Eigenvarieties for non-cuspidal modular forms over certain PEL Shimura varieties

Riccardo Brasca, Giovanni Rosso

EIGENVARIETIES FOR NON-CUSPIDAL MODULAR FORMS OVER CERTAIN PEL SHIMURA VARIETIES

RICCARDO BRASCA AND GIOVANNI ROSSO

ABSTRACT. Generalizing the recent method of Andreatta, Iovita, and Pilloni for cuspidal forms, we construct eigenvarieties for symplectic and unitary groups that parametrize systems of eigenvalues of overconvergent and locally analytic p-adic modular forms of a fixed 'degree of cuspidality'. The dimension of our eigenvarieties depends on this degree of cuspidality, it is maximal for cuspidal forms and it is 1 for forms that are 'not cuspidal at all'. Studying the relations between the eigenvarieties we have constructed, we are able to prove a conjecture of Urban about the dimension of the irreducible components of Hansen's eigenvariety in the case of the group GSp_4 over $\mathbb{Q}$.

INTRODUCTION

Let p be a fixed prime number. Since the seminal work of Hida on congruences modulo p between ordinary modular forms, many progress have been made in the study of p-adic families of automorphic forms. Hida's techniques have been successfully adapted in many different settings to construct families of ordinary automorphic eigenforms. See for example [TU99, Mau04, Hid02].

On the other hand, the theory for forms which are of finite slope for a certain U_p-operator but not ordinary has viewed less advancements since the foundational work of Coleman [Col97]. Recently, Urban in [Urb11] and Hansen in [Han] (generalizing ideas of Ash and Stevens in [AS]) have developed a very general theory for families of eigenforms. Their approach is mainly cohomological.

Contrary to Hida's theory, there was no 'coherent' approach to eigenvarieties until the recent work of Pilloni [Pil13] and Andreatta, Iovita, and Stevens [AIS14]. Their approach has been generalized first to Siegel modular forms in [AIP15] and then to general PEL Shimura varieties with non-empty ordinary locus in [Bra15]. In these papers, the authors deal only with eigenvarieties for cuspidal forms and do not consider families of Eisenstein series. Such families of Eisenstein series have been proven to be very useful in many arithmetic applications, starting from the seminal work of Ribet to more recent applications to Iwasawa theory.

In the paper at hand we generalize [AIP15] and [Bra15], constructing eigenvarieties for not necessarily cuspidal p-adic modular forms for certain Shimura varieties of PEL type. The reason why [AIP15] and [Bra15] only deal with cuspidal forms is that the authors, to build the eigenvariety, use a general construction due to Buzzard in [Buz07]. This construction has a technical hypothesis (namely the 'projectivity' of the space of forms, see Subsection 3.1 for more details) that is satisfied by the space of cuspidal forms. We explain that in general the space of all forms is not projective, so one can not apply Buzzard's construction directly. What we

actually prove is that, adding some conditions on the weight, the space of modular forms of a given 'degree of cuspidality' (see below for a precise definition) is projective, and in particular we can apply Buzzard's machinery.

Let us now state more precisely the results of this paper. In order to simplify the notation we consider in this introduction only the Siegel case (i.e. we consider the symplectic group over $\mathbb{Q}$), but our results hold true also in the Hilbert-Siegel case and (with some additional assumptions) in the unitary case. Let $p > 2$ be an odd prime and let $g \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the formal Siegel variety of some fixed level outside p (to be precise we should work with the Shimura variety of Iwahoric level at p, but we will ignore this issue in the introduction). We write $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{rig}}$ for its rigid analytic fiber and, if $v \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, we denote by $\mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ the strict neighborhood of the ordinary locus defined by the condition that the Hasse invariant has valuation smaller or equal than v. We denote with $\mathcal{W}_g$ the weight space, that is a rigid analytic space isomorphic to a disjoint union of g-dimensional open balls of radius 1. We will work with certain subspaces $\mathcal{W}(w)_g \subseteq \mathcal{W}_g$, that parametrize w-analytic weights, where w is a rational. Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Spm}(A) \subset \mathcal{W}(w)_g$ be an affinoid, with associated universal character $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}$. One of the main results of [AIP15] is the construction of a sheaf $\underline{\omega}_{v,w}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$ on $\mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathcal{U}$ (where v is small enough) that interpolates the usual modular sheaves given by integral weights in $\mathcal{U}$. The sheaf extends to a (fixed) toroidal compactification $\mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig,tor}}$ and its global sections $\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ are by definition the families of (v-overconvergent and w-locally analytic) Siegel forms of weight $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}$. There is also a Hecke algebra that acts on $\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$, including a completely continuous operator U_p.

Unfortunately, it turns out that $\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is not projective in Buzzard's sense, so one can not apply the abstract machinery of [Buz07] to build the eigenvariety. The solution of [AIP15] is to consider the space $\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}^0 \subset \mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ of *cuspidal forms*, i.e. sections of $\underline{\omega}_{v,w}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}(-D)$, where D is the boundary of $\mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig,tor}} \times \mathcal{U}$. They are able to prove that $\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}^0$ is projective and hence they obtain a (g-dimensional) eigenvariety. To generalize this result to the non-cuspidal case we need first of all to understand why the module $\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is not projective. To do this it is convenient to work with the minimal compactification $\mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig},*}$. Let $\pi\colon \mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig,tor}} \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig},*} \times \mathcal{U}$ be the natural morphism and let $\mathcal{I}^0 \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig},*} \times \mathcal{U}}$ be the sheaf of ideals corresponding to the boundary of $\mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig},*} \times \mathcal{U}$. One can prove that

$$\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig},*} \times \mathcal{U}, \pi_* \underline{\omega}_{v,w}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^0).$$

The boundary of $\mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig},*}$ is given by Siegel varieties of genus smaller than g, and it has in particular a natural stratification given by the union of the varieties of genus smaller than $g - s$, for a given $0 \leq s \leq g - 1$. Let $\mathcal{I}^s \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}(v)^{\mathrm{rig},*} \times \mathcal{U}}$ be the corresponding sheaf of ideals. Looking at global sections of $\underline{\omega}_{v,w}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^s$ we obtain a filtration

$$\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}^0 \subset \mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}^1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}^g = \mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

For example $\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}^1$ is the space of forms that are not necessarily cuspidal but vanish on all the components of the boundary corresponding to Siegel varieties of genus strictly smaller than $g-1$. We define the corank of a given form f, denoted $\mathrm{cork}(f)$, by

$$\mathrm{cork}(f) = \min\{q \text{ such that } f \in \mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{U}}^q\}.$$

Let now $\chi = (\chi_i)_{i=1}^g \in \mathcal{W}(w)_g$ be a p-adic weight. We define the corank of χ, denoted $\mathrm{cork}(\chi)$, by

$$\mathrm{cork}(\chi) = \max\{s \text{ such that } \chi_g = \chi_{g-1} = \cdots = \chi_{g-s+1}\}.$$

We obtain in this way the closed subspace $\mathcal{W}(w)_g^s \subset \mathcal{W}(w)_g$ given by weights of corank at least s. The interest of the corank is the following theorem, proved in [Wei83].

Theorem ([Wei83, Satz 2]). *Let $f \neq 0$ be a classical modular forms of integral weight k. Then we have*

$$\text{cork}(f) \leq \text{cork}(k).$$

For example, this implies that if we have a classical form $f \neq 0$ that is 'completely not cuspidal', in the sense that $f \notin M_{\mathcal{U}}^{g-1}$, then the weight of f must be parallel. Since classical points are dense in the eigenvariety (a fact that follows from the classicality results of [AIP15] and [Bra15]) we see that we can not have a g-dimensional eigenvariety for $M_{\mathcal{U}}$ and in particular $M_{\mathcal{U}}$ can not be a projective A-module.

Fix now an integer $q > 0$ and let $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spm}(A) \subset \mathcal{W}(w)_g^q$ be an admissible open. There are no difficulties to define the sheaf $\underline{\omega}_{v,w}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{un}}}$, so we obtain the space $M_{\mathcal{U}}^q$ of families of modular forms of corank at most q with weights in $\mathcal{U}$. (The case $q = 0$ is the case of cuspidal forms and it is done in [AIP15].) Note that $\mathcal{U}$ is now $g - q + 1$-dimensional so that $\underline{\omega}_{v,w}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{un}}}$ parametrizes families of modular forms in $g - q + 1$ variables). One of our main results is the following:

Theorem. *The A-module $M_{\mathcal{U}}^q$ is projective, so we have a $g - q + 1$-dimensional eigenvariety for Siegel eigenforms of corank at most q.*

The strategy to prove the theorem is to use the Siegel morphism, that we show to be surjective. The expert reader will recognize that the proof of this theorem is heavily inspired by Hida's work, especially [Hid02], and its generalizations to non-cuspidal setting [SU14, Urb].

We now sketch the strategy of proof; let $\mathfrak{S}_{g-1}^{\text{rig},*}$ be the minimal compactification of a component of the boundary of $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{rig},*}$ corresponding to a Siegel variety of genus $g - 1$. Let $q > 1$, let $\mathcal{W}_g \to \mathcal{W}_{g-1}$ be the morphism that forgets the last component of the weight, and $\mathcal{V}$ the image of $\mathcal{U}$ under this morphism. (Note that as $q > 1$ we have that $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ are isomorphic. In the paper we also treat the case $q = 1$ which is slightly different). We show that the pullback to $\mathfrak{S}_{g-1}^{\text{rig},*}$ of a family of modular forms with weights in $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{W}_g^q$ and corank at most q is a modular form of weight in $\mathcal{V}$. This is one of the key arguments in the paper: it is proved via Fourier–Jacobi expansion and representation theory for the group GL_q. We want to stress that both assumptions, on the weights and on the corank of the forms, are crucial for this result. Taking the pullback of a form we now get the so-called Siegel morphism, and we prove that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to M_{\mathcal{U}}^0 \to M_{\mathcal{U}}^s \to \bigoplus M_{\mathcal{V}}^{s-1} \to 0$$

where the direct sum is over all the cusps of genus $g - 1$. Since we already know that $M_{\mathcal{U}}^0$ is projective, we conclude by induction.

We believe that the surjectivity of the Siegel morphism for families is in itself a very interesting result, especially because the same is not true in the classical complex setting. Moreover, as we have already pointed out, this kind of results have been heavily used in several proofs of Main Conjectures. We believe that our result is very likely to be useful to prove instances of non-ordinary Main Conjectures (as stated in [Ben15, Pot13]), generalizing known results in the ordinary setting, see for example [SU14, Urb].

A natural question that arises is how to glue the different eigenvarieties that we have constructed. There are several functorial morphisms between them, and we are able to show that the eigenvarieties agree on closed points, but unfortunately

it seems difficult to actually glue them, since there can be issues with nilpotent elements in the Hecke algebra (see Subsection 3.2 for more details).

Even tough we can not glue our eigenvarieties, we know explicitly their dimension; if one requires the very natural condition that classical points are dense, than the dimension of our varieties is the maximal that one could allow. Moreover, in the case of the group $\mathrm{GSp}_4/\mathbb{Q}$ and full level, we are able to prove (under a mild hypothesis) a conjecture of Urban ([Urb11, Conjecture 5.7.3]) about the expected dimension of the irreducible components of the non-equidimensional eigenvarieties constructed in [Han].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we study the situation over $\mathbb{C}$. Even if, strictly speaking, we do not need the results over the complex numbers, we find it convenient and instructive to analyze the situation. All the basic ideas of the paper (except one cohomological computation) are already visible in this section. We introduce the Shimura varieties we will work with and we prove a theorem which bounds from above the corank of an automorphic form with the corank of its weight, generalizing the main results of [Wei83] to PEL Shimura varieties. We introduce in great generality the Fourier–Jacobi expansion which will allows us to study the Siegel morphism. In Section 2 we develop the theory of p-adic modular forms. We introduce the spaces of modular forms we are interested in and the p-adic Siegel morphism, showing that it is surjective. This uses the vanishing of cohomology of a small Banach sheaf in the sense of [AIP15], see Proposition 2.10, which is an interesting result on its own. In Section 3 we recall Buzzard's machinery and we actually build the eigenvarieties. We finally study the relations between them and prove Urban's conjecture for $\mathrm{GSp}_4/\mathbb{Q}$.

1. Analytic section

The aim of this section is the proof of Theorem 1.12 which generalizes a result of Weissesauer [Wei83] giving necessary conditions on the weight of an automorphic form for it to be of a given 'degree of cuspidality'. This result is at the basis of the philosophy of this paper, which roughly speaking states that non-cuspidal eigenvarieties must be of smaller dimension than the weight space. The section starts recalling some notation on Shimura varieties of type A and C and the corresponding automorphic forms. We conclude studying the Siegel morphism; in particular, we give a sheaf theoretic version of it (see Proposition 1.14) whose p-adic avatar will be the key ingredient for the construction of eigenvarieties.

1.1. **Symplectic and unitary groups.**

1.1.1. *Symplectic case.* Let F_0 be a totally real number field and $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$ its ring of integers. For an integer $a \geq 1$ we let G be the algebraic group over $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$ whose A-points are

$$\mathrm{GSp}_{2a/F_0}(A) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2a}(A) \mid {}^t g \iota_a g = \nu(g) \iota_a, \ \nu(g) \right\},$$

where ι_a is the $2a \times 2a$ orthogonal matrix

$$\iota_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -w_a \\ w_a & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

being w_a the longest Weyl element (i.e. the anti-diagonal matrix of size $a \times a$).

This can be seen as the space of transformation of a rank $2a$ lattice Λ_a over $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$ which preserve, up to a scalar, the symplectic form defined by ι_a. We shall write V_a for the corresponding vector space over F_0 and $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2a}\}$ for the standard symplectic basis.

We shall call ν the factor of similitude and we shall denote its kernel by Sp_{2a}.

We shall be interested in the maximal parabolic subgroups of GSp_{2a}. For $0 \leq s \leq a$ let $\Lambda_{a,s}$ be the subspace of Λ_a generated by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_s\}$ (if $s = 0$, we mean that the set is empty) and $P_{a,s}$ the parabolic of GSp_{2a} preserving $\Lambda_{a,s}$. We have that the Levi of $P_{a,s}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GSp}(\{e_{s+1}, \ldots, e_{a-s}, e_{a+1}, \ldots, e_{2a-s}\}) \times \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda_{a,s})$. Explicitly, we can see this Levi in GSp_{2a} as

$$\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & B & 0 \\ 0 & C & D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \nu(g')w_s^t g^{-1} w_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad g' = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GSp}_{2a-2s/F_0}, \ g \in \mathrm{GL}_{s/F_0}.$$

Let $N_{a,s}$ be the unipotent radical of $P_{a,s}$; we shall be interested in its center $Z(N_{a,s})$ which can be explicitly written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & n \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_s n w_s = {}^t n, n \in M_s.$$

We have an an action of GL_s on $Z(N_{a,s})$ induced by conjugation inside GSp_{2a}: $g.n = gn w_s^t g w_s$.

If we want to specify that we are in the situation considered in this Subsection we will say 'in the symplectic case'. It is also called the Hilbert-Siegel case and it corresponds to case (C) of [Bra15].

We define another group; let G be the algebraic group over $\mathbb{Z}$ whose A-point are

$$G(A) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2a}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{F_0}) | \ {}^t g \iota_a g = \nu(g) \iota_a, \ \nu(g) \in A \right\}.$$

It differs from $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_{F_0}/\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{GSp}_{2a}$ for the condition on the rational multiplier and it is important because it is associated with a Shimura variety. Its maximal parabolic subgroups and their Levi and unipotent are defined as for GSp_{2a} with the extra condition in the multiplier of the symplectic part of the Levi. By a slight abuse of notation, we shall denote the corresponding objects by the same symbol.

1.1.2. *Unitary case.* Let F_0 be a totally real number field and F a totally imaginary quadratic extension of F; let $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$) the ring of integers of F_0 (resp. F). Take two non-negative integers $b \geq a$. We define the matrix

$$\iota_{a,b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -w_a \\ 0 & \varsigma \mathrm{Id}_{b-a} & 0 \\ w_a & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where ς is a totally imaginary element of F.

We consider the unitary group $\mathrm{GU}(b, a)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$ whose A-points are

$$\mathrm{GU}(b, a)(A) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_{a+b}(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_0}} \mathcal{O}_F) | g^* \iota_{b,a} g = \nu(g) \iota_{b,a}, \nu(g) \in A \right\},$$

where $g^* = c(g^t)$, for c the complex conjugation of F over F_0. It is a smooth algebraic group over $\mathbb{Z}_N$, for a suitable integer N. By a slight abuse of notation, we

shall sometime call this group $\mathrm{GU}(b,a)_{F/F_0}$. We shall call ν the factor of similitude and we shall denote its kernel by $\mathrm{U}(b,a)$.

Let Ω_{b-a} be a $b-a$-dimensional lattice over $\mathcal{O}_F$ corresponding to the skew-Hermitian matrix $\varsigma\mathrm{Id}_{b-a}$. Denote a integral basis of it by $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^{b-a}$. Let Ξ_a and Υ_a be two $\mathcal{O}_F$ lattices with basis $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{a}$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{a}$. We let $\Lambda_{a,b} = \Xi_a \oplus \Omega_{b-a} \oplus \Upsilon_a$ and $V_{b,a} = X_a \oplus W_{b-a} \oplus Y_a$ the corresponding F vector space. We now classify the parabolic of $\mathrm{GU}(b,a)$. Let $0 \leq s \leq a$ and let $\Lambda_{b,a,s}$ be the sub-lattice of $\Lambda_{b,a}$ spanned by $\{y_i\}_{i=a-s+1}^{a}$. (If $s = 0$, we assume this set to be empty.) We denote by $P_{b,a,s}$ the parabolic of $\mathrm{GU}(b,a)$ stabilizing $\Lambda_{b,a,s}$. The Levi subgroup of $P_{b,a,s}$ can be identified with $\mathrm{GU}(\{x_1,\ldots,x_{a-s},w_1,\ldots,w_{b-a},y_1,\ldots,y_{a-s}\}) \times \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda_{b,a,s})$. The Levi of $P_{b,a,s}$, seen as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GU}(b,a)$, can be described as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu(h)w_s(g^{-1})^*w_s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g \end{pmatrix}, \quad h \in \mathrm{GU}(b-s,a-s), g \in \mathrm{GL}_{s/F}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{G}_m(F)$ embeds in $\mathrm{GU}(b,a)$ and $\nu(\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathrm{N}_{F/F_0}$. We shall denote by $N_{a,s}$ the unipotent of $P_{b,a,s}$ and by $Z(N_{a,s})$ its center. This center can be explicitly written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & n \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n = w_s n^* w_s, n \in M_s.$$

We have an an action of GL_s on $Z(N_{a,s})$ induced by conjugation inside $\mathrm{GU}(a,b)$: $g.n = w_s(g^{-1})^*w_s ng^{-1}$.

In this case we denote by G the algebraic group over $\mathbb{Z}$

$$G(A) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{a+b}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_F) | g^* \iota_{b,a} g = \nu(g) \iota_{b,a}, \nu(g) \in A\}.$$

Remark 1.1. *We are using a quite uncommon definition of symplectic and unitary groups (using the longest Weyl element rather than the identity matrix) but this makes the Hodge-Tate map equivariant for the action of $\mathrm{GL}_b \times \mathrm{GL}_a$.*

If we want to specify that we are in the situation considered in this Subsection we will say 'in the unitary case'. It corresponds to case (A) of [Bra15].

1.2. **Shimura varieties and they compactification.** Fix a or a,b and let G be as in the previous section. For each $0 \leq s \leq a$ we shall write G_s for the corresponding group associated with GSp_{2a-2s} or $\mathrm{GU}(b-s,a-s)$; according if G is symplectic or unitary. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a compact open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q},f})$.

Assumption 1.2. *We shall assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is neat, in the terminology of* [Lan13, 1.4.1.8].

Associated with G and $\mathcal{H}$ comes a moduli problem for abelian scheme which, under the assumption of neatness, is representable by a quasi projective scheme $S_G(\mathcal{H})$ defined over a number field K. We have a minimal (or Baily–Borel) compactification $S_G^*(\mathcal{H})$ and we choose one and for all a smooth toroidal compactification $S_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H})$ [Lan13]. We shall denote by π the morphism from $S_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H})$ to $S_G^*(\mathcal{H})$. For $0 \leq s \leq a$ we define the set of cusp label of genus s

$$C_s(\mathcal{H}) := (G_s(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q},f}) \times \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda_{b,a,s}))N_{a,s}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q},f}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q},f})/\mathcal{H}$$

$$(\text{resp. } C_s(\mathcal{H}) := (G_s(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q},f}) \times \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda_{a,s}))N_{a,s}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q},f}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q},f})/\mathcal{H}).$$

This is a finite set and we shall denote by $[\gamma]$ a generic element of this double quotient. We shall write

$$\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]} := \gamma\mathcal{H}\gamma^{-1} \cap G_s(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q},f})$$

where we see G_s as a component of the Levi of the parabolic $P_{b,a,s}$ (resp. $P_{a,s}$) of G. We can then define a stratification of $S_G^*(\mathcal{H})$ as follows

$$S_G^*(\mathcal{H}) = \bigsqcup_{s=0}^{a} \bigsqcup_{[\gamma] \in C_s(\mathcal{H})} S_{G_s}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]}).$$

If $s = 0$, C_0 consists of $S_G(\mathcal{H})$. For $s = a$ we obtain compact Shimura varieties.

We want to explicit the stalk of the structural sheaf of $S_G^*(\mathcal{H})$. This will be useful to define the Fourier–Jacobi expansion of automorphic forms.

We begin with the unitary case. We consider the abelian scheme $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}$ defined in [Wan15, §2.6]. Let $N_{[\gamma]} := \mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]} \cap Z(N_{a,s})(\mathbb{Q})$; this group can be identified with a lattice in the group of $s \times s$ Hermitian matrices with F coefficients. Indeed, for each n we can define a unique Hermitian paring $(y, y') \mapsto b_n(y, y')$ so that $\text{Tr}_{F/F_0}(b_n(y, y')) = \langle y(n-1), y' \rangle_s$ (where $\langle \ , \ \rangle_s$ is the Hermitian form on $Y_s \times Y_s$ defined before) and consequently a unique $s \times s$ Hermitian matrix. Similarly in the symplectic case.

We define $S_{[\gamma]} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N_{[\gamma]}, \mathbb{Z})$ which, under the above identification, is a lattice in the set of symmetric matrices. To each element $h \in S_{[\gamma]}$ we can associate $\mathcal{L}(h)$, a $\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor on $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}$. We shall denote by $S_{[\gamma]}^+$ the subset of totally non-negative (with respect to the embeddings of F_0 in $\mathbb{R}$) elements.

Let us denote by $\Gamma_{[\gamma]} := \text{GL}(Y_s) \cap \gamma \mathcal{H} \gamma^{-1}$. This group acts on $H_{[\gamma]}^+$ via the following formula

$$g.h = g^{-1} h w_s (g^{-1})^* w_s.$$

We now deal with the symplectic case. We have the abelian scheme $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}$. Let $N_{[\gamma]} := \mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]} \cap Z(N_{a,s})(\mathbb{Q})$; this group can be identified with a lattice in the group of symmetric $s \times s$ matrices with F_0 coefficients.

We define $S_{[\gamma]} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N_{[\gamma]}, \mathbb{Z})$ which, under the above identification, is a lattice in the set of symmetric matrices. To each element $h \in S_{[\gamma]}$ we can associate $\mathcal{L}(h)$, a $\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor on $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}$. We shall denote by $S_{[\gamma]}^+$ the subset of totally non-negative elements.

Let us denote by $\Gamma_{[\gamma]} := \text{GL}(Y_s) \cap \gamma \mathcal{H} \gamma^{-1}$. This group acts on $H_{[\gamma]}^+$ via the following formula

$$g.h = w_s^t g w_s h g.$$

Proposition 1.3. *Let x be a closed $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-point of $S_{G_s}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})$. The strict Henselian completion of the stalk of $\mathcal{O}_{S_G^*(\mathcal{H})}$ is isomorphic to*

$$\left\{ \sum_{h \in S_{[\gamma]}^+} a(h) q^h \ | \ a(h) \in H^0(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma],x}, \mathcal{L}(h)) \right\}^{\Gamma_{[\gamma]}},$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma],x}$ is the fiber of π at x.

Remark 1.4. *Note that $g \in \Gamma_{[\gamma]}$ sends $H^0(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}, \mathcal{L}(h))$ into $H^0(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}, \mathcal{L}(g.h))$. If $\Gamma_{[\gamma]}(h)$ denotes the stabilizer of h in $\Gamma_{[\gamma]}$, the action of $\Gamma_{[\gamma]}(h)$ on $H^0(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma]}, \mathcal{L}(h))$ is trivial (see [SU14, Lemma 5.1]).*

1.3. **Algebraic representations of** GL_{a+b}. A the beginning of this subsection we assume for simplicity that $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$. Consider $\text{GL}_b \times \text{GL}_a / \mathbb{Z}$ with $b \geq a$; let B_b be the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices, T_b the split torus and N_b the unipotent part. Let B_a^o be the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices,

T_a the split torus and N_a^o the unipotent part. We shall denote by $w_{b,a}$ the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} w_b & 0 \\ 0 & w_a \end{pmatrix}$ which represents the longest Weyl element for $\mathrm{GL}_b \times \mathrm{GL}_a$. Let $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_b, k_{b+1}, \ldots, k_{a+b})$ be a weight of $T_b \times T_a$ and consider the algebraic induction L_k which, for each $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra R, is

$$L_k(R) := \left\{ f : R[\mathrm{GL}_b \times \mathrm{GL}_a] \to R \,|\, f(gnt) = k(t)f(g) \forall t \in T_b \times T_a, n \in N_b \times N_a^o \right\},$$

where $k(t_1, \ldots, t_b, t_{b+1}, \ldots, t_{a+b}) = t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_b^{k_b} t_{b+1}^{k_{b+1}} \cdots t_{a+b}^{k_{a+b}}$. It is a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_b \times \mathrm{GL}_a$ via $g.f(g') = f(g^{-1}g')$. We shall sometimes write ρ_k to denote this representation.

We say that the weight is dominant (w.r.t. $N_b \times N_a^o$) if $k_1 \geq \ldots \geq k_b \geq -k_{b+1} \geq \ldots \geq -k_{a+b}$.

In the symplectic case, we consider GL_a with the Borel B_a subgroup of upper triangular matrices with its split torus T_a and unipotent radical N_a. For any weight k we define the space

$$L_k(R) := \left\{ f : R[\mathrm{GL}_a] \to R \,|\, f(gnt) = k(t)f(g) \forall t \in T_a, N_a \right\}.$$

A weight is said to be dominant (w.r.t. N_a) if $k_1 \geq \ldots \geq k_a$.

One can extend all these definition to the case $F_0 \neq \mathbb{Q}$ just considering the Weil restriction functor. In particular we have the representation L_k in general. It is an algebraic representations of $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_{F_0}/\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{GL}_b \times \mathrm{GL}_a$ that is dominant w.r.t. $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_{F_0}/\mathbb{Z}} N_b \times N_a^o$. In this context a weight will be an element of $\mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]^{b+a}$, being $\Sigma = \mathrm{Hom}(F_0, \mathbb{C})$.

1.4. Algebraic automorphic forms.
Consider G and $\mathcal{H}$ as before. We have a universal abelian variety $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \lambda, \iota, \eta)$ with PEL structure and a morphism

$$\xi : \mathcal{A} \to S_G(\mathcal{H}).$$

We can extend $\mathcal{A}$ to a semi-abelian variety $\mathcal{G}$ such that ξ too extends to

$$\xi : \mathcal{G} \to S_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Let us denote by e the unit section of ξ and by ω the sheaf $e^* \Omega_{\mathcal{G}/S_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H})}$.

In the symplectic case, we have a decomposition

$$\omega \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \omega_\sigma$$

and we define $\mathcal{E}$ to be

$$\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus_{\Sigma} \underline{\mathrm{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}_{S_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H})}^a, \omega_\sigma).$$

This defines an (algebraic) left GL_a-torsor, where GL_a acts on $\mathcal{O}_{S_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H})}^a$ on the right. The sheaf of weight k automorphic forms is then

$$\omega^k = \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_{F_0}/\mathbb{Z}} N_a}[-w_a k].$$

Locally for the Zariski topology, this sheaf is isomorphic to $L_{-w_a k}$; indeed we have also

$$\omega^k = \mathcal{E} \times^{\mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_{F_0}} \mathrm{GL}_a} L_{-w_a k}.$$

For the unitary case, fix a CM type (Σ, Σ^c) for (F_0, F); over F we have a decomposition

$$\omega \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \omega_\sigma \oplus \omega_{c\sigma}$$

and we define $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^+ \oplus \mathcal{E}^-$, where

$$\mathcal{E}^+ \cong \bigoplus_{\Sigma} \underline{\mathrm{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}^a_{S^{\mathrm{tor}}_G(\mathcal{H})}, \omega_\sigma),$$

$$\mathcal{E}^- \cong \bigoplus_{\Sigma^c} \underline{\mathrm{Isom}}(\mathcal{O}^b_{S^{\mathrm{tor}}_G(\mathcal{H})}, \omega_\sigma).$$

This defines an (algebraic) $\mathrm{GL}_b \times \mathrm{GL}_a$-torsor. The sheaf of weight k automorphic forms is then

$$\omega^k = \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_{F_0}/\mathbb{Z}} N_b \times N^o_a}[-w_{b,a} k].$$

Locally for the Zariski topology, this sheaf is isomorphic to L_k and as before we have

$$\omega^k = \mathcal{E} \times^{\mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_{F_0}} \mathrm{GL}_b \times GL_a} L_{-w_{b,a} k}.$$

Definition 1.5. *For any $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra R we define the space of weight k modular forms as*

$$\mathrm{M}_k(\mathcal{H}, R) := \mathrm{H}^0(S^*_G(\mathcal{H})_{/R}, \pi_*\omega^k) = \mathrm{H}^0(S^{\mathrm{tor}}_G(\mathcal{H})_{/R}, \omega^k).$$

Remark 1.6. *If the boundary of $S^*_G(\mathcal{H})$ is of codimension strictly bigger than one, than $\mathrm{M}_k(\mathcal{H}, R) = \mathrm{H}^0(S_G(\mathcal{H})_{/R}, \omega^k)$.*

We have the following theorem about Fourier–Jacobi expansion [Lan12, Section 5.3];

Theorem 1.7. *Let x be a closed $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-point of $S_{G_s}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})$. The strict Henselian completion of the stalk of $\pi_*\omega^k$ is isomorphic to*

$$\left\{ \sum_{h \in H^+_{[\gamma]}} a(h) q^h \ \mid \ a(h) \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma], x}, \mathcal{L}(h) \otimes \omega^k) \right\}^{\Gamma_{[\gamma]}}$$

where invariance by $\Gamma_{[\gamma]}$ means $a(h) = \rho_k(\gamma^{-1} g \gamma) a(g.h)$, the action $g.h$ is the one defined before Proposition 1.3.

1.5. **The Siegel morphism.** In this section we shall study under which condition on the weight k we can have a non-cuspidal form f of that weight. This section is highly influenced by the work [Wei83].

Let $\iota_{[\gamma]} : S_{G_s}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]}) \to S^*_G(\mathcal{H})$ be the component of the boundary of the minimal compactification associated with the cusp label $[\gamma]$. We define the Siegel operator

$$\Phi_{[\gamma]}(f) = f_{|S_{G_s}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})} \in \mathrm{H}^0(S_{G_s}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]}), \iota^*_{[\gamma]} \pi_*\omega^k).$$

In the symplectic case, for a weight $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_a)$ we define $k' = (k_1, \ldots, k_{a-s})$, while in the unitary case, for a weight $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_b, k_{b+1}, \ldots, k_{a+b})$ we define $k' = (k_1, \ldots, k_{b-s}, k_{b+1}, \ldots, k_{a+b-s})$. The following lemma is very important.

Lemma 1.8. *Let R be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. If $f \in \mathrm{M}_k(\mathcal{H}, R)$ then*

$$\Phi_{[\gamma]}(f) \in \mathrm{M}_{k'}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]}, R).$$

Proof. Using the comparison of algebraic and analytic Fourier–Jacobi expansion [Lan12], we can rephrase the above proof in analytic terms. This has been done, for example, for Siegel forms in [vdG08, §5] and for unitary forms in [Hsi14, §3.6]. □

We give two key definitions:

Definition 1.9. *In the symplectic case, we say that a weight has corank q if*

$$q = |\{1 \le i \le a | k_i = k_a\}|$$

and k_a is parallel.

In the unitary case, we say that a weight k has corank $1 \le q \le a$ if $k_b - k_{b+1}$ is parallel and

$$q = |\{1 \le i \le b | k_i = k_b\}| = |\{b+1 \le i \le a+b | k_i = k_{b+1}\}|.$$

If there is no q for which k satisfies the above conditions, we say that k has corank 0.

Definition 1.10. *We say that $0 \ne f \in \mathrm{M}_k(\mathcal{H}, R)$ has corank q if q is the minimal integer such that $\bigoplus_{[\gamma] \in C_{q+1}(\mathcal{H})} \Phi_{[\gamma]}(f) = 0$. (We assume C_{a+1} to be empty.)*

We shall write $\mathrm{M}_k^q(\mathcal{H}, R)$ for the subspace of $\mathrm{M}_k(\mathcal{H}, R)$ of forms of corank at most q.

We define $\mathcal{J}^q$ to be the sheaf of ideals associated with

$$\bigsqcup_{s=q+1}^{a} \bigsqcup_{[\gamma] \in C_s(\mathcal{H})} S_{G_s}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]}) \hookrightarrow S_G(\mathcal{H}).$$

We have the following proposition;

Proposition 1.11. *Let x be a closed $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-point of $S_{G_s}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})$. The strict Henselian completion of the stalk of $\pi_* \omega^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^q$ is isomorphic to*

$$\prod_{[h] \in H_{[\gamma]}^+ / \Gamma_{[\gamma]}, \mathrm{rk}(h) \ge s-q} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma],x}, \mathcal{L}(h) \otimes \omega^k)^{\Gamma_{[\gamma]}(h)},$$

where $\Gamma_{[\gamma]}(h)$ is the subgroup of $\Gamma_{[\gamma]}$ which stabilizes h.

Proof. Indeed, the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{S_G^*(\mathcal{H}),x}$ is generated by the q^h's and the elements which generates the ideal $\mathcal{J}_x^q \mathcal{O}_{S_G^*(\mathcal{H}),x}$ are exactly the q in the sum above. Hence

$$(\pi_* \omega^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^q)_x^\wedge = \left\{ \sum_{h \in H_{[\gamma]}^+, \mathrm{rk}(h) \ge s-q} a(h) q^h \mid a(h) \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma],x}, \mathcal{L}(h) \otimes \omega^k) \right\}^{\Gamma_{[\gamma]}}.$$

$\square$

We can now give the main theorem of this section, which is a generalization of [Wei83, Satz 2]:

Theorem 1.12. *Let R be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. If $0 \ne f \in \mathrm{M}_k(\mathcal{H}, R)$ then $\mathrm{cork}(k) \ge \mathrm{cork}(f)$.*

Proof. If a form has at least corank q than there exists at at least a cusp label $[\gamma]$ in $C_a(\mathcal{H})$ (so of minimal genus) such that the Fourier–Jacobi expansion at that cusp has at least a non zero coefficient $a(h)$, for h a matrix of rank $a - q$. In particular, this means that the space of invariants $L_k(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})^{\Gamma_{[\gamma]}(h)}$ is not zero. Let us calculate this space.

We know that we can write, in a suitable basis, $h = \begin{pmatrix} h' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where h' is a matrix of size $a - q \times a - q$ and maximal rank. We consider the unitary case now, the symplectic case being similar and easier. It is immediate to see that all matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_a(F)$ of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_{a-q} & m \\ 0 & g' \end{pmatrix}$, with g' in $\mathrm{GL}_q(F)$ and $m \in M_{a-q,q}(F)$, stabilizes h. Let $N_{a,q}$ be the unipotent part of parabolic subgroup of $P_{b,a,q}$; the

$\mathbb{Q}$-points of the Levi of $P_{b,a,q}$ are $(\mathrm{GL}_q \times \mathrm{GL}_{b-q} \times \mathrm{GL}_{a-q} \times \mathrm{GL}_q)(F)$.

Using the theory of higher weights (we are over a characteristic zero field), we have that $L_{-w_0 k}^{N_{a,q}}$ decomposes, as $(\mathrm{GL}_q \times (\mathrm{GL}_{b-q} \times \mathrm{GL}_{a-q}) \times \mathrm{GL}_q)(F)$-module, as the irreducible representation

$$L_{(-k_b,\ldots,-k_{b-q})} \otimes L_{(k_1,\ldots,k_{b-q},k_{b+q+1},\ldots,k_{a+b})} \otimes L_{(-k_{b+1},\ldots,-k_{b+q})}.$$

If we intersect $\mathrm{GL}_q(F) \times \mathrm{Id}_{a+b-2q} \times \mathrm{GL}_q(F)$ with $\Gamma_{[\gamma]}$ and we obtain a subgroup of finite order in $\mathrm{GL}_q(\mathcal{O}_F)$ (which, we recall, is embedded as the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_0(g^{-1})^* w_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g \end{pmatrix}$$

inside G).

This group is not Zariski dense in GL_q, due to the fact that it contains only matrices whose determinant is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_F$, but it is not to far from being it. Indeed, let $(-k_b,\ldots,-k_{b-q}) \times (-k_{b+1},\ldots,-k_{b+q})$ an algebraic characters whose kernel contains $\mathrm{GL}_q \times \mathrm{GL}_q(\mathcal{O}_{F_0})$ (so that the space of invariants is not zero). Firstly, we want the representation to factor through the determinant, hence $k_b = \ldots = k_{b-q+1}$ and $k_{b+1} = \ldots = k_{b+q}$. Then we are left with the representation of GL_1, explicitly $L_{k_b} \otimes L_{-k_{b+1}}$, hence $k_b - k_{b+1}$ must be a parallel weight in $\mathbb{Z}[\Sigma]$.

These are exactly the condition given by the theorem.

Note that, if not zero, then $L_{-w_0 k}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})^{\Gamma_{[\gamma]}}$ is isomorphic to the representation $L_{(k_1,\ldots,k_{b-q},k_{b+q+1},\ldots,k_{a+b})}$. $\qquad\square$

Remark 1.13. *Note that over a general basis (for example in characteristic p) the theorem is not true (for example, non parallel weight which are parallel modulo p can admit non-cuspidal forms). This was already known to Hida (see [Hid04, Remark 4.8]).*

We conclude with the following proposition that gives an algebraic description of $\Phi_{[\gamma]}$. Its proof is clearly inspired by [SU14, Proposition 5.7] and its version in families will be a key ingredient in the construction of non-cuspidal families.

Proposition 1.14. *Let R be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. For a weight k we let k' be as in the beginning of the section. Suppose $q = \mathrm{cork}(k)$, we have the following exact sequence of sheaves on $S_G^*(\mathcal{H})_{/R}$:*

$$0 \to \pi_* \omega^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^0 \to \pi_* \omega^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^q \to \bigoplus_{C_1} \iota_{[\gamma],*} \pi_{[\gamma],*} \omega^{k'} \otimes \mathcal{J}_{[\gamma]}^{q-1} \to 0,$$

where $\iota_{[\gamma]}$ is the closed inclusion of $S_{G'}^*(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})$ into $S_G^*(\mathcal{H})$ and $\pi_{[\gamma]}$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}_{[\gamma]}^{s-1}$) is defined as in 1.2 (resp. before 1.11) for $S_{G'}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})$.

Proof. We shall check that the sequence is exact on the stalks using Proposition 1.11. Fix one cusp label $\gamma \in C_1$ and suppose that we know the isomorphism:

$$\iota_{[\gamma]}^* \mathrm{Im} \cong \pi_{[\gamma],*} \omega^{k'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{G_s}^*(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})}} \mathcal{J}_{[\gamma]}^{q-1}.$$

Then it is immediate to see that $\iota_{[\gamma],*} \iota_{[\gamma]}^* \mathrm{Im} \cong \mathrm{Im}$ as $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{Im}) \subset \sqcup_{C_1} S_{G_1}^*(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})$. Let x be a point in a cusp label $[\gamma_1] \in C_s(\mathcal{H})$, and let $[\gamma_2] \in C_{s-1}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})$ be the only genus $s-1$ cusp label to which x belongs. We have

$$(\pi_* \omega_k \otimes \mathcal{J}^0)_x = \prod_{[h] \in H_{[\gamma_1]}^+ / \Gamma_{[\gamma_1]}, \mathrm{rk}(h) \geq s} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_1],x}, \mathcal{L}(h) \otimes \omega^k)^{\Gamma_{[\gamma_1]}(h)},$$

$$(\pi_* \omega_k \otimes \mathcal{J}^q)_x = \prod_{[h] \in H_{[\gamma_1]}^+ / \Gamma_{[\gamma_1]}, \mathrm{rk}(h) \geq s-q} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_1],x}, \mathcal{L}(h) \otimes \omega^k)^{\Gamma_{[\gamma_1]}(h)}.$$

The image is hence

$$\prod_{[h]\in H_{[\gamma_1]}^+/\Gamma_{[\gamma_1]}, s-q\leq r(h)<s} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_1],x},\mathcal{L}(h)\otimes\omega^k)^{\Gamma_{[\gamma_1]}(h)}.$$

By construction of the toroidal compactification, the injection $H_{[\gamma_2]}^+ \hookrightarrow H_{[\gamma_1]}^+$ induces an equivalence between $H_{[\gamma_2]}^+/\Gamma_{[\gamma_2]}$ and the matrices of rank smaller than s of $H_{[\gamma_1]}^+/\Gamma_{[\gamma_1]}$ of the form $h=\begin{pmatrix} h' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (in a properly chosen basis, depending only on the cusp label $[\gamma]$). The description of $\pi_{[\gamma],*}\omega_{k'}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{G_s}^*(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})}}\mathcal{J}_{[\gamma]}^{q-1}$ given by Proposition 1.11 tell us that the stalk $\left(\pi_{[\gamma],*}\omega_{k'}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{G_s}^*(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})}}\mathcal{J}_{[\gamma]}^{q-1}\right)_x$ is

$$\prod_{[h']\in H_{[\gamma_2]}^+/\Gamma_{[\gamma_2]}, s-1\geq r(h')\geq s-1-(q-1)} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_2],x},\mathcal{L}(h')\otimes\omega^{k'})^{\Gamma_{[\gamma_2]}(h')}.$$

Let $\zeta:\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_1]}\to\mathcal{Z}_{[\gamma_2]}$ be the natural projection. The proposition will follow if we know

$$\zeta^*\left((\mathcal{L}(h')\otimes\omega^{k'})^{\Gamma_{[\gamma_2]}(h')}\right)\cong(\mathcal{L}(h)\otimes\omega^{k'})^{\Gamma_{[\gamma_1]}(h)}.$$

Indeed, if this holds, the projection formula, the equality $\zeta_*\mathcal{L}(h)=\mathcal{L}(h')$ [SU14, Lemma 5.1] and the fact that $\zeta_*\mathcal{O}_{Z_{[\gamma_1]}}=\mathcal{O}_{Z_{[\gamma_2]}}$ [Lan13, Remark 3.1.2.6] imply then

$$(\mathcal{L}(h')\otimes\omega^{k'})^{\Gamma_{[\gamma_2]}(h')}\cong\zeta_*\left((\mathcal{L}(h)\otimes\omega^{k'})^{\Gamma_{[\gamma_1]}(h)}\right)$$

and this gives the desired claim on global sections. We deal only with symplectic case, being the unitary case similar. Unfolding the definitions (in particular, remember that we apply the longest element of the Weyl group to our representation) we see that

$$\Gamma_{[\gamma_1]}(h)\subset\left\{\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ n & 1_{a-s} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{a-s} & n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \nu(g')w_s^t g^{-1}w_s \end{pmatrix} \Big| g=\begin{pmatrix} g'' & 0 \\ n'' & 1_{s-r(h)} \end{pmatrix}\right\}.$$

and similarly for $\Gamma_{[\gamma_2]}(h')$. As ω^k is étale locally isomorphic to $L_{-w_0 k}$, the same calculation performed in the proof of Theorem 1.12 combined with the corank condition ensure us that the two space of invariants are the same. This allows us to conclude. $\square$

Remark 1.15. *Note again that over a general basis the proposition is not necessarily true.*

In particular, note that we have

$$\mathrm{M}_k^q(\mathcal{H},R)=\mathrm{H}^0(S_G(\mathcal{H})_{/R},\omega^k\otimes\mathcal{J}^q).$$

We conclude observing that all the results of the section can be generalized to arbitrary Shimura varieties of type A or C, with the only inconvenience of a less explicit description of the conditions on the weights and more cumbersome notation.

2. p-ADIC SECTION

Let $p>2$ be a rational prime number, fixed from now on. We now move on to p-adic modular forms. Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We denote with $\mathbb{C}_p$ the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. We fix once and for all an embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\hookrightarrow\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. We assume that p is unramified in F_0 and that

the ordinary locus of our Shimura variety it is not empty (it then automatically dense and it is always true in the symplectic case). In the unitary case we moreover assume that each prime above p in $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$ splits completely in $\mathcal{O}_F$. We are hence in a situation considered in [Bra15].

We let K denote a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, that we assume to be 'sufficiently big' (for example it must contain the image of all the embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$). This is in contrast with the previous notation for K (it was a number field of definition for the Shimura varieties), but it should not cause any confusion. All our objects will be defined over K or over $\mathcal{O}_K$, even if the notation does not suggest it. We assume that the compact open subgroup $\mathcal{H} \subset G(\mathbb{A}_{F_0,f})$ is of the form $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^p G(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, where $\mathcal{H}^p \subset G(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$ is a (sufficiently small) compact open subgroup. In this way $S_G(\mathcal{H})$ and his compactifications have a natural model, denoted with the same symbol, over $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Let $p = \prod_{i=1}^k \varpi_i$ be the decomposition of p in $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$ and let $\mathcal{O}_i$ be the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$ with respect to (ϖ_i) (here ϖ_i is a fixed uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_i$). We have $\mathcal{O}_{F_0,p} \cong \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_i$. We set $d_i := [F_i : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, where $F_i := \mathrm{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_i)$. From now on, we assume that K is big enough to contain the image of all embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. In this section A will be an abelian scheme given by the moduli problem associated to Y. We assume that A is defined over a finite extension of $\mathcal{O}_K$, so it comes from a rigid point of $\mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{rig}}$.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H})$ be the formal completion of $S_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H})$ along its special fiber and let $\mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor,rig}}(\mathcal{H})$ be the rigid fiber of $\mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H})$. As in [Bra15] we have the Hodge height function

$$\mathrm{Hdg} \colon \mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor,rig}}(\mathcal{H}) \to [0,1]^k$$
$$x \mapsto (\mathrm{Hdg}(x)_i)_i$$

If $\underline{v} = (v_i)_i \in [0,1]^k$ we set

$$\mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor,rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) := \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor,rig}}(\mathcal{H}) \text{ such that } \mathrm{Hdg}(x)_i \le v_i \text{ for all } i \right\}.$$

We assume that each v_i is small enough in the sequel, as in [Bra15, Section 1]. In particular we have the tower of formal schemes

$$\mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)(\underline{v}) \to \mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Iw}}}(\underline{v}) \to \mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\underline{v}) \to \mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}).$$

We adapt all the notation of [Bra15], everything should be clear from the context. For example, we will work with the weight space $\mathcal{W}_a$ in the symplectic case and $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}$ in the unitary case. Recall that it is the rigid analytic space associated to the completed group algebra $\mathcal{O}_K[\![\mathrm{T}_a(\mathbb{Z}_p)]\!]$ or $\mathcal{O}_K[\![\mathrm{T}_b \times \mathrm{T}_a(\mathbb{Z}_p)]\!]$. As in [Bra15, Section 2], we have, for any tuple of non-negative rational numbers $\underline{w}$, the affinoid subdomain $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w}) \subset \mathcal{W}_a$ (and $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w}) \subset \mathcal{W}_{b,a}$). Here $\underline{w} = (w^\pm)_{i=1}^k$ in the unitary case and $\underline{w} = (w)_{i=1}^k$ in the symplectic case. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{W}_a$ or $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{W}_{b,a}$ be an affinoid and let $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ the associated universal character. We will denote the usual involution on the weight space, defined using the longest element of the Weil group, by $\chi \mapsto -w_0\chi$

Let $\underline{w}$ be a tuple of rational numbers such that χ^{un} is $\underline{w}$-analytic, so $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})$ or $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})$. Let $\underline{v}$ be adapted to $\underline{w}$. One of the main construction of [Bra15] is the sheaf $\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$ over $\mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor,rig}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\underline{v}) \times \mathcal{U}$ whose global sections are by definition the families of ($\underline{v}$-overconvergent and $\underline{w}$-analytic) modular forms parametrized by $\mathcal{U}$, of Iwahoric level. We consider also the rigid spaces $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^\circ$ and $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^\circ$ and their formal models $\mathfrak{W}_a(\underline{w})^\circ$ and $\mathfrak{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^\circ$ introduced in [Bra15, Section 5.2]. It is the correct weight space to consider when working with modular forms of level $\mathcal{H}p^n$. If $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{W}_a(\underline{w})^\circ$ or $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^\circ$ is an affine, we have the sheaf $\underline{\mathfrak{w}}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathfrak{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$ over

$\mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)(\underline{v}) \times \mathfrak{U}$, where n depends on $\mathfrak{U}$, and also its rigid fiber $\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$. The global sections of $\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$ are by definition the families of ($\underline{v}$-overconvergent and $\underline{w}$-analytic) modular forms parametrized by $\mathcal{U}$, of level $\mathcal{H}p^n$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the rigid fiber of $\mathfrak{U}$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is the image of a given affinoid $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}_a(w)$ under the natural morphism $\mathcal{W}_a(w) \to \mathcal{W}_a(w)^\circ$ (and similarly in the unitary case), we can recover the sheaf $\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$ from $\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$. For technical reasons, we will start working with $\underline{\mathfrak{w}}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$ and $\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$ [1].

We are going to describe the stalks of the projection to the minimal compactification of $\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi}$.

2.1. Analytic induction and Fourier expansion.

We first of all need to rewrite Subsection 1.3 in the p-adic setting. Thanks to our assumption that p is unramified in $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$ we can be completely explicit.

We consider the algebraic group $\mathrm{GL}^{\mathcal{O}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ defined, in the unitary and symplectic case respectively, by

$$\mathrm{GL}^{\mathcal{O}} := \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_i/\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathrm{GL}_b \times \mathrm{GL}_a) \text{ and } \mathrm{GL}^{\mathcal{O}} := \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_i/\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{GL}_a.$$

We also have the subgroup $\mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O}}$ defined by

$$\mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O}} := \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_i/\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^b \times \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^a) \text{ and } \mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O}} := \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_i/\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^a.$$

Over K, we have that $\mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O}}$ is a split maximal torus of $\mathrm{GL}^{\mathcal{O}}$. We consider the Borel subgroup $\mathrm{B}^{\mathcal{O}}$ given, in the unitary case, by couples of matrices whose first component is upper triangular and whose second component is lower triangular (in the symplectic case we consider upper triangular matrices). We will write $\mathrm{U}^{\mathcal{O}}$ for the unipotent radical of $\mathrm{B}^{\mathcal{O}}$. We write $\mathrm{B}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}$ and $\mathrm{U}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}$ for the opposite subgroups of $\mathrm{B}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}$ and $\mathrm{U}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}$. Let $\mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O}}$ be the Iwahori subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ given, in the unitary case, by couples of matrices whose first component has upper triangular reduction and whose second component has lower triangular reduction (in the symplectic case we consider matrices with upper triangular reduction). Let $\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{U}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ given by those matrices that reduce to the identity modulo p. We have an isomorphism of groups

$$\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}} \times \mathrm{B}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to \mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O}}$$

given by the Iwahori decomposition.

We use the following identification, in the unitary and symplectic case respectively.

$$\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}} = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(p\mathcal{O}_i^{\frac{b(b-1)}{2}} \times p\mathcal{O}_i^{\frac{a(a-1)}{2}} \right) \subset \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\mathbb{A}^{\frac{b(b-1)}{2},\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathbb{A}^{\frac{a(a-1)}{2},\mathrm{rig}} \right),$$

$$\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}} = \prod_{i=1}^k p\mathcal{O}_i^{\frac{a(a-1)}{2}} \subset \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{A}^{\frac{a(a-1)}{2},\mathrm{rig}}.$$

---

[1] To be precise the point is that the projection to the minimal compactification of $\underline{\mathfrak{w}}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$ is a formal Banach sheaf in the sense of [AIP15, Appendix], while the same is not true for $\underline{\mathfrak{w}}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathfrak{Y}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$. This problem is already present in the cuspidal Siegel case considered in [AIP15].

Given $\underline{w}$, a tuple of positive real numbers as in the definition of the weight spaces, we define in the unitary and symplectic case respectively

$$\mathrm{N}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}} := \bigcup_{(x_i^{\pm}) \in \mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(B(x_i^+, p^{-w_i^+}) \times B(x_i^-, p^{-w_i^-}) \right),$$

$$\mathrm{N}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}} := \bigcup_{(x_i) \in \mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} B(x_i, p^{-w_i}),$$

where $B(x, p^{-w})$ is the ball of center x and radius p^{-w} inside the relevant affine rigid space.

We say that a function $f \colon \mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}} \to K$ is $\underline{w}$-analytic if it is the restriction of an analytic function $f \colon \mathrm{N}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}} \to K$. We write $\mathcal{F}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an}}(\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}, K)$ for the set of $\underline{w}$-analytic functions. If $w_i^{\pm} = 1$ for all i and f is $\underline{w}$-analytic, we simply say that f is analytic and we write $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{an}}(\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}, K)$ for the set of analytic functions. A function is *locally analytic* if it is $\underline{w}$-analytic for some $\underline{w}$ and we write $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{loc\text{-}an}}(\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}, K)$ for the set of locally analytic functions.

Let now χ be a $\underline{w}$-analytic character in $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ}(K)$ or $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ}(K)$. We set

$$L_{\chi}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an},\circ} := \{f \colon \mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O}} \to K \text{ such that } f(it) = \chi(t)f(i)$$

$$\text{for all } (i,t) \in \mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O}} \times \mathrm{T}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathcal{O}} \text{ and } f_{|\mathrm{N}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}} \in \mathcal{F}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an}}(\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}, K)\},$$

where $\mathrm{T}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathcal{O}}$ is the torus given by (with the obvious meaning of $R/p^{\underline{w}}R$)

$$\mathrm{T}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathcal{O}}(R) = \ker(\mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O}}(R) \to \mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O}}(R/p^{\underline{w}})).$$

The definition of the spaces $L_{\chi}^{\mathrm{an},\circ}$ and $L_{\chi}^{\mathrm{loc\text{-}an},\circ}$ is similar. They all are representations of $\mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O}}$ via $(i \star f)(x) = f(xi)$. If χ is a $\underline{w}$-analytic character in $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})(K)$ or $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})(K)$ we have the spaces $L_{\chi}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an}}$, L_{χ}^{an}, and $L_{\chi}^{\mathrm{loc\text{-}an}}$ defined using the action of the whole $\mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O}}$ (or, that is the same, the action of $\mathrm{B}^{\mathcal{O}}$).

Let now $\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Spm}(A)$ be an open affinoid in $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ}$ or $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ}$, with universal character $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}$. We define

$$L_{\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an},\circ} := \{f \colon \mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O}} \to A \text{ such that } f(it) = \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}(t)f(i)$$

$$\text{for all } (i,t) \in \mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O}} \times \mathrm{T}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathcal{O}} \text{ and } f_{|\mathrm{N}_{\underline{w}}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}} \in \mathcal{F}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an}}(\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}, A)\},$$

with the obvious meaning of $\mathcal{F}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an}}(\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}, A)$. All the space defined above have a relative version over $\mathcal{U}$, and we will use the corresponding notation.

Notation. *All our (algebraic) groups have been defined starting with lattices of rank a or a and b. We can generalize this definition to other ranks. If we want to stress the ranks we will add certain index. For example* $\mathrm{GL}^{\mathcal{O},b-1,a-1}$. *These will be the relevant groups when we will consider the cusps of the minimal compactification of our Shimura variety.*

We have the following

Proposition 2.1. *Let χ be a $\underline{w}$-analytic character in $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ}(K)$ or $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ}(K)$. Locally for the étale topology on $\mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor,rig}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)(\underline{v})$ the sheaf $\underline{\omega}_{v,\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi}$ is isomorphic to $L_{-w_0\chi}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an},\circ}$. This isomorphism respects the action of $\mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O}}$. An analogous result holds if χ is in $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})(K)$ or $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})(K)$, considering the étale topology on $\mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor,rig}}(\mathcal{H})_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\underline{v})$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{U}$ is an open affinoid in $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ}$ or $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ}$, with universal character $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}$, the sheaf $\underline{\omega}_{v,\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$ is étale locally isomorphic to $L_{-w_0\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an},\circ}$. Analogously for $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})$ or $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})$.*

The Hasse invariants descend to the minimal compactification, allowing us to define the space $\mathfrak{S}_G^*(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v})$. For any $s = 0, \ldots, a$ and for any cusp label $[\gamma] \in C_s(\mathcal{H})$ we have the cusp $\mathfrak{S}_{G_s}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})(\underline{v})$ of $\mathfrak{S}_G^*(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v})$.

We still denote with $\mathcal{J}^q$ the various sheaves of ideals given by the cusps of $\mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v})$. After inverting p there is no problem in adapting the definition of $C_s(\mathcal{H})$, $H_{[\gamma]}^+$, $\Gamma_{[\gamma]}$ etc to the case of level $\mathcal{H}p^n$. Moreover we also have the space $\mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)(\underline{v})$, defined using the analytification of the Shimura variety over K, and a morphism $\xi \colon \mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)(\underline{v}) \to \mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v})$. We write $\eta \colon \mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor,rig}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)(\underline{v}) \to \mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v})$ for the morphism induced by ξ. We describe the stalks of the sheaf $\eta_* \underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open affinoid in $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^\circ$ or $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^\circ$, with universal character $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}$. Let $[\gamma] \in C_s(\mathcal{H}p^n)$ be a cusp label (for level $\mathcal{H}p^n$) and let x be a rigid-point of $\xi(\mathfrak{S}_{G_s}^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}p_{[\gamma]}^n)(\underline{v}))$ defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. The strict Henselian completion of the stalk of $\eta_* \underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{J}^q$ is isomorphic to a finite product, over the fiber $\xi^{-1}(x)$, of*

$$\prod_{[h] \in H_{[\gamma]}^+ / \Gamma_{[\gamma]}, \, \mathrm{rk}(h) \geq s-q} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{Z}_{[\gamma],x}, \mathcal{L}(h) \otimes \underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}})^{\Gamma_{[\gamma]}(h)},$$

where $\Gamma_{[\gamma]}(h)$ is the subgroup of $\Gamma_{[\gamma]}$ which stabilizes h. An analogous result holds if $\mathcal{U}$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})$ or $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})$.

Proof. Taking into account that the morphism $\xi \colon \mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)(\underline{v}) \to \mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v})$ is finite étale, the proof is the same as for Proposition 1.11. $\qquad\square$

Remark 2.3. In the above proposition we have described the stalk of our sheaves at a geometric rigid-point. In general, the topos of a rigid space $\mathcal{X}$ has not enough points, so we can not check that a given morphism of sheaves $f \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ is an isomorphism looking at stalks. On the other hand, let us suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-modules and that the induced morphism between the stalks $f_x \colon \mathcal{F}_x \to \mathcal{G}_x$ is an isomorphism for every rigid-point $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Then, looking at the annihilator ideal of the kernel and the cokernel of f it is easy to show that f is indeed an isomorphism.

2.2. p-adic Siegel morphism.

We now study the Siegel morphism for families of p-adic modular forms.

Let $\mathrm{Spm}(A) \subset \mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^\circ$ or $\mathrm{Spm}(A) \subset \mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^\circ$ be a fixed affinoid admissible open of the weight space. Let $q = 0, \ldots, a$ be an integer. We have the closed immersion

$$\bigcup_{s=q+1}^a \bigcup_{[\gamma] \in C_s} \mathfrak{S}_{G_s}^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A).$$

We will write $\mathcal{I}^q$ for the corresponding sheaf of ideals. We have the exact sequence

$$(2.1) \qquad 0 \to \mathcal{I}^0 \to \mathcal{I}^q \to \bigoplus_{[\gamma] \in C_1} \iota_{[\gamma],*} \mathcal{I}_{[\gamma]}^{q-1} \to 0$$

where $\iota_{[\gamma]} \colon \mathfrak{S}_{G_s}^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A) \to \mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A)$ is the natural morphism and $\mathcal{I}_{[\gamma]}^{q-1}$ has the same definition as $\mathcal{I}^{q-1}$, but for the Shimura variety $\mathfrak{S}_{G_s}^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})(\underline{v})$.

With a little abuse of notation we write η for the morphism

$$\eta \colon \mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor,rig}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A) \to \mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A).$$

If q_1 and q_2 are integers between 0 and a with $q_2 < q_1$ and let $[\gamma] \in C_{q_2}$. We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\iota_{[\gamma]}^* \mathcal{I}^{q_1} \cong \mathcal{I}_{[\gamma]}^{q_1 - q_2 - 1}.$$

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Spm}(A)$ and q be as above. Let $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ be the universal character associated to $\mathcal{U}$. We define

$$\mathrm{M}_{\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^q(\mathcal{H}p^n, K) = \mathrm{M}_{\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^q(\mathcal{H}p^n, K, \underline{v}, \underline{w}) := \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A), \eta_* \underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^q).$$

Given a modular form f in $\mathrm{M}_{\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^a(\mathcal{H}p^n, K)$ (that is just a global section of $\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$) with $f \neq 0$, we define its corank $\mathrm{cork}(f)$ as the smallest integer q such that $f \in \mathrm{M}_{\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^q(\mathcal{H}p^n, K)$.

Remark 2.5. Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Spm}(A)$ be as above. We write D for the boundary of $\mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor},\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A)$. A calculation using Fourier–Jacobi expansion shows that

$$\eta_* \left(\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}(-D) \right) = \eta_* \underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^0,$$

so $\mathrm{M}_{\chi^{\mathrm{un}}}^0(\mathcal{H}p^n, K)$ is the usual space of cuspidal forms (of level $\mathcal{H}p^n$).

Given a positive integer $s = 1, \ldots, a$ and a weight χ (not necessarily integral) we define the notion of χ being of corank s in the obvious way, exactly as in the case of integral weights. This gives us the closed subspaces $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ,s} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{a,b}(\underline{w})^{\circ,s} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ}$ given by weights of corank at least s. It is convenient to set $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ,0} = \mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ,0} = \mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ}$. If $s > 0$, we have that $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ,s}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ,s}$ are weight spaces in $d(a - s + 1)$ variables (recall that d is the degree of the relevant totally real field). The map $k \mapsto k'$ defined at the beginning of Subsection 1.5 extends to a morphism $\cdot' \colon \mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ,s} \to \mathcal{W}_{a-1}(\underline{w})^{\circ,s-1}$ or $\cdot' \colon \mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ,s} \to \mathcal{W}_{b-1,a-1}(\underline{w})^{\circ,s-1}$. We will use an analogous notation for the weight spaces $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^s \subseteq \mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})$ and $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ,s} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})$ of level $\mathcal{H}$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Spm}(A)$ be an affinoid admissible open of $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ,s}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{a,b}(\underline{w})^{\circ,s}$, with corresponding universal character $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}$. Over $\mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor},\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A)$ we can define the sheaf $\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$. All the results proved for the modular sheaves stay true for $\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$. In particular we have the formal model $\underline{\mathfrak{w}}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathfrak{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$, where $\mathfrak{U}$ is a formal model of $\mathcal{U}$.

Let $s = 1, \ldots, a$ be a positive integer and let $[\gamma] \in C_1$ be a cusp label. Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Spm}(A)$ be an affinoid admissible open of $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ,s}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ,s}$, with corresponding universal character $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}$. We write $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{Spm}(B)$, with universal character $\chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{un}}$, for the affinoid admissible open of $\mathcal{W}_{a-1}(\underline{w})^{\circ,s-1}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{b-1,a-1}(\underline{w})^{\circ,s-1}$ given by the image of $\mathcal{U}$ via $\chi \mapsto \chi'$. We write $\iota_{[\gamma]} \colon \mathfrak{S}_{G_1}^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A) \to \mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A)$ for the natural morphism. We let η_A and η_B be the morphisms

$$\eta_A \colon \mathfrak{S}_G^{\mathrm{tor},\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A) \to \mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A),$$

$$\eta_B \colon \mathfrak{S}_{G_1}^{\mathrm{tor},\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(B) \to \mathfrak{S}_{G_1}^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(B)$$

2.2.1. *Some representation theory.* Before proving the key proposition on the overconvergent Siegel morphism, we need to generalize certain results of representation theory of GL to analytic representations of the Iwahori subgroup. First of all some notation.

Let $0 \leq r \leq a$ and define $\mathrm{S}^{\mathcal{O},r,\mathrm{op}}$ to be, in the unitary case, the set of couples of matrices in $\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}$ such that the non-zero elements of the first component are in the lower left $(b - r) \times r$-block and the non-zero elements of the second component are in upper right $(a - r) \times r$-block. The definition of $\mathrm{S}^{\mathcal{O},r,\mathrm{op}}$ in the symplectic case

is similar considering the lower $r \times a - r$-block. Recall the various notation, as for example $\mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O},b,a}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O},r}$, introduced before Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 2.6. *Let* $\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Spm}(A)$. *In the unitary case, write* $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ *as* $\chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3$ *according to the decomposition* $\mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O},b,a} = \mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O},r} \times \mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O},b-r,a-r} \times \mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O},r}$, *such that each* χ_i *is* $\underline{w}_i$-*analytic in the obvious sense. We have then*

$$(L_{\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an},\circ})^{\mathrm{S}^{\mathcal{O},r,\mathrm{op}}} = L_{\chi_1}^{\underline{w}_1-\mathrm{an},\circ} \otimes_A L_{\chi_2}^{\underline{w}_2-\mathrm{an},\circ} \otimes_A L_{\chi_3}^{\underline{w}_3-\mathrm{an},\circ}$$

where the right hand side is a representation of $\mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O},r} \times \mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O},b-r,a-r} \times \mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O},r,\mathrm{op}}$. *In the symplectic case, write* $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ *as* $\chi_1 \chi_2$ *according to the decomposition* $\mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O},a} = \mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O},r} \times \mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{O},a-r}$, *we have then*

$$(L_{\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an},\circ})^{\mathrm{S}^{\mathcal{O},r,\mathrm{op}}} = L_{\chi_1}^{\underline{w}_1-\mathrm{an},\circ} \otimes_A L_{\chi_2}^{\underline{w}_2-\mathrm{an},\circ}$$

as a representation of $\mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O},r} \times \mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O},a-r}$.

Proof. Consider a function $f \in \mathcal{F}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an}}(\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{op}}, A)$. Begin invariant by $\mathrm{S}^{\mathcal{O},r,\mathrm{op}}$ means that $n \star f = f$. In particular $n \star f(1) = f(1n) = f(1)$. Hence the map

$$(L_{\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^{\underline{w}-\mathrm{an},\circ})^{\mathrm{S}^{\mathcal{O},r,\mathrm{op}}} \rightarrow$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{\underline{w}_1-\mathrm{an}}(\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},r,\mathrm{op}}, A) \times \mathcal{F}^{\underline{w}_2-\mathrm{an}}(\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},b-r,a-r,\mathrm{op}}, A) \times \mathcal{F}^{\underline{w}_3-\mathrm{an}}(\mathrm{N}^{\mathcal{O},r}, A)$$

is injective and hence surjective for dimension reasons and it respects the action of $\mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O},r} \times \mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O},b-r,a-r} \times \mathrm{I}^{\mathcal{O},r,\mathrm{op}}$.

The proof in the symplectic case is similar. $\qquad \square$

We are now ready to define an study the Siegel morphism.

Proposition 2.7. *Let* $q = 1, \ldots, a$ *be an integer with* $q \leq s$. *If* $q \neq 1$ *we have a natural isomorphism of sheaves on* $\mathfrak{S}_{G_1}^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(B)$

$$\iota_{[\gamma]}^* \left(\eta_{A,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^q \right) \cong \eta_{B,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{[\gamma]}^{q-1}.$$

Moreover, we have a natural isomorphism of sheaves on $\mathfrak{S}_{G_1}^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(B)$

$$\iota_{[\gamma]}^* \left(\eta_{A,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^1 \right) \cong \left(\eta_{B,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{[\gamma]}^0 \right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}(0,1)_B},$$

where $\mathbb{B}(0,1)_B$ *is the closed unit ball of radius* 1 *over* B.

Proof. Because of Remark 2.3 it is enough to compare the stalks of the two sheaves at any geometric rigid point. Let $x \in \xi(\mathfrak{S}_{G_s}^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}p_{[\gamma]}^n)(\underline{v}))$ be such a point. Using the description of the Fourier–Jacobi expansion given in Proposition 2.2 we can use the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 1.14. The only thing to notice is that for each cusp label $[\gamma_1] \in C_r(\mathcal{H})$ and $h \in H_{[\gamma_1]}^+$ (notation as in the proof of *loc. cit.*) the group $\Gamma_{[\gamma_1]}(h)$ is dense in $\mathrm{S}^{\mathcal{O},r,\mathrm{op}}$, which allows one to use Lemma 2.6. The rest of the proof is the same.

$\qquad \square$

Remark 2.8. The reason for the appearance of the tensor product in the case $q = 0$ is intuitively the following. Let us suppose for simplicity the we are in the symplectic case. A p-adic weight χ is of corank 1 is and only if its last component is parallel and the morphism $\chi \mapsto \chi'$ forgets this last component. In particular we can recover from χ' all the components of χ but the last one, that is parallel: this gives the extra variable. If $q > 1$ then we can recover χ from χ'.

Using the exact sequence (2.1) and Proposition 2.7, we get a morphism, if $q > 1$,

$$\eta_{A,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^q \to \bigoplus_{[\gamma]\in C_1} \eta_{B,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{[\gamma]}^{q-1}$$

and a morphism

$$\eta_{A,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^1 \to \bigoplus_{[\gamma]\in C_1} \eta_{B,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{[\gamma]}^0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}(0,1)_B}.$$

Taking global sections we get morphisms

$$(2.2) \qquad \mathrm{M}_{\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^q(\mathcal{H}p^n, K) \to \bigoplus_{[\gamma]\in C_1} \mathrm{M}_{\chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^{q-1}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]}p^n, K)$$

and

$$(2.3) \qquad \mathrm{M}_{\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^1(\mathcal{H}p^n, K) \to \bigoplus_{[\gamma]\in C_1} \mathrm{M}_{\chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{un}}}^0(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]}p^n, K) \otimes_B B\langle x\rangle.$$

These are the so called p-adic Siegel morphisms. We are going to show that they are surjective.

Proposition 2.9. *Let q, $\mathrm{Spm}(A)$, and $\mathrm{Spm}(B)$, etc be as above. If $q > 1$ we have the following exact sequence of sheaves*

$$0 \to \eta_{A,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^0 \to \eta_{A,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^q \to \bigoplus_{[\gamma]\in C_1} \eta_{B,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{[\gamma]}^{q-1} \to 0$$

induced by (2.1). If $q = 1$ we have an analogous result taking into account the extra factor $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}(0,1)_B}$ in the last morphism.

Proof. Taking into account Remark 2.3, this is a computation using Fourier–Jacobi expansion similar to the case over the complex numbers. $\square$

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a flat, integral, normal, quasi-projective formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ that is topologically of finite type and such that its rigid fiber is an affinoid. In the appendix of [AIP15] the notion of a formal flat Banach sheaf over $\mathfrak{X}$ is defined. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be such a sheaf. By [AIP15, Section A.2.2] we can attach to $\mathfrak{F}$ a Banach sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{F}^{\mathrm{rig}}$ on $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{rig}}$. We now prove a general results about the vanishing of the cohomology of a small, formal, and flat Banach sheaf (see [AIP15, Definition A.1.2.1] for the definition of a small formal Banach sheaf).

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ be as above and suppose moreover that $\mathfrak{F}$ is small. Let $\mathcal{X} := \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{rig}}$ and $\mathcal{F} := \mathfrak{F}^{\mathrm{rig}}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) = 0.$$

Proof. Recall that the natural morphism from sheaf cohomology to Čech cohomology is always (on any site) an isomorphism in degree 1. In particular it is enough to prove that

$$\check{\mathrm{H}}^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) = 0.$$

The open covers of $\mathcal{X}$ made by affinoids are a base of the topology, in particular we can prove that

$$\check{\mathrm{H}}^1(\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i\in I}, \mathcal{F}) = 0,$$

where $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i\in I}$ is an open cover of $\mathcal{X}$ and each $\mathcal{U}_i$ is an affinoid. By quasi-compactness of $\mathcal{X}$ we can assume I to be finite. Taking a finite number of admissible blow-ups of $\mathfrak{X}$ we get a formal schemes $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ such that the cover $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i\in I}$ comes from a cover

$\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ made by affine formal schemes. Note that $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ satisfies the same properties of $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{\text{rig}} = \mathcal{X}$. Since $\mathfrak{F}$ is a flat formal Banach sheaf, we have, by [AIP15, Lemma A.2.2.2]

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}_i) = \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{U}_i)[1/p]$$

for all $i \in I$. We conclude since, by [AIP15, Theorem A.1.2.2] the Čech complex associated to $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is exact. $\qquad\square$

The morphism η extends to a morphism between the formal models, denoted with the same symbol

$$\eta \colon \mathfrak{S}_G^{\text{tor}}(\mathcal{H}p^n)(\underline{v}) \to \mathfrak{S}_G^*(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}).$$

We write $\mathfrak{J}^0$ for the ideal corresponding to the boundary of $\mathfrak{S}_G^*(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) \times \mathfrak{U}$, where $\mathfrak{U}$ is a formal model of $\mathcal{U}$.

Corollary 2.11. *The p-adic Siegel morphisms* (2.3) *and* (2.2) *are surjective.*

Proof. Using Proposition 2.9, it is enough to prove that

$$\text{H}^1(\mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\text{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}), \eta_{A,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^0) = 0.$$

We have that $\mathfrak{S}_G^*(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v})$ is a flat, integral, normal, quasi-projective formal scheme over $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ that is topologically of finite type and such that its rigid fiber is an affinoid. Moreover $\eta_* \underline{\mathfrak{w}}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}} \otimes \mathfrak{J}^0$ is a flat formal Banach sheaf over $\mathfrak{S}_G^*(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v})$ that is small by [AIP15, Section 8 and Appendix]. The corollary follows by Proposition 2.10. $\qquad\square$

Let $q, s = 1, \ldots, a$ be integers with $q \leq s$. Let $\text{Spm}(A)$ be an affinoid admissible open of $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^{\circ,s}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^{\circ,s}$ with universal character $\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}$. Let $\text{Spm}(B) = \mathcal{V}$ be the image of $\mathcal{U}$ under $\cdot'$ and let $\chi_\mathcal{V}^{\text{un}}$ be the universal character of $\mathcal{V}$. We have proved that there is an exact sequence, if $q > 1$,

$$0 \to \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}}^0(\mathcal{H}p^n, K) \to \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}}^q(\mathcal{H}p^n, K) \to \bigoplus_{[\gamma] \in C_1} \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{V}^{\text{un}}}^{q-1}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]}p^n, K) \to 0$$

If $q = 1$ we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}}^0(\mathcal{H}p^n, K) \to \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}}^1(\mathcal{H}p^n, K) \to \bigoplus_{[\gamma] \in C_1} \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{V}^{\text{un}}}^0(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]}p^n, K) \otimes_B B\langle x \rangle \to 0$$

To obtain a similar result for level $\mathcal{H}$ (and the weight spaces $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})$ or $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})$) it is enough to take invariant for the action of the group $\text{B}^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ (this groups takes into account the difference between level $\mathcal{H}p^n$ and $\mathcal{H}$). Indeed, since we are in characteristic 0, the group $\text{B}^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ has no cohomology and we obtain the following theorem

Theorem 2.12. *Let* $q, s = 1, \ldots, a$ *be integers with* $q \leq s$. *Let* $\text{Spm}(A)$ *be an affinoid admissible open of* $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^s$ *or* $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^s$ *with universal character* $\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}$. *Let* $\text{Spm}(B) = \mathcal{V}$ *be the image of* $\mathcal{U}$ *under* $\cdot'$ *and let* $\chi_\mathcal{V}^{\text{un}}$ *be the universal character of* $\mathcal{V}$. *If* $q > 1$ *there is an exact sequence*

$$(2.4) \qquad 0 \to \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}}^0(\mathcal{H}, K) \to \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}}^q(\mathcal{H}, K) \to \bigoplus_{[\gamma] \in C_1} \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{V}^{\text{un}}}^{q-1}(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]}, K) \to 0$$

If $q = 1$ *we have the exact sequence*

$$(2.5) \qquad 0 \to \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}}^0(\mathcal{H}, K) \to \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{U}^{\text{un}}}^1(\mathcal{H}, K) \to \bigoplus_{[\gamma] \in C_1} \text{M}_{\chi_\mathcal{V}^{\text{un}}}^0(\mathcal{H}_{[\gamma]}, K) \otimes_B B\langle x \rangle \to 0$$

3. Eigenvarieties for non-cuspidal systems of automorphic forms

In this section $\mathcal{H}$ is a compact open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_{F_0,f})$, not necessarily neat and p satisfies the hypotheses of Setion 2.

3.1. The eigenvariety machinery.

We briefly recall Buzzard's eigenvarieties machinery [Buz07]. Let A be a Noetherian Banach algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and M a Banach module over A. In particular M is equipped with a norm $|\ |$. We say that another norm $|\ |'$ is equivalent to $|\ |$ if they induce the same topology.

Definition 3.1. *We say that M is Pr if there exist a Banach module N and an equivalent norm on $M \oplus N$ such that*

$$M \oplus N \cong \widehat{\bigoplus_I} A$$

as Banach modules.

The term Pr could remind the reader of projective module. But this is misleading; even if a Pr module satisfies the universal property of lifting surjective morphism it is not projective. This is because in the category of Banach A-modules epimorphisms are not surjective morphisms (but morphisms with dense image).

Definition 3.2. *Let U be a continuous A-linear operator on M, we say that is completely continuous (or compact) if U can be written as a limit (for the operator norm) of continuous operators of finite rank.*

We are now ready to recall the eigenvariety machinery. We are given as input:
- a reduced, equidimensional affinoid $\mathrm{Spm}(A)$,
- a Pr module M over A,
- a commutative endomorphism algebra $\mathbf{T}$ of M over A,
- a compact operator U of $\mathbf{T}$.

With these objects we can define a formal series $P(T) := \det(1 - TU|M) \in A\{\{T\}\}$.

Definition 3.3. The spectral variety $\mathcal{Z}$ associated to (A, M, U) is the closed subspace of $\mathrm{Spm}(A) \times \mathbb{A}^1$ defined by $P(T) = 0$.

A point (x, λ) belongs to $\mathcal{Z}$ if and only if there exists $m \in M \otimes_A \overline{\kappa(x)}$ such that $Um = \lambda^{-1}m$.

To the above data Buzzard associates a rigid analytic space $\mathrm{pr} : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{Z}$ with an admissible cover $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ which satisfies the following properties:
- $\mathcal{E}$ is equidimensional of dimension $\dim(A)$.
- Let κ be the structural morphism $\mathcal{E} \to \mathrm{Spm}(A)$. The space $\mathcal{E}$ parametrizes system of eigenvalues appearing in M; indeed, each point in $\kappa^{-1}(x)$ corresponds a system of eigenvalues for $\mathbb{T}$ inside $M \otimes_A \overline{\kappa(x)}$ which is of finite slope for U.
- The map pr is finite. The map κ is locally finite.
- The module M defines a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{M}$. The fiber $\mathcal{M}_{(x,\lambda)}$ is the generalized eigenspace for λ^{-1} inside $M \otimes_A \overline{\kappa(x)}$.
- The image of $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ in $\mathrm{Spm}(A)$ is affinoid.
- Over $\kappa(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)$ we have a factorization $P(T) = P_1(T)P_2(T)$ with $P_1(T)$ a polynomial with constant term 1 and coprime with $P_2(T)$.
- Over $\kappa(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)$ we can decompose $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$. If $P_1^*(T) = T^{\deg(P_1)} P_1(T^{-1})$ then $P_1^*(U)$ is zero on M_1 and invertible on M_2. Moreover $\mathrm{rank}_A M_1 = \deg(P_1)$.

We define several Hecke operators at p. If $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2a}$ we follow the notation of [Hid02, §3.6]; let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime above p in F_0 and p and for $0 \leq j \leq a - 1$ define matrices $\alpha_j = \mathrm{diag}[1, \ldots, 1, \overbrace{p, \ldots, p}^{j}] \in \mathrm{GL}_a(\mathcal{O}_{F_{0,\mathfrak{p}}})$. For $1 \leq j \leq a$ we define $\beta_j = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j & 0 \\ 0 & w_0 p^2 \alpha_j^{-1} w_0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\beta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & 0 \\ 0 & p\alpha_0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $U_{a,a}$ denotes the unipotent of the parabolic $P_{a,a}$, we define

$$\mathrm{U}_{\mathfrak{p},j} = \frac{[U_{a,a}(\mathcal{O}_{F_{0,\mathfrak{p}}}) \beta_j U_{a,a}(\mathcal{O}_{F_{0,\mathfrak{p}}})]}{p^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}(a-j)(a+1)}}$$

for $d_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the degree of the extension $F_{0,\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p$. We let $\mathbb{U}_{G,p} = \bigotimes_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{Z}_p[U_{\mathfrak{p},j}]_j$ be the Hecke algebra generated by these operators and define $\mathrm{U}_{G,p} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \prod_{j=0}^{a-1} \mathrm{U}_{\mathfrak{p},j}$.

We define similarly $\mathbb{U}_{G,p}$ and $U_{G,p}$ for G unitary following [Hid02, §6].

All these Hecke operators act naturally on the space of families of automorphic forms, respect the integral structure and the filtration given by the corank. If one prefers the analytic formulation of Hecke operators, for classical weights κ one have to multiply the double coset action on forms by $\kappa(\alpha_j)^{-1}$. It is well-known that $\mathrm{U}_{G,p}$ is completely continuous on the space of overconvergent forms and families [Bra15,]

We define now the Hecke algebra. Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a prime ideal of F_0 above $l \neq p$, we define

$$\mathbb{T}_{G,\mathfrak{l}} = \mathbb{Z}_p[G(\mathcal{O}_{F_{0,\mathfrak{l}}}) \backslash G(F_{0,\mathfrak{l}})/G(\mathcal{O}_{F_{0,\mathfrak{l}}})].$$

When G is symplectic, $\mathbb{T}_{G,\mathfrak{l}}$ is generated by the image of diagonal matrices

$$[\varpi_{\mathfrak{l}}, \ldots, \varpi_{\mathfrak{l}}, 1, \ldots, 1] \in \mathrm{GL}_a(F_{0,\mathfrak{l}})$$

which are embedded in GSp_{2a} as before.

If G is unitary and $\mathfrak{l}$ is split in F, then $G(F_{0,\mathfrak{l}}) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{a+b}(F_{0,\mathfrak{l}}) \times \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ and $\mathbb{T}_{G,\mathfrak{l}}$ is generated by the same matrices. If $\mathfrak{l}$ is inert, $G(F_{0,\mathfrak{l}})$ is contained in $\mathrm{GL}_{a+b}(F_{\mathfrak{l}})$ and generated by the same diagonal matrices.

Let N be a prime-to-p integer containing all prime numbers which are norms of prime ramified in F or for which G is not quasi-split. The abstract Hecke algebra of prime-to-Np level is then

$$\mathbb{T}_G^{(Np)} = \otimes'_{\mathfrak{l} \nmid Np} \mathbb{T}_{G,\mathfrak{l}}.$$

It naturally acts on the space of overconvergent forms and families as defined in [Bra15, §4.1].

Let $q \leq s + 1$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{E}_{a,s}^q(\underline{v}, \underline{w})$ the eigenvariety associated with:

- for $\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Spm}(A)$ we choose an open affinoid inside $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^s$ or $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^s$,
- for M, if $\mathcal{H}$ is neat we choose

$$\mathrm{M}_{a,s}^q := \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H})(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A), \eta_{A,*} \underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^q).$$

Otherwise, we choose $\mathcal{H}' \subset \mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{H}'$ neat and we take

$$\mathrm{M}_{a,s}^q := \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}')(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A), \eta_{A,*} \underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger \chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^q)^{\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}'}.$$

Note that the notation is slightly different from above, but we prefer to stress in this section the genus a and the corank s of the weights of our families of modular forms.

- for $\mathbf{T}$ we choose $\mathbb{T}_{a,s}^q := \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{T}_G^{(Np)} \otimes \mathbb{U}_{G,p} \to \mathrm{End}_A(\mathbb{M}_{a,s}^q))$,
- $U = \mathrm{U}_{G,p}$.

Before we can use Buzzard's machinery we need the following proposition;

Proposition 3.4. *The module* $\mathrm{M}_{a,s}^q$ *is* Pr *over* $\mathcal{U}$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{H}$ is neat we can apply [Buz07, Lemma 2.11] to the exact sequences in Theorem 2.12. Otherwise, it is enough to remark that $M_{a,s}^q$ is a direct summand of $H^0(\mathfrak{S}_G^{*,\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{H}')(\underline{v}) \times \mathrm{Spm}(A), \eta_{A,*}\underline{\omega}_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}} \otimes \mathcal{I}^q)$ (the action of the finite group $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}'$ is always diagonalizable in characteristic zero) which is Pr by the same argument as immediately before. $\qquad\square$

For each fixed $\underline{w}$, we have the eigenvarieties

$$\mathcal{E}_{a,s}^q(\underline{v},\underline{w}) \to \mathcal{Z}_{a,s}^q(\underline{v},\underline{w})$$

which are independent of $\underline{v}$ if $\underline{v}$ is small enough [Buz07, Lemma 5.6]. Letting $\underline{w}$ go to infinity we can glue the different eigenvarieties [Buz07, Lemma 5.5].

Definition 3.5. The eigenvariety $\mathcal{E}_{a,s}^q$ for forms of corank at most q over the weight space $\mathcal{W}_a^s$ or $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}^s$ of weights of corank at least s is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_{a,s}^q := \varinjlim_{v,w} \mathcal{E}_{a,s}^q(\underline{v},\underline{w}).$$

We say that a point x in $\mathcal{E}_{a,s}^q$ is classical if the system of eigenvalues associated with x appears in the space of classical Siegel forms $M_k(\mathcal{H}, \overline{\mathbb{Z}})$.

Proposition 3.6. *Classical points are Zariski dense in* $\mathcal{E}_{a,s}^q$.

Proof. Indeed from [Bra15, Theorem 6.7] we know that forms of small slope (w.r.t. the weight) are classical. The points satisfying the condition of *loc. cit.* are clearly Zariski dense in $\mathcal{W}_a$; we can then proceed as in [Urb11, Theorem 5.4.4]. $\qquad\square$

3.2. **Relations between different eigenvarieties.** We now want to analyze the relations between $\mathcal{E}_{a,s}^q$ when varying a, q or s. We begin with a lemma;

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that M is an extension of two potentially ON-module M_1 and M_2 over A. Suppose that M_1 and M_2 are U-stable, then*

$$\det(1 - TU|M) = \det(1 - TU|M_1)\det(1 - TU|M_1).$$

3.2.1. *Changing q and s.* We begin by letting q vary. Let $q' < q \le s$, we have a natural injection $M_{a,s}^{q'} \to M_{a,s}^q$ which induces by restriction a surjective map $\mathbb{T}_{a,s}^q \to \mathbb{T}_{a,s}^{q'}$. In particular this gives us a closed immersion $\mathcal{E}_{a,s}^{q'} \to \mathcal{E}_{a,s}^q$.

We now vary s too. Let $s' < s$, $\mathcal{U}'$ be an open affinoid of $\mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^s$. The same exact definitions work for $\mathcal{U}'$ in $\mathcal{W}_{b,a}(\underline{w})^s$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}' \times_{W_a(\underline{w})^{s'}} \mathcal{W}_a(\underline{w})^s$ and denote by A resp. A' the affinoid algebra for $\mathcal{U}$ resp. $\mathcal{U}'$. By definition of the sheaves $\omega_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{un}}}$ and $\omega_{\underline{v},\underline{w}}^{\dagger\chi_{\mathcal{U}'}^{\mathrm{un}}}$ we have

$$M_{a,s'}^q \otimes_{A'} A = M_{a,s}^q.$$

This implies immediately that $\mathcal{E}_{a,s'}^q \times_{\mathcal{W}_a^{s'}} \mathcal{W}_a^s$ and $\mathcal{E}_{a,s}^q$ have the same closed points. This also implies that $\mathcal{Z}_{a,s}^q$ is the base change of $\mathcal{Z}_{a,s'}^q$ because the characteristic series for U_p is stable under base-change [Buz07, Lemma 2.13]. But this does *not* imply that $\mathcal{E}_{a,s'}^q \times_{\mathcal{W}_a^{s'}} \mathcal{W}_a^s$ and $\mathcal{E}_{a,s}^q$ are isomorphic, as non-reducedness issues could appear. Still we believe the following:

Conjecture 3.8. *For each a, the eigenvarieties $\mathcal{E}_{a,q}^q$ $(q = 0, \ldots, a)$ glue to a non-equidimensional eigenvariety $\mathcal{E}_a$ over $\mathcal{W}_a$.*

3.2.2. *Changing* a. We are now interested in studying the complement of $\mathcal{E}^0_{a,s}$ in $\mathcal{E}^q_{a,q}$. Recall from Section 2.2 that we have a surjective morphism:

$$\mathrm{M}^q_{a,s} \to \bigoplus_{C_1(\mathcal{H}p^n)} \mathrm{M}^{q-1}_{a-1,s} \quad (s > 1);$$

$$\mathrm{M}^1_{a,1} \to \bigoplus_{C_1(\mathcal{H}p^n)} \mathrm{M}^0_{a-1,1} \otimes_B A \quad (s = 1).$$

Proposition 3.9. *Let* $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2a}$ *and* $G' = \mathrm{GSp}_{2a-2}$. *The above morphisms induce a surjective map between* $\mathbb{T}^{(Np)}_G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ *and* $\mathbb{T}^{(Np)}_{G'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. *A similar result holds for* $\mathrm{GU}_{a,b}$ *and* $\mathrm{GU}_{a-1,b-1}$.

Proof. For $\mathrm{GSp}_{2a/\mathbb{Q}}$ this is proven in [Kri86, Korollar 1] and for a general totally real field the proof is exactly the same. For unitary group the proof is similar. $\square$

Proposition 3.10. *Let* $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2a,/F_0}$ *and* $G' = \mathrm{GSp}_{2a-2/F_0}$. *The Siegel morphism sends* $\mathrm{U}_{\mathfrak{p},a}$ *for* G *to* $\mathrm{U}_{\mathfrak{p},a-1}$ *for* G' *and, for* $0 \le j \le a-1$, $\mathrm{U}_{\mathfrak{p},j}$ *to* $p^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}j} \mathrm{U}_{\mathfrak{p},j}$.

Proof. We start with the symplectic case; let $m = a - j$, $M_{m \times j}(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}})$ the set of matrices of size m times j with entries in $\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}}$ and $S_a(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}})$ the set of a times a matrices with entries in $\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $w_0 x w_0 = {}^t x$. These are matrices symmetric w.r.t. the reflection along the anti-diagonal.

We have an explicit decomposition of the double coset [Hid02, Proposition 3.5]:

$$U_{a,a}(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}})\beta_j U_{a,a}(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}}) = \bigsqcup_{u,x} U_{a,a}(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}})\beta_j U_u U_x$$

where

$$U_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, x \in S_a(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}})/p\alpha_j^{-1}S_a(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}})w_0 p\alpha_j^{-1}w_0$$

and $U_u = \begin{pmatrix} V_u & 0 \\ 0 & w_0 V_u w_0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $V_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $u \in M_{m \times j}(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}}/p)$. Note that this latter is a set of representatives for $U_{\mathrm{GL}_a}(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}})p\alpha_j^{-1}U_{\mathrm{GL}_a}(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}})$.

As the Siegel morphism is equivariant for the action of $P_{a,1}$, we have

$$\Phi\left(F \middle| \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & a_3 & b_3 & b_4 \\ 0 & c_3 & d_3 & d_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \Phi(F)\middle| \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ c_3 & d_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have that β_j for G is sent to β_j for G'. Every $V_{u'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $u' \in M_{(m-1) \times j}(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}}/p)$ has exactly $p^{jd_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ preimages between the matrices $V_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $u \in M_{m \times j}(\mathcal{O}_{F_0,\mathfrak{p}}/p)$. Each x in the decomposition for G' has exactly

$$p^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}(2a-j)} = p^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}(a-j)(a+1)}/p^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}a(a-1-j)}$$

counterimages which is the factor by which we divide in the definition of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathfrak{p},j}$. The unitary case is similar and left to the reader. $\square$

If $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$, this has been proved in [Dic15, Theorem 1.1].

Summing up; the two previous propositions and Lemma 3.7 allow us to use [Han, Theorem 5.1.6] to obtain a closed immersion of $\mathcal{E}^{q-1}_{a,s-1}$ into $\mathcal{E}^q_{a,s}$. Note that $\mathrm{U}_{G,p}$ is not sent into $\mathrm{U}_{G',p}$; for example, in the symplectic case it is mapped to $p^{\frac{[F_0:\mathbb{Q}]a(a-1)}{2}} \mathrm{U}_{G',p} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathfrak{p},a-1}$.

To conclude, recall that in Proposition 3.4 we have seen that the Siegel morphism splits as morphism of Banach modules; it is the natural to ask the following.

Question 3.11. *Can one choose this splitting to be Hecke equivariant?*

A positive answer would not imply that the eigenvariety is disconnected but would hint to the fact that one should be able to define directly families of non-cuspidal forms inducing families of cusp forms from parabolic subgroups of G. Some instances of this parabolic induction for ordinary forms has been proven by [SU14] from GL_2 to $\mathrm{GU}(2,2)$ but using a pullback from from $\mathrm{GU}(3,3)$. A direct construction not involving pullback formulas would be more interesting and of much more general use.

Remark 3.12. *We want to point out that for each parabolic P of G we can define a P-ordinary projector. If M is the Levi of P and π a cuspidal automorphic representation of M, there is, for weight big enough, a unique Eisenstein series $E(\pi)$ which is P-ordinary.*

It is known that for GL_2 the critical p-stabilization of a level 1 Eisenstein series is a p-adic cusp form. A similar phenomenon appears also in higher genus.

An example. We consider now to the case of $\mathrm{U}(2,2)$ for $F/\mathbb{Q}$ a CM extension. We choose $f_0 \in \mathrm{S}_k(\Gamma_1(\mathrm{N}))$ a cusp form which is ordinary at p. Let f be its non-ordinary p-stabilization. Suppose that f is θ-critical (*i.e.* it is in the image of the p-adic Maaß–Shimura operator θ^k); we suppose that at the point on the eigencurve $\mathcal{C}$ corresponding to f there is a unique family F passing true f. This is the case if f is CM (which is conjecturally always the case): a deep results of Bellaïche [Bel12, Theorem 2.16] ensure us that the the eigencurve is smooth at f. Note that it is known that the structural morphism $\kappa : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{W}$ is not étale at this point.

Suppose now that we can define the p-adic Klingen–Eisenstein series $E(F)$ interpolating the classical Klingen–Eisenstein series as done in the ordinary case in [SU14, Theorem 12.10]. We know [SU14, (11.64)] that at a classical point x the constant term at genus one cusp labels is a suitably normalized multiple of $L(f_x, k-1)f_x$, being f_x the form corresponding to x. Hence, the constant term is divisible by the two-variable p-adic L-function for F evaluated at $\kappa(x) - 1$. We know that $L_p(f, j) = 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq \kappa(x) - 1$ [Bel12, Theorem 2]. In particular, the generically non cuspidal $E(F)$ at the point corresponding to $E(f)$ would degenerate to a cuspidal form. It is an interesting question to understand how the geometry of smaller eigenvarieties (in our case, the non-étalness of κ) influences the geometry of bigger eigenvarieties (in our case, the non-cuspidal eigenvariety for $\mathrm{U}(2,2)$).

3.3. **On a conjecture of Urban.** In [Han], Hansen constructs a non necessarily equidimensional eigenvariety $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ starting from the method of Ash–Stevens. A similar results, using Urban's construction, has been obtained by [Xia12]. Due to their construction, it is very hard to know the exact dimension of the different irreducible components. In [Urb11, Conjecture 5.7.3], Urban made the following precise conjecture for the dimension of irreducible components.

Conjecture 3.13. *Let x be a point belonging to exactly one irreducible component of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and let θ be the corresponding system of eigenvalues. Define d to be the number of consecutive cohomology degrees in which the system θ appears. Then the image of the irreducible components to which x belongs in the weight space is of codimension $d - 1$.*

Urban has shown this conjecture for the cuspidal irreducible components. Using work of Harder [Har12], we want now to calculate this number for GSp_4. Under mild hypothesis on the relation between irreducible components of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2,s}^s$ we shall be able to prove Urban conjecture.

Let S be the Siegel variety for $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$, denote by S^{BS} its Borel–Serre compactification, $\iota : S \to S^{\mathrm{BS}}$ the open immersion and by ∂S the boundary of this map. Let (k_1, k_2) be the highest weight of a non zero algebraic representation of Sp_4 and $\mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2}$ the corresponding local system on S. Recall that we have the long exact sequence of cohomology:

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{H}^{\bullet-1}(\partial S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2}) \overset{\delta^{\bullet-1}}{\to}$$

$$\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}_c(S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2}) \overset{i^{\bullet}}{\to} \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2}) \overset{r^{\bullet}}{\to} \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\partial S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2}) \to \cdots,$$

where $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}_c(S, \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(S, \iota_! \mathcal{M})$. The cohomology of the boundary splits as

$$\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\partial S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2}) = r^{\bullet}(\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2})) \oplus \mathrm{Ker}(\delta^{\bullet});$$

we shall call the first term the *Eisenstein cohomology* and by the second term the *compactly supported Eisenstein cohomology*. We define also the interior cohomology:

$$\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}_!(S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2}) = \mathrm{Im}(i^{\bullet}).$$

Faltings–Chai proved an Eichler–Shimura morphism for Siegel forms:

$$\mathrm{H}^0(S, \omega^{k_1,k_2} \otimes \mathcal{J}^0) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^3(S, \mathrm{Sym}^{k_1-k_2}(\mathbb{Q}^2) \otimes \det(\mathbb{Q}^2)^{k_2}).$$

Let x be a system of eigenvalues for the Hecke algebra acting on $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2})$; we shall say that x is *Eisenstein* if x corresponds to a system of eigenvalues in $\mathcal{E}^q_{2,s}$ and the x-eigenspace $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\partial S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2})[x] \neq 0$.

The classification of the boundary cohomology in terms of the weight has been given in [Har12] using the spectral sequence coming from the stratification of ∂S. In the notation of [Har12] we have $n_1 = k_1 - k_2$ and $n_2 = k_2 - 3$ and we define $p(k) = \dagger$ if n_2 is odd and $p(k) = \star$ otherwise. From [Har12, page 156] we know in which degree the cohomology $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\partial S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2})$ is concentrated:

- if $p(k) = \dagger$ and $n_1 \neq 0$, the boundary cohomology vanishes;
- if $p(k) = \dagger$ and $n_1 = 0$ the boundary cohomology is concentrated in degrees 2 and 3;
- if $p(k) = \star$ and $k_1 > k_2 > 0$ the boundary cohomology vanishes;
- if $p(k) = \star$ and $k_1 = k_2 \neq 0$ the boundary cohomology vanishes;
- if $p(k) = \star$ and $n_2 = 0$ but $n_1 > 0$ than we have cohomology in degrees 2 and 3;
- if $p(k) = \star$ and $n_1 = n_2 = 0$ the cohomology is in degrees 0, 2, 3, and 5.

Theorem 3.14. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an irreducible component of $\mathcal{E}^2_{2,2}$ or of $\mathcal{E}^1_{2,1}$; suppose there exists a classical point $x \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{C}_p)$ corresponding either to a Klingen Eisenstein series or a Siegel Eisenstein series of weight different from $(3,3)$. Then Urban's conjecture holds for all components $\mathbb{I}$ of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z})}$ which satisfies $\mathbb{I}^{\mathrm{red}} \cong \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{red}}$.*

Proof. We check case by case the previous list.

Suppose that $k_1 > k_2$; our point is then in $\mathcal{E}^1_{2,1}$ and there are no consecutive cohomology group where this system appears. Urban's conjecture says that the irreducible component containing x has the same dimension as the total weight space, which is indeed the case.

Let now $p(k) = \dagger$, $n_1 = 0$ and $k_2 \neq 3$. We refer to [Har12, §2.5]. We have boundary cohomology only if k_2 is even; in this case all, the filtered pieces of $\mathrm{H}^2(\partial S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2})$) corresponds to the H^1 with coefficient Sym^{k_2-2} (if we are looking at the Klingen parabolic) or Sym^{2k_2-2} (Siegel parabolic) and the H^0 for the same sheaves (which vanishes).

The filtered pieces of $\mathrm{H}^3(\partial S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2})$) are the H^1 of the modular curve for forms of weight k_2 (if we are looking at the Klingen parabolic) or $2k_2 - 4$ (Siegel parabolic) and the H^0 of modular curves with coefficients $\mathbb{C}$ and $k_2 - 3$.

Suppose now that x belongs to $\mathcal{E}^1_{2,1}$ so that it correspond to a system of eigenvalues of a cusp form. This case is studied in [Har12, §2.5.1] and the only contribution to $\mathrm{H}^\bullet_c(S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2})$ is in degree 3. Then x contributes only to $\mathrm{H}^3(S, \mathcal{M}_{k_1,k_2})$ by Poincaré duality. The dimension of $\mathcal{I}$ is the conjectured one, namely 2.

Suppose now that x belongs to $\mathcal{E}^2_{2,2}$ but not to $\mathcal{E}^1_{2,2}$, so it is a classical parallel weight Siegel Eisenstein series. Summing up [Har12, §2.5.1-4], as the weight is not $(3,3)$, we have contribution in two consecutive degrees (2 and 3). In this case Urban's conjecture predicts the codimension to be 1 which is indeed the case. $\square$

REFERENCES

[AIP15] Fabrizio Andreatta, Adrian Iovita, and Vincent Pilloni, *p-adic families of Siegel modular cuspforms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **181** (2015), no. 2, 623–697. MR 3275848

[AIS14] Fabrizio Andreatta, Adrian Iovita, and Glenn Stevens, *Overconvergent modular sheaves and modular forms for* $\mathbf{GL}_{2/F}$, Israel J. Math. **201** (2014), no. 1, 299–359. MR 3265287

[AS] Avner Ash and Glenn Stevens, *p-adic deformations of arithmetic cohomology*, preprint. Available at `http://www2.bc.edu/~ashav/`.

[Bel12] Joël Bellaïche, *Critical p-adic L-functions*, Invent. Math. **189** (2012), no. 1, 1–60. MR 2929082

[Ben15] Denis Benois, *Selmer complexes and p-adic Hodge theory*, Selmer complexes and p-adic Hodge theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 420, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2015, pp. 36–88.

[Bra15] Riccardo Brasca, *Eigenvarieties for cuspforms over PEL type Shimura varieties with dense ordinary locus*, preprint, arXiv:1407.7973. To appear in Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 2015.

[Buz07] Kevin Buzzard, *Eigenvarieties*, L-functions and Galois representations, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 320, 2007, pp. 59–120.

[Col97] Robert F. Coleman, *p-adic Banach spaces and families of modular forms*, Invent. Math. **127** (1997), no. 3, 417–479.

[Dic15] Martin Dickson, *Hecke eigenvalues of Klingen–Eisenstein series of squarefree level*, preprint, arXiv:1512.09069., 2015.

[Han] David Hansen, *Universal eigenvarieties, trianguline galois representations, and p-adic langlands functoriality*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal).

[Har12] Günter Harder, *The Eisenstein motive for the cohomology of* $\mathrm{GSp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, Geometry and arithmetic, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012, pp. 143–164. MR 2987659

[Hid02] Haruzo Hida, *Control theorems of coherent sheaves on Shimura varieties of PEL type*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **1** (2002), no. 1, 1–76.

[Hid04] _____, *p-adic automorphic forms on Shimura varieties*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004. MR 2055355 (2005e:11054)

[Hsi14] Ming-Lun Hsieh, *Eisenstein congruence on unitary groups and Iwasawa main conjectures for CM fields*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (2014), no. 3, 753–862. MR 3194494

[Kri86] Aloys Krieg, *Das Vertauschungsgesetz zwischen Hecke-Operatoren und dem Siegelschen φ-Operator*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **46** (1986), no. 4, 323–329. MR 847098 (87i:11064)

[Lan12] Kai-Wen Lan, *Comparison between analytic and algebraic constructions of toroidal compactifications of PEL-type Shimura varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **664** (2012), 163–228. MR 2980135

[Lan13] _____, *Arithmetic compactifications of PEL-type Shimura varieties*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, vol. 36, Princeton University Press, 2013, available at `http://math.umn.edu/~kwlan`.

[Mau04] David Mauger, *Algèbres de Hecke quasi-ordinaires universelles*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **37** (2004), no. 2, 171–222. MR 2061780 (2005i:11072)

[Pil12] Vincent Pilloni, *Sur la théorie de Hida pour le groupe* GSp_{2g}, Bull. Soc. Math. France **140** (2012), no. 3, 335–400. MR 3059119

[Pil13] _____, *Overconvergent modular forms*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **63** (2013), no. 1, 219–239. MR 3097946

[Pot13] Jonathan Pottharst, *Cyclotomic Iwasawa theory of motives*, preprint available at http://vbrt.org/writings/cyc.pdf, 2013.

[SU14] Christopher Skinner and Eric Urban, *The Iwasawa main conjectures for* GL_2, Invent. Math. **195** (2014), no. 1, 1–277. MR 3148103

[TU99]   J. Tilouine and E. Urban, *Several-variable p-adic families of Siegel-Hilbert cusp eigen-systems and their Galois representations*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **32** (1999), no. 4, 499–574. MR 1693583 (2000j:11064)

[Urb]   Eric Urban, *Groupes de Selmer et fonctions L p-adiques pour les representations modulaires adjointes*, preprint. Available at `http://www.math.columbia.edu/~urban`.

[Urb11] _____ , *Eigenvarieties for reductive groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 3, 1685–1784. MR 2846490

[vdG08] Gerard van der Geer, *Siegel modular forms and their applications*, The 1-2-3 of modular forms, Universitext, Springer, Berlin, 2008, pp. 181–245. MR 2409679 (2010c:11059)

[Wan15] Xin Wan, *Families of nearly ordinary Eisenstein series on unitary groups (with an appendix by Kai-Wen Lan)*, preprint, available at http://www.math.columbia.edu/ xw2295/. To appear in Algebra and Number Theory, 2015.

[Wei83] Rainer Weissauer, *Vektorwertige Siegelsche Modulformen kleinen Gewichtes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **343** (1983), 184–202. MR 705885 (85g:11041)

[Xia12] Zhengyu Xiang, *A construction of the full eigenvariety of a reductive group*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), no. 5, 938–952. MR 2890520

E-mail address: `riccardo.brasca@imj-prg.fr`
URL: `http://www.imj-prg.fr/~riccardo.brasca/`

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Université Paris Diderot, Paris, France

E-mail address: `gr385@cam.ac.uk`
URL: `https://sites.google.com/site/gvnros/`

DPMMS, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Wilberforce Road Cambridge CB3 0WB United Kingdom