Population Health *Matters*

Pharmacists on the Front Lines of Polypharmacy: The Individualized Medication Assessment and Planning (iMAP) Project to Improve Medication Use in Senior Adults with Cancer

The American Cancer Society (ACS) estimates that by the year 2030, 70% of all cancers in the US will be diagnosed in senior adults.1 The multiple layers of specialized (i.e. oncologists, radiation oncologists, surgeons), general and allied health professionals make it challenging to manage this population. Senior adults are particularly prone to the perils associated with multiple transitions in care, including medication errors attributed to medication changes, complex regimens, and incomplete information handoffs between providers which can lead to potential harm, hospitalization and heightened utilization of health care resources.2-3 Cancerrelated therapy adds to the prevalence of polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate medications; consequently, this increases the risk for adverse drug effects, drug-drug interactions, unnecessary medication use, non-adherence and geriatric syndromes.4-8 Jefferson Health System is undergoing significant transformation in the delivery of 21st century services and is ripe for greater engagement of pharmacists. The roles and responsibilities of US pharmacists as members of the health care team have expanded beyond medication dispensing.

Polypharmacy is commonly defined as concurrent use of five or more medications for the treatment of one or more medical conditions. Older adults, who typically see multiple providers, may receive potentially inappropriate medications which may increase the risk for adverse drug effects. One approach to address these types of medication-related problems (MRP) is through utilization of team-based care

that includes pharmacists. Integrating pharmacists, who represent the third-largest health profession after nursing and medicine, into team-based care models is an underutilized yet viable solution to optimize medication management.

This pharmacist-led pilot -- a prospective, exploratory study-- is currently being conducted at the Senior Adult Oncology (SAO) Center at Thomas Jefferson University's National Cancer Institutedesignated Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center. This pilot was facilitated by two Advanced Practice Pharmacists and the medication management follow-up sessions are conducted via telephone at 30 and 60 days post the initial face-to-face session. This iMAP intervention has been used in the primary care setting to identify and reconcile medication related problems in geriatric populations (without cancer).9 The mean number of medication related problems per patient were reduced (4.2 at baseline versus 1 at 6 months, p<0.0001) and the prevalence of medication related problems at 6 months were significantly reduced (p<0.0008) compared with baseline. We expect to find similar results in our senior adult oncology population. The SAO center is a consultative outpatient ambulatory center that provides half-day services (5 hours) for patients 65 years and older with cancer. The core inter-professional team consists of medical oncologists, geriatricians, patient navigators, clinical pharmacists, social workers, and registered dieticians. The purpose of this study is to implement a pharmacist-led individualized medication assessment and planning (iMAP) intervention to: 1) examine

the feasibility of the intervention; 2) compare the number and rate of medication-related problems (MRP) between contacts [Day 0, 30, 60]; and 3) evaluate the proportion of MRP that are successfully addressed between contacts [Day 0, 30, 60]. Study feasibility utilized a qualitative survey relating to the iMAP intervention that encompassed measures such as: 1) time: 2) resources utilized: 3) barriers encountered. MRP were assessed by synthesizing information from the electronic health record, the pharmacist-patient session and the evidence-based literature. All medicationrelated problems were identified and measured based on the American Society of Health System Pharmacists classification tool with categories such as undertreatment, suboptimal drug, suboptimal [dosing, duration, frequency, administration], monitoring needed, and non-adherence). Medication adherence is measured based on a patient self-reported questionnaire.

At our SAO center, it is routine practice for patients to bring in all medications (prescription, nonprescription, herbals, and supplements) for the pharmacist-patient session. During the session, the pharmacist evaluated each medication with the patient and/or caregiver to confirm medication possession and/or self-administration, indication, and adverse effects; in addition, the pharmacist assessed the patient's ability to read medication label directions and to manage medications in and organized manner. Once the pharmacist identified medication-related problems (e.g. cognitive impairment associated with medication nonadherence), this information is discussed with



Population Health Matters

the patient and the inter-professional team and a progress note is documented in the electronic health record. The pharmacists' medication-related recommendations (e.g. patient requires caregiver assistance for medication management based on cognitive status; consider discontinuing potentially inappropriate medications due to increased risk of falls given history of recent falls, recommend a safer alternative) are forwarded to the primary oncologist and/or medical provider for evaluation and follow-up.

The Institute of Medicine recognizes the significant role played by pharmacists in the areas of medication management and medication safety, as well as the value of pharmacist-physician collaboration in patient care. 10-11 Studies show when pharmacists are involved in direct-patient care and take measures to decrease the prevalence of medication related problems, hospital readmission rates and preventable adverse drug events are substantially reduced. 12-14 Pharmacists have the professional education, training, skills, and expertise to address key challenges facing the health care system and are well

equipped to work on inter-professional healthcare teams and employ evidence-based medicine to optimize medication use and patient outcomes. Well-designed inter-professional, innovative medication management interventions are needed to continuously manage medication use in this complex population.

Ginah Nightingale, PharmD, BCOP

Assistant Professor Jefferson College of Pharmacy Ginah.Nightingale@Jefferson.edu

Laura T. Pizzi, PharmD, MPH

Professor Jefferson College of Pharmacy Laura.Pizzi@Jefferson.edu

Emily Hajjar, PharmD, BCPS, BCACP, CGP

Associate Professor Jefferson College of Pharmacy Emily.Hajjar@Jefferson.edu

Elizabeth Pigott

PharmD Candidate 2017 Jefferson College of Pharmacy Elizabeth.Pigott@Jefferson.edu

Margaret Wang

PharmD Candidate 2017 Jefferson College of Pharmacy Margaret.Wang@Jefferson.edu

Shannon Doherty

PharmD Candidate 2017 Jefferson College of Pharmacy Shannon.Doherty@Jefferson.edu

Kristine Swartz, MD

Assistant Professor
Department of Family and Community
Medicine, Division of Geriatrics and Palliative
Medicine
Thomas Jefferson University
Kristine.Swartz@Jefferson.edu

Andrew Chapman, DO, FACP

Clinical Professor and Vice Chair for Clinical Operations Department of Medical Oncology, Co-Director of the Jefferson Senior Adult Oncology Center Thomas Jefferson University Andrew.Chapman@Jefferson.edu

REFERENCES

- 1. Smith BD, Smith GL, Hurria A, Hortobagyi GN, Buchholz TA. Future of cancer incidence in the United States: burdens upon an aging, changing nation. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:2758–65.
- 2. Vira T, Colquhoun M, Etchells E. Reconcilable differences: correcting medication errors at hospital admission and discharge. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2006;15:122-126.
- 3. Coleman EA, Smith JD, Raha D, Min, SJ. Post hospital Medication Discrepancies: Prevalence and Contributing Factors. *Arch Intern Med.* 2005;165:1842-1847.
- 4. Riechelmann RP, Tannock IF, Wang L, et al: Potential drug interactions and duplicate prescriptions among cancer patients. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2007; 99:592-600.
- 5. Riechelmann RP, Zimmermann C, Chin SN, et al: Potential drug interactions in cancer patients receiving supportive care exclusively. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2008; 35:535-543.
- 6. Riechelmann RP, Moreira F, Smaletz O, et al: Potential for drug interactions in hospitalized cancer patients. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2005; 56:286-290
- 7. Puts MT, Costa-Lima B, Monette J, et al: Medication problems in older, newly diagnosed cancer patients in Canada: How common are they? A prospective pilot study. *Drugs Aging*. 2009; 26:519-536.
- 8. Scripture CD, Figg WD. Drug interactions in cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006;6:546-558.
- 9. Roth MT, Ivey JL, Esserman DA, Crisp G, Kurz J, et al. Individualized medication assessment and planning: optimizing medication use in older adults in the primary care setting. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2013;33(8):787–797).
- 10. Kohn LT, Institute of Medicine. Academic Health Centers: Leading Change in the 21st Century. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2003.
- 11. Adams K, Corrigan JM, eds. Priority Areas for National Action. Transforming Health Care Quality. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2003.

Fall 2015 Vol. 28, No. 4

Population Health *Matters*

REFERENCES continued

- 12. Walker PC, Bernstein SJ, Jones JN et al. Impact of a pharmacist-facilitated hospital discharge program: a quasi-experimental study. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;169(21):2003-10.
- 13. Schnipper JL, Kirwin JL, Cotugno MC et al. Role of pharmacist counseling in preventing adverse drug events after hospitalization. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006;166(5):565-71.
- 14. Thompson, C. High-risk patients benefit from clinical pharmacy services collaborative. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2011;68(6):465.