DESIGN OF A DEVICE

FOR DRILLING LONG, SMALL-DIAMETER TUNNELS

A THESIS

Presented to

The Faculty of the Division of Graduate

Studies and Research

Bу

Baparao Uppaluri

In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

Georgia Institute of Technology

December, 1972

DESIGN OF A DEVICE FOR DRILLING LONG, SMALL-DIAMETER TUNNELS



	<u> </u>
obert D. Evans, Chairma	<u>41</u>
	2
eorge J. Simitses	=
	ŧ
	· · ·
B. B. Mazanti	
·	
Tobason	

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I owe heartfelt gratitude to Dr. Robert B. Evans who has pioneered the research project and been a constant source of inspiration, Dr. George J. Simitses for his invaluable suggestions in setting up the mathematical model, solving and verifying it for various physical phenomena, Dr. Harold Johnson for his guidance in the design process, and Dr. Billy B. Mazanti for furnishing pertinent information. I appreciate the help rendered by the fellow graduate students in computer programming. Finally, I thank Miss Vicki L. Terrell for her verification of the English.

ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pa _f	ze
ACKNOWL	EDGMENTSi	i
LIST OF	ILLUSTRATIONS	v
Chapter		
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND PLAN OF ATTACK	2
ĪII,	LITERATURE AND PATENT SURVEY	4
IV.	PRESENT TUNNELLING DEVICES	6
۷.	DETERMINATION OF PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS 1	4
VI.	PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL OF THE DEVICE 1	5
VII.	MATHEMATICAL MODEL	
	a) Mathematical Model 2	3
· · · ·	b) Solution of the Mathematical Model for	
	i. Deflection2	:3
	ii. Buckling2	9
	iii. Dynamic Stability2	:9
VIII.	STRENGTH ANALYSIS OF THE DESIGN	
	a) Thrust Required 3	6
	b) Stress Analysis 3	7
IX.	DETATLED DESIGN OF THE DRILL BIT	
	i. Bit Penetration 4	2 [.]
	ii. Cutter Profile4	4
	iii. Rate of Tunnelling4	·5
-		

iii,

State of the Local Property in

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

くいい くうかいやくまや メデース

Fig	ure Pa _é	ge
1.	a) Pipe Pushing ¹	1
	b) Spoil Augering1	1
	c) Water Boring1	1
	d) Impact Penetrating1	1
	e) Compacting Augering1	1
2.	Bore Specifications and Cost of Drilling 1	2
3.	Rate and Accuracy of Drilling1	3
4.	Shaft Joint1	7
5.	Drill Bit1	9
6.	Mathematical Model2	3
7.	Friction Factors for Flow in Pipes4	8
8.	Pipe Roughness Factors	9
9.	Turbo Compressor Minimum Capacity at Supply Pressure 5	1
10.	Set-up of the Proposed Device	6

 $\hat{Y} = \hat{D}$

V

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Although underground tunnelling dates far back into history, much of the progress in tunnelling has been made during the past decade. A new era is blossoming out for tunnelling and an exciting new technology is emerging. Underground tunnelling is playing a historic role in the advance of civilization. For example, subsurface transportation is helping to solve many urbanization problems. Development of tunnelling technology enhances the scope of expansion of the mining industry. World mineral consumption will increase fivefold by the year 2000. To meet this requirement, new underground mines will have to be opened, thus necessitating modern tunnelling techniques^{1*}.

Research has been under way on the other uses of underground tunnels, such as laying cables for power transmission, power distribution, and telephone service. As a result of this research improved devices for laying power or telephone lines under ground have been developed. The intention of this thesis is to develop a suitable tunnelling device for use in intricate situations where the existing devices are not feasible.

* All numerical superscripts refer to the Bibliography.

CHAPTER II

2

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND PLAN OF ATTACK

Statement of the Problem

An economical device, capable of drilling a long, small-diameter tunnel, would be advantageous for tunnelling under metropolitan areas, thus saving the cost of repairing the damaged streets, sidewalks and other paved surfaces. It, therefore, seems desirable to have a device which could economically tunnel a horizontal bore of small diameter (say less than six inches), between manholes 200 feet or more apart with reasonable accuracy (say within six inches of the mark in 200 feet). Economical tunnelling will also require a reasonable speed of operation, at relatively low initial and maintenance costs. The development of such a Long, Small -diameter Tunnelling Device (LSTD) would be of tremendous help to many industries. Power companies pay a huge amount of money for breaking open and repaving the ground after laying utility lines^{*}.

With the increasing extent of modernization, telephone companies find it astronomically difficult to cope with the overhead telephone lines.

^{*} The problem is based on material obtained from Mr. Glen P. Robinson, Chairman of Board, Scientific Atlanta, Incorporated, Atlanta.

Plan of Approach

3

The research is to be conducted in the following steps:

First, a thorough survey of existing models and their specifications is to be conducted.

Second, an extensive patent search is to be performed.

Third, performance specifications which represent a substantial improvement are to be determined, based on the preceding steps.

Finally, a device which meets these specifications is to be designed.

CHAPTER III

LITERATURE AND PATENT SURVEY

Advantages of Underground Lines

Underground lines are less subject to storms and accidents than are overhead lines and, being hidden, they do not detract from the landscape. They also ensure improvedved reliability of service and less maintenance. In large cities, as demand for telephone service grows, overhead lines become impractical. They have, therefore, been largely replaced by cables in protective underground ducts. However, with today's technology, constructing ducts in very densely populated areas is extremely costly².

In some cases it is virtually impossible to bury transmission lines by the conventional methods of digging a trench or phowing the cable into the ground. These methods become impractical in heavily populated areas as they require breaking up streets or pavements and disrupting traffic. The only alternative, then, is to tunnel underneath the obstructions because this:

1. minimises restoration costs,

2. lowers the amount of security bond to be posted

with the road repair authorities.

3. avoids legal restrictions on cutting through

major roads,

creates only a minimum of traffic disturbance,
 and 5. increases public safety.

The great difference in unit costs of underground and overhead transmission lines narrows as right-of-way costs for overhead transmission lines in congested areas increase. Improved methods of underground emplacement will certainly reduce this difference in costs and even further favor underground lines. Also, in the future, overhead transmission lines probably will not be permitted by metropolitan authorities in highly built-up areas. A few states and many municipalities have already passed ordinances that require all utilities to be buried³.

Tunnelling Methods and Devices

Many methods have been employed for underground tunnelling for power lines. Each of them will be explained briefly in Chapter IV. None of these devices can tunnel between manholes like the proposed LSTD. They all necessitate a long trench for installation and operation.

A thorough search of patents on the existing devices has been conducted. As far back as 1961, there were not any devices similar to the proposed LSTD. The patent numbers of the related devices are included in the Appendix.

CHAPTER IV

PRESENT TUNNELLING DEVICES

Pipe Pushing

This method consists of forcing sections of pipe through the soil either by mechanical leverage or by hydrau-The hydraulic system of a back-hoe (a small power lic ram. showel) or a separate engine-pump supplies the power. Although Pipe Pushing is fairly reliable it is slow and requires extensive preparation. Allarge pit must be dug so that the Bipe Pusher can be lowered in and levelled. Then the rear wall of the pit must be lined with heavy bracing b blocks to resist the pushing reaction. After one pipe section has been driven into the earth the ram is retracted so that an additional section can be added. Pipe Pushing can be used in most soils although soils containing large rocks or boulders or close packed sandy soils may present problems with this method (Fig.1-a)

A high degree of accuracy can be achieved by this method with two feet or larger diameter pipes that have been carefully aligned and deviations of one foot or less in 100 feet bores are not uncommon. Penetration rates are affunction of soil; thickness of pipe wall and the available power unit².

<u>Equipment</u>

A hydraulic pump powered by a gasoline engine generally supplies the pushing force. The hydraulic cylinders supplied by these pumps can be arranged singly or in groups and often provide forces in excess of 800 tons.¹

Spoil Augering

The system is essentially a three component unit used to bore holes in soil or weak rock. An auger consists of a drag bit (which cuts the earth and conveys it back), an attached spiral conveyor and a power source. The drag bit, pushed and rotated simultaneously, penetrates the soil while the spirals convey the cuttings to a discard point. An air motor, gasoline engine or hydraulic motor supplies torque and a hydraulic ram or manually operated lever supplies forward thrust. Sections of auger shaft must be added as the bit progresses. Reactions are resisted by either the floor or rear wall of the pit.²(Fig. 1-b)

Equipment

A typical small auger unit is powered by a 9.2 HP engine which can bore and case a 2.75 inch hole about 120 feet long.

A larger auger unit is powered by a 63 HP engine for a 42 inch diameter hole, 300-400 feet long.²

Water Boring

Another form of spiral augering makes use of water to

remove soil. The water is supplied through a hollow shaft connected to a short fish tail bit. As the rotating bit drills through the earth the water flushes the crumbled soil out through the tunnel. An air motor usually supplies the torque. Forward thrust, supplied by working a system of levers or by pushing on the air motor handle, requires considerable labor. Because, the bit tends to wander off course, access holes must be dug about every 35 feet so that the shafts can be realigned.²(Fig. 1-c)

Impact Penetrating

This method uses some form of rapidly oscillating piston enclosed in a pointed cylinder. The piston alternately bounces against an air cushion at the rear of the cylinder and strikes a steel anvil at the front. The sharp steel to steel impact drives the cylinder through the ground. A pneumatic hammer working on high pressure air can also be employed in this principle (Pneuma Gopher). Air for the hammer is supplied by a trailing air hose connected to an air compressor, out side of the hole. As the hammer rapidly impacts against the anvil, the tool is driven forward. It can bore 3.75 inch diameter hole up to 100 feet long.²(Fig. 1-d) <u>Equipment</u>

The mechanical mole uses 45-50 cfm of air at 90 psi. The mole is 45 inches long, its diameter is 3.75 inches and weighs 64 lbs.¹

Compacting Augering

9

This process is similar to turning of a screw through wood. As the auger bit rotates spiral threads along its conical surface react against soil forcing the auger forward. Thus compacting auger requires no external thrust. It compresses the soil into the tunnel wall. The auger bit is turned by a series of connected steel shafts. These are flexible enough so that the auger can be aimed horizontally from the bottom of a narrow trench three or four feet deep. A hydraulic motor, gasoline engine or air motor mounted on a cart that rolls along the ground supplies torque. As the auger bit progresses through the earth additional shaft sections are connected quickly²(Fig.1-e)

Equipment

The auger is rotated by a mechanical or hydraulic drive unit which is usually powered by a small gasoline engine with control equipment consisting of a sighting guide and positioning stakes. The power unit and auger drive unit can be mounted as a complete package on a small two wheeled cart.

Vibratory Conduit Driving

The vibratory method of driving rigid metal conduit through the soil utilizes the random motion response of soils to forced vibrations and the corresponding decrease in the soil resistance which these vibrations induce. The vibrations are set up in the pipe to be emplaced by a vari-

н, -

able frequency mechanical exciter operating at frequencies ranging from 0-200 cps, with a power range up to 1000 H.P. These waves are reinforced and amplified in the pipe by the resonance effect and so much energy becomes available for reducing the resistance. The force necessary is therefore, reduced as much as 98 %. The penetration rate by this method is one foot per second.¹

<u>Eauirment</u>

Resonant vibrator, 500 H.P gasoline engine.

The bore specifications and cost of drilling for various existing devices described above, are compared in Figure 2,

The rate and accuracy of drilling for these systems are as given in Figure 3^{1} .



Figure 1. Various Tunnelling Devices



Figure 2. Bore Specifications and Cost of Drilling (After 'Yardley')

12

الاصحار ولائغ والمرازيات سريات مرادهن وليرددونه

Method	Material Bored	Maximum Hole Length, Ft	Range of Hole Diameter, In.	Accuracy	Penetration Rates, Fpm	Cost, \$ per Ft of Hole
Spoil augering	Soils, soft rock	570	2 to 84	Not specified	½ to 6	For 12-in. or greater diameter: \$1 to \$4 per in. of pipe diameter
Compacting augering	Soils	200 13/4 to 4 (reamed to 8 in.)		About 1° error 2 to 8		\$0.10 to 0.20 (direct drilling cost estimate)
Mechanical mole	Soils	100	3¾ to 5%	Not specified	1 to 4	Not specified
Pipe pushing	Soils	200	1 to 108	Error about 1% of hole length for large diam- eter holes	0.1 to 0.2 and over	(3 to 4-indiam) \$1.90 (12 to 30-indiam lined) \$1.50 to \$4 per in. of hole diameter
Overborden drilling	Any material soils and/or rock	100	4	Error about 1% of hole length	0.44 in broken rock and gravel	Not specified
Vibrato ry (sonic)	Soils	240	Up to 18	Less than 1% error in some cases	60	Not specified
Machine tunneling	Soils	Unlimited	66 to 450*	Excellent	Up to 14 or more	- Costs variable

*Present information shows that 50-ft-diam tunneling machines are in the design stage.

Figure 3. Rate and Accuracy of Boring (After 'Yardley')

ù.

CHAPTER V

DETERMINATION OF PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS

The major criterion in determining the bore specifications is the practical purpose for which the tunnel is going to be used. Laying power transmission cables or telephone cables or gas pipes requires different size tunnels. Keeping these points in view the bore diameter is specified to be less than six inches.

If the length of the tunnel is too small, it necessitates too many manholes. On the other hand if the tunnel is too long the driving mechanism becomes bulky and a bigger manhole may be required. From this point of view, the tunnel length is specified to be 200 feet.

The speed and accuracy of operation should be comparable to those of the existing devices. The speed and accuracy of various existing devices are compared in Figs.2 and 3. The speed of operation of the proposed LSTD is specified to be five feet per minute and accuracy to be six inches in 200 feet.

Specifications

Diameter of tunnel	less than 6 in.
Length of tunnel	200 ft.
Speed of tunnelling	5 fpm.
Accuracy	6 in. in 200 ft.

CHAPTER VI

PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL OF THE DEVICE

The proposed LSTD utilizes the principle of drilling through ground from one manhole to the next, each of about five feet diameter and 200 ft. apart. It should consist of:

1. Hollow Drive Shaft

- 2. Drill Bit
- 3. Liner (Casing)
- 4. Motor
- 5. Gear Box and Clutch
- 6. Air Blower
- 7. Hydraulic Ram

<u>Operation</u>

The drill bit is fixed to the leading end of the hollow drive shaft. The electric motor drives the shaft through gear box provided for varying the torque during the operation. The shaft rotates inside the casing. There is an annular clearance between the liner casing and the drive shaft. As the shaft rotates, the drill bit cuts the earth, and the removed earth falls in to the hollow of the shaft in the form of small particles. High velocity air is blown through the annular space between the liner and the shaft to

carry away the debris through the hollow shaft. As the drilling progresses, more and more sections are added. The thrust to push the casing and the shaft is provided by the hydraulic ram.

Description of Parts

Shaft -

The shaft transmits the torque to the drill bit. In the case of cased tunnels it serves as an exit passage for the earth particles. In the case of caseless tunnels it also serves as the housing for the inlet air hose. The size of the shaft in the latter case has to be greater than in the former case because the hollowness of the shaft has to serve the dual purpose of entrance and exit.

When there is no guide casing, the shaft has to be rigid enough to serve as a self guiding system to prevent wandering off course during drilling.

The various forces acting on the shaft are:

1. Torque:

a) To shear the earth

b) To overcome friction while rotating in the casing (ground if no casing)

2. Thrust:

a) To create adequate normal stress in the ground to induce shear failure of the ground.



b) To overcome friction to the axial

motion.

- 3. Bending Moment:
 - a) Due to the resistance of the earth
 which could be axial or inclined if
 the earth is formed of inclined strata,
 b) Due to the uniformly distributed ground
 - load,
 - d) Due to the self weight.

Design Considerations:-

- a) The deflection of the end of the shaft
- b) Fatigue Considerations
- c) Maximum Principal Stress Theory of Failure

The material selected for the shaft is wrought iron, C 1020, in annealed condition. It is cheaper than steel, easy to manufacture as tubes, ductile, corrosion resistant. It is made of two feet long sections joined by thread connection. Flanges are provided at the joints to increase bending rigidity (Fig. 4). (E of the material = 30×10^6 psi)

<u>Drill Bit</u>

The teeth of the drill bit first penetrate into the soil and then shear the earth off in the form of small grains.



The sheared off earth particles fall into the hollow of the shaft and receive adequate kinetic energy from the air current to travel the length of the shaft.

The tooth size and the rate of progress of the shaft are interdependent. Because, the size of the tooth determines the extent of earth removed per revolution and consequently the progress of the drill bit or shaft.

The teeth are made of a hard, abrasion resistant metal (such as Tungsten Carbide) and are arranged in helical rows with alternate rows of holes. The helical array causes axial motion of the sheared earth particles directly into the holes.

There is a pointed compacting auger attached to the leading tip of the bit. This initially creates a pilot hole by compacting the laterally. The teeth on the surface of the bit then ream this pilot hole.

Forces acting on the drill bit are:

a) axial or inclined earth resistance

b) torque

c) bending moment

Liner (Casing)

The liner serves to

1. prevent collapsing of the tunnel wall,

2. increase the rigidity of shaft,

3. act as inlet passage to air.

It is composed of two feet sections. It is pushed in simultaneously with the shaft by means of the hydraulic ram. When casing is not used, the shaft hollowness acts both as inlet and exit. A flexible air hose may be used to carry air in through the hollow. The earth particles and exit air come out through the remaining space surrounding the air hose.

<u>Differences</u>: - a) The joint between sections of the shaft can not have collars as before.

b) The shaft wall thickness may have to be greater.c) Frictional torque will be greater than in the former case, because the shaft has to rotate in the ground. In the former case the shaft has to rotate in the liner cas-ing and lubrication minimises friction.

Motor, Gear Box.gand Clutch

An electric motor developing 10 HP at 330 rpm is used as the power source. The natural frequency of the shaft is found to be about 60 cpm. Hence, the speed of rotation can not be any integer multiple (1,2,3 etc.) of 60. At 330 rpm the rate of drilling is 5.5 fpm which is comparable with other existing systems.

For harder soils, higher torques at lower speeds are obtained by means of the gear box.

A hydraulic coupling can be employed to connect the shaft with the gear box. It is a fluid clutch in which there is $100 \ \%$ slip as long as the motor is running and the

shaft is still. As soon as the gear is engaged, the shaft starts rotating. A mechanical clutch may also be used instead.

<u>Blower</u>

The purpose of the blower is to blow in high velocity air into the hollow shaft.

Hydraulic Ram

The purpose of the hydraulic ram is to push the shaft and the casing axially into the tunnel. A maximum thrust of 5400 lbs is required.

Ν

CHAPTER VII

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

a) <u>Mathematical Model</u>

Summing up the moments acting on the section X-X, the following integro-differential equation of the model is $ob-4^{4,5}$.

 $EI\frac{dy}{dx^{2}} = \omega \int x'dx' - \int kyx'dx' + P(a-y) + Q(l-x) (1)$

In order to avoid the complicated integro-differential * The formation of the mathematical model is as a result of discussions held with Dr. George J. Simitses. equation, differentiation is performed. The first differentiation yields:

$$EI\frac{d^{3}y}{dx^{3}} + P\frac{dy}{dx} - \int Kydx' = \omega(x-l) - \varrho \qquad (2)$$

Differentiating again, the following fourth order linear, ordinary differential equation is obtained:

$$El \frac{d^{2}y}{dx^{4}} + P \frac{d^{2}y}{dx^{2}} + Ky = \omega$$
(3)

Particular Solution

Rearranging (3),
$$(D^{4} + d^{2}D^{2} + \beta^{2})Y = Y$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} d^{2} = \frac{P}{EI} \\ g^{2} = \frac{K}{EI} \\ g^{2} = (D^{4} + d^{2}D^{2} + \beta^{2})Y \\ = (D^{4} + d^{2}D^{2} + \beta^{2})Y \\ = (I - \dots)Y \\ \beta^{2} = d\beta^{2} \neq 0$
 $= \frac{Y}{\beta^{2}} = \frac{\omega}{K}$

Complementary Solution

The auxiliary equation is: $D^{4} + \chi D^{2} + \beta^{2} = 0$ $\therefore D^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[-\chi^{2} \pm \sqrt{\chi^{4} - 4\beta^{2}} \right]$ WHEN $\chi^{4} > 4\beta^{2} \rightarrow \left(\frac{\beta^{2}}{E^{2}I^{2}} > \frac{4\kappa}{EI} \right) \rightarrow \left(P > \left(4\kappa EI \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$ WHEN $\chi^{4} < 4\beta^{2} \rightarrow \left(P < \left(4\kappa EI \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$

There are two solutions corresponding to whether the discriminant is positive or negative. As the latter case is the one encountered in practice (since P has an upper limit unlike in the former case, see p.29), solution for this case only is found. $D^2 = A + B^2$

$$A = -\frac{\alpha}{2}, \qquad B = \frac{1}{2} \left(4\beta^{2} - \lambda^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$D^{2} = \pi \left(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta\right)$$

$$\pi^{2} = \frac{\alpha^{4}}{4} + \frac{4\beta^{2} - \alpha^{4}}{4} = \beta^{2} \qquad : \qquad \pi + \beta$$

$$\cos\theta = \cos(\theta + 2\kappa\pi) \left|_{\kappa=0,1}^{2} = -\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2\beta}$$

$$\vdots \cos\theta = \sin(\theta + 2\kappa\pi) \right|_{\kappa=0,1}^{2} = \left(\frac{2\beta - \alpha^{2}}{4\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\sin\theta = \sin(\theta + 2\kappa\pi) = \left(\frac{4\beta^{2} - \alpha^{4}}{2\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\sin\theta = \sin\left(\theta + 2\kappa\pi\right) = \left(\frac{4\beta^{2} - \alpha^{4}}{2\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\sin\theta = \sin\left(\theta + 2\kappa\pi\right) = \left(\frac{4\beta^{2} - \alpha^{4}}{2\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\sin\theta = \sin\left(\theta + 2\kappa\pi\right) = \left(\frac{2\beta + \alpha^{2}}{4\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\sin\theta = \frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{2\beta - \alpha^{2}} \pm i\sin\frac{\theta + 2\kappa\pi}{2}\right]$$

$$= \pm \frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{2\beta - \alpha^{2}} \pm i\sqrt{2\beta + \alpha^{2}}\right]$$

$$= \pm \frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{2\beta - \alpha^{2}} \pm i\sqrt{2\beta + \alpha^{2}}\right]$$

Thus, the auxiliary equation has complex, conjugate roots, for which case the form of solution is: $y_{c} = e^{K_{1}X} (e \cos \kappa_{2}x + D \sin \kappa_{2}x) + e^{K_{1}X} (A \cos \kappa_{2}x + B \sin \kappa_{2}x))$ General Solution $y = y_{p} + y_{e}$ $y = (A \cos \kappa_{2}x + B \sin \kappa_{2}x) + e^{K_{1}X} (e \cos \kappa_{2}x + D \sin \kappa_{2}x))$ (4)Boundary Conditions are obvious from (1), (2), and (3) as $x = 0, \quad y = 0 \qquad x = L, \qquad EI \frac{d^{2}y}{dx^{2}} = 0$ $x = 0, \quad \frac{d^{2}y}{dx} = 0 \qquad x = L, \qquad EI \frac{d^{2}y}{dx^{3}} + P \frac{dy}{dx} = -P$

$$K_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(2\beta - \alpha^{2}\right)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{k}{EI} - \frac{p}{EI}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\sqrt{4kEI} - p}{EI} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$K_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\sqrt{4kEI} + p}{EI} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = K_{1} e^{K_{1} \chi} (A \cos K_{2} \chi + B \sin K_{2} \chi) + K_{2} e^{K_{1} \chi} (-A \sin K_{2} \chi + B \cos K_{2} \chi) - K_{1} \chi - K_{1} \chi (C \cos K_{2} \chi + D \sin K_{2} \chi) + K_{2} e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-A \sin K_{2} \chi + D \cos K_{2} \chi) + K_{2} e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + D \cos K_{2} \chi) + K_{2} e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + D \cos K_{2} \chi) + (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{K_{1} \chi} (A \cos K_{2} \chi + B \sin K_{2} \chi) - 2K_{1} K_{2} e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + D \cos K_{2} \chi) + (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + D \cos K_{2} \chi) + (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (C \cos K_{2} \chi + D \sin K_{2} \chi) = 2K_{2} K_{1} e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-A \sin K_{2} \chi + D \sin K_{2} \chi) = 2K_{2} K_{1} e^{-K_{1} \chi} (A \cos K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-A \sin K_{2} \chi + B \cos K_{2} \chi) - 2K_{2} K_{1} e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \cos K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \cos K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \cos K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \sin K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \cos K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \cos K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \cos K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \cos K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \cos K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \cos K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{-K_{1} \chi} (-C \cos K_{2} \chi + L K_{1} - K_{2}^{2}) e^{$$

Substituting the Boundary Conditions,

211-1-1

$$0 = \frac{\omega}{\kappa} + A + C \tag{5}$$

$$0 = K_1 A + K_2 B - K_1 C + K_2 D$$
(6)

$$0 = 2\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}e^{\kappa_{1}L}(-A\sin\kappa_{2}L + B\cos\kappa_{2}L) + (\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{2}^{2})e^{\kappa_{1}L}(B\cos\kappa_{2}L) + B\sin\kappa_{2}L) - 2\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}e^{-\kappa_{1}L}(-O\sin\kappa_{2}L + D\cos\kappa_{2}L) + (\kappa_{1}^{2}-\kappa_{2}^{2})e^{-\kappa_{1}L}(C\cos\kappa_{2}L + D\sin\kappa_{2}L)$$

$$(\pi)$$

$$-Q = A \left[\left(2K_{1}^{2}K_{2} \sin K_{2} \left(- 2K_{1}K_{2}^{2} \right) e^{K_{1}l} EI - \frac{P}{2} e^{K_{1}l} (K_{2} \sin K_{2}l - K_{1} \cos K_{2}l) \right] \right. \\ + B \left[\left(2K_{1}^{2}K_{2} \cos K_{2}l - 2K_{1}K_{2}^{2} \sin k_{2}l \right) e^{K_{1}l} EI + \frac{P}{2} e^{K_{1}l} (K_{2} \cos K_{2}l + K_{1} \sin K_{2}l) \right] \right. \\ + C \left[\left(- 2K_{1}^{2}K_{2} \sin K_{2}l + 2K_{1}K_{2}^{2} \cos K_{2}l \right) e^{-K_{1}l} EI - \frac{P}{2} e^{-K_{1}l} (K_{2} \sin K_{2}l + K_{1} \cos K_{2}l) \right] \right. \\ + D \left[\left(2K_{1}^{2}K_{2} \cos K_{2}l + 2K_{1}K_{2}^{2} \cos K_{2}l \right) e^{-K_{1}l} e^{-K_{1}} e^{-K_{1$$

Simplifying,

$$-Q = \sqrt{KEI} \left[A e^{-K_{1}l} (-K_{2} \sin K_{2}l - K_{1} \cos K_{2}l) + B e^{-K_{1}l} (K_{2} \cos K_{2}l - K_{1} \sin K_{2}l) + C e^{-K_{1}l} (K_{1} \cos K_{2}l - K_{2} \sin K_{2}l) + D e^{-K_{1}l} (K_{1} \sin K_{2}l + K_{2} \cos K_{2}l) \right]$$

$$(K_{1} \sin K_{2}l + K_{2} \cos K_{2}l) \right]$$

$$(8)$$

From (2)
$$A = -\frac{i\delta}{K} - C$$

Substituting for A in (6),
 $O = (-\frac{i\delta}{K} - c)\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \beta - \kappa_1 c + \kappa_2 D$
 $\therefore \beta = \frac{i\delta\kappa_1}{\kappa\kappa_2} + \frac{2\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} c - D$
Substituting for A and then for B in (%),
 $\frac{i\delta}{K} \left[(-\kappa_1^2 - \kappa_2^2) e^{\kappa_1 \ell} cos \kappa_2 \ell - \kappa_1 \kappa_2 e^{\kappa_1 \ell} sin \kappa_2 \ell - \frac{\kappa_1^2}{\kappa_2} e^{\kappa_1 \ell} sin \kappa_2 \ell \right] =$
 $e \left[4\kappa_1^2 e^{\kappa_1 \ell} cos \kappa_2 \ell + \frac{2\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} (\kappa_1^2 - \kappa_2^2) e^{\kappa_1 \ell} sin \kappa_2 \ell + \frac{2\kappa_1 \kappa_2}{\kappa_2} e^{\kappa_1 \ell} sin \kappa_2 \ell + \frac{2\kappa_1 \kappa_2}{\kappa_2} e^{\kappa_1 \ell} sin \kappa_2 \ell + \frac{2\kappa_1 \kappa_2}{\kappa_2} e^{\kappa_1 \ell} sin \kappa_2 \ell \right] + D \left[-2\kappa_1 \kappa_2 e^{\kappa_1 \ell} cos \kappa_2 \ell - (\kappa_1^2 - \kappa_2^2) e^{\kappa_1 \ell} sin \kappa_2 \ell + (\kappa_1^2 - \kappa_2^2) e^{\kappa_1 \ell} cos \kappa_2 \ell + \frac{2\kappa_1 \kappa_2}{\kappa_2} e^{\kappa_1 \ell} sin \kappa_2 \ell - \frac{2\kappa_1 \kappa_2}{\kappa_2} e^{\kappa_1 \ell} cos \kappa_2 \ell \right]$
Substituting for A and then for P in (%)

Substituting for A and then for B in (8),

-Q - Ker - Ke Kil [K2 bin K2 l + 3 K1 cosk2 l - 2 K12 min K2 l] =

مرجعه للمرز الرواديان

$$C\left[e^{K_{1}l}\left(K_{2} \sin K_{2}l + 3K_{1} \cos K_{2}l - 2K_{1}^{2} \sin K_{2}l\right) + e^{-K_{1}l} \left(K_{1} \cos K_{2}l - K_{2} \sin K_{2}l\right)\right] + D\left[e^{K_{1}l}\left(K_{1} \sin K_{2}l - K_{2} \cos K_{2}l\right) + e^{-K_{1}l}\left(K_{1} \sin K_{2}l + K_{2} \cos K_{2}l\right)\right](10)\right]$$

Eliminating D from (9) and (10),

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{Q}{KI} - \frac{\omega}{K} e^{K_1 L} (K_2 \sin K_2 L + 3 K_1 \cos K_2 L - 2 \frac{K_1^2}{K_2} \sin K_2 L] \times \\ \left[(-2K_1 K_2 \cos K_2 L + \frac{P}{2EI} \sin K_2 L) e^{K_1 L} (2K_1 K_2 \cos K_2 (+P \sin K_2 L) e^{K_1 L} \\ \frac{2EI}{2EI} + \left[\frac{\omega}{K} (K_1^2 + K_2) e^{K_1 L} (\cos K_2 L + \frac{K_1}{K_2} \sin K_2 L) \right] \times \\ \left[e^{K_1 L} (\kappa_1 \sin K_2 L - K_2 \cos K_2 L) + e^{K_1 L} (\kappa_1 \sin \kappa_2 L + \kappa_2 \cos \kappa_2 L) \right] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^{\kappa_{1}k} (\kappa_{2} \sin \kappa_{2}k + 3\kappa_{1} \cos \kappa_{2}k - 2\kappa_{1}^{2} \sin \kappa_{2}k) + e^{\kappa_{1}k} (\kappa_{1} \cos \kappa_{2}k - \kappa_{2} \sin \kappa_{2}k) + e^{\kappa_{1}k} (\kappa_{1} \cos \kappa_{2}k - \kappa_{2} \sin \kappa_{2}k) \end{bmatrix} \\ = \kappa_{2} \sin \kappa_{2}k \left[\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{1}\kappa_{2} \cos \kappa_{2}k + \frac{p}{2EI} \sin \kappa_{2}k \right] e^{\kappa_{1}k} - \left[\frac{2\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}}{2EI} \cos \kappa_{2}k + \frac{2\kappa_{1}}{2} \sin \kappa_{2}k \right] \\ = cos\kappa_{2}k + \frac{p}{2EI} \sin \kappa_{2}k \right] e^{-\kappa_{1}k} = \left[\frac{\left[(3\kappa_{1}^{2} + \kappa_{2}^{2}) \cos \kappa_{2}k + 2\kappa_{1} \sin \kappa_{2}k \right]}{\kappa_{2}} \right] \\ = \frac{\kappa_{1}k}{2EI} \left[2\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2} \sin \kappa_{2}k - \frac{p}{2EI} \cos \kappa_{2}k \right] e^{-\kappa_{1}k} - \kappa_{2} \cos \kappa_{2}k \right] \\ = -\kappa_{2} \cos \kappa_{2}k + e^{-\kappa_{1}k} \left(\kappa_{1} \sin \kappa_{2}k + \kappa_{2} \cos \kappa_{2}k \right) \right] \\ = -0.008 + 0.25 e^{-\kappa_{1}l} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the other values are calculated as,

$$D = 0.712 e^{-K_1 l} - 0.008$$

B = -0.215 e^{-K_1 l}

$$A = -0.25 e^{-K_1 l}$$

Substituting these values in the general solution the deflection of the free end is calculated as, $y_{x=1} = 0.33$ in. (approx.)

3

ii. Buckling

The condition for buckling is obtained by setting the determinant of the coefficients of the four arbitrary constants in the four simultaneous equations (after substituting zero for 'w' and 'Q') to zero. The determinant is as follows:

C в Ð Α 0 0

Simplifying this determinant:

$$4P(\sqrt{4KEI} - P) \sin^{2} \kappa_{2} l - 4(4KEI - P^{2}) \cos^{2} \kappa_{2} l + P(\sqrt{4KEI} + P)(e^{\kappa_{1}l} - e^{\kappa_{1}l})^{2} - (4KEI - P^{2})(e^{\kappa_{1}l} + e^{-\kappa_{1}l})^{2} = 0$$

Solving this transcendental equation for P after substituting the values for k, EI, and 1, by means of computer, $P_{er} = 173,200$ lb.

For the system to be dynamically stable it should be

free from resonance. The natural frequency of the shaft can be found out by equating the kinetic energy during vibration to the total potential energy stored in the system^{1,1}

> The total potential energy is given by,¹² P E = $\frac{1}{2} \int_{x}^{x} (EI \frac{dy}{dx} - Py) \frac{dy}{dx} dx + \frac{k}{2} \int_{y}^{y} \frac{y^{2}}{dx} dx$

Neglecting the effect of pre-stress, since it is only about one % of the buckling load, the total potential energy is given by,

$$PE = \frac{1}{2} \int EI \left(\frac{dy}{dx^2} \right) + \frac{K}{2} \int \frac{y}{2} dx$$

The kinetic energy is given by,

$$K \cdot E = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega}{g} \omega \int y \, dx$$

Equating both, $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega}{q} \omega^{2} \int_{y}^{y} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} E I(\frac{dy}{dx}) + \frac{k}{2} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^{2}}{dx}$

$$ie \frac{\omega}{q} \hat{\omega} \int \hat{y} \, dx = \int EI \left(\frac{dy}{dx} \right) + \kappa \int \hat{y} \, dx$$

Solving for w^2 .

$$\begin{split} \omega^{2} &= \frac{\int_{0}^{L} EI(\frac{x^{2}y}{dx})^{2} dx + \int_{0}^{L} Ky^{2} dx}{\int_{0}^{L} gg^{2} gg^{2} dx} \\ \int_{0}^{L} EI(\frac{d^{2}y}{dx^{2}})^{2} dx &= EI\int_{0}^{L} [2K_{1}K_{2} - K_{1}X_{1}^{2} - A \sin K_{2}X + B \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{1}X_{1}^{2} (-A \sin K_{2}X + B \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{1}X_{2} - (-C \sin K_{2}X + D \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{1}X_{2} - (-C \sin K_{2}X + D \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{1}X_{2} - (-C \sin K_{2}X + D \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{1}X_{2} - (-C \sin K_{2}X + D \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{1}X_{2} - (-A \sin K_{2}X + D \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{1}X_{2} - (-A \sin K_{2}X + D \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{2}X_{2} + (-A \sin K_{2}X + D \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{2}X_{2} + (-A \sin K_{2}X + D \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{2}X_{2} + (-A \sin K_{2}X + D \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{2}X_{2} + (-A \sin K_{2}X + D \cos K_{2}X) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{2}X_{2} + (K_{1}^{2} - (-C \sin K_{2}X + E - K_{2}^{2}) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{2}X_{2} \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) = K_{1}X_{2} \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{1}^{2}) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}) \\ &+ (K_{1}^{2} - K_{2}^{2}$$

The second se

Ľ

$$EI 4 \kappa_{1}^{2} \kappa_{2}^{2} \beta^{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa_{2}^{2} e^{-2\kappa_{1} l}}{\kappa_{1}^{2} + \kappa_{2}^{2}} \left\{ \frac{\sin 2\kappa_{2} l}{2\kappa_{2}} + \frac{\kappa_{1}}{2\kappa_{2}^{2}} \right. \\ \left(\cos 2\kappa_{2} l - e^{-2\kappa_{1} l} \right) \right\} + \frac{e^{2\kappa_{1} l}}{4\kappa_{1}} \left] - \\ EI 4 \kappa_{1}^{2} \kappa_{2}^{2} A \beta \left[\frac{\kappa_{2}^{2} e^{-2\kappa_{1} l}}{\kappa_{1}^{2} + \kappa_{2}^{2}} \left\{ \frac{\kappa_{1}}{2\kappa_{2}^{2}} \sin 2\kappa_{2} l - \frac{1}{2\kappa_{2}} \left(\cos 2\kappa_{2} l + e^{-2\kappa_{1} l} \right) \right\} \right] + EI 4 \kappa_{1}^{2} \kappa_{2}^{2} c^{2} \\ \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa_{2}^{2} e^{-2\kappa_{1} l}}{\kappa_{1}^{2} + \kappa_{2}^{2}} \left\{ \frac{4m}{2\kappa_{2}} 2\kappa_{2} l - \frac{\kappa_{1}}{2\kappa_{2}} \left(\cos^{2} 2\kappa_{2} l - e^{-2\kappa_{1} l} \right) \right\} \right] \\ + \frac{e^{-2\kappa_{1} l}}{4\kappa_{1}} d^{2} \kappa_{2} c^{2} c^{2}$$

133.5

. * Q

Ξ

. .

ale tille o

Ę

•

32

 $\frac{\omega}{9} \int \frac{y^2}{2} dx = \omega \int \left[\frac{\omega}{\kappa} + e^{\kappa_1 x} (A \cos \kappa_2 x + B \sin \kappa_2 x) + \right]$ -e" (C COS K2X + D Sin K2X)] dx $= \omega \left[\left(\frac{\omega}{\kappa} \right)^{2} h + A^{2} \left\{ \frac{\kappa_{2}}{2(\kappa_{1}^{2} + \kappa_{2}^{2})} \left(\frac{\kappa_{1}}{2\kappa_{2}} + \frac{\kappa_{1}}{2\kappa_{2}} - \frac{\kappa_{2}}{2\kappa_{1}} \right) \right\}$ $+ \frac{e^{-1}}{4\kappa_1} + B \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa_2 e}{\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2} \left(\frac{k_2 e}{2\kappa_2} + \frac{\kappa_1}{2\kappa_2^2} + \frac{\kappa_1}{2\kappa_2^2} - \frac{e^{2\kappa_1 l}}{2\kappa_2} \right) \end{cases}$ $+ \frac{e^{-1}}{4\kappa_{1}} + AB \left\{ \frac{\kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1}^{2} + \kappa_{n}^{2}} \left(\frac{\kappa_{1}}{2\kappa_{2}^{2}} \sin 2\kappa_{2} k - \frac{\cos 2\kappa_{2} k + e^{-2\kappa_{1}}}{2\kappa_{2}} \right) \right\}$ $+ \frac{2\omega A}{r} \left\{ \frac{\kappa_2^2}{\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2} e^{\left(\frac{\sin \kappa_2 l}{\kappa_2} + \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2^2} \cos \kappa_2 l - e^{\kappa_1} l \right)} \right\} +$ $\frac{2\omega}{\kappa} B \left\{ \frac{K_2^2}{\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_1^2} e^{\kappa_1 L} \left(\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2^2} \sin \kappa_2 L - \frac{(\delta K_2 L + e^{\kappa_1 L})}{\kappa_2} \right) \right\} +$ $\frac{2\omega}{K} \begin{cases} c & \frac{\sin k_2 l}{\kappa_2} - D & \frac{\cos k_2 l - l}{\kappa_2} \end{cases} = \frac{3 \cdot 48}{32 \cdot 2 \times 12}$ $K = \int y \, dx = K \cdot \frac{g}{\omega} \left[\frac{\omega}{g} \int y^2 \, dx \right] = \frac{5\omega \times 32 \cdot 2 \times 12}{4} \frac{3 \cdot 48}{32 \cdot 2 \times 12}$

 $= 3.4\% \cdot 1/4 \times 500 = 435$ $= 3.4\% \cdot 1/4 \times 500 = 435$ = 133.5 + 435 = 631005 $f = 63100^{\frac{4}{7}}/2 \times 3.14$ = 40 cps.

白いと見たちんが教を感

Natural frequency = 40 cps. Hence for the system to be free from resonance, the shaft speed should be other than 40 rps.

33

Discussion of the Mathematical Model

: --

One end of the shaft is considered as rigidly fixed in the frame and the other is free to deflect. The shaft is resting on the inside of the liner which in turn is being elastically supported by the ground. There is lateral load uniformly distributed throughout the length due to any earth that might have collapsed on the lining during tunnelling. There is an axial loading on the shaft because of the resistance of the earth to the applied thrust. In view of all these factors, "an elastically supported beam-column with one end fixed and the other free" is considered as the mathematical model.

The axial load P and the lateral load Q are components of resistance of ground due to the applied thrust. The resistance is inclined if the earth is formed of inclined strata. The deflection due to the uniformly distributed lateral load iscaided whemithe inclined ground resistance is directed downwards. As this is the worst case, it is consistered in the mathematical model. If the resistance is inclined upwards, it tends to reduce the downward deflection. When the ground is formed of vertical strata, the resistance is purely axial, i.e. Q vanishes.



Due to the lateral deflection of the leading end, the ground resistance continuously changes direction. However, the vertical component of this resistance acts like a correcting force, tending to reduce the deflection.



In any situation if y_1 is less than y_2 , the model safely represents the final configuration.

n Maria da Carlo da C Maria da Carlo da Car Carlo da Car

CHAPTER VIII

36

STRENGTH ANALYSIS OF THE DESIGN

The following two analyses are performed to show that the system meets the strength requirements;

1. Stress Analysis

- 大学においた。 の話を書

2. Fatigue Analysis

(a) Thrust Required

To force the cone into sould to embed the teeth, analyze as a bearing capacity problem. If q_0 is the bearing capacity of deep foundation, force required is given by

q0 x Projected Area

 $q_0 = b/2 N + cN_c + qN_q$ where N , N_c, N_q are bearing capacity factors for weight, cohesion, and surcharge respectively, b is width of foundation, c is cohesion of soil, and q is surcharge. For an internal friction angle of 20 degrees the bearing capacity factors are as follows:¹³

 $\tilde{N} = 5$; $N_c = 17$; $N_q = 7$; c=1000psf; q=100 x 10; b=3.6 Therefore q0 = 100/2 (3.6/12)5 + 1000(17) + 100(10)7

= 24,000 psf

Force $Q_0 = 24,000 \times 3.14 \times 3.6^2 / (4x144)$ = 1700 lbs

This is the force required to just force the come throough the soil, without rotating it. Since the cutter in the LSTD rotates this force must be adequate conservatively.

b) Stress Analysis

The design is an integral process in which many parameters are unknown to begin with and so have to be assumed. When calculations are carried out with these assumed parameters, they should be compatible with each other i.e. there should not be any incoherence between the assumed values. It is a tedious trial and error procedure.

First, depending the nature of loading (mild shock loading), a safe design factor (about 4 based on yield strength) is assumed. Based on the bore specifications fixed earlier, the outer diameter and inner diameter of the shaft are arbitrarily assumed. Knowing the thrust and torque during operation, then, the principal stresses and hence the design stress are found out. A suitable material is then selected to withstand the design stress. While determining the design stress, itress concentration factor and fatigue factor (and surface factor) are taken into account.

Weight of Shaft and Lining

1. Inner diameter of shaft

Outer diameter = 1.9 in. (trial and error procedure)

Moment of inertia of the weakest section (for shaft c/s alone i.e. without considering the casing) = 0.5 in⁴(trial and error)

Therefore I = $3.14 \text{ (}1.9^{4}\text{-}D_{in}^{4}\text{) / }64$ i.e. D_{in} = 1.2 in. 2. Weight of the shaft

L x A x γ = 200 x 12 x 3.14 (2.1²-1.2²)/4 x 0.3 = 1000 lb.

3. Weight of lining

= 200 x 12 x $3.14 (3.5^2 - 3^2)/4 \times 0.27$ = 1600 lb.

Let the weight of the collapsed ground be assumed as two pounds per inch which acts on the lining. The total weight due to this earth

> = 200 x 12 x 2 = 4800 lb.

4. Total load

= 1000 + 1600 + 4800= 7400 lb.

5. Frictional force

= 7400 x 0.5 = 3700 lb.

The maximum thrust to overcome friction when the full length of the shaft is in the tunnel is 3700 lb.

Minimum thrust required for the earth to be sheared off by the drill bit is 218 lb.

Maximum thrust required for harder soils 1700 lb.

Therefore maximum possible thrust on the shaft in the worst case is = 3700 + 1700

= 5400 lb.

Torque Requirements

Let the applied shear stress = 2000 psf. = 14 psi. = 14 psi.Torque to shear the earth $T_1 = \tau \int_{0}^{1.8} 2\pi \pi x r^2 dr$ $\frac{10}{3} \int_{0}^{1.8} d\pi \cdot d\pi$ = $14x2\pi(r^3/3)_{0}^{1.8}$ = $14x2\pi 1.8^3/3$ = 171 lb.in.

Frictional Torque:-

Weight of the shaft

Frictional torque T₂

= 980 lb. = 980x0.5x1.5 = 775 lb.in.

<u>Tetal Terque</u>:- Total torque is the sum of the torque to shear the earth and the frictional torque. $T = T_1 + T_2$ = 171 + 775= 946 lb.in.Horse power of motor to supply this torque at 330 rpm $= 2x\pi x 330x946/33,000x12$

With an over-load factor of two the motor H.P is 10.

Stress calculations $= 0.7 \times 10^{-3}$ EI dy dx z=0 b = Mmax C / I = 0.7 × 10+2 × 1.05 /0.5 = ±144 psi. Axial Thrust = 3700 + 1700 = 5400 lb. c/s Area $= \pi/4 \ (2.1^2 - 1.2^2 + 3.5^2 - 3^2)$ = 3.46 - 1.13 + 9.6 - 7.06 $= 4.86 \text{ in}^2$ Direct Stress = - 5400/4.86 $= - 1100 \ lb./in^2$ Maximum Stress = - 1100 - 144 = -1244 psi. Minimum Stress = -1100 + 144= - 956 psi. Torsional Stress $= T \cdot r/J$ Torque = 1000 lb.in. Polar M.I = $\pi/32 (1.9^4 - 1.2^4)$ $= 1.0 in^4$ Outer Radius = 1.9/2 = 0.95 inMax. Torsional Stress = 1000 x 0.95/1.0 = 1000 psi.

Max. Principal Stress⁷ =
$$-1244/2 - \sqrt{(244/2)^2 + 1000^2}$$

= $-622 - 1180$
= -1802 psi.

Stress Concentration Factor⁶ Kf = 1 + $q(K_t - 1)$ where q=1, $K_t = K_f$ = 1.38 from p-p 117

to 119 Faires.

For minor shock loading the design factor should be four based on yield strength.

Size Factor⁶ = 0.85 Surface Factor⁶ = 0.90 (for machined surface) From Table 2, p 34, Design of Machine Elements, by Faires, C 1020 (annealed wrought iron) gives a tensile ultimate strength of 57,000 psi.

Therefore Compressive Yield Strength

= 0 8 x 57,000 = 33,600 psi.

From the same table Endurance Limit

= 57,000/2 = 28,500 psi.

Design Factor based on Endurance Strength

= 0.9x28,500x0.85/1.38x1800

= 4.0

<u>Angular Twist</u>: - $Tx1/NxJ = 946x2400/11.5x10^{6}x1$

= 0.1974 rad.

= 11.3 deg.

41

CHAPTER IX

DETAILED DESIGN OF THE DRILL BIT

i. Bit Penetration

Consider the penetration of the flat surface of a homogeneous, elastic-plastic material by a sharp two- dimensional chisel bit. The pattern of stress and strain generated beneath the bit depends only on the properties of the material, angle of the chisel, being similar for all depths of operation. It follows from this similitude that the force of penetration P, is proportional to the area of contact between the bit and the material and hence is linearly related to the depth of penetration D, as shown in the figure below.



Let the tooth size = 0.2 in. In one rotation earth sheared = $3.14xD^2/4x0.2$ in³, where $3.14xD^2/4$ is the projected area of the drill bit.

When the teeth are uniform all around, the bit removes a uniform layer of 0.2 inch thickness all around. Hence the minimum extent of progress of the shaft so as to maintain contact with earth is 0.2 inch per revolution.

Bending moment on the drill bit may be neglected since it is small in length and located near the end of the shaft (x=1, M=0), and it is elastically supported.

<u>Tip</u>;-

Let the area at the nose be one square inch. Maximum thrust that might be applied on the bit

= 1700 lb. Direct compressive stress = 1700/1.0 = 1700 psi.

Maximum torque applied to the bit

	= 171 lb.in.
Polar moment of inertia	$= \pi x 1.13/32$
	$= 0.16 in^4$
Maximum twisting stress	$= T \cdot r/J$
	= 171x1.13/0.16x2
	= 604 psi.
Maximum principal stress	=
	= -850-1042
	= -1892 psi.

For C 1020 (annealed wrought iron) compressive yield

strength = 33,600 psi.

. . . Design factor = 33,600x0,9x0,9/1892 14.3 End of the Bit Outer diameter = 3.6 in. Thickness = 0.6 in. Inner diameter = 2.4 in. C/s area $= 5.58 \text{ in}^2$. Let the effective area (since there are holes) be 44

	$= 0.5 \times 5.58$
	$= 2.79 \text{ in}^2$.
Polar M.I.	$= 13.22 \text{ in}^4$.
Polar M.I. of holes	$= 11.75 \text{ in}^4.$
Effective polar M.I.	$= 1.47 \text{ in}^4$.
Iwisting stress	= 171x3.6/1.47x2
	= 209 psi.

Direct compressive stress

= 1700/2.79 = 610 psi.

Maximum principal stress

$$= -\frac{610}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{610^2}{4} + 209^2}$$

= -675 psi.
= 33,600x0.9x0.9/675
= 40.4

Design factor

ii. Cutter Profile

While evaluating the cutting torque as 171 lb.in.

shape of drill bit is assumed to be a straight cone. However, with this shape the torque on the surface of the drill bit is not uniform- it increases with the radius of the cutter. Hence, the wear on the cutter at the bigger end is more than at the leading end. In order to have a uniform torque, and thus a uniform wear the profile may be determined as follows.

Torque on any elemental section = $r.2\pi r.dl.\tau$

Here r and 1 should vary such that the torque is constant.

$$2\pi r^{2}r.dl = 171$$

 $r^{2}.dl = 171/2\pi.14$
 $= 2$
 $dl = 2/r^{2}$
 $l = -2/r + k$

To evaluate k, substitute r=0.5, 1=0

Therefore k

3.

= 2/0.5

1 = -2/r + 4

= 4

r = 2/(4-1)The cutter profile is determined by the above expression.

iii. Determination of the speed of tunnelling

The speed of rotation and rate of axial motion are inter-related. Rotating at a given speed, the drill remov moves only a certain quantity of earth and the axial movement of the drill should cope with this. If it is moved at a greater rate than this the drill may stall due to interest creased resistance. If it is rotated at a lesser rate there will not be any contact between the bit and earth and no drilling will be performed.

If the drill bit has teeth of 0.2 in. all around, in one revolution its axial movement can be figured out to be 0.2 in, per revolution.

Hence, for N rpm the rate of progress = 0.2N in./min. Rate of drilling at 210 rpm = 42 ipm. = 3.5 fpm. at 330 rpm = 5.5 fpm.

a) Flow Analysis of Air and Earth

Rate of earth removal at 210 rpm.

= $3.5 \times 12 \times \pi \times 3.6^2 / 4$ = 426 in³.

= 9.5 fpm.

This is in loosened form, so let it occupy 3x426 in³.

at 570 rpm

= 0.2464 ft³./min.

= 0.2618 lb./sec.

Minimum average velocity required.

 $= 3x426/\pi x \ 1.5^2/4$ $= 1.005 \ \text{ft./sec.}$

Therefore let the average velocity be

= 5 fpm = 10 fpm

Initial velocity

46.

`**∉** 0 Final velocity Let the weight rate of air be # 1b./sec. at avvelocity V. Kinetic Energy of the air $= WV^2/2g$ Kinetic Energy of the mixture of air and earth $=(0.2618+W)10^2/2g$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{WV}{g}^{2} = (0.2618 + W) 100 / 2g$ Equating both, $W(y^2 - 100) = 13.09 \times 2 = 26.18$ V == 11 H/sec Lt => 26.18 W × 21 Flow rate of air = 26.18/21 = 1.246 lb./sec. $= 997.2 \, \text{ft}^3/\text{min}.$

Pneumatic Action When the Shaft is Clogged

In the worst case let the earth fill all the 200 ft. length of the tube. Weight of earth $= 200 \times 12 \times \frac{xd^2 \times 80}{4x3}$

Frictional force between this earth and tube wall = $0.5x200x12x xd^2x80/4x3$

To remove this the air pressure required is given by = $0.5x200x12x xd^2x80/4x3x12^3$

= 18.5 psi.

Frictional Head Loss in Annular Air Passage

To Find the Hydraulic Diameter:-C/s area of flow for the air-in = 1.97 in^2 . Wetted perimeter = 11.85 in.







From Moody diagram (Fig. 10.22 (b) p300 Shames) for wrought iron pipes of 1 inch diameter the relative roughness is

e/D = 0.0018

From Moody	diagram	(Fig. 10.2	2 (a) p299 Shames) friction
factor, f	۰.,	e	= 0.027
Frictional	Head		$= 0.027 \times 200 \times 12 \times 11^2 / 0.666 \times 2$
.62 .**			= 5860 ft.1b./slug
		P. × 144 0.075	$= \frac{5860}{32\cdot 2}$ = 5860x0.075/144x32.2 = 0.0949 psi.
		Ps ^{-p} f	= 18.5 psi.
		Ps	= 18.4 psi.

When the pneumatic action is not required the blower can supply the air at slightly above atmospheric pressure, say one psig. Then, the blower H.P.is

H.P = 1.246x144x2/0.8x550x0.075= 11

١.

With overload capacity H.P = 15 When pneumatic action is required the compressor H.P.is 40.

50



Figure 9. Turbo Compressor Minimum Capacity at Supply Pressure.*

From the graph above, the minimum capacity of the compressor at 24 psi. is about 1400 cubic feet per minute. But the required flow is only 1000 cubic feet per minute, at 22.4 psi and 11 feet per second velocity ('thus making a total head of about 24 psi). This means that a turo blower if used, supplies more than the required flow, thus increasing the cost of operation. Hence, a positive displacement type compressor has to be used.

* Turbo Blowers and Compressors- p.7, By W.J.Kearton.



C/s area at the joint	= 5.35 in ²
Design stress	= 5400/5.35
	= 1010 psi.
Compressive yield strength o	f the material
	= 33,600 psi.
Design factor	= 33,600/1010
	= 33.3

Length of the Threaded Portion

Shear area at thread section	$=\pi x 1.9 x 1$ in ²
Torque	= 946 lb.in.
Therefore, shear forces	= 946/1.9/2
	= 1000
Design shear stress	= 1000/ x1.9xl
Shear yield strength	$= 42,000 \times 0.5$
	= 21,000 psi.

For a design factor of four,

52

21,000/4 = 1000/ x1.9x1Length of the joint, 1 = 0.0325 in. Therefore, let the length be taken as

=.1 in.

Bearing Strength of the Flange

Bearing	force	= S_c (area of flange)
		= S _c x 1.06
		= 1.6x11.2x1000x1.06
		= 19,000 lb.

Shearing Strength of the Flange

à.

Let	the	width	of	the	flange	Ħ	W	in.
Shea	r ai	rea					W	x x1.9/ 8
						=	0.	745 w
Shea	r fo	rce				₽	s _s	(0.745 w)
Ther	efor	re		5400)/4	=	s,	(0.745 w)
			. ^ ·		W	ŧ	0.	0863 in.

Therefore, let the width be taken as two inches.

a 1999 - S

CHAPTER X

CONCLUSION

Description of the Set-up

The various components can be arranged as shown in Fig. 10. The hydraulic unit and compressor (or air blower) unit are established outside the manhole. The motor, gear box, clutch, hydraulic ram, and other gears are conveniently fixed in a framed structure which can be introduced into the manhole as a single unit. There is a telescope attached to the top of the frame which is useful for initial alignment.

The clutch -flywheel unit drives a splined shaft (or a long gear) which inturn drives the thrust plate. The thrust plate is a thick metallic disc with gears around its perphery. The hydraulic ram pushes the thrust plate fixed to the hollow shaft and resting against the liner. Thus the thrust plate not only drives the shaft but also pushes it axially, as it slides along the splined shaft while being driven by it.

The in-let air hose is connected to the hole in the liner right behind the thrust plate as shown in the figure. The out-let air along with debris is drawn out through a hose connected to the hole in the middle of the thrust plate.

Conclus

<u>Conclusions</u>

The overall impression is that the device satisfactorily performs in homogeneous soft soils. The accuracy of tunnelling depends on the rigidity of the shaft which is made up of two feet sections. By minimizing the mechanical imperfections while machining the joints, the rigidity and hence the accuracy can be maintained.

Water might be substituted for air for the purpose of removing the debris from the tunnel. However, handling water might be more troublesome.



APPENDIX

PATENT SEARCH

From Index to Classification :-

Class 61, Sub-class 84 " Tunnels-Devices" Class 175 "Boring or Penetrating Earth" Sub-class 19. "Boring without earth removal" 20, "Combined with earth removal" 21, "Fluid passage to outside of drive point" 22, "Drive point detached from shaft to form cased boring or with installation of casing" 23, "Drive point retracted through shaft or

casing"

Each class has patent numbers listed in the Gazette. Listing the individual patent numbers is avoided due to space limitation.

57

BIBLIOGRAPHY

いた事

1.	Donald H. Yardley, Editor, <u>"Rapid Excavation Problems</u> and Progress", proceedings of the Tunnel and Shaft con- ference, Minneaplis, Minnesota, May 15-17, 1968.
2.	"RECORD", March, 1967, pp 70-73
3.	"RECORD", January, 1971, pp 13-21
4.	S. Timoshenko, Strength of Materials, Part II
5.	S. Timoshenko, Applied Elasticity
6.	V.M.Faires, <u>Design of Machine Elements</u> , third edition, 1957
7.	S.B.Junarkar, <u>Mechanics of Structures</u> , third edition, 1961.
8.	W.J.Kearton, Turbo Blowers and Compressors
9.	S.R.Beitler and E.J.Lindahl, Hydraulic Machinery
10.	Irving H. Shames, <u>Mechanics of Fluids</u> , 1962.
11.	M.E 485 Class Notes, Mechanics of Machines, Winter 1972
12.	Leonard Meirovitch, <u>Analytical Methods in Vibrations</u> 1967, Macmillan Co, pp 440-41.
1 3.	Sowers and Sowers, Introductory Soil Mechanics and Foundations, third edition, Macmillan Co., 1970.

...