

### Thomas Jefferson University Jefferson Digital Commons

### **Rothman Institute**

Rothman Institute

2-1-2012

# Does international normalized ratio level predict pulmonary embolism?

Patricia Hansen Rothman Institute of Orthopaedics, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, research@rothmaninstitute.com

Benjamin Zmistowski Rothman Institute of Orthopaedics, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital

Camilo Restrepo Rothman Institute of Orthopaedics, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, camilo.restrepo@rothmaninstitute.com

Javad Parvizi Rothman Institute of Orthopaedics, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Javad.Parvizi@jefferson.edu

Richard H Rothman Rothman Institute of Orthopaedics, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Richard.Rothman@RothmanInstitute.com

### Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: http://jdc.jefferson.edu/rothman\_institute

Part of the <u>Orthopedics Commons</u>

### **Recommended** Citation

Hansen, Patricia; Zmistowski, Benjamin; Restrepo, Camilo; Parvizi, Javad; and Rothman, Richard H, "Does international normalized ratio level predict pulmonary embolism?" (2012). *Rothman Institute*. Paper 2.

http://jdc.jefferson.edu/rothman\_institute/2

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Rothman Institute by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

### As submitted to:

### **Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research**

### And later published as:

### **Does international normalized ratio Level Predict Pulmonary**

### **Embolism?**

### Volume 470, Issue 2, February 2012, Pages 547-554

### DOI: 10.1007/s11999-011-2007-7

Running title: INR Level and PE?

Patricia Hansen, BS; Benjamin Zmistowski, BS; Camilo Restrepo, MD; Javad Parvizi, MD, FRCS; Richard H. Rothman, MD, PhD

The Rothman Institute of Orthopaedics at Thomas Jefferson University Hospital

Javad Parvizi is a consultant for Stryker Orthopaedics (Mahwah, NJ) and has intellectual properties on SmarTech (Philadelphia, PA); Richard H. Rothman receives royalties and is a consultant for Stryker Orthopaedics (Mahwah, NJ).

Each author certifies that his/her institution has approved the human protocol for this investigation and that all investigations were conducted in conformity with ethical principles of research

Patricia Hansen (⊠) The Rothman Institute of Orthopaedics Thomas Jefferson University Hospital 925 Chestnut Street 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA E-mail: research@rothmaninstitute.com.

### **Abstract** (Word count: 249 words)

2	Background Preventing PE is a priority after major musculoskeletal surgery. There is
3	discrepancy in published data regarding the influence that anticoagulation has on the
4	incidence of PE following joint arthroplasty. The American College of Chest Physicians
5	guidelines recommend administration of oral anticoagulants (warfarin), aiming for an
6	INR level between two and three. However, recent studies show aggressive
7	anticoagulation (INR greater than two) can lead to hematoma formation and increased
8	risk of subsequent infection.
9	Questions/purposes We asked whether an INR greater than two is protective against PE.
10	Patients and Methods We identified 9,112 patients with 10,122 admissions for joint
11	arthroplasty between 2004 and 2008. All patients received warfarin for prophylaxis,
12	aiming for an INR level of two or below. Of the 10, 122 admissions, we assessed 609
13	(6%; 609/10122) for PE using CT, VQ scan, or pulmonary angiography. Of these, 163
14	patients (1.6%; 163/10122) had a proven PE.
15	Results Of these 163 patients, 9% (15/163) had an INR greater than two prior to or on the
16	day of work-up compared to 8% (35/446) of patients who were negative. We observed
17	no difference between the INR values in patients with or without PE.
18	Conclusions We found no clinically relevant difference in the INR values of patients who
19	did or did not develop PE. The risk of bleeding should be weighed against the risk of PE
20	when determining an appropriate target INR for each patient, as an INR less than two
21	may reduce the risk of bleeding while still protecting against PE.

- 22 Level of Evidence: Level III Therapeutic study. See Instructions to Authors for a
- 23 complete description of levels of evidence.

### 24 Introduction

3

25	Pulmonary embolism (PE) is a serious and potentially fatal complication that can develop
26	following total joint arthroplasty (TJA), with an incidence of 1.1 to 1.82% after total knee
27	arthroplasty (TKA) and 0.51 to 0.9% after total hip arthroplasty (THA) [12,18,19].
28	Patients undergoing TJA are considered to be at higher risk for PE. Prevention of PE
29	following orthopaedic procedures continues to be a priority. For this reason, various
30	scientific groups have devised guidelines for implementation of anticoagulation
31	prophylaxis to minimize this complication [10,11].
32	In 2008 the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) issued updated guidelines
33	regarding postoperative PE prophylaxis in elective hip or knee arthroplasty [10]. These
34	guidelines endorse the use of low molecular weight heparin (LMWH), fondaparinux, or
35	Vitamin-K antagonists to achieve an international normalized ratio (INR) between two
36	and three. These guidelines, however, make the assumption that deep venous thrombosis
37	(DVT) and PE should be treated as the same entity and that the former is likely to lead to
38	the latter. A recently published study discredited this relationship [16]. Further, the
39	ACCP guidelines do not account for the risk or severity of bleeding complications
40	associated with anticoagulation. At an INR of two to three, the incidence of major
41	bleeding complications ranges from $5.0\%$ to $5.6\%$ after TKA and $0.6\%$ to $1.6\%$ after
42	THA [8,9,17]. In those same studies, the rate of minor bleeding complications following
43	TKA and THA reportedly ranges from $21\%$ to $28\%$ and $4.6\%$ to $13.5\%$ , respectively.
44	With the increased risk of bleeding complications, it is important to understand the
45	effectiveness of therapeutic anticoagulation in minimizing PE. We previously
46	demonstrated the low risk of complications with the use of low-dose warfarin (i.e. aiming

47 for an INR less than two) for preventing PE [1]. That study was the basis for

48 implementing the use of low-dose warfarin (aiming for an INR less than two) in patients

49 undergoing TJA in 1990.

50 We therefore asked whether an INR level greater than two, as dictated by the ACCP

51 guidelines, following TJA is protective against PE.

### 52 **Patients and Materials**

53 From our institutional database we retrospectively identified 9,112 patients who

54 underwent TJA between January 2004 and June 2008 and had at least a single

55 postoperative INR value available. Those patients who underwent work-up for PE yet

56 did not have an INR value on the day of or prior to scan were excluded. During that

57 same time, we treated 9,973 patients with TJA. Therefore, 861 patients were excluded

58 due to lack of complete data, the demographics of these two groups were investigated

59 (Table 1). The 9,112 patients had an average age of 64 years (range, 11-103 years) and

60 had 10,122 admissions for 11,300 procedures (4,727 primary hips, 5,079 primary knees,

61 803 revision hips, 615 revision knees, and 76 hemiarthroplasties). Patients were followed

62 until discharge from the hospital, on average 6.3 days (range: 2-56 days). Any patients

63 with symptoms indicative of PE were investigated. Since this study observational

64 window ended at discharge, no patients were lost to followup. No patients were recalled

65 specifically for this study; all data was obtained from medical records.

66 The protocol for anticoagulation at our institution throughout the study period consisted

67 of administration of 1000 IU of intravenous heparin at the time of dislocation of the hip

68 during hip arthroplasty and prior to inflation of the tourniquet during knee arthroplasty.

69 In addition, we placed patients on oral anticoagulation (warfarin), aiming for an INR 70 level of two or below. Patients continued on the anticoagulation for a period of six weeks. 71 The institutional guidelines are modeled after the recommendations from the AAOS [11] 72 for prevention of PE after TJA. These guidelines were developed without regard for the 73 prevention of DVT. This conflicts with the recommendations made by the ACCP [10], 74 whose means of PE prevention include prophylaxis against DVT. There were variations 75 based on the risk profile of patients for PE and bleeding. We gave patients at higher risk 76 of PE low molecular weight heparin in addition to oral anticoagulation until their INR 77 level reached therapeutic levels. We considered patients at high risk for PE as those with 78 previous PE, polycythemia vera, and those in a hypercoagulable state. On the other hand, 79 we gave patients at high risk of bleeding aspirin for anticoagulation. We considered 80 patients at high risk for bleeding as those with recent cranio-spinal surgery, active gastric 81 ulcer, and hemophilia. Prophylaxis with warfarin involved administration of the drug on 82 the operative day. We monitored the INR daily while the patient was in the hospital, and 83 dosed warfarin according to their INR level. The mean preoperative INR for the entire 84 cohort of 10,122 admissions was 1.09. The median daily postoperative values for INR 85 were 1.13 (range, 0.67-3.04) on postoperative day (POD) zero, 1.24 (range, 0.6-5.8) on 86 POD one, 1.39 (range, 0.4-7.0) on POD two, 1.32 (range, 0.7-5.3) on POD three, 1.33 87 (range, 0.9-5.2) on POD four, and 1.41 (range, 0.8-4.3) on POD five (Fig. 1). The 88 proportion of patients with an INR greater than two was 0.7 %, 0.2%, 6.4%, 3.1%, 4.5%, 89 and 8.6% for the POD zero through five, respectively (Fig. 2). We plotted the percentage 90 of patients who had an INR greater than two in the PE positive and PE negative groups 91 against the day of scan, including the five days before and after the scan. The work-up

92 for PE at our institution followed a standard protocol as well. This protocol underwent 93 some modification over time. In general, we first administered oxygen to patients with 94 hypoxia and monitored them very closely (Appendix). If within five to ten minutes of 95 oxygen therapy hypoxia was not resolved, we imaged these patients for PE, which 96 included multi-detector computed tomography (MDCT), VQ scan and, in rare cases, 97 pulmonary angiography. We evaluated patients with other signs suggestive of PE, such 98 as tachycardia, tachypnea, dyspnea, and so on, thoroughly and, based on the judgment of 99 the evaluating internist, subjected them for PE work-up. 100 From among the 10,122 admissions, 600 patients (609 admissions; 6.0 %) were scanned 101 for PE. This subset had an average age of 69 years (range, 24-96 years) and consisted of 102 424 (73.5%) women. These patients had 710 arthroplasty procedures (194 primary hips, 103 428 primary knees, 38 revision hip, 42 revision knee, and eight hemiarthroplasties) in 621 104 admissions. Following work-up for PE, 163 admissions (163/10,122; 1.6%) were positive for PE and included in the positive PE subgroup. Among the 609 admissions 105 106 that received work-up for PE, the majority (41.2%; 251/609) were scanned on POD 2 107 (Fig. 1). We assessed their daily INR values to identify any variations in their INR 108 relative to the remaining patients who were negative for PE and the entire arthroplasty 109 cohort. We utilized the Charlson comorbidity index [3], as modified by Deyo et al. [5], 110 to assess comorbidities. This index is adjusted for age. Variables describing differences 111 between PE positive and PE negative patients are reported (Table 2). Furthermore, the 112 same variables are reported for patients with a post-operative INR greater than two versus 113 INR less than two (Table 3).

114 To analyze the anticoagulation (INR) levels and confounding variables of the three 115 cohorts we utilized a series of statistical tests. First, the data was tested for normality 116 using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Normal continuous data was assessed using the 117 Student's t-test, and confidence intervals provided clinical significance of variations. 118 Non-normal continuous data was assessed with the Mann-Whitney test, and twenty-fifth 119 and seventy-fifth percentiles were used to represent the variation of data. Chi-squared 120 analysis was used for categorical data. All data analysis was done using SPSS 16.0 121 (Chicago, IL).

#### 122 **Results**

123 Female gender (p = 0.04), body mass index (p < 0.001), knee replacement (p < 0.001), 124 increasing age (p < 0.001), and an increase in age-adjusted Charlson Index (p < 0.0001) 125 were risk factors for developing PE (Table 2). Type of arthroplasty (revision versus 126 primary) did not predict development of PE. There were no differences between the 127 confirmed PE positive and confirmed PE negative groups with regards to proportion of 128 patients with an INR greater than two on the day of or prior to the work-up (9.2% in PE 129 positive versus 7.9% in PE negative; p = 0.55). On the first day after the scan, the PE 130 negative group tended to have a higher percentage of patients (p = 0.11) with an INR 131 greater than two. On post-scan days three, four, and five, there was a higher percentage (p = 0.009, 0.0001, and 0.0002, respectively) of PE positive patients with an INR greater 132 133 than two (Fig.3). Patients with confirmed PE had higher INR on POD five (p = 0.02) 134 compared to confirmed PE negative. When aggregating confirmed PE negative patients 135 with patients that were not worked-up, PE positive patients had a higher INR on POD 136 two, three, four, and five (p = 0.012, p = 0.001, p < 0.001, and p < 0.001, respectively).

### 137 Discussion

138 Pulmonary embolism is a dreaded and life-threatening complication that can develop

139 after TJA, with an incidence of 1.1 to 1.82% following TKA and 0.51 to 0.9% after THA

- 140 [12,18,19]. The 2008 updated ACCP guidelines regarding postoperative PE prophylaxis
- 141 in musculoskeletal patients [10], endorsed the use of LMWH, fondaparinux, or Vitamin-
- 142 K antagonists to achieve an INR between two and three. These guidelines, however,
- assume that DVT is a proxy for PE. Even more, the ACCP guidelines do not consider the
- risk of severe bleeding associated with anticoagulation, which ranges from 5.0% to 5.6%
- 145 following TKA and 0.6% to 1.6% after THA [8,9,17], as well as the risk for minor
- bleeding complications following TKA and THA (21% to 28% and 4.6% to 13.5%,

147 respectively). Safety and low risk of complications with the use of low-dose warfarin

148 (i.e. aiming for an INR less than two) for preventing PE has been demonstrated [1]. We

therefore asked whether an INR level greater than two, as dictated by the ACCP

150 guidelines, following TJA is protective against PE.

151 This study is limited by a number of issues. First, while the relatively large size of

152 patients undergoing evaluation for PE adds to its strength, some patients in this study

153 may have received work-up for PE following discharge from the hospital that were not

154 disclosed to their treating surgeon. Second, due to the fact that our observational window

155 was focused on in-hospital data only; incidence of PE may be skewed, and PE occurring

- 156 up to three or more months post-operatively were not captured. Third, due to the
- 157 retrospective nature of the study, it is not possible to provide an accurate number
- 158 (although small) of those patients that deviated from the main anticoagulation protocol
- 159 (i.e. patients with previous PE, polycythemia vera, and those in a hypercoagulable state)

160 who received an alternate anticoagulation protocol. Fourth, there are no set standards in 161 defining PE and it is plausible that some of the emboli seen on lung scans (MDCT) were 162 fat emboli that could not be distinguished from venous emboli. Fifth, not all patients in 163 this study had pulmonary angiography, which is considered the gold standard for 164 diagnosis of PE. Due to the invasive nature of the test and the costs involved, pulmonary 165 angiography is reserved for only a limited number of patients. Furthermore, not all 166 patients included in this analysis underwent work-up for PE. This led us to separate the 167 cohort into three groups (PE positive, PE negative and not scanned). While we make the 168 assumption that asymptomatic patients were PE negative, this cannot be truly confirmed 169 without invasive work-up. Sixth, this study is only evaluating the efficacy of an INR 170 target (less than two) set at our institution. These results do not exclude the possibility 171 that a lower INR target would be as efficacious at preventing PE.

172 This study highlights some important findings. First, the incidence of PE is low (1.6%)173 and comparable to literature [14,19] using low-dose warfarin, with no fatal PE during the 174 period of this study. Second, there is no correlation between the level of INR and the 175 development of PE. It appears that PE could develop in any patient, including those with 176 an INR greater than two. These findings raise the possibility that either INR fails to 177 measure the efficacy of warfarin as an anticoagulant or that prophylactic anticoagulation 178 has no effect on the incidence of PE. A study, (130,000 patients), demonstrated that the 179 incidence of PE among patients without anticoagulation prophylaxis (0.12%) is the same 180 as those receiving it (0.095%) [13]. Although, there is a division among the orthopaedic 181 surgeons regarding the most effective modality, they agree that some form of VTE 182 prophylaxis is warranted. Some believe that improvements in surgical and anesthesia

183 care for patients undergoing TJA have made administration of chemical anticoagulation184 unnecessary [2].

185	Orthopaedic surgeons consistently take an active role in preventing PE; however, there
186	are key differences between the manner that they and medical physicians approach this
187	complication. First, they observe that 8.9% to 25.6% of TJA patients develop DVT,
188	while only 0.5% to 2.0% developed PE [14,19]. For this reason, the American
189	Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) recommends treating DVT and PE as
190	separate entities. Second, they are committed to minimizing bleeding complications in
191	their surgical patients; these can be as devastating to patients as PE [15]. A study
192	comparing low-dose warfarin with a target INR of 1.5 to two with a historical control
193	group with a target INR of two to three, found no difference in the incidence of DVT, PE,
194	or death [4,7]. Expectedly though, a higher incidence of bleeding complications occurs
195	in the higher target INR group. Major bleeding complications can be a foundation for
196	infection, wound healing problems, functional disability, and prosthetic loosening [7].
197	All of these consequences can lead to reoperation and increase in morbidity and
198	mortality. Third, pneumatic compression boots and aspirin, along with regional
199	anesthesia, are suggested as being non-inferior to chemoprophylactic anticoagulants at
200	preventing PE without the increased bleeding complications [6]. Interestingly, potent
201	anticoagulants like warfarin and LMWH are associated with increased all-cause mortality
202	rates, including PE, when compared to pneumatic compression boots and aspirin [20]. It
203	is from this point of view that the AAOS created the guidelines stating that patients at a
204	standard risk of both PE and bleeding can be given aspirin, LMWH, synthetic
205	pentasaccharides, or warfarin to reach an INR goal of less than or equal to two [11]. A

206 previous prospective study from this institution that involved performing preoperative 207 and postoperative VQ scans in a consecutive series of patients undergoing TJA found that 208 low dose warfarin (with an INR goal of less than two) is effective at minimizing 209 development of PE, with a low (2.4%) bleeding complication [1]. Based on these 210 findings, we have used low-dose warfarin as a prophylaxis for prevention of PE in our 211 patients over the last two decades. 212 The most pertinent finding of this study is that an INR greater than two does not appear 213 to protect against PE. Thus, implementing the recommendations of ACCP [10] in aiming 214 for an INR greater than two may not protect these patients against PE, while exposing 215 them to the undue risk of bleeding and all untoward consequences that may ensue [8,9]. 216 Despite the limitations, we believe our data and that in the literature casts doubt on the 217 belief that administration of aggressive anticoagulation can and does protect patients 218 against development of PE.

Acknowledgements:

### Reference List

- 1. Balderston RA, Graham TS, Booth RE, Jr., Rothman RH. The prevention of pulmonary embolism in total hip arthroplasty. Evaluation of low-dose warfarin therapy. *J Arthroplasty*. 1989;4:217-221.
- Callaghan JJ, Dorr LD, Engh GA, Hanssen AD, Healy WL, Lachiewicz PF, Lonner JH, Lotke PA, Ranawat CS, Ritter MA, Salvati EA, Sculco TP, Thornhill TS. Prophylaxis for thromboembolic disease: recommendations from the American College of Chest Physicians--are they appropriate for orthopaedic surgery? J Arthroplasty. 2005;20:273-274.
- 3. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis.* 1987;40:373-383.
- 4. Clark NP, Witt DM, Delate T, Trapp M, Garcia D, Ageno W, Hylek EM, Crowther MA. Thromboembolic consequences of subtherapeutic anticoagulation in patients stabilized on warfarin therapy: the low INR study. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2008;28:960-967.
- 5. Deyo RA, Cherkin DC, Ciol MA. Adapting a clinical comorbidity index for use with ICD-9-CM administrative databases. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1992;45:613-619.
- 6. Dorr LD, Gendelman V, Maheshwari AV, Boutary M, Wan Z, Long WT. Multimodal thromboprophylaxis for total hip and knee arthroplasty based on risk assessment. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2007;89:2648-2657.
- 7. Enyart JJ, Jones RJ. Low-dose warfarin for prevention of symptomatic thromboembolism after orthopedic surgery. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2005;39:1002-1007.
- Fitzgerald RH, Jr., Spiro TE, Trowbridge AA, Gardiner GA, Jr., Whitsett TL, O'Connell MB, Ohar JA, Young TR. Prevention of venous thromboembolic disease following primary total knee arthroplasty. A randomized, multicenter, open-label, parallel-group comparison of enoxaparin and warfarin. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2001;83-A:900-906.
- 9. Freedman KB, Brookenthal KR, Fitzgerald RH, Jr., Williams S, Lonner JH. A meta-analysis of thromboembolic prophylaxis following elective total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2000;82-A:929-938.
- Geerts WH, Bergqvist D, Pineo GF, Heit JA, Samama CM, Lassen MR, Colwell CW. Prevention of venous thromboembolism: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). *Chest.* 2008;133:381S-453S.

- 11. Johanson NA, Lachiewicz PF, Lieberman JR, Lotke PA, Parvizi J, Pellegrini V, Stringer TA, Tornetta P, III, Haralson RH, III, Watters WC, III. American academy of orthopaedic surgeons clinical practice guideline on. Prevention of symptomatic pulmonary embolism in patients undergoing total hip or knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009;91:1756-1757.
- 12. Mantilla CB, Horlocker TT, Schroeder DR, Berry DJ, Brown DL. Frequency of myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolism, deep venous thrombosis, and death following primary hip or knee arthroplasty. *Anesthesiology*. 2002;96:1140-1146.
- 13. Murray DW, Britton AR, Bulstrode CJ. Thromboprophylaxis and death after total hip replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1996;78:863-870.
- 14. O'Reilly RF, Burgess IA, Zicat B. The prevalence of venous thromboembolism after hip and knee replacement surgery. *Med J Aust.* 2005;182:154-159.
- 15. Parvizi J, Azzam K, Rothman RH. Deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis for total joint arthroplasty: American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons guidelines. *J Arthroplasty*. 2008;23:2-5.
- Parvizi J, Jacovides CL, Bican O, Purtill JJ, Sharkey PF, Hozack WJ, Rothman RH. Is deep vein thrombosis a good proxy for pulmonary embolus? *J Arthroplasty*. 2010;25:138-144.
- 17. Pellegrini VD, Jr., Sharrock NE, Paiement GD, Morris R, Warwick DJ. Venous thromboembolic disease after total hip and knee arthroplasty: current perspectives in a regulated environment. *Instr Course Lect.* 2008;57:637-661.
- 18. Phillips CB, Barrett JA, Losina E, Mahomed NN, Lingard EA, Guadagnoli E, Baron JA, Harris WH, Poss R, Katz JN. Incidence rates of dislocation, pulmonary embolism, and deep infection during the first six months after elective total hip replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2003;85-A:20-26.
- 19. Pulido L, Parvizi J, Macgibeny M, Sharkey PF, Purtill JJ, Rothman RH, Hozack WJ. In hospital complications after total joint arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2008;23:139-145.
- 20. Sharrock NE, Gonzalez D, V, Go G, Lyman S, Salvati EA. Potent anticoagulants are associated with a higher all-cause mortality rate after hip and knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2008;466:714-721.

### Legends

Fig. 1 Graph shows median INR versus day of surgery (columns, left axis) and histogram displaying PE work-up day of scan relative to POD (area curve; right axis).POD two was the maximum day of scans for both positive and negative PE patients.

**Fig. 2** Graph shows percentage of patients with INR greater than two from preoperative to POD five.

**Fig. 3** Graph shows percentage of patients with INR greater than two by day of scan (lines; right axis), as well as median INR related to the day of scan (columns; left axis). Day of scan is 0, the five days before the scan is in reverse chronological order as -1 through -5, and the five days after the scan is days 1 through 5. Displays median values between positive PE and negative PE patients. On the day of scan, there was no difference (p = 0.63) between INR values. PE positive patients had higher INR values on post-scan days three, four, and five (p = 0.009, p < 0.001, and p < 0.001, respectively).