

Thomas Jefferson University Jefferson Digital Commons

Department of Surgery Faculty Papers

Department of Surgery

5-2-2015

Declaring a Patient Brain Dead on Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ECMO): Are There Guidelines or Misconceptions

Kristin J. Kreitler

Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, kristin.kreitler@jefferson.edu

Nicholas C. Cavarocchi

Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, nicholas.cavarocchi@jefferson.edu

Hitoshi Hirose

Thomas Jefferson University, Hitoshi.Hirose@Jefferson.edu

Sharon West

Gift of Life Donor Program, Philadelphia PA

Richard Hasz

Gift of Life Donor Program, Philadelphia PA

See next page for additional authors

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: http://jdc.jefferson.edu/surgeryfp



Part of the Surgery Commons

Recommended Citation

Kreitler, Kristin J.; Cavarocchi, Nicholas C.; Hirose, Hitoshi; West, Sharon; Hasz, Richard; Ghobrial, Michelle; and Bell, Rodney D., "Declaring a Patient Brain Dead on Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ECMO): Are There Guidelines or Misconceptions" (2015). Department of Surgery Faculty Papers. Paper 122.

http://jdc.jefferson.edu/surgeryfp/122

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Surgery Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

| Authors Kristin J. Kreitler, Nicholas C. Cavarocchi, Hitoshi Hirose, Sharon West, Richard Hasz, Michelle Ghobr nd Rodney D. Bell | rial, |
|--|-------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



Declaring a Patient Brain Dead on Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ECMO): Are There Guidelines or Misconceptions?

Kristin J. Kreitler,¹ Nicholas C. Cavarocchi,¹ Hitoshi Hirose,¹
Sharon West,² Richard Hasz,² Michelle Ghobrial,³ Rodney D. Bell,³

Department of Surgery, ³ Department of Neurology, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, PA.

² Gift of Life Donor Program, Philadelphia, PA.

Introduction

- therapy for the supportive care of patients with respiratory and/or cardiac failure, acute MI, and cardiac arrest.
- One of the complications of ECMO is neurological injury resulting in brain death.
- Patients who have been pronounced brain dead on ECMO have gone on to become viable organ donors, which is important in the setting of a rapidly growing transplant list.
- A key aspect in the pronunciation of brain death, the apnea test, can be technically challenging and confusing to interpret in the setting of ECMO.
- A lack of consensus exists among clinicians regarding the correct way to declare a patient brain dead on ECMO.

Objectives

- To review the clinical practice variations and trends with declaring patients brain dead on ECMO
- To highlight the need for the development of consensus guidelines to assist clinicians in the accurate diagnosis of brain death in this specific patient population

Contact Information

Dr. Nicholas Cavarocchi
Nicholas.Cavarocchi@Jefferson.edu

Methods

Study Type: IRB approved retrospective chart review

Patients: Organ donors from our local organ procurement organization who were declared brain dead on ECMO

Study Period: October 1995- July 2014

Exclusion Criteria:

- Pronounced brain dead on another form of mechanical circulatory support, such as biventricular assist device or left ventricular assist device
- Not on ECMO at the time of brain death

Number of Patients Identified: 26

- Mean Age (years): 26.9 ± 21.7
- Male: Female = 13:13
- Mean Length of ECMO (days): 5.4 ± 6.6


Modalities for Determining Brain Death on ECMO

| # | Year | Clinical | Apnea | EEG | CBF | TCD | Angio | СТ |
|----|------|----------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-------|----|
| 1 | 1995 | XX | X | X | | | | |
| 2 | 1997 | X | | X | | | | |
| 3 | 2001 | XX | X | | | | | |
| 4 | 2006 | XX | X | | | | | |
| 5 | 2007 | XX | X | | | | | |
| 6 | 2007 | X | X | | X | | | |
| 7 | 2008 | XX | X | X | | | | |
| 8 | 2008 | XX | | | | | | |
| 9 | 2009 | XX | | X | | X | | |
| 10 | 2009 | XX | X | X | | | | |
| 11 | 2010 | XX | X | | | | | |
| 12 | 2011 | XX | X | | X | | | |
| 13 | 2012 | XX | X | | | | | |
| 14 | 2012 | XX | | | | | X | |
| 15 | 2012 | XX | X | | | | | |
| 16 | 2013 | XX | X | | | | | |
| 17 | 2013 | XX | | | | | | |
| 18 | 2013 | XX | | X | | | | |
| 19 | 2013 | ХX | | | X | | | |
| 20 | 2013 | × | | | X | | | |
| 21 | 2013 | XX | X | | X | | | |
| 22 | 2014 | XX | X | X | | X | | |
| 23 | 2014 | X | | | X | | | |
| 24 | 2014 | XX | | | X | X | | |
| 25 | 2014 | XX | X | | | | | |
| 26 | 2014 | XX | | | | | | X |

Results Clinical exam 26 (100%) "Apnea test" Yes "Apnea test" No "Apnea test" 15 (58%) 11(42%) Undetermined | Non Confirmatory Confirmatory Ancillary tests done 8 (53%) 6 (40%) 1 (7%) * Based on American Academy of Neurology guidelines 9 (82%) 2 (18%)

Apnea testing in ECMO patients:

- Even when an apnea test was performed, at least one ancillary test was also performed in 47% of cases.
- There were five documented examples of how the apnea test was performed while the patient was on ECMO and all five were performed differently.
- In several of the cases, even when an apnea test was documented as confirmatory, it was not considered confirmatory based on American Academy of Neurology guidelines.



- From 1995 to 2010: EEG
- From 2010 through 2014: CBF study

Lack of apnea testing in ECMO patients:

- When an apnea test was not performed, 55% of clinicians documented ECMO or patient instability as the reason for not performing an apnea test.
- In the other 45% of patients in whom an apnea tests was not performed, there was no documentation available in regards to why an apnea test was not performed.

Conclusions

- This study shows that the diagnosis of brain death on ECMO lacks consensus guidelines regarding clinical exam, performance of apnea testing and use of definitive ancillary testing.
- There appears to be a trend towards utilizing ancillary tests as opposed to the apnea test in the diagnosis of brain death for patients on ECMO.
- The difficulty and controversy with performing a standard apnea test while on ECMO has led to inconsistent performance of and interpretation of the test, which has prompted unguided use of ancillary studies.
- Due to the substantial increase in the use of ECMO, it is vital that guidelines are developed to assist clinicians in the accurate diagnosis of brain death in patients on ECMO.