



NIH PUBLIC ACCESS

Author Manuscript

Diabetes Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 April 4.

Published in final edited form as:

Diabetes Care. 2007 June ; 30(6): 1602–1604. doi:10.2337/dc06-1599.

Depression Among Type 2 Diabetes Rural Appalachian Clinic Attendees

Mary de Groot, PHD¹, Todd Doyle, BS¹, Erin Hockman, MS¹, Charles Wheeler, BS, BS¹, Brenda Pinkerman, PHD², Jay Shubrook, DO³, Robert Gotfried, DO³, and Frank Schwartz, MD⁴

¹Department of Psychology, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio

²James A. Haley Veterans Hospital, Tampa, FL

³Department of Family Medicine, Ohio University College of Osteopathic Medicine, Athens, Ohio

⁴Department of Specialty Medicine, Ohio University College of Osteopathic Medicine, Athens, Ohio

The prevalence and impact of type 2 diabetes in Appalachia is understudied despite the presence of high-risk socioeconomic conditions (e.g., low levels of education and income). Appalachian counties experience greater burdens of poverty, income inequality, unemployment (1), and diabetes compared with non-Appalachian counties (2–5). Rates of comorbid depression have not been documented in this region.

Patients with type 2 diabetes are two times more likely to experience depressive symptoms than their peers without diabetes (6). Depression prevalence in studies using self-report depression inventories was found to be 32.9%, with lower rates (28.5%) observed in studies using diagnostic interview schedules (6,7). Depressive symptoms are associated with worsened blood glucose levels (8), diabetes complications (9), increased functional disability (10), worsened adherence to diabetes regimen (11), higher ambulatory care costs (12), and increased mortality (13).

The current study was conducted to identify rates of self-reported depression and to identify the socioeconomic and medical correlates of depression among type 2 diabetic patients attending family medicine and endocrinology appointments from rural Appalachian counties of southeastern Ohio and West Virginia. It was hypothesized that poverty would increase the risk of comorbid depression in this region.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Participants, recruited from family medicine and endocrinology practices, were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes for ≥ 1 year and aged ≥ 18 years, with the ability to provide informed consent. Patients ($n = 628$) were identified from billing and registry databases. Of these, 288 patients responded to letters of invitation for study participation from their medical provider. Thirty-two participants did not meet inclusion criteria, and 55 declined participation (9.8% refusal rate). A total of 201 (36% response and 78.5% cooperation rates) completed questionnaires and consented to release medical record data.

© 2007 by the American Diabetes Association.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Mary de Groot, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, 239 Porter Hall, Athens, OH 45701. degroot@ohio.edu.

Participants completed the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)-II (14), which assesses depressive symptom severity for 2 weeks prior to administration. Demographic questionnaire variables included age, ethnicity, Appalachian heritage, marital status, income, and educational status. Economic resource variables included employment status, total annual income, home ownership, appraisal of financial status and optimism, and presence of health insurance (15).

Medical records were reviewed for the year before study participation. Variables included physician diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, A1C (normal reference range 4.3–5.7% [DCA2000+ Analyzer; Bayer Diagnostics]), total cholesterol, BMI, diabetes complications and risk factors, and prescribed medications.

Analyses were conducted using SAS version 8.0e (16). Logistic regression analyses were conducted to assess predictors of depression status, coded dichotomously as “depressed” (BDI-II score ≥ 20) or “nondepressed” (≤ 19). Overall model fit was evaluated using Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit statistic (17). Test of discrimination of depression status was evaluated by examining the area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve (ROC) (17). Multiple regression analysis was used to assess correlates of depression severity, using BDI-II score as a continuous outcome measure.

RESULTS

The sample was predominantly female (60%, $n = 121$), white (94%, $n = 188$), married (71%, $n = 141$), completed high school or less (53%, $n = 106$), and had a mean age of 57 ± 12 years. Forty-five percent ($n = 87$) reported a total annual income of \$20,000 or less, with 43% ($n = 87$) working outside the home. Eighty percent ($n = 161$) reported owning their own home.

The mean duration of type 2 diabetes was 9.7 ± 8.2 years, with the majority of patients receiving oral agents (49%, $n = 98$) or combination treatment (27%, $n = 54$). Mean A1C value was $7.5 \pm 1.6\%$. Mean number of diabetes complications was 1.1 ± 1.2 , with cardiovascular disease (22.1%, $n = 44$), neuropathy (16.6%, $n = 33$), and coronary heart disease (13.6%, $n = 27$) noted most frequently. The mean number of prescribed medications was 7.7 ± 3.9 .

The mean BDI-II score was 14.5 ± 12.0 . Of participants, ~58% ($n = 116$) reported few depressive symptoms (score 0–13), 12% ($n = 23$) with mild symptoms (14–20), 16% ($n = 31$) with moderate symptoms (21–28), and 16% ($n = 30$) with severe symptoms (≥ 29). When the moderate and severe categories were combined, 31% ($n = 61$) of participants reported clinically significant levels of depressive symptoms.

Results of the logistic and multiple regression analyses are shown in Table 1. Younger age, unemployment, lack of home ownership, greater number of medications, and higher BMI significantly predicted depression status. Depression severity was associated with younger age, unemployment, and greater number of prescribed medications.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, 31% of Appalachian participants reported comorbid diabetes and depression, a rate comparable with average prevalence estimates in studies using self-report questionnaire assessment methods (5,7).

Models predicting depression status and severity found younger, unemployed participants and those who did not own their own homes at increased risk of depression. These findings

are consistent with those observed in urban ethnic minority samples (18,19), suggesting that poverty may represent a common risk factor across ethnic groups for comorbid depression in diabetic individuals. The cross-sectional study design does not permit determination of the casual direction of this relationship.

Contrary to previously published studies (8), we did not observe significant differences in average A1C by depression status. This may be due to the limited variability in A1C observed in the sample as a whole.

Limitations to the current study include the cross-sectional nature of the study design, the use of a clinic patient population, limited recruitment response rate, and the use of self-report questionnaires. Response rates were comparable with those observed in studies of other low-income populations (20–22) and may reflect barriers endemic to the rural research (23). Although rates of depression are higher in self-report questionnaires than psychiatric interviews (6), observed rates were not inflated compared with other national samples.

Findings from this study document a substantial burden of clinically significant depressive symptoms (one in three participants) in this Appalachian region. Poverty increases the risk of depression in diabetes. Further investigation into treatment availability and effectiveness is needed in light of the economic vulnerability of this region.

Abbreviations

BDI Beck Depression Inventory

Acknowledgments

Partial support for this study was received from the National Institutes of Health (DK071545).

References

1. Black, DA.; Sanders, SG. [Accessed 18 July 2006] Labor market performance, poverty, and income inequality in Appalachia [article online] 2004. Available from <http://www.arc.gov/images/reports/labormkt/labormkt.pdf>
2. Mokdad AH, Bowman BA, Ford ES, Vinicor F, Marks JS, Koplan JP. The continuing epidemics of obesity and diabetes in the United States. *JAMA*. 2001; 286:1195–1200. [PubMed: 11559264]
3. Centers for Disease Control. [Accessed 28 July 2006] BFRSS 2005 Data, State of Ohio [article online], 2005. Available from <http://apps.nccd.cdc.gov/brfss/display.asp?cat=DB&yr=2002&qkey=1364&state=US>
4. Appalachian Rural Health Institute Health Needs Assessment Survey Report of Findings. Athens, OH: Ohio University Voinovich Center for Leadership and Public Affairs; 2006. Voinovich Center for Leadership and Public Affairs.
5. Dabney, B.; Gosschalk, A. Rural Healthy People 2010. College Station, TX: Texas A&M University Health Science Center, School of Rural Public Health; 2006. Diabetes in Rural America.
6. Anderson RJ, Freedland KE, Clouse RE, Lustman PJ. The prevalence of comorbid depression in adults with diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*. 2001; 24:1069–1078. [PubMed: 11375373]
7. Anderson D, Horton C, O'Toole M, Brownson C, Fazzino P, Fisher E. Integrating depression care with diabetes care in real-world settings: lessons from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Diabetes Initiative. *Diabetes Spectrum*. 2007; 20:10–16.
8. Lustman PJ, Anderson RJ, de Groot M, Carney RM, Clouse RE. Depression and poor glycemic control: a meta-analytic review of the literature. *Diabetes Care*. 2000; 23:934–942. [PubMed: 10895843]

9. de Groot M, Anderson RJ, Freedland KE, Clouse RE, Lustman PJ. Association of depression and diabetes complications: a meta-analysis. *Psychosom Med.* 2001; 63:619–630. [PubMed: 11485116]
10. Egede LE. Diabetes, major depression and functional disability among U.S. adults. *Diabetes Care.* 2004; 27:421–428. [PubMed: 14747223]
11. Ciechanowski PS, Katon WJ, Russo JE. Depression and diabetes: impact of depressive symptoms on adherence, function, and costs. *Arch Intern Med.* 2000; 160:3278–3285. [PubMed: 11088090]
12. Egede LE, Zheng D, Simpson K. Comorbid depression is associated with increased health care use and expenditures in individuals with diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2002; 25:464–470. [PubMed: 11874931]
13. Zhang X, Norris SL, Gregg EW, Cheng YJ, Beckles G, Kahn HS. Depressive symptoms and mortality among persons with and without diabetes. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2005; 161:652–660. [PubMed: 15781954]
14. Beck, AT.; Steer, RA.; Brown, GK. BDI-II Beck Depression Inventory Manual. 2nd ed. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt, Brace & Company; 1996.
15. Auslander WF, Haire-Joshu D, Houston C, Williams JH, Krebill H. Assessing economic assets: relationship to health behaviors and readiness to prevent NIDDM in black women (Abstract). *Diabetes.* 46 Suppl. 1:135A.
16. SAS Institute. Version 8.03e [computer software]. Cary, NC: 2001.
17. Tabachnick PFL. *Using Multivariate Statistics.* 4th ed. New York: Allyn & Bacon; 2001.
18. Jackson-Triche ME, Greer Sullivan J, Wells KB, Rogers W, Camp P, Mazel R. Depression and health-related quality of life in ethnic minorities seeking care in general medical settings. *J Affect Disord.* 2000; 58:89–97. [PubMed: 10781698]
19. de Groot M, Auslander W, Williams JH, Sherraden M, Haire-Joshu D. Depression and poverty among African-American women at risk for type 2 diabetes. *Ann Behav Med.* 2003; 25:172–181. [PubMed: 12763712]
20. Blumenthal DS, Sung J, Coates R, Williams J, Liff J. Recruitment and retention of subjects for a longitudinal cancer prevention study in an inner-city black community. *Health Serv Res.* 1995; 30:197–205. [PubMed: 7721592]
21. Moorman PG, Newman B, Millikan RC, Tse C, Sandler DP. Participation rates in a case-control study: the impact of age, race, and race of interviewer. *Ann Epidemiol.* 1999; 9:188–195. [PubMed: 10192651]
22. Gilliss CL, Lee KA, Gutierrez Y, Taylor D, Beyene Y, Neuhaus J, Murrell N. Recruitment and retention of healthy minority women into community-based longitudinal research. *J Womens Health Gend Med.* 2001; 10:77–85.
23. DiBartolo MC, McCrone S. Recruitment of rural community-dwelling older adults: barriers, challenges, and strategies. *Aging Ment Health.* 2003; 7:75–82. [PubMed: 12745386]

Table 1
Regression models to assess socioeconomic and medical correlates of depression

Logistic regression model predicting depression status					
Predictors	B	SE	Wald	OR (95% CI)	
Younger age	-0.04	0.02	5.39*	0.96 (0.93-0.99)	
Unemployment	1.07	0.45	5.75*	2.92 (1.22-6.99)	
Lack of home ownership	1.34	0.49	7.31*	3.81 (1.45-10.03)	
No. of medications	0.12	0.06	4.56*	1.13 (1.01-1.26)	
Duration of diabetes	-0.03	0.02	1.15	0.98 (0.93-1.02)	
BMI	0.05	0.03	4.43*	1.10 (1.00-1.11)	
Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test	$\chi^2(8, n = 152) = 4.22; P = 0.84$		ROC = 0.80	$R^2 = 0.23$	
Multiple regression model to assess correlates of depression severity					
Predictors	B	β	SE		
Younger age	-0.27	-0.27 [†]	0.09		
Unemployment	4.30	0.18*	2.01		
Income	-0.64	-0.09	0.67		
Lack of home ownership	2.07	0.07	2.34		
Ability to make ends meet	-1.79	-0.18	0.99		
Exercise	-0.83	-0.13	0.49		
BMI	0.11	0.08	0.11		
No. of complications	-1.07	-0.13	0.73		
Duration of diabetes	-0.05	-0.03	0.13		
No. of medications	0.45	0.16*	0.23		
Overall model test	$F = 8.33^{\dagger}$		$R^2 = 0.43$		Adjusted $R^2 = 0.43$

* $P < 0.05$,[†] $P < 0.0001$.