

6-9-2015

Use of the Delphi Technique in Instrument Development to Assess Debriefing Facilitation

E. Adel Herge, OTD, OTR/L

Thomas Jefferson University, adel.herge@jefferson.edu


Susan Wainwright, PT, PhD

Physical Therapy, Thomas Jefferson University, Susan.wainwright@jefferson.edu

Jennifer Saylor, PhD, RN, ACNS-BC

University of Delaware, School of Nursing, jsaylor@udel.edu

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: <http://jdc.jefferson.edu/tjufacultydays> Part of the [Curriculum and Instruction Commons](#), [Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons](#), [Educational Methods Commons](#), [Higher Education Commons](#), and the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Herge, OTD, OTR/L, E. Adel; Wainwright, PT, PhD, Susan; and Saylor, PhD, RN, ACNS-BC, Jennifer, "Use of the Delphi Technique in Instrument Development to Assess Debriefing Facilitation" (2015). *Thomas Jefferson University Faculty Days*. Paper 50.

<http://jdc.jefferson.edu/tjufacultydays/50>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Thomas Jefferson University Faculty Days by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Background Information

- An essential part of the simulation experience is the debriefing, where most of the learning occurs (Arafah, Hansen & Nichols, 2010). A skilled debriefer guides learners in transferring their experience into clinical practice. There are tools to evaluate faculty effectiveness in classroom teaching however these concepts have not been applied to debriefing.
- To meet this need the authors developed an instrument to assess the effectiveness of a debriefing following a patient clinical simulation. The PADI was based on current scientific literature in effective debriefing and peer review methodology. (Paulsen, 2002).
- A two phase process was used to develop the PADI:
 - Phase 1- instrument development and content validity was established using the Delphi technique.
 - Phase 2-inter rater reliability was established.

Phase 1: Delphi Technique

- **Goal:** To establish consensus for content validity and utility of the PADI
- Delphi technique was selected because
 - it is acceptable in healthcare research and education (when there is a lack of empirical evidence (Powell, 2003; Vernon, 2009)
 - it is cost effective method to generate ideas and facilitate consensus among individuals who may be geographically distant (Polit & Beck, 2008).

Participants

- A group of experts in debriefing and education were invited to participate in the panel. Experts reviewed and provided feedback on the debriefing assessment tool using a survey on Qualtrics, LLC[®]
- Initially 20 experts invited: 11 consented and 7 (64%) completed Round I; An additional 5 experts in academia were invited and 4 agreed. Of these 15 consented participants, 11 (73%) completed Round II and 9 (60%) completed Round III.

Participant #	Round I	Round II	Round III
1	X		
2	X		
3	X		
4	X	X	X
5	X	X	X
6	X	X	X
7	X	X	
8		X	X
9		X	X
10		X	X
11		X	X
12		X	X
13		X	
14		X	
15			X

PADI: Peer Assessment Debriefing Instrument

- **Peer Assessment Debriefing Instrument (PADI)** is a peer review tool with two main parts.
 - **Pre-Assessment of the Simulation Experience:** self-assessment of debriefer's own debriefing skills, completed by the debriefer and given to the peer-evaluator prior to the observation
 - provides general information about the simulation
 - allows the debriefer to identify areas in which he or she wishes to receive specific feedback
 - **Post-Debriefing Evaluation (Self and Peer Assessment):** assessment of the various aspects of conducting a debriefing; completed by both the peer evaluator during the simulation and the debriefer after the debriefing.
- Under each of 8 areas, PADI has four to eight elements for scoring the debriefer. Using a 4-point scale, the debriefing experience is evaluated (1-4) based on the percentage completed by the debriefer for each area.
- PADI serves as basis for discussion between peer-evaluator and debriefer

Structure and Organization of the Debriefing

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sets up the debriefing environment before the simulation ▪ Adheres to the schedule for debriefing or adjusts the schedule as appropriate ▪ Allows time for dealing with the emotional aspects of the simulation ▪ Allows time for recap of simulation scenario ▪ Allows time for analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Allows time for learners to connect knowledge to practice ▪ Allows time for learners to reflect in their actions and make independent interpretations of their performance ▪ Allows time for summary and conclusion ▪ Finishes any evaluative paperwork and forwards to appropriate parties
---	--

Debriefer completed above elements at:

<25% level	25-49% level	50-74% level	>75% level	N/A

Comments

Delphi Process

PROCESS	TASK
Preliminary Activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elements for inclusion in instrument of faculty effectiveness in facilitating debriefing sessions were identified • Review and synthesis of the literature • Identification of performance attributes
Round 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elements to be assessed, behavioral criteria across levels of performance were reviewed • Inclusion / exclusion of elements and behavioral criteria were affirmed; Additional elements identified were included
Round 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summary of Round 1 was reviewed • Items to be included / omitted based on Round 1 feedback for elements and behavioral criteria were identified
Round 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summary of Round 2 was reviewed • Remaining issues were discussed and consensus was established

Phase 2: Inter-rater Reliability

- Upon completion of the Delphi Rounds, the PADI's inter-rater reliability was evaluated using Interclass correlation coefficients (ICC).
- To evaluate inter-rater reliability, three debriefing video vignettes were developed to illustrate different performance levels of a debriefer's debriefing proficiency. Using the PADI, the researchers viewed the videos and reached a consensus on rating the debriefer.
- Five experts were identified by simulation and debriefing experience > 5 years and identified within their respective settings as expert debriefing practitioners. Clinical expertise: emergency nursing, nursing education, radiation oncology, neonatology, and medical education.
- The five experts received a half-day education session to learn how to use the PADI. Experts reviewed the debriefing session videos and completed the PADI. independently. To simulate a live debriefing session, the experts reviewed each video without discussion between videos. After all three videos were completed; the researchers reviewed each video and provided the 'real score' and its rationale. Finally, the experts provided initial feedback on the tool.
- The inter-rater reliability for the average measures was **ICC = .973**, and for the single measure **ICC = .818**.

Results

- Initial version of the PADI has excellent inter-rater reliability.
- PADI may be useful
 - to guide novice, experienced and expert debriefers in the debriefing process
 - to provide a peer-review of the debriefing process across healthcare disciplines.
- Faculty can use the PADI to
 - self assess areas of debriefing on which they would explicitly like to receive feedback
 - participate in self and peer assessment that includes observation by a peer evaluator.; observation is followed by a conversation which allows the peer evaluator to serve as a consultant to the benefit of the debriefer's professional development
 - triangulate their intended performance and outcomes
 - demonstrate ongoing quality improvement (regardless of experience level)

References

- Arafah JM, Hansen SS, Nichols A. Debriefing in simulated-based nursing: Facilitating a reflective discussion. *J Perinat Neonat Nurs.* 2010;24(4):302-311.
- Paulsen MB. Evaluating teaching performance. *New Directions for Institutional Research* 2002;114:15-18.
- Polit DF, Beck CT. *Nursing Research: generating and assessment evidence for nursing practice.* Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2008.
- Powell C. The Delphi technique: myths and realities. *J Adv Nurs.* 2003; 41(4):376-382.
- Vernon W. The delphi technique: A review. *Int J Ther Rehabil.* 2009;16(2):69-75