

Florida International University FIU Digital Commons

SERC Research Reports

Southeast Environmental Research Center

9-23-2006

South Florida Coastal Water Quality Monitoring Network 4 6/06 Quarterly Report (C-15397)

Joseph N. Boyer

Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida International University, boyerj@fiu.edu

Henry O. Briceño

Southeast Environmental Research Center, Florida International University, bricenoh@fiu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/sercrp>

 Part of the [Environmental Monitoring Commons](#), and the [Water Resource Management Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Boyer, Joseph N. and Briceño, Henry O., "South Florida Coastal Water Quality Monitoring Network 4 6/06 Quarterly Report (C-15397)" (2006). *SERC Research Reports*. Paper 29.

<http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/sercrp/29>

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the Southeast Environmental Research Center at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in SERC Research Reports by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fiu.edu.



FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
Miami's public research university

Southeast Environmental Research Center

OE-148 Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199
305-348-3095, 305-348-4096 fax, <http://serc.fiu.edu>

23 September 2006

Patrick Martin
SFWMD
8894 Belvedere Road
West Palm Beach, FL 33411

Re: South Florida Coastal Water Quality Monitoring Network – 4-6/06 Quarterly Report (C-15397)

Dear Mr. Martin:

This letter serves to transmit the South Florida Coastal Water Quality Monitoring Network Quarterly Report as per our SFWMD/SERC Cooperative Agreement #C-15397. This report consists of this letter along with corresponding tables and figures.

Project Background

This report includes water quality data collected monthly during the annual period of record (POR) April – June 2006 from 28 stations in Florida Bay, 22 stations in Whitewater Bay, 25 stations in Ten Thousand Islands, 25 stations in Biscayne Bay, and 28 stations in Cape Romano-Rookery Bay-Pine Island Sound. A total of 49 stations were also collected on the SW Florida Shelf on a quarterly basis. Figure 1 shows the location of the fixed sampling stations.

Water quality parameters monitored at each station include the dissolved nutrients nitrate + nitrite (NO_x), nitrite (NO_2), nitrate (NO_3), ammonium (NH_4), inorganic nitrogen (DIN), and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP). Silicate ($\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4$) was analyzed at all stations on a quarterly basis in conjunction with SW Shelf sampling. Total concentrations of nitrogen (TN), organic nitrogen (TON), phosphorus (TP), and organic carbon (TOC) were also measured. All concentrations for each of these parameters are reported as parts per million (ppm) except where noted.

Biological parameters monitored included chlorophyll *a* ($\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$) and alkaline phosphatase activity (APA; $\mu\text{M hr}^{-1}$). Field parameters measured at both surface and bottom of the water column include salinity, dissolved oxygen (DO; mg l^{-1}), and temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$). Turbidity (NTU) of the surface water was also measured.

Data Results

A previous spatial analysis of data from Florida Bay resulted in the delineation of 3 groups of stations which have robust similarities in water quality (Fig. 2). We have argued that these spatially contiguous groups of stations are the result of similar loading and processing of materials, hence we call them 'zones of similar influence'. The Eastern Bay zone (FBE) acts most like a 'conventional' estuary in that it has a quasi-longitudinal salinity gradient caused by the mixing of freshwater runoff with seawater. In contrast, the Central Bay (FBC) is a hydrographically isolated area with low and infrequent terrestrial freshwater input, a long water residence time, and high evaporative potential. The Western Bay zone (FBW) is the most influenced by the Gulf of Mexico tides and is also isolated from direct overland freshwater sources. Station #7 - Highway Creek did not cluster out with any of the Florida Bay stations and was considered separately.

Using the same statistical approach as above, the TTI-WWB complex was partitioned into 6 distinct zones of similar water quality (Fig. 3). The first cluster was composed of 13 stations in and around the Shark, Harney, Broad, and Lostmans Rivers and is called the Mangrove River (MR) group. This cluster also included a sampling station just off the Faka Union Canal. The second cluster was made up of the 8 stations enclosed within Whitewater Bay proper (WWB). Twelve stations situated mostly in and around the coastal islands of TTI-WWB formed the Gulf Island group (GI). The water quality characteristics at the Coot Bay site were sufficiently different so as to be a cluster of its own. The next cluster contained the northernmost 2 stations in the Blackwater River estuary (BLK). Finally, the Inland Wilderness Waterway zone (IWW) included 11 stations distributed throughout the inside passage as well as the Chatham River and the station off Everglades City.

Biscayne Bay was partitioned into 6 distinct ZSI using the above statistical analysis. The first cluster was composed of 2 stations closest to the shore in the south Bay (Fig. 4); they were called the Alongshore group (AS). These are stations most influenced by the Goulds, Military and Mowry Canals. The second cluster was made up of the 5 stations farther from the coast called Inshore (IS). Thirteen stations situated mostly in the bay proper were called the main Bay (MAIN) group. The next cluster contained 3 stations situated in areas of great tidal exchange (ocean channel, not shown). Two stations in Card Sound grouped together SCARD. For purposes of this report, the stations added to the area north of the Rickenbacker Causeway are defined, a priori, as a distinct cluster, North Bay (NBAY).

The above statistical analysis objectively classified the 49 Shelf sampling sites into 3 zones having similar water quality (Fig. 5). The first cluster was composed of only 2 stations which were closest to the shore off Cape Sable; they were called the SHARK group, after the Shark River, the main source of freshwater to the region. The second cluster was made up of the 7 more northerly stations nearest the coast and called SHOAL. The remaining stations were called the SHELF group.

Sampling in the Rookery Bay area began Jan. 1999. Because of the very heterogeneous nature of the area, we will continue to use generally accepted geomorphological characteristics to group the stations (Fig. 6). These groupings are Cocohatchee River (COCO), Estero Bay (EST), Cape Romano-Marco Island (MARC), Naples Bay (NPL), Pine Island Sound (PIS), Rookery Bay (RB), and San Carlos Bay (SCB).

Data are also reported as box-and-whiskers plots (Figs. 7-29). The center horizontal line in the box is the median of the data, the top and bottom of the box are the 25th and 75th percentiles (quartiles), and the ends of the whiskers are the 5th and 95th percentiles.

Summary statistics of all water quality parameters by ecosystem are shown in Table 1. The median was chosen because it is a more accurate measure of central tendency in non-normally distributed water quality data. The range is expressed as the minimum (Min.) and maximum (Max.) values for the POR, and n is the number of data points used in the analysis.

The cyanobacterial bloom in Eastern Florida Bay continued through this period (Fig.7). We are not sure as to the cause of the bloom but it was widespread affecting Card Sound, Barnes Sound, Manatee Bay, Blackwater Sound, Little Blackwater Sound, and most of Eastern Florida Bay. We will continue to analyze this and ancillary data and hope to find the cause. Note that 2006 TP and CHLA have been some of the highest levels ever seen in Eastern Florida Bay (Fig. 29).

If you have any questions about the content of this report, please do not hesitate to contact me at 305-348-4076 or boyerj@fiu.edu.

Sincerely,



Joseph N. Boyer, Ph.D.
Associate Director and Scientist



Henry O. Briceño, Ph.D.
Assistant Scientist





Figure 1: All fixed water quality stations funded by this SFWMD project.

Florida Bay Water Quality Zones



Eastern Bay (+), Central Bay, (■), Western Bay (●)

Figure 2. Florida Bay zones.




Figure 3. WWB-TTI water quality zones.




Figure 4. Biscayne Bay water quality zones.




Figure 5. SW Florida Shelf water quality zones.




Figure 6. SW estuaries.

Eastern Florida Bay Zone




Figure 7. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in Eastern Florida Bay by survey.

Central Florida Bay Zone




Figure 8. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in Central Florida Bay by survey.

Western Florida Bay Zone




Figure 9. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in Western Florida Bay by survey.

Whitewater Bay Zone




Figure 10. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in WWB-TTI by survey.

Mangrove Rivers Zone




Figure 11. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in WWB-TTI by survey.

Gulf Islands Zone




Figure 12. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in WWB-TTI by survey.

Inner Waterway Zone




Figure 13. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in WWB-TTI by survey.

Blackwater River Zone




Figure 14. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in WWB-TTI by survey.

Alongshore Zone




Figure 15. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in Biscayne Bay by survey.

Inshore Zone




Figure 16. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in Biscayne Bay by survey.

Main Bay Zone




Figure 17. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in Biscayne Bay by survey.

South Card Sound Zone




Figure 18. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in Biscayne Bay by survey.

North Bay Zone




Figure 19. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in Biscayne Bay by survey.

Shelf Zone




Figure 20. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in SW Florida Shelf by survey.

Shark Zone




Figure 21. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in SW Florida Shelf by survey.

Shoal Zone




Figure 22. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in SW Florida Shelf by survey.

Marco Zone




Figure 23. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in RB-PIS by survey.

Rookery Bay Zone




Figure 24. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in RB-PIS by survey.

Naples Zone




Figure 25. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in RB-PIS by survey.

San Carlos Bay Zone




Figure 26. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in RB-PIS by survey.

Estero Bay Zone




Figure 27. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in RB-PIS by survey.

Pine Island Sound Zone




Figure 28. Box-and-whisker plots of water quality in RB-PIS by survey.




Figure 29. Reversal of TP decline in Eastern Bay in 2006 as related to algal bloom event.

Table 1.

Parameter	Zone	Median	Min.	Max.	<i>n</i>
Alkaline	Biscayne Bay	0.127	0.058	0.844	75
Phosphatase Activity ($\mu\text{M h}^{-1}$)	Florida Bay	0.518	0.026	3.394	84
	Rookery Bay	0.042	0.023	0.168	87
	SW Shelf	0.041	0.029	0.066	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	0.051	0.009	1.969	78
	Whitewater Bay	1.121	0.043	3.658	66
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> ($\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$)	Biscayne Bay	0.392	0.120	2.298	75
	Florida Bay	1.866	0.098	10.017	84
	Rookery Bay	3.037	0.334	7.896	87
	SW Shelf	1.425	0.695	3.603	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	2.385	1.113	11.930	78
	Whitewater Bay	6.306	2.102	18.093	66
Surface Dissolved Oxygen (mg l^{-1})	Biscayne Bay	7.76	6.85	9.63	75
	Florida Bay	8.08	5.72	10.26	84
	Rookery Bay	7.82	5.25	10.23	87
	SW Shelf	6.02	4.23	7.57	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	6.95	5.28	9.00	78
	Whitewater Bay	7.38	4.44	12.44	66
Bottom Dissolved Oxygen (mg l^{-1})	Biscayne Bay	7.91	6.59	9.62	75
	Florida Bay	8.04	5.84	10.31	84
	Rookery Bay	7.83	5.18	10.91	87
	SW Shelf	5.99	5.78	6.64	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	6.92	5.23	8.99	78
	Whitewater Bay	7.12	3.93	20.71	66
NH_4^+ (ppm)	Biscayne Bay	0.0070	0.0020	0.0290	75
	Florida Bay	0.0160	0.0004	0.1570	84
	Rookery Bay	0.0120	0.0002	0.1280	87
	SW Shelf	0.0060	0.0020	0.0140	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	0.0170	0.0030	0.0560	78
	Whitewater Bay	0.0200	0.0010	0.1100	66

Parameter	Zone	Median	Min.	Max.	<i>n</i>
NO ₂ ⁻ (ppm)	Biscayne Bay	0.0010	0.0000	0.0040	75
	Florida Bay	0.0010	0.0000	0.0130	84
	Rookery Bay	0.0010	0.0000	0.0070	87
	SW Shelf	0.0010	0.0002	0.0020	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	0.0020	0.0002	0.0100	78
	Whitewater Bay	0.0020	0.0000	0.0060	66
NO ₃ ⁻ (ppm)	Biscayne Bay	0.0030	0.0001	0.1000	75
	Florida Bay	0.0050	0.0000	0.0900	84
	Rookery Bay	0.0040	0.0000	0.1750	87
	SW Shelf	0.0020	0.0010	0.0120	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	0.0110	0.0010	0.1070	78
	Whitewater Bay	0.0180	0.0000	0.0770	66
pH	Biscayne Bay	8.165	7.890	8.470	75
	Florida Bay	8.195	7.770	8.680	84
	Rookery Bay	8.010	7.720	8.190	87
	SW Shelf	7.630	7.525	7.710	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	7.900	7.605	8.275	78
	Whitewater Bay	7.975	7.335	8.880	66
Surface Salinity	Biscayne Bay	35.05	25.93	38.52	75
	Florida Bay	31.80	14.59	37.17	84
	Rookery Bay	33.83	18.09	35.44	87
	SW Shelf	32.87	31.01	35.43	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	30.07	1.67	35.85	78
	Whitewater Bay	14.72	0.98	31.51	66
Bottom Salinity	Biscayne Bay	35.60	25.79	38.52	75
	Florida Bay	31.71	14.59	36.21	84
	Rookery Bay	33.88	23.15	35.45	87
	SW Shelf	34.72	31.71	35.32	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	30.12	1.85	35.89	78
	Whitewater Bay	14.73	1.34	31.79	66
Si(OH) ₄ (ppm)	Biscayne Bay	0.0190	0.0003	0.2770	25
	Florida Bay	0.3630	0.0120	1.3360	28
	Rookery Bay	0.5090	0.0570	1.4610	29
	SW Shelf	0.0330	0.0001	1.2590	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	1.7680	0.6670	2.4460	26
	Whitewater Bay	1.9240	1.0740	2.9890	22

Parameter	Zone	Median	Min.	Max.	<i>n</i>
Soluble	Biscayne Bay	0.0010	0.0000	0.0030	75
Reactive	Florida Bay	0.0010	0.0001	0.0070	84
Phosphorus (ppm)	Rookery Bay	0.0070	0.0010	0.0400	87
	SW Shelf	0.0010	0.0004	0.0030	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	0.0110	0.0005	0.0290	78
	Whitewater Bay	0.0020	0.0003	0.0090	66
Surface Temperature (°C)	Biscayne Bay	21.51	19.27	25.28	75
	Florida Bay	19.81	13.03	24.46	84
	Rookery Bay	19.20	17.24	26.90	87
	SW Shelf	19.53	17.93	21.19	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	21.65	20.60	24.65	78
	Whitewater Bay	25.44	22.88	28.07	66
Bottom Temperature (°C)	Biscayne Bay	21.56	19.26	25.42	75
	Florida Bay	19.79	13.18	24.47	84
	Rookery Bay	18.91	17.14	25.96	87
	SW Shelf	19.38	18.00	20.36	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	21.41	20.61	24.64	78
	Whitewater Bay	24.89	21.92	27.90	66
Total Nitrogen (ppm)	Biscayne Bay	0.173	0.000	0.439	75
	Florida Bay	0.388	0.074	1.034	84
	Rookery Bay	0.182	0.119	0.405	87
	SW Shelf	0.103	0.077	0.207	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	0.256	0.101	0.660	78
	Whitewater Bay	0.364	0.176	0.721	66
Total Organic Carbon (ppm)	Biscayne Bay	2.369	1.333	7.551	75
	Florida Bay	8.171	2.796	15.965	84
	Rookery Bay	3.364	2.195	8.831	87
	SW Shelf	2.355	1.863	3.860	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	6.049	3.075	15.835	78
	Whitewater Bay	13.435	5.523	25.845	66
Total Organic Nitrogen (ppm)	Biscayne Bay	0.156	0.000	0.407	75
	Florida Bay	0.320	0.066	0.968	84
	Rookery Bay	0.160	0.087	0.389	87
	SW Shelf	0.093	0.070	0.200	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	0.223	0.092	0.519	78
	Whitewater Bay	0.315	0.126	0.664	66

Parameter	Zone	Median	Min.	Max.	<i>n</i>
Total	Biscayne Bay	0.006	0.004	0.012	75
Phosphorus (ppm)	Florida Bay	0.017	0.007	0.035	84
	Rookery Bay	0.037	0.014	0.087	87
	SW Shelf	0.010	0.008	0.012	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	0.036	0.018	0.065	78
	Whitewater Bay	0.035	0.011	0.088	66
Turbidity (NTU)	Biscayne Bay	0.93	0.08	16.54	75
	Florida Bay	7.27	0.27	70.90	84
	Rookery Bay	4.88	0.43	25.76	87
	SW Shelf	3.70	1.35	6.45	49
	Ten Thousand Is.	5.99	0.74	13.47	78
	Whitewater Bay	6.69	2.09	48.35	66