



Thomas Jefferson University
Jefferson Digital Commons

Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous
Biology Faculty Papers

Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous
Biology

9-1-2012

Dermatofibroma: a curious tumor.

Lawrence Parish

Thomas Jefferson University, larryderm@yahoo.com

Shideh Yazdanian

Imam University Hospital Complex, Tehran University of Medical Sciences

W Clark Lambert

UMDNJ-New Jersey Medical School

Peter C Lambert

St. Georges University School of Medicine, St. Georges, Grenada, W.I.

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: <http://jdc.jefferson.edu/dcbfp>

 Part of the [Dermatology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Parish, Lawrence; Yazdanian, Shideh; Lambert, W Clark; and Lambert, Peter C, "Dermatofibroma: a curious tumor." (2012). *Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Biology Faculty Papers*. Paper 26. <http://jdc.jefferson.edu/dcbfp/26>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Biology Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Editorial

As submitted to:

Skinmed

And later published as:

Dermatofibroma: A curious tumor

Volume 10, Issue 5, p. 268-70

Sept-Oct 2012

PMID: [23163067](#)

Lawrence Charles Parish, MD, MD (Hon).

Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Biology,

Jefferson Center for International Dermatology,

Jefferson Medical College of Thomas Jefferson University,

Philadelphia, PA

Shideh Yazdanian, MD.

Department of Dermatology, Imam University Hospital Complex,

Tehran University of Medical Sciences,

Tehran, Iran

W. Clark Lambert, MD, PhD.

Departments of Pathology and Dermatology, UMDNJ-New Jersey

Medical School,

Newark, NJ

Peter C. Lambert, BA, MA

St. Georges University School of Medicine,

St. Georges, Grenada, W.I.

A tumor, such as a dermatofibroma, causes consternation among many patients, but it rarely creates problems on its own. Also called a histiocytoma, it remains one of the most common mesenchymal growths. Its etiology is unknown with the previous theory that it is a dermal response to injury, such as an insect bite, being challenged. As much as patients like to blame spiders or other arthropods for traumatizing an arm or leg, no definitive explanation is available for its etiology.

This lesion seems more likely to be a neoplastic process due to the persistent nature of the lesion, the frequency of local recurrence of some variants, and the clonal proliferative growth suggested by several cytogenetic studies (1, 2). Clonality, by itself, is not necessarily synonymous with a neoplastic process, as has been demonstrated in several inflammatory conditions, including atopic dermatitis, psoriasis, and lichen sclerosis (2).

A dermatofibroma represents a benign dermal and often superficial subcutaneous proliferation of oval cells, appearing as histiocytes and spindle-shaped cells resembling fibroblasts and myofibroblasts (3). It occurs four times more often in women and is found in patients of any age, while nearly 80% of the patients are between the ages of 20 and 49 years (4).

CLINICAL FINDINGS

A dermatofibroma typically arises slowly and as a solitary, 0.5- to 1-cm nodule, frequently yellowish brown, and slightly scaly. Fig 1 It is most often found on an extremity, particularly the leg; however, the palm, sole, fingers, genitalia, head and neck are not exempt. Its color may range from pink to red or even to tan. Variations include a diameter, larger than 5 cm (5). It is usually a solitary lesion, but several dermatofibromas may also be present, only rarely multiple (i.e., 15 or more) tumors, most frequently in the setting of an autoimmune disease or altered immunity.

The diagnosis is easily made on inspection, with palpation revealing a hard nodule. If the overlying epidermis is squeezed, the "dimple sign" will be seen due to tethering of the overlying epidermis to the

underlying lesion further confirming the diagnosis. This has also been called the Fitzpatrick sign and may also be elicited by placing an ice cube over the lesion. (6)

A dermatofibroma is usually asymptomatic, but itching and pain are occasionally noted. Significant trauma may cause ulceration.

The differential diagnosis is extensive but some lesions that might offer confusion, due to similarity of appearance and consistency, are listed in Table 1. A tumor that might warrant excision to prove its benign nature is a juvenile xanthogranuloma, where feeling the firm consistency will discount this lesion. Palpation of one or more hard nodules especially on the trunk may raise the suspicion of dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans, which can be differentiated by histopathology and immunohistochemical testing. Traumatizing the lesion with subsequent erosion and ulceration may lead to the misdiagnosis of squamous cell carcinoma or even atypical fibroxanthoma.

Table 1. Differential diagnosis of dermatofibroma.

Differential Diagnosis of Dermatofibroma
Melanocytic nevus
Blue nevus
Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans
Juvenile xanthogranuloma
Keloid and hypertrophic scar
Keratoacanthoma
Leiomyoma
Malignant melanoma
Mastocytosis
Metastatic carcinoma of the skin
Neurilemoma
Pilomatrixoma
Prurigo nodularis
Spitz nevus
Squamous cell carcinoma
Atypical fibroxanthoma

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of a dermatofibroma is predominantly clinical. Dermatoscopy may be useful, when revealing the most common pattern of a peripheral pigment network, along with a central white area (7). In the case of any diagnostic uncertainty, an excisional biopsy with removal of the subcutaneous fat would be indicated.

Histopathologic examination will reveal epidermal hyperplasia and hyperpigmentation of the basal cell layer ("dirty fingernail" sign). The bulk of the tumor is within the mid-portion of the dermis without any

capsule formation. (Figs 2 and 3)Whorling fascicles of spindle cell proliferation with excessive collagen deposition are characteristic. Some histologic variants of dermatofibroma have been described, as seen in Table 2; however, the clinical picture is generally within the characteristic limits. Results from immunohistochemical testing with antibodies to factor XIIIa are frequently positive in dermatofibroma.

Table 2. Histologic variants of dermatofibroma.

Histologic Variants of Dermatofibroma
Cellular (8)
Aneurysmal(9)
Atypical (dermatofibroma with monster cells)(10)
Epithelioid(11)
Atrophic(12)
Polypoid(13)
Dermatofibroma with spreading satellitosis (14)
Deep (subcutaneous) dermatofibroma

Treatment

A dermatofibroma is considered a benign lesion with a good prognosis. Although unusually rapid growth may occur, most dermatofibromas remain static for many years. Spontaneous regression has been reported which may result in post inflammatory hypopigmentation. Aggressive subtypes (cellular, aneurysmal, atypical, and deep/subcutaneous) locally recur in up to 20% of the patients and rarely metastasize. Although these variants are definitely diagnosed histopathologically, some clinical findings may raise suspicion. For example, an abnormally large size of the lesion, especially measuring more than 5cm and rapid growth, may be indicative of a cellular or aneurysmal dermatofibroma. An unusual appearance mimicking a vascular tumor can be a leading sign to an aneurysmal subtype.

No treatment is usually necessary for dermatofibromas. The scar resulting from excision is sometimes more noticeable than the original lesion especially on the leg, so simple reassurance that the lesion is benign may be indicated. Complete excision, including the subcutaneous fat, is ideal for symptomatic ones, where a cosmetically unacceptable lesion may be the end result of intervention done at the request of the patient. Superficially shaving of the lesion or cryosurgery can be attempted; however, recurrences are more likely, and the cosmetic results are not necessarily better. Intralesional steroid injections have been used with variable results. Carbon dioxide laser surgery for multiple facial

dermatofibromas has also been utilized (15), while an effective and safe therapeutic option could be use of the pulsed dye laser (PDL) (16).

Conclusions

A dermatofibroma remains slightly more than a cosmetic nuisance. If it looks within normal limits, excision just for cosmetic purposes is not recommended; however, if any uncertainty exists about an unusual appearance, an abnormally large size, any irregularity, or unusual location, excision should be considered. In these circumstances, the lesion might be better being studied by the dermatopathologist, instead of remaining on the patient's body.

References:

1. Zelger BG, Zelger B. Dermatofibroma (fibrous histiocytoma): an inflammatory or neoplastic disorder? *Histopathology* 2001; 38; 379-381.
2. Chen TC, Kuo T, Chan HL. Dermatofibroma is a clonal proliferative disease. *J Cutan Pathol.*2000; 27:36-9.
3. Calonje E, Fletcher CDM. Cutaneous fibrohistiocytic tumors: an update. *Adv Anat Pathol.* 1994; 1:2-15.
4. Han TY, Chang HS, Lee JH, Lee WM, Son SJ. A clinical and histopathological study of 122 cases of dermatofibroma (benign fibrous histiocytoma). *Ann Dermatol.* 2011;23:185-92.
5. Pusztaszeri M, Jaquet PY, Williamson C. Giant hemosiderotic dermatofibroma: a case report and review of the literature. *Case Rep Dermatol.* 2011 18; 3:32-6.
6. Patel LM, Lambert PJ, Gagna CE, Maghari A, Lambert WC: Cutaneous signs of systemic disease. *Clin Dermatol.* 2011;29:511-22
7. Zaballos P, Puig S, Llambrich A, Malvehy J. Dermoscopy of dermatofibromas: a prospective morphological study of 412 cases. *Arch Dermatol.* 2008; 144:75-83.
8. De Hertogh G, Bergmans G, Molderez C, Sciote R. Cutaneous cellular fibrous histiocytoma metastasizing to the lungs. *Histopathology.* 2002; 41:85–86.
9. Calonje E, Fletcher CDM. Aneurysmal benign cutaneous fibrous histiocytoma: clinicopathologic analysis of a tumor frequently misdiagnosed as avascular lesion. *Histopathology.*1995; 26: 323-31.
10. Kaddu S, McMenamin M, Fletcher CD. Atypical fibrous histiocytoma of the skin: clinicopathologic analysis of 59 cases with evidence of infrequent metastasis. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2002; 26:35-46.

11. Glusac EJ, Barr RJ, Everett MA, Pitha J, Santa Cruz DJ. Epithelioid cell histiocytoma: a report of 10 cases including a new cellular variant. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1994; 18:583-90.
12. Ohnishi T, Sasaki M, Nakai K, Watanabe S. Atrophic dermatofibroma. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2004; 18:580-3.
13. Kai H, Fujita H, Yamamoto M, Asahina A. Letter: Polypoid dermatofibroma with a slim pedicle: A case report. *Dermatol Online J.* 2012; 18:16.
14. Curco N, Jucgla A, Bordas X, Moreno A. Dermatofibroma with spreading satellitosis. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1992;27:1017-9.
15. Krupa Shankar DS, Kushalappa AA, Suma KS, Pai SA. Multiple dermatofibromas on face treated with carbon dioxide laser. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol.* 2007; 73:194-5.
16. Alonso-Castro L, Boixeda P, Segura-Palacios JM, de Daniel-Rodríguez C, Jiménez-Gómez N, Ballester-Martínez A. Dermatofibromas treated with pulsed dye laser: Clinical and dermoscopic outcomes. *J Cosmet Laser Ther.* 2012; 14:98-101.

Figure 1 Clinical appearance of a dermatofibroma

Figure 2

Figure 3