

## Thomas Jefferson University Jefferson Digital Commons

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty Papers

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery

10-1-2012

# Low rate of infection control in enterococcal periprosthetic joint infections.

Mohammad R Rasouli

Mohan S Tripathi

Robert Kenyon

Nathan Wetters

Craig J Della Valle

See next page for additional authors

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: http://jdc.jefferson.edu/orthofp

Part of the <u>Orthopedics Commons</u>

#### **Recommended** Citation

Rasouli, Mohammad R; Tripathi, Mohan S; Kenyon, Robert; Wetters, Nathan; Della Valle, Craig J; and Parvizi, Javad, "Low rate of infection control in enterococcal periprosthetic joint infections." (2012). *Department of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty Papers*. Paper 65. http://jdc.jefferson.edu/orthofp/65

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

#### Authors

Mohammad R Rasouli, Mohan S Tripathi, Robert Kenyon, Nathan Wetters, Craig J Della Valle, and Javad Parvizi

1	Low Rate of Infection Control in Enterococcal Periprosthetic
2	Joint Infections
3	

4 Abstract

5

6 Background

7 Enterococcal periprosthetic joint infections (PJIs) are rare after joint arthroplasty. These cases

8 are usually reported in series of PJIs caused by other pathogens. Because few studies have

9 focused only on enterococcal PJIs, management and control of infection of these cases have not

10 yet been well defined.

11 *Questions/Purposes* 

12 We asked (1) what is the proportion of enterococcal PJI in our institutes; and (2) what is the rate

13 of infection control in these cases?

14 Methods

15 We respectively identified 22 and 14 joints with monomicrobial and polymicrobial PJI,

16 respectively, caused by enterococcus. The diagnosis of PJI was made based on the presence of

17 sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of one

18 positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology. We determined the proportion of

19 enterococcal PJI and management and control of infection in these cases. Minimum follow-up

20 was 1.5 years (mean, 3.2 years).

21 *Results* 

The proportion of monomicrobial enterococcal PJI was 2.3% (22 of 955 cases of PJI). Mean number of surgeries was two (range, 1–4). Initial irrigation and de bridement was performed in 10 joints and eight patients needed reoperation. Seven of the 16 joints were initially managed using two-stage exchange arthroplasty and did not need further operation. Six patients had a definitive resection arthroplasty. Salvage surgeries (fusion and above-knee amputation) were performed in three cases (8%). The infection was ultimately controlled in 32 of the 36 patients. 28 Conclusions

Management of enterococcal PJI is challenging and multiple operations may need to beperformed to control the infection.

31 *Level of Evidence* 

Level IV, therapeutic study. See Guidelines for Authors for a complete description of levels ofevidence.

34

### 35 Introduction

36 Periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) is one of the most challenging complications of joint 37 arthroplasty [17]. PJI with an incidence of 1% to 4% after primary TKA [2, 15, 16] and 1% to 2% after primary THA[10, 11] is one of the main causes of failure after joint arthroplasty [1, 4]. 38 39 Given the increasing number of primary joint arthroplasties being performed annually, an 40 increasing number of joint arthroplasties complicated by PJI has been reported [5, 7, 19]. 41 Although in patients with PJI the most frequently cultured microorganisms are coagulase-42 negative Staphylococci and Staphylococcus aureus, which are seen in 30% to 43% and 12% to 43 23% of cases, respectively [21], it is estimated that Enterococcus species are responsible for 44 approximately 3% of all PJIs [3]. Enterococcus is a Gram-positive, facultatively anaerobic 45 organism, which used to classify as Group D Streptococcus. Enterococcus species cause various 46 types of infections, mainly nosocomial infection, endocarditis, urinary tract infection as well as 47 intraabdominal and pelvic infections [8]. Although it is not a common pathogen for orthopaedic infections, there is a growing number of reports showing an increase in frequency of orthopaedic 48 49 infection caused by Enterococcus [14, 18]. Probably as a result of the low frequency of 50 enterococcal PJIs, these cases are usually reported in series of PJIs caused by other pathogens

<ul> <li>study was performed by EJ Helou et al. [3] in which 50 episodes of enterococcal PJI were</li> <li>evaluated. They found no difference between outcome of patients with enterococcal PJIs</li> <li>receiving combination therapy and those receiving monotherapy [3]. They also estimated</li> <li>survival free of treatment failure for various surgical approaches in the studied patients at 2 and 5</li> <li>years [3]. In the second study, management and control of infection in two vancomycin-resistant</li> <li>enterococcal PJIs were reported [18]. Studied patients had a complex course; one needed joint</li> <li>fusion and the other underwent resection arthroplasty. Despite these two studies, frequency,</li> <li>management, and control of infection in patients with enterococcal PJI have not yet been well</li> <li>known.</li> <li>In the present study, we therefore asked (1) what is the proportion of enterococcal PJI in</li> <li>our institutions; and (2) what is the rate of infection control in these cases?</li> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	51	[3]. Two studies [3, 18] focused on the treatment of patients with enterococcal PJI. The first
<ul> <li>receiving combination therapy and those receiving monotherapy [3]. They also estimated</li> <li>survival free of treatment failure for various surgical approaches in the studied patients at 2 and 5</li> <li>years [3]. In the second study, management and control of infection in two vancomycin-resistant</li> <li>enterococcal PJIs were reported [18]. Studied patients had a complex course; one needed joint</li> <li>fusion and the other underwent resection arthroplasty. Despite these two studies, frequency,</li> <li>management, and control of infection in patients with enterococcal PJI have not yet been well</li> <li>known.</li> <li>In the present study, we therefore asked (1) what is the proportion of enterococcal PJI in</li> <li>our institutions; and (2) what is the rate of infection control in these cases?</li> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	52	study was performed by El Helou et al. [3] in which 50 episodes of enterococcal PJI were
<ul> <li>survival free of treatment failure for various surgical approaches in the studied patients at 2 and 5</li> <li>years [3]. In the second study, management and control of infection in two vancomycin-resistant</li> <li>enterococcal PJIs were reported [18]. Studied patients had a complex course; one needed joint</li> <li>fusion and the other underwent resection arthroplasty. Despite these two studies, frequency,</li> <li>management, and control of infection in patients with enterococcal PJI have not yet been well</li> <li>known.</li> <li>In the present study, we therefore asked (1) what is the proportion of enterococcal PJI in</li> <li>our institutions; and (2) what is the rate of infection control in these cases?</li> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	53	evaluated. They found no difference between outcome of patients with enterococcal PJIs
<ul> <li>years [3]. In the second study, management and control of infection in two vancomycin-resistant</li> <li>enterococcal PJIs were reported [18]. Studied patients had a complex course; one needed joint</li> <li>fusion and the other underwent resection arthroplasty. Despite these two studies, frequency,</li> <li>management, and control of infection in patients with enterococcal PJI have not yet been well</li> <li>known.</li> <li>In the present study, we therefore asked (1) what is the proportion of enterococcal PJI in</li> <li>our institutions; and (2) what is the rate of infection control in these cases?</li> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	54	receiving combination therapy and those receiving monotherapy [3]. They also estimated
<ul> <li>enterococcal PJIs were reported [18]. Studied patients had a complex course; one needed joint</li> <li>fusion and the other underwent resection arthroplasty. Despite these two studies, frequency,</li> <li>management, and control of infection in patients with enterococcal PJI have not yet been well</li> <li>known.</li> <li>In the present study, we therefore asked (1) what is the proportion of enterococcal PJI in</li> <li>our institutions; and (2) what is the rate of infection control in these cases?</li> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	55	survival free of treatment failure for various surgical approaches in the studied patients at 2 and 5
<ul> <li>fusion and the other underwent resection arthroplasty. Despite these two studies, frequency,</li> <li>management, and control of infection in patients with enterococcal PJI have not yet been well</li> <li>known.</li> <li>In the present study, we therefore asked (1) what is the proportion of enterococcal PJI in</li> <li>our institutions; and (2) what is the rate of infection control in these cases?</li> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	56	years [3]. In the second study, management and control of infection in two vancomycin-resistant
<ul> <li>management, and control of infection in patients with enterococcal PJI have not yet been well known.</li> <li>In the present study, we therefore asked (1) what is the proportion of enterococcal PJI in our institutions; and (2) what is the rate of infection control in these cases?</li> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from 2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	57	enterococcal PJIs were reported [18]. Studied patients had a complex course; one needed joint
<ul> <li>known.</li> <li>In the present study, we therefore asked (1) what is the proportion of enterococcal PJI in</li> <li>our institutions; and (2) what is the rate of infection control in these cases?</li> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	58	fusion and the other underwent resection arthroplasty. Despite these two studies, frequency,
<ul> <li>In the present study, we therefore asked (1) what is the proportion of enterococcal PJI in</li> <li>our institutions; and (2) what is the rate of infection control in these cases?</li> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	59	management, and control of infection in patients with enterococcal PJI have not yet been well
<ul> <li>our institutions; and (2) what is the rate of infection control in these cases?</li> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	60	known.
<ul> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	61	In the present study, we therefore asked (1) what is the proportion of enterococcal PJI in
<ul> <li>Patients and Methods</li> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	62	our institutions; and (2) what is the rate of infection control in these cases?
<ul> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	63	
<ul> <li>We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to</li> <li>identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from</li> <li>2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the</li> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>		Patients and Methods
68 2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the 69 Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence 70 of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of 71 one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation 72 rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with		We retrospectively reviewed the electronic infection databases of two institutes to
<ul> <li>Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence</li> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	67	identify patients with a diagnosis of enterococcal PJI. The study covered the time period from
<ul> <li>of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of</li> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	68	2000 to 2010. PJI diagnosis was considered based on the new definition of PJI provided by the
<ul> <li>one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation</li> <li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li> </ul>	69	Musculoskeletal Infection Society [13]. Briefly, a diagnosis of PJI was made based on presence
<ul><li>rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with</li></ul>	70	of sinus tract or two positive intraoperative cultures. PJI was also considered in the presence of
	71	one positive intraoperative culture and abnormal serology including erythrocyte sedimentation
suspicious PJI undergoing surgery in our institutes. During the study period we identified 955	72	rate and C-reactive protein. Usually three to five intraoperative samples are taken in patients with
	73	suspicious PJI undergoing surgery in our institutes. During the study period we identified 955
74 joints with PJI. For this study we included patients who met the definition for PJI and had at least		

one positive intraoperative culture for any species of Enterococcus isolated either from solid
medium or broth. Patients with positive culture for methicillin-resistant S aureus (MRSA) were
excluded. According to the definition, we identified 36 total joint arthroplasties (19 hips and 17
knees) with a diagnosis of PJI from two institutions. Enterococcus had been isolated at least from
one culture in all patients. In 22 of the 36 cases, Enterococcus was the only isolated
microorganism. Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE) was found in 12 cases (33%) (Table
1).

The cohort consisted of 22 females and mean age of the studied patients at the time of first revision surgery was 66.1 years (range, 34–85 years). The minimum followup was 1.5 years (mean, 3.2 years; range, 1.5–10.5 years). No patients were lost to followup. No patients were recalled specifically for this study; all data were obtained from medical records. Institutional Review Boards of both institutes approved the protocol for this study.

Initial irrigation and de bridement was performed in 11 joints, one-stage revision in six joints, and two-stage exchange arthroplasty in 16 joints. Two more joints were scheduled to undergo two-stage exchange arthroplasty; however, at the time of the study, the reimplantation stage was not performed. Definitive resection arthroplasty was performed in one patient as the initial treatment.

In all patients, appropriate intravenous antibiotics were administered based on sensitivity test results for 4 to 6 weeks. Ampicillin, gentamicin, and vancomycin were antibiotics prescribed for non-VRE PJIs. Linezolid and daptomycin were administered for management of cases with VRE. In some patients we did not have details on chronic antibiotic suppression therapy and local antibiotic administration (Table 2).

97 Patients were followed at 4 to 6 weeks, 6 months, and 1 year. AP and lateral radiographs
98 of the joint were routinely obtained at each followup session. Patients were followed up by
99 serology and if necessary aspiration and bone scan performed.

100

- 101
- 102 **Results**

Of 955 joints with PJI, 36 patients had at least one culture with isolated Enterococcus
spp. In 22 patients, the PJI was monomicrobial. The overall proportion of monomicrobial
enterococcal PJI in the studied cohort was 2.3% (22 patients of 955 joints with PJI in both
institutions).

107 The mean number of operations for management of PJI without considering number of 108 operations performed for management of wound problems was 1.6 (range, 1-4). Irrigation and 109 de bridement was performed in 11 patients as the initial treatment; however, eight of these 11 110 patients needed reoperation to control the infection. In the six patients in whom a one-stage 111 revision was the initial treatment, the components were still in place at latest followup but one 112 patient needed later irrigation and de bridement. Seven of the 16 patients initially managed using 113 two-stage exchange arthroplasty did not need further surgery to control the infection. Two more 114 patients were scheduled for two-stage exchange arthroplasty in that the second stage 115 (reimplantation) was not performed during the study period. One of these patients needed spacer 116 exchange. Definitive resection arthroplasty was performed as the initial management in one joint 117 because the patient was not considered to be an appropriate candidate for twostage exchange. 118 Five more patients ultimately needed definitive resection arthroplasty because the initial 119 treatment failed to control the infection. Three patients had salvage surgery after failure of the 120 initial treatment, including one fusion and two above-knee amputations (Table 2). Three patients

121	(8%) had died at the time of latest followup. Mean time from first revision to death was 4.4 years
122	(range, 1.9–6.2 years). In one patient, Clostridium difficile colitis developed as a result of
123	antibiotic therapy. One patient developed Stevens-Johnson syndrome resulting from chronic
124	antibiotic suppression therapy.
125	
126	Discussion
127 128	PJI is one of the major causes of failure after joint arthroplasty [17]. Although S aureus
129	and oagulasenegative Staphylococci are traditionally the main pathogens for PJI, there are other
130	microorganisms that can result in PJI. Enterococcus species are among the infrequent pathogens
131	that are known to result in orthopaedic infections including PJI. Enterococcus is a Gram-positive,
132	facultatively anaerobic organism, which used to be classified as Group D Streptococcus.
133	Enterococcus causes various types of infections, mainly nosocomial infection, endocarditis,
134	urinary tract infection as well as intraabdominal and pelvic infections [8]. As mentioned earlier,
135	there are only two studies that merely focused on management and control of infection in
136	patients with enterococcal PJI [3, 18] and frequency, management, and control of this type of PJI
137	has not yet been well known in these patients. Our experience suggested that patients with
138	enterococcal PJI were challenging to manage and the rate of infection control was lower in
139	patients infected with nonenterococcal infections compared with other infections. This study
140	aimed to determine the proportion of enterococcal PJI and to assess management and control of
141	this type of PJI in two referral institutes.
142	Before discussing our results, it should be stated that this study has a few limitations.
143	First, we encountered missing data on chronicity of PJIs (acute versus chronic), symptoms of
144	patients at the time of first presentation, and antibiotic suppression therapy. Second, given the

145 complex course of these patients and lack of a universally accepted definition for success of 146 surgical treatment of PJI, we did not perform survival analysis in our cohort. The majority of the 147 patients in this study had multiple surgeries and depending on the definition, survival free of 148 failure was low in our study and much lower than the cohort reported by El Helou et al. [3]. In 149 the majority of patients in our cohort, PJI was ultimately controlled but at the expense of 150 performing a considerable number of resection arthroplasties and salvage surgeries with or 151 without chronic suppression antibiotic therapy that cannot be considered a favorable outcome. 152 The overall proportion of monomicrobial enterococcal PJIs in our cohort was in 153 agreement with the reported incidence for enterococcal PJIs in the literature, which varies from 154 2.5% to 3% [3]. To be able to compare the proportion of enterococcal PJI with a previous study 155 [3], we considered only monomicrobial enterococcal PJIs to calculate the proportion of 156 enterococcal PJI in our institutions. However, we included polymicrobial PJIs in the final report 157 on management and control of infection in these patients. We believe excluding patients with 158 polymicrobial infections gives the opportunity for more accurate evaluation of enterococcal PJIs; 159 it results in missing some polymicrobial PJIs in which Enterococcus is the major isolated 160 pathogen. Moreover, it seems a considerable number of enterococcal PJIs are indeed 161 polymicrobial PJIs [17].

162 There are a number of treatment options available for patients with PJI in general that 163 also apply to patients with enterococcal infections. These include a combination of medical 164 (antibiotic therapy) and surgical treatments [12]. Regarding the antibiotic therapy, intravenous 165 ampicillin, gentamicin, or vancomycin is sufficient for non-VRE species [8]. However, medical 166 management of infected cases with VRE is more challenging and needs to be treated by either 167 linezolid or daptomycin [20]. Irrigation and de bridement, one-stage exchange arthroplasty, two-

168 stage exchange arthroplasty, and salvage surgeries (fusion and amputation) are available surgical 169 options for management of PJI. Among these options, two-stage exchange arthroplasty is the 170 preferred method of treatment of patients with chronic PJI in North America [12]. The exact 171 success rate of these interventions is not well known and varies widely depending on what is 172 considered a success. One study from the Mayo Clinic evaluated the outcome of treatment for 50 173 episodes of enterococcal PJI in 47 patients [3]. Among them, two-stage exchange arthroplasty 174 was performed in 17 (34%) and irrigation and de bridement with retention of prosthesis in five 175 patients (10%). There was a relatively large cohort of patients (23 patients [46%]) who 176 underwent resection arthroplasty without reimplantation. One patient in that series required 177 amputation. They estimated 2-year survival free of treatment failure as 94% for patients treated 178 with two-stage exchange arthroplasty, 76% for patients treated with resection arthroplasty, and 179 80% for patients treated with de bridement and retention of the components. In another study, 180 management and control of infection in two PJIs after TKA were reported [18]. The outcome in 181 this small case series was poor because one patient needed resection arthroplasty and the other 182 underwent fusion to control the infection.

183 There are a number of reasons that may explain the results of our study that is less 184 optimal than a previous report by El Helou et al. [3]. We included patients with polymicrobial 185 PJI, which may have confounded the outcome because some of these patients could have had 186 sinus tract [6] and soft tissue defects, compromising outcome [21]. To minimize the effect of 187 confounders, we excluded all patients in whom MRSA had been isolated at the same time 188 because control of infection in patients with MRSA PJI is so challenging [9]. The other reason 189 for higher failure is that fewer patients in our cohort underwent resection arthroplasty as the 190 initial treatment. Nearly half of the patients in the Mayo Clinic series had resection arthroplasty

as their original operation [3]. The latter indicates those investigators had a higher threshold and
were more selective in subjecting patients to two-stage exchange arthroplasty. Another
explanation for our low rate of infection control may be the high percent of VRE-positive cases
(33%) in our series because control of infection in these cases reportedly is so difficult [18].
Finally, the difference in the medical management between our institutions and the Mayo Clinic
[3] may have also influenced the outcome.

Surprisingly, the six patients in our series who underwent one-stage exchange all had the prostheses in place at the last followup with infection well controlled. We assume that these patients had acute PJI with a short interval from development of PJI and performing the surgery, although we do not have accurate data in this regard to confirm our hypothesis.

Our data suggest that although enterococcal PJI is not frequent, management of these patients is challenging. These patients usually require multiple operations to control their infection and a considerable number of cases will ultimately undergo salvage surgery or resection arthroplasty. Performing more studies to determine the incidence of enterococcal PJI and to determine best management and control of infection in these patients is recommended. The current study highlights the shortcomings of the current treatment strategy and the desperate need for future developments.

208

209

210 211

212

213 214

215

216

217

218 **References** 

219		
220	1.	Callaghan JJ, O'Rourke MR, Saleh KJ. Why knees fail: lessons learned. J Arthroplasty.
221		2004;19:31–34.
222	2.	Della Valle CJ, Zuckerman JD, Di Cesare PE. Periprosthetic sepsis. Clin Orthop Relat
223		<i>Res</i> . 2004;420:26–31.
224	3.	El Helou OC, Berbari EF, Marculescu CE, El Atrouni WI, Razonable RR, Steckelberg
225		JM, Hanssen AD, Osmon DR. Outcome of enterococcal prosthetic joint infection: is
226		combination systemic therapy superior to monotherapy? Clin Infect Dis. 2008;47:903-
227		909.
228	4.	Jafari SM, Coyle C, Mortazavi SM, Sharkey PF, Parvizi J. Revision hip arthroplasty:
229		infection is the most common cause of failure. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2010;468:2046-
230		2051.
231	5.	Kurtz SM, Lau E, Schmier J, Ong KL, Zhao K, Parvizi J. Infection burden for hip and
232		knee arthroplasty in the United States. J Arthroplasty. 2008;23:984–991.
233	6.	Marculescu CE, Cantey JR. Polymicrobial prosthetic joint infections: risk factors and
234		outcome. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2008;466:1397-1404.
235	7.	Moyad TF, Thornhill T, Estok D. Evaluation and management of the infected total hip
236		and knee. Orthopedics. 2008;31:581–588; quiz 589–590.
237	8.	Murray BE. The life and times of the Enterococcus. <i>Clin Microbiol Rev.</i> 1990;3:46–65.
238	9.	Parvizi J, Azzam K, Ghanem E, Austin MS, Rothman RH. Periprosthetic infection due to
239		resistant staphylococci: serious problems on the horizon. <i>Clin Orthop Relat Res.</i>
240		2009;467:1732–1739.
241	10	. Parvizi J, Ghanem E, Azzam K, Davis E, Jaberi F, Hozack W. Periprosthetic infection:
242		are current treatment strategies adequate? Acta Orthop Belg. 2008;74:793-800.
243	11	. Parvizi J, Jacovides C, Zmistowski B, Jung KA. Definition of periprosthetic joint
244		infection: is there a consensus? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2011;469:3022-3030.
245	12	. Parvizi J, Zmistowski B, Adeli B. Periprosthetic joint infection: treatment options.
246		Orthopedics. 2010;33:659.
247	13	. Parvizi J, Zmistowski B, Berbari EF, Bauer TW, Springer BD, Della Valle CJ, Garvin
248		KL, Mont MA, Wongworawat MD, Zalavras CG. New definition for periprosthetic joint
249		infection: from the Workgroup of the Musculoskeletal Infection Society. <i>Clin Orthop</i>
250		Relat Res. 2011;469:2992–2994.
251	14	. Pasticci MB, Baldelli F, Malincarne L, Mancini GB, Marroni M, Morosi S, Stagni G.
252		Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium osteoarthritis following Staphylococcus
253		aureus hip infection. Orthopedics. 2005;28:1457–1458.
254	15	Peersman G, Laskin R, Davis J, Peterson M. Infection in total knee replacement: a
255		retrospective review of 6489 total knee replacements. Clin Orthop Relat Res.
256		2001;392:15–23.
257	16	. Phillips JE, Crane TP, Noy M, Elliott TS, Grimer RJ. The incidence of deep prosthetic
258		infections in a specialist orthopaedic hospital: a 15-year prospective survey. JBone Joint
259		Surg Br. 2006;88:943–948.
260	17.	. Pulido L, Ghanem E, Joshi A, Purtill JJ, Parvizi J. Periprosthetic joint infection: the
261		incidence, timing, and predisposing factors. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2008;466:1710-1715.
262	18	. Ries MD. Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus infected total knee arthroplasty. J
263		Arthroplasty. 2001;16:802–805.

- 19. Spangehl MJ, Masri BA, O'Connell JX, Duncan CP. Prospective analysis of preoperative and intraoperative investigations for the diagnosis of infection at the sites of two hundred and two revision total hip arthroplasties. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1999;81:672–683.
- 267 20. Twilla JD, Finch CK, Usery JB, Gelfand MS, Hudson JQ, Broyles JE. Vancomycin 268 resistant Enterococcus bacteremia: an evaluation of treatment with linezolid or
   269 daptomycin. J Hosp Med. 2012;7:243–248.
- 270 21. Zimmerli W, Trampuz A, Ochsner PE. Prosthetic-joint infections. *N Engl J Med*.
   271 2004;351:1645–1654.