EXCLI Journal 2015;14:1267-1269 – ISSN 1611-2156 Received: December 05, 2015, accepted: December 21, 2015, published: December 22, 2015

Guest editorial:

SYSTEMS TOXICOLOGY

Ahmed Ghallab

Forensic Medicine and Toxicology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt, E-mail: <u>ghallab@vet.svu.edu.eg</u>

http://dx.doi.org/10.17179/excli2015-762

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>).

In recent years system-level understanding has become a cutting edge topic in toxicology (Geenen et al., 2012; Marchan et al., 2012; Widom et al., 2014; Kell, 2010). Recently, a definition of Systems Toxicology has been suggested: "Systems Toxicology is the integration of classical toxicology with quantitative analysis of large networks of molecular and functional charges occurring across multiple levels of biological organization" (Sturla et al., 2014). Although this definition has been published by outstanding scientists in this field of research it leaves some questions open. Is "analysis of large networks" really an essential requirement of Systems Toxicology? It is out of question that understanding the interactions of different levels of biological organization is of high interest. However, is the analysis of "charges occurring across multiple levels of biological organization" another indispensible necessity of Systems Toxicology? And how is "classical toxicology" integrated "with quantitative analysis of large networks"? Does not already "classical toxicology" use quantitative methods and e.g. network analysis? I will stop here torturing the reader with further questions. The point I wish to make is that I feel sometimes a bit defeated by the awesome but not fully clear sentences in this field of research. Important, in my opinion, is whether Systems Toxicology leads to answers of questions that are

otherwise difficult to obtain. This will be illustrated by three examples:

• The liver is known for its outstanding capacity to regenerate after toxic damage. Within a relatively short period of time millions of cells find their new position to restore functional tissue architecture. Until recently, little was known which mechanisms orchestrate this process (Drasdo et al., 2014a, b). In principle cytokines released from dead cells may be responsible. However, numerous further possibilities, e.g. oxygen gradients, cytokine release from stellate cells or Kupffer cells, etc., may alternatively play a role. However, Systems Toxicology based simulations demonstrated that a so far unknown mechanism, named "hepatocyte sinusoid alignment" (HAS) is crucial (Hoehme et al., 2007, 2010; Hammad et al., 2014). During HAS hepatocytes align in the direction of the endothelial cells of the sinusoids. Therefore, the endothelial cells control the architecture of the liver's sheets of hepatocytes and also give the critical stimuli to proliferate. This Systems Toxicology driven prediction of the key role of endothelial cells was later confirmed by knockout experiments (Ding et al., 2010, 2014). The practical relevance for toxicology: as soon as sinusoidal endothelial cells are destroyed by chemicals the risk of fibrosis strongly increases.

- Recently, a Systems Toxicology approach with a metabolic model of ammonia metabolism predicted that under specific conditions of hyperammonemia, glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) may switch its direction from ammonia production to ammonia consumption (Schliess et al., 2014; Ghallab et al., 2015). This discovery could be used for normalizing increased levels of ammonia in blood of mice by infusing GDH and its cofactors at optimized concentrations.
- Cholestasis in liver disease triggers proliferative responses of the biliary tree. With the help of systems simulations it could be shown that adaptive remodeling of interlobular bile ducts aims at optimizing the intraluminal surface area by corrugation and branching (Vartak et al., 2015). This is part of a process to adapt to a situation where increased amounts of bile salts must be reabsorbed. Therefore, therapy of cholestasis should not aim at antagonizing proliferative responses of the biliary tree, because it represents an adaptive response to avoid organ failure.

Understanding the mechanisms of organ toxicity has always been a major goal in toxicology (Campos et al., 2014; Hammad, 2014; Godoy et al., 2015, 2013, 2012, 2009; Dias da Silva et al., 2013; Driessen et al., 2013; Shimada et al., 2012; Baulies et al., 2015; Reif, 2014a, b). In many circumstances Systems Toxicology techniques may help to gain a deeper understanding, particularly in situations where several mechanisms interact and due to high complexity the situation is difficult to understand intuitively (Widera et al., 2014; Friebel et al., 2015; Bartl et al., 2015). Nevertheless, it is important that clear hypotheses are addressed by the simulations and that model predictions can be confirmed or rejected experimentally.

REFERENCES

Bartl M, Pfaff M, Ghallab A, Driesch D, Henkel SG, Hengstler JG. Optimality in the zonation of ammonia detoxification in rodent liver. Arch Toxicol. 2015;89: 2069-78. Baulies A, Ribas V, Núñez S, Torres S, Alarcón-Vila C, Martínez L, et al. Lysosomal cholesterol accumulation sensitizes to acetaminophen hepatotoxicity by impairing mitophagy. Sci Rep. 2015;5:18017.

Campos G, Schmidt-Heck W, Ghallab A, Rochlitz K, Pütter L, Medinas DB, et al. The transcription factor CHOP, a central component of the transcriptional regulatory network induced upon CCl4 intoxication in mouse liver, is not a critical mediator of hepatotoxicity. Arch Toxicol. 2014;88:1267-80.

Dias da Silva D, Carmo H, Lynch A, Silva E. An insight into the hepatocellular death induced by amphetamines, individually and in combination: the involvement of necrosis and apoptosis. Arch Toxicol. 2013;87:2165-85.

Ding BS, Nolan DJ, Butler JM, James D, Babazadeh AO, Rosenwaks Z, et al. Inductive angiocrine signals from sinusoidal endothelium are required for liver regeneration. Nature. 2010;468(7321):310-5.

Ding BS, Cao Z, Lis R, Nolan DJ, Guo P, Simons M, et al. Divergent angiocrine signals from vascular niche balance liver regeneration and fibrosis. Nature. 2014;505(7481):97-102.

Driessen M, Kienhuis AS, Pennings JL, Pronk TE, van de Brandhof EJ, Roodbergen M, et al. Exploring the zebrafish embryo as an alternative model for the evaluation of liver toxicity by histopathology and expression profiling. Arch Toxicol. 2013;87:807-23.

Drasdo D, Hoehme S, Hengstler JG. How predictive quantitative modelling of tissue organisation can inform liver disease pathogenesis. J Hepatol. 2014a;61: 951-6.

Drasdo D, Bode J, Dahmen U, Dirsch O, Dooley S, Gebhardt R, et al. The virtual liver: state of the art and future perspectives. Arch Toxicol. 2014b;88:2071-5.

Friebel A, Neitsch J, Johann T, Hammad S, Hengstler JG, Drasdo D, et al. TiQuant: software for tissue analysis, quantification and surface reconstruction. Bioinformatics. 2015;31:3234-6.

Geenen S, Taylor PN, Snoep JL, Wilson ID, Kenna JG, Westerhoff HV. Systems biology tools for toxicology. Arch Toxicol. 2012;86:1251-71.

Ghallab A, Cellière G, Henkel SG, Driesch D, Hoehme S, Hofmann U, et al. Model guided identification and therapeutic implications of an ammonia sink mechanism. J Hepatol. 2015; pii: S0168-8278(15)00776-X. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.11.018. [Epub ahead of print]. Godoy P, Hengstler JG, Ilkavets I, Meyer C, Bachmann A, Müller A, et al. Extracellular matrix modulates sensitivity of hepatocytes to fibroblastoid dedifferentiation and transforming growth factor betainduced apoptosis. Hepatology. 2009;49:2031-43.

Godoy P, Bolt HM. Toxicogenomic-based approaches predicting liver toxicity in vitro. Arch Toxicol. 2012; 86:1163-4.

Godoy P, Hewitt NJ, Albrecht U, Andersen ME, Ansari N, Bhattacharya S, et al. Recent advances in 2D and 3D in vitro systems using primary hepatocytes, alternative hepatocyte sources and non-parenchymal liver cells and their use in investigating mechanisms of hepatotoxicity, cell signaling and ADME. Arch Toxicol. 2013;87:1315-530.

Godoy P, Schmidt-Heck W, Natarajan K, Lucendo-Villarin B, Szkolnicka D, Asplund A, et al. Gene networks and transcription factor motifs defining the differentiation of stem cells into hepatocyte-like cells. J Hepatol. 2015;63:934-42.

Hammad S, Hoehme S, Friebel A, von Recklinghausen I, Othman A, Begher-Tibbe B, et al. Protocols for staining of bile canalicular and sinusoidal networks of human, mouse and pig livers, three-dimensional reconstruction and quantification of tissue microarchitecture by image processing and analysis. Arch Toxicol. 2014;88:1161-83.

Höhme S, Hengstler JG, Brulport M, Schäfer M, Bauer A, Gebhardt R, Drasdo D. Mathematical modelling of liver regeneration after intoxication with CCl(4). Chem Biol Interact. 2007;168:74-93.

Hoehme S, Brulport M, Bauer A, Bedawy E, Schormann W, Hermes M, et al. Prediction and validation of cell alignment along microvessels as order principle to restore tissue architecture in liver regeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107:10371-6.

Kell DB. Towards a unifying, systems biology understanding of large-scale cellular death and destruction caused by poorly liganded iron: Parkinson's, Huntington's, Alzheimer's, prions, bactericides, chemical toxicology and others as examples. Arch Toxicol. 2010; 84:825-89.

Liu A, Krausz KW, Fang ZZ, Brocker C, Qu A, Gonzalez FJ. Gemfibrozil disrupts lysophosphatidylcholine and bile acid homeostasis via PPAR α and its relevance to hepatotoxicity. Arch Toxicol. 2014;88:983-96. Marchan R, Bolt HM, Hengstler JG. Systems biology meets toxicology. Arch Toxicol. 2012;86:1157-8.

Reif R. Concepts of predictive toxicology. EXCLI J. 2014a;13:1292-4.

Reif R. The body-on-a-chip concept: possibilities and limitations. EXCLI J. 2014;13:1283-5.

Schliess F, Hoehme S, Henkel SG, Ghallab A, Driesch D, Böttger J, et al. Integrated metabolic spatial-temporal model for the prediction of ammonia detoxification during liver damage and regeneration. Hepatology. 2014;60:2040-51.

Shimada H, Hashiguchi T, Yasutake A, Waalkes MP, Imamura Y. Sexual dimorphism of cadmium-induced toxicity in rats: involvement of sex hormones. Arch Toxicol. 2012;86:1475-80.

Sturla SJ, Boobis AR, FitzGerald RE, Hoeng J, Kavlock RJ, Schirmer K, et al. Systems toxicology: from basic research to risk assessment. Chem Res Toxicol. 2014;27:314-29.

Vartak N, Damle-Vartak A, Richter B, Dirsch O, Dahmen U, Hammad S, et al. Cholestasis-induced adaptive remodeling of interlobular bile ducts. Hepatology. 2015 Nov 26. doi: 10.1002/hep.28373. [Epub ahead of print].

Vitins AP, Kienhuis AS, Speksnijder EN, Roodbergen M, Luijten M, van der Ven LT. Mechanisms of amiodarone and valproic acid induced liver steatosis in mouse in vivo act as a template for other hepatotoxicity models. Arch Toxicol. 2014;88:1573-88.

Widom JR, Dhakal S, Heinicke LA, Walter NG. Single-molecule tools for enzymology, structural biology, systems biology and nanotechnology: an update. Arch Toxicol. 2014;88:1965-85.

Widera A. Integrated spatiotemporal-metabolic modelling bridges the gap between metabolism on the cellular level and organ function. EXCLI J. 2014;13: 1289-91.