Institute of Paper Science and Technology
Atlanta, Georgia

IPST Technical Paper Series Number 735

Synthesis of Polystyrene-Based Cationic Copolymers and Their Colloidal Properties in Water

Y. Deng, Z. Yan, and N. Yang

July 1998

Submitted to
Colloid & Polymer Science

INSTITUTE OF PAPER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
PURPOSE AND MISSIONS

The Institute of Paper Science and Technology is a unique organization whose charitable, educational, and scientific purpose evolves from the singular relationship between the Institute and the pulp and paper industry which has existed since 1929. The purpose of the Institute is fulfilled through three missions, which are:

- to provide high quality students with a multidisciplinary graduate educational experience which is of the highest standard of excellence recognized by the national academic community and which enables them to perform to their maximum potential in a society with a technological base; and

- to sustain an international position of leadership in dynamic scientific research which is participated in by both students and faculty and which is focused on areas of significance to the pulp and paper industry; and

- to contribute to the economic and technical well-being of the nation through innovative educational, informational, and technical services.

ACCREDITATION

The Institute of Paper Science and Technology is accredited by the Commission on Colleges of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools to award the Master of Science and Doctor of Philosophy degrees.

NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

The Institute of Paper Science and Technology (IPST) has provided a high standard of professional service and has put forth its best efforts within the time and funds available for this project. The information and conclusions are advisory and are intended only for internal use by any company who may receive this report. Each company must decide for itself the best approach to solving any problems it may have and how, or whether, this reported information should be considered in its approach.

IPST does not recommend particular products, procedures, materials, or service. These are included only in the interest of completeness within a laboratory context and budgetary constraint. Actual products, procedures, materials, and services used may differ and are peculiar to the operations of each company.

In no event shall IPST or its employees and agents have any obligation or liability for damages including, but not limited to, consequential damages arising out of or in connection with any company's use of or inability to use the reported information. IPST provides no warranty or guaranty of results.

The Institute of Paper Science and Technology assures equal opportunity to all qualified persons without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, marital status, or Vietnam era veterans status in the admission to, participation in, treatment of, or employment in the programs and activities which the Institute operates.

SYNTHESIS OF POLYSTYRENE-BASED CATIONIC COPOLYMERS AND THEIR COLLOIDAL PROPERTIES IN WATER

Yulin Deng[*], Zegui Yan and Ning Yang

Institute of Paper Science and Technology, 500 10th St. NW, Atlanta, GA 30318, USA

Abstract

A series of polystyrene-based cationic copolymers were synthesized by two different methods: (I) solution copolymerization of styrene and vinylbenzyl trimethylammonium chloride (VBTMAC) in ethanol, and (II) surfactant free emulsion copolymerization of styrene and vinylbenzyl chloride (VBC) in water followed by reaction with trimethylamine. The results indicated that the different synthesis methods would result in different polymer structures and, therefore, affect the solubility and colloidal properties of the copolymers in water. For the copolymers prepared by method (II), partial crosslinking was observed. The copolymers made by this method are almost water-insoluble. In contrast, the copolymers made from direct copolymerization of styrene and VBTMAC in ethanol are water-soluble or dispersible, but the solubility and the particle size of microaggregates formed by these copolymers in water strongly depend on the charge density and the temperature. One of the important results from this study is that uniform colloidal particles with a very small particle size (30 - 50 nm) can be obtained by dispersing polystyrene-based cationic copolymers in water without adding any surfactants.

Keywords: Cationic, Hydrophobicity, Polystyrene, Copolymer, Solubility, Colloid, Micelle, Microaggregate.

---

[*] To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Introduction

Cationic polymeric latexes have shown potential applications in many fields, including papermaking [1-6], paper coating [7-8], and adhesion [9]. Recent studies [4-6] indicated that the cationically charged polystyrene latexes could be used as effective papermaking retention aids or sizing agents. However, it was found that the particle size and charge density of polymeric latex are two critical factors affecting the performance of the latex. As a papermaking retention aid or sizing agent, it was found [4-6] that the most effective particle size of these latexes is in the range of 30 to 50 nm. Although emulsion polymerization is the most convenient technology for making uniform latexes, this method can generally produce only a cationic polystyrene latex with a particle size larger than 150 nm, which is not effective as a papermaking additive agent. In order to reduce the particle size, microemulsion polymerization technique has to be employed [4-6]. Although the particle size of cationic polystyrene-based latex can be well controlled by microemulsion polymerization, the high surfactant consumption and the difficulty in latex clarification after polymerization are two major problems. Instead of directly synthesizing a latex using emulsion or microemulsion polymerization, it was shown in our previous study [6] that linear cationic polystyrene polymers obtained by solution polymerization of styrene and cationic VBTMAC monomers in ethanol could form stable colloidal aggregates in water with a particle size of 30 to 50 nm and a similar structure as cationic polystyrene latex. A previous study also indicated that these colloidal aggregates are effective papermaking retention aids and sizing agents.

It has been well known that charged copolymers with a hydrophobic backbone can form stable colloidal particles in water if the charge density and polymer structure are well controlled [10,11]. Poly(styrene-*co*-VBTMAC) is a typical cationically charged hydrophobic copolymer. The hydrophobic polystyrene tends to aggregate and the hydrophilic VBTMAC tends to dissolve in water. As a result, this copolymer is expected to form stable colloids in water if the hydrophobic/hydrophilic forces are well balanced.

Poly(styrene-*co*-VBTMAC) copolymers have been synthesized previously *via* copolymerization of styrene and cationic monomers [9,12], and *via* quaternization of

copolymer of styrene and VBC with trimethylamine [9,13-16]. This class of polymers has been studied as curing agents for epoxy resins [9], as catalyst supporting agents [15,16], and as antibacterial agents [12,17]. However, the colloidal properties of these copolymers in water have not been reported in the literature. In this study, a series of poly(styrene-co-VBTMAC) copolymers with varied charge densities was synthesized using two different methods. The relationship among the polymerization conditions, polymer structure, charge density, and the colloidal properties of copolymers in water was studied.

Experimental

Materials

Styrene (Aldrich) was distilled under reduced pressure before use. Vinylbenzyl chloride (*m-* and *p-* mixtures, Aldrich) was purified by passing through activated neutral aluminum oxide (Aldrich) column. Vinylbenzyl trimethylammonium chloride (*m/p* 60/40, Acros Organics), trimethylamine (40% aqueous solution, Aldrich) and initiator 2,2'-azobis(N,N'-dimethyleneisobutyramidine) dihydrochloride (VA-044, Wako Pure Chemicals) were used as received. Ethanol and tetrohydrofuran (THF) were analytical grade and were used as received.

Copolymer Synthesis

Method I: Solution copolymerization of styrene and VBTMA

Direct copolymerization of two monomers in ethanol was used to synthesize the copolymers of styrene and VBTMAC as shown in **Schematic 1**:

Schematic 1. Solution copolymerization of styrene and VBTMAC

A typical example is given as follows: a four-necked glass reactor equipped with a magnetic stirrer was filled with 150 mL of ethanol, 108 mmol of styrene and 12 mmol of VBTMAC. The solution was flashed with nitrogen for 30 minutes and thermostated at 60°C. After stabilization of temperature, 0.7 mmol of VA-044 in 5 mL of deionized water was added. The polymerization was carried out under nitrogen for 4 hours with constant stirring. The mixture was then distilled under reduced pressure at 35°C to give the cationic copolymer. The conditions used for the copolymerizations are given in **Table 1**.

Method II: Quaternization of styrene-VBC copolymer using trimethylamine

The styrene-VBTMAC copolymers were also prepared by quaternization of styrene-VBC copolymer in THF using trimethylamine as a quaternization agent as shown in **Schematic 2**:

Schematic 2. Quaternization of poly(styrene-co-VBC).

The styrene-VBC copolymers were prepared by surfactant free emulsion polymerization using a cationic initiator VA-044. In a typical surfactant free emulsion polymerization of styrene and VBA, a four-necked glass reactor equipped with a mechanical

stirrer was filled with 150 mL of deionized water, 150 mmol of styrene and 16.7 mmol of VBC. The solution was flashed with nitrogen for 30 min and thermostated at 60°C. After stabilization of temperature, 0.83 mmol of VA-044 in 5 mL of deionized water was add. The polymerization was carried out under nitrogen with a stirring speed of 400 rpm for 4 hours. The latex suspension was centrifuged (Beckman L-80 ultracentrifuge) at 8,000 rpm for 30 minutes. The precipitates were then washed two times with ethanol and centrifuged again to remove excess monomers and other impurities. The isolated copolymers were dried under vacuum at room temperature. The polymerization conditions for styrene and VBC copolymers are given in **Table 2.**

The chloromethyl groups in the copolymers were treated with large molar excess (~10 fold) of trimethylamine, calculated from the added amount of VBC in the polymerization. The dry poly(styrene-co-VBC) was dissolved in THF (10 wt%) in a round-bottomed flask with magnetic stirrer, excess of aqueous trimethylamine was added and the flask was sealed and gently agitated with a magnetic stirrer.

For the copolymers with low VBC contents (samples F and G in **Table 2**), the copolymers were THF-soluble both before and after quaternization using trimethylamine. For these copolymers, the quaternization reaction was carried at room temperature for 24 hours, then the solvent was evaporated and the product was dried under vacuum at 40°C.

For the copolymers with high VBC contents (samples H, I-1, I-2 and J in **Table 2**), the copolymer precipitated from THF during the quaternization. However, these high-charged copolymers are ethanol-soluble. For these polymers, the THF was poured out after precipitation and 20 mL ethanol was added followed by 10 fold excess of aqueous trimethylamine. The quaternization reaction was further carried out in ethanol for another 24 hours. Afterwards, the excess of trimethylamine was removed by purging the mixture with nitrogen. The solvent was distilled and the product was dried under vacuum at 40°C for characterization.

Characterization of the copolymers and colloids

IR and NMR spectroscopy: IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 550 FTIR Spectrometer using KBr discs. ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 MHz DMX NMR Spectrometer with high concentration solutions of copolymers in CDCl$_3$. The chemical shift was calibrated using SiMe$_4$.

Light scattering spectroscopy: The particle size of the cationic polymeric aggregates was determined by photon correlation spectroscopy (PCS) at 25°C using a Malvern Zetasizer 3000 (10 mW 633 nm He-Ne laser at 90-degree angle). Z-average particle size was calculated by computer using Contin analysis software.

Colloid titration: The charge density of the cationic polymers in water was determined by colloid titration. One drop of 0.5% toluidine blue-O (Aldrich) solution was added to 10 mL 100 mg/L sample. The mixture was titrated to the colorimetric end point with 0.0001 N potassium polyvinyl sulfate (PVSK, Nalco Co.). It should be noted that some copolymers could not form a true solution in water. Therefore, the charge density of these copolymers measured by colloid titration can only represent the apparent charge density of colloidal aggregates in water.

Results and discussion

<u>Cationic copolymers synthesized from solution polymerization (method I)</u>

Emulsion polymerization has been employed for the copolymerization of styrene and ionic comonomers [9,12,18-20]. However, because styrene is water-insoluble but ionic monomer is water-soluble, the copolymer prepared by emulsion polymerization may contain two different fractions, i.e., one has a high styrene content and another has a high ionic monomer content. This phenomenon has been reported by Kim et al. [20] for styrene and sodium styrene sulfonate (NaSS) system. In order to prepare homogenous random poly(styrene-*co*-VBTMAC)s with different charge densities, the solution polymerization was explored in this study. It should be noted that although solution polymerization may overcome the problems that occurred in emulsion polymerization, it is difficult to find a good solvent for

the copolymers with various ratios of styrene and VBTMAC because the solubility of polystyrene and polyVBTMAC are significantly different in most solvents. In this study, different solvents, including dioxane, THF, ethanol, and their mixtures were first tested. The primary results suggested that among the solvents examined in this study, ethanol is the best solvent for poly(styrene-co-VBTMAC) if the molar ratio of VBTMAC in the copolymers is in the range of 10 to 30 percent. Although it is well known that polystyrene is insoluble in ethanol, a clear solution was obtained during the polymerization for all of the copolymers listed in **Table 1** except sample A (5 mol% VBTMAC based on total monomers in the feeding solution). The increase in the solubility of polystyrene-based copolymer in ethanol results from the introduction of charged units into the polymer backbone. During the synthesis of sample A, small amounts of precipitates that were soluble in tetrahydrofuran (THF) were found. This is probably due to the low cationic monomer ratio in this copolymer, thus reducing the solubility of the copolymer in ethanol.

Cationic copolymers synthesized from quaternization (method II)

In addition to the direct polymerization of styrene and VBTMAC in ethanol, an alternative method that involves quaternization of copolymer poly(styrene-co-VBC) with trimethylamine is also studied. In this method, poly(styrene-co-VBC)s with different VBC ratios were first synthesized by emulsion polymerization, then the poly(styrene-co-VBC)s were further modified with trimethylamine to give cationically charged poly(styrene-co-VBTMAC)s.

The solubility of the poly(styrene-co-VBC) copolymers was first examined. Because both polystyrene and poly(vinylbenzyl chloride) are THF-soluble polymers, it was expected that copolymers of styrene and vinylbenzyl chloride should also be THF-soluble. However, it was found that the copolymers of styrene and VBC could not be totally dissolved in THF. It was also found that the solubility of the poly(styrene-co-VBC) decreased as the content of VBC was increased. The polymerization temperature also significantly affected the copolymer solubility, i.e., the solubility was much lower for the copolymers made at 60°C compared to that made at 50°C. The unusual solubility of the copolymers in their good solvent (THF) may

suggest that the copolymers were partially crosslinked during the polymerization. It is believed that the crosslinking is through a chain transfer reaction between living polymer radicals and chloromethyl groups, which was reported by Verrier-Charleux et al. [18] in emulsion polymerization of VBC. According to their study, two possible radical transfer reactions, in which hydrogen or chlorine is abstracted from the chloromethyl group, may occur (**Schematic 3**). They further suggested that path (a) was more favored than path (b) by calculating the bond disassociation energy. Furthermore, the presence of the chlorine atom could also stabilize the ϕ-CHCl$^{\bullet}$ radical, which makes the hydrogen abstraction more favored. Obviously, all of these chain transfers will result in a crosslinking polymer. Because the chain transfer reaction strongly depends on the copolymerization temperature, the crosslinking degree and the solubility of the copolymers in their good solvent should also be a function of the polymerization temperature, i.e., the higher the temperature, the higher the crosslinking degree and the lower the solubility of the copolymers.

Schematic 3. Crosslinking mechanism of poly(styrene-VBC).

In addition to the crosslinking reaction, partial hydrolysis of VBC may also occur during copolymerization. The hydrolysis of VBC has been reported previously by Verrier-Charleux et al. [18]. However, the high resolution ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy recorded by

copolymers G, H and I-1 in CDCl$_3$ shows no hydroxymethyl peak at 65 ppm (**Figure 1**), which indicates that hydrolysis of the chloromethyl group is negligible for the copolymers prepared in this study.

Although THF is a good solvent for both styrene homopolymer and styrene-VBC copolymer, it may not be a good solvent for styrene-VBTMAC copolymer because cationically charged polyVBTMAC has a low solubility in organic solvents. This was confirmed by the precipitation of the copolymers H, I and J (VBTMAC contents are larger than 15 molar percent for these copolymers) during the quaternization reaction. It should be noted that the chloromethyl groups in the copolymer may not fully react with trimethylamine if the copolymer was precipitated from the solution. In order to fully functionize all of the VBC repeat units with trimethylamine groups, the precipitated copolymer from THF was isolated and then dissolved in ethanol, followed by further reaction with trimethylamine. The completion of the conversion was proved by the disappearance of the -CH$_2$Cl band (1265 cm^{-1}) in IR spectra.

Because the copoly(styrene-*co*-VBTMAC)s contain a water-insoluble styrene backbone and different contents of cationically charged VBTMAC, the copolymers can be either water-soluble or insoluble depending on the charge density, molecular weight, and structure. Similar to other amphiphilic copolymers, it is expected that poly(styrene-*co*-VBTMAC) can form colloidal stable aggregates in water if the hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity are well balanced.

The solubility of the copolymers prepared with method I is different from that of the copolymers prepared with method II. For example, the copolymers prepared by the solution polymerization (method I) could be easily dissolved or dispersed in water if they contained a reasonable amount of cationic monomer, but the copolymers made from method II were almost water-insoluble except for highly charged samples with 30 molar percent of VBTMA in feeding monomers. The significant difference in the solubility between the copolymers made from two different methods may be due to two reasons. First, as discussed above, the copolymers from quaternization of poly(styrene-*co*-VBC) were partially crosslinked.

Obviously, the crosslink of the copolymers will reduce their solubility in water. Second, the copolymers made from quaternization may have a higher molecular weight than that made from solution polymerization because emulsion polymerization was employed in the first stage of the polymer preparation. It is well known that emulsion polymerization usually results in a relatively high molecular weight polymer. Unfortunately, we could not successfully measure the molecular weight of the copolymers because the copolymers have different charge densities, which will significantly affect the molecular weight measurement using common methods such as viscosity and gel permeation chromatography.

The influence of cationically charged VBTMAC on the solubility of the copolymers prepared using method I is shown in **Table 3**. It can be seen that the solubility of the copolymers in water consistently increases as the content of VBTMAC is increased. For example, samples A, B, and C (with 5, 10, and 15 molar percent of VBTMAC in feeding monomers, respectively) are not water-dispersible at 40°C. However, at the same temperature, the sample D (with 20 molar percent of VBTMAC in feeding monomers) could be dispersed in water and form a stable colloidal dispersion. Light scattering measurements indicated that the particle size of polymeric aggregates formed at 40°C is 51 nm for this sample. It was also found that the colloidal particle was very stable (no particle aggregation was found after half year). The formation of these colloidal aggregates is not surprising because the van der Waal's forces between hydrophobic polystyrene backbones promote an aggregation, while the electrostatic repulsion forces between cationically charged VBTMACs protect the aggregates from unlimited growth of the particles. As a result, stable colloids were formed. When the feeding fraction of VBTMAC is further increased to 30 molar percent (sample E), the copolymer can be fully dissolved in water to give a true solution at 25°C. These results suggest that the solubility of these copolymers increase with the increase in the charge density.

The influence of temperature on the solubility of poly(styrene-*co*-VBTMAC) in water is interesting. It can be seen from **Table 3** and **Figure 2** that the solubility of all the copolymers in water increases as the temperature is increased. For example, samples C and D formed stable colloidal dispersions in water, but they were fully dissolved when temperature was increased to 75 and 60°C, respectively.

It was found that not only the solubility of the copolymer but also the particle size of the colloidal dispersion was significantly affected by temperature. Light scattering measurement indicated that the particle size decreased as the temperature increased. This is consistent with the solubility of copolymers in water. The general conclusion from **Table 3** and **Figure 2** is that as the temperature is increased, the solubility of the copolymer increases too, which results in a decrease in the particle size of colloidal aggregates.

It should be noted that the temperatures indicated in **Table 3** and **Figure 2** were the temperatures used for copolymer solution preparation rather than particle size measurement. In this study, all of the particle sizes were measured at room temperature. It is very interesting that although some samples could not be dissolved in water at room temperature, once they formed a colloidal dispersion at high temperature they remained as a colloidal stable dispersion even though the temperature was cooled down to room temperature. This phenomenon suggests that at low temperature, the copolymer chains entangled each other in solid state and a high solvation energy is needed to dissolve the copolymer. However, when the temperature is increased the thermodynamic energy of polymer chains increases, resulting in an increase in the solubility of copolymers in water. When the thermodynamic energy, van der Waal's forces, and electrostatic repulsion forces are balanced, stable colloids are formed. However, when the temperature is cooled down, the electrostatic repulsion forces between colloidal particles provide a high energy barrier between the particles, which protects the coagulation of the colloidal particles. As a result, stable colloidal suspensions can be obtained through this temperature change cycle.

The dependence of particle size of aggregates on the copolymer concentration for sample A is shown in **Figure 3**. It can be seen that the particle size increases as the copolymer concentration is increased.

Theoretically, the charge density of the copolymers can be calculated using the following equation by assuming that the conversion of the monomers is 100% during the copolymerization

$$\rho = \frac{M_{VBTMAC} + M_{initiator}}{W_{VBTMAC} + W_{styrene} + W_{initiator}} \tag{1}$$

where M and W are the molar number and weight of the monomer or initiator in the feeding solutions, respectively. It can be seen from **Figure 4** that the apparent charge density is slightly higher than theoretical volume, particularly at low VBTMAC feeding ratio. Two possible reasons may be attributed to this result. First, the reactive ratio of VBTMAC in ethanol may be higher than that of styrene. In a case where conversion is less than 100% (about 60% in this study), the charge density of the final products will be higher than expected from the monomer feeding ratios. Unfortunately, we did not study the polymerization dynamics for this system. Second, because the copolymers had a limited solubility in water, the titration was conducted in a colloidal suspension rather than a true solution. This suggests that the charge density obtained from colloid titration in this study should represent a surface charge of microaggregates of the copolymers rather than that of true polymers. Because the cationically charged VBTMAC and initiator repeat units prefer to stay on the surface of the microaggregates and styrene repeat units prefer to stay in the core of the microaggregates, the colloid titration result may not be the same as the true charge density in the copolymer chains.

Conclusions

Cationically charged poly(styrene-*co*-VBTMAC)s with different charge densities may be synthesized by quaternization of poly(styrene-*co*-VBC) copolymer with trimethylamine and by direct polymerization of styrene and VBTMAC in ethanol. However, two methods result in different copolymer structures. The copolymers made by quaternization of poly(styrene-*co*-VBC) were partially crosslinked, and they are almost water-insoluble. In contrast, the copolymers made from direct copolymerization of styrene with VBTMAC in ethanol can be dissolved or dispersed in water, but the solubility and colloidal particle size strongly depend on the charge density and the temperature used for colloid preparation.

The aggregates formed by poly(styrene-*co*-VBTMAC)s have a very small particle size (30-50 nm) compared to that of cationically charged polystyrene latexes made from emulsion polymerization (>150 nm). No surfactant is needed for preparing these very small and uniform colloidal particles.

References

1. Inoue M, Alince B, Shao Y, Van de Ven T (1996) Proceedings of 1996 International Paper and Coating Chemistry Symposium, pp 119-123.

2. Alince B (1977) Tappi J 60 (12):133-136.

3. Alince B, Inoue M, Robertson A (1976) J Appl Polym Sci 20:2209-2219.

4. Ono H, Deng Y (1997) in: Baker C (ed) The Fundamentals of Papermaking Materials, Vol 2, Pira International, London, pp 1097-1120.

5. Ono H, Deng Y (1997) J Colloid Interface Sci 188:183-192.

6. Ono H, Deng Y (1997) Proceedings of 1997 TAPPI Engineering & Papermakers Conference, Nashville, pp 837-849.

7. Ishikawa O, Sasagawa Y, Tsuji A, Yamashita T (1993) Jpn Tappi J 47(3):334-339.

8. Neuman D L, Srinivasan K G (1988) Tappi Nonwovens Binder & Additives Sem (Boston) Notes:79-81.

9. Otaigbe J, Banks R, Smith S (1988) Brit Polym J 20:53-59.

10. Deng Y, Xiao H, Pelton R (1996) J Colloid Interface Sci 179:188-193.

11. Deng Y, Pelton R (1995) Macromolecules 28:4617-4621.

12. Senuma M, Tashiro T, Iwakura M, Kaeriyama K, Shimura Y (1989) J Appl Polym Sci 37:2837-2843.

13. Upson D (1985) J Polym Sci: Polym Symp 72:45-54.

14. Ford W, Yu H, Lee J, El-Hamshary H (1993) Langmuir 9:1698-1703.

15. Ford W, Yu H (1993) Langmuir 9:1999-2007.

16. Bon S, Beek H, Piet P, German A (1995) J Appl Polym Sci 58:19-29.

17. Ikeda T, Tazuke S (1985) Poly Preprints 26(1):226-227.

18. Li L, Krieger I (1981) J Polym Sci: Polym Chem Ed 19:3013-26.

19. Van Streun K, Belt W, Piet P, German A (1991) Eur Poly J 27: 931-938.

20. Kim I, Chainey M, El-Aasser M, Vanferhoff J (1992) J Polym Sci, Part A: Polym Chem 30:171-183.

21. Verrier-Charleux V, Graillat C, Chevalier Y, Pichot C, Revillon A (1991) Colloid Polym Sci 269:398-405.

Figure captions

Figure 1. ^{13}C NMR of poly(styrene-co-vinylbenzyl chloride) (sample H) in CDCl$_3$ at 25°C.

Figure 2. Particle size as a function of dispersion temperature.

Figure 3. Particle size (sample A) as a function of dispersion concentration. The colloid dispersions were prepared in boiled water.

Figure 4. Correlation between apparent charge density (full line) and theoretical charge density calculated from feeding initiator and monomers (dot line).

Table 1. Solution polymerization conditions for poly(styrene-*co*-VBTMAC)s and their colloidal properties

Sample	A	B	C	D	E
Styrene (mmol)	108	108	108	108	108
VBTMAC (mmol)	5.7	12	19	27	46
VA-044 (mmol)	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
Ethanol (mL)	150	150	150	150	150
VBTMAC ratio (mol%)*	5	10	15	20	30

* Based on the total feeding monomers

**Table 2.** Emulsion copolymerization conditions for poly(styrene-*co*-VBC)s.

Sample	F	G	H	I-1	I-2	J
Styrene (mmol)	150	150	150	150	150	150
VBC (mmol)	3.1	7.9	17	27	27	64
VA-044 (mmol)	0.76	0.79	0.83	0.88	0.88	1.1
H_2O (mL)	150	150	150	150	150	150
Temp. (°C)	60	60	60	50	60	50
VBC ratio (mol%)*	2	5	10	15	15	30

*Based on the total feeding monomers.

Table 3. Influence of dispersion temperature on cationic particle size*.

| Sample	Particle size (nm)							
	25°C	40°C	60°C	70°C	75°C	80°C	90°C	100°C
A	-	-	-	-	-	47	41	40
B	-	-	-	-	56	47	30	30
C	-	-	92	34	+	+	+	+
D	-	51	+	+	+	+	+	+
E	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Solution was prepared by mixing 1 g copolymer in 1 liter water for 1 hr at the fixed temperature, then the solution was cooled to room temperature. The particle size was measured at 25°C. "-" indicates unstable dispersion, "+" indicates full dissolvation.

Figure 1. ^{13}C NMR of poly(styrene-*co*-vinylbenzyl chloride) (sample H) in $CDCl_3$ at 25°C.

Figure 2. Particle size as a function of dispersion temperature.

Figure 3. Particle size (sample A) as a function of dispersion concentration. The colloid dispersions were prepared in boiled water.

Figure 4. Correlation between apparent charge density of copolymer (full line) and calculated charge density from feeding initiator and monomers (dot line).