



Thomas Jefferson University  
Jefferson Digital Commons

Division of Infectious Diseases and Environmental  
Medicine

Sidney Kimmel Medical College

2-1-2013

# Inflammatory biomarker changes and their correlation with Framingham cardiovascular risk and lipid changes in antiretroviral-naive HIV-infected patients treated for 144 weeks with abacavir/lamivudine/atazanavir with or without ritonavir in ARIES.

Benjamin Young

*Apex Family Medicine and Research, 300 South Jackson Street, Suite 230, Denver, CO; International Association of Physicians in AIDS Care, Washington, DC*

Kathleen E Squires

*Thomas Jefferson University, Kathleen.Squires@jefferson.edu*

Lisa L Ross

*GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, NC*

Lizette Santiago

*HOPE Clinical Research, San Juan, Puerto Rico*

---

## Recommended Citation

Young, Benjamin; Squires, Kathleen E; Ross, Lisa L; Santiago, Lizette; Sloan, Louis M; Zhao, Henry H; Wine, Brian C; Pakes, Gary E; Margolis, David A; and Shaefer, Mark S, "Inflammatory biomarker changes and their correlation with Framingham cardiovascular risk and lipid changes in antiretroviral-naive HIV-infected patients treated for 144 weeks with abacavir/lamivudine/atazanavir with or without ritonavir in ARIES." (2013). *Division of Infectious Diseases and Environmental Medicine*. Paper 6.

<http://jdc.jefferson.edu/didem/6>

Louis M Sloan

*North Texas Infectious Diseases Consultants, Dallas, TX, United States*

*See next page for additional authors*

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: <http://jdc.jefferson.edu/didem>

 Part of the [Infectious Disease Commons](#)

---

---

**Authors**

Benjamin Young, Kathleen E Squires, Lisa L Ross, Lizette Santiago, Louis M Sloan, Henry H Zhao, Brian C Wine, Gary E Pakes, David A Margolis, and Mark S Shaefer

# Inflammatory Biomarker Changes and Their Correlation with Framingham Cardiovascular Risk and Lipid Changes in Antiretroviral-Naive HIV-Infected Patients Treated for 144 Weeks with Abacavir/Lamivudine/Atazanavir with or without Ritonavir in ARIES

Benjamin Young,<sup>1,2</sup> Kathleen E. Squires,<sup>3</sup> Lisa L. Ross,<sup>4</sup> Lizette Santiago,<sup>5</sup> Louis M. Sloan,<sup>6</sup> Henry H. Zhao,<sup>4</sup> Brian C. Wine,<sup>4</sup> Gary E. Pakes,<sup>4</sup> David A. Margolis,<sup>4</sup> and Mark S. Shafer,<sup>7</sup> for the ARIES (EPZ108859) Study Team

## Abstract

Propensity for developing coronary heart disease (CHD) is linked with Framingham-defined cardiovascular risk factors and elevated inflammatory biomarkers. Cardiovascular risk and inflammatory biomarkers were evaluated in ARIES, a Phase IIIb/IV clinical trial in which 515 antiretroviral-naive HIV-infected subjects initially received abacavir/lamivudine + atazanavir/ritonavir for 36 weeks. Subjects who were virologically suppressed by week 30 were randomized 1:1 at week 36 to either maintain or discontinue ritonavir for an additional 108 weeks. Framingham 10-year CHD risk scores (FRS) and risk category of <6% or ≥6%, lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> (Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub>), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) were assessed at baseline, week 84, and week 144. Biomarkers were stratified by FRS category. When ritonavir-boosted/nonboosted treatment groups were combined, median hsCRP did not change significantly between baseline (1.6 mg/liter) and week 144 (1.4 mg/liter) in subjects with FRS <6% ( $p=0.535$ ) or with FRS ≥6% (1.9 mg/liter vs. 2.0 mg/liter, respectively;  $p=0.102$ ). Median IL-6 was similar for subjects with FRS <6% ( $p=0.267$ ) at baseline (1.6 pg/ml) and week 144 (1.4 pg/ml) and for FRS ≥6% (2.0 pg/ml vs. 2.2 pg/ml, respectively;  $p=0.099$ ). Median Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> decreased significantly ( $p<0.001$ ) between baseline (197 nmol/min/ml) and week 144 (168 nmol/min/ml) in subjects with FRS <6% and with FRS ≥6% (238 nmol/min/ml vs. 175 nmol/min/ml, respectively;  $p<0.001$ ). In conclusion, in antiretroviral-naive subjects treated with abacavir-based therapy for 144 weeks, median inflammatory biomarker levels for hsCRP and IL-6 generally remained stable with no significant difference between baseline and week 144 for subjects with either FRS <6% or FRS ≥6%. Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> median values declined significantly over 144 weeks for subjects in either FRS stratum.

## Introduction

CLINICAL STUDIES SUGGEST THAT many factors may be associated with an elevated risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) among HIV-infected compared with non-HIV-infected individuals.<sup>1-3</sup> Infection with HIV has been associated with CHD-exacerbating chronic inflammation, as indicated by

elevated inflammatory biomarkers<sup>4</sup> and hypercoagulability leading to increased thrombogenesis.<sup>5,6</sup> Due to the effectiveness of highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART), many HIV-infected individuals are now living well beyond 50 years of age and are also developing aging-related comorbid medical conditions that may increase CHD risk, such as hypertension, diabetes, impaired kidney function, and obesity.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Apex Family Medicine and Research, Denver, Colorado.

<sup>2</sup>International Association of Physicians in AIDS Care, Washington, District of Columbia.

<sup>3</sup>Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

<sup>4</sup>GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

<sup>5</sup>HOPE Clinical Research, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

<sup>6</sup>North Texas Infectious Diseases Consultants, Dallas, Texas.

<sup>7</sup>ViiV Healthcare, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

A substantial proportion of these individuals have lifestyle practices (e.g., smoking, sedentary, high-fat diet) that over time can hasten atherosclerosis and thrombosis development.<sup>7</sup> HIV-infected patients have a higher prevalence of prolonged corrected QT interval and an increased risk for malignant arrhythmia and cardiovascular mortality.<sup>8</sup> In addition, several non-age-related comorbid medical conditions have the potential to negatively impact cardiac status (coinfection with hepatitis B or C, intravenous drug use, cocaine use, excessive alcohol consumption). Finally, the combinations of antiretroviral agents that comprise effective HAART regimens may expose treated patients to long-term mitochondrial toxicity and drug-associated lipid elevation that have an additive adverse impact on their heart and blood vessels.<sup>9</sup>

As with HIV-negative individuals, thorough assessment of cardiovascular risk in the HIV population requires focus on traditional CHD risk factors strongly associated with worsening cardiac status, as described in the Framingham equation, and use of the readily available tool to calculate the 10-year risk of developing CHD [myocardial infarction (MI) and coronary death] that incorporates patient age, gender, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, smoking status, systolic blood pressure, and antihypertensive medication use.<sup>10,11</sup> Clinical investigations have also evaluated cardiovascular risk status by assessing the predictive utility of measuring inflammation-associated biomarkers in HIV-infected patients. In particular, changes in certain biomarkers, including elevated levels of lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> (Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub>), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), have each been associated with increased cardiovascular risk.<sup>4,12</sup>

Dyslipidemic effects of antiretrovirals may potentially lead to an increased risk in CHD in HIV-infected patients. While atazanavir (ATV) has demonstrated little dyslipidemic impact as compared with certain other protease inhibitors (PIs), there are concerns regarding ritonavir (/r)-boosted PI regimens as potentially increasing CHD risk, although whether this would be associated with any change in inflammatory biomarker concentrations is unclear.<sup>13–16</sup> Abacavir (ABC) is a generally well-tolerated nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) that has been used in combination antiretroviral regimens since the 1990s,<sup>17</sup> and while the majority of studies<sup>18–22</sup> have not demonstrated an increased ABC-attributable CHD risk in the absence of confounding factors, two recent analyses of observational cohorts<sup>23,24</sup> reported a statistically increased incidence in MI in HIV-infected patients receiving ABC-based therapy with various third agents.

To better define any underlying cardiovascular risk mechanism and to evaluate whether the use of ritonavir boosting and/or ABC use could be affecting inflammatory biomarker levels, a prospective cardiovascular risk analysis was performed in ARIES (Atazanavir, Ritonavir, Induction with Epzicom Study; EPZ108859). ARIES was a large phase IIIb/IV treatment-simplification clinical trial in which antiretroviral therapy-naïve subjects who achieved virologic suppression by week 30 on a regimen of abacavir/lamivudine (ABC/3TC) plus atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r) were randomized to remain on their original regimen or discontinue the ritonavir component of the regimen for up to a total of 144 weeks.<sup>25–27</sup> In this analysis, subjects had baseline assessment of their Framingham 10-year CHD risk scores and were

classified as low or moderate risk groups (<6% or ≥6%). Plasma concentrations of hsCRP, IL-6, and Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub>, and fasting lipids were assessed at baseline, week 84, and week 144. These parameters were evaluated by treatment group and/or by risk group to determine whether there were any significant differences over time and if these changes were influenced by baseline risk classification or long-term inclusion of ritonavir in the HAART regimen.

## Materials and Methods

### Study design

ARIES was a randomized, open-label, noninferiority, multicenter study and was conducted at outpatient HIV clinics in the United States and Canada, and enrolled HLA-B\*5701-negative, antiretroviral-naïve (≤14 days of prior NRTI and no prior PI or NNRTI) HIV-infected subjects ≥18 years old with a screening viral load ≥1000 copies/ml and any CD4<sup>+</sup> cell level (a detailed study design has been presented elsewhere<sup>25–27</sup>). Subjects with cardiovascular disease per se could be included in this study, although subjects were excluded if they had medical conditions that investigators considered severe enough to compromise safety [diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure, cardiomyopathy, or other cardiac dysfunction, clinically significant cardiac conduction system disease, severe first-degree atrioventricular block (PR interval >0.26 s), or second- or third-degree atrioventricular block].

The primary objective of ARIES was to evaluate the efficacy, safety, tolerability, and durability of antiviral response with ABC/3TC plus ATV/r compared to this regimen without ritonavir. Subjects were initiated on a 36-week regimen of once-daily ABC/3TC fixed-dose combination (600 mg/300 mg, Epzicom, ViiV Healthcare, Research Triangle Park, NC) plus ATV (300 mg, Reyataz, Bristol-Myers Squibb, New York, NY) and ritonavir (r) (100 mg, Norvir, Abbott, Abbott Park, IL). At week 36, subjects who had achieved a confirmed viral load <50 copies/ml by week 30 were randomized 1:1 to either ABC/3TC plus unboosted ATV 400 mg once daily (the “simplification” regimen) or to continue ABC/3TC plus ATV/r 300/100 mg once daily for 48 weeks. Subjects who remained in the study through week 84 were offered the opportunity to participate in an “extension phase” of the study, during which they were maintained on their current treatment regimen through week 144. Subjects were not required to have plasma HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/ml at week 84 to participate in the extension phase. Per protocol, subjects were required to withdraw from the study if they failed to achieve plasma HIV-1 RNA <400 copies/ml by week 30 or if they experienced confirmed HIV-1 RNA rebound ≥400 copies/ml after achieving virologic suppression to <400 copies/ml and their confirmatory viral load result was ≥2,000 copies/ml. All subjects provided written informed consent to participate in the extension phase and the study was approved by the ethics review board for each participating center. The study was conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice.

### Framingham risk measurement

Framingham 10-year CHD risk scores were calculated at baseline, week 84, and week 144 using an algorithm that factored in baseline cardiac risk factors including sex, age,

total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol, smoking status, blood pressure, and diabetes history.<sup>10,11</sup> Subjects with Framingham 10-year CHD risk scores <6% (connoting low risk, i.e., <6% chance of an MI within the next 10 years) were subclassified from subjects with risk scores  $\geq$ 6% (connoting at least moderate risk, i.e.,  $\geq$ 6% chance of an MI within the next 10 years).<sup>28</sup>

#### *Biomarker measurements*

EDTA-containing plasma samples were collected at baseline, week 84, and week 144 for measurement of hsCRP and IL-6 concentrations and Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> activity. All samples were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until analysis by Quest Diagnostic Nichols Institute (San Juan Capistrano, CA). hsCRP concentrations were assessed by fixed-time nephelometry using a Siemens Dade Behring BN II nephelometer (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Inc., Tarrytown, NY) with an intraassay coefficient of variation (CV) of 3.7%, an interassay CV of 2.8%, and reportable range of 0.2–1,100  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ . IL-6 concentrations were assessed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent immunoassay (ELISA) with an intraassay CV of 7.0%, interassay CV of 11.3%, and reportable range of 0.31–5.00  $\text{pg/ml}$ . Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> activity was assessed by a colorimetric activity method using a microtiter plate analyzer (CTL-US-Valencia). The assay characteristics included intraassay precision of 1.7% and interassay precision of 4.8%.

#### *Lipid measurements*

A fasting lipid panel was done at baseline and every 12 weeks thereafter through week 144. In the unboosted ATV and ATV/r groups, median fasting lipid concentrations were compared at baseline, week 84, and week 144. These median concentrations were also compared to the cut-points (maximum concentrations considered within normal limits) established by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services National Cholesterol Education program (NCEP) guidelines.<sup>29</sup>

#### *Statistical analysis*

All analyses of Framingham CHD risk scores, biomarkers, and fasting lipids were done in the intent-to-treat-exposed population. The biomarker values were grouped by the Framingham CHD risk scores assessed at baseline for each subject. Descriptive statistics were primarily used in presenting the data, although a Wilcoxon signed rank test was applied when comparing week 84 and week 144 Framingham, biomarker, and lipid data with baseline values, while Fisher's exact test and Wilcoxon rank-sum test were employed for the between group comparisons. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## **Results**

#### *Patient characteristics and disposition*

Five hundred and fifteen subjects were enrolled in ARIES, and at week 36, 419 were randomized to either the ABC/3TC + ATV (*n*=210) or ABC/3TC + ATV/r (*n*=209) treatment groups. Of these, 90% (379/419) completed 84 weeks of treatment. Three hundred and sixty-nine subjects (189 in the unboosted ATV group and 180 in the ATV/r group) partici-

pated in the extension phase (five subjects from each group did not re consent for this study phase). Eighty-five percent (160/189) of the unboosted ATV group and 86% (154/180) of the ATV/r group completed 144 weeks of antiretroviral therapy.

Baseline characteristics of the extension population (Table 1) were comparable to those of the subjects who entered ARIES.<sup>25</sup> The characteristics of the ATV/r and ATV groups were similar, with the exception of a significantly greater proportion of subjects with CDC classification C in the unboosted ATV group (17% vs. 8%, *p*=0.0184) and a greater proportion of subjects with a baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> count <50 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> in the unboosted ATV group (17% vs. 10%; *p*=0.0672). The majority of the study subjects were male (85%), with a median baseline HIV-1 RNA of 5.06 log<sub>10</sub> copies/ml and similar median baseline CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count of 198 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>. Fifty-six percent of study subjects entered the study with viral loads  $\geq$ 100,000 copies/ml.

#### *Framingham 10-year risk score changes*

At baseline, most of the subjects (83% in the unboosted ATV group, 82% in the ATV/r group) had a low (<6%) risk of having an MI within 10 years, as calculated by the Framingham equation, and the remainder had risk scores of  $\geq$ 6% (Table 1). In the ATV group, 7% (11/153) at <6% risk at baseline were reclassified to  $\geq$ 6% risk by week 144, while 5% (7/153) at  $\geq$ 6% risk at baseline were reclassified to <6% risk by week 144. Conversely, in the ATV/r group, 9% (13/146) of subjects at <6% risk at baseline were reclassified to  $\geq$ 6% risk by week 144, while 3% (5/146) at  $\geq$ 6% risk at baseline were reclassified to <6% risk by week 144.

Within each treatment regimen, median Framingham 10-year risk scores showed little change in median risk over time. The unboosted ATV group had a median [interquartile range (IQR), Q1–Q3] Framingham 10-year risk score of 1% (0–20%) at baseline, 1% (0–25%) at week 84, and 1% (0–31%) at week 144, while the ATV/r group had a median (IQR) Framingham 10-year risk score of 1% (0–20%) at baseline, 2% (0–31%) at week 84, and 2% (0–31%) at week 144.

In a subsequent analysis by gender, Framingham 10-year risk scores also showed little change over time. For the unboosted ATV group, females had median (IQR, Q1–Q3) Framingham 10-year scores of 0% (0–14%) at baseline, 0% (0–11%) at week 84, and 1% (0–6%) at week 144, and males had scores of 1% (0–20%) at baseline, 1% (0–25%) at week 84, and 1% (0–31%) at week 144. Similarly, in the ATV/r group, the scores in females were 0% (0–11%) at baseline, 0% (0–8%) at week 84, and 0% (0–11%) at week 144, and the scores in males were 2% (0–20%) at baseline, 2% (0–31%) at week 84, and 3% (0–31%) at week 144.

#### *Biomarker changes in the overall population*

For the overall population, biomarker changes between the start of treatment and 144 weeks were minimal (Table 2). Median hsCRP concentrations in subjects with baseline Framingham risk scores <6% did not differ significantly between baseline (1.6 mg/liter) and week 84 (1.6 mg/liter; *p*=0.677) or between baseline and week 144 (1.4 mg/liter; *p*=0.535). Similarly, for subjects with baseline Framingham risk scores  $\geq$ 6%, median hsCRP concentrations did not differ significantly between baseline (1.9 mg/liter) and week 84 (1.7 mg/liter;



TABLE 1. INTENT-TO-TREAT EXTENSION PHASE PATIENT DEMOGRAPHICS

Median (range) or %	ATV + ABC/3TC N=189	ATV/r + ABC/3TC N=180	Total N=369
Male sex	85%	86%	85%
Age, years (range)	36 (19–72)	39 (20–67)	38 (19–72)
Racial distribution			
White	122 (65%)	114 (63%)	236 (64%)
Black	58 (31%)	57 (32%)	115 (31%)
Other	9 (5%)	9 (5%)	18 (5%)
Median HIV-1 RNA, log <sub>10</sub> copies/ml	5.06	5.08	5.06
<100,000	85 (45%)	79 (44%)	164 (44%)
≥100,000	104 (55%)	101 (56%)	205 (56%)
Median CD4 <sup>+</sup> count, cells/mm <sup>3</sup>	190	203	198
≥200	91 (48%)	92 (51%)	183 (50%)
50–<200	66 (35%)	70 (39%)	136 (37%)
<50	32 (17%)	18 (10%)	50 (14%)
CDC Class C	17%	8%	13%
Hepatitis C positive	5%	6%	5%
Blood pressure, mm Hg (range) <sup>a</sup>			
Diastolic	79 (54–103)	78 (57–99)	78 (54–103)
Systolic	121 (90–185)	124 (100–190)	122 (90–190)
Smokers <sup>b</sup>	38%	39%	38%
Weight (kg)	75.5 (46.4–144.7)	75.4 (47.6–155.5)	75.5 (46.4–155.5)
Diabetes (Type I and II) <sup>c</sup>	5%	6%	5%
Fasting lipids, mg/dl (range)			
Total cholesterol	152 (70–287)	153 (52–256)	152 (52–287)
LDL-cholesterol	88 (15–216)	85 (3–188)	86 (3–216)
HDL-cholesterol	37 (13–74)	39 (3–79)	38 (3–79)
Triglycerides	127 (49–798)	123 (49–417)	124 (43–798)
Framingham risk score, <i>n</i> (%)			
<6% (low risk)	148 (83%)	140 (82%)	288 (82%)
≥6% (higher risk)	31 (17%)	30 (18%)	61 (18%)
Creatinine clearance (C-G), ml/min	113 (55–271)	107 (56–222)	111 (55–271)
MDRD GFR, ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	92 (43–174)	89 (49–143)	90 (43–174)

<sup>a</sup>Blood pressure was assessed at weeks 84 and 144; week 144 results are shown.

<sup>b</sup>Smoking status was assessed at weeks 84 and 144; if the subject indicated at either visit that they smoked they were considered a smoker.

<sup>c</sup>Diabetes was assessed at baseline, week 84, and week 144; the subject was considered diabetic if they were assessed at such at any visit; however, there was little or no increase over the course of the study.

CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; C-G, Cockcroft–Gault equation for calculating creatinine clearance; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MDRD, modification of diet in renal disease; ATV/r, atazanavir/ritonavir; ABC/3TC, abacavir/lamivudine.

$p=0.589$ ) or between baseline and week 144 (2.0 mg/liter;  $p=0.102$ ).

In the IL-6 analyses, median concentrations in subjects with baseline Framingham risk scores <6% (1.6 pg/ml) declined significantly ( $p<0.001$ ) at week 84 to 1.2 pg/ml, and remained marginally lower than the baseline value at week 144 (1.4 pg/ml), although this difference was not statistically significant ( $p=0.267$ ). In subjects with baseline risk scores ≥6%, median values remained relatively stable between baseline and week 84 (from 2.0 to 1.8 pg/ml,  $p=0.522$ ) before slightly increasing to a level that was nonsignificantly higher than baseline at week 144 (2.2 pg/ml,  $p=0.099$ ).

Of the biomarkers, the most consistent reduction in concentrations over the entire 144-week study period occurred in Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> activity. Thus, in subjects with baseline Framingham CHD risk scores <6%, median Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> activity fell significantly from a baseline of 197 to 189 nmol/min/ml at week 84

( $p<0.001$ ) and to 168 nmol/min/ml at week 144 ( $p<0.001$ ). Similarly, in subjects with baseline risk scores ≥6%, median Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> activity decreased significantly from a baseline of 238 to 210 nmol/min/ml at week 84 ( $p<0.001$ ) and to 175 nmol/min/ml at week 144 ( $p<0.001$ ).

**Biomarker changes by treatment group**

Since levels of hsCRP >3 mg/liter have been considered by some groups to be a critical clinical cut-off for patients at “high risk” for future heart disease, the hsCRP biomarker results were evaluated by treatment group applying this cut-point. In the ATV/r-treated group for subjects with paired baseline and week 144 data, the proportion of subjects with hsCRP >3 mg/liter at baseline was 30% (45/152 subjects) and remained similar to that observed at week 144 [34% (51/152 subjects)]. Likewise, in the unboosted ATV-treated group, the

TABLE 2. BIOMARKER DATA

10-Year Framingham CHD risk score	Study visit							
	Baseline		Week 84			Week 144		
	n	Median (Q1–Q3)	n	Median (Q1–Q3)	p-value <sup>a</sup>	n	Median (Q1–Q3)	p-value <sup>a</sup>
<b>hsCRP (mg/liter)</b>								
ATV and ATV/r arms combined								
<6%	285	1.6 (0.6–3.4)	280	1.6 (0.6–2.9)	0.677	249	1.4 (0.6–3.4)	0.535
≥6%	61	1.9 (0.9–2.8)	60	1.7 (0.8–4.9)	0.589	50	2.0 (1.2–5.0)	0.102
All	346	1.6 (0.7–3.3)	340	1.6 (0.6–3.3)	0.901	299	1.5 (0.6–3.7)	0.949
ATV arm alone								
<6%	147	1.5 (0.6–3.5)	144	1.6 (0.7–3.4)	0.460	128	1.2 (0.6–2.6)	0.636
≥6%	31	1.8 (0.7–3.6)	30	1.5 (0.8–3.5)	0.480	25	1.9 (0.8–5.3)	0.076
All	178	1.6 (0.6–3.5)	174	1.6 (0.7–3.5)	0.620	153	1.3 (0.6–3.4)	0.825
ATV/r arm alone								
<6%	138	1.6 (0.6–3.4)	136	1.4 (0.5–2.7)	0.164	121	1.5 (0.6–3.7)	0.669
≥6%	30	2.0 (1.0–2.7)	30	2.1 (0.7–6.5)	0.176	25	2.0 (1.3–4.4)	0.607
All	168	1.6 (0.7–3.1)	166	1.6 (0.6–3.1)	0.502	146	1.5 (0.7–4.0)	0.866
<b>IL-6 (pg/ml)</b>								
ATV and ATV/r arms combined								
<6%	287	1.6 (1.0–2.5)	287	1.2 (0.8–2.0)	<0.001	249	1.4 (0.9–2.3)	0.267
≥6%	61	2.0 (1.3–2.6)	61	1.8 (1.2–2.7)	0.522	50	2.2 (1.5–3.5)	0.099
All	348	1.6 (1.0–2.5)	348	1.3 (0.8–2.1)	<0.001	299	1.5 (1.0–2.6)	0.846
ATV arm alone								
<6%	147	1.6 (1.0–2.6)	147	1.2 (0.8–2.2)	0.003	128	1.4 (0.9–2.4)	0.442
≥6%	31	1.9 (1.1–3.1)	31	1.5 (1.1–2.1)	0.422	25	1.9 (1.4–3.4)	0.638
All	178	1.6 (1.0–2.6)	178	1.3 (0.8–2.2)	0.003	153	1.5 (1.0–2.4)	0.596
ATV/r arm alone								
<6%	140	1.5 (1.0–2.4)	140	1.2 (0.8–2.0)	<0.001	121	1.3 (0.8–2.3)	0.420
≥6%	30	2.1 (1.4–2.6)	30	2.2 (1.2–2.9)	0.897	25	2.4 (1.8–4.2)	0.065
All	170	1.6 (1.1–2.5)	170	1.3 (0.9–2.1)	0.002	146	1.5 (1.0–2.8)	0.809
<b>Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> (nmol/min/ml)</b>								
ATV and ATV/r arms combined								
<6%	284	197 (162–238)	287	189 (150–217)	<0.001	249	168 (138–198)	<0.001
≥6%	60	238 (202–278)	61	210 (176–238)	<0.001	50	175 (147–213)	<0.001
All	344	203 (166–250)	348	191 (153–220)	<0.001	299	171 (138–199)	<0.001
ATV arm alone								
<6%	146	193 (159–224)	147	180 (147–211)	<0.001	128	165 (138–197)	<0.001
≥6%	30	238 (206–285)	31	211 (177–246)	0.010	25	172 (149–199)	<0.001
All	176	197 (160–237)	178	185 (154–217)	<0.001	153	165 (139–198)	<0.001
ATV/r arm alone								
<6%	138	208 (170–253)	140	195 (151–221)	<0.001	121	169 (137–199)	<0.001
≥6%	30	237 (198–277)	30	202 (169–238)	<0.001	25	175 (147–216)	<0.001
All	168	212 (174–255)	170	195 (152–225)	<0.001	146	172 (137–206)	<0.001

<sup>a</sup>p-values from Wilcoxon signed-rank test comparing baseline and postbaseline.

CHD, coronary heart disease; hsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; IL-6, interleukin-6; Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub>, lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A<sub>2</sub>; Q1–Q3, first quartile through third quartile; ATV/r, atazanavir/ritonavir.

proportion of subjects with hsCRP >3 mg/liter at baseline [28% (44/159)] was virtually unchanged compared with that at week 144 [27% (43/159)].

Regardless of whether subjects in the ATV/r and unboosted ATV groups had baseline Framingham risk scores <6% or ≥6%, no significant changes were observed between baseline and week 84 or between baseline and week 144 (Table 2). There was a small but not statistically significant decline in median hsCRP levels from baseline through week 144 for both treatment groups and, similarly, there was no statistically significant change from baseline for this biomarker when evaluated by Framingham risk score for either treatment group over 144 weeks.

In the IL-6 analyses, subjects with Framingham risk scores <6% in the ATV/r and unboosted ATV groups had a significant decrease in median IL-6 concentrations at week 84 compared to baseline, which was no longer apparent at week 144 (Table 2). No other significant changes from baseline were observed at week 84 or week 144 in either treatment group regardless of baseline Framingham risk score being <6% or ≥6%.

In the Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> analyses, the ATV/r and unboosted ATV groups had significant decreases from baseline in Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> concentrations at both week 84 and week 144, and this was observed in subjects with baseline Framingham risk scores <6% as well as those with scores ≥6% (Table 2).



TABLE 3. CHANGE IN FASTING LIPIDS

	BL ATV, ATV/r	Change from BL to week 36 ATV, ATV/r	Change from week 36 to week 144 ATV, ATV/r	Change from BL to week 144 ATV, ATV/r
Total cholesterol, mg/dl (median)	152, 153	+31, +30	-10, +3	+18, +37.5
<i>p</i> -value	0.7626	0.4138	0.005	0.0031
HDL-cholesterol, mg/dl (median)	37, 39	+10, +8	+3, +3	+12, +12
<i>p</i> -value	0.8641	0.7269	0.8492	0.9515
LDL-cholesterol, mg/dl (median)	88, 85	+13, +10	-4, 0	+4, +15
<i>p</i> -value	0.9662	0.2490	0.1195	0.05
Total cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio (median)	4.15, 4.10	-0.14, -0.14	-0.43, -0.19	-0.55, -0.23
<i>p</i> -value	0.9207	0.3532	0.0076	0.0168
Triglyceride, mg/dl (median)	127, 123	+27, +34	-42, -11	-8.5, +28.5
<i>p</i> -value	0.7550	0.7005	<0.0001	0.0001

*p*-values from Wilcoxon rank-sum test comparing ATV and ATV/r groups.  
ATV, atazanavir; ATV/r, atazanavir/ritonavir; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

**Lipid changes**

At baseline, the ATV/r and ATV groups were similar with respect to median total cholesterol (153 vs. 152 mg/dl), LDL-cholesterol (85 vs. 88 mg/dl), HDL-cholesterol (39 vs. 37 mg/dl), total cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio (4.10 vs. 4.15), and triglycerides (123 vs. 127 mg/dl) (Table 3). At week 144, the ATV/r treatment group had a significantly greater increase than the unboosted ATV treatment group in total cholesterol (*p*=0.003) and LDL-cholesterol (*p*=0.05), a significantly higher triglyceride concentration (*p*<0.001) and lower total cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio (*p*=0.017), and a similar increase in HDL-cholesterol (*p*=0.952). Week 144 median fasting total and LDL-cholesterol levels remained below NCEP cut-points in both groups. The median fasting triglyceride level remained below the NCEP cut-point in the ATV group but not the ATV/r group.

**Discussion**

The majority of subjects in ARIES had Framingham risk scores of <6% at baseline, suggesting they were at relatively low cardiovascular risk. Median Framingham risk scores did not change significantly over 144 weeks, which is consistent with the results of two shorter term studies (Bicombo-met and STEAL).<sup>30,31</sup> In these studies, when an ABC/3TC nucleoside backbone was compared to another nucleoside/nucleotide backbone, tenofovir/emtricitabine (TDF/FTC), no significant changes in median or mean Framingham 10-year CHD risk scores over 48 and 96 weeks were observed.

Since changes in hsCRP concentrations have been previously shown to mirror changes in Framingham risk scores in non-HIV-infected populations, it was hypothesized that this would also be observed in HIV-infected subjects.<sup>32</sup> There was no significant change between baseline and week 144 in median hsCRP and IL-6 levels in the overall study population, or by risk group (<6% or ≥6%) within the overall population. Similarly, in other studies a lack of significant long-term effect of ABC/3TC on these biomarkers has been shown in antiretroviral-experienced subjects who have been switched from non-ABC-containing regimens to ABC/3TC regimens, although these studies involved smaller populations and a shorter period (typically 12 months) of observation.<sup>33-35</sup> With

respect to the hsCRP findings in our study, no change was seen over 144 weeks in the proportion of subjects with hsCRP >3 mg/liter, considered by the American Heart Association and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to be a critical clinical cut-off point for patients at “high risk” for future heart disease (including MI and stroke) and an increased mortality rate.<sup>36,37</sup>

Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> has been reported to be a better predictor of risk of coronary events than hsCRP because, unlike hsCRP, its predictability is not attenuated when age, systolic blood pressure, and lipoprotein levels are included in multivariate models of analysis.<sup>38</sup> Reductions in Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> have been hypothesized to correlate with reduction in the atherosclerotic process.<sup>39</sup> In our study we found that in contrast to hsCRP and IL-6, median Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> activity decreased significantly and consistently over the 144-week course of the study in both <6% and ≥6% Framingham CHD risk-score groups. The effect of ABC on Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> has been evaluated previously over a shorter period (48 weeks) in another study, but did not change significantly in that time frame.<sup>30</sup> Our study is the first to report Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> data with an unboosted ATV or ATV/r regimen.

Since it is known that low doses of ritonavir, in the absence of coadministered antiretroviral drugs, can produce elevations in lipids within 2 weeks,<sup>15</sup> the relatively greater elevation in fasting total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, and triglycerides seen in subjects who remained on the ritonavir-boosted ATV regimen compared to the unboosted ATV regimen was not unexpected. Similar findings also have been reported in other studies comparing the use of ritonavir in regimens containing ABC/3TC and ATV in antiretroviral-naïve patients.<sup>40,41</sup> Despite the elevations in these particular lipids for subjects receiving the ritonavir-boosted regimen, it should be noted that median values for the ATV/r-treated subjects remained below NCEP cutoffs for use of lipid-lowering agents. Among the ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors, ATV/r has low lipogenicity, as evidenced by fewer lipid increases than lopinavir/r and only small lipid elevations reported in direct comparisons in treatment-naïve subjects<sup>14,42</sup> and in treatment-experienced subjects switched from lopinavir/r to ATV/r.<sup>43,44</sup> Unboosted ATV, relative to most other protease inhibitors, has been documented to have little effect on lipids,<sup>45</sup> which is consistent with what we observed. The median 15-mg/dl increase in HDL-cholesterol seen in

both the unboosted ATV and ATV/r groups allowed the total cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio to improve slightly.

In our biomarker analysis, we were also able to stratify the change in median biomarker levels over 144 weeks by treatment group. There was no significant change between baseline and week 144 in median hsCRP and IL-6 levels in the ATV or ATV/r treatment groups, or within each treatment group when stratified by the Framingham CHD risk group (<6% or ≥6%). As was seen for the overall population, the median Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> activity decreased significantly and consistently over the 144-week course of the study in both treatment groups and when stratified within treatment groups into <6% and ≥6% Framingham risk groups. In ARIES, subjects with low baseline CV risk demonstrated no increase in CV risk over time and no significant increase in CV-associated biomarker levels. No MIs were observed during the 144 weeks of the ARIES study.<sup>27</sup> In our study, we observed no significant change in Framingham 10-year CHD risk scores, hsCRP, and IL-6 concentrations, declines in Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> activity (hypothesized to correlate with reductions in the atherosclerotic process<sup>38</sup>), and improvements in the total cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio over 144 weeks with ABC/3TC + ATV-containing regimens. These results are consistent with those from many studies that have evaluated ABC-containing regimens and failed to observe an increase in estimated cardiac risk,<sup>18–22,46</sup> and contrast with findings from two recent observational cohorts analyses that reported a statistically increased incidence in MI in HIV-infected patients receiving ABC-based therapy with various third agents.<sup>23,24</sup> For our study, as with other studies, confounding risk factors such as recreational drug use or alcohol consumption may impact the findings but may be difficult to assess. Our prospective study examined only ART-naïve subjects who had low cardiovascular risk, while in observational studies (where most subjects are treatment-experienced), selection and classification bias, in addition to confounding risk factors, can be more pronounced than that within a rigorous clinical study. No randomized clinical studies conducted to date have demonstrated a clear association with any type of antiretroviral therapy and CHD endpoints.<sup>47</sup>

This study focused on three cardiovascular biomarkers that previously have been associated with cardiovascular disease risk. Several other studies have examined additional biomarkers, including D-dimer, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- $\alpha$ , soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1, soluble vascular adhesion molecule-1, selectin E, selectin P, adiponectin, myeloperoxidase, amyloid A, and amyloid P, and generally showed no significant changes in median or mean values over at least 48 weeks of continuous ABC use.<sup>19,34,35</sup>

In conclusion, the majority of antiretroviral-naïve subjects (81%) in this study had relatively low baseline cardiovascular risk. After initiating treatment with ABC/3TC plus ATV/r and then randomizing at week 36 to remain on ATV/r or simplify to unboosted ATV for an additional 108 weeks, cardiovascular risk status, as estimated by Framingham risk score categories (<6% and ≥6%), remained relatively stable over this period, with improvement in median HDL-cholesterol levels for both ATV- and ATV/r-treated subjects. Over 144 weeks, median biomarker levels remained stable or declined overall, with significant declines observed for Lp-PLA<sub>2</sub> irrespective of whether ritonavir was maintained or discontinued after 36 weeks.

## Acknowledgments

This study was sponsored by ViiV Healthcare. The ARIES (EPZ108859) ClinicalTrials.gov registration number is NCT00440947. ViiV Healthcare and GSK appreciate the participation of the subjects, study coordinators, and investigators who participated in this study and made this analysis possible and the assistance of other GSK EPZ108859 study team members. Bristol Myers Squibb generously donated study medication.

The results of this study were presented in part in Abstract/Poster MOPE215 and CDB448 at the 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention, Rome, Italy, July 17–20, 2011; 2011 Annual Meeting of the American College of Clinical Pharmacy (ACCP), Pittsburgh, PA, October 16–19, 2011 (144-week extension phase Framingham risk score/biomarker data); and Abstract/Poster 123E at the 2011 Annual Meeting of the American College of Clinical Pharmacy (ACCP), Pittsburgh, PA, October 16–19, 2011 (144-week extension phase efficacy/safety results).

## Author Disclosure Statement

Benjamin Young has received consultancy fees, speaking honoraria, and/or research funding from Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck & Co., Monogram Biosciences, Pfizer, and ViiV Healthcare. Kathleen Squires received consultancy fees and/or research funding from Abbott, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Koronis, Merck, Pfizer, Schering-Plough, Tibotec, and Tobira. Louis Sloan has received speaking honoraria and/or research funding from ViiV Healthcare, Pfizer, and Bristol-Myers Squibb. Lizette Santiago has received consultancy fees, speaking honoraria, and/or research funding from Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck & Co., ViiV Healthcare, Pfizer, and Janssen. Lisa Ross, Henry Zhao, David Margolis, Gary Pakes, and Brian Wine are employed by GlaxoSmithKline; Mark Shaefer is an employee of ViiV Healthcare.

## References

1. Currier JS, Lundgren JD, Carr A, *et al.*: Epidemiological evidence for cardiovascular disease in HIV-infected patients and relationship to highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Circulation* 2008;118:e29–e35.
2. Bozzette SA, Ake CF, Tam HK, Chang SW, and Louis TA: Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events in patients treated for human immunodeficiency virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:702–710.
3. Deeks SG and Phillips AN: HIV infection, antiretroviral treatment, ageing, and non-AIDS related morbidity. *Br Med J* 2009;338:288–292.
4. Baker JV, Henry WK, and Neaton JD: The consequences of HIV infection and antiretroviral therapy use for cardiovascular disease risk: Shifting paradigms. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS* 2009;4:176–182.
5. Mayne E, Funderburg N, Sieg SF, *et al.*: Increased platelet and microparticle activation in HIV infection: Upregulation of P-selectin and tissue factor expression. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2012;59:340–346.
6. Lijfering WM, Sprenger HG, Georg RR, van der Meulen PA, and van der Meer J: Relationship between progression to AIDS and thrombophilic abnormalities in HIV infection. *Clin Chem* 2008;54:1226–1233.

7. Zhao H and Goetz MB: Complications of HIV infection in an ageing population: Challenges in managing older patients on long-term combination antiretroviral therapy. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;66:1210–1214.
8. Kocheril AG, Bokhari SA, Batsford WP, and Sinusas AJ: Long QTc and torsades de pointes in human immunodeficiency virus disease. *Clin Electrophysiol* 1997;20:2810–2816.
9. Lewis W, Day BJ, and Copeland WC: Mitochondrial toxicity of NRTI antiviral drugs: An integrated cellular perspective. *Nature* 2003;2:812–822.
10. Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Levy D, *et al.*: Prediction of coronary heart disease using risk factor categories. *Circulation* 1998;97:1837–1847.
11. 10-Year CHD Risk Assessment Calculator: Accessed August 30, 2012 at <http://hp2010.nhlbihin.net/atp/iii/calculator.asp?usertype=prof>.
12. Koenig W, Twardella D, Brenner H, and Rothenbacher D: Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> predicts future cardiovascular events in patients with coronary heart disease independently of traditional risk factors, markers of inflammation, renal function, and hemodynamic stress. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2006;26:1586–1593.
13. Cahn PE, Gatell JM, Squires K, *et al.*: Atazanavir—a once-daily HIV protease inhibitor that does not cause dyslipidemia in newly treated patients: Results from two randomized clinical trials. *J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care (Chic Ill)* 2004;3:92–98.
14. Molina JM, Andrade-Villanueva J, Echevarria J, *et al.*: Once-daily atazanavir/ritonavir versus twice-daily lopinavir/ritonavir, each in combination with tenofovir and emtricitabine, for management of antiretroviral-naïve HIV-1-infected patients: 48-week efficacy and safety results of the CASTLE study. *Lancet* 2008;372:646–655.
15. Collot-Teixeira S, De Lorenzo F, Waters L, *et al.*: Impact of different low-dose ritonavir regimens on lipids, CD36, and adipophilin expression. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2009;85:375–378.
16. Yilmaz S, Boffito M, Collot-Teixeira S, *et al.*: Investigation of low-dose ritonavir on human peripheral blood mononuclear cells using gene expression whole genome microarrays. *Genomics* 2010;96:57–65.
17. Achenbach CJ, Scarsi KK, and Murphy RL: Abacavir/lamivudine fixed-dose combination antiretroviral therapy for the treatment of HIV. *Adv Ther* 2010;27:1–16.
18. Kessler HA, Johnson J, Follansbee S, *et al.*: Abacavir expanded access program for adult patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002;34:535–542.
19. Cruciani M, Zanichelli V, Serpelloni G, *et al.*: Abacavir use and cardiovascular disease events: A meta-analysis of published and unpublished data. *AIDS* 2011;25:1993–2004.
20. Brothers CH, Hernandez JE, Cutrell AG, *et al.*: Risk of myocardial infarction and abacavir therapy: No increased risk across 52 GlaxoSmithKline-sponsored clinical trials in adult subjects. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2009;51:d20–28.
21. Ribaldo HJ, Benson CA, Zheng Y, *et al.*: No risk of myocardial infarction associated with initial antiretroviral treatment containing abacavir: Short and long-term results from ACTG A5001/ALLRT. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011;52:929–940.
22. Patel P, Bush T, Overton T, *et al.*: Effect of abacavir on acute changes in biomarkers associated with cardiovascular dysfunction. *Antivir Ther* 2012;17:755–761.
23. The SMART/INSIGHT and the D:A:D Study Groups: Use of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected patients. *AIDS* 2008;22:F17–F24.
24. Sabin CA, Worm SW, Weber R, *et al.*: Use of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected patients enrolled in the D:A:D study: A multi-cohort collaboration. *Lancet* 2008;371:1417–1426.
25. Squires KE, Young B, DeJesus E, *et al.*: Safety and efficacy of a 36-week induction regimen of abacavir/lamivudine and ritonavir-boosted atazanavir in HIV-infected patients. *HIV Clin Trials* 2010;11:69–79.
26. Squires KE, Young B, DeJesus E, *et al.*: Similar efficacy and tolerability of atazanavir compared with atazanavir/ritonavir, each with abacavir/lamivudine after initial suppression with abacavir/lamivudine plus ritonavir-boosted atazanavir in HIV-infected patients. *AIDS* 2010;24:2019–2027.
27. Squires KE, Young B, DeJesus E, *et al.*: ARIES 144-week results: Durable virologic suppression in HIV-infected patients simplified to unboosted atazanavir/abacavir/lamivudine. *HIV Clin Trials* 2012;13:233–244.
28. Hoang KC, Ghandehari H, Lopez VA, Barboza MG, and Wong ND: Global coronary heart disease risk assessment of individuals with the metabolic syndrome in the U.S. *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:1405–1409.
29. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III): Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III): Final report. *Circulation* 2002;106:3143–3421.
30. Saumoy M, Ordoñez-Llanos J, Martínez E, *et al.*: Low-density lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> in HIV-infected patients switching to abacavir or tenofovir. *Antivir Ther* 2011;16:459–468.
31. Martin A, Bloch M, Amin J, *et al.*: Simplification of antiretroviral therapy with tenofovir-emtricitabine or abacavir-lamivudine: A randomized, 96-week trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;49:1591–1601.
32. Albert MA, Glynn RJ, and Ridker PM: Plasma concentration of C-reactive protein and the calculated Framingham coronary heart disease risk score. *Circulation* 2003;108:161–165.
33. Kristoffersen US, Kofoed K, Kronborg G, *et al.*: Changes in biomarkers of cardiovascular risk after a switch to abacavir in HIV-1-infected individuals receiving combination antiretroviral therapy. *HIV Med* 2009;10:627–633.
34. Rasmussen TA, Tolstrup M, Melchjorsen J, *et al.*: Evaluation of cardiovascular biomarkers in HIV-infected patients switching to abacavir or tenofovir based therapy. *BMC Infect Dis* 2011;11:1–10.
35. Martinez E, Larrousse M, Podzamczar D, *et al.*: Abacavir-based therapy does not affect biological mechanisms associated with cardiovascular dysfunction. *AIDS* 2010;24:F1–F9.
36. Tien PC, Choi AI, Zolopa AR, *et al.*: Inflammation and mortality in HIV-infected adults: Analysis of the FRAM Study Cohort. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2010;55:316–322.
37. McCormack JP and Allan GM: Measuring hsCRP—an important part of a comprehensive risk profile or a clinically redundant practice? *Plos Med* 2010;7(2, e1000196):1–5.
38. Packard CJ, O'Reilly DSJ, Caslake MJ, *et al.*: Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> as an independent predictor of coronary heart disease. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:1148–1155.

39. Karakas M and Koenig W: Lp-PLA2 inhibition—the atherosclerosis panacea? *Pharmaceuticals* 2010;3:1360–1373.
40. Malan DR, Krantz E, David N, Wirtz V, Hammond J, and McGrath D: Efficacy and safety of atazanavir, with or without ritonavir, as part of once-daily highly active antiretroviral therapy regimens in antiretroviral-naive patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2008;47:161–167.
41. Ghosn J, Carosi G, Moreno S, *et al.*: Unboosted atazanavir-based therapy maintains control of HIV type-1 replication as effectively as a ritonavir-boosted regimen. *Antivir Ther* 2010;15:993–1002.
42. Aberg JA, Tebas P, Overton ET, *et al.*: Metabolic effects of darunavir/ritonavir versus atazanavir/ritonavir in treatment-naïve, HIV type 1-infected subjects over 48 weeks. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2012;28:1184–1195.
43. Soriano V, García-Gasco P, Vispo E, *et al.*: Efficacy and safety of replacing lopinavir with atazanavir in HIV-infected patients with undetectable plasma viraemia: Final results of the SLOAT trial. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008;61:200–205.
44. Gatell J, Salmon-Ceron D, Lazzarin A, *et al.*: Efficacy and safety of atazanavir-based highly active antiretroviral therapy in patients with virologic suppression switched from a stable, boosted or unboosted protease inhibitor treatment regimen: The SWAN Study (AI424-097) 48-week results. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;44:1484–1492.
45. Malvestutto CD and Aberg JA: Management of dyslipidemia in HIV-infected patients. *Clin Lipidol* 2011;6:447–462.
46. Costagliola D, Lang S, Mary-Krauss M, and Boccard F: Abacavir and cardiovascular risk: Reviewing the evidence. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep* 2010;7:127–133.
47. Fichtenbaum CJ: Inflammatory markers associated with coronary heart disease in persons with HIV infection. *Curr Infect Dis Rep* 2011;13:94–101.

Address correspondence to:

*Benjamin Young  
Apex Family Medicine and Research  
300 South Jackson Street, Suite 230  
Denver, Colorado 80209*

*E-mail: byoung@apexresearchllc.org*