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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider rods whose thickness vary linearly between $\epsilon$ and $\epsilon^{2}$. Our aim is to study the asymptotic behavior of these rods in the framework of the linear elasticity. We use a decomposition method of the displacement fields of the form $u=U_{e}+\bar{u}$, where $U_{e}$ stands for the translation-rotations of the cross-sections and $\bar{u}$ is related to their deformations. We establish a priori estimates. Passing to the limit in a fixed domain gives the problems satisfied by the bending, the stretching and the torsion limit fields which are ordinary differential equations depending on weights.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we are interested in analyzing the asymptotic behavior of a thin rod with different order of thickness in the framework of the linear elasticity. We consider a straight rod of fixed length where the cross-sections are bounded Lipschitz domains with small diameter of order varying between $\epsilon$ and $\epsilon^{2}$. To be more precise, the order of the thickness of the rod is given by $\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}(\cdot)$ where $\rho_{\epsilon}(\cdot)$ is a linear function depending on the cross-section of the rod such that it is 1 at the bottom and $\epsilon$ at the top of the rod. We investigate how the variable thickness of the rod affects to the a priori estimates and the limit problems.

Since the diameter of the rod tends to zero, this work belongs to the field of elliptic problems posed on thin domains. Many fields of science involve the study of thin domains, for example in solid mechanics (thin rods, plates, shells), fluid dynamics (lubrication, meteorology problems, ocean dynamics), physiology (blood circulation), etc. There are many papers dedicated to the study of the thin structures from the point of view of the elasticity, see e.g. [22, 21] for models of rods and [3, 4] for plates and shells.

Our work is based on the decomposition of a displacement of the rod according to [19]. Every displacement of the rod is the sum of an elementary displacement, it characterizes the translation and the rotation of the cross-sections, and a warping which is the residual displacement related to the deformation of the crosssection. This decomposition of the rod was introduced in [15] and [16] and it allows to obtain the Korn inequality as well as the asymptotic behavior of the strain tensor of a sequence of displacements in a simple and effective way.

The notion of the elementary displacement together with the unfolding method (see [8, 9]) has led to a new method in elasticity which has been successfully applied to many problems, see e.g [5, 6, 7] and

[^0][13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19. References and other applications of the unfolding operator technique can be found in [10, 11, 12, 1].

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the geometry of the rod, introduce the decomposition of a displacement field of the rod and we give some estimates of the decomposition fields in terms of the strain energy (Theorem 2.3). The proof of the Theorem 2.3 is based on the approximation of the displacement of the rod by a rigid body displacement. Of course, the estimates may depend on the function $\rho_{\epsilon}(\cdot)$.

Section 3 is dedicated to get a priori estimates for the different fields assuming that the rod is clamped at the bottom. These estimates have an essential importance in our study to pass to the limit. Moreover, we introduce the rescaling operator $\Pi_{\epsilon}$ which allows to work in a fixed domain. One particular feature of this transformation is that the ratio of the dilation of the fixed rod depends on the third variable, it is given by the function $\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}(\cdot)$. Then a special care is dedicated to the estimate of the derivatives with respect to the third variable.

In Section 4 we give the limit of the displacements and we show a few relations between some of them. Since some of the a priori estimates established depend on the variable thickness $\rho_{\epsilon}(\cdot)$ we introduce some weighted Sobolev spaces which allow to obtain the limit fields in a natural way. In Section 5 we pose the problem of elasticity and we specify the assumptions on the applied forces. We show that the choice of the applied forces is reasonable to get the suitable estimate of the total elastic energy, so that the convergence results of the previous sections can be used. In Section 6 we derive the equations satisfied by the limit fields and we prove the strong convergence of the energy. Moreover, we deduce some strong convergences of the fields of the displacement's decomposition. Finally, in Section 7 we summarize the main results.

## 2. Decomposition of the displacement of a straight rod with different order of thickness

Let $\omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with Lipschitzian boundary, diameter equal to $R$ and star-shaped with respect to a disc of radius $R_{1}$. We choose the origin $O$ of coordinates at the center of gravity of $\omega$ and we choose as coordinates axes $\left(O ; \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(O ; \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)$ the principal axes of inertia of $\omega$. Notice that, with this reference frame we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega} x_{1} d x_{1} d x_{2}=\int_{\omega} x_{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}=\int_{\omega} x_{1} x_{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cross-section $\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}$ of the rod is obtained by transforming $\omega$ with a dilatation of center $O$ and ratio $\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)$, where

$$
\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)=1-\frac{x_{3}}{L}\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{L}\right), \quad x_{3} \in[0, L] .
$$

We assume $0<\epsilon<L / 2$ and $0<R_{1}<1 / 2$ without loss of generality.
Definition 2.1. The straight rod is defined as follows:

$$
\Omega_{\epsilon}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x_{3} \in(0, L),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}\right\},
$$

where $\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)}\right) \in \omega\right.\right\}=\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \omega$.


Figure 1: Straight $\operatorname{rod} \Omega_{\epsilon}$.
Notice that the center line of the straight rod is the coordinate axis $\left(O ; \mathbf{e}_{3}\right)$. Moreover, the thickness of the thin rod depends on $x_{3}$, it is given by the function $\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)=\epsilon-\frac{x_{3}}{L} \epsilon\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{L}\right)$. Observe that the diameter of the lower boundary is order $\epsilon$ while the diameter of the upper boundary is order $\epsilon^{2} / L$. (See Figure 1.)

Now, we define an elementary displacement associated to a displacement of the rod.
Definition 2.2. The elementary displacement $U_{e}$, associated to $u \in L^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, is given by:

$$
U_{e}(x)=\mathcal{U}\left(x_{3}\right)+\mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right), \quad x \in \Omega_{\epsilon}
$$

where for a.e. $x_{3} \in(0, L)$

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathcal{U}\left(x_{3}\right) & =\frac{1}{|\omega| \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{2} \epsilon^{2}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}} u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}  \tag{2.2}\\
\mathcal{R}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) & =\frac{1}{\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right) \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{4} \epsilon^{4}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}}\left[\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3} d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}\left(x_{3}\right) & =\frac{1}{\left(I_{3-\alpha}\right) \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{4} \epsilon^{4}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}}\left[\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\alpha} d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
I_{\alpha} & =\int_{\omega} x_{\alpha}^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}, \text { for } \alpha \in\{1,2\}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The first component $\mathcal{U}$ of $U_{e}$ is the displacement of the center line. The second component $\mathcal{R}$ represents the rotation of the cross-section. Under the action of an elementary displacement the cross-section $\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}$ is translated by $\mathcal{U}\left(x_{3}\right)$ and it is rotated around the vector $\mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right)$ with an angle $\left\|\mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right)\right\|_{2}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ is the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Observe that, the torsion of the rod is given by the displacement $\mathcal{R}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3} \wedge\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\right.$ $x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}$ ).

Any displacement $u$ of the rod can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=U_{e}+\bar{u} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The displacement $\bar{u}$ is the warping.
Next theorem gives estimates of the components of the elementary displacement $U_{e}$ and of the warping $\bar{u}$ in terms of $\epsilon, \rho_{\epsilon}$ and of the strain energy of the displacement $u$. Notice that if $u$ belongs to $H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)$ the functions $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ belong to $H^{1}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $u=U_{e}+\bar{u}$ the decomposition given by (2.2)-(2.3). Then the following estimates hold:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|\frac{\bar{u}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C \epsilon\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}},  \tag{2.4}\\
\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \\
\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}^{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \\
\|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right]^{9}} \leq C\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The constants are independent of $\epsilon$ and $L$.
Proof. To prove the above estimates we are going to introduce a partition of the rod $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ in several small portions where every of these small rods are star-shaped with respect to suitable balls which verify that the ratio between their radius and the diameters of the portions remains uniformly bounded. Then we use the approximation of the displacement $u$ by a rigid body displacement in each portion, (see Theorem 2.3 in [19]).

Step 1. Construction of the partition.
We start by considering the first portion of the rod

$$
\Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x_{3} \in(0, \epsilon),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}\right\}
$$

First, notice that $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}$ has a diameter less than $(R+1) \epsilon$ and all the cross-sections of $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}$ are star-shaped with respect to a disc of radius $R_{1} \epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)$. Therefore, by a simple geometrical argument, it is easy to check that this portion is star-shaped with respect to a ball of radius $R_{1} \epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)$.

We consider now a partition of the interval [0,L] defined as

$$
s_{\epsilon}^{0}=0<s_{\epsilon}^{1}=\epsilon<s_{\epsilon}^{2}=s_{\epsilon}^{1}+\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{1}\right)<\cdots<s_{\epsilon}^{N_{\epsilon}}=s_{\epsilon}^{N_{\epsilon}-1}+\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{N_{\epsilon}-1}\right) \leq L \leq s_{\epsilon}^{N_{\epsilon}+1}=s_{\epsilon}^{N_{\epsilon}}+\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{N_{\epsilon}}\right) .
$$

Hence, the points of the partition $\left\{s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right\}$ are the elements of an arithmetico-geometric sequence

$$
s_{\epsilon}^{k}=\epsilon \frac{1-\rho_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)^{k}}{1-\rho_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)} \Longrightarrow \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{\epsilon}^{k}=\frac{\epsilon}{1-\rho_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)}=\frac{L}{1-\frac{\epsilon}{L}}>L
$$

It makes sense to define $N_{\epsilon}$ as the largest integer such that $s_{\epsilon}^{N_{\epsilon}} \leq L$.
The $(k+1)$-portion of the rod is defined as

$$
\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x_{3} \in\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k}+\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}\right\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N_{\epsilon}-2
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{\epsilon}^{N_{\epsilon}-1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x_{3} \in\left(s^{N_{\epsilon}-1}, L\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}\right\} .
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\Omega_{\epsilon}=\operatorname{Int}\left\{\bigcup_{k=0}^{N_{\epsilon}-1} \overline{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}}\right\}
$$



Figure 2: Partition of straight $\operatorname{rod} \Omega_{\epsilon}$.
Step 2. Rigid body approximation of $u$ in the portions.
Since $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\left(0 \leq k \leq N_{\epsilon}-2\right)$ is obtained by transforming $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}$ by a dilation of ratio $\rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)$ we can conclude that $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\left(0 \leq k \leq N_{\epsilon}-2\right)$ is star-shaped with respect to a ball of radius $R_{1} \epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)$ and its diameter is less than $(R+1) \epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)$. Moreover, the last portion $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{N_{\epsilon}-1}$ is star-shaped with respect to a ball of radius $R_{1} \epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{N_{\epsilon}+1}\right)$ and its diameter is less than $2(R+1) \epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{N_{\epsilon}-1}\right)$.

From Theorem 2.3 in [19] there exists a rigid body displacement $r_{k}\left(0 \leq k \leq N_{\epsilon}-1\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u-r_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} & \leq C(R+1)^{2} \epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2}, \\
\left\|\nabla\left(u-r_{k}\right)\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} & \leq C(R+1)^{2}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The constants depend only on the reference cross-section $\omega$ and on the ratio between the diameter of the portion and the radius of the ball inside (see Theorem 2.3 in [19])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(R+1) \epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)}{R_{1} \epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)}=\frac{R+1}{R_{1}} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)-\frac{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)}{L}\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{L}\right)}=\frac{R+1}{R_{1}} \frac{1}{\rho_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)} \leq \frac{4}{3} \frac{R+1}{R_{1}}, 0 \leq k \leq N_{\epsilon}-2 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that for the last portion the ratio is less than $4 \frac{R+1}{R_{1}}$.
Step 3. First estimate in (2.4).
Recall that the rigid body displacements $r_{k}$ are of the form

$$
r_{k}(x)=A_{k}+B_{k} \wedge\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\left(x_{3}-s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3}\right), \quad x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega_{\epsilon}^{k} \text { and } A_{k}, B_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{3} .
$$

Now, we are going to prove $\left(0 \leq k \leq N_{\epsilon}-2\right)^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{U}-A_{k}-B_{k} \wedge\left(x_{3}-s_{\epsilon, k}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}-B_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The constants do not depend on $k$ and $\epsilon$.
The proof is similar for both inequalities, we will show only the first one. Taking the mean value of $u-r_{k}$ over the cross-sections of the portion $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}$ and by the definition of the elementary displacement and 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| \mathcal{U} & -A_{k}-B_{k} \wedge\left(x_{3}-s_{\epsilon, k}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3} \|_{L^{2}\left(\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}=\int_{s_{\epsilon}^{k}}^{s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}}\left|\mathcal{U}\left(x_{3}\right)-A_{k}-B_{k} \wedge\left(x_{3}-s_{\epsilon, k}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3}\right|^{2} d x_{3} \\
& =\int_{s_{\epsilon}^{k}}^{s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}}\left|\frac{1}{|\omega| \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{2} \epsilon^{2}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}}\left[u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)-r_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right] d x_{1} d x_{2}\right|^{2} d x_{3} \\
& \leq \int_{s_{\epsilon}^{k}}^{s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}} \frac{1}{|\omega| \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{2} \epsilon^{2}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}}\left|u(x)-r_{k}(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|\omega| \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)^{2} \epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}}\left|u(x)-r_{k}(x)\right|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then using 2.5$)_{1}$ and taking into account 2.6 we obtain the expected estimate

$$
\left\|\mathcal{U}-A_{k}-B_{k} \wedge\left(x_{3}-s_{\epsilon, k}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq \frac{C(R+1)^{2} \epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)^{2}}{|\omega| \epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} \leq C\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2}
$$

where the constant does not depend on $\epsilon$ and $k$.
Consequently, from 2.7) and 2.8, taking into account the definition of the elementary displacement and $\int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}} x_{\alpha}^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}=\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{4} I_{\alpha}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|U_{e}-r_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)} \leq\left\|\mathcal{U}-A_{k}-B_{k} \wedge\left(x_{3}-s_{\epsilon, k}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)}+\left\|\left(\mathcal{R}-B_{k}\right) \wedge\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{s_{\epsilon}^{k}}^{s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}}|\omega| \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{2} \epsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{U}\left(x_{3}\right)-A_{k}-B_{k} \wedge\left(x_{3}-s_{\epsilon, k}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3}\right|^{2} d x_{3}+C \epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)^{4}\left\|\mathcal{R}-B_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq C \epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can replace $r_{k}$ by $U_{e}$ in $2.5{ }_{1}$

$$
\left\|u-U_{e}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq C \epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)^{2}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2}
$$

Moreover, since $1 \leq \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)} \leq 2$ for $x_{3} \in\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)$, we get

$$
\left\|\frac{u-U_{e}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq C \epsilon^{2}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} .
$$

Adding all these inequalities lead to the first estimate involving the warping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{u-U_{e}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq C \epsilon^{2}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4. Second estimate in 2.4.

First of all, we compute the derivative of $\mathcal{U}$ with respect to $x_{3}$. Since the diameter of the cross-section depends on $x_{3}$ we rewrite $\mathcal{U}$ performing a change of variables

$$
\mathcal{U}\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{|\omega| \epsilon^{2}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon}} u\left(\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{1}, \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{2}, x_{3}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2}
$$

where $\omega_{\epsilon}=\epsilon \omega$. The derivative is given by

$$
\frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{|\omega| \epsilon^{2}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{1}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{2}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}}\right] d s_{1} d s_{2}, \quad \text { for a.e } x_{3} \in(0, L) .
$$

Undoing the change of variables we get

$$
\frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{|\omega| \epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{2}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}}\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} x_{1} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} x_{2} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}}\right] d x_{1} d x_{2}, \quad \text { for a.e } x_{3} \in(0, L) .
$$

From (2.5 we have

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}-B_{k} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2}, \quad i \in\{1,2,3\} .
$$

Moreover, from (2.8) we may replace $B_{k}$ by $\mathcal{R}$

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2}, \quad i \in\{1,2,3\} .
$$

Adding all these inequalities we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2}, \quad i \in\{1,2,3\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account 2.1 we have for a.e. $x_{3} \in(0, L)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right)-\mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3} & =\frac{1}{|\omega| \epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{2}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}(x)-\mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) x_{1} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}(x)-\mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) x_{2} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)}+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}}(x)-\mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}\right)\right] d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using 2.10 leads to $\left(0 \leq k \leq N_{\epsilon}-2\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)}^{2} & \leq \frac{C}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, since $1 \leq \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)} \leq 2$ for $x_{3} \in\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)}^{2} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]Adding all these inequalities we get the desired estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 5. Third estimate in (2.4).
First of all, we introduce the function:

$$
V\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{4}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}}\left[\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right] d x_{1} d x_{2}
$$

To calculate the derivative with respect to $x_{3}$ we perform a change of variables which allows us to write the function $V$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(x_{3}\right) & \left.=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{2}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge u\left(\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{1}, \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right] d s_{1} d s_{2} \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left(s_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+s_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge u\left(\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{1}, \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right] d s_{1} d s_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then deriving with respect to $x_{3}$ gives (for a.e. $x_{3} \in(0, L)$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d V}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right) & =\frac{-2 \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{2}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left[\left(s_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+s_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge u\left(\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{1}, \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right] d s_{1} d s_{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon}}\left[\left(s_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+s_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{1}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) s_{2}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}}\right)\right] d s_{1} d s_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Undoing the change of variables we have (for a.e. $x_{3} \in(0, L)$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d V}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right) & =\frac{-2 \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{5}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon}, x_{3}}\left[\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right] d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{4}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}}\left[\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)} x_{1}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)} x_{2}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}}\right)\right] d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of 2.1 we can write (for a.e. $x_{3} \in(0, L)$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d V}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{-2 \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{5}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon}, x_{3}}\left[\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge\left(u(x)-\mathcal{U}\left(x_{3}\right)-\mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right)\right] d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{5}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}}\left\{\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge\left[x_{1}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}(x)-\mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)+x_{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}(x)-\mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right)\right\} d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{4}} \int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}}\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \wedge\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}}(x)-\mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using 2.9 and 2.11 leads to $\left(0 \leq k \leq N_{\epsilon}-2\right)^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{d V}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} & \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)^{2}}\left\|\frac{u-U_{e}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\|\frac{\partial u}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)^{4}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right]^{9}\right.}^{2}+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)^{4}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right]^{9}\right.}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^3]$$
\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{4} \rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)^{4}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}^{k}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} .
$$

Thus, since $1 \leq \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)}{\rho_{\epsilon}\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)} \leq 2$ for $x_{3} \in\left(s_{\epsilon}^{k}, s_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\right)$ and adding all these inequalities we have

$$
\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}^{2} \frac{d V}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{4}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2}
$$

Since $\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right) \mathcal{R}=V+\frac{I_{1}}{I_{2}}\left(V \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}+\frac{I_{2}}{I_{1}}\left(V \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \mathbf{e}_{2}$ we get the required estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}^{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{4}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 6. Fourth estimate.
Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\left(u-U_{e}\right) & =\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{\alpha}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}, \quad \text { for } \alpha \in\{1,2\}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\left(u-U_{e}\right) & =\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}}-\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x_{3}}-\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial x_{3}} \wedge\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}+\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}-\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x_{3}}-\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial x_{3}} \wedge\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these expressions and taking into account (2.10, (2.12) and 2.13) we can conclude

$$
\|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} \leq C\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2},
$$

which ends the proof.

## 3. Estimates for the clamped rod at the bottom.

From now on, we will assume that the rod $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ is clamped at the bottom, $\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}=\omega_{\epsilon, 0} \times\{0\}$. Then the space of admissible displacements of the rod is

$$
H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \mid u=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}\right\} .
$$

Observe that the elementary displacement $U_{e}$ associated to any $u \in H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is equal to zero in the fixed part of the rod, $\mathcal{U}(0)=\mathcal{R}(0)=0$.

Using estimates 2.4 and the boundary condition we deduce estimates on $\mathcal{R}, \frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{U}$.
Lemma 3.1. Assuming the rod clamped at the bottom, then we have

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
&\left\|\rho_{\epsilon} \mathcal{R}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \frac{C L}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}},  \tag{3.1}\\
&\left\|\rho_{\epsilon} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)} \leq \frac{C L}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}, \\
&\left\|\rho_{\epsilon} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}, \\
&\left\|\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)} \leq \frac{C L^{2}}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}, \\
&\left\|\mathcal{U}_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)} \leq \frac{C L}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}},
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The constants are independent of $\epsilon$ and $L$.

Proof. We begin with the proof of the first estimate in (3.1). Since $\mathcal{R}(0)=0$ by integration by parts we have

$$
\int_{0}^{L} 2 \rho_{\epsilon}^{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{d \mathcal{R}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right) d x_{3}=-\int_{0}^{L} 3 \rho_{\epsilon}^{2}\left(x_{3}\right) \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \mathcal{R}^{2}\left(x_{3}\right) d x_{3}+\rho_{\epsilon}^{3}(L) \mathcal{R}^{2}(L)
$$

Then taking into account the facts that $\frac{1}{2 L} \leq-\rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{L}\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{L}\right) \leq \frac{1}{L}\left(0<\epsilon<\frac{L}{2}\right)$ and $0 \leq \rho_{\epsilon}^{3}(L) \mathcal{R}^{2}(L)$ we get

$$
\int_{0}^{L} \rho_{\epsilon}^{2}\left(x_{3}\right) \mathcal{R}^{2}\left(x_{3}\right) d x_{3} \leq \frac{2 L}{3} \int_{0}^{L} \rho_{\epsilon}^{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{d \mathcal{R}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right) d x_{3}
$$

Hence, by the Cauchy's inequality it follows that:

$$
\int_{0}^{L} \rho_{\epsilon}^{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \mathcal{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{d \mathcal{R}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right) d x_{3} \leq\left\|\rho_{\epsilon} \mathcal{R}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}^{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}
$$

Finally, the above inequalities together with the third estimate in allow us to obtain the required estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{\epsilon} \mathcal{R}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \frac{2 L}{3}\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}^{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \frac{C L}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant is independent of $\epsilon$ and $L$.
The second estimate follows from $(2.4)_{2}$ and $(3.2)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{\epsilon} \frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge e_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}((0, L))}+\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}\left(\mathcal{R} \wedge e_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \frac{C L}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the second estimate in 2.4 we obtain a better estimate for $\left\|\rho_{\epsilon} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{\epsilon} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)}=\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the estimates for $\mathcal{U}$ follows by a similar computation to $\mathcal{R}$. We first prove

$$
\left\|\mathcal{U}_{i}^{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)} \leq 2 L\left\|\rho_{\epsilon} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{i}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)} \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3
$$

then due to $\left(3.12_{2}-(3.1)_{3} \text { we get }(3.1)_{4}-3.1\right)_{5}$.
In view of the definition of the elementary displacement 2.2 we can write explicitly the components of the displacement, the gradient and the symmetric gradient of the displacement

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}(x)=\mathcal{U}_{1}\left(x_{3}\right)-x_{2} \mathcal{R}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)+\bar{u}_{1}(x)  \tag{3.5}\\
u_{2}(x)=\mathcal{U}_{2}\left(x_{3}\right)+x_{1} \mathcal{R}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)+\bar{u}_{2}(x) \\
u_{3}(x)=\mathcal{U}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)-x_{1} \mathcal{R}_{2}\left(x_{3}\right)+x_{2} \mathcal{R}_{1}\left(x_{3}\right)+\bar{u}_{3}(x) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 3.2. Notice that, due to the definition of $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{R}$ and 2.1 we know that the warping satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}} \bar{u}_{i} d x_{1} d x_{2}=\int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}}\left(x_{1} \bar{u}_{2}-x_{2} \bar{u}_{1}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}=\int_{\omega_{\epsilon, x_{3}}} x_{\alpha} \bar{u}_{3} d x_{1} d x_{2}=0, \quad i \in\{1,2,3\}, \quad \alpha \in\{1,2\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla u=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & -\mathcal{R}_{3}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{d x_{2}} & \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}}-x_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\mathcal{R}_{3}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}}+x_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
-\mathcal{R}_{2}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} & \mathcal{R}_{1}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-x_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{2}}{d x_{3}}+x_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{1}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}}-x_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R}_{2}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \\
* & \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}}+x_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\mathcal{R}_{1}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \\
* & * & \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-x_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{2}}{d x_{3}}+x_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{1}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{array}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

The previous Lemma 3.1 allows us to established the Korn's inequality for any displacement $u \in$ $H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}, 0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

Lemma 3.3. Assuming the rod clamped at the bottom boundary, then we have

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\|\nabla u\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right]^{9}} & \leq C \frac{L}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}},  \tag{3.9}\\
\left\|\frac{u_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)} & \leq C \frac{L^{2}}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}, \quad \text { for } \alpha \in\{1,2\} \\
\left\|\frac{u_{3}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)} & \leq C L\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The constant does not depend on $\epsilon$ and $L$.
Proof. Recall that any displacement $u \in H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ can be written as $u=U_{e}+\bar{u}$. Then we get

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right]^{9}} \leq\left\|\nabla U_{e}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right]^{9}}+\|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right]^{9}}
$$

Using (3.7), 2.4 and (3.1) one has the following estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\nabla U_{e}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right]^{9}} \leq\left\|\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon} \frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\left\|\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon} \mathcal{R} \wedge\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& +\left\|\frac{d \mathcal{R}}{d x_{3}} \wedge\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \frac{C L}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}+\frac{C L}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}+C\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \\
& \leq \frac{C L}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right]^{9}} \leq C\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right]^{9}\right.}$. Consequently, we obtain the first estimate in 3.9).
In view of 3.5 and taking into account estimates 2.4 and 3.1 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{u_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & \leq\left\|\epsilon \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)}+\left\|\frac{x_{3-\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{3}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\bar{u}_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{C L^{2}}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}+C L\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}+C \epsilon\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \\
& \leq \frac{C L^{2}}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}, \quad \text { for } \alpha=1,2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{u_{3}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & \leq\left\|\epsilon \mathcal{U}_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)}+\left\|\frac{x_{1} \mathcal{R}_{2}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)}+\left\|\frac{x_{2} \mathcal{R}_{1}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\bar{u}_{3}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)} \\
& \leq C L\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right]^{9}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

which ends the proof.

### 3.1. Rescaling of the rod

In this paragraph we define an operator which changes the scale. It allows us to transform the rod $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ into a domain independent of $\epsilon$.

Set $\Omega=\omega \times(0, L)$, the reference beam. We rescale $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ using the following operator:

$$
\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \phi\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\phi\left(\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) X_{1}, \epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) X_{2}, x_{3}\right), \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega,
$$

defined for any function $\phi$ measurable on $\Omega_{\epsilon}$.
Observe that, if $\phi \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)$ then $\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \phi\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Pi_{\epsilon} \phi\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{\phi}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, taking into account this above relation, we get the estimate for the rescaled warping $\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}=\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{\bar{u}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to obtain the estimates for the derivatives of the warping observe that for any $\phi \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \phi\right)}{\partial X_{\alpha}} & =\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right), \text { for } \alpha=1,2 \\
\frac{\partial\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \phi\right)}{\partial x_{3}} & =\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime} X_{1} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{1}}\right)+\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime} X_{2} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2}}\right)+\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

We recall that $\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, L)} \leq \frac{1}{L}$, then from (2.4) and (3.10) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{\partial\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}\right)}{\partial X_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & =\left\|\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}, \text { for } \alpha=1,2  \tag{3.13}\\
\left\|\rho_{\epsilon} \frac{\partial\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & \leq \frac{C}{L}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x_{1}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way, all the estimates in the previous sections over $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ can be easily transposed over $\Omega$.

## 4. Asymptotic behavior of a sequence of displacements

Now we consider a sequence of admissible displacements $\left\{u^{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon}$, where $u^{\epsilon} \in H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, satisfying

$$
\left\|\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right)_{S}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \leq C \epsilon^{2}
$$

the constant does not depend on $\epsilon$.
We are interested to describe the behaviour of the sequence $\left\{u^{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In the following proposition we introduce the weak limits of the fields of the displacement's decomposition in the rod. We denote by $\rho\left(x_{3}\right)=1-\frac{x_{3}}{L}, x_{3} \in[0, L]$, the strong limit in $L^{\infty}(0, L)$ of $\rho_{\epsilon}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \rho(t) \leq \rho_{\epsilon}(t) \quad \text { for } t \in[0, L] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all, we introduce certain weighted Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces defined in the interval $(0, L)$.

- $L_{\rho^{k}}^{2}(0, L), k \in \mathbb{N}$, consists of locally summable functions $\varphi:(0, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the following norm:

$$
\|\varphi\|_{L_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(0, L)}=\left(\int_{0}^{L}\left[\rho^{k}(t) \varphi(t)\right]^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Obseve that, there exists a linear homeomorphism of $L^{2}(0, L)$ onto $L_{\rho^{k}}^{2}(0, L)$

$$
T(\psi)=\frac{\psi}{\rho^{k}}, \quad \text { for } \psi \in L^{2}(0, L)
$$

Then $L_{\rho^{k}}^{2}(0, L)=\left\{\varphi \in L_{l o c}^{2}(0, L) \mid \rho^{k} \varphi \in L^{2}(0, L)\right\}$ endowed with the norm above is a Banach space.
Remark 4.1. Observe that if $\left\{\Phi_{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon}$ is a sequence of functions belonging to $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and satisfying $\rho_{\epsilon}^{k} \Phi_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \Psi$ weakly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ then $\Phi_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \Phi=\frac{\Psi}{\rho^{k}}$ weakly in $L_{\rho^{k}}^{2}(\Omega)$. Conversely, if $\left\{\Phi_{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon}$ is a sequence of functions such that $\rho_{\epsilon}^{k} \Phi_{\epsilon}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and satisfies $\Phi_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \Phi$ weakly in $L_{\rho^{k}}^{2}(\Omega)$ then $\rho_{\epsilon}^{k} \Phi_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \rho^{k} \Phi$ weakly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Here $k$ belongs to $\mathbb{N}$.

- We define the space $H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L)$ as follows:

$$
H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L)=\left\{\varphi \in H_{l o c}^{1}(0, L) \mid \rho \varphi^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, L), \varphi \in L^{2}(0, L) \text { and } \varphi(0)=0\right\}
$$

endowed with the following norm:

$$
\|\varphi\|_{H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L)}=\left(\int_{0}^{L}\left[\rho(t) \varphi^{\prime}(t)\right]^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

We use this norm since as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(0, L)} \leq 2 L\left\|\rho \varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)}, \text { for } \varphi \in H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho^{-k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, is locally integrable we can conclude that $H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L)$ is a Banach space, see [20].

- Analogously, $H_{\rho^{2}}^{1}(0, L)$ and $H_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(0, L)$ are the Banach spaces which contain the functions $\varphi:(0, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{\rho^{2}}^{1}(0, L)=\left\{\varphi \in H_{l o c}^{1}(0, L) \mid \rho^{2} \varphi^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, L), \rho \varphi \in L^{2}(0, L) \text { and } \varphi(0)=0\right\} \\
H_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(0, L)=\left\{\varphi \in H_{l o c}^{2}(0, L) \mid \rho^{2} \varphi^{\prime \prime} \in L^{2}(0, L), \rho \varphi^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, L), \varphi \in L^{2}(0, L) \text { and } \varphi(0)=0\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We define their norms to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\varphi\|_{H_{\rho^{2}}^{1}(0, L)}=\left(\int_{0}^{L}\left[\rho^{2}(t) \varphi^{\prime}(t)\right]^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} . \\
& \|\varphi\|_{H_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(0, L)}=\left(\int_{0}^{L}\left[\rho^{2}(t) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right]^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can easily prove that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\|\rho \varphi\|_{L^{2}(0, L)} \leq \frac{2 L}{3}\|\varphi\|_{H_{\rho^{2}}^{1}(0, L)} & \text { for any } \varphi \in H_{\rho^{2}}^{1}(0, L)  \tag{4.3}\\
\left\|\rho \varphi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)} \leq \frac{2 L}{3}\|\varphi\|_{H_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(0, L)} & \text { for any } \varphi \in H_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(0, L)
\end{array}
$$

then 4.2 yields $\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(0, L)} \leq \frac{4 L^{2}}{3}\|\varphi\|_{H_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(0, L)}$ for any $\varphi \in H_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(0, L)$.

Similarly we define some weighted spaces in the fixed domain $\Omega$

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)=\left\{\phi \in L_{l o c}^{2}(\Omega) \mid \rho \phi \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\} \\
H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega)=\left\{\phi \in H_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega) \left\lvert\, \rho \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{3}} \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right. \text { and } \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X_{1}}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X_{2}}, \phi \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

They are Banach spaces endowed with their respective norms

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\phi\|_{L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left[\rho\left(x_{3}\right) \phi(x)\right]^{2} d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\|\phi\|_{H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left(\rho \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{3}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X_{1}}\right)^{2}+\phi^{2}\right\} d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 4.2. Let $\left\{u^{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon}$ be a sequence of displacements such that $u^{\epsilon} \in H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right)_{S}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \leq C \epsilon^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C$ is independent of $\epsilon$. Then for a subsequence, still denoted by $\{\epsilon\}$,

- there exist $\mathcal{U} \in\left[H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L)\right]^{3}, \mathcal{R} \in\left[H_{\rho^{2}}^{1}(0, L)\right]^{3}$ and $\mathcal{Z} \in L_{\rho}^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \text { weakly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L), \text { for } \alpha=1,2,  \tag{4.5}\\
& \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_{3} \text { weakly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L),  \tag{4.6}\\
& \mathcal{R}^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{R} \text { weakly in }\left[H_{\rho^{2}}^{1}(0, L)\right]^{3},  \tag{4.7}\\
& \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}\right) \rightharpoonup \mathcal{Z} \text { weakly in } L_{\rho}^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right),  \tag{4.8}\\
& \mathcal{R}(0)=0, \quad \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(0)=0, \quad \mathcal{U}_{3}(0)=0 . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

- there exist $\bar{u} \in L^{2}\left((0, L) ; H^{1}\left(\omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right), u \in\left[H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega)\right]^{3}$ and $\mathcal{K} \in H_{\rho}^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\bar{u}^{\epsilon}\right) & \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left((0, L) ; H^{1}\left(\omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right) & \rightharpoonup u_{\alpha} \text { weakly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega),  \tag{4.11}\\
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{3}^{\epsilon}\right) & \rightharpoonup u_{3} \text { weakly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega)  \tag{4.12}\\
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u^{\epsilon}-\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}\right) & \rightharpoonup \mathcal{K} \text { weakly in } H_{\rho}^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we have the following relations between the limit fields:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}}=\mathcal{R}_{2}, \quad \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}}=-\mathcal{R}_{1},  \tag{4.14}\\
u_{1}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\mathcal{U}_{1}\left(x_{3}\right), \text { for a. e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega \\
u_{2}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\mathcal{U}_{2}\left(x_{3}\right), \text { for a. e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega \\
u_{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\mathcal{U}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho\left(x_{3}\right) X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho\left(x_{3}\right) X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right), \text { for a. e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega .  \tag{4.15}\\
\mathcal{K}_{1}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=-\rho\left(x_{3}\right) X_{2} \mathcal{R}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega \\
\mathcal{K}_{2}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\rho\left(x_{3}\right) X_{1} \mathcal{R}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega \\
\mathcal{K}_{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=-\rho\left(x_{3}\right) X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho\left(x_{3}\right) X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right) \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. First we get the weak limits, up to a subsequence still denoted by $\epsilon$, of the different fields. Then we derive a few relations between some of them.

Step 1. The convergences.
Taking into account $4.1-4.2$ and $(3.1)^{2}-3.13_{3}$ we have

$$
\left\|\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L)} \leq C, \quad\left\|\mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon}\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L)} \leq C \epsilon, \quad \text { for } \alpha=1,2
$$

Then we obtain the following convergences:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \text { weakly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L), \text { for } \alpha=1,2 . \\
\\
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_{3} \text { weakly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L) .
\end{gathered}
$$

According to 4.1 we get

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{i}^{\epsilon}\right\|_{H_{\rho^{2}}^{1}(0, L)} \leq\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}^{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{i}}{d x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, L)} \text { for } i=1,2,3
$$

Due to estimates 2.4$)_{3}$ and 4.3 we obtain

$$
\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{R} \text { weakly in }\left[H_{\rho^{2}}^{1}(0, L)\right]^{3} .
$$

Again due to 4.1 we have

$$
\left\|\frac{d \mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\|_{L_{\rho}^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R} \wedge e_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}
$$

In view of estimate $2.42_{2}$ we get

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}\right) \rightharpoonup \mathcal{Z} \text { weakly in } L_{\rho}^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

Thanks to the estimates (3.11) and (3.13) the sequence $\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\bar{u}^{\epsilon}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left((0, L) ; H^{1}\left(\omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$. Then we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\bar{u}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left((0, L) ; H^{1}\left(\omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)
$$

From property 3.10 of the rescaling operator and the estimate 3.9$)_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Pi_{\epsilon} u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)} \leq C \frac{L}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}, \quad \text { for } \alpha=1,2 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, taking into account the derivation rule 3.12 and the estimates $(3.9)_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{\partial\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial X_{\beta}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & =\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}, \text { for } \alpha, \beta=1,2  \tag{4.17}\\
\left\|\rho \frac{\partial\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq\left\|\rho_{\epsilon} \frac{\partial\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & \leq \frac{C}{L}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{1}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}, \quad \text { for } \alpha=1,2 \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from 4.16, 4.17 and 4.18 we get

$$
\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup u_{\alpha} \text { weakly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega), \quad \text { for } \alpha=1,2
$$

In the same way, from $(3.9)_{1},(3.9)_{3}$ and 3.12 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\Pi_{\epsilon} u_{3}^{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & \leq C \frac{L}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}  \tag{4.19}\\
\left\|\frac{\partial\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} u_{3}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial X_{\beta}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}}, \text { for } \beta=1,2,  \tag{4.20}\\
\left\|\rho \frac{\partial\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} u_{3}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left\|(\nabla u)_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{3}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup u_{3} \text { weakly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

From the definition of the elementary displacement we have

$$
u^{\epsilon}(x)-\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)=\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)+\bar{u}^{\epsilon}(x)
$$

Hence, in view of (3.1 $1,(3.11)$, the property (3.10) of the rescaling operator and the assumption (4.4) we obtain the following estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u^{\epsilon}-\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|\frac{\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)}{\rho_{\epsilon}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using the rule of the derivation $(3.12$ and 3.10 we have for $\alpha=1,2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{\partial \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u^{\epsilon}-\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial X_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}=\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{\partial\left(u^{\epsilon}-\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\frac{1}{\epsilon} \|_{\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C,} \begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\rho \frac{\partial \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u^{\epsilon}-\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left\|\frac{\partial\left(u^{\epsilon}-\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial\left(u^{\epsilon}-\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leq C+\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|\frac{d \mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}} \wedge\left(x_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+x_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial \bar{u}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C .
\end{aligned}, l
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, from the two above estimates and 4.22 we get the last weak convergence

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u^{\epsilon}-\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup \mathcal{K} \text { weakly in } H_{\rho}^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

Step 2. Relations between the limit fields.
Now we are going to establish the relations between the weak limits. First consider $(2.4)_{2}$ which implies

$$
\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { strongly in } L_{\rho}^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 . Then 4.5 and 4.7) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}}=\mathcal{R}_{2}, \quad \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}}=-\mathcal{R}_{1} . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \in H_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(0, L)$, for $\alpha=1,2$.
Now, from (3.5 we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} u_{1}^{\epsilon}\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\mathcal{U}_{1}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)-\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon} X_{2} \mathcal{R}_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}_{1}^{\epsilon}\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right), \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of 4.5, 4.7, 4.10 and 4.11 by passing to the limit in 4.24 we obtain

$$
u_{1}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\mathcal{U}_{1}\left(x_{3}\right), \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega
$$

Repeating the above arguments for $\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} u_{2}^{\epsilon}\right)$ we conclude that

$$
u_{2}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\mathcal{U}_{2}\left(x_{3}\right), \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega
$$

Notice that $u_{\alpha}$ does not depend on the variables $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$, for $\alpha=1,2$.
From (3.5) we have for a.e. $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega$

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} u_{3}^{\epsilon}\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho_{\epsilon} X_{1} \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+\rho_{\epsilon} X_{2} \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}_{3}^{\epsilon}\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)
$$

Now, using (4.6), 4.7), 4.10 and 4.12 we pass to the limit in the equality above and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\mathcal{U}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho X_{1} \mathcal{R}_{2}\left(x_{3}\right)+\rho X_{2} \mathcal{R}_{1}\left(x_{3}\right) \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that, due to 4.23, 4.25 can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\mathcal{U}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right), \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we turn to the identification of $\mathcal{K}_{i}$. In view of (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{1}^{\epsilon}-\mathcal{U}_{1}^{\epsilon}\right)=-\rho_{\epsilon} X_{2} \mathcal{R}_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}_{1}^{\epsilon}\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right), \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From 4.7, 4.10, 4.13 by passing to the limit in 4.27) we obtain

$$
\mathcal{K}_{1}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=-\rho\left(x_{3}\right) X_{2} \mathcal{R}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega
$$

Proceeding as above for $\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{2}^{\epsilon}-\mathcal{U}_{2}^{\epsilon}\right)$ we get

$$
\mathcal{K}_{2}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\rho\left(x_{3}\right) X_{1} \mathcal{R}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega
$$

Finally we obtain the expression for $\mathcal{K}_{3}$. From (3.5) we have

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{3}^{\epsilon}-\mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon}\right)=-\rho_{\epsilon} X_{1} \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+\rho_{\epsilon} X_{2} \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}_{3}^{\epsilon}\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)
$$

Convergences (4.7), 4.10, 4.13) allow to pass to the limit and we get

$$
\mathcal{K}_{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=-\rho X_{1} \mathcal{R}_{2}\left(x_{3}\right)+\rho X_{2} \mathcal{R}_{1}\left(x_{3}\right) \text { for a. e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega
$$

Equivalently, from 4.23 we have

$$
\mathcal{K}_{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=-\rho X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right) \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega
$$

Remark 4.3. It is worth to note that the limit displacement fields is a kind of Bernoulli-Navier displacement.
Also observe that the limit warping $\bar{u}$ verifies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega} \bar{u}_{i} d X_{1} d X_{2}=\int_{\omega}\left(X_{1} \bar{u}_{2}-X_{2} \bar{u}_{1}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2}=\int_{\omega} X_{\alpha} \bar{u}_{3} d X_{1} d X_{2}=0, \quad i \in\{1,2,3\}, \quad \alpha \in\{1,2\} . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

To conclude this section, we give the asymptotic behavior of the gradient and the symmetric gradient. We define the field $\tilde{u}_{3} \in L^{2}\left((0, L) ; H^{1}(\omega)\right)$ by setting

$$
\tilde{u}_{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\bar{u}_{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)+\rho\left(x_{3}\right) \mathcal{Z}_{1}\left(x_{3}\right) X_{1}+\rho\left(x_{3}\right) \mathcal{Z}_{2}\left(x_{3}\right) X_{2} \quad \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega
$$

Lemma 4.4. In view of (4.5-4.13 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup Z \text { weakly in }\left[L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)\right]^{9}, \quad \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right)_{\mathcal{S}}\right) \rightharpoonup T \text { weakly in }\left[L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)\right]^{9} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Z=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\mathcal{R}_{3} & \mathcal{R}_{2} \\
\mathcal{R}_{3} & 0 & -\mathcal{R}_{1} \\
-\mathcal{R}_{2} & \mathcal{R}_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\right) \\
* & \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{d X_{2}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\right) \\
* & * & \rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{2}}{d x_{3}}+\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{1}}{d x_{3}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof. Step 1. Determination of the matrix $Z$.
In view of 3.7 to obtain the $Z_{i j}$ 's we only need to take into account the following convergences:

- From (3.11, $, 3.13,(3.14,4.4$ and (4.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}_{j}^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup 0 \text { weakly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega), \text { for } j=1,2,3 \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{j}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \rightharpoonup 0 \text { weakly in } L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega), \text { for } j=1,2,3 . \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Since $\mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}$ are independent of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ we have

$$
\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}\right)=\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}, \quad \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}\right)=\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon} X_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}, \text { for } \alpha=1,2, \quad \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}\right)=\frac{d \mathcal{U}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}
$$

Then in view of 4.5, 4.6, 4.7) and 4.14 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}\right) & \rightharpoonup \mathcal{R} \text { weakly in }\left[L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)\right]^{3}, \\
\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}\right) & \rightarrow 0 \text { strongly in }\left[L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)\right]^{3}, \text { for } \alpha=1,2, \\
\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}\right) & \rightharpoonup \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}}{d x_{3}}=(-1)^{3-\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{3-\alpha} \text { weakly in } L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega), \text { for } \alpha=1,2, \\
\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}\right) & \rightarrow 0 \text { strongly in } L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. Determination of the matrix $T$.
From 3.12 we have

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right)=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2} \rho_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \text { for } \alpha=1,2 .
$$

Then in view of (3.8) and using convergence 4.10 we obtain

$$
T_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{\alpha}}{\partial X_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{\beta}}{\partial X_{\alpha}}\right) \text { for } \alpha, \beta=1,2 .
$$

Applying the rescaling operator to $(3.8$ we get

$$
\frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right)_{\mathcal{S}}\right)_{13}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\rho}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}-\mathcal{R}_{2}^{\epsilon}\right)-\rho \rho_{\epsilon} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\rho}{\rho_{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \frac{\partial\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}_{3}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{3}}\right)\right] .
$$

Convergences (4.7), 4.8, 4.10 and 4.31) allow us to pass to the limit and we obtain

$$
T_{13}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho \mathcal{Z}_{1}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)
$$

Similar calculations which are not repeated here allows us to get

$$
T_{23}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho \mathcal{Z}_{2}+\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)
$$

To identify $T_{33}$ observe that from 3.8 we have

$$
\frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right)_{\mathcal{S}}\right)_{33}=\frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}-\rho \rho_{\epsilon} X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}+\rho \rho_{\epsilon} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{3}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) .
$$

Convergences (4.6, 4.7, 4.10 and 4.31 allow us to pass to the limit and we obtain

$$
T_{33}=\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{2}}{d x_{3}}+\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{1}}{d x_{3}}
$$

According to 4.14, $T_{33}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{33}=\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Position of the elastic problem

We consider the standard linear isotropic equations of elasticity in $\Omega_{\epsilon}$. The displacement field in $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ is denoted by

$$
u^{\epsilon}: \Omega_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

The linearized deformation field in $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ is defined by

$$
\gamma_{i j}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{j}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{j}}\right), \quad i, j=1,2,3
$$

The Cauchy stress tensor in $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ is linked to $\gamma\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)$ through the standard Hooke's law

$$
\sigma_{i j}^{\epsilon}=\lambda\left(\sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma_{k k}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)\right) \delta_{i j}+2 \mu \gamma_{i j}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right), \quad i, j=1,2,3
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ denotes the Lame's coefficients of the elastic material and $\delta_{i j}=0$ if $i \neq j$ and $\delta_{i j}=1$ if $i=j$. The equation of equilibrium in $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial \sigma_{i j}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{j}}=f_{i}^{\epsilon} \text { in } \Omega_{\epsilon}, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{\epsilon}: \Omega_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ denotes the applied force.
We assume that the rod is clamped at the bottom, $\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}=\omega_{\epsilon, 0} \times\{0\}$

$$
u^{\epsilon}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{\epsilon, 0},
$$

and at the boundary $\partial \Omega_{\epsilon} \backslash \Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}$ it is free

$$
\sigma^{\epsilon} \nu_{\epsilon}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega_{\epsilon} \backslash \Gamma_{\epsilon, 0},
$$

where $\nu_{\epsilon}$ denotes the exterior unit normal to $\Omega_{\epsilon}$.
Taking into account that the space of admissible displacements of the rod is

$$
H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\left\{u^{\epsilon} \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \mid u^{\epsilon}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}\right\},
$$

the variational formulation of 5.1) is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\epsilon} \in H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right),  \tag{5.2}\\
\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \sigma_{i j}^{\epsilon} \gamma_{i j}(v) d x=\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i}^{\epsilon} v_{i} d x, \quad \forall v \in H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For any $v \in H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}, 0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, the total elastic energy is denoted by

$$
\mathcal{E}(v)=\int_{\Omega_{e}}\left[\lambda\left(\sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma_{k k}(v)\right)^{2}+2 \mu \sum_{i, j=1}^{3}\left(\gamma_{i j}(v)\right)^{2}\right] d x .
$$

Observe that there exists a constant which depends only on $\lambda$ and $\mu$ such that for any $w \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left\|(\nabla w)_{S}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{e}\right)\right]^{9}}^{2} \leq \mathcal{E}(w) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $v=u^{\epsilon}$ in (5.2) leads to the usual energy relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)=\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i}^{\epsilon} u_{i}^{\epsilon} d x \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.1. Assumption on the forces

In view of the energy relation (5.4) and the estimates of the previous sections we assume throughout the paper

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{1}^{\epsilon}(x)=\epsilon^{2} f_{1}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)-x_{2} g_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right), \text { for } x \in \Omega_{\epsilon}  \tag{5.5}\\
F_{2}^{\epsilon}(x)=\epsilon^{2} f_{2}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+x_{1} g_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right), \text { for } x \in \Omega_{\epsilon} \\
F_{3}^{\epsilon}(x)=\epsilon f_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+x_{1} g_{1}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+x_{2} g_{2}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \text { for } x \in \Omega_{\epsilon},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f^{\epsilon}, g^{\epsilon} \in L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and they satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}^{2} f^{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}^{3} \epsilon^{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the constant does not depend on $\epsilon$. Moreover we assume the following weak convergences:

$$
\begin{cases}f^{\epsilon} \longrightarrow f & \text { strongly in } L_{\rho^{2}}^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)  \tag{5.7}\\ g^{\epsilon} \longrightarrow g & \text { strongly in } L_{\rho^{3}}^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\end{cases}
$$

As a consequence, from (5.4) and the relations (3.6) we get an estimate of the total elastic energy

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)=\int_{0}^{L}\left[\epsilon^{2} f_{1}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)|\omega| \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{2} \epsilon^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+\epsilon^{2} f_{2}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)|\omega| \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{2} \epsilon^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+\epsilon f_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)|\omega| \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{2} \epsilon^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)\right] d x_{3}
$$

$$
+\int_{0}^{L}\left[g_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right) \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{4} \epsilon^{4} \mathcal{R}_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+g_{2}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)\left(I_{2}\right) \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{4} \epsilon^{4} \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)-g_{1}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)\left(I_{1}\right) \rho_{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)^{4} \epsilon^{4} \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)\right] d x_{3}
$$

Due to $\left.3_{1}\right)_{1},(3.1)_{4},(3.1)_{5}$ and (5.3)-5.6) we have

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right) \leq C \epsilon^{2}\left(\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}^{2} f^{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\left\|\rho_{\epsilon}^{3} g^{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, L) ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}\right)\left\|\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right)_{S}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \leq C \epsilon^{2} \mathcal{E}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

That leads to

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C \epsilon^{2}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right)_{S}\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right]^{9}} \leq C \epsilon^{2}
$$

Remark 5.1. Observe that the assumptions on the applied forces were assumed in order to obtain the appropriate estimate on the energy naturally.

## 6. The limit problems

In this section we obtain the equations satisfied by the limit fields $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{R}$ and $\bar{u}$. To do this, we assume that the forces are given by (5.5) and satisfy (5.6)-(5.7). First, we apply the rescaling operator $\Pi_{\epsilon}$ to the original variational formulation of the problem 5.2 )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \rho_{\epsilon}^{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{\epsilon}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{i j}(v)\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}=\int_{\Omega} \rho_{\epsilon}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(F_{i}^{\epsilon}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{i}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}, \quad \forall v \in H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will pass to the limit in 6.1 as $\epsilon$ tends to zero. In order to accomplish this we need specific choices of the test function $v$. We begin studying the behavior of the limit of the residual displacement $\bar{u}^{\epsilon}$.

### 6.1. Equations for $\bar{u}$

Let $\phi$ be in $H^{1}\left(\omega, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $\varphi$ be in $C^{\infty}[0, L]$ such that $\varphi(0)=0$, we define the test function $v^{\epsilon} \in$ $H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\epsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\epsilon \varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \phi\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right), \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega_{\epsilon} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{11}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right) & =\frac{1}{\rho_{\epsilon}} \varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right), \\
\gamma_{12}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \rho_{\epsilon}} \varphi\left(x_{3}\right)\left[\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}\right]\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right), \\
\gamma_{13}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[-\varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} \frac{x_{1} \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}-\varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{2}} \frac{x_{2} \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}+\epsilon \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \phi_{1}+\frac{1}{\rho_{\epsilon}} \varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\right]\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{\varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{2 \rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}\left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right) x_{\alpha}\right]+\frac{\epsilon}{2} \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \phi_{1}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \rho_{\epsilon}} \varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right), \\
\gamma_{22}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right) & =\frac{1}{\rho_{\epsilon}} \varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right), \\
\gamma_{23}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right) & =-\frac{\varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{2 \rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}\left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right) x_{\alpha}\right]+\frac{\epsilon}{2} \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \phi_{2}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \rho_{\epsilon}} \varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right), \\
\gamma_{33}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right) & =-\frac{\varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \rho_{\epsilon}^{\prime}}{\rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right) x_{1}+\frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right) x_{2}\right]+\epsilon \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \phi_{3}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x_{2}}{\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using the properties of the rescaling operator we get the following strong convergences in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{13}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right)\right) & \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{1}} \\
\rho_{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{23}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right)\right) & \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{2}} \\
\rho_{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{33}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right)\right) & \rightarrow 0 \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, $\rho_{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{11}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right)\right), \rho_{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{12}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ and $\rho_{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{22}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ are independent of $\epsilon$, since

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{11}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right)\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) & =\varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \\
\rho_{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{12}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right)\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(x_{3}\right)\left[\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}\right]\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \\
\rho_{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{22}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right)\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) & =\varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we take $v^{\epsilon}$ as test function in 6.1), we have

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(v^{\epsilon}\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{3}\right) \phi\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), \quad \text { for } \quad\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega
$$

Then we pass to the limit. As far as the right hand side of (6.1) is concerned, taking into account the assumptions (5.5)-5.6 and 5.7 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(F_{1}^{\epsilon}\right)=\epsilon^{2} f_{1}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho_{\epsilon} \epsilon X_{2} g_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { strongly in } L_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(\Omega) \\
& \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(F_{2}^{\epsilon}\right)=\epsilon^{2} f_{2}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+\rho_{\epsilon} \epsilon X_{1} g_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { strongly in } L_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(\Omega) \\
& \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(F_{3}^{\epsilon}\right)=\epsilon f_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right)+\rho_{\epsilon} \epsilon X_{1} g_{1}\left(x_{3}\right)+\rho_{\epsilon} \epsilon X_{2} g_{2}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { strongly in } L_{\rho^{2}}^{2}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, dividing by $\epsilon$ the right hand side of 6.1) and passing to the limit gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rho_{\epsilon}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(F_{i}^{\epsilon}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(v_{i}^{\epsilon}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \rightarrow 0 \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using the convergences (6.3), 6.4) and 4.29 we obtain the convergence of the left hand side (divided by $\epsilon$ ) when $\epsilon$ goes to 0

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}{\epsilon} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{\epsilon}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{i j}(v)\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \\
& \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left\{(\lambda+2 \mu) \varphi\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{2}} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)+\lambda \varphi\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{2}} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)\right\} d X d x_{3} \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left\{\mu \varphi\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)+\lambda \varphi\left(\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)\right\} d X d x_{3} \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left\{\mu \varphi \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\left(-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)+\mu \varphi \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\left(\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)\right\} d X d x_{3} \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi$ be in $H^{1}\left(\omega, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $\varphi$ be in $C^{\infty}[0, L]$ such that $\varphi(0)=0$. Due to the convergence (6.5), the above limit is equal to zero. Since $\varphi$ is arbitrary, we can localized with respect to $x_{3}$; that gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\omega}\left\{(\lambda+2 \mu)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{2}} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)+\lambda\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{2}} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)\right\} d X_{1} d X_{2} \\
& +\int_{\omega}\left\{\mu\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)+\lambda\left(\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)\right\} d X_{1} d X_{2} \\
& +\int_{\omega}\left\{\mu \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\left(-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)+\mu \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\left(\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)\right\} d X_{1} d X_{2}=0 \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.1.1. Determination of $\tilde{u}_{3}$

First, we choose $\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}=0$. In view of (6.7) we have

$$
\int_{\omega}\left\{\frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\left(-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)+\frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\left(\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)\right\} d X_{1} d X_{2}=0, \quad \text { a.e. in }[0, L] .
$$

Then the field $\tilde{u}_{3} \in L^{2}\left((0, L) ; H^{1}(\omega)\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega} \nabla_{X} \tilde{u}_{3} \nabla_{X} \phi_{3} d X=-\rho^{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}} \int_{\omega}\left\{-X_{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}+X_{1} \frac{\partial \phi_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\right\} d X \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we introduce the function $\chi$ as the unique solution of the following torsion problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\chi \in H^{1}(\omega), \quad \int_{\omega} \chi d X=0  \tag{6.9}\\
\int_{\omega} \nabla_{X} \chi \nabla_{X} \psi d X=-\int_{\omega}\left\{-X_{2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X_{1}}+X_{1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X_{2}}\right\} d X, \quad \forall \psi \in H^{1}(\omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Taking $\chi$ as test function in 6.9 gives

$$
\|\nabla \chi\|_{\left[L^{2}(\omega)\right]^{2}}^{2} \leq I_{1}+I_{2}
$$

By contradiction, we easily prove $\|\nabla \chi\|_{\left[L^{2}(\omega)\right]^{2}}^{2}<I_{1}+I_{2}$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=I_{1}+I_{2}+\int_{\omega}\left\{-X_{2} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial X_{1}}+X_{1} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial X_{2}}\right\} d X_{1} d X_{2}=I_{1}+I_{2}-\|\nabla \chi\|_{\left[L^{2}(\omega)\right]^{2}}^{2}>0 \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above constant which depends on the geometry of the reference cross section $\omega$, is the St Venant torsional stiffness.

Since $\tilde{u}_{3}$ verifies 6.8 and also $\int_{\omega} \tilde{u}_{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2}=0$ for a.e. $x_{3}$ in $(0, L)$, we get

$$
\tilde{u}_{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\chi\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \rho^{2}\left(x_{3}\right) \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right) \quad \text { for a.e. }\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega
$$

which in turn gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{13}=\left(-X_{2}+\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial X_{1}}\right) \frac{\rho^{2}}{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}, \quad T_{23}=\left(X_{1}+\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial X_{2}}\right) \frac{\rho^{2}}{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.1.2. Determination of $\bar{u}_{\alpha}, \alpha=1,2$

Now taking $\phi_{3}=0$ in (6.7) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\omega}\left\{(\lambda+2 \mu)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{2}} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)+\lambda\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{2}} \frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)\right\} d X \\
& +\int_{\omega}\left\{\mu\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)\right\} d X \\
& =-\int_{\omega}\left\{\lambda\left(\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\right)\right\} d X \quad \text { a.e. in }(0, L) \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\phi_{\alpha} \in H^{1}(\omega)(\alpha=1,2)$. Then the variational problem 6.12 corresponds to a classical 2d elastic problem for $\left(\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)$. Taking into account the relations (4.28), the above variational problem admits a unique solution. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{1}}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdot\right)=-\nu\left\{\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right\} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdot\right)=-\nu\left\{\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right\}  \tag{6.14}\\
& \left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}-\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdot\right)=0 \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega \tag{6.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu=\frac{\lambda}{2(\lambda+\mu)}$ is the Poisson coefficient of the material.
As a consequence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{12}=0, \quad T_{11}=T_{22}=-\nu\left\{\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right\} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.2. Equations for $\mathcal{U}_{1}, \mathcal{U}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{3}$

Now we consider the functions $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ and $\varphi_{3}$ in $C^{\infty}[0, L]$ such that $\varphi_{1}(0)=\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(0)=\varphi_{2}(0)=\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(0)=$ $\varphi_{3}(0)=0$. We construct a test field $\phi^{\epsilon} \in H_{\Gamma_{\epsilon, 0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1}^{\epsilon}(x) & =\varphi_{1}\left(x_{3}\right)-x_{2} \varphi_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
\phi_{2}^{\epsilon}(x) & =\varphi_{2}\left(x_{3}\right)+x_{1} \varphi_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
\phi_{3}^{\epsilon}(x) & =-x_{1} \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)-x_{2} \varphi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{11}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right) & =0, \gamma_{22}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right)=0, \gamma_{12}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right)=0 \\
\gamma_{13}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right) & =-\frac{1}{2} x_{2} \varphi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
\gamma_{23}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} x_{1} \varphi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
\gamma_{33}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right) & =-x_{1} \varphi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right)-x_{2} \varphi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the rescaling operator $\Pi_{\epsilon}$ to the previous expressions gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{11}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right)\right)=\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{22}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right)\right)=\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{12}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right)\right)=0 \\
& \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{13}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right)\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \rho_{\epsilon} X_{2} \varphi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
& \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{23}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \rho_{\epsilon} X_{1} \varphi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
& \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{33}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right)\right)=-\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon} X_{1} \varphi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right)-\epsilon \rho_{\epsilon} X_{2} \varphi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to obtain the limit problem as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 , we consider $v=\phi^{\epsilon}$ in (6.1), it leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \rho_{\epsilon}^{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{\epsilon}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{i j}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right)\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}=\int_{\Omega} \rho_{\epsilon}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(F_{i}^{\epsilon}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\phi_{i}^{\epsilon}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We divide the above equality by $\epsilon^{2}$. Then using the convergence 4.29) and the definition of the test function we can pass to the limit in the left-hand side to obtain

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}{\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{\epsilon}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{i j}\left(\phi^{\epsilon}\right)\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mu \int_{\Omega} \rho^{2}\left[-X_{2} \varphi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) T_{13}+X_{1} \varphi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) T_{23}\right] d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \rho^{2}\left[\left(-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} X_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\left((\lambda+2 \mu)\left(\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right)+\lambda\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)\right)\right] d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, taking into account 6.11 and 6.16), the above limit is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \rho^{4} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\left[-\frac{\mu}{2} X_{2} \varphi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)\left(-X_{2}+\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial X_{1}}\right)+\frac{\mu}{2} X_{1} \varphi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)\left(X_{1}+\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial X_{2}}\right)\right] d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \rho^{2}\left[E\left(-X_{1} \varphi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right)-X_{2} \varphi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\left(\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right)\right] d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \tag{6.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E=\frac{\mu(3 \lambda+2 \mu)}{\lambda+\mu}$ is the Young's modulus of the elastic material.
On the other hand, in view of the assumptions 5.5, 5.7) and the definition of the test field we obtain the following limit for the right-hand side:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}{\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(F_{i}^{\epsilon}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\phi_{i}^{\epsilon}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \rho^{2}\left\{f_{1} \varphi_{1}+\rho^{2} X_{2}^{2} g_{3} \varphi_{3}+f_{2} \varphi_{2}+\rho^{2} X_{1}^{2} g_{3} \varphi_{3}-\rho^{2} X_{1}^{2} g_{1} \varphi_{1}^{\prime}-\rho^{2} X_{2}^{2} g_{2} \varphi_{2}^{\prime}\right\} d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \tag{6.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by 6.18 and 6.19 the limit equation of 6.17 is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \rho^{4} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\left[-\frac{\mu}{2} X_{2} \varphi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)\left(-X_{2}+\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial X_{1}}\right)+\frac{\mu}{2} X_{1} \varphi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)\left(X_{1}+\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial X_{2}}\right)\right] d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \rho^{2}\left[E\left(-X_{1} \varphi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right)-X_{2} \varphi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\left(\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right)\right] d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \rho^{2}\left\{f_{1} \varphi_{1}+\rho^{2} X_{2}^{2} g_{3} \varphi_{3}+f_{2} \varphi_{2}+\rho^{2} X_{1}^{2} g_{3} \varphi_{3}-\rho^{2} X_{1}^{2} g_{1} \varphi_{1}^{\prime}-\rho^{2} X_{2}^{2} g_{2} \varphi_{2}^{\prime}\right\} d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \tag{6.20}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\varphi_{3} \in C^{\infty}[0, L]$ such that $\varphi_{3}(0)=0$ and for $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in C^{\infty}[0, L]$ such that $\varphi_{1}(0)=\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(0)=\varphi_{2}(0)=$ $\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(0)=0$. We simplify 6.20

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{K \mu}{2} \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}} \varphi_{3}^{\prime} d x_{3}+E I_{1} \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}} \varphi_{1}^{\prime \prime} d x_{3}+E I_{2} \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}} \varphi_{2}^{\prime \prime} d x_{3} \\
= & \left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right) \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4} g_{3} \varphi_{3} d x_{3}+|\omega| \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{2}\left\{f_{1} \varphi_{1}+f_{2} \varphi_{2}\right\} d x_{3}-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} I_{\alpha} \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4} g_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime} d x_{3} . \tag{6.21}
\end{align*}
$$

First we choose $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}=0$ in 6.21. Taking into account the boundary condition $\mathcal{R}_{3}(0)=0$, the function $\mathcal{R}_{3}$ is the unique solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{K \mu}{2} \frac{d}{d x_{3}}\left(\rho^{4} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\right)=\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right) \rho^{4} g_{3}  \tag{6.22}\\
\mathcal{R}_{3}(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $K$ is given by 6.10 .
In 6.21 we take $\varphi_{3}=0$. Since $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are arbitrary in $C^{\infty}[0, L]$ such that $\varphi_{1}(0)=\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(0)=\varphi_{2}(0)=$ $\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(0)=0$, that gives the bending problems satisfied by $\mathcal{U}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{2}$

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
E I_{\alpha} \frac{d^{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\left(\rho^{4} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right) & =|\omega| \rho^{2} f_{\alpha}+I_{\alpha} \frac{d}{d x_{3}}\left(\rho^{4} g_{\alpha}\right),  \tag{6.23}\\
\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(0) & =\frac{d \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}}{d x_{3}}(0)=0
\end{align*} \quad \text { for } \alpha=1,2\right.
$$

Recall that in order to obtain $(6.22)-(6.23)$, we have used the fact that $\rho(L)=0$.

### 6.3. Equation for $\mathcal{U}_{3}$

In this step we derive the equation satisfied by $\mathcal{U}_{3}$. In order to get this, in 6.1) we consider as test field $v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left(0,0, \varphi\left(x_{3}\right)\right)$ in $H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that $\varphi \in C^{\infty}[0, L]$ with $\varphi(0)=0$. Due to the assumptions (5.5), 5.7), the definition of the test field $v$ and taking into account 2.1) the limit of 6.1 devided by $\epsilon$ gives

$$
\int_{(0, L)} E \rho^{2} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}} \varphi_{3}^{\prime} d x_{3}=\int_{(0, L)} \rho^{2} f_{3} \varphi_{3} d x_{3}
$$

Hence, since $\varphi$ is any function in $C^{\infty}[0, L]$ such that $\varphi(0)=0$ and $\rho(L)=0$ we can conclude that $\mathcal{U}_{3}$ verifies the following compression-traction equation for elastic rods:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-E \frac{d}{d x_{3}}\left(\rho^{2} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\right)=\rho^{2} f_{3}  \tag{6.24}\\
\mathcal{U}_{3}(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 6.4. Convergence of the total elastic energy

In the above subsections all the limit problems admit a unique solution. As a consequence the whole sequences $\left\{\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \bar{u}^{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon},\left\{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon},\left\{\frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{R}_{3}^{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon}$ converge weakly to their limit.

In this subsection we prove that the rescaled energy $\frac{\mathcal{E}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)}{\epsilon^{4}}$ converges to the elastic limit energy as $\epsilon$ tends to zero and that some weak convergences are in fact strong convergences.

Lemma 6.1. Under the assumptions (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7) on the applied forces, we obtain the following convergence for the total elastic energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)}{\epsilon^{4}}=\int_{\Omega}\left\{\lambda \operatorname{Tr}(T) \operatorname{Tr}(T)+\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} 2 \mu T_{i j} T_{i j}\right\} d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the limit of the symmetric gradient defined in 4.29).
Proof. Taking $v=u^{\epsilon}$ in $(5.2)$, dividing by $\epsilon^{4}$, then using the properties of $\Pi_{\epsilon}$ and by standard weak lower-semi-continuity, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left\{\lambda \operatorname{Tr}(T) \operatorname{Tr}(T)+\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} 2 \mu T_{i j} T_{i j}\right\} d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \leq \liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)}{\epsilon^{4}} \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\frac{\mathcal{E}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)}{\epsilon^{4}}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}{\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\sigma_{i j}^{\epsilon}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{i j}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}{\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(F_{i}^{\epsilon}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{i}^{\epsilon}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}
$$

The last term in the above equality is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}{\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(F_{i}^{\epsilon}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{i}^{\epsilon}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho_{\epsilon}^{2} f_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{3}}{\epsilon} X_{2} g_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{1}^{\epsilon}\right) \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{3}}{\epsilon} X_{1} g_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{2}^{\epsilon}\right)+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{2}}{\epsilon} f_{3}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{3}^{\epsilon}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho_{\epsilon}^{3}}{\epsilon} X_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\left(x_{3}\right) \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{3}^{\epsilon}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (3.11), 4.7), 4.11, 4.12, 4.15 and (5.7) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)}{\epsilon^{4}} & =\int_{\Omega}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{3} \rho^{2} f_{i} u_{i}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \rho^{4}\left(X_{\alpha}^{2} g_{3} \mathcal{R}_{3}-X_{\alpha}^{2} g_{\alpha} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}}{d x_{3}}\right)\right] d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \\
& =|\omega| \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{2} f \cdot \mathcal{U} d x_{3}+\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right) \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4} g_{3} \mathcal{R}_{3} d x_{3} \\
& -I_{1} \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4} g_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}} d x_{3}-I_{2} \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4} g_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}} d x_{3} . \tag{6.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Besides, since $T$ is a symmetric matrix we know that it verifies the following algebraic identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda T r(T) T r(T)+\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} 2 \mu T_{i j} T_{i j} & =E T_{33}^{2}+\frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}\left(T_{11}+T_{22}+2 \nu T_{33}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}\left[\left(T_{11}-T_{22}\right)^{2}+4\left(T_{12}^{2}+T_{13}^{2}+T_{23}^{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, in view of (2.1), 4.32, 6.11, 6.16 and (6.9) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \lambda \operatorname{Tr}(T) \operatorname{Tr}(T)+\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} 2 \mu T_{i j} T_{i j} d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}=E \int_{\Omega} \rho^{2}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\right)^{2} d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3} \\
& +E \int_{0}^{L} \rho^{4}\left(I_{1}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{R}_{2}}{d x_{3}}\right)^{2}+I_{2}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{R}_{1}}{d x_{3}}\right)^{2}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}+\frac{K \mu}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho^{4}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\right)^{2} d x_{3} \\
& =E|\omega| \int_{\Omega} \rho^{2}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\right)^{2} d x_{3}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} E I_{\alpha} \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4}\left(\frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right)^{2} d x_{3}+\frac{K \mu}{2} \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\right)^{2} d x_{3} \tag{6.28}
\end{align*}
$$

We recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E|\omega| \int_{\Omega} \rho^{2}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\right)^{2} d x_{3}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} E I_{\alpha} \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4}\left(\frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}}{d x_{3}^{2}}\right)^{2} d x_{3}+\frac{K \mu}{2} \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4}\left(\frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}\right)^{2} d x_{3} \\
= & |\omega| \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{2} f \cdot \mathcal{U} d x_{3}-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} I_{\alpha} \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4} g_{\alpha} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}}{d x_{3}} d x_{3}+\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right) \int_{(0, L)} \rho^{4} g_{3} \mathcal{R}_{3} d x_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we obtain

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)}{\epsilon^{4}}=\int_{\Omega}\left\{\lambda \operatorname{Tr}(T) \operatorname{Tr}(T)+\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} 2 \mu T_{i j} T_{i j}\right\} d X_{1} d X_{2} d x_{3}
$$

which gives us the convergence of the rescaled energy to the total energy of the problems (6.23), (6.24) and 6.22) as $\epsilon$ goes to zero.

Now we can deduce the strong convergences of the fields of the displacement decomposition using the strong convergence of the energy. In view of the weak convergence of the symmetric gradient 4.29), the strict convexity of the elastic energy implies that the convergence of the symmetric gradient is strong

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right)_{\mathcal{S}}\right) \rightarrow T \text { strongly in }\left[L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)\right]^{9} \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence we get

$$
\frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\left(\nabla u^{\epsilon}\right)_{\mathcal{S}}\right)_{33}=\frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}-\rho \rho_{\epsilon} X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}+\rho \rho_{\epsilon} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}}+\frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{3}^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_{3}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rightarrow T_{33}=\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{2}}{d x_{3}}+\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{1}}{d x_{3}} \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\Omega) . \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, using $\int_{\omega} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\bar{u}_{3}^{\epsilon}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2}=\int_{\omega} X_{\alpha} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\bar{u}_{3}^{\epsilon}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2}=0$, for $\alpha \in\{1,2\}$, and taking into account convergence (4.30) we may deduce from (6.30) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}} \rightarrow \rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}, \quad \rho^{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}}{d x_{3}} \rightarrow \rho^{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}}{d x_{3}}, \text { strongly in } L^{2}(0, L),(\alpha=1,2), \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\epsilon$ tends to zero. Then, in view of the weak convergences (4.6) and (4.7), (6.31) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{3} \text { strongly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L),  \tag{6.32}\\
& \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \text { strongly in } H_{\rho^{2}}^{1}(0, L), \quad \text { for } \alpha=1,2 . \tag{6.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, from (4.8) and 6.33) we have

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \text { strongly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(0, L), \text { for } \alpha=1,2 .
$$

Hence, due to the decomposition (3.5) and the previous strong convergences we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \text { strongly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega), \text { for } \alpha=1,2 . \\
& \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{3}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{3}-\rho X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}}-\rho X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}} \text { strongly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow \gamma_{\alpha \beta}(\bar{u}) \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\Omega), \text { for } \alpha, \beta=1,2 \text {. }
$$

We recall that the warping functions satisfy 4.28). Then from the 2 d Korn inequality we derive

$$
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left\|\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\bar{u}_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right)-\bar{u}_{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\sum_{\alpha \beta=1}^{2}\left\|\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\bar{u}_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial X_{\beta}}-\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{\alpha}}{\partial X_{\beta}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C \sum_{\alpha \beta=1}^{2}\left\|\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}\left(\Pi_{\epsilon} \bar{u}^{\epsilon}\right)-\gamma_{\alpha \beta}(\bar{u})\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} .
$$

That leads to

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\bar{u}_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow \bar{u}_{\alpha} \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left((0, L) ; H^{1}(\omega)\right), \text { for } \alpha=1,2
$$

## 7. Conclusion

In this last section we summarize the results obtained in the previous sections.
Theorem 7.1. Let $u^{\epsilon}$ be the solution of the elasticity problem (5.2). Under the assumptions (5.5)-(5.7) on the applied forces, the sequence $\left\{u^{\epsilon}\right\}$ satisfies the following convergences

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\alpha}^{\epsilon}\right) & \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \text { strongly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega), \text { for } \alpha=1,2, \\
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(u_{3}^{\epsilon}\right) & \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{3}-\rho X_{1} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}}-\rho X_{2} \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}} \text { strongly in } H_{\rho}^{1}(\Omega),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ is the solution of the bending problem (6.23) and $\mathcal{U}_{3}$ is the weak solution of the stretching problem (6.24). Moreover, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Pi_{\epsilon}\left(\gamma_{i j}\left(u^{\epsilon}\right)\right) \rightarrow T_{i j} \text { strongly in } L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega), \text { for } i, j=1,2,3 .
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{11}=T_{22}=-\nu T_{33}, \quad T_{33}=\rho \frac{d \mathcal{U}_{3}}{d x_{3}}-\rho^{2} X_{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{1}}{d x_{3}^{2}}-\rho^{2} X_{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathcal{U}_{2}}{d x_{3}^{2}} \\
& T_{12}=0, \quad T_{13}=\left(-X_{2}+\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial X_{1}}\right) \frac{\rho^{2}}{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}}, \quad T_{23}=\left(X_{1}+\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial X_{2}}\right) \frac{\rho^{2}}{2} \frac{d \mathcal{R}_{3}}{d x_{3}},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\chi \in H^{1}(\omega)$ is the solution of the torsion problem $\sqrt{6.9}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{3}$ the weak solution of 6.22).
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