## Letter to the editor:

## ELISA FOR DETECTION OF HUMORAL IMMUNITY AGAINST MEASLES

Muhammad Asif Zahoor\*, Muhammad Saqalein, Zeeshan Nawaz

Department of Microbiology, Govt. College University, Faisalabad-Pakistan

\* Corresponding author: Muhammad Asif Zahoor, Department of Microbiology, Govt. College University, Faisalabad-Pakistan; Tel: 92-41-9201205; E-mail: drasifzahoor@gcuf.edu.pk

http://dx.doi.org/10.17179/excli2015-607

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>).

Dear Editor,

Measles is a highly infectious and contagious disease of the respiratory system caused by Morbilivirus (Hashiguchi et al., 2011). The clinical disease has been characterized by high fever, cough, conjunctivitis, coryza, malaise, maculopapular rash and erythematous patches throughout the body along with increased mortality among non-vaccinated children (Ellison, 1931; Fazlalipour et al., 2008). Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI) has been launched in 1974 under the umbrella of World Health Organization (WHO) with the ultimate objective of elimination of Vaccine Preventable Diseases (VPDs) in children, further this program was initiated in Pakistan during 1978 (Ali, 2000; Bugvi et al., 2014). Among the VPDs, measles has been considered as one of most important diseases in children throughout the world particularly in developing countries (Rabenau et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2013). Furthermore, vaccination against measles is widespread which significantly reduces the mortality and morbidity across the globe (Perry and Halsey, 2004; Leuridan and Van Damme, 2007). Vaccination with first dose is recommended at the age of 9 months with booster dose at the age of 12 or 15 months with either live attenuated measles virus or Measles-Mumps-Rubella complex (MMR) as described (Leuridan and Van Damme, 2007; Sheikh et al., 2011). The immunization against measles virus usually resulted in protection due to humoral as well as cell mediated immune response (Ovsyannikova et al., 2003). Many immunodiagnostic methods have been used for the detection of anti-measles antibodies as described by Perry and Halsey (2004). Among those, Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) has been reported as more sensitive and specific with reproducibility of results for detection of anti-measles antibodies (Fazlalipour et al., 2008). Further, ELISA for measles has been reported to detect and quantify anti-measles IgG antibodies with high sensitivity and specificity (Rabenau et al., 2007).

Therefore, keeping in view the importance and significance of measles, this preliminary study was designed to develop an ELISA for detection of humoral immunity. For this purpose ELISA plates were coated with measles virus CAM-70 strain (PT Bio Farma, Bandung, Indonesia) which contain not less than 1000 CCID50 in 0.5 ml. Coating of plates was performed using carbonate buffer as described by van der Werff (2008). Briefly, 100  $\mu$ l of virus diluted with 0.05 M carbonate buffer was added in wells of ELISA plates in duplicate, following

overnight incubation at 4 °C, blocking was achieved by adding 300  $\mu$ l of blocking buffer (PBS + 10 % w/v skimmed milk powder). ELISA was performed as recently described by Zahoor et al. (2015). Briefly, each serum sample was diluted with serum diluent and 100  $\mu$ L of each diluted serum sample was added in each well of ELISA plate along with controls (Nova Tec Immunodiagnostica GmbH, Germany). Following incubation of ELISA plate for one hour at 37 °C, plate was washed with 300  $\mu$ l of washing buffer. In the next step, 100  $\mu$ l measles anti-IgG conjugate (Nova Tec Immunodiagnostica GmbH, Germany) was added into all wells except for the blank well, and kept for 30 minutes at room temperature. Finally, 100  $\mu$ l tetramethylbenzidine substrate (TMB) was added into all wells, and kept at room temperature for 15 minutes in dark. Reaction was stopped by using 100  $\mu$ l of stop solution into all wells. Absorbance of the ELISA plate was determined at 450 nm within 30 minutes after addition of the stop solution (Biotek®, ELX 808, USA). Altogether, it was concluded that the developed ELISA may be used to detect humoral immunity from vaccinated children to evaluate the effectiveness of measles vaccination following further standardization and optimization of developed ELISA protocol.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

Ali SZ. Health for all in Pakistan: achievements, strategies and challenges. East Med Health J. 2000;6: 832-7.

Bugvi AS, Rahat R, Zakar R, Zakar MZ, Fischer F, Nasrullah M, et al. Factors associated with nonutilization of child immunization in Pakistan: evidence from the demographic and health survey 2006-07. BMC Public Health. 2013;14:232.

Ellison JB. Pneumonia in measles. Arch Dis Child. 1931;6:37–52.

Fazlalipour M, Monavari SH, Shamsi SM, Ataei A. Evaluation of immune status to measles in vaccinated population in Tehran, by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and the hemagglutination inhibition techniques (1386-1387). Iran J Virol. 2008;2:27-30.

Hashiguchi T, Maenaka K, Yanagi Y. Measles virus hemagglutinin: structural insights into cell entry and measles vaccine. Front Microbiol. 2011;2:247:1-7.

Leuridan E, Van Damme P. Passive transmission and persistence of naturally acquired or vaccine-induced maternal antibodies against measles in newborns. Vaccine. 2007;25:6296-304.

Liu Y, Lu P, Hu Y, Wang Z, Deng X, Ma F, et al. Cross-sectional surveys of measles antibodies in the jiangsu province of china from 2008 to 2010: the effect of high coverage with two doses of measles vaccine among children. PLoS ONE 2013;8(6):e66771. Ovsyannikova IG, Dhiman N, Jacobson RM, Vierkant RA, Poland GA. Frequency of measles virus-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in subjects seronegative or highly seropositive for measles vaccine. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 2003;10:411–6.

Perry RT, Halsey NA. The clinical significance of measles: a review. J Infect Dis. 2004;189:4-16.

Rabenau HF, Marianov B, Allwinn R. Comparison of the neutralizing and ELISA antibody titres to measles virus in human sera and in gamma globulin preparations. Med Microbiol Immunol. 2007;196:151–5.

Sheikh S, Ali A, Zaidi AKM, Agha A, Khowaja A, Allana S, et al. Measles susceptibility in children in Karachi, Pakistan. Vaccine. 2011;29:3419–23.

van der Werff N. Measles ELISA. Biomedical Primate Research Centre. 2008. http://www.malariaresearch.eu/eumalar/sites/sbsweb2

.bio.ed.ac.uk.eumalar/files/pdfs/Measles%20ELISA% 20-%20BPRC.pdf

Zahoor MA, Rasool MH, Waseem M, Aslam B, Zahoor MK, Saqalein M, et al. Prevalence of measles in vaccinated and non-vaccinated children. EXCLI J. 2015;14:504-7.