Florida International University FIU Digital Commons

Open Access Publishing Fund

FIU Libraries

11-2014

Novel method for the high-throughput processing of slides for the comet assay

Mahsa Karbaschi

Oxidative Stress Group, University of Leicester and Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Florida International University, mahsa.karbaschi@fiu.edu

Marcus Cooke Oxidative Stress Group, University of Leicester and Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Florida International University, mcooke@fiu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/oap Part of the <u>Medicine and Health Sciences Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Karbaschi, Mahsa and Cooke, Marcus, "Novel method for the high-throughput processing of slides for the comet assay" (2014). *Open Access Publishing Fund*. Paper 5. http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/oap/5

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the FIU Libraries at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publishing Fund by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fu.edu.

Novel method for the high-throughput processing of slides for the comet assay

Mahsa Karbaschi^{1,2} and Marcus S. Cooke^{1,2}

¹Oxidative Stress Group, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE2 7LX, UK

Permanent address:

² Dept. Environmental and Occupational Health, Robert Stempel College of Public Health and Social Work, Florida International University, 11200 S.W. 8th Street, Miami, FL 33199.

Corresponding author:

Dr. Marcus S. Cooke

Dept. Environmental & Occupational Health,

Robert Stempel College of Public Health and Social Work,

Florida International University,

11200 S.W. 8th Street, Miami, FL 33199.

Email: mcooke@fiu.edu

Tel. 1-305-348-7775.

ABSTRACT

Single cell gel electrophoresis (the comet assay), continues to gain popularity as a means of assessing DNA damage. However, the assay's low sample throughput and laborious sample workup procedure are limiting factors to its application. "Scoring", or individually determining DNA damage levels in 50 cells per treatment, is time-consuming, but with the advent of high-throughput scoring, the limitation is now the ability to process significant numbers of comet slides. We have developed a novel method by which multiple slides may be manipulated, and undergo electrophoresis, in batches of 25 rather than individually and, importantly, retains the use of standard microscope comet slides, which are the assay convention. This decreases assay time by 60%, and benefits from an electrophoresis tank with a substantially smaller footprint, and more uniform orientation of gels during electrophoresis. Our high-throughput variant of the comet assay greatly increases the number of samples analysed, decreases assay time, number of individual slide manipulations, reagent requirements and risk of damage to slides. The compact nature of the electrophoresis tank is of particular benefit to laboratories where bench space is at a premium. This novel approach is a significant advance on the current comet assay procedure.

KEYWORDS

Comet assay, high throughput, DNA damage, DNA repair, oxidative stress, genotoxicology.

INTRODUCTION

Single cell gel electrophoresis, or the comet assay, continues to attract growing interest as a tool to study the formation and repair of DNA damage, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, as markers of genotoxicity. Furthermore, interest in the comet assay is no longer restricted to academic institutions, as there is now significant interest from industry in comet assay development and validation, for example for drug genotoxicity screening. Indeed it has been the pharmaceutical industry which has largely driven the development of Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development guidelines for the comet assay, and it has been introduced as part of the regulation of chemicals within the European Commission's Registration, Evaluation and Authorisation of Chemicals Programme.

Although there are neutral ¹ and enzyme-modified variants of the comet assay ²⁻⁴, the most widely employed variant is the alkaline comet assay (ACA), which can be used to detect and quantify strand breaks (both double and single), along with alkaline labile sites ⁵. Whilst there have been some significant attempts to improve inter-laboratory agreement in levels of damage measured, largely driven by the European Comet Assay Validation Group ⁶⁻⁸, and some new applications e.g. the assessment of DNA damage in whole blood ⁹, the actual comet assay protocol has remained largely unchanged since it was originally described by Östling & Johansson ¹⁰ and Singh et al. ¹¹. All variants of the comet assay involve numerous steps (Figure 1) and, with the exception of a few recent reports¹²⁻¹⁶, invariably require that microscope slides, coated with cell-containing agarose gels, are manipulated individually. These small, thin, agarose gels are delicate and at risk of damage or loss at each manipulation step, jeopardising the success of the experiment. This also makes the process time-consuming, as a typical experiment may involve up to 40 slides – a maximum determined by the time it takes to manipulate that number of slides, together with being the maximum number of slides that can

be accommodated in the large electrophoresis tanks commonly used in the comet assay. The size of the electrophoresis tank is also an issue as in order to run 40 slides simultaneously, a typical tank would have a footprint of 33 x 59 cm, and is placed within a larger tray of ice, which is 60 x 75 cm, to provide cooling to the tank – and hence occupies a significant area of the bench.

On average, performing the comet assay will occupy much of three days, this excludes "scoring" of the comet assay slides to quantify the DNA damage present, which is also timeconsuming. With the burgeoning development of high-throughput, or automated approaches for scoring comets, comet slide processing is clearly a bottleneck in the overall assay. However, there are no available solutions currently for improving and increasing comet slide manipulation and throughput, together with decreasing the footprint and throughput of the electrophoresis step. We have developed a method by which comet assay slides can be manipulated simultaneously in units of 25, not only does this decrease the risk of damage to the gels, it also speeds up the comet assay process. Our approach also offers the advantage of decreasing the footprint of the electrophoresis tank, through a novel design. Combined this represents a significant improvement over the conventional approach, providing a means for high throughput comet assay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Simultaneous manipulation of up to 25 comet assay slides was achieved by using a polyoxymethylene rack, which was termed the high throughput (HT) rack (Figure 2A). The same rack allowed electrophoresis to be performed with the slides held, lengthwise, in a vertical orientation. A custom-made electrophoresis tank (HT Tank 1; Figure 2B) was already available within our laboratory, and proved suitable to demonstrate proof-of-principle, but required the HT rack to be shortened to fit into the tank. The tank design was then improved upon so as to accommodate two, full size HT racks (named HT Tank 2; manufactured by Cleaver Scientific Ltd, Rugby, UK), and used for all subsequent experiments involving further testing of the HT rack (Figure 2C, right).

Effect on comet shape of performing electrophoresis on slides held vertically in the HT rack

The human keratinocyte cell line (HaCaT), which was a kind gift from Professor N.E. Fusenig (Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, Heidelberg, Germany ¹⁷, was used for all ACA experiments. Cells were seeded in 12 well plates (Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Frickenhausen, Germany) and incubated overnight. After removing the medium, the cells were washed with PBS, and then exposed to a variety of concentrations of freshly prepared hydrogen peroxide (0-100 μ M; Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) for 30 min on ice. After exposure, the H₂O₂ was removed by washing with PBS, prior to analysis by conventional and our novel HT ACA. The ACA method was essentially as described previously ¹⁸. Briefly, 80 μ L of low melting point agarose gel (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK; containing approximately 1.2 × 10⁴ cells) were dispensed onto glass microscope slides, coated previously with 1 % normal melting point agarose. The agarose was allowed to set, under a 22 x 22 mm cover slip (VWR International, distributed by

Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) by placing the slides on ice. The cover slips were then removed and the slides either processed individually, according to conventional ACA, or simultaneously when placed vertically in an HT rack (six slides were used per experiment, two slides per treatment condition, and the spare spaces in the HT rack were filled with 'blank' slides i.e. slides without gels). The individual slides or slides in the HT rack were then left overnight in ice-cold lysis buffer (100 mM disodium EDTA, 2.5 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 10, containing 1 % triton X-100 which was added freshly). In the case of the HT rack this step, and all steps involving washing/neutralisation/draining/drying/rehydration/staining etc, was performed in a rack staining dish (Figure 2A). The individual slides or slides in the HT rack were then placed in ice cold water for 30 min. Afterwards, the individually manipulated slides were laid flat, in a horizontal orientation, in the HT Tank 1 together with the second set of slides, which were place vertically in the same tank, using the HT rack. All slides were covered with cold alkaline electrophoresis buffer (300 mM NaOH, 1 mM disodium EDTA, pH \geq 13) for 20 min and then electrophoresis performed at 27 V and 300 mA for 20 min (0.9 V/cm). Neutralisation was then performed using 0.4 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 for 20 min prior to washing with distilled water then the slides allowed to dry. All procedures were carried out under subdued light to minimise possible adventitious DNA damage. For staining, the slides in the HT rack were submerged in distilled water to re-hydrate the slides prior to being submerged in freshly made solution of 2.5 µg/mL propidium iodide for 20 min. The slides were washed again for 30 min and allowed to drain and dry whilst still in the rack. In contrast, the other slides were each individually manipulated for the rehydration, staining, washing and drying steps. All slides were then observed and scored by fluorescent microscopy (50 cells per gel; 100 cells per treatment), and percentage tail DNA of the comets was recorded, using comet assay IV analysis software, version 4.2 (Perceptive Instruments, Haverhill, Suffolk, UK). These experiments were repeated in their entirety on three different occasions.

Effect of buffer volume on tank voltage/current parameters

With proof-of-principle established using HT Tank 1, HT Tank 2 was used for all subsequent experiments. The size/shape and the presence of additional slides in the HT Tank 2 altered the buffer volume required to cover the slides. Differences in buffer volume, compared to those used in conventional ACA, were investigated in terms of the effect on voltage and/or current. The effect of the optimal buffer conditions was then tested on comet assay electrophoresis (below).

Concentration-response and repeatability of the HT comet assay

The effect of the optimised materials and assay conditions was then tested to study their effect on electrophoresis of comets. This was examined by testing the ability to detect a concentration-response, together with a study of repeatability and comparison with conventional ACA. HaCaTs were again exposed to a variety of concentrations of hydrogen peroxide (0-100 μ M) prior to analysis by the novel HT ACA and conventional ACA, as described above, with the inclusion of the optimised buffer/current/voltage conditions.

Statistical analysis

Differences between treatments were assessed by analysis of variance, using a Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's Multiple Comparison Test. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism, version 6.02 (GraphPad, CA, USA).

RESULTS

Effect on comet shape of performing electrophoresis on slides held vertically in the HT rack

In order to assess the effect of performing electrophoresis on slides in the vertical orientation in the HT rack, the level of DNA damage and the quality of comets were compared with performing ACA in the conventional, horizontal orientation. The results showed that the orientation and the shape of the comets which were run vertically in the HT rack (Figure 3A) were identical to those run horizontally (Figure 3B). Furthermore the data obtained after scoring the comets indicated that there was no significant difference in percentage tail DNA between the samples run horizontally or vertically (P > 0.05; Figure 3C). Additionally, using the HT racks provided a 60% decrease in time spent manipulating slides (i.e. Figure 1, steps III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII), compared to conventional ACA, together with decreasing the risk of damage to gels during manipulation.

Effect of buffer volume on tank voltage/current parameters

All subsequent experiments were performed with the HT Tank 2. However, it was first necessary to find a minimal buffer volume, which covered the HT racks, and achieved voltage/current conditions closest to those used in conventional ACA. We immediately identified that the power supply used normally for electrophoresis would not suffice, (Power Pac 300, Bio-Rad) as it had difficulty achieving a current larger than 400 mA required to reach 27 V, and a power supply with a greater current range was required. The CS-330V power supply (CS-300V; Cleaver Scientific Ltd, Rugby, UK) proved to be perfectly suited to this application.

A number of combinations were attempted, and the optimal buffer volume for HT Tank 2 was determined to be 550 mL, which gave 27 V and 450 mA (Table 1). These conditions were therefore used in subsequent experiments.

Concentration-response and repeatability of the HT ACA

The**Error! Reference source not found.** results in Figure 4 show the ability of the HT ACA to sensitively and reproducibly quantify H_2O_2 -induced DNA damage. The HT Tank 2 can accommodate two HT racks, in two "zones", one closer to the anode, and one closer to the cathode. Crucially, no field effects, or heterogeneity in the electrophoretic field were detected which would have been manifested as significant differences in response in zone 1 versus zone 2 (P > 0.05; Figure 4). Furthermore, the levels of intra- and inter-experiment variability appeared to be no different to those seen with conventional ACA electrophoresis.

The use of the HT racks, by eliminating the need to manipulate individual slides, significantly decreased the processing time for the lysis, electrophoresis, neutralisation and staining steps, together with all of the associated wash steps (Figure 1, steps iii to xii). Advantageously, as a result of indirect manipulation of the slides, the fragile gels were less likely to be damaged during the comet assay steps.

DISCUSSION

There are two major limitations to the throughput of the comet assay. The first is the scoring of comets – typically this involves manually determining the level of DNA damage in fifty cells per gel and two gels per treatment, within a single experiment. To address this, there has been an emergence of automated image acquisition and analysis platforms, such as that reported by Ritter and Knebel¹⁹. The second limitation relates to sample work up. As evident from Figure 1, the gel-coated microscope slides undergo numerous manipulations during the assay procedure. Each of these possesses the potential for the fragile gels to be lost or damaged, risking the entire experiment. There have been a number of approaches to increase the throughput of the comet assay at the sample work up stage ¹²⁻¹⁶, but in all of these have represented a departure from the conventional use of microscope slides to support the cellcontaining gels, and therefore significant changes in procedure for the laboratories that undertake this assay. Observations from a recent study have indicated that changing a wellestablished comet assay procedure can be problematic for some laboratories⁷, and would therefore be best avoided. Furthermore, a departure from the use of microscope slides may also make more difficult to perform certain variants of the comet assay, such as the enzymemodified ACA.

We report a novel improvement to the comet assay, demonstrated using the ACA, but which could be applied to all variants. We discovered that electrophoresis could be performed successfully (i.e. the shape and size of the comets are unaffected) with the slides held in a vertical orientation, rather than horizontally, as is the convention. As it was only the orientation of the slides that had been altered, the duration of electrophoresis did not need to be increased to achieve identical results to the existing ACA, unlike other high throughput methods ¹⁵. This change of orientation brought a number of improvements to the assay. Multiple slides can be

held in a rack, allowing their simultaneous manipulation, in the present case 25 at a time, which not only makes the assay procedure easier, so less skill is required, but also speeds up the process as the slides can remain in the racks throughout all of the comet assay steps. This also provides protection to the slides and minimises the risk of damage to the delicate gels adhered to the slides. With the slides in the vertical orientation, they also occupy less space, so the HT electrophoresis tank has a smaller footprint than conventional tanks (210 cm² vs. 1,947 cm²), and in its present format (HT Tank 2; Figure 2C), it has built-in cooling, obviating the need for an external tray of ice, whose additional space requirement would take the total footprint for a single tank to 3,420 cm². This offers the ability to process over six times as many slides as a horizontal tank of the same proportions (excluding the required ice tray), and keeps all the slides in a more uniform orientation with respect to the electrophoretic field.

The HT tank also requires smaller buffer volumes, with accompanying cost savings. To further aid throughput, multiple tanks can be run simultaneously, from a single power supply, significantly increasing the number of slides run, with minimal increases in bench space requirement. Taken together, this novel high throughput approach represents a significant advantage over the existing comet assay procedure, whilst retaining key components of the conventional assay.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Author contributions statement

MSC and MK wrote the main manuscript text, MK prepared the figures and tables. Both authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing financial interests

This work was partly supported by funding to Drs Cooke and Karbaschi from Cleaver Scientific Ltd. Cleaver Scientific Ltd. had no involvement in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of the data; in writing the report; or the decision to submit the article for publication. Drs Cooke and Karbaschi are inventors on a patent relating to the above technology, which is licenced from the University of Leicester to Cleaver Scientific Ltd.

REFERENCES

- 1. Angelis, K.J., Dusinska, M. & Collins, A.R. Single cell gel electrophoresis: detection of DNA damage at different levels of sensitivity. *Electrophoresis* **20**, 2133-2138 (1999).
- 2. Collins, A.R., Duthie, S.J. & Dobson, V.L. Direct enzymic detection of endogenous oxidative base damage in human lymphocyte DNA. *Carcinogenesis* **14**, 1733-1735 (1993).
- 3. Collins, A.R., Mitchell, D.L., Zunino, A., de Wit, J. & Busch, D. UV-sensitive rodent mutant cell lines of complementation groups 6 and 8 differ phenotypically from their human counterparts. *Environ Mol Mutagen* **29**, 152-160 (1997).
- 4. Collins, A.R., Dusinska, M. & Horska, A. Detection of alkylation damage in human lymphocyte DNA with the comet assay. *Acta Biochim Pol* **48**, 611-614 (2001).
- Miyamae, Y., Zaizen, K., Ohara, K., Mine, Y. & Sasaki, Y.F. Detection of DNA lesions induced by chemical mutagens by the single cell gel electrophoresis (Comet) assay. 1. Relationship between the onset of DNA damage and the characteristics of mutagens. *Mutat Res* 393, 99-106 (1997).
- 6. Ersson, C. et al. An ECVAG inter-laboratory validation study of the comet assay: interlaboratory and intra-laboratory variations of DNA strand breaks and FPG-sensitive sites in human mononuclear cells. *Mutagenesis* **28**, 279-286 (2013).
- 7. Forchhammer, L. et al. Inter-laboratory variation in DNA damage using a standard comet assay protocol. *Mutagenesis* **27**, 665-672 (2012).
- 8. Godschalk, R.W. et al. Variation of DNA damage levels in peripheral blood mononuclear cells isolated in different laboratories. *Mutagenesis* **29**, 241-249 (2014).
- 9. Al-Salmani, K. et al. Simplified method for the collection, storage, and comet assay analysis of DNA damage in whole blood. *Free Radic Biol Med* **51**, 719-725 (2011).
- 10. Ostling, O. & Johanson, K.J. Microelectrophoretic study of radiation-induced DNA damages in individual mammalian cells. *Biochem Bioph Res Co* **123**, 291-298 (1984).
- 11. Singh, N.P., McCoy, M.T., Tice, R.R. & Schneider, E.L. A simple technique for quantitation of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells. *Exp Cell Res* **175**, 184-191 (1988).
- 12. Stang, A. & Witte, I. Performance of the comet assay in a high-throughput version. *Mutat Res* **675**, 5-10 (2009).
- 13. Stang, A., Brendamour, M., Schunck, C. & Witte, I. Automated analysis of DNA damage in the high-throughput version of the comet assay. *Mutat Res* **698**, 1-5 (2010).
- 14. Stang, A. & Witte, I. The ability of the high-throughput comet assay to measure the sensitivity of five cell lines toward methyl methanesulfonate, hydrogen peroxide, and pentachlorophenol. *Mutat Res* **701**, 103-106 (2010).
- 15. Gutzkow, K.B. et al. High-throughput comet assay using 96 minigels. *Mutagenesis* **28**, 333-340 (2013).
- 16. Wood, D.K., Weingeist, D.M., Bhatia, S.N. & Engelward, B.P. Single cell trapping and DNA damage analysis using microwell arrays. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 10008-10013 (2010).
- 17. Boukamp, P. et al. Normal keratinization in a spontaneously immortalized aneuploid human keratinocyte cell line. *J Cell Biol* **106**, 761-771 (1988).
- 18. Cooke, M.S. et al. Combination of azathioprine and UVA irradiation is a major source of cellular 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine. *DNA Repair (Amst)* **7**, 1982-1989 (2008).

19. Ritter, D. & Knebel, J. Genotoxicity testing in vitro - development of a higher throughput analysis method based on the comet assay. *Toxic In Vitro* **23**, 1570-1575 (2009).

Table 1. Effect of buffer volume on voltage/current parameters using a Cleaver scientificPowerpac (CS-300V) in conjunction with the HT Tank 2.

Volume	Amp	Voltage (V)	Result
(mL)	(mA)		
700	300	Fluctuated between 17 and	ОК
		18	
700	400	Fluctuated between 20,21	ОК
		and 22	
700	500	26	ОК
700	530	27	ОК
600	300	Fluctuated between 21 and	ОК
		22	
600	350	Fluctuated between 23 and	ОК
		24	
600	399-400	26	ОК
600	425	26	Took 2 min to reach 425 mA and 27 V,
			then OK
600	430	26	ОК
600	440	26	ОК

600	450	Fluctuated between 26 and	Took 3 min to reach 450 mA and 27 V,
		27	then OK
600	460	27	Took 3 min to reach 460 mA and 27 V,
			then OK
550	350	22	ОК
550	400	25	ОК
550	420	26	ОК
550	440	Fluctuated between 26 and	ОК
		27	
550	450	27	ОК

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Overview of the typical alkaline comet assay procedure. (i) A single cell suspension of the cells under investigation is mixed with low melting point agarose. (ii) The cell/agarose mix is layered onto glass microscope slides, pre-coated with agarose, and the agarose allowed to set. (iii) The cells are lysed under high pH before (iv) washing with pure water. The presence of strand breaks and high pH allows the cellular DNA to unwind. (v) Electrophoresis draws the DNA out of the nucleoid body forming a 'tail'. The amount of migration (the amount of DNA in the tail versus the head) is proportional to the initial amount of DNA damage. The slides are then (vi) drained, (vii) neutralised and (viii) washed with pure water before (ix) drying overnight. Following further (x) washing in pure water, the slides are (xi) stained, (xii) washed and finally (xiii) scored and analysed, typically using fluorescent microscopy and image analysis software.

Figure 2. (A) Representative illustration of the HT racks, which can accommodate up to 25 slides, and the staining dishes in which lysis, neutralisation, staining and all associated wash steps are performed. (B) The HT Tank 1 and HT rack. The HT Tank 1 was used in preliminary, proof-of-principle experiments. (C) Demonstration of the size difference between the conventional ACA apparatus (left) and the HT Tank 2 (right), which are separated by a power supply. Figure 2(A) is reproduced with permission from Comery, Hill & C^o. Benthall, UK.

Figure 3. Effect of comet slide orientation during electrophoresis on comet appearance and quality. HaCaTs were incubated with 100 μ M H₂O₂ prior to analysis by conventional alkaline comet assay, or the new method using the HT rack. Representative images of comets following electrophoresis performed in the same electrophoresis tank with the comet slides held (A) vertically in a HT rack, and (B) horizontally, as is the convention. (C) Quantification of H₂O₂-induced DNA damage in HaCaTs determined by ACA with electrophoresis performed in either

the horizontal or vertical orientation. Error bars represent the median and max/min of 200 individual determinations from two independent experiments (ns = not significant).

Figure 4. Concentration-response and repeatability of the HT ACA, using the HT Tank 2. The experiments with 0 and 100 μ M H₂O₂ were performed three times, and those with 50 μ M H₂O₂, twice, with the error bars representing the median and max/min of 300 and 200 individual determinations, respectively. The HT Tank 2 has two "zones" in which an HT rack can be placed, which has no effect on the results (ns = not significant). The lower figure indicates the location of the two "zones" within the tank when viewed from above. Voltage ran from left to right (anode to cathode).







(C)



(A)

(B)







(C)

