Ecological interpretations of nitrogen isotope ratios of terrestrial plants and soils Joseph M. Craine¹, E. N. J. Brookshire², Michael D. Cramer³, Niles J. Hasselquist⁴, Keisuke Koba⁵, Erika Marin-Spiotta⁶, Lixin Wang⁷

¹Jonah Ventures, Manhattan, KS, 66502, USA.

²Department of Land Resources and Environmental Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, 59717, USA.

³Botany Department, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, 7701, South Africa.

⁴Forest Ecology and Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU),

Umeå, 90183, Sweden.

⁵Institute of Agriculture, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Fuchu, Tokyo, 1838509, Japan.

⁶Department of Geography, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, 53706, USA.

⁷Department of Earth Sciences, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis,

Indianapolis, IN, 46202, USA.

Corresponding author

Joseph M. Craine

Phone: +1 785 317 9318

josephmcraine@gmail.com

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as: Craine, J. M., Brookshire, E. N. J., Cramer, M. D., Hasselquist, N. J., Koba, K., Marin-Spiotta, E., & Wang, L. (2015). Ecological interpretations of nitrogen isotope ratios of terrestrial plants and soils. Plant and Soil, 1-26. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2542-1

Abstract

Background Knowledge of biological and climatic controls in terrestrial nitrogen (N) cycling within and across ecosystems is central to understanding global patterns of key ecosystem processes. The ratios of ¹⁵N:¹⁴N in plants and soils have been used as indirect indices of N cycling parameters, yet our understanding of controls over N isotope ratios in plants and soils is still developing.

Scope In this review, we provide background on the main processes that affect plant and soil N isotope ratios. In a similar manner to partitioning the roles of state factors and interactive controls in determining ecosystem traits, we review N isotopes patterns in plants and soils across a number of proximal factors that influence ecosystem properties as well as mechanisms that affect these patterns. Lastly, some remaining questions that would improve our understanding of N isotopes in terrestrial ecosystems are highlighted. *Conclusion* Compared to a decade ago, the global patterns of plant and soil N isotope ratios are more resolved. Additionally, we better understand how plant and soil N isotope ratios are affected by such factors as mycorrhizal fungi, climate, and microbial processing. A comprehensive understanding of the N cycle that ascribes different degrees of isotopic fractionation for each step under different conditions is closer to being realized, but a number of process-level questions still remain.

Keywords

Nitrogen, nitrogen isotopes, soil organic matter, plants, nutrient supplies, denitrification, decomposition

Introduction

Nitrogen (N) is a key limiting resource in many terrestrial ecosystems and its cycling affects almost all aspects of ecosystem function (Vitousek et al. 1997). The N cycle is complex, with multiple transformations, feedbacks, and interactions with other important biogeochemical elements. N supplies to plants limit primary productivity across a wide variety of ecosystems (LeBauer and Treseder 2008; Thomas et al. 2013). Because N concentrations in plants are also often limiting to herbivores, N supplies to plants can constrain the productivity of herbivores by limiting both the quantity and nutritional quality of plants (Augustine et al. 2003; Craine et al. 2010; Zavala et al. 2013). N supplies can also influence detritus-based food webs, leading to both positive and negative feedbacks on process rates of N cycling. For example, increased N availability can accelerate initial decomposition rates of plant litter, but can also decelerate the decomposition of biochemically recalcitrant organic matter in soils (Carreiro et al. 2000; Craine et al. 2007; Janssens et al. 2010; Melillo et al. 1982; Waldrop et al. 2004). Adding to these complexities, ecosystem N cycling rates can govern N losses. Trace N gas losses to the atmosphere are a strong forcing factor for global climate and increase with increasing soil N availability (Barnard et al. 2005; Hall and Matson 2003). N also plays a key role in limiting productivity in many aquatic ecosystems. Large losses of reactive N, such as NO₃⁻, from soils can pollute groundwater and streams and ultimately reduce oxygen levels in river and estuarine environments (Howarth et al. 1996; Rabalais et al. 2002).

Understanding how patterns in terrestrial N cycling emerge within and across ecosystems is central to predicting patterns of plant productivity, ecosystem carbon sequestration,

nutrient fluxes to aquatic systems, and trace gas losses to the atmosphere (Galloway et al. 2008; Goll et al. 2012; Hudman et al. 2012; Pinder et al. 2012). Many specific N cycling processes can be difficult to measure, constraining the ability to generalize about the N cycle. Consequently, controls on N cycling are uncertain in many cases. No less uncertain is how N cycling responds to forcing factors such as changes in climate, increases in atmospheric CO₂, or greater N deposition. Such uncertainty in the mechanisms underlying how N is cycled across organism to landscape scales hampers parameterization of Earth system models and thus our ability to develop prognostic understanding of how ecosystems will respond and feedback to changes in climate (Thomas et al. 2015).

The ratios of ¹⁵N:¹⁴N in plants and soil have been used to infer N cycling process that are difficult to measure directly and challenging to scale (Amundson et al. 2003; Craine et al. 2009; Handley et al. 1999b; Hobbie and Högberg 2012; Högberg 1997; Martinelli et al. 1999). Although mechanistic understanding of controls over N isotope abundance was already well-developed over 25 years ago (Högberg 1997), during the past decade, a number of advances have been made in quantifying N isotope patterns of plants and soils at local to global scales as well as the mechanisms that underlie these patterns. As N isotopes of plants and soil are relatively straightforward to measure, a better mechanistic understanding of the patterns of natural abundance ¹⁵N and their underlying causes is needed to infer spatial and temporal patterns of N cycling as well as their interpretation. The ratios of N isotopes in plants are more likely to reflect short-term variation in N cycling, e.g. annual time scales. Soil N isotopes integrate over longer time scales, e.g. centurial, and can include different processes than what control plant N isotope

composition (Bustamante et al. 2004). N isotopes are also a key to reconstructing past N availability, which helps us understand the current state and trajectory of N availability of ecosystems (Gerhart and McLauchlan 2014; McLauchlan et al. 2013).

This review has three main sections. First, we provide background on the main processes that affect plant and soil N isotope ratios. Second, we review the mechanisms that affect plant and soil N isotope patterns and the general ecological patterns of N isotopes in plants. Lastly, we identify some of the remaining questions that need to be answered in order to advance our understanding of N isotopes in terrestrial ecosystems and consequently the N cycle.

Background on the N cycle and N isotopes

The soil N pool accounts for less than 1% of global N reservoirs and plant N pools account for even less (Galloway et al. 2004). Both are essential for the functioning of ecosystems and the biosphere. N cycling rates and the predominant forms of bioavailable N to plants varies among ecosystems. In cold ecosystems, dissolved organic N (DON) can be a dominant pool of N in soil solution (Schimel and Bennett 2004). In warmer ecosystems, either NH₄⁺ or NO₃⁻ may dominate the inorganic N pool of an ecosystem (Kronzucker et al. 1997). Although plants benefit energetically from taking up the most reduced form of N, excessive uptake of NH₄⁺ can be toxic (Miller and Cramer 2005) and plant N uptake preferences track the availability of different forms of N across different environmental conditions (Wang and Macko 2011). Losses of bioavailable N can be indicative of the N limitation status of plants and microbes and tend to increase with increasing external inputs and availability (e.g., Brookshire et al. 2012a; Vitousek et al. 1989; Wang et al. 2007). Pathways of N loss from ecosystems are diverse. They include gaseous losses (e.g., denitrification), particulate losses through erosion (aeolian or hydrologic pathways), and leaching of organic and inorganic N.

Although the N cycle is composed of many processes that can be difficult to measure, the ratios of ¹⁵N:¹⁴N in plants or soils could shed light on patterns of key aspects of the N cycle. These aspects include N supply rates to ecosystems and plants, the availability of N to plants, the pathways by which N is lost from ecosystems, and the amounts of N lost. For the purposes of this review, we define ecosystem N supply as the total amount of N entering the ecosystem from the atmosphere by pathways such as biological fixation and deposition, and from bedrock weathering in some situations. Soil N supply is defined as the rates at which organic or inorganic N enters soil solution from organic matter decomposition and from different direct inputs to the ecosystem. Soil N supplies to plants can be measured as either net mineralization rates or a fraction of gross mineralization rates, but it is uncertain which of these better predict plant N uptake. In most ecosystems, inorganic N (NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻) is the major N form for plant uptake, though some plants (directly and through mycorrhizal fungi) can take up DON, which precedes mineralization (Näsholm et al. 1998). The availability of N in soils to plants can be defined as the soil N supply relative to plant demand for N. Because the availability of N represents the balance of supply and demand, N availability can be even harder to measure directly than supply rates alone since plant N demand must also be assessed. Lastly, it is important to quantify the pathways by which N is lost from the ecosystem.

For some purposes, the absolute magnitude is sufficient, while in others the relative loss rates among pathways or relative to mineralization may be preferred.

As a natural component of the total N pool, approximately ~0.366 % of N is in the form of ¹⁵N. The ratio of ¹⁵N to ¹⁴N present in a given pool can shed light on processes that are difficult to measure. Molecules containing ¹⁵N are discriminated against in a number of processes associated with equilibrium and kinetic fractionations. N stable isotopic compositions are typically reported in δ notation, and expressed in per mil (‰) (Coplen 2011):

$$\delta^{15}N = (({}^{15}N/{}^{14}N)_{sample}/({}^{15}N/{}^{14}N)_{std}) - 1 \qquad eq 1.$$

where $({}^{15}N/{}^{14}N)_{sample}$ is the N isotopic composition of a sample, and $({}^{15}N/{}^{14}N)_{std}$ is the N isotopic composition of the standard material. The material used as a standard for the ratio of stable N isotopes is atmospheric molecular N, which by convention is set to 0 ‰. The fractionation between two substances A and B, which applies to all mass fractionation such as thermodynamic isotope effect and diffusion fractionations, can be expressed using the isotope fractionation factor (α):

$$\alpha = R_A/R_B, \qquad \text{eq. 2}$$

where R = the ratio of the heavy isotope to the lighter isotope in compounds A and B. Fractionation factors can also be expressed as discrimination (Δ), or fractionation (ϵ ; also referred to as the "isotope effect"), which is also normally expressed in ‰. These are defined (Coplen 2011) as

$$\Delta = \delta_{\rm A} - \delta_{\rm B} = (\alpha - 1) \approx \ln \alpha. \qquad \text{eq. 3}$$

The approximation in equation (3) is valid when α is low or under natural abundance. In general, patterns of natural abundance ¹⁵N have proven difficult to explain with simple mixing models. A key reason for this is that many biochemical and abiotic reactions involving N have large fractionation factors, which may vary in their level of expression depending on the degree to which the reactions go to completion. There are few N sources that are sufficiently enriched or depleted relative to other pools of N in an ecosystem to serve as a distinct tracer (Robinson 2001). Using natural abundance $\delta^{15}N$ of plants or soils to infer N cycling processes is thus difficult because there is a single response variable with multiple drivers. Interpretations, however, can be refined by having multiple-responses, such as pairing N with O isotopes when studying NO₃⁻ (Högberg 1997) or with C isotopes when studying organic biomolecules (Baisden et al. 2002a). Yet, in most cases, natural abundance N isotopes can only be used to narrow down the mechanisms that might underlie plant or soil δ^{15} N patterns. Coupling measurements of δ^{15} N with direct measurements of N cycle processes is generally required to further narrow interpretations of patterns.

Plant N isotopes

Although N in plant biomass represents a small fraction of the total ecosystem N pool, the isotopic composition of plants can index short-term dynamics of N cycling, as

opposed to soil δ^{15} N which might represent long-term dynamics. Typically, plant leaves are used as an index of whole-plant δ^{15} N. Although differences often exist among leaves, roots, and stems (Kolb and Evans 2002), the N isotope ratios generally correlate among plant fractions and any average differences are generally relatively minor. For example, across 90 grass species collected from 67 sites in four grassland regions of the world, the δ^{15} N of leaves averaged just 0.3 ‰ less than those of roots compared to a range of 18 ‰ for leaves and 14 ‰ for roots (Craine et al. 2005). Similarly, Dijkstra et al. (2003) reported differences in δ^{15} N of <1 ‰ between leaves and roots of natural meadows and forests in North America, with direction and magnitude of the differences depending on the functional type (forbs, legumes or grasses). In two North American hardwood tree species, δ^{15} N of leaves and wood were within 0.3 ‰ on average (Pardo et al. 2012). In that study, the greatest average difference in $\delta^{15}N$ occurred between roots and leaves for sugar maple (Acer saccharum) (2.1 %). Offsets between leaves and roots appear to be greatest for plants with ectomycorrhizal symbioses, e.g. ~4 ‰ enrichment in roots relative to leaves. That offset is dependent on the mycorrhizal status of the plants and on how much ectomycorrhizal mass is included with the roots (Hobbie and Colpaert 2003). In agricultural crops supplied with N-fertilizers, differences between leaves and roots can be larger (Robinson et al. 1998). For example, leaves of Brassica campestris grown with 12 mM NO₃⁻ had leaf and root δ^{15} N values of 0.2 ‰ and -6.7 ‰, respectively (Yoneyama et al. 2003).

At the global scale, foliar δ^{15} N ranges over 35 ‰ (Craine et al. 2009; Craine et al. 2012). The highest foliar δ^{15} N from a natural environment was 21.4 ‰, acquired from a prairie wildflower (*Callirhoe involucrata*) adjacent to a bison wallow in a tallgrass prairie in Kansas, USA (Craine et al. 2012). The lowest foliar δ^{15} N recorded was -14.4 ‰, acquired from a fir tree (*Abies lasiocarpa*) near Lyman Glacier in Washington, USA (Hobbie et al. 2005). Across over 12,000 leaves collected globally (Craine et al. 2009; Craine et al. 2012), the mean δ^{15} N was 0.9 ‰ with 95% of the samples falling within a range of 15.5 ‰ (-7.8 ‰ to 8.7 ‰)

Locally, individual plants can vary in δ^{15} N by over 25 ‰ (Craine et al. 2012). The amount of variation in plant δ^{15} N observed at a particular site depends in part on the sampling intensity. Using data from Craine et al. (2012), the range of foliar δ^{15} N observed at a site increases logarithmically with the number of plants sampled, which includes additional species and replicates of the same species. When 10 plants are sampled at a site, the mean range averages 5.5 ‰. When 100 plants are sampled, the mean range averages 10.1 ‰. (Figure 1). In contrast to other studies (Nadelhoffer et al. 1996), ecosystems with low mean annual temperature do not necessarily show a greater range in foliar δ^{15} N than ecosystems with high mean annual temperature. There is no relationship between mean annual temperature or precipitation and the range of foliar δ^{15} N at a site, once the number of plants sampled is taken into account (*P* > 0.2).

Proximal causes of plant $\delta^{15} \text{N}$ variability

The variation observed within and among sites in foliar δ^{15} N is dependent on a large number of proximal factors. In the following sections, we discuss a number of these factors: the signature of deposited N, whether any N has been acquired from geologic sources, the amount of N acquired from symbiotic fixation by the plant, the form of N acquired, mycorrhizal symbioses, and the signature of the N lost from ecosystems. Questions about the role of variation in the signature of soil organic matter (SOM) in determining plant δ^{15} N are addressed in a later section.

Deposition

Deposition of N can alter plant δ^{15} N when plants directly acquire N on leaf surfaces or by altering the signature of available N in the soil. NO₃⁻ in bulk precipitation tends to have an isotopic signature ranging from -3 % to +1 % (Houlton and Bai 2009), likely reflecting anthropogenic NO_x originated from fossil fuel combustion and reduction (Felix et al. 2012). For systems receiving substantial amounts of rain from marine sources, the contribution of continental anthropogenic N sources can be traced using the dual (¹⁵N, ¹⁸O) isotopes of NO₃. For example, rain in Bermuda can be derived from cold-season continental USA sources (with NO₃⁻ that have low δ^{15} N and high δ^{18} O likely reflecting the contribution of fossil fuels) or warm-season marine sources (with high $\delta^{15}N$ and low δ^{18} O derived from natural atmospheric reactions). Consequently, the source of NO₃⁻ varies temporally resulting in a negative relationship between δ^{18} O and δ^{15} N with the lowest δ^{15} N and highest δ^{18} O derived from the continental USA (Altieri et al. 2013). In contrast, NO₃⁻ deposited onto ecosystems can have quite low δ^{15} N when it is generated from snow surfaces. Morin et al. (2009) showed that the photolysis of NO₃⁻ on snow surfaces can lead to deposition of highly ¹⁵N-depleted NO_x downwind (as low as -40 ‰). NH_4^+ in bulk precipitation not derived from marine sources tends to have lower $\delta^{15}N$ values than NO₃⁻ (Garten 1992; Koba et al. 2012; Xiao and Liu 2004; Zhang et al. 2008), possibly reflecting the agricultural sources of NH₄⁺. The isotopic signature of atmospheric NH₄⁺ is likely affected by marine sources. For example, a wide range in

 δ^{15} N values of NH₄⁺ in the bulk precipitation was collected near a bay in the eastern US (-8.3 to + 8.6 ‰) likely due to differences in whether NH₄⁺ was derived from terrestrial or marine sources (Russell et al. 1998). However, the ranges of δ^{15} N for NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ occasionally overlap (Russell et al. 1998) or NH₄⁺ can have higher δ^{15} N than NO₃⁻ (Nadelhoffer et al. 1999).

For DON in precipitation, there are a limited number of measurements of its isotopic values. Cornell et al. (1995) first reported δ^{15} N of DON in precipitation on the ocean. DON values ranged from -7.3 to +7.3 ‰, with a trend towards ¹⁵N-depletion in sites further from the ocean. Russell et al. (1998) also reported a wide range in δ^{15} N of DON (-0.5 to +14.7 ‰). Knapp et al. (2010) estimated δ^{15} N of total reduced N (DON + NH₄⁺) in precipitation collected in Bermuda to be -0.6 ‰ compared to δ^{15} N of NO₃⁻ of -4.5 ‰ in the same samples.

The isotopic signatures of different compounds differ between wet and dry deposition (Heaton et al. 1997). For instance, Elliott et al. (2009) measured $\delta^{15}N$ of HNO₃ (gas; mean value = -3.2 ‰) and NO₃⁻ (particulate; +6.8 ‰). They found that $\delta^{15}N$ values of HNO₃ (gas) are an average of 3.4 ‰ higher than corresponding $\delta^{15}N$ of NO₃⁻ in wet deposition. For NO₃⁻, a trend in higher $\delta^{15}N$ values in dry deposition than wet deposition has been reported elsewhere (Garten 1996), although one study (Mara et al. 2009) reported similar $\delta^{15}N$ values for NO₃⁻ in dry and wet depositions in a coastal region. Kawashima and Kurahashi (2011) reported quite high $\delta^{15}N$ of particulate NH₄⁺ in some rural sites in Japan, which had much higher values than $\delta^{15}N$ of particulate NO₃⁻ (16.1‰ vs. -1‰). In contrast, $\delta^{15}N$ was higher in NO₃⁻ than in NH₄⁺ on aerosol samples collected in a coastal sampling site (Yeatman et al. 2001).

Geologic N

Rocks contain approximately 99.9% of the fixed N on Earth (Capone et al. 2006). Such "geologic N" represents the accumulated products of physical and biological N₂ fixation after gaseous N losses and losses from weathering and erosion. The geological N pool is large and turns over at the scale of millions of years as high pressures and temperatures volatilize N in rock. Past work has indicated that N concentrations are greatest in sedimentary and low-grade meta-sedimentary rocks such as slate (~500 ppm on average) (Holloway and Dahlgren 2002), whereas high-grade metamorphic rocks such as gneiss and igneous rocks contain smaller quantities of fixed N. Rock-bound N can occur as organic N, NH_4^+ , and, to a lesser extent in desert caliche deposits, as NO_3^- .

Substantial rock N contributions to sediments, ground- and surface-waters, and soilsystems are well known (Dahlgren 1994; Holloway et al. 1998; Holloway and Dahlgren 2002; Strathouse et al. 1980). Weathering of parent material contributes significant quantities of N to temperate coniferous forest ecosystems (Morford et al. 2011). Using natural N isotope composition, Morford et al. (2011) showed that the δ^{15} N of rock N was distinct from other N input sources in California, e.g. approximately 16‰ higher than symbiotically fixed N. Application of an N-isotope mixing model revealed a doubling of the forest N budget via weathering of geologic N. The potential for N isotope composition to reveal rock N sources in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems is an area for open inquiry; however, current evidence indicates that rock mineral δ^{15} N is highly variable (from ~-11 to 24 ‰) (Holloway and Dahlgren 2002), making global-scale N isotope calculations of rock N inputs uncertain (Vitousek et al. 2013).

N₂ Fixation

There is virtually no variation in the δ^{15} N of N₂ in the global bulk atmosphere (Mariotti 1983) and only slight ¹⁵N depletion in the soil atmosphere (*ca.* -0.2 ‰) imposed by diffusive flux of water vapor out of soil combined with gravitational settling of heavier isotopes and thermal diffusion of heavier isotopes to sites with lower temperatures (Severinghaus et al. 1996). The concentration of N₂ in the soil atmosphere is high enough that N₂ production by denitrification and diazotrophic N₂ consumption have limited potential to influence the δ^{15} N of soil N₂ (Barford et al. 1999).

During enzymatic fixation of N₂, the substrate N₂ binds reversibly to nitrogenase, facilitating potential discrimination against ¹⁵N (Sra et al. 2004). The NH₃ produced is highly soluble and rapidly converts to NH4⁺ with the equilibrium in favor of ¹⁵N-enriched NH₄⁺ formation (Shearer and Kohl 1986). It is widely accepted, however, that nitrogenase in nature does not fractionate (Handley 2002). Although free-living diazotrophs fractionate slightly (~ -2.5 %), there is little fractionation by symbiotic fixation in legumes. Yet, nitrogenase in vitro has been reported to fractionate strongly (-17 ‰) (Sra et al. 2004). This raises the question as to how inherent fractionation by nitrogenase may be suppressed, particularly in symbiotic fixation (Handley 2002). Contrary to earlier perspectives (Handley and Raven 1992), Unkovich (2013) suggested that these differences in fractionation were due to reduced N₂ concentrations in nodules as a consequence of the O₂ barriers that also exclude N₂. Steps subsequent to the N₂ reduction may also contribute to compensating for nitrogenase fractionation, including gaseous N loss (e.g. as NH₃), export of ¹⁵N-depleted ureides and the import of ¹⁵N enriched amino acids (Unkovich 2013).

The δ^{15} N values of plants that rely exclusively on N₂ fixation are usually ~ 0 ‰, reflecting atmospheric isotopic N values (Handley 2002). Many N₂-fixing plants show significant departures from 0 ‰ due to differences in reliance on fixed N (Craine et al. 2009; Menge et al. 2009). Unkovich (2013) argued that variations in δ^{15} N of symbiotic N₂ fixation were not the product of N₂-fixation per se, but rather a combination of measurement errors, intra-plant fractionation events resulting in tissue differences and possible preferential losses of ¹⁵N-depleted NH₃ (O'Deen 1989).

Nodules are commonly highly enriched in ¹⁵N (e.g. $\delta^{15}N 2.5 - 6.3 \%$) (Shearer and Kohl 1986). A number of explanations for this enrichment have been provided, including losses of ¹⁵N-depleted NH₃, export of ¹⁵N-depleted ureides and the import of ¹⁵N-enriched amino acids (Unkovich 2013). Nodules generally form a small proportion of the biomass of legumes and also represent a small proportion of the plant N (< 10%) even in plants exclusively dependent on N₂ fixation. As a consequence, enrichment of nodules has little effect on overall plant $\delta^{15}N$ values.

Transformations of plant-available nitrogen in soil

Feigin et al. (1974) first illustrated that the δ^{15} N of different types of soil inorganic N can be altered by transformations such as mineralization and nitrification. Although the subsequent number of studies on δ^{15} N of soil inorganic N remains small compared with the studies on bulk soils, many have revealed reduced δ^{15} N values for soil inorganic N (NO₃⁻ plus NH₄⁺) than bulk soils in most cases (Binkley et al. 1985; Garten 1992; Koba et al. 1998). The lower $\delta^{15}N$ of soil inorganic N relative to bulk soil N has been attributed to the isotopic fractionation during mineralization of larger molecules in the soil, although there is very little evidence for fractionation during this step (Högberg 1997). Consistent with previous research, Koba *et al.* (2010) demonstrated that soil inorganic N produced by organic matter mineralization and nitrification had more negative $\delta^{15}N$ values than bulk soil. Yet, the authors also reported that extractable organic N (EON) fraction had the highest $\delta^{15}N$ values among different N pools in the soil (Figure 2). This finding is somewhat unexpected; investigators typically assume that DON—comparable with EON in this case—has the same $\delta^{15}N$ of bulk soil N, because solubilization of organic N from bulk soil into the soil solution does not appear to induce isotopic fractionation (Amundson et al. 2003). This finding cannot be interpreted with the conventional view of N mineralization with negligible or small isotopic fractionation between SOM and EON. Koba et al. (2010) reported that the difference between the $\delta^{15}N$ of bulk soil N and EON was positively correlated with bulk soil C:N.

Soil microbial functioning is a likely driver of differences between $\delta^{15}N$ of bulk SOM and EON. Macko and Estep (1984) demonstrated ¹⁵N-enrichment of a marine bacterium after their uptake of amino acids. An analysis of ten different soils from a range of ecosystem and climate types showed that soil microbial biomass was consistently ¹⁵Nenriched relative to the total N pool (by approximately 3.2 ‰) and the extractable N pool (~3.7 ‰) (Dijkstra et al. 2006). Along these lines, soil microbial biomass can be ¹⁵Nenriched compared with the substrate due to the excretion of ¹⁵N-depleted N compounds (e.g. NH₃) (Collins et al. 2008). Dijkstra et al. (2008) hypothesized that soil microbial

biomass would excrete N with low δ^{15} N during deamination and associated transaminations of the incorporated organic N when C availability is low, resulting in the increase in δ^{15} N compared with the δ^{15} N of substrate. Expanding on these ideas, Coyle et al. (2009) suggested that greater ¹⁵N-enrichment of the soil microbial biomass in lower C:N soils may result from relatively lower C availability, a feature realized in some grassland soils (Tiemann and Billings 2011).

The ¹⁵N-enrichment in soil microbial biomass illuminates two points. The first is the discrepancy between the ¹⁵N-depletion of soil inorganic N and the lack of reports of large isotopic fractionation during mineralization. Mineralization does not break –NH₂ bonds at the edges of organic matter molecules in the soil. Instead, mineralization is the consequence of incorporation and excretion of N by soil microbial biomass (Myrold and Bottomley 2008). Therefore, it is reasonable that soil inorganic N excreted from soil microbial biomass can be ¹⁵N-depleted. Second, δ^{15} N of EON tends to be relatively elevated. The growing recognition that microbial necromass dominates inputs into SOM pools with longer turnover times (Berg and McClaugherty 2008; Gleixner 2013; Hobara et al. 2013; Liang and Balser 2010) is supported by the high δ^{15} N of these pools in the soil.

Nitrogen uptake

The isotopic fractionation that occurs during the uptake of soil N into plant tissue varies among plants and depends on the concentrations of N at the root surface. If the

concentration of N in soil solution is extremely low, roots essentially eliminate the possibility of N-isotope fractionation since net flow of N is from soils to roots. Concentrations of the N at root surfaces are difficult to measure but minimum soil solution N concentrations required for uptake of NO_3^- are extremely low (~0.3 – 9 μ M) (Edwards and Barber 1976; Olsen 1950; Teo et al. 1992), and those for NH₄⁺ are in the same range (~1.5 - 5 μ M) (Abbes et al. 1995; Marschner et al. 1991). Soil amino acid concentrations are also commonly low, relative to $[NO_3^-]$ and $[NH_4^+]$, resulting in limited access of plant roots to these N-forms (Jones et al., 2005) and limited potential for fractionation. Nutrient uptake mechanisms allow roots to take up N at low concentrations and consequently deplete soil N to low concentrations (Miller and Cramer, 2004), which is consistent with the fact that observed fractionation is especially small when soil [N] are low (Evans 2001; Evans et al. 1996; McKee et al. 2002; Montoya and McCarthy 1995). It is only when soil [N] is high that significant fractionation may be common.

Discrimination against ¹⁵N during uptake of NO₃⁻ by 38 plant species altered plant δ^{15} N by only 0.25 ‰ on average, although the extent of fractionation increased with increasing NO₃⁻ concentration (Mariotti et al. 1980). Evans (2001) used the fact that discrimination between NO₃⁻ and tissue can be ~0 ‰ across a range of NO₃⁻ concentrations to argue that there is no inherent fractionation during NO₃⁻ uptake processes. Furthermore, in aquatic systems, the cellular NO₃⁻ of phytoplankton has never been observed to be depleted in ¹⁵N relative to the supply (Needoba et al. 2004) indicating that fractionation during uptake across cell membranes is unlikely. Fractionation with NH₄⁺ may, however, be greater than with NO₃⁻ supply (Pennock et al. 1996; Yoneyama et al. 1991). Possible steps during NH₄⁺ acquisition from soil that could result in isotopic fractionation are

 NH_4^+ diffusion across the root boundary layer, active transport of NH_4^+ across the plasmalemma and assimilation into amino acids. Fractionation during uptake has been reported to result in increased $\delta^{15}N$ values of tissue NH_4^+ and decreased $\delta^{15}N$ values of organic N in rice (Yoneyama et al. 1991). This observation is consistent with fractionation during NH_4^+ assimilation into organic N.

The foregoing suggests that evidence for extensive fractionation of N during influx into cells, per se, is rather weak. Despite this, cytoplasmic pools of both NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ are commonly enriched with ¹⁵N, largely due to fractionation during reduction of NO₃⁻ to NO_2^- by nitrate reductase, the reduction of NO_2^- to NH_4^+ by nitrite reductase, and the subsequent assimilation into amino acids glutamine synthetase-glutamate synthase (Neerdoba et al. 2004). Nitrate reductase and glutamine synthetase both fractionate strongly against ¹⁵N by ca. 15‰ and 17‰, respectively (Robinson 2001). In contrast, the reduction of NO_2^- to NH_4^+ is unlikely to fractionate *in situ* since cellular $[NO_2^-]$ is normally very low (Tcherkez 2011). Cumulatively, these fractionations cause the cytoplasmic inorganic N to become enriched in ¹⁵N compared with soil N, whereas the organic N product is depleted in ¹⁵N. The importance of these fractionations for plant δ^{15} N values varies with the locations of N reduction/assimilation, which can be leaves, roots, or both, depending on the species, environmental conditions and N source (Robinson et al. 1998). When reduction/assimilation occurs in the roots there is potential for the efflux of ¹⁵N-enriched inorganic N from roots, resulting in both depletion of plant-¹⁵N and enrichment of soil ¹⁵N. Efflux of NO₃⁻ is commonly observed (Kronzucker et al. 1999) and a Nitrate Excretion Transporter (NAXT1) has been associated with NO₃⁻ efflux in Arabidopsis (Segonzac et al. 2007). Efflux of NH4⁺ has also been widely reported

(Britto et al. 2001). Both NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ efflux are thought to function for regulation of cytoplasmic N concentrations. This might be especially important for NH₄⁺ (Britto and Kronzucker 2002), which can be toxic when supplied at high concentrations (Miller and Cramer 2005). Apart from efflux of inorganic N, root exudation of amino acids also occurs (Farrar et al. 2003), resulting in the loss of ¹⁵N-depleted organic N from the roots. Dissolved organic N losses are likely to be greatest when substrate [N] is high or when plant growth is relatively impaired.

Other factors that may also contribute to variations in plant tissue $\delta^{15}N$ are intra-plant fractionation between shoot and root combined with whether there is net influx or efflux of NH₃ from the shoot (O'Deen 1989). Although net efflux of NH₃ by tissue volatilization can increase tissue $\delta^{15}N$ due to the large isotope fractionation (Högberg 1997), when atmospheric concentrations of NH₃ are above a compensation point within leaves, net influx of ¹⁵N-depleted atmospheric NH₃ can also decrease tissue $\delta^{15}N$ (Johnson and Berry 2013).

If the major N source for plants in soils is inorganic N, the δ^{15} N of plants should more closely correlate with the δ^{15} N of that source than total N (Cheng et al. 2010; Virginia 1982). Although a more comprehensive survey and broader sampling are required, published values of foliar δ^{15} N largely reflect the signatures of inorganic N available in soil (Figure 3). The vicinity of most plots to the identity line indicates that, in most nonboreal sites, plants mainly acquire NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ from soil and this uptake occurs without any large isotopic fractionation.

Mycorrhizal influence on plant $\delta^{15}N$

Mycorrhizal symbioses are ubiquitous features of nearly all plant communities and many plants rely on mycorrhizal fungi to supply them with N (Smith and Read 2008). Mycorrhizal hyphae are narrower in diameter than roots and hence are more efficient in exploring soil for nutrients. Some mycorrhizal fungi are capable of producing enzymes to access organic forms of N. As a result of supplying a significant amount of N to plants and the known fractionation that occurs during N transfers to host plants, some of these fungi can greatly influence the N isotopic patterns in plants and other ecosystem pools (Hobbie and Högberg 2012).

Mycorrhizal fungi can be separated into three major types, arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM), ectomycorrhizal (EM), and ericoid fungi (Hobbie and Hobbie 2008). These fungal types differ considerably in the distance from the root that they can explore (Coleman et al. 2004) and enzymatic capabilities to access different forms of N (Read and Perez-Moreno 2003). These differences can influence foliar δ^{15} N of host plants. At the global scale, Craine et al. (2009) showed that the type of mycorrhizal fungi associated with plants can account for roughly one third of the variation in foliar δ^{15} N values of the more than 9,000 plants sampled. Moreover, the type of mycorrhizal association can significantly influence foliar δ^{15} N values, with ericoid and EM plants being more depleted in foliar δ^{15} N (3.2 ‰ and 5.9 ‰, respectively) than non-mycorrhizal plants. AM plants are intermediate in their isotopic values, being depleted on average by 2 ‰ relative to non-mycorrhizal plants.

The greater difference in foliar δ^{15} N between EM and ericoid plants and non-mycorrhizal plants arises because of the preferential retention of the ¹⁵N by fungal biomass and the preferential transfer of ¹⁴N to host plants (Hobbie and Colpaert 2003; Hobbie et al. 2000;

Hogberg et al. 1996; Taylor et al. 2003). Although AM plants are slightly depleted in ¹⁵N relative to non-mycorrhizal plants, there is no clear indication that AM fungi retain a ¹⁵N-enriched pool or transfer ¹⁵N-depleted N to host plants (Azcon-G-Aguilar et al. 1998; Wheeler et al. 2000). However, it is difficult to quantify N retention by AM fungi and thus it is uncertain to what degree AM fungi contribute to variation in foliar δ^{15} N (Handley et al. 1999b). Some of the differences in foliar δ^{15} N between AM and non-mycorrhizal plants might be due to differences in the form of N directly acquired by the plants or the environments they tend to occupy.

Plant N isotopes have also been used to determine the role mycorrhizal fungi play in host plant N acquisition. Using a mass-balance approach based on the natural abundance values of ¹⁵N in plant foliage, EM sporocarps, and soil, Hobbie and Hobbie (2006) devised an analytical model to quantify the amount of N transferred from EM fungi to host plants in N-limited environments. Their model showed that 61-86% of the N in arctic plants was supplied by mycorrhizal fungi. However, it is important to point out that estimates of the proportion of N in the host plant derived from mycorrhizal fungi are sensitive to the ^{15}N values of N sources. Hobbie and Hobbie (2006) used the $\delta^{15}N$ signature of bulk soil N as their N source. A later study divided the bulk soil N into inorganic and organic fractions that differ in their isotopic values. Hydrolysable amino acids were as much as 10 ‰ less than other fractions in bulk soil (Yano et al. 2010). Using the signature of the labile N instead of bulk soil, Yano et al. estimated that only 30-60% of the plant's N was supplied by mycorrhizal plants. It is therefore crucial to determine the δ^{15} N signature of available N sources to accurately understand the role EM fungi play in host plant N acquisition.

Ecosystem N losses

In ecosystems where the isotopic signature of N inputs does not differ significantly from that of the atmosphere, loss pathways are the primary factors that ultimately enrich ecosystem N, but they also influence the signature of N available to plants. Comprehensive synthesis of isotope systematics in gaseous and hydrologic (particulate and dissolved) N losses from ecosystems has been limited primarily by the inability to measure natural abundance losses of N₂ from denitrification against the large background of atmospheric N₂ (Houlton and Bai, 2009). Gaseous losses are expected to have large fractionation factors, but the observable expression of isotope effects depends strongly on the degree to which the reaction goes to completion (Bai and Houlton 2009; Craine et al. 2009).

Hydrologic losses (leaching and erosion) do not seem to be accompanied by fractionation, as the exported nitrate, DON and particulate N have similar δ^{15} N of ecosystem N. Therefore, gaseous losses appear primarily responsible for imprinting large scale patterns on the natural abundance δ^{15} N of plants and ecosystems (Houlton and Bai 2009). Systematic understanding of isotope effects associated with soil N loss pathways can best be organized by following the dominant soil N transformations from the mineralization of SOM into each loss pathway. The mineralization process itself will introduce variability into the δ^{15} N of NH₄⁺ primarily reflecting the δ^{15} N of definable SOM pools or fractions, which varies with factors such as depth.

Once NH₄⁺ has been produced in soil solution, it is subject to volatilization as NH₃ under alkaline conditions, which is most likely to occur in hotspots or hot moments. Significant NH₃ volatilization can follow animal excrete deposition or fertilizer application. The rate limiting process, diffusion into the atmosphere, has a high fractionation factor (17.9 ‰) that can be calculated in the same manner as for other gases emitted from soil (Stern et al. 1999). Empirical measurements of δ^{15} N for NH₃ relative to residual soil or plant NH₄⁺ have indicated ¹⁵N depletion by up to 40 ‰ (Högberg 1997), but may also incorporate ¹⁵N enrichment of the NH₄⁺ pool due to co-occurring nitrification or a second diffusional fractionation during collection. Under typical situations with high rates of volatilization, not all of the NH₄⁺ pool is lost, so strong expression of the fractionation is expected. Given the large size of ammonia volatilization losses in some ecosystems (Billen et al. 2013), further systematic studies would be beneficial.

Other gaseous losses, including NO_x, N₂O, and N₂, occur mainly during nitrification and denitrification. The well-known loss pathways correspond to a 'hole-in-the-pipe' model (Firestone and Davidson 1989), and are also often associated with hot spots and hot moments, suggesting that the reactions responsible for gaseous losses seldom consume the entire reactant pool. Significant ¹⁵N enrichment of residual soil N pools can therefore be expected whenever processes that fractionate strongly against ¹⁵N are the rate-limiting steps in gaseous loss pathways.

Few N isotope measurements of NO_x, N₂O, and N₂ are available at plot or ecosystem levels, so the potential for isotope effects is commonly assessed through biochemical fractionation factors (Högberg 1997; Mariotti et al. 1982). Reported soil and soil-emitted N₂O δ^{15} N values typically range between 0 and -40 ‰ (Pérez et al. 2001; Pérez et al. 2000; Pörtl et al. 2007; Van Groenigen et al. 2005; Xiong et al. 2009), and therefore suggest varying but often strong ¹⁵N depletion in the gaseous loss pathway. The δ^{15} N values of denitrified N₂ emitted from soil to the atmosphere have not been successfully

measured. Processes are likely to follow those in groundwater systems, which are closed to atmospheric N₂. Under these conditions, a batch reaction model implies that ¹⁵N-depletion can be expected as denitrification proceeds, and measurements are believed to demonstrate that the δ^{15} N in excess N₂ matches the δ^{15} N of the NO₃⁻ source after nearly complete denitrification (Böhlke and Denver 1995; Böhlke et al. 2002). Gaseous loss pathways including NO_x and the HONO pathway (Oswald et al. 2013) also appear likely to have significant biochemical fractionations.

The $\delta^{15}N$ signature of NO₃⁻ has been critical to interpreting patterns of denitrification in oceans (Sigman et al. 2000; Sigman et al. 2009) as well as terrestrial ecosystems (Bai and Houlton 2009; Brookshire et al. 2012b; Fang et al. 2015; Houlton et al. 2006; Houlton and Bai 2009). Both nitrification and denitrification fractionate ¹⁵N strongly with similar fully-expressed organism-level isotope effects of 20-30 ‰ (Högberg 1997; Mariotti et al. 1982). This isotope effect decreases with increasing external NO₃⁻ concentrations and C quality, which affects NO₃⁻ uptake rate, likely resulting in a system-level isotope effect of just 10-15 ‰ (Kritee et al. 2012). A similarly low expression of an isotope effect has also been shown for natural soils (Houlton et al. 2006). Such ecosystem-level underexpression can result from heterogeneity in rate-limiting conditions in the soil environment. Houlton et al. (2006) found that at the wet sites in a Hawaiian forest rainfall gradient, saturating conditions likely drive denitrification to near-completion thus resulting in no net expression of fractionation, a pattern expected from closed-system microsite conditions (Mariotti et al. 1982; Sigman et al. 2001). Plants in these ecosystems are not strongly ¹⁵Nenriched, despite high rates of denitrification.

Inorganic N lost from the ecosystem through leaching is either derived from the decomposition of organic matter or direct losses of depositional N. Although the δ^{15} N of NO₃⁻ may not be diagnostic of depositional N, the ¹⁸O of NO₃⁻ differs globally by an average of 40 % (range = 20- 60 %) between atmospheric and biospheric waters. As such, the dual natural abundance isotope distributions of NO₃⁻ (δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O) have been used to partition NO₃⁻ in groundwater or streams into NO₃⁻ that derives from internal microbial nitrification and NO_3^- that passes directly from atmospheric sources (Durka et al. 1994). Most studies have found a uniformly low direct contribution of atmospheric NO₃⁻. However, studies in some temperate regions exposed to chronic atmospheric N pollution show periods of NO₃⁻ loss, particularly during high flow and snow-melt, when up to 20% of NO₃⁻ derives directly from atmospheric sources. Brookshire et al. (2012b) showed that many tropical forests naturally export high levels of NO₃⁻ similar to that of polluted temperate forests but that an average of >98% of the NO₃⁻ derives from nitrification in the plant-soil system. An even more direct way to way to separate atmospheric from microbial effects on NO₃⁻ is through analysis of Δ^{17} O owing to the fact that ${}^{17}\text{O}$ is enriched in atmospheric NO₃⁻ due to mass-independent photochemical reactions while mass-dependent processes (e.g., nitrification and denitrification) do not affect Δ^{17} O (Fang et al. 2015; Michalski et al. 2004).

Interpreting patterns of plant $\delta^{\mbox{\tiny 15}}\mbox{N}$ within and among ecosystems

Inferring sources of N to plants from plant $\delta^{\rm 15}N$

Variation in δ^{15} N among plants within an ecosystem has been interpreted as representing differences in fixation, mycorrhizal dependence, depth of acquisition within the soil profile, utilization of depositional N and the form of N that plants predominantly acquire (Vallano and Sparks 2013). Among ecosystems, variation in plant δ^{15} N can be affected by these same factors, but the form of N is unlikely to drive variation in stand-level signatures when the majority of available N is acquired by plants. For example, if all of the NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ available to plants is acquired, differences in signatures between the two caused by fractionation during nitrification will not affect the mean signature of the inorganic N. Among ecosystems, soil and plant δ^{15} N can also be affected by variation in the ¹⁵N value of atmospheric N deposition. When distal sources of N have similar values, as described earlier, plants or soils with higher δ^{15} N are often assumed to experience (or have) higher N availability.

As a result of the multiple potential influences on plant or soil δ^{15} N, interpretations are not necessarily straightforward. Given the wide variation in signatures of atmospheric sources of N to plants and multiple factors in the soil that can affect plant δ^{15} N, variation in plant δ^{15} N across spatial gradients or over time cannot only be interpreted as a signal of depositional N. Vallano and Sparks (2013) examined the foliar δ^{15} N of mature trees of four species along an urban-rural gradient that included variation in NO₂ concentrations

in the atmosphere. They found that after accounting for variation in soil δ^{15} N, there was no relationship between NO₂ concentrations and foliar δ^{15} N for two species, a positive relationship for one, and a negative relationship for the fourth. The authors hypothesized that one species utilized enriched N in the atmosphere and the other depleted N. Yet, the signatures of N the plants were differentially accessing would have to differ by 20% to generate observed differences in δ^{15} N between the two species (Vallano and Sparks 2008). Even bryophytes that presumably rely on atmospheric N entirely (Binkley and Graham 1981) can vary by 8 ‰ within a narrow geographic region (Delgado et al. 2013). Interpreting variation among species within a site is complicated due to the multiple processes influencing isotopic values. Although nitrification is a fractionating process, the assumption that variation among plants within a site reflects differences in uptake of NO₃⁻ vs. NH₄⁺ might not be valid (Kahmen et al. 2008). Across a number of European grasslands, species that preferred NO₃⁻ relative to NH₄⁺ under controlled conditions would be predicted to have lower foliar δ^{15} N than plants that preferred NH₄⁺. Yet, plants that preferred NO₃⁻ were more enriched in ¹⁵N, not less enriched. Among potential explanations for this pattern, NO₃ may have been more enriched than NH₄⁺ in the soils due to gaseous N loss subsequent to nitrification. Other differences among plants within a site could be due to differences in dependence on mycorrhizal fungi, or the depth in the soil profile from which N is acquired.

Because of the difference in ¹⁵N signatures between N₂-fixing plants and non-N₂-fixing plants, natural abundance ¹⁵N signatures have the potential to shed light on the dependence of different plants on recently fixed N. It is commonly assumed that plants relying exclusively on N₂ fixation have δ^{15} N of 0 ‰ (Robinson 2001), although this

assumption should be checked against cultivation of the plants in an N-free medium (Shearer and Kohl 1986). The fact that N₂-fixing plant δ^{15} N is approximately 0 ‰ has been used in mixing models to calculate the quantitative dependence of plants on N₂ fixation. The fraction of N derived from atmospheric N₂ is given by the following mixing model:

$$fN_{atm} = \frac{\delta^{15}N_{ref} - \delta^{15}N_{target}}{\delta^{15}N_{ref} - \delta^{15}N_{fix}},$$

where $\delta^{15}N_{ref}$ is the $\delta^{15}N$ for a reference plant that does not depend on N₂ fixation, $\delta^{15}N_{fix}$ is the $\delta^{15}N$ of a plant relying only on N₂ fixation (often assumed to be 0 ‰) and $\delta^{15}N_{target}$ is the $\delta^{15}N$ of the species for which dependence is being calculated (Shearer and Kohl 1986). Although this measurement has been widely applied, it can at best be considered an estimate. Finding a reference plant that is using the same soil N pool as the target species may be challenging. Uncertainty in the signature of N₂-fixing plants and determination of the signatures of non-N₂ fixing plants greatly reduces the utility of this approach. In addition, estimates of the signatures of plants need to include more than just the signature of foliar N when it is unrepresentative of the whole plant isotopic signature (Bouillet et al. 2008). Given the sensitivity of the two-pool mixing model to the signatures of either end member, the difference of even just 1 ‰ could have large effects on estimates.

Interpreting plant $\delta^{\rm 15} N$ as an indicator of N availability

N availability drives a significant amount of variation in plant δ^{15} N at local to regional scales. When N supplies are high relative to demand by plants and microbes, N accumulates in inorganic pools. Larger pools of NH₄⁺ increase the likelihood of NH₃

volatilization and/or nitrification, which both increase the δ^{15} N of remaining inorganic pools. When NO₃⁻ pools are high, denitrification may also be more likely, which again can enrich the remaining inorganic pools in ¹⁵N. Plants that experience greater N availability may reduce their dependence on mycorrhizal fungi. This reduced dependence on mycorrhizal fungi can enrich plants by reducing the depletion associated with N transfers from mycorrhizal fungi (Högberg et al. 2011). As N availability increases relative to C, soil microbial biomass is likely to become more enriched in ¹⁵N, given greater N dissimilation compared to N assimilation, and the discrimination against ¹⁵N associated with dissimilation (Dijkstra et al. 2008). Yet, the subsequent enrichment from gaseous N losses likely overrides this depleting factor.

N fertilization studies demonstrate that plants become enriched in ¹⁵N as N availability increases. For example, an understory grass species in fertilized forest plots was enriched in ¹⁵N by more than 11 ‰ relative to control plots (Johannisson and Högberg 1994). In a separate study, loblolly pine needles became enriched by as much as 5 ‰ with N fertilization (Choi et al. 2005).

Plant δ^{15} N also increases with increasing N availability across natural N supply or N availability gradients. In the Smoky Mountains, Tennessee, forests with high potential N mineralization had leaves that were enriched in ¹⁵N by approximately 3 ‰ relative to stands with low potential N mineralization rates (Garten and Van Miegroet 1994). Craine et al. (2009) examined relationships between metrics of N supply or availability and foliar δ^{15} N across 15 studies. Consistently, when N supply or availability was measured *in situ*, δ^{15} N increased with N availability (Figure 4). There was less of a consistent relationship when N mineralization was measured as potential rates under standardized

conditions in the laboratory—positive correlations with $\delta^{15}N$ were only reported in 3 of the 5 studies (Craine et al. 2009).

Because sites with higher N availability are more likely to have plants with higher N concentration, plant N concentration tends to correlate positively with plant δ^{15} N. At a global scale, foliar δ^{15} N increased logarithmically with increasing leaf N concentrations. On average, plants with foliar N concentrations of 40 mg N g⁻¹ were enriched in ¹⁵N by 4 ‰ more than plants with just 10 mg g⁻¹ N (Craine et al. 2009). Stronger patterns can be present at local scales. For example, across 371 non-leguminous species in a tallgrass prairie, plants with foliar N concentrations of 40 mg N g⁻¹ were 6.1 ‰ higher than plants with just 10 mg N g⁻¹ (Craine et al. 2012).

Soil organic matter N isotopes

The processes that lead to variation in the isotopic ratio of SOM largely overlap with those for plants. Losses of depleted N from available pools enrich the remaining available N pool, which would enrich plants and microbes as well as the organic matter they produce. Yet, as soil organic matter turns over on slower time scales than plant organic matter, SOM δ^{15} N is likely to reflect longer term processes than plant δ^{15} N. In this section, we focus on the patterns of δ^{15} N in SOM within and across soils as well as the likely mechanisms that generate these patterns.

Local and global range of soil organic matter $\delta^{15} N$

In the first broad survey of the δ^{15} N of SOM, Shearer and Kohl (1978) analyzed SOM δ^{15} N from over 100 soils from 20 US states. They examined the relationships between

SOM $\delta^{15}N$ and climate, depth, soil pH and land use. They reported that the average $\delta^{15}N$ of SOM was 9.2 ‰ with 90% of the samples ranging from 5 to 12 ‰, but could detect few geographic patterns.

Since the initial surveys of Shearer and Kohl (1978), our understanding of the patterns of SOM δ^{15} N and the mechanisms that underlie them has progressed substantially. Whereas Shearer and Kohl (1978) observed just 7 ‰ variation in the δ^{15} N of SOM, the global range of non-fertilized surface SOM δ^{15} N has now been quantified at ~30 ‰. The highest surface soil δ^{15} N recorded was 22.0 ‰ collected in South African fynbos on the Cape Peninsula (M. Cramer, unpublished). The highest surface SOM δ^{15} N not adjacent to marine ecosystems was 17.7 ‰, which was in the arid lowlands of Ethiopia (Terwilliger et al. 2008). The lowest surface SOM δ^{15} N was -7.8 ‰, collected from organic soils on moist acidic tundra (Bret-Harte et al. 2008). Among non-marine surface soils, 99% of the surface soil δ^{15} N samples fell within 17.6 ‰ (-5.0 ‰ – 12.6 ‰) and 95% of the samples fell within 14 ‰ (-3.5 ‰ – 10.5 ‰).

Local variation in SOM δ^{15} N has not been quantified as well as it has been for plants. Nevertheless, the δ^{15} N of surface SOM varied by as much as 16 ‰ along a 300-m transect in Zambian woodland savanna (Wang et al. 2013). When aggregated to the 0.1° latitude/longitude scale, the range of surface soil δ^{15} N increases logarithmically with increasing sampling density, but is independent of climate (Figure 1; *P* > 0.05 for MAT, MAP) (Craine et al. 2015). With 10 samples, the range is 4.1 ‰. With 100 samples, the range is 7.6 ‰.

¹⁵N patterns related to litter and soil organic matter decomposition

Multiple studies of litter decomposition have demonstrated that litter δ^{15} N increases as decay proceeds. In a field decomposition study of grass and hardwood tree roots, the δ^{15} N of root litter increased by 1-3 ‰ over 5 years (Connin et al. 2001). Changes in isotopic composition during decomposition and microbial processing of leaf litter and SOM can vary with duration of incubation, differences in the mechanisms and controls on rates of decay, sequence of degradation of chemical compounds, and degree of incorporation of microbial biomass and residues.

Although loss of depleted N enriches organic matter throughout the continuum from litter to SOM, the early stages of decomposition can be associated with reductions in δ^{15} N as ¹⁵N-depleted N is imported into microbial biomass. In one of the first studies of chemical changes during litter decomposition, the litter of pine needles decreased in δ^{15} N by 2 ‰ as relatively ¹⁵N-depleted N was immobilized into litter over the first 22 months of decomposition (Melillo et al. 1989). Once net N mineralization began, N content of the litter began to decline and the δ^{15} N of the litter began to increase.

During the initial stages of decomposition, the $\delta^{15}N$ of organic matter can increase or decrease. The direction of change in N isotopic composition during litter decay result from differences in the degree of decomposition and nutrient availability. During the first year of a 2-year field incubation study of *Sphagnum* litter in a peatland, samples incubated in the oxic zone showed greater ¹⁵N enrichment than litter in the anoxic zone (Asada et al. 2005). During a 3-year decay study in an alpine bog, *Sphagnum* showed enrichment in $\delta^{15}N$ while two vascular plant species showed declines in $\delta^{15}N$ (Bragazza

et al. 2010). *Spartina* biomass decaying in salt-marsh sediments also has exhibited declines in δ^{15} N over 18 months (Benner 1991).

The enrichment in δ^{15} N during organic matter decomposition is often attributed to incorporation of microbial biomass and residues into decaying litter and SOM. Over the course of a 6-month laboratory incubation of a cultivated soil, soil microbial biomass became significantly ¹⁵N-enriched relative to bulk soil, while water-soluble N became ¹⁵N-depleted (Lerch et al. 2011). The relationship between the ratio of microbial biomass enrichment relative to the water-soluble fraction and the C:N ratio of the water-soluble fraction followed an exponential decay model, indicating that the enrichment factor stabilized over the length of the incubation. The degree to which organic matter becomes enriched in ¹⁵N during decay is likely influenced by the C- vs. N-limited status of the microbes performing the decomposition (Dijkstra et al. 2008), with enhanced ¹⁵N enrichment of microbial biomass reflecting an increasing degree of N dissimilation linked to relative C limitation. This effect is driven in large part by discrimination against ¹⁵N during transformations of organic N to NH₄⁺, equilibrium isotope effects as NH₄⁺ and NH₃ experience state changes, and discrimination against ¹⁵N during subsequent loss of NH₃ from the cell.

Patterns among soil organic matter fractions

Trends in isotopic composition of SOM pools are consistent with enrichment in $\delta^{15}N$ with progressive decay and microbial alteration. Increasingly, conceptual models of SOM assume that most SOM in mineral soils is composed of microbially-processed OM (Gleixner 2013; Liang and Balser 2010; Schmidt et al. 2011). Hence, SOM with longer residence times in soil are expected to reflect isotopic signature of decomposers more

than of initial plant litter inputs. Consistent with this idea, SOM fractions generally show increasing values of δ^{15} N with decreasing particle size and increasing density or increasing mineral association (Baisden et al. 2002b; Billings 2006; Liao et al. 2006; Marin-Spiotta et al. 2009). For example, in a clay-rich tropical Oxisol, the δ^{15} N of SOM fractions increases with increasing microbial processing as evidenced by greater δ^{15} N of low C:N fractions (Figure 5).

Liao et al. (2006) quantified the C and N isotopic ratios of soils from sites where C₃ trees and shrubs replaced C₄ grasslands. Different physically-separated fractions of the soils varied by 6 %. The silt and clay fractions were most enriched in δ^{15} N and also had the longest radiocarbon-based mean residence times, suggesting stabilization of highlyprocessed organic matter in the fine-sized physical fractions. Similar patterns were observed in a highly-weathered wet tropical forest soil (Marin-Spiotta et al. 2009; Marín-Spiotta et al. 2008). The decline in C:N ratios typically seen with increasing SOM fraction δ^{15} N was associated with plant litter decay and incorporation of microbial biomass and products, as well as an increase in C mean residence time. In a study describing SOM chemistry in four soils representing a range of mineralogy and climate, Sollins et al. (2009) reported increases in δ^{15} N and decreases in C:N ratios with increasing density across a series of physical fractions that isolated organic matter of increasing radiocarbon mean residence time associated with different mineral types. Along a soil chronosequence in California annual grasslands, declining C:N and increasing δ^{15} N by up to 3 ‰ were observed with increasing mineral association in sequential density fractions (Baisden et al. 2002b). By using time-series to quantify multiple pool sizes and residence times, rather than a mean residence time, this study

found that changes in C:N and δ^{15} N were associated with pool size and might therefore reflect the degree of microbial transformation during mineral stabilization processes. Further supporting the idea of N isotopic enrichment with SOM transformations, Kramer et al. (2003) demonstrated a strong positive relationship between a common index of organic matter decomposition and microbial alteration, the alkyl-to-O-alkyl C ratio, and δ^{15} N in bulk soils and physical density SOM fractions.

The overall δ^{15} N signature of soils will depend on the signatures and the relative abundance of different fractions. For example, in a forest soil profile, most soil N (75-86%) was located in aggregates (Huygens et al. 2008). Consequently, values of δ^{15} N bulk soil were closely related to values of aggregates and displayed an increasing trend with increasing soil depth.

Patterns of soil organic matter $\delta^{\rm 15} N$ with depth

Variation in δ^{15} N with depth was shown early on to be substantial. Along an elevational gradient, Mariotti et al. (1980) showed that soil at just 50 cm deep can be enriched by up to 9 ‰ relative to surface soils. Wang et al. (2009) showed that soil at 90-cm depth can be enriched by up to 17.2 ‰ relative to surface soil in an African savanna. In a review of a global distribution of 88 soil profiles, Hobbie and Ouimette (2009) showed that δ^{15} N of SOM at 50 cm depth was enriched relative to surface litter by 9.6 ‰ for soils under ectomycorrhizal plant species and by 4.6 ‰ for plants under arbuscular mycorrhizal species. In contrast, in arid and semi-arid systems where soil pH is high, surface δ^{15} N values can be elevated by as much as 7 ‰ relative to deeper soils (Pataki et al. 2008).

The depth distribution of the δ^{15} N of SOM in a given soil profile is largely considered a function of the signature of inputs and losses that occur during the decomposition processes. Surface SOM δ^{15} N values typically are dominated by the δ^{15} N of incoming litterfall and root inputs. These vegetative components, in turn, exhibit $\delta^{15}N$ signatures indicative of their N source and internal allocation and re-allocation of N supplies (Robinson 2001). Assuming transport is generally downward, decomposition processes become a more dominant influence on soil $\delta^{15}N$ deeper in the soil profile – an effect that has been modeled consistently using C and N isotopes and abundances (Baisden et al. 2002a). As SOM age tends to increase with depth (Trumbore 2000; 2009), many studies assume that the degree of microbial processing of SOM generally increases with depth. Consistent with this, soil C:N tends to decline with depth (Marín-Spiotta et al. 2014) and SOM δ^{15} N often increases (Billings and Richter 2006; Compton et al. 2007; Piccolo et al. 1996). As discussed above, this is typically assumed to result from the fractionation associated with decay and microbial assimilation or dissimilation of N, with resulting ¹⁵N-depletion or enrichment of microbial biomass, respectively (Dijkstra et al. 2006). Although large contributions of microbial necromass to SOM are likely ubiquitous, their effect on soil profile δ^{15} N may be outweighed by the effect of gaseous losses dominating soil N cycling in surface soils. For example, Pataki et al. (2008) attributed ¹⁵N enrichment

of an alkaline soil in an arid ecosystems to ammonia volatilization and its large enrichment factor. It remains unclear whether this feature is ubiquitous in alkaline soils where NH₃ volatilization is a dominant process, or why other fractionating losses of nitrogenous gases (e.g. N₂O) do not appear to result in similar profiles.

In soils supporting aggrading forests with high vegetation nutrient demand, SOM decomposition can outweigh SOM formation (Richter et al. 1999). In these soils, increases in soil δ^{15} N with SOM decay can become evident within years, and during forest development, agriculturally well-mixed soil profiles can attain the vertical ¹⁵N distribution typically seen in less disturbed profiles over decades (Billings and Richter 2006). This rapid shift in soil δ^{15} N with forest development is attributed to the accumulation of ¹⁵N-enriched microbial necromass and, to a lesser extent, fractionation effects during SOM decay.

The mycorrhizal association of the dominant plant species is another important factor in explaining variation in vertical patterns of δ^{15} N in soils. Hobbie and Ouimette (2009) showed that almost all soil under ectomycorrhizal species had monotonically increasing soil δ^{15} N, while 40% of the AM sites had the highest δ^{15} N at intermediate depth. There were no strong relationships between climate and the pattern of soil δ^{15} N with depth. Also, soils with higher nitrification rates did not appear to have greater vertical distributions of soil δ^{15} N.

Vertical patterns in soil δ^{15} N also have the potential to be influenced by hydrologic movement of N. In a Hawaiian tropical rain forest, Marin-Spiotta et al. (2011) reported a soil ¹⁵N profile with maximum δ^{15} N at intermediate depths. They attributed this pattern to differences in drainage and microbial processing in the upper and lower soil profile due to the presence of cemented or placic layers forming along hydrologic flow paths. Differences in the δ^{15} N above and below these layers were consistent with patterns in soil C:N ratios and the accumulation of organic matter at depth with isotopic and chemical

signatures more similar to the surface organic horizons. Thus, differences in decomposition (and the losses that occur therein) and the transport in preferential flowpaths of recent, surface organic matter to deeper mineral soil layers in very wet sites, with poor drainage, or with high shrink-swell capacity soils can also lead to vertical soil δ^{15} N profiles that differ from the more commonly observed enrichment with depth.

Interpreting differences between plant and soil $\delta^{15}N$

One of the most important steps in moving forward is an assessment of the relative merits of soil δ^{15} N, plant δ^{15} N, or the difference between the two for interpreting patterns of δ^{15} N. The difference between plant δ^{15} N and soil δ^{15} N is generally referred to as the enrichment factor (Mariotti et al. 1981). It is called an "enrichment" factor based on the assumption that plant N is the end product of a series of enriching reactions that begin with soil organic matter. It is thought that standardizing patterns of plant δ^{15} N for underlying variation in δ^{15} N of SOM will remove variation in the signature of the source of δ^{15} N and better reveal N cycling patterns.

There are cases where enrichment factors appear to be better indicators than soil ¹⁵N of N cycling rates among ecosystems. Emmett et al. (1998) compared enrichment factors among coniferous forests in order to normalize initial differences in soil δ^{15} N values for effect of land management practices, soil age, and climate. Among sites, surface soil δ^{15} N varied by ~6 ‰. Calculating the enrichment factor for these sites led to better relationships with N availability metrics than foliar δ^{15} N.

When examined globally, plants are almost always more depleted in ¹⁵N than soils. When comparing site-averaged foliar δ^{15} N and δ^{15} N of SOM (typically 0-20 cm) with data from Craine et al. (2009), in 92% of the sites, foliar δ^{15} N was less than that of soils (average difference of 3.3 ‰). Ericoid plants were the most depleted relative to SOM on average (-4.9 ± 0.2 ‰) with ectomycorrhizal plants (-4.0 ± 0.1 ‰) and arbuscular plants (-3.4 ± 0.1 ‰) showing similar levels of relative depletion. Even non-mycorrhizal plants were still depleted on average (-0.6 ± 0.2 ‰). Craine et al. (2009) reported that sites with high foliar δ^{15} N also had a large absolute difference between the δ^{15} N of leaf and SOM.

At the global scale, leaves are more depleted in ¹⁵N than soils across the global climate spectrum even when factoring out mycorrhizal influences. To compare the global relationships between leaves and SOM, average SOM δ^{15} N was determined for 901 locations at the global scale assuming a depth of 30 cm using the data from Craine et al. (2015). In order to predict foliar δ^{15} N at each of these locations, we used the data from Craine et al. (2009) to establish relationships between foliar δ^{15} N and climate parameters (MAT, MAP) for plants of different mycorrhizal types assuming each plant had the global mean foliar N concentration in the dataset of 16.2 mg N g⁻¹ (Craine et al. 2009). This allows one to calculate the δ^{15} N of a plant of a given mycorrhizal type anywhere in the global climate space. Given the soil δ^{15} N and predicted foliar δ^{15} N at each of the 901 locations, soils were more enriched than plants in 74% of the sites for the typical nonmycorrhizal plant and 99.9% of the sites for ericoid plants (Figure 6).

Three hypotheses have been offered to explain the consistent depletion of leaves relative to soils. First, solubilization of N leads to greater isotopic fractionation than previously thought. As discussed earlier, work on the signatures of microbial biomass suggest that there are additional fractionation factors associated with mineralization that had not previously been considered when comparing plants and soils. Still, more research and modeling is needed to determine the potential influence of microbial enrichment on the net ¹⁵N depletion of plants. Second, mycorrhizal transfers of N and fractionation during these transfers is stronger than previously thought. Although average differences among mycorrhizal types have been assessed, there are still uncertainties regarding the magnitude of fractionation under different conditions and the degree to which utilization of different forms of N contributes to the variation in δ^{15} N among plant species with different mycorrhizal symbioses. Third, the $\delta^{15}N$ of bulk SOM is not a good indicator of the signature of the pool that serves as a source of N to plants. Associated with differences in turnover, inorganic N is more likely to come from the relatively depleted non-mineral-associated pools rather than the enriched, mineral-associated pools. Critical knowledge gaps remain if we want to quantify the signatures of available N. More research is needed to link the signature of different SOM fractions and the source of N for plant and microbial uptake.

In order to link enrichment factors to N status or N availability, a number of conditions would have to be met or accounted for. Regarding sources of N, deposition would have to be a small source of N or have a similar signature as SOM. The greater ¹⁵N enrichment of high-clay soils may make it seem like there is a lower enrichment in plants relative to SOM on high-clay soils than low-clay soils.

When comparing the signature of plants, both the depth of N acquisition and mycorrhizal type would have to be standardized. The presence of N fixers can also skew enrichment factors between soils and plant δ^{15} N. N₂-fixing plants are typically excluded from

calculations of average plant $\delta^{15}N$, but rely on soil-derived N, too. Any difference in the signatures of N acquired by non-N₂-fixing and N₂-fixing plants would alter the calculated enrichment factor.

Although enrichment factors have been useful in determining N availability in some ecosystems, their application can be limited by the aforementioned processes that lead to variability in source N. Broad, cross-site studies that measure N cycling parameters as well as SOM and plant δ^{15} N are relatively rare. Given the difficulty in comparing N supplies or availability across broad contrasts where N is cycled fundamentally differently, e.g. organically vs. inorganically, the utility of enrichment factors to assess differences in N availability is unlikely to be tested soon. Instead, this technique is more likely to be useful across narrow contrasts with little variation in other factors. Specific interpretations of plant δ^{15} N, soil δ^{15} N, or enrichment factors will still need to occur on a case by case basis.

Interpreting N isotope patterns across climate gradients

As plant and soil $\delta^{15}N$ data have accumulated, $\delta^{15}N$ patterns and their interpretations have changed over time generating some confusion on how N cycling parameters might be changing along climate gradients. The first attempt to broadly synthesize relationships between climate and plant $\delta^{15}N$ was by Handley et al. (1999a) who found that foliar $\delta^{15}N$ declined linearly with increasing rainfall across 97 sites. Their working hypothesis to explain this pattern followed Austin and Vitousek (1998): dry sites have a more "open" N cycle with a greater importance of inputs and outputs compared to within-system cycling. Although Handley et al. found no influence of latitude on foliar $\delta^{15}N$, Martinelli et al. (1999) reported that tropical leaves averaged 6.5 ‰ higher δ^{15} N than temperate leaves (3.7 vs. -2.8 ‰). These authors proposed a similar explanation, that tropical forests typically have a more "open" N cycle, with large inputs and outputs of N relative to internal N cycling.

Amundson et al. (2003) synthesized foliar δ^{15} N from 106 sites and demonstrated that foliar δ^{15} N increased with increasing mean annual temperature (MAT) and decreasing mean annual precipitation (MAP). They interpreted these patterns as indicating that hot, dry sites have both a greater proportion of N being lost through fractionating pathways and a more open N cycle. The authors suggested that because most undisturbed soils are near N steady state, an increasing fraction of ecosystem N losses with decreasing MAP and increasing MAT were ¹⁵N-depleted forms (NO₃, N₂O, etc.).They concluded that wetter and colder ecosystems appeared to be more efficient in conserving and recycling mineral N.

A subsequent study of over 11,000 non-N₂ fixing plants at the global scale found that foliar δ^{15} N increased logarithmically with decreasing MAP (Craine et al. 2009). Foliar δ^{15} N increased linearly with increasing MAT, but only for those ecosystems with MAT > -0.5°C. Due to linkages observed at local scales between N availability and foliar δ^{15} N, these global relationships between climate and foliar δ^{15} N were interpreted to suggest higher N availability in warm, dry ecosystems.

As was the case for plants, observations of the patterns of soil δ^{15} N with climate and their explanations have shifted over time. After the Shearer and Kohl (1978) synthesis of North American soils, there was a 20-year gap in synthesizing soil δ^{15} N. In 1999, two

papers were published that began to frame global patterns of soil ¹⁵N with respect to climate (Handley et al. 1999a; Martinelli et al. 1999). Handley et al. demonstrated that across 47 soils, low-latitude sites had lower δ^{15} N in SOM from surface mineral soils. With a different set of soils, Martinelli et al. showed the opposite pattern—tropical soils were more enriched in δ^{15} N than temperate soils. Combining the data of previous studies, Amundson et al. (2003) reported that average soil δ^{15} N followed similar patterns as foliar δ^{15} N. Across 47 soils, average soil δ^{15} N to 50 cm increased with increasing MAT and decreased with increasing MAP (P < 0.1). This further supported their conclusion of greater proportions of fractionating losses in hot, dry ecosystems compared to the "more efficient" cold, wet ecosystems.

On the other hand, an extensive dataset of soil δ^{15} N that included key covariates (soil C and clay concentrations) suggests different mechanisms at work (Craine et al. 2015). Across 6,000 soil samples that were aggregated to 910 locations (0.1° latitude and longitude), the δ^{15} N of surface mineral soils was greater for sites with high MAT and low MAP, but there was no relationship between SOM δ^{15} N and MAT across ecosystems with MAT < 9.8°C. Soil δ^{15} N increased with decreasing C and N concentrations as well as decreasing C:N, similar to what is observed with increasing decomposition of organic matter and soil depth. Organic soils with a [C] of 450 mg C g⁻¹ soil on average had a δ^{15} N of 3.1 ‰. Mineral soils with a [C] of 20 mg C g⁻¹ soil on average had a δ^{15} N of 3.1 ‰. Mineral soils with a [C] of 20 mg C g⁻¹ soil on average had a δ^{15} N of 5.0 ‰. In addition to soils with lower [C] being more enriched in δ^{15} N, soils with higher clay concentrations were also more enriched in ¹⁵N in a parallel manner to differences among soil fractions

that differ in clay content. Across soils, increasing clay concentrations by an order of magnitude increases soil δ^{15} N by 2.0 ‰.

When viewed independent of covariates, the relationships between climate and SOM δ^{15} N would suggest that a greater proportion of N was lost via fractionating processes in warm, dry ecosystems than cold or wet ecosystems. Yet, SOM C and N concentrations declined with increasing MAT and decreasing MAP, suggesting that the SOM of warm, dry ecosystems had been processed more on average than cold, wet ecosystems. In addition, SOM δ^{15} N was greater in ecosystems with higher clay concentrations and warm ecosystems tended to have higher clay concentrations than colder ecosystems. Amazon ecosystems averaged just 11%. Many Amazonian forests had clay concentrations in excess of 60% (Quesada et al. 2010). After standardizing for variation in C concentrations (an index for the degree of microbial processing) and clay concentrations, SOM δ^{15} N did not vary with increasing MAT or MAP (Craine et al. 2015).

Hot ecosystems likely lose a similar proportion of N via gaseous pathways as cold ecosystems, as do wet and dry ecosystems. Compared to high-latitude ecosystems, tropical forests tend to lose a greater amount of N via fractionating pathways, but also non-fractionating pathways (Brookshire et al. 2012b). Tundra ecosystems are typically considered dominated by organic N cycling and net N mineralization is rare (Schimel and Bennett 2004). Yet, N₂O fluxes can be a high proportion of losses with relatively high gaseous N loss rates outside of the summer when mineralization and plant uptake are decoupled (Buckeridge et al. 2009; Filippa et al. 2009; Harms and Jones 2012).

In all, although the patterns of plant and soil δ^{15} N are clearer today than they were a decade ago, there is still uncertainty in how to interpret these patterns. On the one hand, plant and soil δ^{15} N might indicate greater N availability and greater relative importance of fractionating losses in hot, dry ecosystems compared to cold, wet ecosystems. On the other hand, taking into account covariates with soil δ^{15} N associated with the degree of processing of SOM and/or the proportion of mineral-associated organic matter, there may be little consistent difference in the relative proportion of fractionating losses across climate gradients. If so, there may be a need to reinterpret why foliar δ^{15} N is greater in hot, dry ecosystems than cold, wet ecosystems.

Summary and future research

Over the past decades, scientists from a broad array of disciplines have made significant strides in better understanding patterns of N isotopes in plants and soils and the processes that underlie variation in the patterns. Compared to a decade ago, we better understand such factors as the role of mycorrhizal fungi in influencing plant δ^{15} N, the role of climate in determining both plant and soil δ^{15} N, the changes in δ^{15} N that occur with microbial processing, the differences in δ^{15} N among soil fractions, and the signatures of δ^{15} N of different forms of N in the soil. Also, an integrated N cycle that ascribes different degrees of fractionation for each step under different conditions is close at hand. Progress in these areas sets the stage for further advances on a number of fronts.

First, although the ¹⁵N-enrichment of microbial biomass has been observed, there are still too few measurements of the ¹⁵N signatures of microbial biomass to generalize their contribution to the isotopic values of plants under different environmental conditions. We

still cannot determine how much of the general ¹⁵N-depletion of plants relative to soils is due to enrichment of microbial biomass during mineralization, or how differences in microbial communities affect isotopic values.

Second, the isotopic values of different forms of N in the soil need to be measured across a wider range of environmental conditions. We still cannot generalize patterns in values of dissolved organic N or inorganic N under a given set of conditions. Hence, we are limited in interpreting variation in plant δ^{15} N in terms of the form of N that plants acquire. A better understanding of the signatures of different forms of N is also essential for constraining the relative importance of different loss pathways in different ecosystems, and will assist in narrowing the range of fractionation factors associated with a particular process such as denitrification, which are still too broad to reliably constrain processbased modeling. Although we know that more-processed organic matter is more enriched in ¹⁵N, the pairing of δ^{15} N signatures with measurements of soil microbial biomass and different organic matter pools, as well as other biochemical measures of microbial alteration and synthesis, will be critical to understanding the relative importance of fractionation associated with internal processes versus fractionation associated with loss pathways.

Third, more measurements of gaseous loss rates and the signature of gaseous loss products are necessary to test the degree to which plant or soil δ^{15} N reflect the relative importance of fractionating loss pathways. Along these lines, the signatures of deposited N are still too sparse to reliably incorporate into interpretations of δ^{15} N patterns. Too frequently, any changes in δ^{15} N over time are interpreted as resulting from changing

deposition patterns with little verification of the signatures of deposition and the potential relative importance of other pathways in affecting the ultimate sample signature.

Fourth, comprehensive modeling of whole-ecosystem N cycling with respect to isotopic fractionation is still in its early stages. Simple models of ecosystem-level δ^{15} N have assumed that at steady state, the signature of the N lost must be opposite in sign and of equal magnitude to the ¹⁵N-values of the N in an ecosystem. Yet, pools of N differ in both their ¹⁵N-values and turnover time, potentially decoupling the signatures of whole-ecosystem N and N exports. Better direct quantification of the isotopic composition of gaseous losses will help to test this. At the same time, multi-pool ecosystem models need to be deployed to further assess the sensitivity of whole-ecosystem δ^{15} N to turnover rates of different pools and pathways of N loss, and to test the processes that generate variation in SOM δ^{15} N with soil depth.

Lastly, this review demonstrates how much of the development of our understanding of N isotopes has come from integrating research across a broad range of disciplines. Fields as diverse as atmospheric chemistry, terrestrial ecosystem science, soil science, plant community ecology, plant ecophysiology, microbiology, molecular ecology, and mycorrhizal ecology all make substantial contributions to our emerging understanding of δ^{15} N signatures within ecosystems. By integrating approaches from these disciplines, we can make greater advances towards using N isotopes as a means of developing a predictive framework of ecosystem function.

Funding: KK was supported in part by NEXT program (GS008) in Japan; JMC by National Science Foundation: DEB-1342787.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

Laurent Augusto, Troy Baisden, Sharon Billings, Erik Hobbie, Ben Houlton, Steve Perakis, and multiple reviewers all provided helpful comments on previous versions of the manuscript.

References

- Abbes C, Parent L, Karam A and Isfan D 1995 Effect of NH4+: NO3-ratios on growth and nitrogen uptake by onions. Plant Soil 171, 289-296.
- Altieri K, Hastings M, Gobel A, Peters A and Sigman D 2013 Isotopic composition of rainwater nitrate at Bermuda: The influence of air mass source and chemistry in the marine boundary layer. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 118, 11,304-311,316.
- Amundson R, Austin A T, Schuur E A G, Yoo K, Matzek V, Kendall C, Uebersax A, Brenner D and Baisden W T 2003 Global patterns of the isotopic composition of soil and plant nitrogen. Global Biogeochem Cy 17, 1031.
- Asada T, Warner B G and Aravena R 2005 Nitrogen isotope signature variability in plant species from open peatland. Aquat Bot 82, 297-307.

- Augustine D J, McNaughton S J and Frank D A 2003 Feedbacks between soil nutrients and large herbivores in a managed savanna ecosystem. Ecol Appl 13, 1325-1337.
- Azcon-G-Aguilar R, Handley L L and Scrimgeour C M 1998 The delta N-15 of lettuce and barley are affected by AM status and external concentration of N. New Phytol 138, 19-26.
- Bai E and Houlton B Z 2009 Coupled isotopic and process-based modeling of gaseous nitrogen losses from tropical rain forests. Global Biogeochem Cy 23.
- Baisden W T, Amundson R, Brenner D L, Cook A C, Kendall C and Harden J W 2002a
 A multiisotope C and N modeling analysis of soil organic matter turnover and transport as a function of soil depth in a California annual grassland soil chronosequence. Global Biogeochem Cy 16, 82-81-82-26.
- Baisden W T, Amundson R, Cook A C and Brenner D L 2002b Turnover and storage of C and N in five density fractions from California annual grassland surface soils.Global Biogeochem Cy 16, 64.
- Barford C C, Montoya J P, Altabet M A and Mitchell R 1999 Steady-state nitrogen isotope effects of N2 and N2O production in Paracoccus denitrificans. Appl Environ Microbiol 65, 989-994.
- Barnard R, Leadley P W and Hungate B A 2005 Global change, nitrification, and denitrification: A review. Global Biogeochem Cy 19.
- Benner R 1991 Diagenesis of belowground biomass of Spartina alterniflora in saltmarsh sediments. Limnol Oceanogr 36, 1358-1374.
- Berg B and McClaugherty C 2008 Plant litter: decomposition, humus formation, carbon sequestration. Springer.

- Billen G, Garnier J and Lassaletta L 2013 The nitrogen cascade from agricultural soils to the sea: modelling nitrogen transfers at regional watershed and global scales.Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 368.
- Billings S 2006 Soil organic matter dynamics and land use change at a grassland/forest ecotone. Soil Biol Biochem 38, 2934-2943.
- Billings S A and Richter D D 2006 Changes in stable isotopic signatures of soil nitrogen and carbon during 40 years of forest development. Oecologia 148, 325-333.
- Binkley D and Graham R L 1981 Biomass, production, and nutrient cycling of mosses in an old-growth Douglas-fir forest. Ecology, 1387-1389.
- Binkley D, Sollins P and McGiLL W B 1985 Natural abundance of nitrogen-15 as a tool for tracing alder-fixed nitrogen. Soil Sci Soc Am J 49, 444-447.
- Boddey R M, Peoples M B, Palmer B and Dart P J 2000 Use of the 15N natural abundance technique to quantify biological nitrogen fixation by woody perennials. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 57, 235-270.
- Böhlke J and Denver J 1995 Combined use of groundwater dating, chemical, and isotopic analyses to resolve the history and fate of nitrate contamination in two agricultural watersheds, Atlantic coastal plain, Maryland. Water Resources Research 31, 2319-2339.
- Böhlke J, Wanty R, Tuttle M, Delin G and Landon M 2002 Denitrification in the recharge area and discharge area of a transient agricultural nitrate plume in a glacial outwash sand aquifer, Minnesota. Water Resources Research 38, 1105.
- Bouillet J P, Laclau J P, Gonçalves J L M, Moreira M Z, Trivelin P C O, Jourdan C, Silva E V, Piccolo M C, Tsai S M and Galiana A 2008 Mixed-species plantations of

Acacia mangium and Eucalyptus grandis in Brazil. For Ecol Manag 255, 3918-3930.

- Bragazza L, Iacumin P, Siffi C and Gerdol R 2010 Seasonal variation in nitrogen isotopic composition of bog plant litter during 3 years of field decomposition. Biol Fertil Soils 46, 877-881.
- Bret-Harte M S, Mack M C, Goldsmith G R, Sloan D B, DeMarco J, Shaver G R, Ray P
 M, Biesinger Z and Chapin F S 2008 Plant functional types do not predict
 biomass responses to removal and fertilization in Alaskan tussock tundra. J Ecol 96, 713-726.
- Britto D T and Kronzucker H J 2002 NH4+ toxicity in higher plants: a critical review. J Plant Physiol 159, 567-584.
- Britto D T, Siddiqi M Y, Glass A D and Kronzucker H J 2001 Futile transmembrane
 NH4+ cycling: a cellular hypothesis to explain ammonium toxicity in plants.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98, 4255-4258.
- Brookshire E N J, Gerber S, Menge D N and Hedin L O 2012a Large losses of inorganic nitrogen from tropical rainforests suggest a lack of nitrogen limitation. Ecol Lett 15, 9-16.
- Brookshire E N J, Hedin L O, Newbold J D, Sigman D M and Jackson J K 2012b Sustained losses of bioavailable nitrogen from montane tropical forests. Nature Geoscience 5, 123-126.
- Buckeridge K M, Cen Y-P, Layzell D B and Grogan P 2009 Soil biogeochemistry during the early spring in low arctic mesic tundra and the impacts of deepened snow and enhanced nitrogen availability. Biogeochemistry 99, 127-141.

- Bustamante M M C, Martinelli L A, Silva D A, Camargo P B, Klink C A, Domingues T F and Santos R V 2004 N-15 natural abundance in woody plants and soils of central Brazilian savannas (cerrado). Ecol Appl 14, S200-S213.
- Capone D G, Popa R, Flood B and Nealson K H 2006 Follow the nitrogen. Science(Washington) 312, 708-709.
- Carreiro M M, Sinsabaugh R L, Repert D A and Parkhurst D F 2000 Microbial enzyme shifts explain litter decay responses to simulated nitrogen deposition. Ecology 81, 2359-2365.
- Cheng S-L, Fang H-J, Yu G-R, Zhu T-H and Zheng J-J 2010 Foliar and soil 15N natural abundances provide field evidence on nitrogen dynamics in temperate and boreal forest ecosystems. Plant Soil 337, 285-297.
- Choi W J, Chang S X, Allen H L, Kelting D L and Roe H M 2005 Irrigation and fertilization effects on foliar and soil carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios in a loblolly pine stand. For Ecol Manag 213, 90-101.
- Coleman D C, Crossley Jr D A and Hendrix P F 2004 Fundamentals of soil ecology. Academic Press.
- Collins J G, Dijkstra P, Hart S C, Hungate B A, Flood N M and Schwartz E 2008 Nitrogen source influences natural abundance 15N of Escherichia coli. FEMS Microbiol Lett 282, 246-250.
- Compton J E, Hooker T D and Perakis S S 2007 Ecosystem N distribution and delta N-15 during a century of forest regrowth after agricultural abandonment. Ecosystems 10, 1197-1208.

- Connin S L, Feng X and Virginia R A 2001 Isotopic discrimination during long-term decomposition in an arid land ecosystem. Soil Biol Biochem 33, 41-51.
- Coplen T B 2011 Guidelines and recommended terms for expression of stable- isotoperatio and gas- ratio measurement results. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 25, 2538-2560.
- Cornell S, Randell A and Jickells T 1995 Atmospheric inputs of dissolved organic nitrogen to the oceans. Nature 376, 243-246.
- Coyle J S, Dijkstra P, Doucett R R, Schwartz E, Hart S C and Hungate B A 2009
 Relationships between C and N availability, substrate age, and natural abundance
 13C and 15N signatures of soil microbial biomass in a semiarid climate. Soil Biol
 Biochem 41, 1605-1611.
- Craine J M, Elmore A J, Aidar M P M, Bustamante M, Dawson T E, Hobbie E A,
 Kahmen A, Mack M C, McLauchlan K K, Michelsen A, Nardoto G B, Pardo L H,
 Peñuelas J, Reich P B, Schuur E A G, Stock W D, Templer P H, Virginia R A,
 Welker J M and Wright I J 2009 Global patterns of foliar nitrogen isotopes and
 their relationships with climate, mycorrhizal fungi, foliar nutrient concentrations,
 and nitrogen availability. New Phytol 183, 980-992.
- Craine J M, Elmore A J, Olson K C and Tolleson D 2010 Climate change and nutritional stress in cattle. Glob Change Biol 16, 2901-2911.
- Craine J M, Elmore A J, Wang L, Augusto L, Baisden W T, Brookshire E N J, Cramer M
 D, Hasselquist N J, Hobbie E A, Kahmen A, Koba K, Kranabetter J M, Mack M
 C, Marin-Spiotta E, Mayor J R, McLauchlan K K, Michelsen A, Nardoto G B,
 Oliveira R S, Perakis S S, Peri P L, Quesada C A, Richter A, Schipper L A,

Stevenson B A, Turner B L, Viani R A G, Wanek W and Zeller B 2015 Convergence of soil nitrogen isotopes across global climate gradients. Scientific Reports 5, 8280.

- Craine J M, Lee W G, Bond W J, Williams R J and Johnson L C 2005 Environmental constraints on a global relationship among leaf and root traits. Ecology 86, 12-19.
- Craine J M, Morrow C and Fierer N 2007 Microbial nitrogen limitation increases decomposition. Ecology 88, 2105-2113.
- Craine J M, Towne E G, Ocheltree T W and Nippert J B 2012 Community traitscape of foliar nitrogen isotopes reveals N availability patterns in a tallgrass prairie. Plant Soil 356, 395-403.
- Dahlgren R A 1994 Soil acidification and nitrogen saturation from weathering of ammonium-bearing rock.
- Delgado V, Ederra A and Santamaria J M 2013 Nitrogen and carbon contents and delta(15) N and delta(13) C signatures in six bryophyte species: assessment of long-term deposition changes (1980-2010) in Spanish beech forests. Glob Chang Biol 19, 2221-2228.
- Dijkstra P, Ishizu A, Doucett R, Hart S C, Schwartz E, Menyailo O V and Hungate B A 2006 13C and 15N natural abundance of the soil microbial biomass. Soil Biol Biochem 38, 3257-3266.
- Dijkstra P, LaViolette C M, Coyle J S, Doucett R R, Schwartz E, Hart S C and Hungate B A 2008 N-15 enrichment as an integrator of the effects of C and N on microbial metabolism and ecosystem function. Ecol Lett 11, 389-397.

- Dijkstra P, Williamson C, Menyailo O, Doucett R, Koch G and Hungate B A 2003 Nitrogen stable isotope composition of leaves and roots of plants growing in a forest and a meadow. Isotopes In Environmental And Health Studies 39, 29-39.
- Durka W, Schulze E-D, Gebauer G and Voerkeliust S 1994 Effects of forest decline on uptake and leaching of deposited nitrate determined from 15N and 18O measurements. Nature 372, 765-767.
- Edwards J and Barber S 1976 Nitrogen uptake characteristics of corn roots at low N concentration as influenced by plant age. Agron J 68, 17-19.
- Elliott E, Kendall C, Boyer E, Burns D, Lear G, Golden H, Harlin K, Bytnerowicz A, Butler T and Glatz R 2009 Dual nitrate isotopes in dry deposition: Utility for partitioning NOx source contributions to landscape nitrogen deposition. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences (2005–2012) 114.
- Emmett B A, Kjonaas O J, Gundersen P, Koopmans C, Tietema A and Sleep D 1998 Natural abundance of 15N in forests across a nitrogen deposition gradient. For Ecol Manag 101, 9-18.
- Evans R D 2001 Physiological mechanisms influencing plant nitrogen isotope composition. Trends Plant Sci 6, 121-126.
- Evans R D, Bloom A J, Sukrapanna S S and Ehleringer J R 1996 Nitrogen isotope composition of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. cv. T-5) grown under ammonium or nitrate nutrition. Plant Cell Environ 19, 1317-1323.
- Fang Y, Koba K, Makabe A, Takahashi C, Zhu W, Hayashi T, Hokari A A, Urakawa R, Bai E and Houlton B Z 2015 Microbial denitrification dominates nitrate losses

from forest ecosystems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 201416776.

- Farrar J, Hawes M, Jones D and Lindow S 2003 How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology 84, 827-837.
- Feigin A, Kohl D H, Shearer G and Commoner B 1974 Variation in natural nitrogen-15 abundance in nitrate mineralized during incubation of several Illinois soils. Soil Sci Soc Am J 38, 90-95.
- Felix J D, Elliott E M and Shaw S L 2012 Nitrogen isotopic composition of coal-fired power plant NOx: influence of emission controls and implications for global emission inventories. Environ Sci Technol 46, 3528-3535.
- Filippa G, Freppaz M, Williams M W, Helmig D, Liptzin D, Seok B, Hall B and Chowanski K 2009 Winter and summer nitrous oxide and nitrogen oxides fluxes from a seasonally snow-covered subalpine meadow at Niwot Ridge, Colorado. Biogeochemistry 95, 131-149.
- Firestone M and Davidson E 1989 Microbiological basis of NO and N2O production and consumption in soil. In In Exchange of Trace Gases between Terrestrial
 Ecosystems and the Atmosphere. Eds. M Andreae and D Schimel. pp 7-21. John
 Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- Galloway J N, Dentener F J, Capone D G, Boyer E W, Howarth R W, Seitzinger S P, Asner G P, Cleveland C C, Green P A, Holland E A, Karl D M, Michaels A F, Porter J H, Townsend A R and Vorosmarty C J 2004 Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future. Biogeochemistry 70, 153-226.

- Galloway J N, Townsend A R, Erisman J W, Bekunda M, Cai Z C, Freney J R, MartinelliL A, Seitzinger S P and Sutton M A 2008 Transformation of the nitrogen cycle:Recent trends, questions, and potential solutions. Science 320, 889-892.
- Garten C T, Jr 1992 Nitrogen isotope composition of ammonium and nitrate in bulk precipitation and forest throughfall. International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry 47, 33-45.
- Garten C T, Jr 1996 Stable nitrogen isotope ratios in wet and dry nitrate deposition collected with an artificial tree. Tellus B 48, 60-64.
- Garten C T and Van Miegroet H 1994 Relationships between soil nitrogen dynamics and natural 15N abundance in plant foliage from Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Can J For Res 24, 1636-1645.
- Gerhart L M and McLauchlan K K 2014 Reconstructing terrestrial nutrient cycling using stable nitrogen isotopes in wood. Biogeochemistry 120, 1-21.
- Gleixner G 2013 Soil organic matter dynamics: a biological perspective derived from the use of compound-specific isotopes studies. Ecol Res, 1-13.
- Goll D S, Brovkin V, Parida B R, Reick C H, Kattge J, Reich P B, van Bodegom P M and Niinemets Ü 2012 Nutrient limitation reduces land carbon uptake in simulations with a model of combined carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycling. Biogeosciences 9, 3547-3569.
- Hall S J and Matson P A 2003 Nutrient status of tropical rain forests influences soil N dynamics after N additions. Ecol Monogr 73, 107-129.
- Handley L L 2002 Diazotrophy and δ15N. Biology and Environment: Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy 102B, 49-51.

- Handley L L, Austin A T, Robinson D, Scrimgeour C M, Raven J A, Heaton T H E,
 Schmidt S and Stewart G R 1999a The 15N natural abundance (delta15N) of
 ecosystem samples reflects measures of water availability. Aust J Plant Physiol 26, 185-199.
- Handley L L, Azcon R, Lozano J M R and Scrimgeour C M 1999b Plant delta N-15 associated with arbuscular mycorrhization, drought and nitrogen deficiency.
 Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 13, 1320-1324.
- Handley L L and Raven J A 1992 The use of natural abundance of nitrogen isotopes in plant physiology and ecology. Plant Cell Environ 15, 965-985.
- Harms T K and Jones J B 2012 Thaw depth determines reaction and transport of inorganic nitrogen in valley bottom permafrost soils. Glob Change Biol 18, 2958-2968.
- Heaton T H E, Spiro B and Robertson S M C 1997 Potential canopy influences on the isotopic composition of nitrogen and sulphur in atmospheric deposition.Oecologia 109, 600-607.
- Hobara S, Osono T, Hirose D, Noro K, Hirota M and Benner R 2013 The roles of microorganisms in litter decomposition and soil formation. Biogeochemistry, 1-16.
- Hobbie E A and Colpaert J V 2003 Nitrogen availability and colonization by mycorrhizal fungi correlate with nitrogen isotope patterns in plants. New Phytol 157, 115-126.
- Hobbie E A and Hobbie J E 2008 Natural abundance of N-15 in nitrogen-limited forests and tundra can estimate nitrogen cycling through mycorrhizal fungi: A review. Ecosystems 11, 815-830.

- Hobbie E A and Högberg P 2012 Nitrogen isotopes link mycorrhizal fungi and plants to nitrogen dynamics. New Phytol 196, 367-382.
- Hobbie E A, Jumpponen A and Trappe J 2005 Foliar and fungal (15) N :(14) N ratios reflect development of mycorrhizae and nitrogen supply during primary succession: testing analytical models. Oecologia 146, 258-268.
- Hobbie E A, Macko S A and Williams M 2000 Correlations between foliar delta15N and nitrogen concentrations may indicate plant-mycorrhizal interactions. Oecologia 122, 273-283.
- Hobbie E A and Ouimette A P 2009 Controls of nitrogen isotope patterns in soil profiles. Biogeochemistry 95, 355-371.
- Hobbie J E and Hobbie E A 2006 N-15 in symbiotic fungi and plants estimates nitrogen and carbon flux rates in Arctic tundra. Ecology 87, 816-822.
- Högberg P 1997 Tansley review no. 95 ¹⁵N natural abundance in soil-plant systems. New Phytol 137, 179-203.
- Hogberg P, Hogbom L, Schinkel H, Hogberg M, Johannisson C and Wallmarki H 1996
 15N abundance of surface soils, roots and mycorrhizas in profiles of European forest soils. Oecologia 108, 207-214.
- Högberg P, Johannisson C, Yarwood S, Callesen I, Nasholm T, Myrold D D and Hogberg M N 2011 Recovery of ectomycorrhiza after 'nitrogen saturation' of a conifer forest. New Phytol 189, 515-525.
- Holloway J, Dahlgren R, Hansen B and Casey W 1998 Contribution of bedrock nitrogen to high nitrate concentrations in stream water. Nature 395, 785-788.

- Holloway J M and Dahlgren R A 2002 Nitrogen in rock: occurrences and biogeochemical implications. Global Biogeochem Cy 16, 65-61-65-17.
- Houlton B, Sigman D and Hedin L 2006 Isotopic evidence for large gaseous nitrogen losses from tropical rainforests. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103, 8745-8750.
- Houlton B Z and Bai E 2009 Imprint of denitrifying bacteria on the global terrestrial biosphere. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106, 21713-21716.
- Howarth R W, Billen G, Swaney D, Townsend A, Jaworski N, Lajtha K, Downing J A,
 Elmgren R, Caraco N, Jordan T, Berendse F, Freney J, Kudeyarov V, Murdoch P
 and Zhao-Liang Z 1996 Regional nitrogen budgets and riverine N and P fluxes for
 the drainages to the North Atlantic Ocean: Natural and human influences.
 Biogeochemistry 35, 75-139.
- Hudman R C, Moore N E, Mebust A K, Martin R V, Russell A R, Valin L C and CohenR C 2012 Steps towards a mechanistic model of global soil nitric oxide emissions:implementation and space based-constraints. Atmos Chem Phys 12, 7779-7795.
- Huygens D, Denef K, Vandeweyer R, Godoy R, Van Cleemput O and Boeckx P 2008 Do nitrogen isotope patterns reflect microbial colonization of soil organic matter fractions? Biol Fertil Soils 44, 955-964.
- Janssens I A, Dieleman W, Luyssaert S, Subke J A, Reichstein M, Ceulemans R, Ciais P, Dolman A J, Grace J, Matteucci G, Papale D, Piao S L, Schulze E D, Tang J and Law B E 2010 Reduction of forest soil respiration in response to nitrogen deposition. Nature Geoscience 3, 315-322.
- Johannisson C and Högberg P 1994 15N abundance of soils and plants along an experimentally induced forest nitrogen supply gradient. Oecologia 97, 322-325.

- Johnson J E and Berry J A 2013 The influence of leaf-atmosphere NH3(g) exchange on the isotopic composition of nitrogen in plants and the atmosphere. Plant Cell Environ 36, 1783-1801.
- Kahmen A, Wanek W and Buchmann N 2008 Foliar delta N-15 values characterize soil N cycling and reflect nitrate or ammonium preference of plants along a temperate grassland gradient. Oecologia 156, 861-870.
- Kaiser K and Benner R 2008 Major bacterial contribution to the ocean reservoir of detrital organic carbon and nitrogen. Limnol Oceanogr 53, 99.
- Kawashima H and Kurahashi T 2011 Inorganic ion and nitrogen isotopic compositions of atmospheric aerosols at Yurihonjo, Japan: Implications for nitrogen sources.
 Atmos Environ 45, 6309-6316.
- Knapp A N, Hastings M G, Sigman D M, Lipschultz F and Galloway J N 2010 The flux and isotopic composition of reduced and total nitrogen in Bermuda rain. Mar Chem 120, 83-89.
- Koba K, Fang Y, Mo J, Zhang W, Lu X, Liu L, Zhang T, Takebayashi Y, Toyoda S and Yoshida N 2012 The 15N natural abundance of the N lost from an N- saturated subtropical forest in southern China. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences (2005–2012) 117.
- Koba K, Isobe K, Takebayashi Y, Fang Y T, Sasaki Y, Saito W, Yoh M, Mo J, Liu L, Lu X, Zhang T, Zhang W and Senoo K 2010 Delta15N of soil N and plants in a N-saturated, subtropical forest of southern China. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 24, 2499-2506.

- Koba K, Tokuchi N, Yoshioka T, Hobbie E A and Iwatsubo G 1998 Natural abundance of nitrogen-15 in a forest soil. Soil Sci Soc Am J 62, 778-781.
- Kolb K J and Evans R D 2002 Implications of leaf nitrogen recycling on the nitrogen isotope composition of deciduous plant tissues. New Phytol 156, 57-64.
- Kramer M G, Sollins P, Sletten R S and Swart P K 2003 N isotope fractionation and measures of organic matter alteration during decomposition. Ecology 84, 2021-2025.
- Kritee K, Sigman D M, Granger J, Ward B B, Jayakumar A and Deutsch C 2012Reduced isotope fractionation by denitrification under conditions relevant to the ocean. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 92, 243-259.
- Kronzucker H J, Siddiqi M Y and Glass A D 1997 Conifer root discrimination against soil nitrate and the ecology of forest succession. Nature 385, 59-61.
- Kronzucker H J, Siddiqi M Y, Glass A D and Kirk G J 1999 Nitrate-ammonium synergism in rice. A subcellular flux analysis. Plant Physiology 119, 1041-1046.
- LeBauer D S and Treseder K K 2008 Nitrogen limitation of net primary productivity in terrestrial ecosystems is globally distributed. Ecology 89, 371-379.
- Lerch T Z, Nunan N, Dignac M F, Chenu C and Mariotti A 2011 Variations in microbial isotopic fractionation during soil organic matter decomposition. Biogeochemistry 106, 5-21.
- Liang C and Balser T C 2010 Microbial production of recalcitrant organic matter in global soils: implications for productivity and climate policy. Nature Reviews Microbiology 9, 75-75.

- Liao J D, Boutton T W and Jastrow J D 2006 Organic matter turnover in soil physical fractions following woody plant invasion of grassland: Evidence from natural 13C and 15N. Soil Biol Biochem 38, 3197-3210.
- Macko S A and Estep M L 1984 Microbial alteration of stable nitrogen and carbon isotopic compositions of organic matter. Organic Geochemistry 6, 787-790.
- Mara P, Mihalopoulos N, Gogou A, Daehnke K, Schlarbaum T, Emeis K C and Krom M 2009 Isotopic composition of nitrate in wet and dry atmospheric deposition on Crete in the eastern Mediterranean Sea. Global Biogeochem Cy 23.
- Marin-Spiotta E, Chadwick O A, Kramer M and Carbone M S 2011 Carbon delivery to deep mineral horizons in Hawaiian rain forest soils. Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences 116.
- Marín-Spiotta E, Gruley K E, Crawford J, Atkinson E E, Miesel J R, Greene S, Cardona-Correa C and Spencer R G M 2014 Paradigm shifts in soil organic matter research affect interpretations of aquatic carbon cycling: transcending disciplinary and ecosystem boundaries. Biogeochemistry 117, 279-297.
- Marin-Spiotta E, Silver W L, Swanston C W and Ostertag R 2009 Soil organic matter dynamics during 80 years of reforestation of tropical pastures. Glob Change Biol 15, 1584-1597.
- Marín-Spiotta E, Swanston C W, Torn M S, Silver W L and Burton S D 2008 Chemical and mineral control of soil carbon turnover in abandoned tropical pastures. Geoderma 143, 49-62.
- Mariotti A 1983 Atmospheric nitrogen is a reliable standard for natural 15N abundance measurements.

- Mariotti A, Germon J, Hubert P, Kaiser P, Letolle R, Tardieux A and Tardieux P 1981 Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: some principles; illustration for the denitrification and nitrification processes. Plant Soil 62, 413-430.
- Mariotti A, Mariotti F, Champigny M-L, Amarger N and Moyse A 1982 Nitrogen isotope fractionation associated with nitrate reductase activity and uptake of NO3– by Pearl Millet. Plant Physiology 69, 880-884.
- Mariotti A, Pierre D, Vedy J C, Bruckert S and Guillemot J 1980 The abundance of natural nitrogen 15 in the organic matter of soils along an altitudinal gradient (chablais, haute savoie, France). CATENA 7, 293-300.
- Marschner H, Haussling M and George E 1991 Ammonium and nitrate uptake rates and rhizosphere pH in nonmycorrhizal roots of norway spruce Picea abies (L) Karst. Trees-Structure and Function 5, 14-21.
- Martinelli L A, Piccolo M C, Townsend A R, Vitousek P M, Cuevas E, McDowell W,
 Robertson G P, Santos O C and Treseder K 1999 Nitrogen stable isotopic
 composition of leaves and soil: tropical versus temperate forests. Biogeochemistry 46, 45-65.
- McCarthy M D, Hedges J I and Benner R 1998 Major bacterial contribution to marine dissolved organic nitrogen. Science 281, 231-234.
- McKee K L, Feller I C, Popp M and Wanek W 2002 Mangrove isotopic (δ15N and δ13C) fractionation across a nitrogen vs. phosphorus limitation gradient. Ecology 83, 1065-1075.

- McLauchlan K K, Ferguson C J, Wilson I E, Ocheltree T W and Craine J M 2010 Thirteen decades of foliar isotopes indicate declining nitrogen availability in central North American grasslands. New Phytol 187, 1135-1145.
- McLauchlan K K, Williams J J, Craine J M and Jeffers. E S 2013 Global nitrogen cycling changed during the Holocene as terrestrial ecosystems accumulated carbon. Nature 495, 352-355.
- Melillo J M, Aber J D, Linkins A E, Ricca A, Fry B and Nadelhoffer K J 1989 Carbon and nitrogen dynamics along the decay continuum: plant litter to soil organic matter. In Ecology of Arable Land—Perspectives and Challenges. pp 53-62. Springer.
- Melillo J M, Aber J D and Muratore J F 1982 Nitrogen and lignin control of hardwood leaf litter decomposition dynamics. Ecology 63, 621-626.
- Menge D N, Pacala S W and Hedin L O 2009 Emergence and maintenance of nutrient limitation over multiple timescales in terrestrial ecosystems. Am Nat 173, 164-175.
- Michalski G, Meixner T, Fenn M, Hernandez L, Sirulnik A, Allen E and Thiemens M 2004 Tracing atmospheric nitrate deposition in a complex semiarid ecosystem using $\Delta 170$. Environ Sci Technol 38, 2175-2181.
- Miller A J and Cramer M D 2005 Root nitrogen acquisition and assimilation. Plant Soil 274, 1-36.
- Montoya J P and McCarthy J J 1995 Isotopic fractionation during nitrate uptake by phytoplankton grown in continuous culture. J Plankton Res 17, 439-464.

- Morford S L, Houlton B Z and Dahlgren R A 2011 Increased forest ecosystem carbon and nitrogen storage from nitrogen rich bedrock. Nature 477, 78-81.
- Morin S, Savarino J, Frey M M, Domine F, Jacobi H W, Kaleschke L and Martins J M F 2009 Comprehensive isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate in the Atlantic Ocean boundary layer from 65°S to 79°N. J Geophys Res 114.
- Myrold D and Bottomley P 2008 Nitrogen mineralization and immobilization. Agronomy 49, 157.
- Nadelhoffer K, Downs M, Fry B, Magill A and Aber J 1999 Controls on N retention and exports in a forested watershed. Environ Monit Assess 55, 187-210.
- Nadelhoffer K, Shaver G, Fry B, Giblin A, Johnson L and McKane R 1996 15N natural abundances and N use by tundra plants. Oecologia 107, 386-394.
- Näsholm T, Ekblad A, Nordin A, Giesler R, Högberg M and Högberg P 1998 Boreal forest plants take up organic nitrogen. Nature 392, 914-916.
- Needoba J A, Sigman D M and Harrison P J 2004 The mechanism of isotope fractionation during algal nitrate assimilation as illuminated by the 15N/14N of intracellular nitrate. J Phycol 40, 517-522.
- O'Deen W 1989 Wheat volatilized ammonia and resulting nitrogen isotopic fractionation. Agron J 81, 980-985.
- Olsen C 1950 The significance of concentration for the rate of ion absorption by higher plants in water culture. Physiol Plant 3, 152-164.
- Oswald R, Behrendt T, Ermel M, Wu D, Su H, Cheng Y, Breuninger C, Moravek A, Mougin E, Delon C, Loubet B, Pommerening-Röser A, Sörgel M, Pöschl U, Hoffmann T, Andreae M O, Meixner F X and Trebs I 2013 HONO Emissions

from Soil Bacteria as a Major Source of Atmospheric Reactive Nitrogen. Science 341, 1233-1235.

- Pardo L H, Semaoune P, Schaberg P G, Eagar C and Sebilo M 2012 Patterns in δ15N in roots, stems, and leaves of sugar maple and American beech seedlings, saplings, and mature trees. Biogeochemistry 112, 275-291.
- Pataki D E, Billings S A, Naumburg E and Goedhart C M 2008 Water sources and nitrogen relations of grasses and shrubs in phreatophytic communities of the Great Basin Desert. J Arid Environ 72, 1581-1593.
- Pate J S, Stewart G R and Unkovich M 1993 N-15 Natural Abundance Of Plant And Soil
 Components Of A Banksia Woodland Ecosystem In Relation To Nitrate
 Utilization, Life Form, Mycorrhizal Status And N2-Fixing Abilities Of
 Component Species. Plant Cell Environ 16, 365-373.
- Pennock J R, Velinsky D J, Ludlam J M, Sharp J H and Fogel M L 1996 Isotopic
 fractionation of ammonium and nitrate during uptake by Skeletonema costatum:
 Implications for 15N dynamics under bloom conditions. Limnol Oceanogr 41, 451-459.
- Pérez T, Trumbore S, Tyler S, Matson P, Ortiz- Monasterio I, Rahn T and Griffith D
 2001 Identifying the agricultural imprint on the global N2O budget using stable
 isotopes. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres (1984–2012) 106, 98699878.
- Pérez T, Trumbore S E, Tyler S C, Davidson E A, Keller M and de Camargo P B 2000
 Isotopic variability of N2O emissions from tropical forest soils. Global
 Biogeochem Cy 14, 525-535.

- Piccolo M C, Neill C, Melillo J M, Cerri C C and Steudler P A 1996 N-15 natural abundance in forest and pasture soils of the Brazilian Amazon Basin. Plant Soil 182, 249-258.
- Pinder R W, Davidson E A, Goodale C L, Greaver T L, Herrick J D and Liu L 2012 Climate change impacts of US reactive nitrogen. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109, 7671-7675.
- Pörtl K, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S, Wanek W, Ambus P and Berger T W 2007 Natural 15N abundance of soil N pools and N2O reflect the nitrogen dynamics of forest soils. Plant Soil 295, 79-94.
- Quesada C A, Lloyd J, Schwarz M, Patiño S, Baker T R, Czimczik C, Fyllas N M,
 Martinelli L, Nardoto G B, Schmerler J, Santos A J B, Hodnett M G, Herrera R,
 Luizão F J, Arneth A, Lloyd G, Dezzeo N, Hilke I, Kuhlmann I, Raessler M,
 Brand W A, Geilmann H, Moraes Filho J O, Carvalho F P, Araujo Filho R N,
 Chaves J E, Cruz Junior O F, Pimentel T P and Paiva R 2010 Variations in
 chemical and physical properties of Amazon forest soils in relation to their
 genesis. Biogeosciences 7, 1515-1541.
- Rabalais N N, Turner R E and Wiseman W J 2002 Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia, A.K.A. "the Dead Zone". Annu Rev Ecol Syst 33, 235-263.
- Read D J and Perez-Moreno J 2003 Mycorrhizas and nutrient cycling in ecosystems a journey towards relevance? New Phytol 157, 475-492.
- Richter D D, Markewitz D, Trumbore S E and Wells C G 1999 Rapid accumulation and turnover of soil carbon in a re-establishing forest. Nature 400, 56-58.

- Robinson D 2001 delta15N as an integrator of the nitrogen cycle. Trends Ecol Evol 16, 153-162.
- Robinson D, Handley L and Scrimgeour C 1998 A theory for 15N/14N fractionation in nitrate-grown vascular plants. Planta 205, 397-406.
- Russell K M, Galloway J N, Macko S A, Moody J L and Scudlark J R 1998 Sources of nitrogen in wet deposition to the Chesapeake Bay region. Atmos Environ 32, 2453-2465.
- Schimel J P and Bennett J 2004 Nitrogen mineralization: Challenges of a changing paradigm. Ecology 85, 591-602.
- Schmidt M W, Torn M S, Abiven S, Dittmar T, Guggenberger G, Janssens I A, Kleber M, Kogel-Knabner I, Lehmann J, Manning D A, Nannipieri P, Rasse D P, Weiner S and Trumbore S E 2011 Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property. Nature 478, 49-56.
- Segonzac C, Boyer J C, Ipotesi E, Szponarski W, Tillard P, Touraine B, Sommerer N, Rossignol M and Gibrat R 2007 Nitrate efflux at the root plasma membrane: Identification of an Arabidopsis excretion transporter. Plant Cell 19, 3760-3777.
- Severinghaus J P, Bender M L, Keeling R F and Broecker W S 1996 Fractionation of soil gases by diffusion of water vapor, gravitational settling, and thermal diffusion.Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 60, 1005-1018.
- Shearer G and Kohl D H 1986 N-2-Fixation In Field Settings Estimations Based On Natural N-15 Abundance. Aust J Plant Physiol 13, 699-756.
- Shearer G, Kohl D H and Chien S H 1978 N-15 Abundance In A Wide Variety Of Soils. Soil Sci Soc Am J 42, 899-902.

- Sigman D M, Altabet M A, McCorkle D C, Francois R and Fischer G 2000 The delta N-15 of nitrate in the Southern Ocean: Nitrogen cycling and circulation in the ocean interior. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans 105, 19599-19614.
- Sigman D M, Casciotti K L, Andreani M, Barford C, Galanter M and Bohlke J K 2001 A bacterial method for the nitrogen isotopic analysis of nitrate in seawater and freshwater. Anal Chem 73, 4145-4153.
- Sigman D M, DiFiore P J, Hain M P, Deutsch C, Wang Y, Karl D M, Knapp A N, Lehmann M F and Pantoja S 2009 The dual isotopes of deep nitrate as a constraint on the cycle and budget of oceanic fixed nitrogen. Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers 56, 1419-1439.
- Smith S E and Read D J 2008 Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Academic Press, London.
- Sollins P, Kramer M G, Swanston C, Lajtha K, Filley T, Aufdenkampe A K, Wagai R and Bowden R D 2009 Sequential density fractionation across soils of contrasting mineralogy: evidence for both microbial- and mineral-controlled soil organic matter stabilization. Biogeochemistry 96, 209-231.
- Sra A K, Hu Y, Martin G E, Snow D D, Ribbe M W and Kohen A 2004 Competitive 15N kinetic isotope effects of nitrogenase-catalyzed dinitrogen reduction. J Am Chem Soc 126, 12768-12769.
- Stern L, Baisden W T and Amundson R 1999 Processes controlling the oxygen isotope ratio of soil CO2: analytic and numerical modeling. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 63, 799-814.

- Strathouse S M, Sposito G, Sullivan P and Lund L 1980 Geologic nitrogen: a potential geochemical hazard in the San Joaquin Valley, California. J Environ Qual 9, 54-60.
- Takebayashi Y, Koba K, Sasaki Y, Fang Y and Yoh M 2010 The natural abundance of 15N in plant and soil-available N indicates a shift of main plant N resources to NO3(-) from NH4(+) along the N leaching gradient. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 24, 1001-1008.
- Taylor A F S, Fransson P M, Högberg P, Högberg M N and Plamboeck A H 2003 Species level patterns in C-13 and N-15 abundance of ectomycorrhizal and saprotrophic fungal sporocarps. New Phytol 159, 757-774.
- Tcherkez G 2011 Natural 15N/14N isotope composition in C3 leaves: are enzymatic isotope effects informative for predicting the 15N-abundance in key metabolites? Funct Plant Biol 38, 1-12.
- Teo Y H, Beyrouty C A and Gbur E E 1992 Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium influx kinetic-parameters of 3 rice cultivars. J Plant Nutr 15, 435-444.
- Terwilliger V J, Eshetu Z, Colman A, Bekele T, Gezahgne A and Fogel M L 2008
 Reconstructing palaeoenvironment from δ13C and δ15N values of soil organic matter: A calibration from arid and wetter elevation transects in Ethiopia.
 Geoderma 147, 197-210.
- Thomas R Q, Brookshire E N J and Gerber S 2015 Nitrogen limitation on land: how can it occur in Earth system models? Glob Change Biol, n/a-n/a.

- Thomas R Q, Zaehle S, Templer P H and Goodale C L 2013 Global patterns of nitrogen limitation: confronting two global biogeochemical models with observations.Glob Chang Biol 19, 2986-2998.
- Tiemann L K and Billings S A 2011 Indirect effects of nitrogen amendments on organic substrate quality increase enzymatic activity driving decomposition in a mesic grassland. Ecosystems 14, 234-247.
- Trumbore S 2000 Age of soil organic matter and soil respiration: Radiocarbon constraints on belowground C dynamics. Ecol Appl 10, 399-411.
- Trumbore S 2009 Radiocarbon and Soil Carbon Dynamics. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 37, 47-66.
- Unkovich M 2013 Isotope discrimination provides new insight into biological nitrogen fixation. New Phytol.
- Vallano D M and Sparks J P 2008 Quantifying foliar uptake of gaseous nitrogen dioxide using enriched foliar delta N-15 values. New Phytol 177, 946-955.
- Vallano D M and Sparks J P 2013 Foliar delta15N is affected by foliar nitrogen uptake, soil nitrogen, and mycorrhizae along a nitrogen deposition gradient. Oecologia 172, 47-58.
- Van Groenigen J W, Zwart K B, Harris D and van Kessel C 2005 Vertical gradients of δ15N and δ18O in soil atmospheric N2O—temporal dynamics in a sandy soil.
 Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 19, 1289-1295.
- Virginia R A a C C D 1982 Natural 15N abundance of presumed N2-fixing and non-N2fixing plants from selected ecosystems.

- Vitousek P M, Aber J D, Howarth R H, Likens G E, Matson P A, Schindler D W, Schlesinger W H and Tilman D G 1997 Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: Source and consequences. Ecol Appl 7, 737-750.
- Vitousek P M, Menge D N, Reed S C and Cleveland C C 2013 Biological nitrogen fixation: rates, patterns and ecological controls in terrestrial ecosystems. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 368, 20130119.
- Vitousek P M, Shearer G and Kohl D H 1989 Foliar 15N natural abundance in Hawaiian rainforest: patterns and possible mechanisms. Oecologia 78, 383-388.
- Waldrop M P, Zak D R, Sinsabaugh R L, Gallo M and Lauber C 2004 Nitrogen deposition modifies soil carbon storage through changes in microbial enzymatic activity. Ecol Appl 14, 1172-1177.
- Wang L, D'Odorico P, Okin G S and Macko S A 2009 Isotope composition and anion chemistry of soil profiles along the Kalahari Transect. J Arid Environ 73, 480-486.
- Wang L, D'Odorico P, Ringrose S, Coetzee S and Macko S A 2007 Biogeochemistry of Kalahari sands. J Arid Environ 71, 259-279.
- Wang L and Macko S A 2011 Constrained preferences in nitrogen uptake across plant species and environments. Plant Cell Environ 34, 525-534.
- Wang L, Okin G S, D'Odorico P, Caylor K K and Macko S A 2013 Ecosystem-scale spatial heterogeneity of stable isotopes of soil nitrogen in African savannas. Landsc Ecol 28, 685-698.
- Wheeler C T, Tilak M, Scrimgeour C M, Hooker J E and Handley L L 2000 Effects of symbiosis with Frankia and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus on the natural abundance of N-15 in four species of Casuarina. J Exp Bot 51, 287-297.

- Xiao H-Y and Liu C-Q 2004 Chemical characteristics of water-soluble components in TSP over Guiyang, SW China, 2003. Atmos Environ 38, 6297-6306.
- Xiong Z, Khalil M, Xing G, Shearer M and Butenhoff C 2009 Isotopic signatures and concentration profiles of nitrous oxide in a rice- based ecosystem during the drained crop- growing season. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences (2005–2012) 114.
- Yano Y, Shaver G R, Giblin A E and Rastetter E B 2010 Depleted 15N in hydrolysable-N of arctic soils and its implication for mycorrhizal fungi–plant interaction. Biogeochemistry 97, 183-194.
- Yoneyama T, Omata T, Nakata S and Yazaki J 1991 Fractionation of nitrogen isotopes during the uptake and assimilation of ammonia by plants. Plant Cell Physiol 32, 1211-1217.
- Zavala J A, Nabity P D and DeLucia E H 2013 An emerging understanding of mechanisms governing insect herbivory under elevated CO2. Annu Rev Entomol 58, 79-97.
- Zhang Y, Liu X, Fangmeier A, Goulding K and Zhang F 2008 Nitrogen inputs and isotopes in precipitation in the North China Plain. Atmos Environ 42, 1436-1448.

Figures

Figure 1. Range in δ^{15} N observed among a) sites for foliar δ^{15} N and b) 0.1° latitude and longitude for soils as a function of the number of samples measured per site or grid cell. Data from Craine et al. 2012 and Craine et al. 2015.

Figure 2. Relationship between bulk soil δ^{15} N and the δ^{15} N of organic N (closed squares), NH₄⁺ (closed circles), and NO₃⁻ (open circles) across a range of soil depths from a subtropical forest in China (Koba et al. 2010). Each point represents a value derived from a particular soil depth (O horizon-100 cm) from three different locations within the forest.

Figure 3. Relationship between $\delta^{15}N$ of soil inorganic nitrogen and foliage $\delta^{15}N$. Data derived from multiple sources (Boddey et al. 2000; Cheng et al. 2010; Garten and Van Miegroet 1994; Pate et al. 1993; Takebayashi et al. 2010). When $\delta^{15}N$ of soil inorganic nitrogen was not provided in the reference, it was calculated as the mean value of $\delta^{15}N$ -NH₄⁺ and $\delta^{15}N$ -NO₃⁻, weighed by the size of these two N pools. Shown are the orthogonal fit (solid line; y = 1.35 + 1.05x; 95% CI for slope = 0.69 – 1.62, r = 0.74, P < 0.001). Identity line is shown dashed.

Figure 4. Effect leverage plots of standardized N supply and foliar δ^{15} N from nine studies after accounting for differences in mean foliar δ^{15} N among sites (Craine et al. 2009). N supply was measured either as in situ N mineralization or with resin bags and standardized between 0 and 1 for each study. y = -3.09 + 3.59x; $r^2 = 0.25$, P < 0.001. Figure 5. Patterns in δ^{15} N and C:N concentrations of leaf litter and SOM physical density fractions across forests and pastures on highly-weathered Oxisols (0-10 cm) in the wet subtropical forest life zone of Puerto Rico. The degree of microbial decomposition generally increases from plant litter, Free LF (FLF; light fraction or particulate organic matter); occluded or intra-aggregate light fraction (OLF); and heavy fraction (HF; > 1.85 g/ml density. Data from Marín-Spiotta (2008).

Figure 6. Relationship between mean annual temperature (MAT) and the difference between the $\delta^{15}N$ of leaves and soils (0-30 cm). Predicted leaf $\delta^{15}N$ calculated for nonmycorrhizal plant with a foliar [N] of 16.2 mg g⁻¹ at the same mean annual temperature and precipitation of soil. Soil data represent average soil $\delta^{15}N$ averaged for 901 0.1° latitude and longitude grid cells (Craine et al. 2015). Solid line represents same signature of leaves of non-mycorrhizal plant and soils. All points below line would have lower $\delta^{15}N$ in leaves than soils. To compare with other mycorrhizal types, dashed line shows expected difference between leaves of ericoid mycorrhizal plants, which are most depleted in ¹⁵N relative to non-mycorrhizal plants, and soils.











