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}\right) \tag{2.78}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we denote

$$
\tilde{\Delta}_{g_{h}} u_{h}=D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left(u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \nabla g\left(\gamma_{h}\right)\right) D^{-} u_{h}\right)
$$

then (2.78) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u_{h}}{d t^{2}}=\left(u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}+u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}}\left(u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)+u_{h} \wedge\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{g_{h}} u_{h}+\Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}\right) \tag{2.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating the same calculus as in (2.32), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u_{h}}{d t^{2}}+\Delta_{g_{h}}^{2} u_{h}=\left(u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}-\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|^{2} u_{h}+\left(u_{h} \cdot \Delta_{g_{h}}^{2} u_{h}\right) u_{h}+u_{h} \wedge\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{g_{h}} u_{h}+\Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}\right)+E \tag{2.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E= & \frac{h^{2}}{2} D^{+}\left[g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h}\right)^{2} D^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right] \\
& -g_{h} D^{-}\left(A_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{-} u_{h}-g_{h}\left(D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{-} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{-} u_{h} \\
& -\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+}\left(A_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h}-\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(D^{+} u_{h} \cdot \tau^{+} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right) D^{+} u_{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the $L_{h}^{2}$-scalar product in (2.80) with $\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}$ and using both $u_{h} \cdot \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}=0$ and $\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h} \cdot \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}=0$, we get by integration by parts

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left(\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}, \Delta_{g_{h}}\left(\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)\right)_{h}=I+\left(u_{h} \wedge \tilde{\Delta}_{g_{h}} u_{h}, \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h}
$$

where $I=\left(E, \frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)_{h}$. We have

$$
\Delta_{g_{h}}\left(\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right)=\frac{d}{d t} \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}-\tilde{\Delta}_{g_{h}} u_{h}-\Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}
$$

Consequently,
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)=I+\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{g_{h}} u_{h}+\Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}, \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)_{h}+\left(u_{h} \wedge\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{g_{h}} u_{h}+\Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}\right), u_{h} \wedge \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)_{h}$.
We know that $g_{h}$ and $D^{+} g_{h}$ are upper-bounded in norm $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L_{h}^{\infty}\right)$ by $\beta=\|g\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{\infty}\right)}$ and $\beta^{\prime}=\left\|\partial_{x} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{\infty}\right)}+\left\|\nabla_{\gamma} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{\infty}\right)}$ respectively. Thus by following the same calculus in the proof Theorem 1.3, we find that there exists $C_{1}=C_{1}\left(\alpha, \beta, \beta^{\prime}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \leq C_{1}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}\left(\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}\right) \tag{2.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find a suitable upper bound for the term $\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{g_{h}} u_{h}, \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)_{h}$, we first rewrite

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Delta}_{g_{h}} u_{h}= & D^{+}\left(g_{h}\left(u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \nabla_{\gamma} g\left(\gamma_{h}\right)\right) D^{-} u_{h}\right) \\
= & \left(u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \nabla_{\gamma} g\left(\gamma_{h}\right)\right) \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}+\tau^{+} g_{h} D^{+}\left(u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \nabla_{\gamma} g\left(\gamma_{h}\right)\right) D^{+} u_{h} \\
= & \left(u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h} \cdot \nabla_{\gamma} g\left(\gamma_{h}\right)\right) \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}+\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(u_{h} \wedge D^{2} u_{h} \cdot \nabla_{\gamma} g\left(\gamma_{h}\right)\right) D^{+} u_{h} \\
& +\tau^{+} g_{h}\left(u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h} \cdot D^{+}\left(\nabla_{\gamma} g\left(\gamma_{h}\right)\right)\right) D^{+} u_{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The term $D^{+}\left(\nabla_{\gamma} g\left(\gamma_{h}\right)\right)$ is upper-bounded in norm $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L_{h}^{\infty}\right)$ by $\beta^{\prime \prime}=\left\|\partial_{x} \nabla_{\gamma} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{\infty}\right)}+$ $\left\|\nabla_{\gamma}^{2} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{\infty}\right)}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{g_{h}} u_{h}, \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)_{h} \leq & \beta^{\prime}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\beta^{\prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\left|\tau^{+} D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h} \\
& +\beta \beta^{\prime \prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h} . \tag{2.82}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, we have $\Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}=D^{+} g_{h}^{t} D^{-} u_{h}+\tau^{+} g_{h}^{t} D^{2} u_{h}$, then the two terms $\tau^{+} g_{h}^{t}$ and $D^{+} g_{h}^{t}$ are upper-bounded in norm $L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L_{h}^{\infty}\right)$ by $\beta_{1}=\left\|\partial_{t} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{\infty}\right)}$ and $\beta_{1}^{\prime}=\left\|\partial_{t} \partial_{x} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{\infty}\right)}+$ $\left\|\partial_{t} \nabla_{\gamma} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{\infty}\right)}$ respectively. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{g_{h}^{t}} u_{h}, \Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right)_{h} \leq\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime}\left|D^{-} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta_{1}\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}\right)\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h} \tag{2.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using inequality $\left|\tau^{+} D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h} \leq\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\beta^{\prime}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}$ together with (2.77), (2.81), (2.83) and (2.82), we find that there exists $C=C\left(\alpha, \beta, \beta_{1}, \beta^{\prime}, \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}+h \sum_{i}\left[g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right]\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \leq & C\left(\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2}+\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}+\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of Lemma 1.15, there exist $\tilde{C}>0$ and $C=C\left(\alpha, \beta^{\prime}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2} \leq C\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2} \leq C \tilde{C}\left(\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}\right) .
$$

This implies the existence of two constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ depending on $\alpha, \beta, \beta_{1}, \beta^{\prime} \beta_{1}^{\prime}$, and $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}+h \sum_{i}\left[g_{h}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|^{2}\right]\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \leq C_{1}\left(\left|\Delta_{g_{h}} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2}\right)^{2}+C_{2} \tag{2.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

We construct now the sequence $\left\{\gamma_{h}^{0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{h} \gamma_{h}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma_{0} \quad \text { in }  \tag{2.85}\\
Q_{h} L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \\
Q_{h}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{d \gamma_{0}}{d x} \quad \text { in } \quad L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \\
Q_{h} D^{2} \gamma_{h}^{0} \rightarrow \frac{d^{2} \gamma_{0}}{d x^{2}} \quad \text { in } \\
L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \\
Q_{h} D^{3} \gamma_{h}^{0} \rightarrow \frac{d^{3} \gamma_{0}}{d x^{3}}
\end{array} \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) .\right.
$$

Thus we have
Lemma 2.13 There exists $T_{1}>0$ such that
i) The two sequences $\left\{P_{h} \gamma_{h}\right\}_{h}$ and $\left\{P_{h} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ are upper-bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, H_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.
ii) The sequences $\left\{\partial_{t} P_{h} u_{h}\right\}_{h},\left\{\partial_{t} P_{h} \gamma_{h}\right\}_{h},\left\{P_{h} D^{+} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ and $\left\{P_{h} D^{2} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ are upper-bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

Proof. Following the same steps in the proof of Lemma 2.8, we find that there exists $T_{1}>0$ and $M>0$ such that for almost every $t \in] 0, T_{1}[$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|D^{2} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2}+\left|\frac{d u_{h}}{d t}\right|_{h}^{2} \leq M \tag{2.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove i), let $L>0$. For some $1>h>0$, we denote $N=E\left(\frac{L}{h}\right)+1$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{h} \gamma_{h}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}(-L, L)} \leq \sqrt{2 L}\left|P_{h} \gamma_{h}(t, 0)\right|+(2 L+1)\left\|\partial_{x} P_{h} \gamma_{h}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(-L, L)} \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|P_{h} \gamma_{h}(t, 0)\right| & =\left|\gamma_{h}(t, 0)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\gamma_{h}(0,0)\right|+T_{1}\left\|\frac{d}{d t} \gamma_{h}(., 0)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq\left|\gamma_{h}(0,0)\right|+T_{1} \beta\left\|D^{-} u_{h}(., 0)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq\left|\gamma_{h}(0,0)\right|+T_{1} \beta \sup _{\tau \in[0, T]}\left|D^{-} u_{h}(\tau, .)\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}},
\end{aligned}
$$

inequality (2.86) together with Lemma 1.15 imply the existence of a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{h} \gamma_{h}(t, 0)\right| \leq\left|\gamma_{h}^{0}(0)\right|+C T_{1} \beta \sqrt{M} \tag{2.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $t \in] 0, T_{1}[$. To treat the second term of the right-hand side of (2.87), we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x} P_{h} \gamma_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(-L, L)}^{2}=\sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left|D^{+} \gamma_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq 2 L+h \tag{2.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence we find that for almost every $t \in] 0, T_{1}\left[,{ }^{4}\right.$

$$
\left\|P_{h} \gamma_{h}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}(-L, L)} \leq \sqrt{2 L}\left(\left|\gamma_{0}(0)\right|+C T_{1} \beta \sqrt{M}\right)+(2 L+1)^{2}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{h} u_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(-L, L)}^{2} & =\sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left|\frac{x_{i}-x}{h} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)+\frac{x-x_{i}}{h} u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\sum_{i} h\left|\frac{u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)-u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)}{h}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \frac{h}{3}\left(\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2}+u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right) u_{h}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right)+\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{h}^{2} \\
& \leq 2 L+1+M
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of i).
Property ii) is an immediate result of (2.88) and Lemma 1.14.

Lemma 2.13 together with Lemma 2.3 imply the existence of $u, \gamma \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right), \omega, v \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, and two subsequences $\left\{\gamma_{h}\right\}_{h}$ and $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{h} \gamma_{h} \rightarrow \gamma \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T_{1}, L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \text { and almost everywhere, }  \tag{2.90}\\
\partial_{t} P_{h} \gamma_{h} \rightarrow \partial_{t} \gamma \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \quad \text { weak star, } \\
P_{h} u_{h} \rightarrow u \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T_{1}, L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \text { and almost everywhere, } \\
P_{h} D^{-} u_{h} \rightarrow v \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \quad \text { weak star, } \\
P_{h} D^{2} u_{h} \rightarrow w \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \quad \text { weak star. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows that $\left\{\partial_{x} P_{h} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $\partial_{x} u$ in the sense of distributions and, since $\partial_{x} P_{h} u_{h}=Q_{h} D^{+} u_{h}$, we also have $\partial_{x} u=v \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

We now prove that $\left\{P_{h}\left(g_{h} u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right\}_{h}$ converges to $g(\gamma) u \wedge \partial_{x} u$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ weak star. We first note that

$$
Q_{h}\left(g_{h} u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h}\right)=g\left(Q_{h} \gamma_{h}\right) Q_{h} u_{h} \wedge Q_{h} D^{-} u_{h}
$$

This implies that the sequence $\left\{Q_{h}\left(g_{h} u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right\}_{h}$ converges to $g(\gamma) u \wedge \partial_{x} u$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ weak star. In view of Lemma 2.1, the two sequences $\left\{Q_{h}\left(g_{h} u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right\}_{h}$ and $\left\{P_{h}\left(g_{h} u_{h} \wedge D^{-} u_{h}\right)\right\}_{h}$ converge to the same limit. Since $\left\{\partial_{t} P_{h} \gamma_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $\partial_{t} \gamma$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ weak star, we finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \gamma=g(\gamma) u \wedge \partial_{x} u \tag{2.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus to complete this proof, it suffices to show that $\partial_{x} \gamma=u$ and that $\partial_{x}^{2} u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. The sequence $\left\{\partial_{x} P_{h} \gamma_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $\partial_{x} \gamma$ in the sense of distributions. On the other hand, we have $\partial_{x} P_{h} \gamma_{h}=Q_{h} D^{+} \gamma_{h}=Q_{h} u_{h}$, and the sequence $\left\{Q_{h} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $u$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L_{\text {loc }}^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Indeed, for $L>0$ and $N=E\left(\frac{L}{h}\right)+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|Q_{h} u_{h}-P_{h} u_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(-L, L)}^{2} & \leq \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left|D^{+} u_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{2}{3} N\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{L_{h}^{\infty}}^{2} h^{3} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{3} C(L+h)\left|D^{+} u_{h}\right|_{H_{h}^{1}}^{2} h^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{3} C M(L+h) h^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^3]hence
$$
\partial_{x} \gamma=u
$$

The sequence $\left\{\partial_{x} P_{h} D^{-} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converges to $\partial_{x}^{2} u$ in the sense of distributions. We have $\partial_{x} P_{h} D^{-} u_{h}=$ $Q_{h} D^{2} u_{h}$, and in view of Lemma 2.1, the two sequences $\left\{Q_{h} D^{2} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ and $\left\{P_{h} D^{2} u_{h}\right\}_{h}$ converge to the same limit in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ weak star. Thus $\partial_{x}^{2} u=w \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{1}, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Boîte Courrier 187, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France. E-mail: tartousi@ann.jussieu.fr

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ To give sense to the notation $\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h}$, we can consider $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$to be a strictly decreasing function which goes to zero when $n \rightarrow+\infty$. We have made this choice for its simplicity.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ There exists $C>0$ such that

    $$
    \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}, \forall u \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) .
    $$

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ It is possible to define $\left\{\gamma_{h}^{0}\right\}_{h}$ by
    hence $\gamma_{h}^{0}(0)=\gamma_{0}(0)$.

