

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I would like to thank my advisor Gabriel Filippelli for his guidance, advice and numerous opportunities that he has provided over the years. I also thank Kathy Licht and Jeff Swope for their helpful comments and insights during the editing process.

This project was funded in part thanks to a research grant from NSF with additional support for cruise participation provided by JOI. I would like to thank Dr Mitch Lyle, Dr Neil Mitchell and the rest of the Shipboard Scientific Party of the AMAT03 cruise for their help with sample collection. Thank you to Dr José-Abel Flores for contributing his nannofossil expertise and to Dr Pamela Martin and Emilia Salgueiro for their foraminifera training efforts. I would also like to give a special thanks to Angie Robertson, Rosalice Beuhrer, Jenny Nelson and Jenny Roell for their assistance in the lab.

Lastly, I would like to thank my husband Chris for his patience, love and support that he has given me throughout this project.

ABSTRACT

Sarah Hale

PALEOPRODUCTIVITY VARIATIONS IN THE EASTERN CENTRAL EQUATORIAL PACIFIC OCEAN ON GLACIAL TIMESCALES

Paleoproductivity records during the late Pleistocene are sparse. The equatorial Pacific and the Southern Ocean are collectively responsible for the majority of the new production in the oceans. The nutrient and carbon mass balances of these regions must be constrained in order to fully understand net global biological productivity on glacial timescales.

The geochemistry of two east-central equatorial Pacific Ocean cores ($02^{\circ} 33.48$ N; $117^{\circ} 55.06$ W) and ($00^{\circ} 15.42$ S; $113^{\circ} 00.57$ W) are used to examine changes in biological productivity due to nutrient upwelling on glacial timescales during the Pleistocene. The cores were recovered in March 2006 on the AMAT03 cruise, a site survey cruise for IODP Proposal 626. The total concentrations of Ca, Ti, Fe, Al, P, Ba, S, Mg, Sr, Zn and Mn were determined by a total sediment digestion followed by analysis by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP). Original solid forms of P for 34 evenly spaced samples throughout one core were determined using the P Sequential Extraction technique.

This study is attempting to compare upwelling and productivity records by determining temporal records of nutrient proxies, using Latimer and Filippelli (2006) which focused on the Southern Ocean. Equatorial upwelling and Southern Ocean

upwelling both appear to exhibit strong glacial timescale variability. The P geochemistry results indicate that the P signal is largely biological. The equatorial Pacific evidence, in accordance with Southern Ocean patterns, supports a nutrient budget-driven productivity signal over time.

Gabriel M. Filippelli, Ph. D, Committee Chair

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| Introduction..... | 1 |
| Objectives | 5 |
| Site and core descriptions | 6 |
| Overview of comparative study sites..... | 6 |
| Materials and Methods | |
| Trigger and piston core overlap | 9 |
| Total digestions | 10 |
| ICP-AES | 11 |
| Phosphorus extractions | 11 |
| Calcareous biostratigraphy..... | 12 |
| Oxygen isotope analysis | 12 |
| Age model..... | 13 |
| Results | |
| Standards..... | 14 |
| Geochemistry | 15 |
| Geochemical ratios..... | 16 |
| Phosphorus geochemistry | 18 |
| Discussion | |
| Metal geochemistry..... | 19 |
| Biological geochemistry | 23 |
| <u>Calcium</u> | 23 |
| <u>Barium</u> | 24 |
| <u>Phosphorus</u> | 26 |
| Phosphorus geochemistry | 29 |
| Biogenic proxies | 30 |
| <u>Proxy normalization</u> | 30 |
| <u>P/Ti and P/Al</u> | 32 |
| <u>Ba/Ti and Ba/Al</u> | 34 |
| <u>Other biogenic proxies</u> | 36 |
| P and variations in sea-level | 37 |
| Conclusions..... | 39 |
| Tables..... | 41 |
| Figures..... | 75 |
| Appendix A..... | 140 |
| References..... | 164 |
| Curriculum Vitae | |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|-----------|---|
| Table 1. | RR0603-03 elemental concentrations. |
| Table 2. | Sequential phosphorus extraction technique. |
| Table 3. | RR0603-03 calcareous biostratigraphy data. |
| Table 4. | Elemental concentrations for SRM1646a: minor (1:10) dilution. |
| Table 5. | Elemental concentrations for SRM1646a: major (1:60) dilution. |
| Table 6. | Elemental concentrations for consistency standard: minor (1:10) dilution. |
| Table 7. | Elemental concentrations for consistency standard: major (1:60) dilution. |
| Table 8. | Geochemical data comparison. |
| Table 9. | Geochemical ratio data comparison. |
| Table 10. | RR0603-03 P component concentrations. |
| Table 11. | RR0603-03 adsorbed/oxide bound Fe and Mn concentrations. |
| Table 12. | RR0603-03 correlation coefficients. |
| Table 13. | Chemical composition comparison. |
| Table 14. | Shipboard sediment measurements and calculations. |

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. Study site locations.
- Figure 2. Isotopic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ analysis versus age for ODP Site 677 and isotopic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ analysis with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 3. Isotopic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ analysis versus age for ODP Site 677 and RR0603-03.
- Figure 4. SRM 1646a range of values.
- Figure 5. Range of elemental concentration values obtained for the RR0603-03 consistency standard.
- Figure 6. Fe and Al concentrations in both major (1:10) and minor (1:60) dilutions for the RR0603-03 consistency standard and SRM 1646a.
- Figure 7. P concentration with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 8. Ba concentration with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 9. Ti concentration with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 10. Al concentration with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 11. Fe concentration with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 12. Mn concentration with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 13. Zn concentration with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 14. Sr concentration with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 15. S concentration with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 16. Mg concentration with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 17. Ca concentration with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 18. Ba/Ti ratio with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 19. Ba/Al ratio with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 20. P/Ti ratio with depth for RR0603-03.

- Figure 21. P/Al ratio with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 22. Al/Ti ratio with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 23. P/Fe ratio with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 24. Fe/Ti ratio with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 25. Fe/Al ratio with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 26. Sr/Ca ratio with depth for RR0603-03.
- Figure 27. Ti concentration versus Ba concentration comparison plot.
- Figure 28. Al concentration versus Ba concentration comparison plot.
- Figure 29. Ti concentration versus P concentration comparison plot.
- Figure 30. Al concentration versus P concentration comparison plot.
- Figure 31. Ti concentration versus Al concentration comparison plot.
- Figure 32. Fe concentration versus P concentration comparison plot.
- Figure 33. Ti concentration versus Fe concentration comparison plot.
- Figure 34. Al concentration versus Fe concentration comparison plot.
- Figure 35. Ca concentration versus Sr concentration comparison plot.
- Figure 36. Detrital P concentration with depth.
- Figure 37. Organic P concentration with depth.
- Figure 38. Adsorbed/oxide-bound P concentration with depth.
- Figure 39. Oxide-bound Mn concentration compared to total Mn concentration.
- Figure 40. Oxide-bound Fe concentration compared to total Mn concentration
- Figure 41. Authigenic P concentration with depth.
- Figure 42. P compilation.
- Figure 43. Ti, Al and Fe concentration versus age for RR0603-03.

- Figure 44. Ti concentration versus Al concentration.
- Figure 45. Al concentration versus Fe concentration.
- Figure 46. Ti concentration versus Fe concentration.
- Figure 47. Ti concentration versus age comparison.
- Figure 48. Percent terrigenous versus age comparison.
- Figure 49. RR0603-03 accumulation rates versus age for Ti, Al and Fe.
- Figure 50. RR0603-03 averaged DBD accumulation rates versus age for Ti, Al and Fe.
- Figure 51. Equatorial Pacific CaCO₃ preservation.
- Figure 52. P, Ba and Ca concentrations versus age for RR0603-03.
- Figure 53. RR0603-03 accumulation rates versus age for P, Ba and Ca.
- Figure 54. RR0603-03 averaged DBD accumulation rates versus age for P, Ba and Ca.
- Figure 55. Ba versus Fe concentration.
- Figure 56. Ba versus Al concentration.
- Figure 57. Ba versus Ti concentration.
- Figure 58. Ba versus P concentration.
- Figure 59. Fe versus P concentration.
- Figure 60. Al versus P concentration.
- Figure 61. Ti versus P concentration.
- Figure 62. P accumulation rates versus age comparison.
- Figure 63. P/Ti, P/Al, Ba/Ti and Ba/Al ratios versus age for RR0603-03.
- Figure 64. P/Ti ratios versus age comparison.
- Figure 65. Sr/Ca and Al/Ti ratios for RR0603-03.