A comprehensive sulfur and oxygen isotope study of sulfur cycling in a shallow, hyper euxinic meromictic lake
 3

4 5

6 7

- William P. Gilhooly III<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Christopher T. Reinhard<sup>a,c</sup>, Timothy W. Lyons<sup>a</sup>
- <sup>a</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, University of California, 900 University Avenue, Riverside, CA
   92521, USA
- <sup>b</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, SL118, 723
   W. Michigan Street, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA
- <sup>c</sup>School of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, 311 Ferst Drive,
   Atlanta, GA 30332, USA
- 14
- 15 16
- 10
- 17 18
- 18
- 19 \*Corresponding author. Current address: Department of Earth Sciences, Indiana University-
- 20 Purdue University Indianapolis, SL118, 723 W. Michigan Street, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA.
- 21 E-mail address: wgilhool@iupui.edu (W. Gilhooly).

22

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Gilhooly III, W. P., Reinhard, C. T., & Lyons, T. W. (n.d.). A comprehensive sulfur and oxygen isotope study of sulfur cycling in a shallow, hyper-euxinic meromictic lake. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.05.044

## 23 Abstract

Mahoney Lake is a permanently anoxic and sulfidic (euxinic) lake that has a dense plate of 24 25 purple sulfur bacteria positioned at mid-water depth ( $\sim 7$  m) where free sulfide intercepts the photic zone. We analyzed the isotopic composition of sulfate ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ ), sulfide 26  $(\delta^{34}S_{H2S})$ , and the water  $(\delta^{18}O_{H2O})$  to track the potentially coupled processes of dissimilatory 27 sulfate reduction and phototrophic sulfide oxidation within an aquatic environment with 28 extremely high sulfide concentrations (>30 mM). Large isotopic offsets observed between sulfate 29 and sulfide within the monimolimnion ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4-H2S} = 51\%$ ) and within pore waters along the oxic 30 margin ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4-H2S} > 50\%$ ) are consistent with sulfate reduction in both the sediments and the 31 anoxic water column. Given the high sulfide concentrations of the lake, sulfur disproportionation 32 33 is likely inoperable or limited to a very narrow zone in the chemocline, and therefore the large instantaneous fractionations are best explained by the microbial process of sulfate reduction. 34 Pyrite extracted from the sediments reflects the isotopic composition of water column sulfide, 35 suggesting that pyrite buried in the euxinic depocenter of the lake formed in the water column. 36 The offset between sulfate and dissolved sulfide decreases at the chemocline ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4-H2S}$  = 37 38 37%), a trend possibly explained by elevated sulfate reduction rates and inconsistent with 39 appreciable disproportionation within this interval. Water column sulfate exhibits a linear response in  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ - $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  and the slope of this relationship suggests relatively high sulfate 40 41 reduction rates that appear to respond to seasonal changes in the productivity of purple sulfur bacteria. Although photosynthetic activity within the microbial plate influences the  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}-\delta^{34}S$ 42 43 relationship, the biosignature for photosynthetic sulfur bacteria is restricted to the oxic/anoxic transition zone and is apparently minor relative to the more prevalent process of sulfate reduction 44 45 operative throughout the light-deprived deeper anoxic water column and sediment pore waters.

### 47 **1. Introduction**

Sulfur isotope compilations of sedimentary pyrite and sulfates (gypsum, barite, carbonate-48 49 associated sulfate) have provided proxy evidence for the increase in atmospheric oxygen from 50 the Archean to the present. Three distinct stages have been recognized in the sulfur isotope record based on mass conservative  $({}^{34}S/{}^{32}S)$  and mass-independent  $({}^{33}S/{}^{32}S)$  fractionation effects. 51 Small  $\delta^{34}$ S fractionations (Canfield, 1998), associated with low oceanic sulfate levels (< 2.5  $\mu$ M) 52 in the Archean (Habicht et al., 2002; Crowe et al., 2014), are congruent with  $\Delta^{33}$ S photochemical 53 54 isotope effects preserved under low atmospheric oxygen levels (Farguhar et al., 2000). This stage ends with the Great Oxidation Event marked by an increase in  $\delta^{34}$ S fractionations 55 coincident with the loss of mass-independent signatures ~2.3-2.4 billion years ago (Bekker et al., 56 2004), indicating that oxygen accumulated to significant concentrations in the atmosphere. The 57 third state, characterized by frequently large fractionations perhaps linked to a strongly oxidative 58 sulfur cycling and analogous to isotopic patterns seen today ( $\Delta^{34}S_{sulfate-sulfide} > 50\%$ ), commenced 59 during the Neoproterozoic (1050 to 640 million years ago) (Canfield & Teske, 1996; Canfield, 60 61 2001).

62

The isotopic offset ( $\Delta^{34}$ S<sub>sulfate-sulfide</sub> =  $\delta^{34}$ S<sub>sulfate</sub> -  $\delta^{34}$ S<sub>sulfide</sub>) imparted during dissimilatory sulfate reduction can be large in magnitude, with  $\Delta^{34}$ S<sub>sulfate-sulfide</sub> exceeding 60% (Canfield et al., 2010; Sim et al., 2011), or muted (~ 0%) at low sulfate concentrations (Harrison & Thode, 1958; Habicht et al., 2002). Similar offsets are produced by the oxidative sulfur cycle, ranging from the potentially large isotope effects (~20%) that can occur during sulfur disproportionation (Canfield & Thamdrup, 1994; Habicht et al., 1998; Böttcher et al., 2001) to small isotope effects (± 5%) produced during chemolithotrophic sulfide oxidation (Fry et al., 1986) and anoxygenic

70 photosynthesis (Fry et al., 1984; Fry, 1986; Zerkle et al., 2009; Brabec et al., 2012). An 71 otherwise robust biosignature for sulfate reduction in modern sediments thus becomes non-72 diagnostic under sulfate-limited conditions as postulated for the Archean ocean or periods of 73 rapid expansion of the oceanic sulfate pool such as the Neoproterozoic, when many reactions 74 within the biologically mediated sulfur cycle may be operative. For example, the various 75 explanations for an increase in the magnitude of sulfur isotope fractionations during the 76 Neoproterozoic include an increased prominence of nonphotosynthetic oxidative sulfur 77 metabolisms and associated disproportionation of the resulting intermediate sulfur species 78 (Canfield & Teske, 1996; Johnston et al., 2005; Fike et al., 2006), reoxidation effects mediated 79 by the onset of bioturbation (Canfield & Farquhar, 2009), and possibly reservoir effects linked with rising and falling sulfate concentrations within an evolving oceanic sulfur pool (Hurtgen et 80 81 al., 2005).

82

The isotopic composition of oxygen bound in sulfate ( $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ ) may provide an additional vector 83 84 to better interpret the microbial processes responsible for sulfate synthesis and cycling over geologic timescales. Provided the associated isotope effects are constrained,  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  can be a 85 86 powerful tool for tracing the ultimate source of sulfate to the ocean, given that the oxygen 87 incorporated into the sulfate during sulfide oxidation can derive from either ambient water ( $H_2O$ ) 88 or the atmosphere  $(O_2)$ . On a global basis, gypsum dissolution and oxidative pyrite weathering 89 (the inputs) balance the outputs via evaporite precipitation and sulfate reduction with 90 concomitant pyrite burial (Holser et al., 1979; Claypool et al., 1980). Tracking the sulfate-91 oxygen budget through these isotopically distinct reservoirs is complicated by oxygen isotope 92 exchange at low pH (Hoering & Kennedy, 1957; Lloyd, 1968; Chiba & Sakai, 1985), post-

93	diagenetic alteratio	n (Turchyn	et al.,	2009),	and	numerous	other	processes	that	overprint	the
94	oxygen isotope con	position of	sulfate	(Bottre	11 & 1	Newton, 20	06; Tı	ırchyn & S	chrag	g, 2006).	

95

96 Sulfur isotopes are relatively insensitive to inorganic sulfide oxidation effects, but sulfate formed from sulfide oxidation will carry different proportions of oxygen derived from water ( $\delta^{18}O \leq$ 97 0%) and/or atmospheric oxygen ( $\delta^{18}O = 23.5\%$ ) depending on the oxidation pathway (Taylor & 98 99 Wheeler, 1984; van Everdingen & Krouse, 1985; Balci et al., 2007; Calmels et al., 2007; Balci et 100 al., 2012). The oxidation of sulfide coupled to iron reduction derives oxygen entirely from water and results in  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  values lower than those produced by oxidation with molecular oxygen 101 102 (Calmels et al., 2007). Hydrothermal sulfur inputs (~ 0%) may be difficult to differentiate from biological cycling within a low sulfate reservoir; however,  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  produced by photosynthetic 103 bacteria may reflect the isotopic composition of the parent water (Brabec et al., 2012). 104

105

Environmental conditions in the Paleo- and Mesoproterozoic, when atmospheric oxygen 106 concentrations were still relatively low, and large portions of the oceans were anoxic and sulfidic 107 108 (euxinic), were conducive to widespread carbon fixation by anoxygenic photosynthesis 109 (Johnston et al., 2009). Phototrophic sulfur bacteria oxidize sulfide and fix carbon dioxide in the 110 presence of sunlight without producing oxygen. Sulfide is oxidized to intracellular elemental 111 sulfur, and the internal sulfur stores are ultimately oxidized to sulfate when sulfide becomes 112 limiting (< 1 mM) (Overmann & Pfennig, 1992). In the geologic record, this ecological niche is 113 termed "photic zone euxinia," and organic biomarkers of sulfide-oxidizing phototrophs can 114 provide proxy evidence for free sulfide at shallow depths in the water column (Brocks et al., 115 2005; Brocks & Schaeffer, 2008) provided the organisms were pelagic (Meyer et al., 2011).

116 Biological oxidation of sulfide by anoxygenic photosynthesis may have contributed to the 117 formation of sulfate in the Proterozoic water column (Johnston et al., 2009). With limited 118 organic biomarker and geochemical evidence for widespread primary production by anoxygenic 119 sulfur bacteria (Lyons et al., 2004), and the potential for metabolic overlap with cyanobacteria 120 capable of sulfide oxidation but without a distinctive biomarker signature for this process 121 (Johnston et al., 2009), additional proxies are needed to fingerprint the paleoecological and biogeochemical signals associated with euxinia in the photic zone. Paired  $\delta^{34}$ S and  $\delta^{18}$ O data 122 123 from ancient sulfates (gypsum, barite, or carbonate-associated-sulfate) may offer an additional 124 constraint on the history and ecological distribution of photosynthetic S-oxidation. Sulfateoxygen can fractionate during sulfate reduction, but the extent of isotopic enrichment is 125 126 controlled either by kinetic isotope effects imparted during intracellular enzymatic steps or 127 equilibrium oxygen exchange with ambient water (Brunner et al., 2005; Brunner et al., 2012; 128 Antler et al., 2013). An improved understanding of these processes can be gained from modern 129 natural environments.

130

131 The primary objective of this study was to track microbial sulfur oxidation and reduction in 132 density stratified Mahoney Lake as a modern analog for biotic pathways that may have generated 133 oxidants in Earth's early ocean. Free dissolved sulfide ( $[H_2S] = 30 \text{ mM}$ ) in the photic zone of the 134 water column supports a perennial plate of purple sulfur bacteria (Northcote & Halsey, 1969; 135 Northcote & Hall, 1983; Overmann et al., 1991; Overmann et al., 1996). The purple sulfur 136 bacterium is a member of Chromatiaceae and is designated as strain ML1 (Hamilton et al., 137 2014). Although found at mid-water depth of the lake, ML1 is most closely related to a marine 138 benthic purple sulfur bacteria Thiohalocapsa (Hamilton et al., 2014). Such observations have

139 significance when relating biomarker distribution to microbial ecology and inferred 140 environmental conditions (Meyer et al., 2011). The euxinic conditions are broadly consistent 141 with chemical properties for many Proterozoic ocean models (Reinhard et al., 2013). However, 142 the lake also has high sulfate concentrations ( $[SO_4] > 300 \text{ mM}$ ) that are inconsistent with early 143 analogs and indeed are more than ten times the concentration in the modern ocean. At the same time, the large reservoir helps maximize the isotopic offset for sulfate reduction ( $\Delta^{34}S_{sulfate-sulfide}$ 144 >50%). In other words, the isotopic offsets are controlled by biological processing rather than by 145 146 the size of the sulfate pool. The biosignature for dissimilatory sulfate reduction in Mahoney Lake 147 is thus distinct from the small offsets produced under sulfate-limited conditions that would otherwise overlap with sulfur isotope fractionations produced by sulfide oxidizing phototrophic 148 149 bacteria. As such, Mahoney Lake provides a novel natural laboratory for studying sulfur cycling. We present paired sulfur and oxygen isotope compositions of dissolved sulfate ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  and 150  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ ) relative to the sulfur isotope properties of product sulfides (either dissolved or 151 sedimentary) to explore isotope effects associated with sulfate reduction and anaerobic sulfide 152 153 oxidation (and thus biologically mediated sulfate generation) by anoxygenic photosynthetic 154 bacteria.

155

#### 156 2. Materials and Methods

## 157 Site description

Mahoney Lake (49°17'N; 119°35'W; elevation 47.15 m) is a permanently stratified (meromictic)
lake in the Okanagan Valley, British Columbia (Figure 1). Within the same catchment, Green
Lake (49°18'N, 119°34'W, 490.7 m) fully mixes during fall and spring overturn (dimictic). Both
are shallow (~15 m), saline, terminal lakes. The Okanagan Valley is an arid region within the

162 southern interior of a province that receives an average of 265 mm of total annual precipitation 163 monthly temperatures range from 23.5°C (rain and snow). Mean -14.3°C to 164 (www.climate.weatheroffice.gc.ca; station 1126150; precipitation and temperature records 1941 165 to 2010).

166

167 The lake drainage area is located within an extensional basin known as the White Lake Basin 168 (Figure 1). The regional geology is dominated by a complex series of normal faults, fractured 169 bedding planes, and jointed volcanic formations that promote groundwater circulation (Lewis, 170 1984; Michel et al., 2002). Both lakes straddle a north-south trending fault line that bisects the 171 drainage basin (Northcote & Hall, 1983). The bedrock geology to the east of the fault is 172 comprised of cherts, greenstones, schists, and granitic intrusions (Northcote & Hall, 1983; 173 Church, 2002) (Figure 1). The western extent of the catchment contains ultramafic volcanic 174 rocks and lavas (Northcote & Hall, 1983; Church, 2002). Salts (Na-Ca-Mg-SO<sub>4</sub>) derived from the weathering of metavolcanic rocks from the Marron Formation (Eocene) in the surrounding 175 176 watershed contribute to the high conductivity and total dissolved solid load of Mahoney Lake 177 (Northcote & Hall, 1983).

178

Mahoney Lake (136.2x10<sup>4</sup> m<sup>3</sup>, 19.8 ha, 6.9 m) and Green Lake (113.6x10<sup>4</sup> m<sup>3</sup>, 12.6 ha, 9.0 m) have similar volumes, surface areas, and mean depths (Northcote & Hall, 1983). Although the dimensions of the lakes are comparable, water column redox conditions are strikingly different. The Mahoney Lake monimolimnion persistently contains dissolved sulfide, whereas bottom waters in Green Lake are perennially saturated with oxygen. The similar physical features suggest other factors are responsible for meromixis in Mahoney Lake. Northcote and Hall (1983)

proposed that the hills surrounding Mahoney shelter the lake from prevailing winds and thus wind-blown mixing. In contrast, Green Lake is exposed to strong northeasterly winds that routinely mix the water column (Ward et al., 1989; Ward et al., 1990).

188

Sediments and pore waters were extracted from Cores 2 and 3 collected within the euxinic water mass from the deepest portion of Mahoney Lake in September 2006; Core 9 was collected at the same time along the oxic margin above the chemocline (Figure 1). Water column samples were also collected from Green Lake as a reference for oxic conditions in a saline lake. Water column samples were collected from Mahoney Lake in September 2006 and July 2008. During the later trip, water was also sampled from a shallow pond (< 1 m) in the hills to the west ('ML pond') and from Sleeping Lake to the east (Figure 1).

196

## 197 Water column sampling

Photosynthetically available radiation was measured with a spherical light sensor (LI-193, LICOR Environmental, Lincoln, NE, USA). Light attenuation above and below the microbial plate
was determined according to Beer-Bouguer's Law:

201

202 
$$I_z = I_o e^{-kz}$$
, (1)  
203

where radiation incident at depth  $(I_z)$  is proportional to the light intensity from the surface  $(I_o)$ and the extinction coefficient (k) at a given depth (z). Turbidity was measured as an indication of water clarity and microbial biomass (2020c Turbidimeter, LaMotte Company, Chestertown, MD, USA). Water column pH, temperature, specific conductivity, and dissolved oxygen profiles were

208 measured in situ with a handheld meter and probe (Quanta, Hydrolab, Loveland, CO, USA). 209 Water column samples were collected with a battery-powered pump at depth intervals of 10 to 210 100 cm. High-resolution samples were also collected in the water column with a syringe sampler 211 that allowed sampling at fixed intervals (10 cm) with minimal disturbance of the chemocline. 212 The dissolved oxygen meter was attached to the base of the syringe sampler, and the position of 213 the syringe ports relative to the location of the oxic-anoxic interface was determined from the 214 oxygen concentrations measured *in situ* and the known distance between the syringes and the 215 probe. Samples from the water column collected for sulfide analysis were preserved with 3% 216 (wt./volume) zinc acetate solution for concentration determinations or precipitated with cadmium 217 acetate for sulfur isotope analysis. A subset of samples from the water column was taken for 218 elemental sulfur were filtered onto 0.2 µm polyestersulfone filters (Millipore) under nitrogen 219 atmosphere and stored frozen at -20°C.

220

#### 221 Sediments and pore waters

222 Lake sediments were collected with a modified gravity-piston corer (Fisher et al., 1992). 223 Sediment cores were capped, sealed, and taken to the field laboratory for processing within hours 224 of collection. Sediments were sectioned and extruded in a nitrogen-filled glovebag. Surfaces of the whole-round mud samples in contact with the core liner were scrapped to remove potential 225 226 lake water contamination and to minimize the effects of smearing. Pore waters were extracted by 227 centrifugation and filtered through 0.2 µm syringe filters. Pore water splits were preserved for 228 sulfide concentrations with 3% (wt./volume) zinc acetate solution or precipitated with cadmium 229 acetate for sulfur isotope analysis. Sediment samples were then purged with nitrogen gas, frozen, 230 and transported to our labs in Riverside for subsequent analyses.

231

### 232 Analytical

233 Sedimentary sulfides were extracted from wet, freshly thawed sediment by sequential 234 extractions. Water content was determined by weighing separate sediment splits before and after 235 drying and corrected for mass addition from salts that precipitated during sample drying in order 236 to present the data as wt.% on a dry sediment basis. Acid volatile sulfides (AVS; FeS) were 237 extracted with a room temperature solution of 6N HCl and 15% (wt./volume) stannous chloride (Chanton & Martens, 1985; Cornwell & Morse, 1987). The extractant was subsequently 238 239 separated from the sediment by filtration onto a glass fiber filter, and chromium reducible sulfide 240 (CRS; pyrite and elemental sulfur) was then liberated from the filtered residue by reaction with a 241 solution of boiling 1M chromous chloride and concentrated HCl (Canfield et al., 1986). 242 Chromium reducible sulfide (dominantly pyrite in this case) was also extracted from a 243 greenstone rock sample collected within the catchment. Hydrogen sulfide evolved from these distillations was trapped in 3% zinc acetate solution for concentration measurements by 244 245 iodometric titration or precipitated as Ag<sub>2</sub>S in a solution of 3% silver nitrate and 10% ammonium 246 hydroxide for sulfur isotope analysis (wt./volume).

247

The degree of sulfurization (DOS) (Boesen & Postma, 1988; Raiswell et al., 1994) was
determined according to the relationship:

$$DOS = \frac{Fe_{AVS} + Fe_{py}}{Fe_{AVS} + Fe_{py} + Fe_{HCl}}$$
(2)

where  $Fe_{AVS}$  and  $Fe_{py}$  are the concentrations of AVS-iron and pyrite-iron calculated from extracted concentrations of AVS-sulfur and pyrite-sulfur and assuming the respective

<sup>250</sup> 

stoichiometries of FeS and FeS<sub>2</sub>. Reactive iron,  $Fe_{HCl}$ , was extracted from dried sediment with boiling 12N HCl (Berner, 1970; Raiswell et al., 1988), and extractable iron concentrations were measured by the Ferrozine colorimetric method (Stookey, 1970). High DOS values, approaching unity in extreme cases, indicate formation and accumulation of sedimentary iron-sulfide minerals under euxinic (iron-limited) conditions.

259

260 Dissolved sulfide in the water column and pore waters was preserved in the field with zinc 261 acetate or cadmium acetate followed by centrifugation, and aliquots of the supernatant were 262 isolated for determining chloride and sulfate concentrations. Dissolved sulfide concentrations 263 were determined colorimetrically (Cline, 1969). Sulfate concentrations were measured 264 gravimetrically or as dissolved S by ICP-MS with Xe as the collision cell gas (Agilent 7400 Quadrupole ICP-MS). Sulfate concentrations determined by either method agreed within  $\pm 5\%$ . 265 266 Chloride concentrations were measured by titration (DP-957M Digital Chloridometer, Haake 267 Buchler Instruments Inc., Saddlebrook, NJ, USA). Supernatant splits were also taken for sulfur and oxygen isotope analysis of sulfate. The addition of zinc acetate to water column and pore 268 269 water samples caused the dissolved sulfide to precipitate immediately and thus precluded 270 secondary sulfate contributions from sulfide oxidation. Sulfate was precipitated as BaSO<sub>4</sub> by 271 addition of saturated BaCl<sub>2</sub> solution (250 g/L) followed by brief acidification (4N HCl) to 272 remove carbonates, rinsing to neutral pH, and drying. Elemental sulfur was extracted from 273 filters collected from the water column onto copper turnings using hexane and sonication, which 274 was subsequently liberated by chromium reduction (Canfield et al., 1986) and trapped in silver 275 nitrate. Sulfides fixed as CdS in the field were rinsed with deionized water and reprecipitated as 276 Ag<sub>2</sub>S by addition of 3% silver nitrate and 10% ammonium hydroxide (wt./volume). Precipitates

(3)

277	of sulfate (BaSO <sub>4</sub> ) and sulfide (Ag <sub>2</sub> S) derived from sediment extracts or dissolved species were
278	dried and homogenized with agate mortar and pestle prior to isotopic analysis.

279

280 Isotope compositions were expressed according to the equation:

281

$$282 \qquad \delta^{x} \mathbf{E} = \left[ \left( R_{\text{sample}} / R_{\text{standard}} \right) - 1 \right] \times 1000$$

283

where <sup>x</sup>E is the given isotope (<sup>2</sup>H, <sup>18</sup>O, or <sup>34</sup>S), and R is the <sup>2</sup>H/<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O, or <sup>34</sup>S/<sup>32</sup>S ratio 284 relative to the respective international standards for H and O (V-SMOW), and S (V-CDT). 285 Water column samples were distilled prior to hydrogen and oxygen isotope analysis (West et al., 286 2006) and analyzed at the Purdue Stable Isotope Facility, Purdue University, using a Thermo-287 288 Chemical Elemental Analyzer coupled with a stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer (TCEA-289 IRMS; Delta V; ThermoElectron, Bremen, Germany). Analytical precision was better than  $\pm 0.1\%$  for  $\delta D_{\rm H2O}$  and  $\pm 0.2\%$  for  $\delta^{18}O_{\rm H2O}$ . Sulfur isotope ratios of the sulfide phases and sulfur 290 291 and oxygen isotopes of sulfate were analyzed on a Delta V Plus IRMS (ThermoElectron, Bremen, Germany) at the Department of Earth Sciences, University of California, Riverside. 292 293 Samples precipitated as either Ag<sub>2</sub>S or BaSO<sub>4</sub> were weighed into tin capsules with a ten-fold excess of  $V_2O_5$  for a final sample mass of ~50 µg-S and combusted on an ECS elemental 294 analyzer (Costech Analytical, USA) coupled under continuous flow to the IRMS.  $\delta^{34}S_{BaSO4}$ 295 values were normalized to international standards NBS-127 (21.1%), IAEA SO-5 (0.49%), and 296 IAEA SO-6 (-34.05%). Values for  $\delta^{34}S_{Ag2S}$  were normalized to IAEA standards S1 (-0.3%), S2 297 (22.65%), and S3 (-32.5%).  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  values were determined by TCEA-IRMS and calibrated 298 299 against NBS-127 (8.7%), IAEA SO-5 (12.0%), and IAEA SO-6 (-11.0%). Reproducibility of

300 standard reference materials and sample replicates were  $\pm 0.2\%$  for  $\delta^{34}$ S and  $\pm 0.4\%$  for  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ .

301

## 302 Sulfate reduction models of $\delta^{4}S_{S04}$ and $\delta^{8}O_{S04}$

Linear and non-linear regressions of  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  and  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  (Böttcher et al., 1998; Brunner et al., 303 304 2005; Brunner et al., 2012; Antler et al., 2013) can provide insight into the relative rates of sulfate reduction and the extent of back reaction that occurs during intracellular cycling of sulfur 305 intermediates. We use models, developed by Antler et al., (2013), that couple the sulfur and 306 oxygen isotope (kinetic and equilibrium) fractionations that occur during sulfate reduction to 307 provide a framework for interpreting the isotopic variation observed in Mahoney Lake. Antler et 308 al. (2013) defined two trends that describe linear (Trend A) and curvilinear (Trend B) responses 309 in  $\delta^{18}$ O- $\delta^{34}$ S isotope space (Figure 7A). We briefly describe their models here, but full details 310 and explanations of their assumptions are provided in Antler et al. (2013). 311

312

The models are sensitive to the ratio of the forward and backward fluxes (X = b/f) of sulfur within the cell and the associated kinetic isotope fractions ( $\varepsilon$ ) that occur during three steps in the sulfate reduction network. The steps include sulfate uptake into the cell  $(X_1; \varepsilon^{34}S = -3\%_c; \varepsilon^{18}O = -$ 0.75‰), the reduction of adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate (APS) to sulfite  $(X_2; \varepsilon^{34}S = 25\%_c; \varepsilon^{18}O =$ 6.25‰), and the reduction of sulfite to sulfide  $(X_3; \varepsilon^{34}S = 25\%_c; \varepsilon^{18}O = 6.25\%_c)$  (Mizutani & Rafter, 1969; Rees, 1973; Antler et al., 2013). The  $\delta^{18}O-\delta^{34}S$  isotope pattern is linear (Trend A) when there is no reverse flux of sulfur  $(X_1 \cdot X_3 = 0)$  according to the equation,

320

$$\frac{\delta^{18}O_{SO_{4(t)}}}{\varepsilon^{34}S_{total}} \cdot \left(\delta^{34}S_{SO_{4(t)}} - \delta^{34}S_{SO_{4(o)}}\right) + \delta^{18}O_{SO_{4(o)}}.$$
(4)

323 The relationship is non-linear (Trend B) when intracellular recycling (back reaction) occurs 324 during sulfate reduction  $(0 < X_1 \cdot X_3 < 1)$  where,

325

$$\delta^{18}O_{SO_{4(t)}} = \delta^{18}O_{SO_{4(A,E,t)}} - \exp\left(-\theta_0 \cdot \frac{\delta^{34}S_{SO_{4(t)}} - \delta^{34}S_{SO_{4(o)}}}{\varepsilon^{34}S_{total}}\right) \cdot \left(\delta^{18}O_{SO_{4(A,E,t)}} - \delta^{18}O_{SO_{4(o)}}\right).$$
(5)

327

The residual sulfate ( $\delta^{18}O_{SO_{4(t)}}$ ) produced by the process of microbial sulfate reduction can thus be a linear function (Equation 4) of the total fractionation factors for sulfur and oxygen isotopes ( $\varepsilon^{34}S_{total}$  and  $\varepsilon^{18}O_{total}$ ), the sulfur isotope composition of residual sulfate ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO_{4(t)}}$ ), the initial isotopic compositions of sulfate ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO_{4(o)}}$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO_{4(o)}}$ ). The oxygen isotope composition of sulfate at apparent equilibrium ( $\delta^{18}O_{SO_{4(A,E_3)}}$ ) and the relationship ( $\theta_0$ ) between oxygen isotope exchange and the rate of sulfate reduction [where  $\theta_0 = (X_1 \cdot X_3)/(1 - X_1 \cdot X_3)$ ] become critical parameters in non-linear datasets (Equation 5).

335

## **336 3. Results**

## 337 Isotopic composition ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$ , $\delta^{8}O_{SO4}$ , $\delta^{8}O_{H2O}$ ) of surface waters

The  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  (22.1%) and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  (16.7%) values of surface-water sulfate collected from the upper meter of the Mahoney Lake water column resembled dissolved sulfate values in the ML pond (Figure 1). In contrast, the isotopic composition of sulfate in Green Lake ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4} = 1.4\%$ ) and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4} = 10.8\%$ ) and Sleeping Lake ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4} = 1.6\%$ ) and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4} = 10.9\%$ ) were relatively depleted in <sup>34</sup>S and <sup>18</sup>O. The low  $\delta^{34}S$  values of Green and Sleeping lakes were consistent with a greenstone sample collected to the east of Mahoney Lake ( $\delta^{34}S_{Greenstone} = 0.8\%$ ).

344

Surface waters collected from shallow domestic wells and numerous lakes in the area exhibit high  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D driven by intense rates of evaporation within the arid Okanagan Valley (Figure 2 and references cited therein). Vertical profiles exhibit little variation within the mixolimnion ( $\delta^{18}O_{H2O} \approx 0.8\%$ ) and decrease abruptly across the chemocline to lower values ( $\delta^{18}O_{H2O} \approx$ -1.5‰) within the monomolimnion (Figure 2; Table 1). The slope of the local evaporation line (4.7) is consistent with evaporation trends observed in hydrologically closed northern latitude lakes (Gibson et al., 2002; Gibson et al., 2005) (Figure 2).

352

### 353 Water column chemistry

354 Representative profiles of water column dissolved oxygen, specific conductivity, temperature, 355 and pH demonstrate the sharp redox contrast between Green Lake and Mahoney Lake (Figure 356 3A). Green Lake is well mixed to a depth of 9 m and oxygenated throughout the water column. In contrast, dissolved oxygen in Mahoney Lake is consumed within 7 m water depth. The 357 specific conductivity of Mahoney surface waters (~ 43 mS/cm) is elevated relative to Green Lake 358 359 salinities by an order of magnitude. Conductivity increased 1.5-fold within the monomolimnion of Mahonev. Water temperatures below the chemocline are isothermal (~ 9°C) and remain highly 360 stable relative to inter-annual fluctuations in surface water temperatures (Northcote & Halsey, 361 362 1969; Northcote & Hall, 1990; Ward et al., 1990).

363

Redox conditions in Mahoney Lake in September 2006 were equivalent to observations made in
July 2008 (compare Figure 3A and 3B). The chemocline was positioned at approximately 7 m

during both years. The maximum sulfide concentrations in the water column were extremelyhigh, ranging from 36 mM (2006) to 41 mM (2008).

368

The plate of purple sulfur bacteria was positioned at the pycnocline where sunlight enters sulfidie water (Figure 3B). Extinction coefficients increased from the mixolimnion (k = 0.400) to the quantitative absorption of sunlight below the plate (k = 3.347) such that less than 0.01% of incident light penetrated below 8 m water depth. Turbidity is highest within the microbial plate (Figure 3B). Abundant levels of polysulfides and elemental sulfur (Overmann et al., 1996; Overmann, 1997) likely contribute to the yellow color of the monomolimnion.

375

Respective chloride and sulfate concentrations averaged 57.7  $\pm$  1.7 mM and 341.8  $\pm$  20.1 mM in the upper 5 m of the mixolinion (Figure 4) of Mahoney Lake. Chloride concentrations increased to approximately 70 mM in the monimolimnion; bottom-water sulfate concentrations were ~500 mM. Molar SO<sub>4</sub>/Cl ratios also increased within the bottom waters. Vertical profiles collected during the two field studies were broadly consistent, with the exception of maxima for sulfate and chloride concentrations positioned above the chemocline at 6.3 to 6.6 m water depth (2006, Figure 4), respectively, coincident with a localized decrease in dissolved oxygen concentrations.

## 384 Isotopic composition ( $\delta^4 S_{H2S}$ , $\delta^4 S_{SO4}$ , $\delta^8 O_{SO4}$ ) of the Mahoney Lake water column

The  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  values for samples collected in September 2006 and July 2008 were similar (Table 1). Relative to the surface, dissolved sulfate exhibited rapid enrichments in <sup>34</sup>S and <sup>18</sup>O across the chemocline and remained fairly constant down to the sediment-water interface (Figure 4).  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  values within the mixolimnion averaged 22.1 ± 0.2% (n = 34) and increased

to 27.7  $\pm$  0.5% (n = 16) within 0.5 m below the chemocline.  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  values averaged 17.1  $\pm$ 389 390 0.4% (n = 34) above the chemocline and increased to 20.0  $\pm$  0.6% (n = 34) within the monimolimnion (7.5 m to bottom). The ~5.6% increase in  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  and ~2.9% increase in 391  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  across the chemocline is consistent with the process of microbial sulfate reduction; 392 393 however, the sulfate concentrations also increased in the bottom waters (Figure 4), even when 394 normalized to a conservative element such as chloride. The expected distillation pattern of 395 sulfate consumption and increasing isotope composition of residual sulfate was not observed, 396 and therefore precluded the calculation of fractionation factors using Rayleigh-type equations 397 (e.g., Mariotti et al., 1981).

398

The  $\delta^{34}$ S value of dissolved sulfide in the water column was -14.8% at the oxic-anoxic interface 399 400 and decreased to a minimum value of -25.1% one meter below the chemocline (8 m, September 2006, Figure 4). The isotopic composition of dissolved sulfide then increased progressively with 401 depth by  $\sim 2\%$  above the sediment-water interface (12 to 13 m depth). The average isotopic 402 offset at the chemocline ( $\Delta^{34}S_{SO4-H2S} = 37.1\%$ ), calculated as the difference between  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  and 403  $\delta^{34}S_{H2S}$ , increased with depth until 7.5 m ( $\Delta^{34}S_{SO4-H2S} = 51\%$ ), where it maintained a constant 404 405 offset throughout the lower water column. The apparent fractionations are consistent with 406 previous results of Overmann et al. (1996), which ranged from 49.4 to 55.5%.

407

## 408 Sedimentary sulfur and pore waters in Mahoney Lake

Solid-phase sulfur concentrations in sediments collected below the euxinic water mass (Cores 2 and 3) were marginally higher than the sulfur content in a core collected above the chemocline (Core 9) (Table 2; Figure 5A). In Cores 2 and 3, AVS averaged  $0.26 \pm 0.06$  wt.% (n = 29),

412 compared to Core 9 concentrations of  $0.12 \pm 0.07$  wt.% (n = 15). Downcore AVS was generally 413 invariant within the anoxic cores relative to the subtle increase in concentrations toward the 414 terminal depth of the oxic core. Pyrite-S concentrations (CRS) were higher in the anoxic cores 415  $(0.32 \pm 0.2 \text{ wt.\%}, n = 19)$  relative to the oxic core  $(0.04 \pm 0.01 \text{ wt.\%}, n = 14)$ . There was a 416 distinct pyrite maximum at 5.5 cm, followed by a near-linear decrease in concentrations within Core 2. The high degree of sulfurization (DOS > 0.7) within Cores 2 and 3 positioned below the 417 monimolimnion, including high values right at the sediment-water interface, is consistent with 418 pyrite that formed in the euxinic water column or at the sediment-water interface (i.e., syngenetic 419 420 pyrite). Mineral sulfide formation increased with sediment depth in oxic Core 9. Coincident with 421 this increase, the DOS generally increased from a surficial value of 0.62 to 0.88 at the base of the 422 core but with a distinct minimum of 0.28 at 9 cm. The high DOS values in Core 9 suggest past 423 euxinic conditions at this presently oxic site (see discussion below).

424

The concentrations and isotope values of sulfate in pore waters (Table 3) extracted from cores 425 collected above and below the current position of the chemocline reflect the chemical 426 427 composition of the overlying water. Specifically, interstitial sulfate concentrations in Cores 2 and 3 (451.6  $\pm$  27 mM) were similar to sulfate levels within the anoxic water column (426.7  $\pm$  53 428 mM). Likewise, within the oxic portion of the lake, Core 9 pore waters  $(300.1 \pm 7 \text{ mM})$  were 429 similar to those within the mixolimnion ( $353.8 \pm 44$  mM). The isotopic composition of pore 430 water sulfate was nearly identical to the respective  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  of the oxic and anoxic 431 432 bottom waters (Figure 5B). Although pore water sulfide concentrations were highly variable within Cores 2 and 3 (14.63  $\pm$  7 mM), dissolved sulfide levels within Core 9 (1.66  $\pm$  0.4 mM) 433 434 were uniform and an order of magnitude lower than those within the euxinic cores (Table 3). The

 $\delta^{34}$ S of pore water sulfide from Cores 2 and 3 (-22.1 ± 2%) was consistent with that of bottom-435 436 water sulfide (-22.5  $\pm$  2.8%) (Figure 5B). Relative to the anoxic bottom waters, however, the isotopic composition of dissolved sulfide in Core 9 pore waters tended toward lower  $\delta^{34}$ S values. 437 438 ranging from -17.9 to -36.0%. PI

439

#### 440 4. Discussion

#### Stable isotope spatial patterns and the Mahonev Lake sulfur supply 441

442 The sulfur inventory of Mahoney Lake is exceptionally large, even when compared to both 443 modern and ancient seawater. Water column sulfide (>30 mM) is two orders of magnitude higher 444 than concentrations measured in the deep waters of the Black Sea (Neretin et al., 2003), and the sulfate pool (>300 mM) is tenfold greater than modern seawater. Although the source of sulfur 445 has yet to be directly analyzed, Mahoney Lake sulfate is thought to be derived from the chemical 446 dissolution of alkaline lavas (Northcote & Hall, 1983) in the western extent of the catchment 447 (Marron Formation, Figure 1). The  $\delta^{34}$ S for such a source should fall near 0%, but intriguingly, 448 the isotopic composition of sulfate in Mahoney Lake ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4} \approx 22\%$ ) is similar to that of 449 modern seawater ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4} = 21\%$ ) (Rees, 1978). Equivalent values ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4} = 19.9\%$ ) were 450 reported for drill-hole fluids (Michel et al., 2002) that infiltrate the White Lake Formation 451 452 (composed of shale, sandstones, and volcanic conglomerates) 5 km to the northwest of the study area (Church, 2002). 453

454

455 The fault beneath both lakes (Figure 1) is a potential pathway for fluid flow into the catchment 456 given that the overall geology of this region is highly fractured and favorable to basin-wide 457 circulation of groundwater and hydrothermal fluids (Michels et al. 2002). Geothermal fluids

458 common to the region have characteristically high  $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$  (Magaritz & Taylor, 1986; Criss et 459 al., 1991) (Figure 2, inset) and are unlikely sources of fluids because the lake water is very 460 similar to meteoric inputs (Figure 2, LMWL). Long-term monitoring of lake levels further 461 confirm that groundwater inflow is the dominant supply of water into Mahoney Lake (Northcote 462 & Hall, 2000).

463

The similarity between  $\delta^{34}$ S of the greenstone (0.8%) and Sleeping Lake sulfate (1.6%) suggests 464 a sulfur source distinct from Mahoney Lake and Mahoney pond, which are both 20% higher and 465 located less than 1 km away (Figure 1). The high  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  values in Mahoney Lake and the 466 adjacent pond were derived either from a sulfur source from the western extent of the catchment 467 or from a precursor sulfate similar to that of Sleeping Lake but that was heavily overprinted by 468 isotopic fractionation during dissimilatory sulfate reduction. The Mahoney pond, perched above 469 the lake, was observed to vary from a dry salt bed (2006) to a shallow pond (2008) during our 470 two visits. Driving the sulfate pool to higher  $\delta^{34}$ S and  $\delta^{18}$ O in both an ephemeral pond and a 471 persistent lake would require similar redox conditions and organic matter availability. However, 472 climatic controls in the region suggest that the Mahoney pond likely remained dry for extended 473 474 periods relative to the more stable water balance of Mahoney Lake. As such, sulfate pools in the 475 two settings would evolve on different timescales and under different conditions, and therefore dissimilar  $\delta^{34}$ S and  $\delta^{18}$ O values should result. We are left with the likelihood that sulfate-rich 476 477 waters in Mahoney Lake are delivered from weathering products derived from formations 478 located to the west of the fault that bisects the catchment.

Our study captured the steady-state isotopic variability ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$ ,  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ , and  $\delta^{34}S_{H2S}$ ) of microbial processes within the Mahoney Lake water column during late summer to early fall. A turbidity maximum (Figure 3B) that coincides with the purple layer, the first appearance of dissolved sulfide, and near complete light attenuation, is proxy evidence for increased microbial biomass at the oxic-anoxic interface. The microbial plate (at 7 m) absorbs available light almost completely (Figure 3B), thus inhibiting further autotrophic production deeper in the water column.

488

489 Microbial activity within the plate follows a seasonal pattern marked by peak productivity by 490 purple sulfur bacteria during the late spring through early summer (Overmann et al., 1996). 491 Through concomitant degradation of this biomass, sulfate reduction in the plate also becomes 492 quantitatively important in late spring but extends through early fall (Overmann et al., 1991; 493 Overmann et al., 1996). Primary production by purple sulfur bacteria is most intense at the top of 494 the plate and is regulated by incoming solar radiation and the upward flux of dissolved sulfide 495 transported from the monimolimnion (Overmann et al., 1991; Overmann et al., 1996). Mass 496 balance estimates (Overmann et al., 1994; Overmann et al., 1996) as well as metagenomic data (Hamilton et al., 2014) indicate that chemoautotrophy is a significant sulfide oxidation pathway 497 within the plate—in addition to anoxygenic photosynthesis. 498

499

500 Comparison of rates of sulfate reduction (i.e., sulfide production) and anoxygenic photosynthetic 501 productivity suggests that sulfate reduction is carbon-limited (Overmann et al., 1991; Overmann 502 et al., 1996; Hamilton et al., 2014). Measured rates of carbon fixation and heterotrophic activity 503 reveal that the carbon demand by sulfate reducers is greater than the amount of carbon fixed

during the summer; however, annual fixation rates (33.5 g C  $m^{-2}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>) are sufficient to satisfy the 504 demand of sulfate reducers within the microbial plate (22.5 g C  $m^{-2}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Overmann et al., 1996). 505 506 Details of the extant microbial community were further refined by a recent study of 16s rRNA 507 genes, which demonstrated that the Mahoney sulfur cycle is mediated by phototrophic sulfide 508 oxidizers and oxidation of sulfide and intermediates by Epsilonproteobacteria and 509 Deltaproteobacteria at the chemocline (7m) (Klepac-Ceraj et al., 2012). Microbiological 510 evidence was also observed for sulfate reducers throughout the monimolimnion and within the sediments (Klepac-Ceraj et al., 2012; Hamilton et al., 2014). Although direct measurements of 511 512 sulfate reduction rates and biomass enumerations are needed to determine the relative roles of 513 these microorganisms, the genetic data confirm the activity of sulfate reducers within the lower NP water column and the sediments. 514

515

516 The co-occurring processes of microbial sulfide production and sulfide oxidation provide a unique opportunity to study sulfur redox chemistry in a highly sulfidic natural system. Isotope 517 patterns of sulfate and sulfide indicate active sulfate reduction at the oxic-anoxic interface in the 518 water column (Figure 4). In our study, rapid increases in  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  (~5%) and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  (~3%) 519 520 stabilized to constant values within the first 0.5 m below the chemocline. The relatively uniform sulfur isotope values for the sulfide in the deeper monimolimnion also increased at the 521 522 chemocline by 7 to 9.5%. These isotope patterns are best explained by a combination of 523 fractionations that occur during sulfate reduction and sulfide oxidation.

524

525 Initial experiments with laboratory cultures suggested that the isotope effect that accompanies dissimilatory sulfate reduction produces offsets between sulfate and sulfide ( $\Delta^{34}S_{SO4-H2S}$ ) of up to 526

527 ~46% (Kaplan & Rittenberg, 1964b; Chambers et al., 1975) and that these results may reflect the 528 maximum fractionations possible by sulfate reduction alone in the lab or natural settings 529 (Canfield, 2001). However, recent culture experiments (Sim et al., 2011; Leavitt et al., 2013) 530 and work in natural environments (Canfield et al., 2010) demonstrate fractionations of 60-70% 531 for sulfate reduction alone. Metabolic models for sulfate uptake followed by a series of 532 enzymatic reduction steps within the cell that reduce sulfite and ultimately excrete sulfide (Rees, 533 1973) may under-predict the magnitude of fractionation found in the natural environment (65-534 70%) (Rudnicki et al., 2001; Wortmann et al., 2001). Brunner and Bernasconi (2005) reassessed 535 biochemical pathways and fractionation effects that accompany reduction of sulfite to sulfide via 536 the trithionate pathway and extended the potential fractionations up to 70%. Network reaction models that incorporated multiple sulfur isotopes ( ${}^{32}S$ ,  ${}^{33}S$ ,  ${}^{34}S$ , and  ${}^{36}S$ ) (Farguhar et al., 2003; 537 538 Farquhar et al., 2007; Johnston et al., 2007) improved the ability to model internal sulfur 539 transformations, yet the relevant consequences of the enzyme dissimilatory sulfite reductase, which catalyzes the reduction of sulfite to sulfide, remain to be fully explored and understood 540 (Bradley et al., 2011). The models discussed above establish the theoretical constraints on sulfur 541 isotope effects during sulfate reduction, but the full expression of  $\delta^{34}$ S fractionation may also 542 543 reflect environmental variables such as sulfate reduction rates (Kaplan & Rittenberg, 1964a; Kemp & Thode, 1968), carbon substrate (Aharon & Fu, 2000; Bolliger et al., 2001; Detmers et 544 545 al., 2001), environmental conditions and microbial community structure (Brüchert et al., 2001; 546 Detmers et al., 2001), and the size of the sulfate reservoir (Harrison & Thode, 1958; Habicht et 547 al., 2002).

549 The oxidative sulfur cycle may further expand the isotopic difference between sulfate and 550 sulfide. The presence of dissolved oxygen and purple sulfur bacteria at the redox interface of 551 Mahoney Lake promotes abiotically and biotically mediated sulfide oxidation. However, sulfur 552 isotope effects associated with sulfide oxidation are small  $(\pm 5\%)$ . For example, direct chemical 553 oxidation of aqueous sulfide with molecular oxygen can increase  $\delta^{34}S_{H2S}$  by 5% (Fry et al., 1988b), and purple sulfur bacteria typically produce residual  $\delta^{34}S_{H2S}$  that is 2-5% lower than the 554 product sulfate (Fry et al., 1984; Fry, 1986; Fry et al., 1988a; Zerkle et al., 2009; Zerkle et al., 555 556 2010; Brabec et al., 2012). Much larger fractionations are expected for sulfur disproportionation. For example, the simultaneous oxidation and reduction of sulfite produces <sup>34</sup>S-enriched sulfate 557 (7-12‰) and <sup>34</sup>S-depleted sulfide (20-37‰) (Habicht et al., 1998). Combined transformations of 558 559 reduction, reooxidation, and disproportionation have been invoked to explain large net isotopic 560 offsets between sulfate and sulfide (Canfield & Thamdrup, 1994), particularly if the redox cycle 561 is repeated multiple times.

562

Chemical (Zhang & Millero, 1994) and microbial (Zopfi et al., 2001) sulfide oxidation at the 563 chemocline generates intermediate sulfur compounds  $(S^0, SO_3^{2-}, S_2O_3^{2-})$  that can undergo 564 565 disproportionation; however, biological and environmental conditions in Mahoney Lake 566 potentially preclude or limit disproportionation to a very narrow zone within the uppermost portion of the chemocline. For example, purple sulfur bacteria oxidize sulfide directly to 567 568 elemental sulfur, producing only very low levels of thiosulfate in Mahoney Lake ( $<1 - 20 \mu$ M) 569 - levels that can inhibit thiosulfate disproportionation (Overmann et al., 1996). Furthermore, the 570 high sulfide levels within the monimolimnion, well in excess of the sulfide tolerance (~1 mM) 571 for either elemental sulfur or thiosulfate disproportionators (Thamdrup et al., 1993), likely

572 restricts disproportionators to the upper-cm of the chemocline. That said, the spatial resolution of 573 our sampling methods (10 to 100 cm) might not capture the microbial signatures or specific 574 chemical conditions at the top of the sulfide interface where sulfur disproportionating organisms 575 could be active.

576

577 The microbial process of sulfate reduction and associated rates appear to be to have the greatest 578 influence on the isotopic patterns observed in this hyper-euxinic lake. The apparent fractionation 579 between sulfate and sulfide (37.1 to 39.5%) at the chemocline increased to 51% in water layers 580 below the chemocline and remained fairly uniform throughout the monimolimnion (Figure 6). 581 Consistent with previous results from Mahoney that ranged from 49 to 55% for coeval sulfate and sulfide sampled at the chemocline and 12 m water depth (Overmann et al., 1996), our data 582 expand the vertical resolution and capture the increase in  $\delta^{34}S_{H2S}$  values at the chemocline. The 583 584 isotopic offset between oxidized and reduced sulfur in Mahoney Lake is similar to the large fractionations reported for euxinic marine water columns such as in the Black Sea, Cariaco 585 Basin, Framvaren Fjord, Mariager Fjord, and the Orca Basin (Sweeney & Kaplan, 1980; Sheu et 586 587 al., 1988; Fry et al., 1991; Mandernack et al., 2003; Neretin et al., 2003; Sørensen & Canfield, 588 2004; Li et al., 2010), as well as euxinic lakes such as Lake Cadagno, Crawford Lake, and 589 Fayetteville Green Lake (Deevey et al., 1963; Fry, 1986; Dickman & Thode, 1990; Canfield et 590 al., 2010; Zerkle et al., 2010). Mahoney Lake has the highest concentrations of dissolved sulfide 591 (>30 mM) and sulfate (> 300 mM) among these stratified water bodies. The large, effectively 592 infinite sulfate reservoir in Mahoney Lake would preclude significant reservoir effects during 593 sulfate reduction. When all these observations are considered, our favored interpretation is that 594 large fractionations are dominantly instantaneous, occurring via sulfate reduction alone without

595 contributions from disproportionation in the sediment and water column. For these reasons, 596 sulfate reduction exerts the greatest control on  $\delta^{34}$ S fractionation in Mahoney Lake.

597

Paired  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  data offer an additional constraint on the microbial redox cycle within 598 599 Mahoney Lake. The low temperature and near-neutral pH of the Mahoney water column measured during our studies (pH 7-9, 9-24°C), as well as during long-term monitoring 600 601 (Northcote & Halsey, 1969; Northcote & Hall, 1983), suggest that sulfate-oxygen has not undergone abiotic equilibrium exchange and thus records biogenic oxygen isotope effects. Under 602 603 anoxic conditions, sulfate-oxygen can fractionate during sulfate reduction, and the extent of 604 enrichment is controlled by kinetic isotope effects imparted during intracellular enzymatic steps and/or equilibrium oxygen exchange with water. Mizutani and Rafter (1969) proposed a 1:4 605  $(\delta^{18}O_{SO4};\delta^{34}S_{SO4} = 0.25)$  kinetic relationship between the  $\delta^{34}S$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  of sulfate based on an 606 assumption of preferential <sup>16</sup>O-bond rupture and the stoichiometry of the sulfate molecule. The 607 theoretical  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ :  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  slope of 0.25 suggests the  $\delta^{34}S$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  of residual sulfate evolves in 608 a linear relationship during sulfate reduction; however, studies of natural samples tend to reveal a 609 610 curvilinear response that implies equilibrium isotope exchange regulates the oxygen isotope 611 composition of residual sulfate (Böttcher et al., 1998; Brunner et al., 2005; Brunner et al., 2012; 612 Antler et al., 2013). Culturing experiments and reactive transport models of natural samples point to oxygen isotope exchange between water and metabolic intermediates (APS, AMP, and sulfite) 613 that buffers the  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  to a maximum value determined by the ambient  $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$  value and 614 615 associated fractionation factors (Mizutani & Rafter, 1973; Fritz et al., 1989; Brunner et al., 2005; 616 Knöller et al., 2006; Wortmann et al., 2007; Turchyn et al., 2010). The combined effects of 617 Rayleigh-type kinetic isotope fractionations and oxygen isotope exchange during sulfate

reduction thus results in non-linear  $\delta^{18}$ O- $\delta^{34}$ S arrays. In studies of marine pore waters, sulfate 618 approaches a plateau in  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ , in apparent equilibrium with seawater, as  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  evolves 619 620 toward higher values (Zak et al., 1980; Böttcher et al., 1998; Blake et al., 2006; Riedinger et al., 2010; Wehrmann et al., 2011; Antler et al., 2013). Empirical equations based on high 621 622 temperature exchange experiments between sulfate and water (Lloyd, 1968; Mizutani, 1972) and quantum mechanical calculations (Zeebe, 2010) can constrain the  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  value in equilibrium 623 with ambient water. These relationships (Lloyd, 1968; Mizutani, 1972; Zeebe, 2010) yield 624 apparent equilibrium  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  values ranging between 24 to 36% for the temperature and isotopic 625 626 composition of anoxic water (about 9°C and -2‰) in Mahoney Lake. These equilibrium values overestimate the observed  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  by 3 to 15%. 627

628

Instead, we observe near-linear patterns of  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  and  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  in the water columns sampled in 629 fall and summer (Figure 7), which is a trend more consistent with high sulfate reduction rates 630 631 than equilibrium isotope exchange (Böttcher et al., 1998; Brunner & Bernasconi, 2005; Brunner et al., 2012; Antler et al., 2013). The model arrays represent a range of possible solutions for the 632 633 internal cycling of sulfur during microbial sulfate reduction (Figure 7). Steep slopes (Figure 7A, Trend B) with large changes in  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  relative to those in  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  are consistent with lower rates 634 635 of sulfate reduction and enhanced recycling of intracellular sulfur (Antler et al., 2013). Conversely, low-angle slopes (Figure 7A, Trend A) with little change in  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  over large 636 changes in  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  are observed in systems with rapid sulfate reduction rates and minimal 637 638 recycling of intracellular sulfur (Antler et al., 2013).

In addition to the behavior in  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ ,  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$ , the extent of instantaneous fractionation between 640 641 water column sulfate and sulfide lends support to our interpretation of high sulfate reduction 642 rates in Mahoney Lake. It is well demonstrated that sulfur isotope fractionation factors are inversely proportional to the rates of sulfate reduction (Sim et al., 2011; Leavitt et al., 2013), 643 644 which is in turn dependent on the availability and reactivity of organic matter (e.g., Overmann, 1997; Hamilton et al., 2014). We observed sulfide with high  $\delta^{34}$ S (-14.8%) and the smallest 645 isotopic offset between sulfate and sulfide (37.1%) at the chemocline (Figure 6), which may 646 reflect the rapid rates of sulfate reduction in that portion of the water column. The increase in 647  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  and  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  thus depends on the localized activity of sulfate reducers. The process of 648 microbial sulfate reduction may occur at the redoxcline in the water column, throughout the 649 water column, and possibly in the sediments as well. The anoxic basins of the Black Sea and 650 651 Cariaco Basin serve as templates for recognizing such hotspots of microbial activity. Sulfate 652 reduction rates measured in the Black Sea revealed distinct zones of sulfate reduction within the chemocline and at the sediment-water interface (Albert et al., 1995). Volumetrically, the rates of 653 654 sulfate reduction within the sediments of the Black Sea are three-fold greater than those within the water column, suggesting that much of the sulfide in the water column is delivered via 655 656 diffusion from sulfate reduction in the sediments (Albert et al., 1995). Similar zones and modes of sulfide production are postulated for the Caricaco Basin (Fry et al., 1991; Li et al., 2010). The 657 658 microbial diversity of Mahoney Lake suggests that sulfate reducing bacteria are distributed 659 throughout the anoxic water column and sediments (Hamilton et al., 2014). The near-linear isotope arrays for sulfate with  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ :  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  slopes ranging between 0.47 (July 2008; R<sup>2</sup> = 660 0.94) and 0.60 (September 2006;  $R^2 = 0.96$ ) (Figure 7) are consistent with high sulfate reduction 661 rates in the water column. 662

663

664 It is intriguing that the slope shifts from low values in the summer (Figure 7C) to higher values in fall (Figure 7B). The shallower  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ :  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  slope in the summer implies sulfate reduction 665 666 rates that are relatively higher than those in the fall. Overmann et al. (1996) observed that sulfate 667 reduction rates peak during the summer after the spring bloom of purple sulfur bacteria, and then 668 decrease in the fall as photosynthetic activity diminishes. Labile organic acids released from the degradation of purple sulfur bacteria provide the primary carbon substrate mineralized by sulfate 669 reducers (Overmann et al., 1996). Previous studies demonstrate that linear  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ :  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  slopes 670 671 are sensitive to the quantity and reactivity of organic matter mineralized during sulfate reduction (Aharon & Fu, 2000; Antler et al., 2014). The change in the  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ : $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  slope of residual 672 sulfate reported here thus may track the seasonal activity of sulfate reduction stimulated by the 673 674 localized primary productivity of anoxygenic phototrophs within the plate. Dedicated seasonal sampling may capture a more robust isotopic signature of evolving sulfate reduction rates. 675 676 Likewise, our modeled data could be re-evaluated as separate but coupled trajectories for the 677 chemocline and deeper sulfide production within the bottom waters and surficial sediments; 678 however, the current data set lacks the spatial resolution to make this determination. The 679 potentially distinct zones and rates of reduction might be revealed within higher resolution  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  and  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  data collected at the sub-cm scale. 680

681

682 Close inspection of the isotope variability near the chemocline does reveal that  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  varies 683 more than  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  (Figure 7A). The 2-3‰ increase in  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  and lack of response in  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$ 684 implies sulfate reduction and near quantitative reoxidation to sulfate (i.e., no net sulfur isotope 685 fractionation). Oxygen isotope fraction during disproportionation can be large (up to 21‰)

(Böttcher et al., 2001) and therefore do not agree with the smaller isotope effects observed here, consistent with our earlier arguments against appreciable disproportionation. Below 7 m water depth, both  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  and  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  follow a sulfate reduction trend of increasing values. Therefore, although there is clear evidence for robust activity of sulfur oxidizing bacteria, the prevailing process of microbial sulfate reduction masks the isotopic fingerprint.

691

We acknowledge that thermodynamic equilibrium can achieve large isotopic offsets (~ 75%) 692 693 between sulfate and sulfide (Ohmoto & Lasaga, 1982; Chu et al., 2004; Farquhar et al., 2007; Johnston et al., 2007; Leavitt et al., 2014) in the absence of biological activity. The extremely 694 695 high concentrations of aqueous sulfur compounds (including sulfate, sulfide, and polysulfide) 696 within our study area makes Mahoney Lake a candidate system for the consideration of abiotic 697 isotope fractionation. Equilibrium isotope exchange between sulfate-sulfide depends upon pH 698 and temperature and can equilibrate within days under acidic conditions (pH = 2) and high temperature (>300°C) (Ohmoto & Lasaga, 1982). However, at normal Earth surface 699 700 temperatures (25°C) and neutral pH, near quantitative exchange (90%) between aqueous sulfate and sulfide is exceedingly slow, taking on the order of  $10^9$  years (Ohmoto & Lasaga, 1982), 701 702 which is well in excess of the age of Mahoney Lake  $(10^4 \text{ years})$ . The proposed mechanism for 703 sulfate-sulfide exchange may occur through a transient thiosulfate intermediate (Ohmoto & 704 Lasaga, 1982) or polysulfides (Chu et al., 2004); however, controlled experiments needed to 705 further constrain these processes remain to be conducted. One recent study shows that sulfur 706 isotope exchange may be possible during thiosulfate disproportionation but mass balance 707 considerations suggest that the isotope effects are kinetic (Leavitt et al., 2014). Based on the

current understanding of the sulfate-sulfide system, the isotope offsets in Mahoney Lake are thus
 most consistent with biologically meditated sulfur cycling.

710

### 711 Linking the pelagic S cycle with the sedimentary record

The large size of the sulfate and sulfide reservoir defines the isotopic composition of the pore waters within both the oxygenated (Core 9) and the anoxic (Cores 2 and 3) portions of the lake. Pore water  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  values are identical to the isotopic compositions of sulfate from the mixolimnion or the monimoliniom (Figure 5B). Relative to Core 9 collected from the oxic margin of Mahoney Lake, pore waters in Cores 2 and 3 are <sup>34</sup>S- and <sup>18</sup>O-enriched. The comparatively higher  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  are likely driven by fractionations during sulfate reduction.

719

 $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  data for the water column and associated pore waters plot along mixing trajectories 720 specific to the oxic and anoxic study sites (Figure 8A). Sulfate in the oxic water column has 721 uniform  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  over a broad range of sulfate concentrations. Core 9 pore waters have  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$ 722 values identical to those of the mixolimnion. Sulfate in the anoxic water column becomes <sup>34</sup>S-723 enriched as sulfate concentrations increase with depth. Pore waters all have high sulfate 724 concentrations with high  $\delta^{34}$ S values. Mixing patterns in  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  (Figure 8B) show similar 725 726 trends, albeit with greater scatter in the isotope data. Although the sulfate concentrations within 727 the pore waters remain high and the sulfate isotope data follow patterns of mixing, the pore water  $\delta^{34}S_{H2S}$  values in the oxic margin (Core 9) are highly variable (Figure 6) and decrease depth 728 729 (Figure 5B), indicating active sulfide production within the sediments. As discussed above, the 730 activity of sulfate reduction within the plate appears be tied to the productivity of purple sulfur

bacteria, this relationship does not preclude the burial of reactive organic compounds thatescaped oxidation in the water column.

733

734 The dissolved sulfide pool is also large within the monimolimnion and the pore waters within Cores 2 and 3. Similarity between  $\delta^{34}S_{H2S}$  in the water column and the pore waters suggests that 735 736 isotopic compositions are buffered by the large sulfide concentrations within the euxinic water mass (Figure 5B). The concentrations of sedimentary dissolved sulfide, AVS, and pyrite beneath 737 the euxinic waters are appreciable relative to those in the sediments of the oxic margin. The  $\delta^{34}S$ 738 739 of pyrite, within our small errors, is the same as the composition of water column sulfide (Figure 740 5A). High DOS values (~0.8) within Cores 2 and 3 suggest water column pyrite synthesis within 741 a euxinic water column. Although the data suggest that pyrite formation is ultimately Fe limited, 742 the high DOS values also suggest that an iron enrichment mechanism perhaps like that observed 743 in the modern Black Sea (e.g., Lyons & Severmann, 2006) may be operating in the lake.

744

Seasonal recycling by reductive dissolution and oxidation may transport iron into the deeper lake 745 746 from the oxic margin; however, a benthic shallow-to-deep iron flux at the small scale of this basin has yet to be described elsewhere. The low and invariant  $\delta^{34}$ S of pyrite within both anoxic 747 748 cores further supports our interpretation of water column pyrite formation (Lyons, 1997; Lyons et al., 2003). In contrast, pore water sulfide in Core 9 becomes more <sup>34</sup>S-depleted with depth, and 749 750 AVS accumulates with depth, implying sulfide generation in the sediments. The DOS values 751 within the upper 15 cm of the sediment column also increase down core, consistent with 752 diagenetic pyrite formation, and eventually reach high values more typical of water-column 753 pyrite formation in the euxinic part of the basin (0.8). A shoaling of the chemocline when water

754 levels were higher likely explains the down-core transition to higher DOS in the now oxic core. 755 Modern observations show the lake experiences long-term volumetric changes. Lake level has 756 dropped more than 5 m since 1961 (Northcote & Hall, 1990; Northcote & Hall, 2000; Northcote 757 & Hall, 2010). Decadal fluctuations in lake height potentially control the vertical position of the 758 chemocline and thus the expansion (when lake levels are high) or contraction (when lake levels 759 are low) of the volume of the euxinic bottom waters. The lateral displacement of the chemocline 760 from depo-center to margin, as tracked by excursions in DOS, may coincide with regional 761 drought. Shoaling events are well documented for the Black Sea (Lyons et al., 1993) and, in 762 Mahoney, are likely caused by changing lake volume. ~

763

#### Long-term stability of the chemocline 764

The dissolved sulfide concentrations of >30 mM are considerable and represent extreme euxinic 765 766 conditions. Very high sulfide concentrations within the anoxic bottom waters are consistent with previous reports (Northcote & Halsey, 1969; Northcote & Hall, 1983); however, we also 767 768 detected free sulfide at isolated depths in the mixolimnion at concentrations ranging 3 to  $62 \,\mu M$ 769 (Table 1, Figure 3). The low but analytically detectable sulfide levels (>  $2 \mu$ M) may reflect 770 sulfide released from aggregates of purple sulfur bacteria transported into the oxic surface waters 771 by wind-blown mixing. During both field trips, we observed aggregates of purple sulfur bacteria 772 floating within the mixolimnion and deposited along the shoreline. Overmann et al. (1994) 773 reported that the majority of purple sulfur bacteria were photosynthetically inactive during late 774 summer, and approximately 86% of the biomass was dispersed from the plate into the upper 775 water column.

777 Redox instability is part of the history of Mahoney Lake. Paleoreconstructions suggest anoxic 778 conditions commenced with the formation of Mahoney Lake following glacial retreat 11,000 779 years ago. Diatom and midge sediment archives record elevated salinities (~10 g/L) throughout 780 much of the Holocene (Heinrichs et al., 1997) and imply that aridity is a persistent feature of the 781 regional climate. Okenone abundances indicate several purple sulfur bacteria blooms and periods 782 of meromixis lasting approximately 1000 years (Overmann et al., 1993; Coolen & Overmann, 783 1998). The periodicity found in purple sulfur biomarkers is consistent with the absence of 784 laminations during low stands and implies that wind-driven mixing destabilized the stratification 785 (Dickman, 1985; Lowe et al., 1997). Ň

786

#### 787 **5.** Conclusions

788 We studied the isotope composition of sulfate and sulfide within the water column and sediments 789 of a hyper-euxinic lake. Our analysis of surface water samples collected from four lakes located 790 within the same catchment indicates that the sulfate in Mahoney Lake is derived from rock units 791 in the western portion of the watershed. The sulfate (>300 mM) and sulfide (>30 mM) concentrations in the Mahoney Lake water column are extremely high and unlike sulfur 792 793 inventories postulated for the Proterozoic and Archean oceans; however, the sulfide availability 794 within the photic zone coupled within a highly active microbial community of sulfate reducing 795 and sulfur phototrophic bacteria provide an ideal natural laboratory for studying biological 796 processes thought to be prevalent in euxinic seas. Our data revealed that although there is clearly 797 a very active plate of purple sulfur bacteria, the associated isotopic biosignature is completely 798 overprinted by the relatively large sulfur and oxygen isotope fractionations associated with sulfate reducing bacteria. The seasonal  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ - $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  patterns observed in water column 799

suggest that sulfate reduction rates (low  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}{:}\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  slope) are high during the summer and 800 decrease in the fall (high  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ :  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  slope). The decrease in the offset between sulfate and 801 sulfide ( $\Delta^{34}S_{SO4-H2S} = 37\%$ ) near the chemocline is also consistent with higher rates of sulfate 802 803 reduction (and an associated decrease in fractionation at higher rates). Previous studies 804 demonstrate that productivity of sulfate reducers is dependent upon carbon inputs from purple 805 sulfur bacteria. Although the isotope signatures of sulfate reduction mask the relatively small isotope effects produced during sulfide oxidation, the linear correlation between  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  and 806  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  is potentially influenced by the primary production of anoxygenic photosynthetic 807 bacteria. As with the water column, the  $\delta^{34}$ S of sedimentary pyrite reflects the process of sulfate 808 809 reduction. Estimates of the degree of sulfurization (DOS > 0.7) suggest that the deep basin 810 sediments pyrites likely form in the water column and settle to the sediments. Although a mechanism for reactive iron delivery within a small basin such as Mahoney Lake has yet to be 811 812 fully explored, the trend toward higher DOS within sediments collected from the oxic margin 813 suggests lake level (and water availability) influences the expansion/contraction of the euxinic 814 water mass.

815

As a result of strong overprinting by microbial sulfate reduction, there is not an obvious stable isotope signature for photosynthetic sulfide oxidation in Mahoney Lake that could be incorporated into the sedimentary record. In effect, the isotopic expression of sulfate reduction integrated over the entire anoxic water column and under non-sulfate-limiting conditions masks the isotopic effects of phototrophic sulfide oxidation within the  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ - $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  system. However, there is clear evidence within seasonal response of  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ - $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$  for extensive sulfur cycling through phototrophic sulfide oxidation in Mahoney Lake, suggesting that if the difficult

task of constraining ambient  $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$  (Brabec et al., 2012) can be surmounted (through, for example, combined clumped isotope paleothermometry and  $\delta^{18}O$  analysis of carbonate phases) the combined sulfur and oxygen isotope systematics of sedimentary sulfate may ultimately provide an archive of the prevalence and magnitude of photosynthetic sulfur cycling during Earth's history.

828

#### 829 Acknowledgements

We thank BC Ministry of Environment Area Supervisors R. Gunoff and M. Weston for access to 830 Mahoney Lake and their generous field support. S. Bates, A. Chappaz, A. Dekas, G. Druschel, 831 832 D. Fike, B. Gill, J. Glass, M. McKibben, N. Planavsky, N. Riedinger, and A. Vossmeyer assisted 833 in the field or laboratory. Hydrolabs were provided by M. Anderson UCR, and LacCore (National Lacustrine Core Facility, Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of 834 835 Minnesota-Twin Cities). The manuscript benefited from helpful discussions with K. Hall, T. Northcote, C. Alpers, K. Mandernack, and J. Overmann. We also thank D. Johnston and two 836 837 anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful and constructive reviews. Funding for our research was provided by NASA Exobiology and the NASA Astrobiology Institute (TL), the American 838 839 Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund (48736-ND2 to TL and WPG), and an Agouron 840 Institute Geobiology Fellowship (WPG).

841

## 842 **References**

843

Aharon P, Fu B (2000) Microbial sulfate reduction rates and sulfur and oxygen isotope
fractionations at oil and gas seeps in deepwater Gulf of Mexico. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 64, 233-246.

- Albert DB, Taylor C, Martens CS (1995) Sulfate reduction rates and low molecular weight fatty
   acid concentrations in the water column and surficial sediments of the Black Sea. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 42, 1239-1260.
- Antler G, Turchyn AV, Herut B, Davies A, Rennie VC, Sivan O (2014) Sulfur and oxygen
  isotope tracing of sulfate driven anaerobic methane oxidation in estuarine sediments. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 142, 4-11.
- Antler G, Turchyn AV, Rennie V, Herut B, Sivan O (2013) Coupled sulfur and oxygen isotope
   insight into bacterial sulfate reduction in the natural environment. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **118**, 98-117.
- Balci N, Mayer B, Shanks Iii WC, Mandernack KW (2012) Oxygen and sulfur isotope
  systematics of sulfate produced during abiotic and bacterial oxidation of sphalerite and
  elemental sulfur. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 77, 335-351.
- Balci N, Shanks WC, Iii, Mayer B, Mandernack KW (2007) Oxygen and sulfur isotope
  systematics of sulfate produced by bacterial and abiotic oxidation of pyrite. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **71**, 3796-3811.
- Bekker A, Holland HD, Wang PL, Rumble D, Stein HJ, Hannah JL, Coetzee LL, Beukes NJ
  (2004) Dating the rise of atmospheric oxygen. *Nature*, 427, 117-120.
- Berner RA (1970) Sedimentary pyrite formation. Am J Sci, 268, 1-23.
- Blake RE, Surkov AV, Böttcher ME, Ferdelman TG, Jørgensen BB (2006) Oxygen isotope
  composition of dissolved sulfate in deep-sea sediments: eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean.
  In: *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results* (eds Jørgensen BB,
  D'hondt SL, Miller DJ), pp. 1-23.
- Boesen C, Postma D (1988) Pyrite formation in anoxic environments of the Baltic. *Am J Sci*,
  288, 575-603.
- Bolliger C, Schroth MH, Bernasconi SM, Kleikemper J, Zeyer J (2001) Sulfur isotope
  fractionation during microbial sulfate reduction by toluene-degrading bacteria. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 65, 3289-3298.
- Böttcher ME, Oelschläger B, Höpner T, Brumsack H-J, Rullkötter J (1998) Sulfate reduction
  related to the early diagenetic degradation of organic matter and "black spot" formation in
  tidal sandflats of the German Wadden Sea (southern North Sea): stable isotope (13C,
  34S, 18O) and other geochemical results. *Organic Geochemistry*, 29, 1517-1530.
- Böttcher ME, Thamdrup B, Vennemann TW (2001) Oxygen and sulfur isotope fractionation
   during anaerobic bacterial disproportionation of elemental sulfur. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 65, 1601-1609.
- Bottrell SH, Newton RJ (2006) Reconstruction of changes in global sulfur cycling from marine
   sulfate isotopes. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **75**, 59-83.
- Brabec MY, Lyons TW, Mandernack KW (2012) Oxygen and Sulfur Isotope Fractionation
  during Sulfide Oxidation by Anoxygenic Phototrophic Bacteria. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 83, 234-251.
- Bradley AS, Leavitt WD, Johnston DT (2011) Revisiting the dissimilatory sulfate reduction
  pathway. *Geobiology*, 9, 446-457.
- Brocks JJ, Love GD, Summons RE, Knoll AH, Logan GA, Bowden SA (2005) Biomarker
  evidence for green and purple sulphur bacteria in a stratified Palaeoproterozoic sea. *Nature*, 437, 866-870.

- Brocks JJ, Schaeffer P (2008) Okenane, a biomarker for purple sulfur bacteria (Chromatiaceae),
  and other new carotenoid derivatives from the 1640 Ma Barney Creek Formation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 72, 1396-1414.
- Brüchert V, Knoblauch C, Jørgensen BB (2001) Controls on stable sulfur isotope fractionation
  during bacterial sulfate reduction in Arctic sediments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 65, 763-776.
- Brunner B, Bernasconi SM (2005) A revised isotope fractionation model for dissimilatory sulfate
   reduction in sulfate reducing bacteria. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 69, 4759 4771.
- Brunner B, Bernasconi SM, Kleikemper J, Schroth MH (2005) A model for oxygen and sulfur
   isotope fractionation in sulfate during bacterial sulfate reduction processes. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **69**, 4773-4785.
- Brunner B, Einsiedl F, Arnold GL, Müller I, Templer S, Bernasconi SM (2012) The reversibility
   of dissimilatory sulphate reduction and the cell-internal multi-step reduction of sulphite
   to sulphide: insights from the oxygen isotope composition of sulphate. *Isotopes in environmental and health studies*, 48, 33-54.
- Calmels D, Gaillardet J, Brenot A, France-Lanord C (2007) Sustained sulfide oxidation by
   physical erosion processes in the Mackenzie River basin: Climatic perspectives. *Geology*,
   35, 1003-1006.
- 910 Canfield DE (1998) A new model for Proterozoic ocean chemistry. *Nature*, **396**, 450-453.
- 911 Canfield DE (2001) Biogeochemistry of Sulfur Isotopes. In: *Stable Isotope Geochemistry* (eds
   912 Valley JW, Cole DR). Mineralogical Society of America, Washington DC, pp. 607-636.
- Canfield DE, Farquhar J (2009) Animal evolution, bioturbation, and the sulfate concentration of
   the oceans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **106**, 8123-8127.
- 915 Canfield DE, Farquhar J, Zerkle AL (2010) High isotope fractionations during sulfate reduction
   916 in a low-sulfate euxinic ocean analog. *Geology*, 38, 415-418.
- Canfield DE, Raiswell R, Westrich JT, Reaves CM, Berner RA (1986) The use of chromium
   reduction in the analysis of reduced inorganic sulfur in sediments and shales. *Chemical Geology*, 54, 149-155.
- Canfield DE, Teske A (1996) Late Proterozoic rise in atmospheric oxygen concentration inferred
   from phylogenetic and sulphur-isotope studies. *Nature* 382, 127-132.
- Canfield DE, Thamdrup B (1994) The Production of <sup>34</sup>S-Depleted Sulfide During Baterial
   Disproportionation of Elemental Sulfur. *Science*, 266, 1973-1975.
- Chambers LA, Trudinger PA, Smith JW, Burns MS (1975) Fractionation of sulfur isotopes by
   continuous cultures of Desulfovibrio desulfuricans. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*,
   21, 1602-1607.
- 927 Chanton J, Martens C (1985) The effects of heat and stannous chloride addition on the active
  928 distillation of acid volatile sulfide from pyrite-rich marine sediment samples.
  929 *Biogeochemistry*, 1, 375-382-382.
- Chiba H, Sakai H (1985) Oxygen isotope exchange rate between dissolved sulfate and water at
  hydrothermal temperatures. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **49**, 993-1000.
- Chu X, Ohmoto H, Cole DR (2004) Kinetics of sulfur isotope exchange between aqueous sulfide
   and thiosulfate involving intra-and intermolecular reactions at hydrothermal conditions.
   *Chemical Geology*, 211, 217-235.
- 935 Church BN (2002) Geoscience Map 2002-5: Geology of the Penticton Tertiary Outlier, British
   936 Columbia (NTS 082E/5).

- Claypool GE, Holser WT, Kaplan IR, Sakai H, Zak I (1980) The age curves of sulfur and oxygen
  isotopes in marine sulfate and their mutual interpretation. *Chemical Geology*, 28, 199260.
- 940 Cline JD (1969) Spectrophotometric Determination of Hydrogen Sulfide in Natural Waters.
   941 *Limnology and Oceanography*, 14, 454-458.
- Coolen MJL, Overmann J (1998) Analysis of Subfossil Molecular Remains of Purple Sulfur
   Bacteria in a Lake Sediment. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 64, 4513-4521.
- 944 Cornwell JC, Morse JW (1987) The characterization of iron sulfide minerals in anoxic marine
   945 sediments. *Marine Chemistry*, 22, 193-206.
- 946 Criss RE, Fleck RJ, Taylor HP (1991) Tertiary Meteoric Hydrothermal Systems and their
   947 Relation to Ore Deposition, Northwestern United States and Southern British Columbia.
   948 Journal of Geophysical Research, 96, 13,335-313,356.
- Crowe SA, Paris G, Katsev S, Jones C, Kim S-T, Zerkle AL, Nomosatryo S, Fowle DA, Adkins
  JF, Sessions AL, Farquhar J, Canfield DE (2014) Sulfate was a trace constituent of Archean seawater. *Science*, 346, 735-739.
- Deevey ES, Nakai N, Stuiver M (1963) Fractionation of Sulfur and Carbon Isotopes in a
   Meromictic Lake. *Science*, **139**, 407-407.
- Detmers J, Bruchert V, Habicht KS, Kuever J (2001) Diversity of Sulfur Isotope Fractionations
   by Sulfate-Reducing Prokaryotes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 67, 888-894.
- Dickman M (1985) Seasonal succession and microlamina formation in a meromictic lake
   displaying varved sediments. *Sedimentology*, **32**, 109-118.
- Dickman MD, Thode HG (1990) Sulfur bacteria and sulfur isotope fractionation in a meromictic
   lake near Toronto, Canada. In: *Facets of modern biogeochemistry* (eds Ihebhot V, Kempe
   S, Michaelis W, Spitzg A). Springer-Verlag, pp. 225-241.
- Farquhar J, Bao H, Thiemens M (2000) Atmospheric Influence of Earth's Earliest Sulfur Cycle.
   *Science*, 289, 756-758.
- Farquhar J, Johnston DT, Wing BA (2007) Implications of conservation of mass effects on massdependent isotope fractionations: Influence of network structure on sulfur isotope phase
  space of dissimilatory sulfate reduction. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **71**, 58625875.
- Farquhar J, Johnston DT, Wing BA, Habicht KS, Canfield DE, Airieau S, Thiemens MH (2003)
   Multiple sulphur isotopic interpretations of biosynthetic pathways: implications for
   biological signatures in the sulphur isotope record. *Geobiology*, 1, 27-36.
- Fike DA, Grotzinger JP, Pratt LM, Summons RE (2006) Oxidation of the Ediacaran Ocean.
   *Nature*, 444, 744-747.
- Fisher MM, Brenner M, Reddy KR (1992) A simple, inexpensive piston corer for collecting
  undisturbed sediment/water interface profiles. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, 7, 157-161161.
- 975 Fritz P, Basharmal GM, Drimmie RJ, Ibsen J, Qureshi RM (1989) Oxygen isotope exchange
  976 between sulphate and water during bacterial reduction of sulphate. *Chemical Geology*,
  977 79, 99-105.
- Fry B (1986) Sources of Carbon and Sulfur Nutrition for Consumers in Three Meromictic Lakes
   of New York State. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **31**, 79-88.
- Fry B, Cox J, Gest H, Hayes JM (1986) Discrimination between <sup>34</sup>S and <sup>32</sup>S during Bacterial
   Metabolism of Inorganic Sulfur Compounds. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **165**, 328-330.

- Fry B, Gest H, Hayes JM (1984) Isotope effects associated with the anaerobic oxidation of
   sulfide by the purple photosynthetic bacterium, Chromatium vinosum. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 22, 283-287.
- Fry B, Gest H, Hayes JM (1988a) <sup>34</sup>S/<sup>32</sup>S fractionation in sulfur cycles catalyzed by anaerobic bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 54, 250-256.
- Fry B, Jannasch HW, Molyneaux SJ, Wirsen CO, Muramoto JA, King S (1991) Stable isotope
  studies of the carbon, nitrogen and sulfur cycles in the Black Sea and Cariaco Trench. *Deep Sea Research*, 38, S1003-S1019.
- Fry B, Ruf W, Gest H, Hayes JM (1988b) Sulfur Isotope Effects Associated with Oxidation of
  Sulfide by O<sub>2</sub> in Aqueous Solution. *Chemical Geology*, **73**, 205-210.
- Gibson JJ, Edwards TWD, Birks SJ, St Amour NA, Buhay WM, Mceachern P, Wolfe BB, Peters
   DL (2005) Progress in isotope tracer hydrology in Canada. *Hydrological Processes*, 19, 303-327.
- Gibson JJ, Prepas EE, Mceachern P (2002) Quantitative comparison of lake throughflow,
   residency, and catchment runoff using stable isotopes: modelling and results from a
   regional survey of Boreal lakes. *Journal of Hydrology*, 262, 128-144.
- Habicht KS, Canfield DE, Rethmeier J (1998) Sulfur isotope fractionation during bacterial
   reduction and disproportionation of thiosulfate and sulfite. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica* Acta, 62, 2585-2595.
- Habicht KS, Gade M, Thamdrup B, Berg P, Canfield DE (2002) Calibration of Sulfate Levels in
   the Archean Ocean. *Science*, 298, 2372-2374.
- Hamilton T, Bovee R, Thiel V, Sattin S, Mohr W, Schaperdoth I, Vogl K, Gilhooly W, Lyons T,
   Tomsho L (2014) Coupled reductive and oxidative sulfur cycling in the phototrophic
   plate of a meromictic lake. *Geobiology*, 12, 451-468.
- Harrison AG, Thode HG (1958) Mechanisms of the bacterial reduction of sulphate from isotope
   fractionation studies. *Transactions of the Faraday Society*, 54, 85-92.
- Heinrichs M, Wilson S, Walker I, Smol J, Mathewes R, Hall K (1997) Midge-and diatom-based
   palaeosalinity reconstructions for Mahoney Lake, Okanagan Valley, British Columbia,
   Canada. International Journal of Salt Lake Research, 6, 249-267.
- Hoering TC, Kennedy JW (1957) The Exchange of Oxygen between Sulfuric Acid and Water1.
   Journal of the American Chemical Society, 79, 56-60.
- Holser WT, Kaplan IR, Sakai H, Zak I (1979) Isotope geochemistry of oxygen in the sedimentary sulfate cycle. *Chemical Geology*, 25, 1-17.
- Hurtgen MT, Arthur MA, Halverson GP (2005) Neoproterozoic sulfur isotopes, the evolution of
   microbial sulfur species, and the burial efficiency of sulfide as sedimentary pyrite.
   *Geology*, 33, 41-44.
- Johnston DT, Farquhar J, Canfield DE (2007) Sulfur isotope insights into microbial sulfate
   reduction: When microbes meet models. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **71**, 3929 3947.
- Johnston DT, Wing BA, Farquhar J, Kaufman AJ, Strauss H, Lyons TW, Kah LC, Canfield DE
   (2005) Active Microbial Sulfur Disproportionation in the Mesoproterozoic. *Science*, **310**, 1477-1479.
- Johnston DT, Wolfe-Simon F, Pearson A, Knoll AH (2009) Anoxygenic photosynthesis
   modulated Proterozoic oxygen and sustained Earth's middle age. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **106**, 16925-16929.

- Kaplan IR, Rittenberg SC (1964a) Microbiological Fractionation of Sulphur Isotopes. *Journal of General Microbiology*, 34, 195-212.
- Kaplan IR, Rittenberg SC (1964b) Microbiological Fractionation of Sulphur Isotopes.
   *Microbiology*, 34, 195-212.
- 1031Kemp ALW, Thode HG (1968) The mechanism of the bacterial reduction of sulphate and of1032sulphite from isotope fractionation studies. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 32, 71-103391.
- Klepac-Ceraj V, Hayes CA, Gilhooly WP, Lyons TW, Kolter R, Pearson A (2012) Microbial
   diversity under extreme euxinia: Mahoney Lake, Canada. *Geobiology*, 10, 223-235.
- Knöller K, Vogt C, Richnow H-H, Weise S (2006) Sulfur and Oxygen Isotope Fractionation
   during Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, and Xylene Degradation by Sulfate-Reducing
   Bacteria. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 40, 3879-3885.
- Leavitt WD, Cummins R, Schmidt ML, Sim MS, Ono S, Bradley AS, Johnston DT (2014)
   Multiple sulfur isotope signatures of sulfite and thiosulfate reduction by the model
   dissimilatory sulfate-reducer, Desulfovibrio alaskensis str. G20. Frontiers in
   microbiology, 5.
- Leavitt WD, Halevy I, Bradley AS, Johnston DT (2013) Influence of sulfate reduction rates on the Phanerozoic sulfur isotope record. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 1045
   110, 11244-11249.
- Lewis T (1984) Geothermal energy from Penticton Tertiary outlier, British Columbia: an initial
   assessment. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 21, 181-188.
- Li X, Gilhooly Iii WP, Zerkle AL, Lyons TW, Farquhar J, Werne JP, Varela R, Scranton MI
  (2010) Stable sulfur isotopes in the water column of the Cariaco Basin. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 74, 6764-6778.
- 1051 Lloyd RM (1968) Oxygen Isotope Behavior in the Sulfate-Water System. Journal of 1052 Geophysical Research, 73, 6099.
- Lowe DJ, Green JD, Northcote TG, Hall KJ (1997) Holocene Fluctuations of a Meromictic Lake
   in Southern British Columbia. *Quaternary Research*, 48, 100-113.
- Lyons TW (1997) Sulfur isotopic trends and pathways of iron sulfide formation in upper
   Holocene sediments of the anoxic Black Sea. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 61, 3367-3382.
- Lyons TW, Berner RA, Anderson RF (1993) Evidence for large pre-industrial perturbations of
   the Black Sea chemocline. *Nature*, 365, 538-540.
- Lyons TW, Kah LC, Gellatly AM (2004) The Precambrian sulphur isotope record of evolving atmospheric oxygen. In: *The Precambrian Earth: Tempos and Events* (eds Eriksson PG, Alterman W, Nelson DR, Mueller WU, Catuneanu O). Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 421-440.
- Lyons TW, Severmann S (2006) A critical look at iron paleoredox proxies: New insights from
   modern euxinic marine basins. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **70**, 5698-5722.
- Lyons TW, Werne JP, Hollander DJ, Murray RW (2003) Contrasting sulfur geochemistry and
   Fe/Al and Mo/Al ratios across the last oxic-to-anoxic transition in the Cariaco Basin,
   Venezuela. *Chemical Geology*, **195**, 131-157.
- Magaritz M, Taylor HP (1986) Oxygen 18/Oxygen 16 and D/H Studies of Plutonic Granitic and Metamorphic Rocks Across the Cordilleran Batholiths of Southern British Columbia.
   *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 91, 2193-2217.

- Mandernack KW, Krouse HR, Skei JM (2003) A stable sulfur and oxygen isotopic investigation
   of sulfur cycling in an anoxic marine basin, Framvaren Fjord, Norway. *Chemical Geology*, **195**, 181-200.
- Mariotti A, Germon J, Hubert P, Kaiser P, Letolle R, Tardieux A, Tardieux P (1981)
  Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: Some principles;
  illustration for the denitrification and nitrification processes. *Plant and Soil*, 62, 413-430430.
- Meyer K, Macalady J, Fulton J, Kump L, Schaperdoth I, Freeman K (2011) Carotenoid
   biomarkers as an imperfect reflection of the anoxygenic phototrophic community in
   meromictic Fayetteville Green Lake. *Geobiology*, 9, 321-329.
- Michel FA, Allen DM, Grant MB (2002) Hydrogeochemistry and geothermal characteristics of
   the White Lake basin, South-central British Columbia, Canada. *Geothermics*, 31, 169 194.
- Mizutani Y (1972) Isotopic composition and underground temperature of the Otake geothermal
   water Kyushu, Japan. *Geochemical Journal*, 6, 67-73.
- Mizutani Y, Rafter TA (1969) Oxygen Isotopic Composition of Sulphates Part 4. New Zealand
   Journal of Science, 12, 60-68.
- Mizutani Y, Rafter TA (1973) Isotopic behaviour of sulfate oxygen in the bacterial reduction of
   sulfate. *Gechemical Journal*, 6, 183-191.
- 1091 Neretin LN, B<sup>ttcher</sup> ME, Grinenko VA (2003) Sulfur isotope geochemistry of the Black Sea
   1092 water column. *Chemical Geology*, 200, 59-69.
- 1093 Northcote T, Hall K (2010) Salinity regulation of zooplanktonic abundance and vertical
   1094 distribution in two saline meromictic lakes in south central British Columbia.
   1095 Hydrobiologia, 638, 121-136.
- 1096 Northcote TG, Hall KJ (1983) Limnological contrasts and anomalies in two adjacent saline
   1097 lakes. *Hydrobiologia*, 105, 179-194.
- 1098 Northcote TG, Hall KJ (1990) Vernal microstratification patterns in a meromictic saline lake:
   1099 their causes and biological significance. *Hydrobiologia*, **197**, 105-114-114.
- Northcote TG, Hall KJ (2000) Short-term (decadal, annual, seasonal) changes in the limnology
   of a saline uni-/bimeromictic lake: causes and consequences. Verhandlugen
   Internationale Vereinigen für theoretische und angewandte Limnologie 27, 2652-2659.
- 1103 Northcote TG, Halsey TG (1969) Seasonal changes in the limnology of some meromictic lakes
   1104 in southern British Columbia. *Journal Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, 26, 1763 1105 1787.
- Ohmoto H, Lasaga AC (1982) Kinetics of reactions between aqueous sulfates and sulfides in
   hydrothermal systems. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 46, 1727-1745.
- Overmann J (1997) Mahoney Lake: A case study of the ecological significance of phototrophic
   sulfur bacteria. In: *Advances in Microbial Ecology* (ed Jones JG). Plenum Press, New
   York.
- Overmann J, Beatty JT, Hall KJ, Pfennig N, Northcote TG (1991) Characterization of a dense,
  purple sulfur bacterial layer in a meromictic salt lake. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 36,
  846-859.
- 1114 Overmann J, Beatty JT, Krouse HR, Hall KJ (1996) The sulfur cycle in the chemocline of a 1115 meromictic salt lake. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **4**, 147-156.
- Overmann J, Pfennig N (1992) Bouyancy regulation and aggregate formation in Amoebobacter
   purpureus from Mahoney Lake. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, **101**, 67-79.

- Overmann J, Sandmann G, Hall KJ, Northcote TG (1993) Fossil carotenoids and paleolimnology
   of meromictic Mahoney Lake, British Columbia, Canada. Aquatic Sciences Research
   Across Boundaries, 55, 31-39.
- Overmann J, Thomas Beatty J, Hall KJ (1994) Photosynthetic activity and population dynamics
   of Amoebobacter purpureus in a meromictic saline lake. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*,
   1123 15, 309-319.
- Raiswell R, Buckley F, Berner RA, Anderson TF (1988) Degree of pyritization of iron as a
   paleoenvironmental indicator of bottom-water oxygenation. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 58, 812-819.
- Raiswell R, Canfield DE, Berner RA (1994) A comparison of iron extraction methods for the
   determination of degree of pyritisation and the recognition of iron-limited pyrite
   formation. *Chemical Geology*, **111**, 101-110.
- Rees CE (1973) A steady-state model for sulphur isotope fractionation in bacterial reduction
   processes. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 37, 1141-1162.
- 1132 Rees CE (1978) The sulphur isotopic composition of ocean water sulphate. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 42, 377-381.
- 1134 Reinhard CT, Planavsky NJ, Robbins LJ, Partin CA, Gill BC, Lalonde SV, Bekker A, Konhauser
   1135 KO, Lyons TW (2013) Proterozoic ocean redox and biogeochemical stasis. *Proceedings* 1136 of the National Academy of Sciences, 110, 5357-5362.
- Riedinger N, Brunner B, Formolo MJ, Solomon E, Kasten S, Strasser M, Ferdelman TG (2010)
  Oxidative sulfur cycling in the deep biosphere of the Nankai Trough, Japan. *Geology*, 38, 851-854.
- Rudnicki MD, Elderfield H, Spiro B (2001) Fractionation of sulfur isotopes during bacterial
   sulfate reduction in deep ocean sediments at elevated temperatures. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 65, 777-789.
- Sheu D-D, Shakur A, Pigott JD, Wiesenburg DA, Brooks JM, Krouse HR (1988) Sulfur and
  oxygen isotopic compositions of dissolved sulfate in the Orca Basin: Implications for
  origin of the high-salinity brine and oxidation of sulfides at the brine-seawater interface. *Marine Geology*, 78, 303-310.
- Sim MS, Bosak T, Ono S (2011) Large Sulfur Isotope Fractionation Does Not Require
   Disproportionation. *Science*, 333, 74-77.
- Sørensen KB, Canfield DE (2004) Annual fluctuations in sulfur isotope fractionation in the water
   column of a euxinic marine basin. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 68, 503-515.
- Stookey LL (1970) Ferrozine---a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron. *Analytical Chemistry*,
   42, 779-781.
- Sweeney RE, Kaplan IR (1980) Stable isotope composition of dissolved sulfate and hydrogen
  sulfide in the Black Sea. *Marine Chemistry*, 9, 145-152.
- Taylor BE, Wheeler MC (1984) Isotope composition of sulphate in acid mine drainage as
   measure of bacterial oxidation. *Nature*, 308, 538-541.
- Thamdrup B, Finster K, Hansen JW, Bak F (1993) Bacterial Disproportionation of Elemental
   Sulfur Coupled to Chemical Reduction of Iron or Manganese. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **59**, 101-108.
- Turchyn AV, Brüchert V, Lyons TW, Engel GS, Balci N, Schrag DP, Brunner B (2010) Kinetic
   oxygen isotope effects during dissimilatory sulfate reduction: a combined theoretical and
   experimental approach. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 74, 2011-2024.

- Turchyn AV, Schrag DP (2006) Cenozoic evolution of the sulfur cycle: Insight from oxygen
   isotopes in marine sulfate. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 241, 763-779.
- Turchyn AV, Schrag DP, Coccioni R, Montanari A (2009) Stable isotope analysis of the
   Cretaceous sulfur cycle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 285, 115-123.
- 1167 Van Everdingen RO, Krouse HR (1985) Isotope composition of sulphates generated by bacterial
   and abiological oxidation. *Nature*, **315**, 395-396.
- Ward PRB, Cousins EA, Hall KJ, Northcote TG, Murphy TP (1989) Mixing by Wind and
   Penetrative Convection in Small Lakes. In: *International Association for Hydraulic Research, 23rd. Congress*, Ottawa, Canada, pp. D-331-D-338.
- Ward PRB, Hall KJ, Northcote TG, Cheung W, Murphy T (1990) Autumnal mixing in Mahoney
  Lake, British Columbia. *Hydrobiologia*, **197**, 129-138-138.
- Wehrmann LM, Templer SP, Brunner B, Bernasconi SM, Maignien L, Ferdelman TG (2011)
  The imprint of methane seepage on the geochemical record and early diagenetic
  processes in cold-water coral mounds on Pen Duick Escarpment, Gulf of Cadiz. *Marine Geology*, 282, 118-137.
- West AG, Patrickson SJ, Ehleringer JR (2006) Water extraction times for plant and soil materials
   used in stable isotope analysis. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 20, 1317 1321.
- Wortmann UG, Bernasconi SM, Bottcher ME (2001) Hypersulfidic deep biosphere indicates
   extreme sulfur isotope fractionation during single-step microbial sulfate reduction.
   *Geology*, 29, 647-650.
- Wortmann UG, Chernyavsky B, Bernasconi SM, Brunner B, B<sup>\*</sup>ttcher ME, Swart PK (2007)
  Oxygen isotope biogeochemistry of pore water sulfate in the deep biosphere: Dominance
  of isotope exchange reactions with ambient water during microbial sulfate reduction
  (ODP Site 1130). *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **71**, 4221-4232.
- Zak I, Saki H, Kaplan IR (1980) Factors Controlling the <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O and <sup>34</sup>S/<sup>32</sup>S Isotope Ratios of Ocean Sulfates, Evaporites and Interstitial Sulfates from Modern Deep Sea Sediments. In: *Isotope Marine Chemistry* (eds Goldberg ED, Horibe Y, Saruhashi K). Geochemistry Research Association, Tokyo, pp. 339-373.
- Zeebe RE (2010) A new value for the stable oxygen isotope fractionation between dissolved
   sulfate ion and water. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 74, 818-828.
- Zerkle AL, Farquhar J, Johnston DT, Cox RP, Canfield DE (2009) Fractionation of multiple
   sulfur isotopes during phototrophic oxidation of sulfide and elemental sulfur by a green
   sulfur bacterium. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **73**, 291-306.
- Zerkle AL, Kamyshny Jr A, Kump LR, Farquhar J, Oduro H, Arthur MA (2010) Sulfur cycling
  in a stratified euxinic lake with moderately high sulfate: Constraints from quadruple S
  isotopes. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 74, 4953-4970.
- Zhang J-Z, Millero FJ (1994) Kinetics of Oxidation of Hydrogen Sulfide in Natural Waters. In:
   *Environmental Geochemistry of Sulfide Oxidation* (eds Alpers CN, Blowes DW).
   American Chemical Society, Washingon DC, pp. 393-409.
- Zopfi J, Ferdelman TG, Jörgensen BB, Teske A, Thamdrup B (2001) Influence of water column
   dynamics on sulfide oxidation and other major biogeochemical processes in the
   chemocline of Mariager Fjord (Denmark). *Marine Chemistry*, 74, 29-51.

1206

1208 Figure 1. Mahoney Lake and Green Lake are located within the White Lake Basin, British 1209 Columbia (modified after Northcote and Hall, 1983; Michel et al, 2002). Cores 2 and 3 were 1210 collected from the center of Mahoney Lake and Core 9 was taken from the oxic margin. Surface water  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$ ,  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ , and sulfate concentrations are shown for Mahoney Lake, Green Lake, 1211 Sleeping Lake, and the upland pond (ML pond), as well as the  $\delta^{34}$ S of chromium reducible sulfur 1212 extracted from a greenstone sample collected from the eastern side of the catchment. Bedrock 1213 geology transitions from meta-volcanics west of the fault-line to cherts and greenstones east of 1214 1215 the fault. Geologic descriptions and interpretations are from Church (2002) and Northcote and 1216 Hall (1983). 1217 1218 1219 Figure 2. Oxygen and hydrogen isotope data for meteoric water and surface waters in the White 1220 Lake Basin. Results from the current study include samples from the Mahoney Lake 1221 mixolimnion (ML 08 oxic) and monimolimnion (ML 08 anoxic), the upland pond (ML pond 08), and Sleeping Lake (SL 08). All remaining water data are from Michel et al., (2002). The Local 1222 1223 Meteoric Water Line (LMWL) is shown for Penticton precipitation data (squares) in comparison to the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL;  $\delta D = 8\delta^{18}O + 10$ ). Data for deep wells (open 1224 1225 diamonds; 76 to 549 m deep), shallow wells drilled in overburden (filled diamonds), springs 1226 (triangles), and surface waters (circles) all plot along a local evaporation line (LEL) with a slope of 4.7 ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ). The inset shows LEL relative to the isotopic composition of Vienna Standard 1227 1228 Mean Oceanwater (VSMOW) and Okanagan granitic batholiths (Magaritz and Taylor, 1986). 1229 1230 Figure 3. Water column profiles of temperature, specific conductivity (SpC), pH, dissolved 1231 1232 oxygen (DO), dissolved sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), light intensity, and turbidity for (A) Mahoney and Green 1233 Lake in September 2006, and (B) Mahoney Lake in July 2008. Extinction coefficients (k) were 1234 calculated for light attenuation above (k = 0.400) and below the chemocline (k = 3.347) in 1235 Mahoney Lake, July 2008. 1236 1237 1238 Figure 4. Mahoney Lake water column profiles of chloride and sulfate concentrations, SO<sub>4</sub>/Cl (molar),  $\delta^{34}S_{H2S}$ ,  $\delta^{34}S_{SO4}$ , and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$  for samples collected in September 2006 (filled symbols) 1239 and July 2008 (open symbols). Vertical shaded regions are the mean plus standard deviations for 1240 concentrations ([CI] =  $57.7 \pm 1.7 \text{ mM}$ ; [SO<sub>4</sub>] =  $341 \pm 20.1 \text{ mM}$ ) or molar ratios (SO<sub>4</sub>/Cl =  $5.9 \pm$ 1241 1242 0.2) within the upper 5 m of the (oxygenated) water column. 1243 1244 1245 Figure 5. Mahoney Lake (A) solid phase sulfur concentrations, DOS, and (B) solid phase and 1246 pore water isotope data for sediments collected below the chemocline (Core 2 and 3) and below 1247 oxygenated bottom water (Core 9). Vertical shaded regions (B) indicate the average stable

1248 isotope value ( $\pm$  standard deviation) of water column sulfate and sulfide.

1249 1250

1251 Figure 6. Mahoney Lake sulfur isotope fractionation ( $\Delta^{34}S_{SO4-H2S} = \delta^{34}S_{SO4} - \delta^{34}S_{H2S}$ ) within the 1252 water column (round symbols) and averaged pore water values from Cores 2 and 3 (open

1253 diamonds) and Core 9 (filled diamonds) plotted against depth. Error bars represent standard

deviation for fractionations. Cores 2 and 3 were collected below the chemocline and Core 9 wascollected below oxygenated bottom waters.

1256 1257

1258 Figure 7. Sulfate sulfur and oxygen isotope cross-plots of Mahoney Lake water column sulfate

collected in September 2006 (filled symbols) and July 2008 (open symbols). The data are
bounded by model arrays (A) that define the expected trajectories of rapid sulfate reduction,

1261 Trend A, and slow rates of sulfate reduction, Trend B, based on the initial isotopic compositions 1262 for Mahoney Lake sulfate ( $\delta^{34}S_{SO4} = 21.5\%$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{SO4} = 16.5\%$ ; filled square) and water 1263 ( $\delta^{18}O_{water} = -0.3\%$ ). The data collected in the fall (B) have a higher slope than the those collected 1264 in the summer (C).

1265

1266

1267 Figure 8. Mixing plots ( $\delta$  vs. 1/concentration) of sulfate (A) sulfur isotope and (B) oxygen

1268 isotope values relative to sulfate concentration for Mahoney Lake water column (round symbols)

- 1269 and power waters (diamonds) collected from anoxic (open symbols) or oxic (closed symbols)
- 1270 bottom waters.

1271 1272











![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

	Dep	$\Sigma H_2$			$\delta^{18}O$	$\delta^{34}S$	$\delta^{34}S$	$\Delta^{34}S_{SO4}$	$\delta^{34}S_{Elem}$	$\delta^{18}O$	$\delta^2 H_H$
Lake	th	S	$SO_4$	Cl	SO4	SO4	H2S	-H2S	S	H2O	20
	()	(m	(m	(m	(01)	(01)	(01)	(01)	(01)	(01)	(01)
	(m)	M)	M)	M)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)
September											$\frown$
2006											
Green	0		24.		11.6					$\mathbf{A}$	
Lake	0	-	94 10	1.4	11.6	5.2	-	-			-
	1		19. 00	0.4	10.5	12					
	1	-	20	0.4	10.5	1.2	-	-		-	-
	2	_	20. 74	0.2	10.2	1.2	_	-		_	_
	-		18.	0.2	10.2	1.2		C			
	3	-	52	0.4	10.9	1.2	-	-	_	-	-
			20.								
	4	-	04	0.4	10.6	1.0	-	-	-	-	-
			19.	0.0							
	5	-	33	1	10.7	0.5	-	-	-	-	-
	6		20.		10.5						
	6	-	22	-	10.5	1.1	-	-	-	-	-
	7		20. 20	22	10.5	11					
	/	-	29 20	2.3	10.5	1.1	-	-	-	-	-
	9	_	20. 09	13	11.2	11	_	_	_	_	_
	/		20.	1.5	11.2	1.1					
	10	-	90	0.7	11.0	1.2	-	-	_	-	_
			21.								
	11	-	30	0.7	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-
		$\boldsymbol{\Omega}$	21.								
	12		71	-	10.9	1.1	-	-	-	-	-
			20.								
	-13	-	13	-	11.1	0.7	-	-	-	-	-
Mahoney			331	57.							
Lake	0	-	.2	6	17.4	21.7	-	-	-	-	-
			328	56.							
	1	-	.8	7	17.3	22.0	-	-	-	-	-
			323	55.	. – .						
	2	-	.8	5	17.3	22.4	-	-	-	-	-
	2	0.0	328	<b>35</b> .	176	226					
	3	29	.2 212	9 55	17.0	22.0	-	-	-	-	-
	Δ	-	343 2	55. 7	17.0	22.1	-	_	_	_	_
	7	-	. <i>5</i> 330	56	17.7	<i>∠∠</i> , 1	-	-	-	-	-
	5	_	.2	9	17.2	22.1	_	_	_	_	_

1289 Table 1. Water column concentration and isotope data.

LakethSSO4ClSO4SO4SO4H2S-H2SSH2O20(m)(m)(m)(m)(m)(% $o$ )(% $o$ )60816.421.7326576.13817.022.46.15817.522.56.25817.522.5439756.31217.722.36.42817.022.1481766.58616.921.74647432156		Dep	$\Sigma H_2$		-	$\delta^{18}O$	$\delta^{34}S$	$\delta^{34}S$	$\Delta^{34}S_{SO4}$	$\delta^{34}S_{Elem}$	$\delta^{18}O$	$\delta^2 H_H$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lake	th	S	$SO_4$	Cl	SO4	SO4	H2S	-H2S	S	H2O	20
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			(m	(m	(m							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(m)	M)	M)	M)	(‰)	(‰)	(%0)	(‰)	(‰)	(%0)	(‰)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				346	59.							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6	-	.0	8	16.4	21.7	-	-	-	-	A
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				326	57.							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6.1	-	.3	8	17.0	22.4	-	-	-	-	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				343	57.							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6.2	-	.5	8	17.5	22.5	-	-			-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				439	75.							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6.3	-	.1	2	17.7	22.3	-	-	-	-	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				440	75.							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6.4	-	.2	8	17.0	22.1	-		-	-	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				481	76.							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6.5	-	.8	6	16.9	21.7	-	-	-	-	-
6.62 + 17.8 + 22.3				464	74.	. – .						
321 56		6.6	-	.2	4	17.8	22.3		-	-	-	-
		< <b>-</b>		321	56.	15.0						
6.74 5 17.2 22.2		6.7	-	.4	5	17.2	22.2		-	-	-	-
320 56.		6.0		320	56.	17.0						
6.8/ 3 1/.2 22.2		6.8	-	./	3	17.2	22.2	-	-	-	-	-
311 50.		( )		311	56. 7	17.5	00.1					
0.93 / 1/.5 22.1		6.9	-	.5	52	17.5	22.1	-	-	-	-	-
359 53.		7		359	53.	17.2	22.2					
75 9 17.5 22.2		/	20	.3	9	17.5	22.2	-	-	-	-	-
		71	2.0	547	30. 5	176	22.0	167	20.5			
7.1 8 .0 5 17.0 22.9 -10.7 59.5 6.4 262 56		/.1	0 6.4	.0	56	17.0	22.9	-10.7	39.3	-	-	-
0.4  505  50.		7 2	0.4	305	50. 5	187	24.3	22.5	178	22.7		
7.2 $3$ $3$ $10.7$ $24.3$ $-23.3$ $47.6$ $-22.7$ $ -$		1.2	10	.5	55	10.7	24.3	-23.3	47.0	-22.1	-	-
7 3 70 7 0 20 1 26 2 23 2 40 3		73	70	7	35. Q	20.1	26.2	-23.2	10.3	_	_	_
1.5 19 .7 9 20.1 20.2 -25.2 +9.5		1.5	18	.7	56	20.1	20.2	-23.2	47.5	-	-	-
74 68 8 9 201 263 236 498		74	68	-2J 8	9 9	20.1	26.3	-23.6	<b>49 8</b>	_	_	_
20  429  57		/	20	.0 429	57	20.1	20.5	25.0	47.0			
7 5 29 4 5 20 3 26 8 -24 2 51 0		75	20.	4	5	20.3	26.8	-24.2	51.0	-	_	_
30  448  62		7.0	30	448	62	20.5	20.0	21.2	51.0			
8 38 9 0 208 270 -251 521		8	38	.9	0	20.8	27.0	-25.1	52.1	-	_	_
35 477 68		Ũ	35	477	68	20.0	27.0	2011	0211			
9 02 .9 0 20.2 27.7 -23.4 51.1		9	02	.9	0	20.2	27.7	-23.4	51.1	-	_	_
34. 473 70.		-	34.	473	70.							
10 03 .0 8 20.8 28.0 -23.8 51.7		10	03	.0	8	20.8	28.0	-23.8	51.7	-	-	-
36. 489 70.		-	36.	489	70.							
11 02 .9 4 21.0 28.0 -23.4 51.3		11	02	.9	4	21.0	28.0	-23.4	51.3	-	-	-
34. 480 70.			34.	480	70.							
12 68 .8 0 20.6 28.2 -24.0 52.3		12	68	.8	0	20.6	28.2	-24.0	52.3	-	-	-

	Dep	$\Sigma H_2$			$\delta^{18}O$	$\delta^{34}S$	$\delta^{34}S$	$\Delta^{34}S_{SO4}$	$\delta^{34}S_{Elem}$	$\delta^{18}O$	$\delta^2 H_H$
Lake	th	S	$SO_4$	Cl	SO4	SO4	H2S	-H2S	S	H2O	20
		(m	(m	(m	$(\mathcal{O})$	$(\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{A}))$	$(\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}))$	$(\mathcal{O})$	$(\mathcal{O}_{1})$	$(\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}))$	
	(m)	$\frac{M}{24}$	$\frac{M}{475}$	$\frac{M}{60}$	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)
	13.3	34. 36	475	09. 2	20.7	28.4	-23.1	51.4	_	_	
Lub. 2008	1010	00	• 2	_	2017	2011	2011	0111			
July 2008											_
Mahoney		0.0	359	59.							48.5
Lake	1	31	.6	0	16.7	21.9	-	-	- /	0.89	1
											-
	•	0.0	369	58.	160	22.1				0.00	47.3
	2	12	.6	4	16.8	22.1	-	-	-	0.89	7
			312	58					7		- 47 5
	3	_	.9	4	16.7	22.1	-		-	1.24	0
	-										-
		0.0	370	60.							42.3
	4	03	.2	1	16.9	22.1	-	-	-	0.89	7
			2(1	(0)							-
	5		361 5	60. 1	171	22.0				0.76	46.8
	5	-	.5	1	1/.1	22.0	-	-	-	0.70	-
			327	58.							41.7
	6	-	.3	3	16.8	21.9	-	-	-	0.88	8
											-
	6.0		312	59.	17.2	22.0				0.60	47.5
	0.0	-	.5	1	17.3	22.0	-	-	-	0.60	0
			338	59.							47.5
	6.1		.5	2	16.5	22.2	-	-	-	0.88	2
											-
		0.0	408	58.							45.6
	6.2	62	.3	8	16.7	22.2	-	-	-	0.79	7
			318	58							- 46 1
	6.3	_	.1	1	17.0	22.1	_	_	-	1.00	40.1 5
	010		••	-	1,10					1100	-
<b>V</b>			390	56.							46.3
V	6.4	-	.5	9	16.9	22.3	-	-	-	0.24	8
			222	50							-
	65		322	58. 3	17.0	<u></u>				0.10	47.8
	0.5	-	.9	5	17.0	<i></i> _	-	-	-	0.10	-
			336	57.							45.5
	6.6	-	.4	6	16.9	22.2	-	-	-	0.76	4

	Dep	$\Sigma H_2$			δ <sup>18</sup> O	$\delta^{34}S$	$\delta^{34}S$	$\Delta^{34}S_{SO4}$	$\delta^{34}S_{Elem}$	$\delta^{18}O$	$\delta^2 H_{\rm H}$
Lake	th	S	$SO_4$	Cl	SO4	SO4	H2S	-H2S	S	H2O	20
		(m	(m	(m							
	(m)	M)	M)	M)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)
			362	57							47.8
	6.7	_	.2	9	16.8	22.2	-	-	-	-0.42	7
											-
			352	58.							47.5
	6.8	-	.2	8	-	-	-	-	- /	0.29	2
			215	57							-
	68	_	915 9	57. 4	171	22.1	_	_		0.53	40.0
	0.0		.) 346	58.	1/.1	22.1				0.55	0
	6.9	-	.6	4	17.4	22.3	-	_	7 -	-	-
											-
			338	59.		/					48.8
	6.9	-	.3	0	16.8	22.1		-	-	0.11	9
	7.0	0.1	386 1	56. 0	17.0	225					
	7.0	9	.4	9	17.9	22.3		-	-	-	-
		0.2	293	52.					-20.0		54.8
	7.0	4	.8	6	17.0	22.3	-14.8	37.1	20.8	-0.52	4
											-
		0.6	362	58.		• • •		• • •		0.40	47.8
	7.0	5	.5	6	17.7	23.3	-14.8	38.2	-	-0.10	2
		57	389	56							- 49 3
	7.1	2	.1	9	18.1	24.0	-19.0	43.0	_	-0.60	
				-							_
		1.9	402	56.							50.6
	7.2	3	.0	6	19.3	26.3	-24.3	50.6	-	-0.61	9
		21	207	57							-
	73	21.02	387 7	57.	18.8	26.5	-23 1	10 5		-0.84	52.7
	1.5	02	.+	0	10.0	20.5	-23.1	чу.J	-	-0.04	-
		25.	436	59.							51.0
	7.4	02	.2	1	19.4	27.1	-23.0	50.1	-	-0.08	6
											-
		33.	413	58.	20.0	07.0	22.7	<b>711</b>		1.65	54.5
	1.5	83	.8	3	20.0	21.3	-23.1	51.1	-	-1.65	5
		41	405	60							- 57.4
	7.6	44	.5	3	19.7	27.4	-24.2	51.5	-	-1.46	0
		36.	451	61.							-
	7.7	83	.2	1	18.9	27.3	-24.0	51.4	-	-1.26	56.4

	Dep	$\Sigma H_2$			$\delta^{18}O$	$\delta^{34}S$	$\delta^{34}S$	$\Delta^{34}S_{SO4}$	$\delta^{34}S_{Elem}$	$\delta^{18}O$	$\delta^2 H_H$
Lake	th	S (m	$SO_4$	Cl	SO4	SO4	H2S	-H2S	S	H2O	20
	(m)	(m M)	(m M)	(m M)	(‰)	(‰)	(‰)	(‰)	(%0)	(‰)	(‰)
											7
		39.	436	60.							56.9
	7.8	85	.4	9	18.8	27.6	-23.9	51.5	-	-1.25	7
		34.	522	61.							- 59.6
	8	51	.2	6	19.5	27.4	-23.5	50.9	-	-1.13	3
		31	459	66					$\mathbf{G}$		- 54 7
	9	42	.3	0	19.7	28.1	-23.4	51.5	-	-2.23	7
		34	524	67							- 55 2
	10	29	.6	2	19.9	28.2	-23.0	51.2	-	-1.74	0
		40	412	65							- 59 4
	11	85	.8	8	19.7	27.9	-23.5	51.4	-	-2.14	0
		29	428	67	,						- 60 4
	12	29. 89	.4	7	19.9	28.2	-22.4	50.7	-	-1.55	0
											-
ML Pond	0	_	79. 9	22.	15.1	21.9	_	_	_	3 44	42.5
	Ū			9	10.1	21.9				5.11	-
Sleeping				14.							63.8
	-			0	100	1 /				<b>1</b> 01	

Core	Depth	AVS-S	Pyrite-S	Fe <sub>HCl</sub>	DOS	$\delta^{34}S_{AVS}$	$\delta^{34}S_{Pyrite}$	$\delta^{34}S_{organic}$	$\Delta^{34}S_{SO4-AVS}^{*}$	$\Delta^{34}S_{SO4-Pyrite}^{*}$
	(cm)	(wt. %)	(wt. %)	(ppm)		(%0)	(%0)	(‰)	(%0)	(%0)
Anoxic cores										
Core 2	1	0.33	0.25	967.1	0.89	-24.9	-24.5	-	52.6	52.2
	3	0.26	0.18	1357.4	0.82	-	-	-19.3	-	-
	4.5	0.26	0.46	1571.9	0.84	-	-	-20.9	-	-
	5.5	0.16	0.73	2376.4	0.80	-	-	-		-
	6.5	0.21	0.65	1750.9	0.84	-	-	-		-
	7.5	0.19	0.60	1510.1	0.85	-	-	-	-	-
	8.5	0.18	0.56	1409.4	0.85	-	-		-	-
	9.5	0.26	0.65	1548.7	0.87	-	-	-	-	-
	10.5	0.33	0.48	1196.3	0.89	-	-	-	-	-
	11.5	0.30	0.56	1282.0	0.89	-	-	-	-	-
	12.5	0.23	0.45	1417.5	0.85	-	-	-	-	-
	13.5	0.30	0.40	1068.2	0.89	-		-	-	-
	14.5	0.07	0.52	1716.5	0.77	-		-	-	-
	15.5	0.33	0.24	1437.5	0.85	-	-	-	-	-
	16.5	0.32	0.38	-	-	- 7	-	-	-	-
	17.5	0.26	0.22	1700.1	0.79	-26.4	-22.7	-	54.1	50.3
	18.5	0.29	0.23	1782.9	0.80	-26.8	-23.1	-	54.5	50.7
	19.5	0.35	0.22	1303.7	0.86	-26.7	-23.9	-	54.4	51.5
	20.5	0.27	0.19	1660.0	0.80	-26.4	-	-	54.1	-
	21.5	0.31	0.13	1489.0	0.82	-26.6	-22.6	-	54.2	50.2
	22.5	0.35	0.22	2230.8	0.78	-28.7	-23.1	-	56.4	50.8
	23.5	0.27	0.19	2076.9	0.76	-26.7	-22.9	-	54.3	50.6
	24.5	0.23	0.18	2227.8	0.72	-29.3	-21.2	-	56.9	48.9
	25.5	0.25	0.11	2472.4	0.69	-28.0	-22.6	-	55.7	50.2
	4	0.04	0.00	1460.4	0.70	25.0	10.0	10 7	<b>53</b> 0	
Core 3	1	0.24	0.09	1462.4	0.78	-25.3	-19.2	-18.7	53.0	46.9
	3	0.29	0.08	1338.7	0.81	-24.4	-20.8	-19.3	52.1	48.4
	5	0.22	0.10	1350.4	0.78	-24.8	-20.6	-	52.4	48.3
			·							

Table 2. Concentration and isotope data for solid phase sulfur species extracted from Mahoney Lake sediment cores recovered below the chemocline (Anoxic cores) and above the interface (Oxic core).

Core	Depth	AVS-S	Pyrite-S	Fe <sub>HCl</sub>	DOS	$\delta^{34}S_{AVS}$	$\delta^{34}S_{Pyrite}$	$\delta^{34}S_{organic}$	$\Delta^{34} S_{SO4-AVS}^{*}$	$\Delta^{34}S_{SO4-Pyrite}^{*}$
	(cm)	(wt. %)	(wt. %)	(ppm)		(‰)	(‰)	(%0)	(%0)	(%0)
	7	0.18	0.13	779.5	0.85	-26.2	-21.2	-	53.9	48.9
	9	0.20	0.10	1236.8	0.78	-27.3	-21.7	-	55.0	49.3
Oxic core										
Core 9	1	0.06	0.04	910.8	0.62	-26.3	-	-16.5	48.8	-
	3	0.08	0.05	1086.3	0.62	-29.6	-	-16.9	52.1	-
	5	0.19	0.06	3085.7	0.56	-29.6	-	-	52.1	-
	7	0.15	0.06	3427.0	0.48	-29.5	-	-	52.0	-
	9	0.08	0.06	4878.7	0.28	-30.4	-	-	52.9	-
	11	0.06	0.03	1205.7	0.52	-31.4	-	-	54.0	-
	13	0.10	-	635.5	-	-32.0	-	- ( )	54.5	-
	15	0.03	0.02	504.5	0.59	-33.3	-	-	55.8	-
	17	0.05	0.02	224.7	0.81	-33.5	-	-	56.0	-
	19	0.07	0.03	398.5	0.78	-32.5	-	-	55.0	-
	21	0.10	0.04	376.6	0.85	-32.0	-		54.5	-
	23	0.18	0.04	329.7	0.91	-34.8		-	57.3	-
	25	0.11	0.03	289.3	0.89	-33.6		-	56.1	-
	27	0.26	0.05	1070.0	0.82	-33.7	-	-	56.2	-
	29	0.25	0.05	682.0	0.88	-34.8		-	57.3	-

 $^{*}\Delta^{34}S_{SO4-sulfide}$  (where sulfide is either AVS or Pyrite) for Cores 2 and 3 are referenced to the average  $\delta^{34}S$  of pore water sulfate below the chemocline ( $\Delta^{34}S = 27.6\% - \delta^{34}S_{sulfide}$ ) and the isotopic offset for Core 9 is relative to pore water sulfate above the chemocline ( $\Delta^{34}S = 22.5\% - \delta^{34}S_{sulfide}$ ).

1 Table 3. Concentration and isotope data for pore waters extracted from Mahoney Lake sediment 2 cores recovered below the chemocline (Anoxic cores) and above the interface (Oxic core).

Core	Depth (cm)	$\overline{SO_4}$ (mM)	Cl (mM)	$\Sigma H_2 S$ (mM)	$\delta^{18} \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SO4}}}_{(\% o)}$	$\frac{\delta^{34}\overline{S_{SO4}}}{(\%_0)}$	$\overbrace{(\%)}^{34}\overline{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H2S}}}$	$\Delta^{34}S_{\text{SO4-H2S}}^{\text{O4-H2S}}$ (%0)
Anoxic cores	 }	/	/	/				
Core 2	3	409.8	63.9	11.68	18.1	27.4	-18.7	46.2
	5.5	505.3	67.2	12.51	19.3	26.6	-21.5	48.1
	6.5	418.5	64.0	20.68	18.8	27.4	-22.4	49.7
	7.5	427.5	66.5	20.29	19.2	27.2	-23.6	50.8
	9.5	428.0	66.4	17.40	-	28.5	-20.5	2
	15.5	447.4	69.3	4.67	-	28.4	-	-
	19.5	_	_	6.05	_	_	- 1	-
	26.5	-	-	0.56	-	-		-
Core 3	0.5	468.0	69.4	18.03	19.9	28.2	-21.0	49.2
	1.5	454.0	69.7	21.36	-	-	-	-
	2.5	431.4	71.7	17.71	-		-	-
	5.5	453.5	70.0	15.44	-	-	-	-
	6.5	422.3	69.8	28.15	20.4	27.9	-22.6	50.5
	7.5	445.8	69.4	3.80	19.6	28.1	-20.6	48.6
	8.5	-	-	-	18.4	27.6	-25.1	52.7
	9.5	457.5	69.2	21.38	21.3	28.5	-25.0	53.5
	15	466.7	66.9	7.03	-	-	-	-
	21	473.0	69.8	15.83	_	-	-	-
	41	459.1	64.2	15.48	-	-	-	-
	53	481.7	66.7	15.80	-	-	-	-
	59	430.6	68.1	18.66	-	-	-	-
	73	440.5	68.2	8.41	-	-	-	-
	83	510.7	68.2	20.86	-	-	-	-
Oxic core		<b>2</b>						
Core 9	1	321.1	55.5	1.44	17.8	22.2	-17.8	40.1
	3	301.5	50.9	1.85	-	22.6	-30.0	52.7
	5	300.6	48.7	2.14	17.3	22.6	-24.6	47.3
	7	305.5	49.5	0.62	-	23.1	-28.3	51.5
	9	292.8	47.3	1.95	17.5	22.4	-25.4	47.8
	11	306.5	46.7	1.93	-	22.5	-25.2	47.7
	13	300.3	50.3	1.31	17.6	22.5	-27.0	49.5
	15	299.9	51.1	1.87	-	22.6	-29.9	52.5
V	17	296.3	52.3	1.85	17.9	22.5	-28.7	51.2
7	19	297.1	50.5	1.80	-	22.6	-29.0	51.6
	21	296.3	53.0	1.75	17.4	22.4	-36.0	58.4
	23	303.4	52.2	1.70	-	22.4	-33.6	55.9
	25	295.0	52.6	1.38	17.7	22.7	-34.5	57.2
	27	293.5	52.6	1.90	-	22.5	-	-
	29	290.3	57.3	1.38	-	22.3	-30.2	52.5