

Thomas Jefferson University Jefferson Digital Commons

Department of Physical Therapy Capstone Posters

Student Materials

2-12-2016

Self-selected Foot Strike Patterns in Runners when Transitioning from the Shod to Barefoot Condition: A Systematic Review of the Literature

Jarett Fisher, SPT Department of Physical Therapy, Thomas Jefferson University, Jarett.fisher@jefferson.edu

Thomas Fraind, SPT Department of Physical Therapy, Thomas Jefferson University, thomas.fraind@jefferson.edu

David A. Girardot, SPT Department of Physical Therapy, Thomas Jefferson University, david.girardot@jefferson.edu

Erin James, SPT Department of Physical Therapy, Thomas Jefferson University, erin.james@jefferson.edu

Mary McCoy, SPT Department of Physical Therapy, Thomas Jefferson University, mary.mccoy@jefferson.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://jdc.jefferson.edu/dptcapstones Part of the <u>Physical Therapy Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Fisher, SPT, Jarett; Fraind, SPT, Thomas; Girardot, SPT, David A.; James, SPT, Erin; McCoy, SPT, Mary; and Pitts, PT, DPT, Carl, "Self-selected Foot Strike Patterns in Runners when Transitioning from the Shod to Barefoot Condition: A Systematic Review of the Literature" (2016). *Department of Physical Therapy Capstone Posters*. 11. http://jdc.jefferson.edu/dptcapstones/11

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Physical Therapy Capstone Posters by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors

Jarett Fisher, SPT; Thomas Fraind, SPT; David A. Girardot, SPT; Erin James, SPT; Mary McCoy, SPT; and Carl Pitts, PT, DPT



Self-selected Foot Strike Patterns in Runners when Transitioning from the Shod to Barefoot Condition: A Systematic Review of the Literature Carl Pitts, PT DPT, Jarett Fisher, SPT, Thomas Fraind, SPT, David A. Girardot, SPT, Erin James, SPT, Mary McCoy, SPT Thomas Jefferson University School of Health Professions, Department of Physical Therapy


PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND

Recent research has begun to focus on foot strike patterns as they relate to injuries in runners. Runners who employ a rear-foot strike (RFS) pattern (in which the heel lands before the ball of the foot) are more likely to experience repetitive stress injuries such as tibial stress fractures, patellofemoral pain syndrome (PFPS), and plantar fasciitis. Conversely, runners demonstrating a forefoot strike (FFS) pattern (defined as the ball of the foot-usually the 4th and 5th metatarsal heads-landing before the heel) are more susceptible to Achilles tendon, plantarflexor, and metatarsal injuries. Several systematic studies have concluded that barefoot runners employed a FFS pattern while shod runners used a RFS pattern. The purpose of this systematic review was to determine the effects of transitioning from traditionally shod running to barefoot running on self-selected initial contact patterns in long distance runners.



METHODS

Databases searched: Ovid, PubMed, SCOPUS, CINAHL, The Cochrane Library, Google Scholar Search Terms: Barefoot, Shod, Minimalist, Transition, Pattern, and Initial Contact Articles were eliminated by title, abstract, and then by content. The final 11 articles were read in full and graded using the PEDro scale.



			PEDro	
Author, Year	Subjects (n)	Study Design	Score	F
Chambon (2014)	n=15	Comparative	7	Angles of ankle and foot differed bare condition=ankle jo foot/ground angle at initial contact; however, all="midfoo
Hamil (2010)	n=10	Comparitive	6	Participants showed a change from rearfoot pattern in all
Kasmer (2014)	n=4	Comparative	7	More runners adopted a more posterior initial contact afte minimalist shoe type
McCallion (2014)	n=14	Comparative	7	No significant main effect of condition on absolute FSP d foot strike pattern [42-64% MFS observed overall]
Moore (2015)	n=10	Comparative	6	Post transition there was a general trend for runners to add
Munoz (2015)	n=80	Comparative	7	High rearfoot strike and rearfoot strike=more frequent in a significantly becoming closer to midfoot or forefoot strike
Schutte (2013)	n=12	Comparative	6	Barefoot runners had less ankle dorsiflexion (forefoot stri
Sinclair (2012)	n=12	Comparative	6	Barefoot running was associated with significantly greater dorsiflexion
Thompson (2015)	n=20	Comparative	6	Subjects who were natural RFS runners swithched to a pla shod to barefoot
Williams (2012)	n=20	Comparative	6	Measured ankle flexion during various conditions at Initia 14.5.(DorsiFflexion) BF 0.03.(Dorsi Flexion) P value <.0
Wilson (2014)	n=19	Observational	6	No signifcant change in foot strike pattern after or immed week training period

CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- Barefoot running may reduce incidence of injury as a result of a change in foot strike pattern.
- pattern when transitioning between shod and barefoot.
- on how to achieve a FFS.
- There is currently no standard protocol for transitioning from shod to barefoot running.
- Adapting to barefoot running should occur gradually in order to allow the body to acclimate to the newly imposed biomechanical stresses.
- Understanding the natural tendency to change to a MFS or FFS pattern following transition to barefoot can be useful in guiding physical therapy diagnoses and interventions.

CONCLUSION

The literature supported that a runner's initial contact changed from a RFS pattern to a more anterior foot-strike pattern when transitioning from habitually running shod to running barefoot. Future studies should focus on the incidence of injury while transitioning acutely or gradually from shod to barefoot running. This will help determine if a transition protocol is necessary for a runner to safely acclimate between shod and barefoot.



RESULTS

• Nine of the 11 studies supported a self-selected change in foot-strike with barefoot running.

• Foot-strike motor patterns developed over years of running may prevent acute changes in foot-strike

• It would be good clinical decision making for physical therapists to include education on proper footstrike pattern for a transition from shod to barefoot running, and evaluation and feedback instructions

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Science, 7(1), 17-29. doi:10.1080/02640414.2015.1026377 [doi].

957-959. Retrieved from SCOPUS database. Williams, D. S., 3rd, Green, D. H., & Wurzinger, B. (2012). Changes in lower extremity movement and power absorption during forefoot striking and barefoot running. *International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy, 7*(5), 525-532.

Willson JD, Bjorhus JS, Williams DS, Butler RJ, Porcari JP, Kernozek TW. Short-term changes in running mechanics and foot strike pattern after introduction to minimalistic footwear. PM R. 2014;6(1):34-43.

Results

joint in PF and lower foot/ground angle; Shod=DF & higher ot strike" on Srike Index

l shod conditions (SI < .33) to a midfoot pattern (.33 < SI < .66) ter the 50 km run in the traditional shoe type than in the

data. Regardless of condition, most participants adopted a mid-

dopt a more anterior footstrike in all three conditions. shod condition; when unshod, foot strike pattern changes

rike pattern) with immediate adaptations in transition er plantar-flexion at footstrike and range of motions to peak

plantarflexed position at ground contact when changing from

ial Contact: shod FFS -12.46 (Plantar Flexion), shod RFS =

ediate introduction of minimalist footwear or after subsequent 2-

Chambon N, Delattre N, Guéguen N, Berton E, Rao G. Is midsole thickness a key parameter for the running pattern?. Gait Posture. 2014;40(1):58-63.

Hamill J, Russell E, Gruber A, Miller R. Impact characteristics in shod and barefoot running. *Footwear Science*. 2011;3(1):33-40. doi:10.1080/19424280.2010.542187.

Kasmer, M. E., Ketchum, N. C., & Liu, X. (2014). The effect of shoe type on gait in forefoot strike runners during a 50-km run. Journal of Sport and Health Science, 3(2), 122-130.

McCallion C, Donne B, Fleming N, Blanksby B. Acute Differences in Foot Strike and Spatiotemporal Variables for Shod, Barefoot or Minimalist Male Runners. J Sports Sci Med. 2014;13: 280-286 Moore, I. S., Pitt, W., Nunns, M., & Dixon, S. (2015). Effects of a seven-week minimalist footwear transition programme on footstrike modality, pressure variables and loading rates. Footwear

Munoz-Jimenez, M., Latorre-Roman, P. A., Soto-Hermoso, V. M., & Garcia-Pinillos, F. (2015). Influence of shod/unshod condition and running speed on foot-strike patterns, inversion/eversion, and vertical foot rotation in endurance runners. Journal of Sports Sciences, , 1-8.

Schutte, K. H., Miles, K. C., Venter, R. E., & Van Niekerk, S. (2013). Barefoot running causes acute changes in lower limb kinematics in habitually shod male runners. South African Journal for *Research in Sport, Physical Education and Recreation, 35*(1), 153-164.

Sinclair, J., Greenhalgh, A., Brooks, D., Edmundson, C. J., & Hobbs, S. J. (2013). The influence of barefoot and barefoot-inspired footwear on the kinetics and kinematics of running in comparison to conventional running shoes. *Footwear Science*, 5(1), 45-53.

Thompson, M. A., Lee, S. S., Seegmiller, J., & McGowan, C. P. (2015). Kinematic and kinetic comparison of barefoot and shod running in mid/forefoot and rearfoot strike runners. *Gait and Posture*, 41(4),