www.derm101.com

The mythical concept and untoward consequences of a diagnosis of dysplastic nevus: an overdue tribute to A. Bernard Ackerman, MD

Robert M. Hurwitz¹, Morton E. Tavel²

- 1 Cutaneous And Maxillofacial Pathology Laboratory, PC, Indianapolis, IN, USA
- 2 Professor Emeritus, Indiana University School of Medicine, Department of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

Key words: dysplastic nevus, consequences, melanoma, myth, overlapping criteria, discordance, melanocytic neoplasia

Citation: Hurwitz RM, Tavel ME. The mythical concept and untoward consequences of a diagnosis of dysplastic nevus: an overdue tribute to A. Bernard Ackerman, MD. Dermatol Pract Concept. 2015;5(1):5. doi: 10.5826/dpc.0501a05

Received: September 12, 2014; Accepted: October 2, 2014; Published: January 30, 2015

Copyright: ©2015 Hurwitz et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: None.

Competing interests: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

All authors have contributed significantly to this publication.

Corresponding author: Robert M. Hurwitz, MD, 9292 North Meridian Street, Suite 210, Indianapolis, Indiana 46260, USA. Email: bobbyhur@aol.com

The so-called dysplastic nevus first entered medical parlance in 1980 [1], originally known as the B-K mole in 1978, only to evolve over the next 34 years into a variety of names including familiar and atypical sporadic mole, melanocytic nevus with persistent lentiginous melanocytic hyperplasia, junctional or compound nevus with architectural atypia/disorder with or without cytological atypia, and Clark's nevus, to mention but a few [2-6]. It is common knowledge that there is significant discordance and diagnostic uncertainty among consultants in the histopathologic diagnosis of difficult melanocytic neoplasia, i.e., benign or malignant [7]. The fact is there is disagreement among the experts [8,9] as to what constitutes the so-called dysplastic nevus clinically and histopathologically [10]. This is so because there is inadequate and conflicting clinical and histopathologic criteria for a so-called dysplastic nevus. Both a melanoma and a dysplastic nevus have the same clinical features of the notorious ABCD's (asymmetry, border irregularity, color variability, diameter greater than 6 mm) What was and still is most disturbing and concerning, is the fact that there were reports, studies, theories and beliefs suggesting that the so-called dysplastic nevus is pre-malignant or a precursor of melanoma. Furthermore, it is said that the so-called dysplastic nevus may evolve into a malignant melanoma in either the patient or in family members, or both. Overlapping criteria in melanocytic neoplasia are features that are seen in both benign melanocytic nevi and superficial melanoma, such as seen in some nevi on occasion shortly after birth, persistent (recurrent) nevi, or traumatized nevi. In addition, overlapping criteria may be seen in nevi on special sites such as the palm/sole, genitalia (especially vulva of young women), umbilicus, perianal, scalp, and intertriginous folds. "Although the diagnosis of cutaneous malignant melanoma is usually based on histopathologic criteria may at times be inadequate in differentiating melanoma from certain types of benign nevi." [11] Collectively, overlapping melanocytic criteria may well be the answer for such confusion between a so-called dysplastic nevus, melanocytic nevus and a superficial melanoma [12].

Unfortunately, when a physician labels a nevus as socalled dysplastic, or used as a hedge when unsure whether the lesion is benign or malignant, and therefore, "premalignant," there are consequences as this diagnosis evokes considerable apprehension, concern and anxiety in patients and their families. Furthermore, reports mushroomed forth suggesting genetic transmission [13], but nowhere is there objective evidence that links the so-called dysplastic nevus or the so-called dysplastic nevus syndrome to malignant melanoma genetically or familial [14]. What is most distressing about the assumption that such dysplasia presages frank malignancy is that the assumption lacks sufficient objective validation, and is likely erroneous. The fact is that in melanocytic neoplasia, there are a variety of melanocytic nevi [15] and a variety of melanomas, e.g., melanoma in situ, superficial melanoma, and melanoma, but there is no dysplastic nevus.

Likely causes for the formation of false mythical conclusions operative in this untoward and ill-fated issue are the following [16]:

- 1) Simple "logic," that is, a conclusion based upon something that seems reasonable, e.g., heavy objects will fall faster then lighter ones.
- 2) Notions provided by (respected?) teachers and, therefore, assumed to be "valid," but later shown to be mythical.
- 3) A false belief arises when a condition is named in such a way that implies future progression, e.g., "pre-cancerous" lesion.

Treatments for this so-called dysplastic nevus and so-called dysplastic nevus syndrome regrettably have had the support and are promoted by many in the medical community, and sorry to say, in the legal community as well. What's more, there is disagreement among experts regarding screening guidelines for high-risk characteristics of cutaneous melanoma [17]. Among others, management includes repeated total body skin exams, repeated total body photographs, and aggressive avoidance of sun exposure. These procedures often lead especially and above all to the re-excision with margins of the so-called dysplastic nevus. Along these lines, excision of additional so-called dysplastic nevi (Figure 1) must also



Figure 1. Scar on the right deltoid of a 40-year-old woman with numerous scattered melanocytic nevi on the trunk and extremities, following a 5 mm margin re-excision of a so-called Spark's nevus (features of a dysplastic and Spitz nevus). (Copyright: ©2015 Hurwitz et al.)



Figure 2. Source of cartoon unknown; text modified by author.

be considered meaningless. Sentinel lymph node biopsy is often considered in so-called dysplastic nevi with severe architectural disorder and/or cytologic atypia. This procedure is indeed unbelievable, extremely alarming, if not outright shocking. They result in traumatic psychological e.g., worry anxiety and fear, as well as physical e.g., unsightly cutaneous scars. The consequences for these far-reaching therapeutics and prognostications for the so-called dysplastic nevus are barely credible, if not potentially tragic. (Figure 2) [18,19]. Rarely, regrettably and inappropriately to some, additional procedures are thought to avoid future litigation, and/or are thought to be good for business.

These surgical maneuvers are reminiscent of other myths originating years ago, such as the mythical theory promoted dogmatically by Halsted—respected for his status—at Johns Hopkins Hospital. He postulated that attacking even small cancers with aggressive local surgery was the best way to achieve a cure, e.g., radical surgery for breast cancer, which included breast tissue, bone, muscle and lymph nodes, in the late 1890's and early 1900's [20]. Similar radical surgery was performed in New York with wide and deep surgery including amputation for melanoma [21]. Yet surprisingly, only about 50% of Halsted's mutilated radical mastectomy patients survived over three years, which was not superior to simpler procedures such as lumpectomy, introduced later.

Credible academic dermatologists and dermatopathologists have disagreed with the theories surrounding the so-called dysplastic nevus [22-25], that they inevitably evolve into malignancy, another example of mythical thinking. Medical history is replete with examples of destroyed myths. For instance, it was believed for decades that peptic ulcer disease was simply a result of stress and anxiety, but now we

understand from Barry Marshall and Robin Warren that the problem is the result of the bacterium *Helicobacter pylori* [26], and from Harald zur Hausen that cervical squamous cell carcinoma is not due to sexual promiscuity, but in point of fact to the human papillomavirus, HPV16/18 [26]. Myths regarding the over diagnosis of breast cancer are yet another [27,28]. Furthermore, the myths of blood letting, cataract formation with UV light, extraterrestrial aliens, goblins, Bigfoot, and remedies of questionable repute (snake oil) exist are often impossible to prove or disprove, because if truth be told, they do not exist, akin to the mythical dysplastic nevus [29].

In summary, branding the so-called dysplastic nevus as tantamount to a malignancy is clearly another, unacceptable devastating myth. As a result, we are creating needless fear and anxiety to patients and physicians alike, as well as placing patient lives in jeopardy. If we are to maintain any sort of ethics in the medical profession, then this myth of the so-called dysplastic nevus must be stopped. It is imperative that the discussion herein be a stimulus for sincere and genuine re-thinking and dialogue, of what has been a disastrous policy, and should not be flippantly dismissed as rhetoric or hyperbole without due consideration [30]. The late A. Bernard Ackerman, MD, for thirty years, strongly believed in this point of view, and so consequently lectured, published scores of videos and articles repeatedly stating that the idea surrounding a so-called dysplastic nevus is in fact a myth. To him, and too many informed, knowledgeable and well-versed colleagues, the so-called dysplastic nevus clearly is mistaken for a one of a variety of different types of melanocytic nevi, or a misdiagnosis of what is in reality a superficial melanoma. In the sincere and respectful words of the late A. Bernard Ackerman, MD, "The so-called dysplastic nevus has had thirty-one synonyms over the past thirty years, and thus this term should be relegated to the scrap heap." [31]

References

- Elder DE, Goldman LI, Goldman SC, Greene MH, Clark WH Jr. Dysplastic nevus syndrome: a phenotypic association of sporadic cutaneous melanoma. Cancer 1980;46(8):1787-94. PMID: 7427881
- Ackerman AB, Massi D, Nielson TA. Dysplastic Nevus, Atypical Mole or Atypical Myth. Philadelphia, PA: Ardor Scribendi, Ltd., 1999.
- 3. Shoo A, Sagebiel RW, Kaslani–Sabet M. Discordance in the histopathologic diagnosis of melanoma at a melanoma referral center. J Am Acad Dematol 2010;62(5):751-63. PMID: 20303612
- Chen S. The dysplastic nevus controversy: It is not about the nevus per se but one's belief in the multistept tumorigenesis theory. Am J Dermatopathol 2010;32(8):858. PMID: 20802304
- 5. Hurt M. Response of Mark A. Hurt, MD, to Dr. Dumas on "Uncertainty in Diagnosis". Am J Dermatopathol 2010;32(8):860.
- 6. McCalmont TH. Believe it or not: a truism or an entrenched paradigm? J Cutan Pathol 2013;40(12):993-95. PMID: 24274423

- Lodha S, Saggar S, Celebi JT, Silvers DN. Discordance in the histopathologic diagnosis of difficult melanocytic neoplasms in the clinical setting. J Cutan Pathol 2008;35(4):349-52. PMID: 18333894
- 8. Hurwitz RM. Letters to the editor. Melanoma: experts disagree. Dermatopathology: Practical and Conceptual 1996;2(1).
- Farmer ER, Gonin R, Hanna MP. Discordance in the histopathologic diagnosis of melanoma and melanocytic neoplasms betweem expert pathologists. Hum Pathol 1996;27(6):528-31. PMID: 24655081
- Hurt MA, Milette F. Correspondence: A letter to the editor not published in a well-known pathology journal, Dysplastic nevus-Voices of Dissent! A response to Dr.Elder. Dermatopathology: Practical & Conceptual 2010;16(3):17.
- 11. Abbas O, Miller DD, Bhawan J. Cutaneous malignant melanoma: update on diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers. Am J Dermatopathol 2014;36(5):363-79. PMID: 24803061
- 12. Hurwitz,RM, Buckel LJ, Summerlin DJ. Melanocytic nevi and melanoma:overlapping criteria—the degree is the key. Dermatol Pract Concept 2014;4(2):5.
- 13. Decarlo K, Yang S, Emley A, et al. Oncogenic BRAF–positive dysplastic nevi and the tumor suppressor IGFBP7—challenging the concept of dysplastic nevi as a precursor lesions? Hum Pathol 2010;41(6):886-94. PMID: 20233623
- Dediol I, Bulat V, Zivkovic MV, Markovic BM, Situm M. Dysplastic nevus—risk factor or disguise for melanoma. Coll Antroopol 2011 Sept;35 Suppl 2:311-3. PMID: 22220461
- 15. Hurwitz RM, Buckel LJ, Eads TJ. Histologic patterns of melanocytic nevi: a proposal for a new classification. J Drugs Dermatol 2007;6(5):487-92.
- 16. Tavel ME. Snake Oil is Alive and Well. The Clash between Myths and Reality. Reflections of a Physician. Chandler, Arizona: Brighton Publishing LLC, 2012.
- Watts CG, Dieng M, Morton RL, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for identification, screening and follow-up of individuals at high risk of primary cutaneous melanoma: a systemic review. Br J Dermatol 2014 Sep 10. doi: 10.1111/bjd. 13403 (Epub ahead of print)
- 18. Comfere NI, Peters MS. Reply to 'surgical margins for possibly malignant melanocytic lesions'. J Am Acad Dermatol 2014;71(3):589-90. PMID: 25128109
- Piepkorn MW, Barnhill RL, Elder DE. Reply: surgical margins for possible malignant melanocytic lesions and the over diagnosis of melanoma. J Am Acad Dermatol 2014, 71(3):590. PMID: 25128110
- Mukherjee S. The Emperor of All Maladies: A Biography of Cancer. New York, NY: Scribner, 2010.
- Ackerman AB. "Exploding Myths: Melanocytic Neoplasms." Video library lecture series. http://www.derm101.com/video-library/lecture-series/. Accessed September 12, 2014.
- 22. Maden R, Chen S. The so-called dysplastic nevus in not dysplastic at all. Dermatol Pract Concept 2013;3(1):1. doi:10.5826/30c.0301a01
- 23. Ackerman AB. "Dysplastic Nevus: Message or Massage?" Video library lecture series. http://www.derm101.com/video-library/lecture-series/. Accessed September 12, 2014.
- 24. McCalmont TH. Red alert or red herring? J Cutan Pathol 2014:41(4):337-39. PMID: 24655081
- 25. Hurwitz RM. Consequences of a diagnosis of dysplastic nevus. J Cutan Pathol 2014;41(4):407. PMID: 24655082

- 26. Cornwall C. Catching Cancer: The Quest for its Viral and Bacterial Causes. Plymouth, United Kingdom: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc., 2013.
- 27. Elmore JG, Fletcher SW. Overdiagnosis in breast cancer screening: time to tackle an underappreciated harm. Ann Intern Med 2012;156(7):536-37. PMID: 22473439
- 28. Kalager M, Adami HO, Bretthauer M, Tamimi RM. Overdiagnosis of invasive breast cancer due to mammography screening: results from the Norwegian screen program. Ann Intern Med 2012;156(7):491-99. PMID: 22473436
- 29. Ackerman AB. "Dysplastic Nevus: Message or Massage?" Part 1: Resolution at Last of Controversy. Video library lecture series. http://www.derm101.com/video-library/lecture-series/. Accessed September 12, 2014.
- 30. Hurwitz RM. Have the lessons of Munich been lost on American physicians? Dermatopathology Practical & Conceptual 1999;5(1).
- 31. Ackerman AB. "Dysplastic Nevus: Message or Massage?" Part 2: Resolution at Last of Controversy. Video library lecture series. http://www.derm101.com/video-library/lecture-series/. Accessed September 12, 2014.