

CONSCIENTIOUS OBJECTION IN THE HEALING PROFESSIONS: A READER'S GUIDE TO THE ETHICAL AND SOCIAL ISSUES

End-of-life Care

Jere Odell, Rahul Abhyankar, Amber Malcolm, Avril Rua

(May 31, 2014)

In the United States, one out of five Americans dies during hospitalization in an intensive care unit (ICU), with 90% of these deaths resulting from a decision to withhold or withdraw life support.^{1,2} As medical technology becomes more advanced, decisions to withhold, withdraw, or utilize life support and other medical technologies that have the ability to keep individuals alive have blurred the line between what constitutes imperative life sustaining treatment, and optional extraordinary measures.

Respect for patient autonomy includes efforts to provide patients with greater agency in end-of-life care decisions. This fact, however, does not preclude conflicts between patients, families and healthcare providers. In this regard, the Karen Quinlan case remains a key milestone in the legal and cultural debates about end-of-life care.³ Quinlan entered a persistent vegetative state in 1975. In 1976, the New Jersey Supreme Court granted Quinlan's parents the power to decide when to refuse life sustaining treatments for their daughter.⁴ This case was followed by other widely debated "right to die" and end-of-life disputes. These disputes included the cases of Nancy Cruzan (1983) and Theresa Schiavo (1990).³ These cases resulted in prolonged legal disputes and have changed how patients are advised to plan for the end of life.⁵⁻⁸

Although patients are now afforded the autonomy to decide when they want (or no longer want) to be treated, this does not preclude physicians from conscientiously objecting to a patient's request. This is an important distinction to make because a physician's conscientious objection to a patient's autonomous end-of-life care decision could render the patient's autonomous decision moot if there is not another physician on hand willing to abide by the patient's request. For example, if a patient wished to legally end his life through physician assisted death (in a state where this is legal; e.g., Montana, Oregon, Vermont, and Washington), his physician could conscientiously object to fulfilling the patient's wish.⁹ Although the patient might have a legal and ethical right to request his life be ended, his wish would not be fulfilled if a non-objecting physicians were not available to assist.

The Patient Self-Determination Act (PSDA) is currently the only federal legislation that pertains to end-of-life decisions.⁷ The PSDA requires all health care providers who receive Medicaid and

Jere Odell, Rahul Abhyankar, Amber Malcolm, Avril Rua. End-of-life care. *Conscientious objection in the healing professions a readers' guide to the ethical and social issues*. May 31, 2014. (Complete guide available from: <http://hdl.handle.net/1805/3844>.)

Copyright 2014, the authors. This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).



Medicare reimbursements to ask patients upon admission if they have an advance directive, and if not, whether they would like to speak to someone about making one. Although the PSDA requires providers to ask patients if they have an advance directive, there is no law requiring physicians, surrogate decision makers, and patients to utilize advance directives during end-of-life decision making. While the Quinlan case is generally accepted as the precedent for granting patients autonomy in making end-of-life decisions, there is no federal law (at this date) defining the exact expectations for physicians.

In November 2009 U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops revised Directive 58 of the *Ethical and Religious Directives for Catholic Health Care Services*.¹⁰ The revision emphasized the role of conscientious objection during end-of-life decisions and brought the topic into wide public debate. According to the directive, Catholic health facilities have “an obligation to provide patients with . . . medically assisted nutrition and hydration” regardless of whether they are in “chronic and presumably irreversible conditions.”¹⁰ Although the hospital policy may be to provide medically assisted nutrition and hydration to patients, not all physicians find it humane to prolong inevitable death with artificial hydration and nutrition because it extends suffering by preventing the patient from dying a “natural” death.¹¹ The U.S. Bishops’ directive that health facilities provide patients with nutrition and hydration, therefore, may result in some healthcare providers objecting to care decisions on both sides of the issue.

Given the lack of federal guidance, each state has individually defined standards for how to handle end-of-life decisions.¹² In many states, physicians are explicitly given the option to object to an individual’s instruction or health care decision for reasons of conscience; however, not all states have addressed how to address conflicts of conscience during end-of-life care decisions.

Further Reading

American Medical Association.¹³ AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.037 - Medical Futility in End-of-Life Care [Internet]. [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/physician-resources/medical-ethics/code-medical-ethics/opinion2037.page>

American Medical Association.¹⁴ AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.21 - Euthanasia [Internet]. [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/physician-resources/medical-ethics/code-medical-ethics/opinion221.page>

American Medical Association.¹⁵ AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.211 - Physician-Assisted Suicide [Internet]. [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/physician-resources/medical-ethics/code-medical-ethics/opinion2211.page>

American Medical Association.¹⁶ AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.20 - Withholding or Withdrawing Life-Sustaining Medical Treatment [Internet]. [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/physician-resources/medical-ethics/code-medical-ethics/opinion220.page>

Annas GJ.¹⁷ “Culture of life” politics at the bedside--the case of Terri Schiavo. *N Engl J Med*. 2005 Apr 21;352(16):1710–5. Available from: <http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/cyberlaw2005/sites/cyberlaw2005/images/Annas-Schiavo.pdf>

Bartmann P.¹⁸ Physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia: German Protestantism, conscience, and the limits of purely ethical reflection. *Christ Bioeth*. 2003 Dec;9(2-3):203–25.

Green B, Fullam L, Wright J.¹⁹ Withholding of Artificial Nutrition and Hydration: Religious and Cultural Perspectives [Internet]. Santa Clara University Wiki. [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: http://wiki.scu.edu/live/wiki92/index.php/Withholding_of_Artificial_Nutrition_and_Hydration:_Religious_and_Cultural_Perspectives

Brody H, Hermer LD, Scott LD, Grumbles LL, Kutac JE, McCammon SD.²⁰ Artificial nutrition and hydration: the evolution of ethics, evidence, and policy. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2011 Sep;26(9):1053–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3157529/>

Campbell CS, Hare J, Matthews P.²¹ Conflicts of Conscience Hospice and Assisted Suicide. *The Hastings Center Report*. 1995 May 1;25(3):36–43.

Catlin A, Armigo C, Volat D, Vale E, Hadley MA, Gong W, et al.²² Conscientious objection: a potential neonatal nursing response to care orders that cause suffering at the end of life? Study of a concept. *Neonatal Netw*. 2008 Apr;27(2):101–8. Available from: <http://www.sonoma.edu/users/c/catlin/conscientious%20objection.pdf>

Clarfield AM, Gordon M, Markwell H, Alibhai SMH.²³ Ethical issues in end-of-life geriatric care: the approach of three monotheistic religions-Judaism, Catholicism, and Islam. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2003 Aug;51(8):1149–54.1.

Engelhardt HT Jr, Iltis AS.²⁴ End-of-life: the traditional Christian view. *Lancet*. 2005 Sep 17;366(9490):1045–9. Available from: <http://www.asu.edu/clubs/bioethics/christian.pdf>

Hamel RP, Walter JJ.²⁵ Artificial nutrition and hydration and the permanently unconscious patient: the Catholic debate. Washington, D.C.: Georgetown University Press; 2007. <http://www.worldcat.org/oclc/237925867>

Hyde MJ, Rufo K.²⁶ The call of conscience, rhetorical interruptions, and the euthanasia controversy. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*. 2000;28(1):1–23. Available from: http://www.joshiejuice.com/hyde_rufo.pdf

Lemiengre J, de Casterlé BD, Van Craen K, Schotsmans P, Gastmans C.²⁷ Institutional ethics policies on medical end-of-life decisions: a literature review. *Health Policy*. 2007 Oct;83(2-3):131–43.

Lo B, Ruston D, Kates LW, Arnold RM, Cohen CB, Faber-Langendoen K, et al.²⁸ Discussing religious and spiritual issues at the end of life: a practical guide for physicians. *JAMA*. 2002 Feb 13;287(6):749–54. Available from: <http://meded.duke.edu/practice/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/End-of-Life-spiritual-issues.pdf>

McCarrick PM.²⁹ Scope Note 7: Withholding or Withdrawing Nutrition or Hydration [Internet]. Bioethics Research Library, Kennedy Institute of Ethics; 1992 [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: <http://hdl.handle.net/10822/556907>

McCarrick PM.³⁰ Scope Note 18: Active Euthanasia/Assisted Suicide [Internet]. Bioethics Research Library, Kennedy Institute of Ethics; 1992 [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: <http://hdl.handle.net/10822/556872>

Meier DE, Emmons CA, Wallenstein S, Quill T, Morrison RS, Cassel CK.³¹ A national survey of physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 1998 Apr 23;338(17):1193–201. Available from: <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM199804233381706>

Meisel A, Snyder L, Quill T, American College of Physicians--American Society of Internal Medicine End-of-Life Care Consensus Panel.³² Seven legal barriers to end-of-life care: myths, realities, and grains of truth. *JAMA*. 2000 Nov 15;284(19):2495–501. Available from: http://www.acponline.org/clinical_information/resources/end_of_life_care/eol_barriers.pdf

Meisel A.³³ Pharmacists, Physician-Assisted Suicide, and Pain Control. *Journal of Health Care Law and Policy*. 1999;2(2):211. Available from: <http://digitalcommons.law.umaryland.edu/jhclp/vol2/iss2/3>

Meisel A.³⁴ End of Life Care. In: Crowley M, editor. *From Birth to Death and Bench to Clinic: The Hastings Center Bioethics Briefing Book for Journalists, Policymakers, and Campaigns* [Internet]. Garrison, NY: The Hastings Center; 2008 [cited 2014 Jan 11]. p. 51–4. Available from: <http://www.thehastingscenter.org/Publications/BriefingBook/Detail.aspx?id=2270>

Miller FG, Brody H.³⁵ Professional Integrity and Physician-Assisted Death. *The Hastings Center Report*. 1995 May 1;25(3):8–17.

Mueller PS, Hook CC, Hayes DL.³⁶ Ethical analysis of withdrawal of pacemaker or implantable cardioverter-defibrillator support at the end of life. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2003 Aug;78(8):959–63.

Pope TM.³⁷ Legal briefing: the new Patient Self-Determination Act. *J Clin Ethics*. 2013;24(2):156–67. Available from: www.researchgate.net/publication/10619628_Ethical_analysis_of_withdrawal_of_pacemaker_or_implantable_cardioverter-defibrillator_support_at_the_end_of_life/file/5046351752d241607b.pdf

Quill TE, Greenlaw J.³⁸ Physician-Assisted Death. In: Crowley M, editor. *From Birth to Death and Bench to Clinic: The Hastings Center Bioethics Briefing Book for Journalists, Policymakers, and Campaigns* [Internet]. Garrison, NY: The Hastings Center; 2008 [cited 2014 Jan 11]. p. 137-42. Available from: <http://www.thehastingscenter.org/Publications/BriefingBook/Detail.aspx?id=2202>

Seale C.³⁹ The role of doctors' religious faith and ethnicity in taking ethically controversial decisions during end-of-life care. *J Med Ethics*. 2010 Nov;36(11):677–82. Available from: <http://www.livinganddyingwell.org.uk/sites/default/files/LDW%20-%20Research%20-%20Seale%20-%20Doctors%20and%20Faith%20Ethnicity.pdf>

Singer PA, MacDonald N.⁴⁰ Bioethics for clinicians: 15. Quality end-of-life care. *CMAJ*. 1998 Jul 28;159(2):159–62. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1229524/>

Solomon MZ, O'Donnell L, Jennings B, Guilfooy V, Wolf SM, Nolan K, et al.⁴¹ Decisions near the end of life: professional views on life-sustaining treatments. *Am J Public Health*. 1993 Jan;83(1):14–23. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1694502/>

Sulmasy DP, Pellegrino ED.⁴² The rule of double effect: clearing up the double talk. *Arch Intern Med*. 1999 Mar 22;159(6):545–50. Available from: <http://pediatrics.georgetown.edu/documents/Sulmasy%20Double%20Effect.pdf>

Sulmasy DP.⁴³ Preserving Life? *Commonweal* [Internet]. 2007 Dec 3 [cited 2014 Jan 11]; Available from: <https://www.commonwealmagazine.org/preserving-life-0>

Swetz KM, Mansel JK.⁴⁴ Ethical Issues and Palliative Care in the Cardiovascular Intensive Care Unit. *Cardiology Clinics*. 2013 Nov;31(4):657–68. Available from: http://www.researchgate.net/publication/257307538_Ethical_Issues_and_Palliative_Care_in_the_Cardiovascular_Intensive_Care_Unit/file/72e7e524dcff5188a0.pdf

Wear S, Lagaipa S, Logue G.⁴⁵ Toleration of moral diversity and the conscientious refusal by physicians to withdraw life-sustaining treatment. *J Med Philos*. 1994 Apr;19(2):147–59.

Wernow JR, Gastmans C.⁴⁶ A Review and Taxonomy of Argument-Based Ethics Literature regarding Conscientious Objections to End-of-Life Procedures. *Christ Bioeth*. 2010 Dec 1;16(3):274–95.

References

1. Prendergast TJ, Luce JM. Increasing incidence of withholding and withdrawal of life support from the critically ill. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 1997;155(1):15-20.
2. Angus DC, Barnato AE, Linde-Zwirble WT, Weissfeld LA, Watson RS, Rickert T, et al. Use of intensive care at the end of life in the United States: an epidemiologic study. *Critical care medicine*. 2004;32(3):638-43.
3. Fine RL. From Quinlan to Schiavo: medical, ethical, and legal issues in severe brain injury. *Proceedings (Baylor University Medical Center)*. 2005;18(4):303-10.
4. *In re Quinlan*. A 2d: Supreme Court; 1976. p. 647. Available from: http://scholar.google.com/scholar_case?case=12643283625542054584
5. *Cruzan v. Director, Mo. Dept. of Health*. US: Supreme Court; 1990. p. 261. Available from: http://scholar.google.com/scholar_case?case=8467471114673973761
6. Sabatino CP. *Advance Directives and Advance Care Planning: Legal and Policy Issues*. Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services; 2007 Oct. Available from: <http://aspe.hhs.gov/daltcp/reports/2007/adacplpi.htm>
7. 42 USC § 1395cc (f)(1). Available from: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/1395cc>
8. National Institute on Aging Information Center US. *Advanced care planning: Tips from the National Institute on Aging*. 2014. Available from: <http://hdl.handle.net/1805/4482>
9. ProCon.org. *State-by-State Guide to Physician-Assisted Suicide* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2014 May 31]. Available from: <http://euthanasia.procon.org/view.resource.php?resourceID=000132>
10. United States Conference of Catholic Bishops. *Ethical and Religious Directives for Catholic Health Care Services*. 2009. Available from: <http://www.usccb.org/issues-and-action/human-life-and-dignity/health-care/upload/Ethical-Religious-Directives-Catholic-Health-Care-Services-fifth-edition-2009.pdf>
11. Pope TM. Legal briefing: conscience clauses and conscientious refusal. *J Clin Ethics*. 2010;21(2):163-76. Available from: <http://www.aafcp.org/cplm/files/10.pdf>
12. Commission on Law and Aging, American Bar Association. *State Law Charts and Updates* [Internet]. [cited 2013 Dec 23]. Available from: http://www.americanbar.org/groups/law_aging/resources/state_law-charts_updates.html
13. American Medical Association. *AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.037 - Medical Futility in End-of-Life Care* [Internet]. [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/physician-resources/medical-ethics/code-medical-ethics/opinion2037.page>
14. American Medical Association. *AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.21 - Euthanasia* [Internet]. [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/physician-resources/medical-ethics/code-medical-ethics/opinion221.page>
15. American Medical Association. *AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.211 - Physician-Assisted Suicide* [Internet]. [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/physician-resources/medical-ethics/code-medical-ethics/opinion2211.page>
16. American Medical Association. *AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.20 - Withholding or Withdrawing Life-Sustaining Medical Treatment* [Internet]. [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/physician-resources/medical-ethics/code-medical-ethics/opinion220.page>

17. Annas GJ. "Culture of life" politics at the bedside--the case of Terri Schiavo. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352(16):1710-5. Available from:
<http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/cyberlaw2005/sites/cyberlaw2005/images/Annas-Schiavo.pdf>
18. Bartmann P. Physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia: German Protestantism, conscience, and the limits of purely ethical reflection. *Christ Bioeth*. 2003 Dec;9(2-3):203–25.
19. Green B, Fullam L, Wright J. Withholding of Artificial Nutrition and Hydration: Religious and Cultural Perspectives [Internet]. Santa Clara University Wiki. [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from:
http://wiki.scu.edu/live/wiki92/index.php/Withholding_of_Artificial_Nutrition_and_Hydration:_Religious_and_Cultural_Perspectives
20. Brody H, Hermer LD, Scott LD, Grumbles LL, Kutac JE, McCammon SD. Artificial nutrition and hydration: the evolution of ethics, evidence, and policy. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2001 Sep;26(9):1053–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3157529/>
21. Campbell CS, Hare J, Matthews P. Conflicts of Conscience Hospice and Assisted Suicide. *The Hastings Center Report*. 1995 May 1;25(3):36–43.
22. Catlin A, Armigo C, Volat D, Vale E, Hadley MA, Gong W, Bassir R, Anderson K. Conscientious objection: a potential neonatal nursing response to care orders that cause suffering at the end of life? Study of a concept. *Neonatal Netw*. 2008 Apr;27(2):101–8. Available from:
<http://www.sonoma.edu/users/c/catlin/conscientious%20objection.pdf>
23. Clarfield AM, Gordon M, Markwell H, Alibhai SMH. Ethical issues in end-of-life geriatric care: the approach of three monotheistic religions-Judaism, Catholicism, and Islam. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2003;51(8):1149-54.
24. Engelhardt HT, Jr., Iltis AS. End-of-life: the traditional Christian view. *Lancet*. 2005 Sep 17;366(9490):1045–9. Available from: <http://www.asu.edu/clubs/bioethics/christian.pdf>
25. Hamel RP, Walter JJ. Artificial nutrition and hydration and the permanently unconscious patient: the Catholic debate. Washington, D.C.: Georgetown University Press; 2007.
<http://www.worldcat.org/oclc/237925867>
26. Hyde MJ, Rufo K. The call of conscience, rhetorical interruptions, and the euthanasia controversy. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*. 2000;28(1):1-23. Available from:
http://www.joshiejuice.com/hyde_rufo.pdf
27. Lemiengre J, de Casterlé BD, Van Craen K, Schotsmans P, Gastmans C. Institutional ethics policies on medical end-of-life decisions: a literature review. *Health Policy*. 2007 Oct;83(2-3):131–43.
28. Lo B, Ruston D, Kates LW, Arnold RM, Cohen CB, Faber-Langendoen K, et al. Discussing religious and spiritual issues at the end of life: a practical guide for physicians. *JAMA*. 2002 Feb 13;287(6):749–54. Available from: <http://meded.duke.edu/practice/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/End-of-Life-spiritual-issues.pdf>
29. McCarrick PM. Scope Note 7: Withholding or Withdrawing Nutrition or Hydration [Internet]. Bioethics Research Library, Kennedy Institute of Ethics; 1992 [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from: <http://hdl.handle.net/10822/556907>
30. McCarrick PM. Scope Note 18: Active Euthanasia/Assisted Suicide [Internet]. Bioethics Research Library, Kennedy Institute of Ethics; 1992 [cited 2014 Jan 11]. Available from:
<http://hdl.handle.net/10822/556872>
31. Meier DE, Emmons CA, Wallenstein S, Quill T, Morrison RS, Cassel CK. A national survey of physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 1998 Apr

23;338(17):1193–201. Available from:

<http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM199804233381706>

32. Meisel A, Snyder L, Quill T, American College of Physicians--American Society of Internal Medicine End-of-Life Care Consensus Panel. Seven legal barriers to end-of-life care: myths, realities, and grains of truth. *JAMA*. 2000 Nov 15;284(19):2495–501. Available from:

http://www.acponline.org/clinical_information/resources/end_of_life_care/eol_barriers.pdf

33. Meisel A. Pharmacists, Physician-Assisted Suicide, and Pain Control. *Journal of Health Care Law and Policy*. 1999;2(2):211. Available from:

<http://digitalcommons.law.umaryland.edu/jhclp/vol2/iss2/3>

34. Meisel A. End of Life Care. In: Crowley M, editor. *From Birth to Death and Bench to Clinic: The Hastings Center Bioethics Briefing Book for Journalists, Policymakers, and Campaigns* [Internet]. Garrison, NY: The Hastings Center; 2008 [cited 2014 Jan 11]. p. 51–4. Available from: <http://www.thehastingscenter.org/Publications/BriefingBook/Detail.aspx?id=2270>

35. Miller FG, Brody H. Professional Integrity and Physician-Assisted Death. *The Hastings Center Report*. 1995 May 1;25(3):8–17.

36. Mueller PS, Hook CC, Hayes DL. Ethical analysis of withdrawal of pacemaker or implantable cardioverter-defibrillator support at the end of life. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2003 Aug;78(8):959–63.

37. Pope TM. Legal briefing: the new Patient Self-Determination Act. *J Clin Ethics*. 2013;24(2):156–67. Available from:

www.researchgate.net/publication/10619628_Ethical_analysis_of_withdrawal_of_pacemaker_or_implantable_cardioverter-defibrillator_support_at_the_end_of_life/file/5046351752d241607b.pdf

38. Quill TE, Greenlaw J. Physician-Assisted Death. In: Crowley M, editor. *From Birth to Death and Bench to Clinic: The Hastings Center Bioethics Briefing Book for Journalists, Policymakers, and Campaigns* [Internet]. Garrison, NY: The Hastings Center; 2008 [cited 2014 Jan 11]. p. 137–42. Available from:

<http://www.thehastingscenter.org/Publications/BriefingBook/Detail.aspx?id=2202>

39. Seale C. The role of doctors' religious faith and ethnicity in taking ethically controversial decisions during end-of-life care. *J Med Ethics*. 2010 Nov;36(11):677–82. Available from:

<http://www.livinganddyingwell.org.uk/sites/default/files/LDW%20-%20Research%20-%20Seale%20-%20Doctors%20and%20Faith%20Ethnicity.pdf>

40. Singer PA, MacDonald N. Bioethics for clinicians: 15. Quality end-of-life care. *CMAJ*. 1998 Jul 28;159(2):159–62. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1229524/>

41. Solomon MZ, O'Donnell L, Jennings B, Guilfooy V, Wolf SM, Nolan K, et al. Decisions near the end of life: professional views on life-sustaining treatments. *Am J Public Health*. 1993 Jan;83(1):14–23. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1694502/>

42. Sulmasy DP, Pellegrino ED. The rule of double effect: clearing up the double talk. *Arch Intern Med*. 1999 Mar 22;159(6):545–50. Available from:

<http://pediatrics.georgetown.edu/documents/Sulmasy%20Double%20Effect.pdf>

43. Sulmasy DP. *Preserving Life? Commonweal* [Internet]. 2007 Dec 3 [cited 2014 Jan 11]; Available from: <https://www.commonwealmagazine.org/preserving-life-0>

44. Swetz KM, Mansel JK. Ethical Issues and Palliative Care in the Cardiovascular Intensive Care Unit. *Cardiol Clin*. 2013 Nov;31(4):657–68. Available from:

http://www.researchgate.net/publication/257307538_Ethical_Issues_and_Palliative_Care_in_the_Cardiovascular_Intensive_Care_Unit/file/72e7e524dcff5188a0.pdf

45. Wear S, Lagaipa S, Logue G. Toleration of moral diversity and the conscientious refusal by physicians to withdraw life-sustaining treatment. *J Med Philos.* 1994 Apr;19(2):147–59.
46. Wernow JR, Gastmans C. A Review and Taxonomy of Argument-Based Ethics Literature regarding Conscientious Objections to End-of-Life Procedures. *Christ Bioeth.* 2010 Dec 1;16(3):274–95.