# Texas Medical Center Library DigitalCommons@The Texas Medical Center

UT GSBS Dissertations and Theses (Open Access)

Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences

8-2013

# T-CELL TREATMENTS FOR SOLID AND HEMATOLOGICAL TUMORS

Drew C. Deniger

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.library.tmc.edu/utgsbs\_dissertations Part of the <u>Cancer Biology Commons</u>, <u>Immune System Diseases Commons</u>, <u>Immunology and</u> <u>Infectious Disease Commons</u>, and the <u>Medical Immunology Commons</u>

### **Recommended** Citation

Deniger, Drew C., "T-CELL TREATMENTS FOR SOLID AND HEMATOLOGICAL TUMORS" (2013). UT GSBS Dissertations and Theses (Open Access). Paper 377.

This Dissertation (PhD) is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences at DigitalCommons@The Texas Medical Center. It has been accepted for inclusion in UT GSBS Dissertations and Theses (Open Access) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@The Texas Medical Center. For more information, please contact laurel.sanders@library.tmc.edu.



### T-CELL TREATMENTS FOR SOLID AND HEMATOLOGICAL TUMORS

by

Drew Caldwell Deniger, M.S.

Laurence J.N. Cooper, M.D., Ph.D.; Supervisory Professor

**APPROVED:** 

Vidya Gopalakrishnan, Ph.D.

Bradley McIntyre, Ph.D.

Barbara Savoldo, M.D., Ph.D.

Qu Tomasz Zal, Ph.D.

Dean, The University of Texas Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences at Houston

### T-CELL TREATMENTS FOR SOLID AND HEMATOLOGICAL TUMORS

А

### DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston and The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences in Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements

for the Degree of

### DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

by

Drew Caldwell Deniger, M.S.

Houston, Texas

August 2013

## **DEDICATION**

This dissertation is dedicated to one of my namesakes. He was a man I never met but have been told I would have liked, who is one of only two people in my family to have received a doctorate degree and said "much obliged" to those whom he encountered. To Robert James Caldwell, D.D.S. (Pictures enclosed)





### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have contributed to this work in the areas of experimental assistance, training, guidance, mentorship, cheerleading, praying, financial contributions, or combinations thereof. This list could never be totally complete, so please excuse me for any omissions.

First and foremost, I need to express my sincere appreciation for the mentorship provided by Dr. Laurence JN Cooper. He has funded, developed, supported, and fought for each of the ideas presented here and has made them into real therapies for patients with cancer. My gratitude to him is not measureable. I knew that I wanted to work for Dr. Cooper while still in my Master of Science degree program when I first heard about CARs and T cells killing tumors in my Translational Sciences class. Using the immune system to target cancer seemed like a logical and diplomatic strategy, and I was mesmerized by Dr. Cooper's enthusiasm to the field (as most people are, I believe). One rotation later, I joined the Cooper lab and have been growing T cells ever since. During my time in his lab, he taught me how to write grants, which has had its benefit in the recent past and will serve me throughout my career as a biomedical researcher, and I will remember our strategy sessions on how to translate our ideas into the clinic fondly. I can admit that the ROR1-specific T cell project was mired in roadblocks that made it seem impossible at times, but Dr. Cooper was determined to make it into a therapy for cancer patients and encouraged me to stick it out. Now it seems that these T cells will have broad applicability as cancer treatments. In the same light, I had a hair-brained idea to try and grow  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, which was not my project at the time, and Dr. Cooper was supportive of me pursuing this line of questioning. A few pilot experiments eventually resulted in two chapters of this dissertation. Last but certainly not least, he introduced me to my future boss Dr. Steven A. Rosenberg during his precious time visiting with him MD Anderson that resulted in accepting a post-doctoral fellowship at the NIH. For these things and many more – thanks!

I have also been blessed to have worked for two other mentors. First was Dr. Robert A. Davey, who was tasked with teaching me how to use the things I had learned in college to become a professional scientist. Simple practices were key to success: (i) making stock solutions to cut down on pipetting and have internal control of experiments, (ii) why moving tubes up and down on a rack allows you to remember what you've added, (iii) ask the right questions, (iv) the details matter, and (v) write everything down in detail because you will forget and you need to know. After leaving Dr. Davey's lab, I was privileged to earn my MS degree in Cancer Biology under the mentorship of Dr. Madeleine Duvic. Now I am the master of the Western blot. She asked me one day after I started my Ph.D. work in Dr. Laurence Cooper's lab, "You know what would be really great? Make one of those T cell treatments for my patients who have T cell lymphoma." That was the start of the  $\gamma\delta$  T cell project, which is a major focus of this dissertation. The idea was that  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could kill malignant  $\alpha\beta$  T cells. Although this never really happened *per se* as I had imagined it, it led to countless opportunities and may one day be a viable option for cancer treatments in lymphoma and leukemia, as well as non-hematological tumors. Ten years after first working at UTMB, I am now poised to graduate with a Doctor of Philosophy degree from the Cooper lab.

There are many people in Dr. Cooper's lab (both past and present) who have contributed to this work. Dr. Sourindra Maiti developed the NanoString assays and pushed using NanoString to detect V $\delta$  and V $\gamma$  TCR usage, which was incredibly useful in these studies, and he also physically performed and assisted with a number of experiments. He was also instrumental in "thinking outside-the-box" about  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, in particular. Dr. Kirsten Switzer and Tiejuan Mi helped design, implement, and supervise the mouse experiments, including tumor and T cell injections and bioluminescence imaging (BLI). Dr. Amer Najjar also helped design mouse experiments and performed dissections of mice with established ovarian cancer xenografts. Lenka Hurton developed a membrane-bound interleukin-15 (mIL15) fused to its receptor IL15R $\alpha$ , and descriptions for introduced mIL15 herein are from that construct. She also helped process mouse tissues and with BLI. Denise Crossland and I discussed the projects at length and she fed my cells for me when I was not able to come to the lab. Hillary Gibbons Caruso edited papers and grants and also discussed the projects. Janani Krishnamurthy also conversed with me about the projects, especially at our Thursday afternoon informal writing sessions with other graduate students led by Dr. Dean A. Lee. Big thanks to David Rushworth, who drove back with me from Washington D.C. to Houston, TX in 26 hours to escape Super Storm Sandy so that he could sell his house and I could get married. Dr. Harjeet Singh was the main person to test the CD28 and 41BB chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) with CD19-specificity, which were later made into the ROR1-specific constructs. He also helped with flow cytometry, growing T cells, and many other tissue culture issues. Simon Olivares created the Cooper lab Sleeping Beauty transposition system (SBSO), of which many of the CARs, co-

stimulatory molecules, cytokines, antigens, etc., were cloned into and expressed on primary cells and established cell lines. Also, he was very helpful with cloning strategies and molecular biology techniques. Dr. Sonny Ang brought hypoxia to the Cooper lab, assisted early work with CARs targeting ovarian cancer, and cloned CD86 and CD137L for aAPC deconstruction experiments. Dr. Marie Forget and I talked about  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in melanoma and I hope to continue our collaboration while at the NCI Surgery Branch. Dr. Brian Rabinovich made lentiviral vectors that were used to make ffLuc-mKate virus-like particles for transduction of CAOV3 (ovarian cancer) and Kasumi-2 (B-cell ALL) cell lines. Radhika Thokala established the Kasumi-2-ffLucmKate cell line and helped with BLI. Dr. Pallavi Renkata-Manuri taught me how to do my first electroporation and was generous with early guidance on growing T cells. Dr. Hiroki Torikai helped me extensively with testing allogeneic reactivity, growing monocyte-derived dendritic cells, and experiments with umbilical cord blood. Dr. Bipulendu Jena created an anti-CD19-specific CAR idotypic antibody that was used for sorting experiments. He and Rineka Jackson constructed the Appendix M of the ROR1specific T cell Phase I trial. Dr. Colleen O'Connor imparted that flicking a cell pellet was the best way to prime cells for resuspension, and she talked football with me during monotonous tissue culture periods - that is a major bonus for a Texas-born native. Margaret Dawson Johnston and Matthew Figliola processed almost all blood used in these studies and did uncountable other tasks around the lab to keep it running smoothly. Ling Zhang, Cuiping Dai, and Gary Ye were also vital for lab upkeep and providing lab-wide services. Dr. Kumar Pappanaicken helped with flow cytometry for ROR1 staining and will likely do pilot studies combining ROR1-specific T cells and  $\gamma\delta$  T cells for pancreatic cancer treatments. I would also like to thank Linda Lopez, Barbara Liddle, Ruby Robinson, Tiffany Tran, Beverly Smith, and Cha Davis for their assistance. It is only appropriate to end with Helen Huls – the heart and soul of the Cooper lab. Without her we are a mess – literally at times. If you need to know how to do anything in regards to tissue culture, translating lab protocols for clinical trials, or how to manage a workforce, then she is your lady. Again, it would take too long to write down everything Helen has done for me in my professional development, but suffice to say that I would not be at this place without her. To very briefly summarize, the Cooper lab has been a great place to work.

Members of my GSBS committees and other contributors have been invaluable to this process. Drs. Vidya Gopalakrishnan, Dean A Lee, Brad McIntyre, Prahlad Ram, Barbara Savoldo, Stephanie Watowich, and Tomasz Zal served on my advisory, examination, and/or supervisory committees and were instrumental in developing and challenging all ideas presented herein and in my academic development. They have also helped edit this document along with Dr. George McNamara, Dr. Spencer Stonier, Dr. Brian Friedrich, Dr. Maiti, Dr. Cooper, and Denise Crossland. Dr. Lee was kind to meet with many graduate students on Thursday night for writing advice, which was extremely useful, and was very helpful at lab meetings on Friday mornings. Dr. Thomas J Kipps was kind to give us the 4A5 monoclonal antibody specific for ROR1 and the corresponding genetic sequences in order to make the ROR1-specific CARs. Dr. William Wierda has pushed for ROR1-specific T cells for leukemia treatment, and provided primary CLL patient samples. Dr. Robert C. Bast, Jr. was gracious with his time and expertise in ovarian cancer as well as providing 12 ovarian cancer cell lines for the Cooper Lab. The Immunology Program coordinator, Dr. Kimberly Schluns, also played a pivotal leadership role in guiding and preparing my immunology education. Bunny Perez in the GSBS Office Associate Dean for Academic Affairs answered many of my questions during my enrollment in both MS and PhD programs. I would also like to thank administration and Deans of the GSBS. In all, I have been surrounded by faculty who encouraged and enabled my professional and academic developments and I am extremely thankful to them.

Also in play were the core facilities at MD Anderson. The Immune Monitoring Core Lab (IMCL) performed and analyzed Luminex and ELISpot assays. Flow cytometry core lab assisted with FACS and mKate flow cytometry. STR fingerprinting was done by Center for General Cell Group System (CGCS) to corroborate cell line identity. I give my thanks to them for their expertise.

There have also been a number of funding agencies that have believed and supported many parts of the research in this dissertation. The GSBS Research Assistantship was awarded to me during my first 2 years of graduate school. Travel awards were generously provided by the UT-Houston GSBS, Immunology Program at UT-Houston GSBS, Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer (SITC), and American Society of Gene & Cell Therapy (ASGCT). An Excellence in Research Award was also granted by ASGCT at their 16<sup>th</sup> annual meeting. Scholarships in cancer research were given to support this dissertation by the American Legion Auxiliary (ALA) Foundation and Andrew Sowell-Wade Huggins and Cancer Answers Foundations. The Department of Defense Ovarian Cancer Research Program provided stipend and travel support in

ix

the form of a Teal Pre-Doctoral Scholarship. This work could not have been completed without this generous support and I am forever grateful to you for your contributions.

On a personal note, there are three people I need to mention from my childhood who have molded me into the man I am today and without whose influence I would not be writing this document today. When I was 14, I had a soccer coach, Stefan, who taught me exhaustion was not a time to quit - it was at that time that you pushed harder to get what you wanted. This is a crucial skill doing lab work and keeping a high level of concentration for hours on end with no food and endless distractions. The second person was my cardiologist, Dr. Chris Wyndham, who performed a largely experimental ablation surgery to cure me of supraventricular tachycardia. Without his help I would not have been able to do exercise or compete athletically – both of which are a huge part of my personality and my education. Moreover, the experience was the primary piquing of my interest in medicine. Third, Coach Monty was my high school football coach and he made me cry many times. He wouldn't allow me to be "just a kicker" for the team and made me play offensive line in practice with guys twice (and sometimes three) times my size. Two years later, I was an all-district left tackle at a major high school in Texas standing 5 foot, 10 inches tall and weighing 150 pounds. Natural size, strength, and ability will only take you so far. The rest is up to determination and taking risks – especially when you are up against something bigger and stronger than you. What better analogy for someone trying to cure cancer?

Last, but certainly not in the list of contributors, is my family. My parents have always been very supportive and loving. They will not understand much of this document, but that has never stopped them from asking with genuine interest. My

Х

younger brother, Holt, is my best friend, and I am indebted to him for more than I can ever put down on paper. Thanks for keeping me sane. I am also blessed to have two older brothers, Will and Todd, who I would like to give special thanks for piquing my scientific curiosity at a young age and for hanging out with Holt and me when we really needed it, respectively. My loving step-father, Carl Sigrist, is a champion cook and a man of few words. Thanks for making my mother happy (and being her chef – hehe). Moreover, I am blessed to have loving "in-laws" who support and love me like one of their own. Cheers to Donald, Debbie, and Lindsey Dobbs. It is only appropriate to end with my amazing wife, Kristen Leigh Dobbs Deniger. I love you dearly and thank you immensely in supporting me in writing this document. Sorry for acting like a crazy person while preparing it. I am so glad the internet existed so that I could find you.

### **T-CELL TREATMENTS FOR SOLID AND HEMATOLOGICAL TUMORS**

Publication No. \_\_\_\_\_

Drew Caldwell Deniger, M.S.

Supervisory Professor: Laurence Cooper, M.D., Ph.D.

Cell-based therapies have demonstrated potency and efficacy as cancer treatment modalities. T cells can be dichotomized by their T cell receptor (TCR) complexes where  $\alpha\beta$  T cells (95% of T cells) and  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (<5% of T cells) express  $\alpha/\beta$  and  $\gamma/\delta$  TCR heterodimers, respectively.  $\gamma\delta$  T cells have inherent anti-tumor immunity, but their use in the clinic is hampered by a lack of clinically-relevant expansion protocols. In contrast,  $\alpha\beta$  T cells do not have predictable anti-tumor immunity so they can be re-directed to specific molecules on the tumor surface through introduction of tumor-specific molecules such as chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) for reproducible tumor killing. CARs are constructed with the extracellular specificity of a monoclonal antibody to a tumor antigen, e.g. CD19 or receptor tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor-1 (ROR1), fused to intracellular T cell signaling domains (CD3ζ, CD28, CD137). A comparative study was done between  $\alpha\beta$  T cells re-directed with ROR1-specific CARs signaling through CD3 $\zeta$  and either CD28 (ROR1RCD28) or CD137 (ROR1RCD137) in the first specific aim of this dissertation. CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells proliferated to clinically significant numbers and ROR1<sup>+</sup> tumor cells were effectively targeted and killed by both ROR1-specific  $CAR^+$  T cell populations, although

ROR1RCD137 were superior to ROR1RCD28 in clearance of leukemia xenografts *in vivo*. The second specific aim focused on generating bi-specific CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells with polyclonal TCR $\gamma\delta$  repertoire on CD19<sup>+</sup> artificial antigen presenting cells (aAPC). Enhanced cytolysis of CD19<sup>+</sup> leukemia was observed by CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells compared to CAR<sup>neg</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, and leukemia xenografts were significantly reduced compared to control mice *in vivo*. The third specific aim looked at the broad anti-tumor effects of polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expanded on aAPC without CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells, where V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 populations had naïve, effector memory, and central memory phenotypes and effector function strength in the following order: V $\delta$ 2>V $\delta$ 3>V $\delta$ 1. Polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells eliminated ovarian cancer xenografts *in vivo* and increased survival compared to control mice. Thus, translating these methodologies to clinical trials will provide cancer patients novel, safe, and effective options for their treatment.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVALi
TITLE PAGEii
DEDICATIONiii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiv
ABSTRACTxii
TABLE OF CONTENTSxiv
LIST OF FIGURESxxii
LIST OF TABLESxxv
ABBREVIATIONSxxvi
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION1
I.A. Cancer1
I.A.1. Hematological Tumors2
I.A.1.a. B-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia2
I.A.1.b. T-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia4
I.A.1.c. Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia4
I.A.2. Solid Tumors5
1.A.2.a. Ovarian Cancer
1.A.2.b. Pancreatic Cancer7
I.B. Tumor-associated Antigens
I.B.1. CD19
I.B.2. Receptor Tyrosine Kinase-like Orphan Receptor-19
I.C. T cell Immunity

I.C.1. αβ T cells14
I.C.1.a. T-cell Receptor Genetics14
I.C.1.b. αβ T cell Development17
I.C.1.c. αβ T cell Activation17
I.C.1.d. CD4 <sup>+</sup> $\alpha\beta$ T cell Subsets
I.C.1.e. $CD8^+ \alpha\beta$ T cell Subsets
I.C.2. γδ T cells
I.C.2.a. Unique Characteristics of γδ T cells24
I.C.2.b. Vδ1 γδ T cells25
I.C.2.c. Vδ2 γδ T cells27
I.C.2.d. Vδ3 γδ T cells
I.D. Chimeric Antigen Receptors
I.D.1. Generation of CARs
I.D.2. Tumor-associated Antigens Targeted with CARs31
I.D.3. Clinical Trials with CAR <sup>+</sup> T cells
I.E. <i>Ex vivo</i> Propagation of T cells
I.E.1. <i>Sleeping Beauty</i> Transposition-mediated Gene Transfer35
I.E.2. Artificial Antigen Presenting Cells
I.E.2.a. Unique Features of K562 for Antigen Presentation
I.E.2.b. Established aAPC CellBanks and Clinical Trials with aAPC40
I.F. Dissertation Specific Aims
I.F.1. Specific Aim#1: To evaluate whether ROR1-specific T cells can target
ROR1+ tumor cells while sparing normal tissues

I.F.2. Specific Aim#2: To assess whether a CD19-specific CAR Expressed on $\gamma\delta$
T cells will render them bi-specific to tumors through their TCR and CAR43
I.F.3. Specific Aim#3: To evaluate the inherent anti-tumor activity of aAPC-
expanded $\gamma\delta$ T cells against solid and hematological cancers44
CHAPTER II: Clinical Implications for ROR1-specific T cells46
II.A. Hypothesis and Rationale46
II.B. Introduction46
II.C. Results
II.C.1. ROR1 Surface Expression on Tumor Cells
II.C.2. ROR1-specific CAR Plasmid Construction
II.C.3. Development of ROR1 <sup>+</sup> aAPC (clone#1)55
II.C.4. CAR <sup>+</sup> T-cell Expansion on Clone#1 aAPC58
II.C.5. Immunophenotype of ROR1-specific T cells63
II.C.5.a. T cell Immunophenotype of ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD13763
II.C.5.b. Memory Phenotype of ROR1-specific T cells67
II.C.6. TCR Repertoire of ROR1-specific T cells71
II.C.7. IFNγ Production by CAR <sup>+</sup> T cells in Response to ROR173
II.C.7.a. TCR Stimulus with Leukocyte Activation Cocktail73
II.C.7.b. Specific IFNγ Production to ROR1 <sup>+</sup> Leukemia Cells74
II.C.7.c. $CAR^+$ T cells Produce IFN $\gamma$ in Response to Primary ROR1 <sup>+</sup>
Leukemia Cells but not Healthy ROR1 <sup>neg</sup> B cell LCL74
II.C.8. ROR1-specific Cytotoxicity by CAR <sup>+</sup> T cells77
II.C.8.a. CAR <sup>+</sup> T cells Lyse Leukemia but not Healthy B cells77

II.C.8.b. ROR1-restricted Killing of Tumor Cell Lines
II.C.9. In Vivo Leukemia Clearance by ROR1-specific T cells
II.D. Discussion
II.D.1. Importance of Developing ROR1-specific T cells for Leukemia
Patients
II.D.2. ROR1 as a Tumor Target and Safety Concerns in Immunotherapy84
II.D.3. CD28 versus CD137 in CAR Design
II.D.4. Immediate Plans for ROR1-specific T cells in Leukemia Treatment88
CHAPTER III: Bi-specific T cells Expressing Polyclonal Repertoire of Endogenous $\gamma\delta$
T-cell Receptors and Introduced CD19-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor
III.A. Hypothesis and Rationale
III.B. Introduction
III.C. Results
III.C.1. CAR <sup>+</sup> $\gamma\delta$ T cells Numerically Expand on aAPC
III.C.2. Immunophenotype of Numerically Expanded CAR <sup>+</sup> $\gamma\delta$ T cells96
III.C.3. Direct TCR Expression Assay to Reveal $\gamma$ and $\delta$ TCR Usage in CAR $^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$
γδ T cells
III.C.4. T cells Produced Pro-inflammatory Cytokines in Response to
Stimulation through Endogenous TCRγδ and Introduced CAR103
III.C.5. CAR <sup>+</sup> $\gamma\delta$ T cells Exhibit Enhanced Anti-tumor Effects against CD19 <sup>+</sup>
Targets <i>in vitro</i> 105
III.C.6. $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$ cells can Target CD19 <sup>+</sup> Tumor <i>in vivo</i>
III D. Discussion 109

III.D.1. Polyclonal Bi-specific T cells for Immunotherapy109
III.D.2. Changes Observed in V $\delta$ Populations Following Expansion on
aAPC110
III.D.3. Improvements upon CAR Expression on γδ T cells110
III.D.4. Improvements on Type of $\gamma\delta$ T cell used for CAR Immunotherapy114
III.D.5. Clinical Significance of Bi-specific T cells115
CHAPTER IV: Artificial Antigen Presenting Cells Propagate Polyclonal Gamma Delta
T cells with Broad Anti-tumor Activity116
IV.A. Hypothesis and Rationale116
IV.B. Introduction117
IV.C. Results118
IV.C.1. Propagation of γδ T cells on aAPC118
IV.C.2. Roles for Co-stimulation and Cytokine Support in $\gamma\delta$ T cell Proliferation
on aAPC121
IV.C.3. UCB-derived γδ T cells Expansion on aAPC124
IV.C.4. Frequency of $\gamma$ and $\delta$ TCR Usage in aAPC-propagated $\gamma\delta$ T cells126
IV.C.4.a. Vδ and Vγ mRNA Expression126
IV.C.4.b. TCRγδ Surface Protein Expression
IV.C.4.c. Validation of Vδ3 Subset and Vδ Lineage Propagation130
IV.C.5. Immunophenotype of $\gamma\delta$ T cells Expanded on aAPC133
IV.C.5.a. Immunophenotype of Polyclonal γδ T cell Population133
IV.C.5.b. Immunophenotype of Võ1, Võ2, and Võ3 Subsets

IV.C.6. Polyclonal $\gamma\delta$ T cells Secrete Pro-inflammatory Cytokines and
Chemokines
IV.C.7 TCRγδ Involvement in Vδ1, Vδ2, and Vδ3 Production of IFNγ141
IV.C.8. Broad Anti-tumor Cytolysis by Polyclonal γδ T cells143
IV.C.8.a. Polyclonal γδ T cells Lyse Hematological Tumors143
IV.C.8.b. Polyclonal γδ T cells Lyse Solid Tumors145
IV.C.8.c. Mechanism of Tumor Cytolysis by $\gamma\delta$ T cells was Multi-
factorial147
IV.C.8.d. Importance for TCRδ in γδ T cell Cytolysis150
IV.C.9. Clearance of Established Tumor Xenografts by Polyclonal $\gamma\delta$ T
cells154
IV.D. Discussion156
IV.D.1. Importance of Polyclonal γδ T cells for Immunotherapy156
IV.D.2. Potential Ligands for TCRγδ on aAPC157
IV.D.3. Co-stimulation in Polyclonal γδ T cell Expansion158
IV.D.4. Polyclonal $\gamma\delta$ T cells Apparently Lack Allogeneic Responses to Healthy
Tissue159
IV.D.5. Application of Polyclonal $\gamma\delta$ T cells for Immunotherapy162
CHAPTER V: GENERAL DISCUSSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS163
V.A. Dissertation Summary163
V.B. Combinational Cellular Immunotherapies164
V.C. Generation of IL17-producing T cells for Adoptive Immunotherapy166
V.D. Importance of Polyclonal γδ T cells to Immunology167

V.E. Potential Benefits and Issues with Cellular Immunotherapy168
V.F. Clinical Applications of Dissertation Immunotherapies171
CHAPTER VI: Materials and Methods
VI.A. DNA Plasmids and Construct Cloning173
VI.A.1. Tumor Antigens
VI.A.1.a. ROR1
VI.A.1.b. CD19174
VI.A.2. Co-stimulatory Molecules175
VI.A.2.a. CD86 and CD137L175
VI.A.2.b. IL15-IL15Ra Fusion Construct175
VI.A.3. Chimeric Antigen Receptors175
VI.B. Cell Culture
VI.B.1. Established Tumor Cell Lines178
VI.B.2. Genetic Modification of Cell Lines179
VI.B.2.a. ROR1 aAPC (clone#1)179
VI.B.2.b. HLA <sup>-/-</sup> aAPC
VI.B.2.c. Lenitviral Packaging and Gene Transduction
VI.B.3. Primary Tumor Cells
VI.B.4. Lymphocyte Cultures
VI.B.4.a. $CAR^{neg} \alpha \beta T$ cells
VI.B.4.b. $CAR^+ \alpha \beta T$ cells
VI.B.4.c. $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$ cells
VI.B.4.d. Polyclonal γδ T cells

VI.B.4.e. NK cells
VI.B.4.f. γδ T cell Proliferation in Hypoxia187
VI.B.5 γδ T cell Co-culture Deconstruction
VI.B.5.a. Effects of Cytokines on γδ T cell Proliferation188
VI.B.5.b. Effects of Co-Stimulation on $\gamma\delta$ T cell Proliferation188
VI.C. Multiplex Gene Expression Analysis
VI.D. Immunostaining
VI.E. Cytokine Production
VI.F. In Vitro Killing Assays
VI.F.1. Chromium Release Assay191
VI.F.2. Long-term Killing Assay
VI.G. Mixed Lymphocyte Reactions192
VI.H. In Vivo Anti-tumor Activity
VI.H.1. ROR1-specific Anti-leukemia Effects
VI.H.2. CD19-specific Anti-leukemia Activity194
VI.H.3. γδ T cells Clearance of Ovarian Cancer194
APPENDICES
APPENDIX A. Lymphocyte CodeSet Array195
APPENDIX B. Antibodies Used in Dissertation
BIBLIOGRAPHY
VITA

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. ROR1 Protein Structure
Figure 2. Genetic Loci for TCR alleles16
Figure 3. CD8 <sup>+</sup> Memory T cell subsets
Figure 4. Schematic Representation of CARs
Figure 5. Schematic of CAR <sup>+</sup> T cells Expansion on aAPC
Figure 6. Surface Expression of ROR1 on Tumors51
Figure 7. ROR1-specific CAR Transposons
Figure 8. Surface Phenotype of Clone#1 aAPC Used for ROR1-specific T cell
Expansion56
Figure 9. CAR Expression in T cells Before and After Expansion on Clone#1
aAPC60
Figure 10. rROR1 Antigen Binding by ROR1-specific T cells61
Figure 11. Sustained Proliferation of CAR <sup>+</sup> T cells62
Figure 12. Basic Immunophenotype of CAR <sup>+</sup> T cells65
Figure 13. Memory Markers on CAR <sup>+</sup> T cell Surfaces69
Figure 14. TCR $\alpha$ and TCR $\beta$ Expression in ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 cells72
Figure 15. IFN $\gamma$ Production by ROR1-specific T cells in Response to ROR1 <sup>+</sup> targets76
Figure 16. Specific Cytolysis of Primary ROR1 <sup>+</sup> B-cell CLL by CAR <sup>+</sup> T cells78
Figure 17. Specific Cytolysis of Established ROR1 <sup>+</sup> Tumor Cell Lines by CAR <sup>+</sup> T
cells
Figure 18. <i>In vivo</i> Tumor Clearance by ROR1-specific CAR <sup>+</sup> T cells83

Figure 19. $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$ cells Propagate on Designer aAPC95
Figure 20. Immunophenotype of Electroporated, Separated, and Propagated $\text{CAR}^+\gamma\deltaT$
cells98
Figure 21. Distribution of V $\delta$ and V $\gamma$ in $\gamma\delta$ T cells Expanded on
Aminobisphosphonate101
Figure 22. Distribution of V $\delta$ and V $\gamma$ in CAR <sup>+</sup> $\gamma\delta$ T cells102
Figure 23. Bi-specific $\gamma\delta$ T cells Produce Pro-inflammatory Cytokines when
Endogenous TCR and Introduced CAR are Stimulated104
Figure 24. Specific Lysis of CD19 <sup>+</sup> Tumor Cell Lines by CAR <sup>+</sup> $\gamma\delta$ T cells106
Figure 25. In vivo Anti-tumor Activity of $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$ cells108
Figure 26. Specific Lysis of CD19 <sup>+</sup> Tumor Cell Lines by CAR <sup>+</sup> , CAR <sup>++</sup> , and CAR <sup>+++</sup> $\gamma\delta$
T cells
Figure 27. Sustained Proliferation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells on aAPC and IL2/21120
Figure 28. Co-stimulation and Cytokine Requirements for $\gamma\delta$ T cell Expansion on aAPC
<i>ex vivo</i> 123
Figure 29. Expansion of UCB-derived $\gamma\delta$ T cells on aAPC125
Figure 30. Pattern of V $\delta$ and V $\gamma$ mRNA Usage on aAPC-expanded $\gamma\delta$ T cells127
Figure 31. TCR $\delta$ and TCR $\gamma$ Isotype Surface Expression on aAPC-expanded $\gamma\delta$ T
cells
Figure 32. Vo Subset Separation, Propagation, and Resultant TCR Expression on Sorted
T cells

Figure 33. Immunophenotype of Polyclonal $\gamma\delta$ T cells Propagated on aAPC, IL2, and
IL21135
Figure 34. Immunophenotype of V $\delta$ Lineages Propagated on aAPC, IL2, and IL21138
Figure 35. Cytokines and Chemokines Secreted by Polyclonal $\gamma\delta$ T cells140
Figure 36. TCR $\gamma\delta$ -specific IFN $\gamma$ Production by V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 Subsets142
Figure 37. <i>In vitro</i> Cytolysis of Hematological Tumor Cells by γδ T cells144
Figure 38. <i>In vitro</i> Cytolysis of Solid Tumor Cells by γδ T cells146
Figure 39. Neutralization of Polyclonal $\gamma\delta$ T cell Cytolysis149
Figure 40. γδ T cell Subset Acute Cytolysis152
Figure 41. γδ T cell Subset Long-term Killing153
Figure 42. In vivo Tumor Clearance by Polyclonal $\gamma\delta$ T cells155
Figure 43. Absence of Allogeneic Responses by Polyclonal $\gamma\delta$ T cells to Partially Mis-
matched Donors' Healthy Cells161
Figure 44. Proliferation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in Hypoxia Compared to Normoxia170
Figure 45. DNA Plasmid Map for pLVU3G-effLuc-T2A-mKateS158A182

# LIST OF TABLES

Appendix A. Lymphocyte CodeSet Array	
Appendix B. Antibodies Used in Dissertation	230

### **ABBREVIATIONS**

- aAPC: artificial Antigen Presenting Cell
- $\alpha$ FR:  $\alpha$ -Folate Receptor
- $\alpha$ GalCer:  $\alpha$ -galactosylceramide
- APC: Antigen Presenting Cell
- Ab: Antibody
- ADCC: Antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity

Ag: Antigen

ALL: Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

AML: Acute Myeloid Leukemia

ATCC: American Type Culture Collection

BCR: B-cell Receptor

BLI: Bioluminescence Imaging

CAIX: Carbonic anhydrase 9

CAR: Chimeric Antigen Receptor

CCL: CC Chemokine Ligands

- CCR: CC Chemokine Receptors
- CD: Cluster of Differentiation

CD19RCD28: CD19-specific CAR with CD28 and CD3ζ endodomains

CD19RCD137: CD19-specific CAR with CD137 and CD3ζ endodomains

CDR: Complementarity Determining Regions

CEA: Carcinoembryonic Antigen

cGMP: current Good Manufacturing Practices

CLA: Cutaneous Lymphocyte Antigen

CK1E: Casein Kinase-1E

CLL: Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia

CML: Chronic Myeloid Leukemia

CMV: Cytomegalovirus

CRA: Chromium Release Assay

CREB: cAMP Response Element-Binding protein

CSF2R: Colony-Stimulating Factor 2 Receptor

CTLA4: Cytotoxic T-Lymphocyte Antigen 4

DC: Dendritic Cell

DTEA: Direct TCR Expression Array

eGFP: enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein

EGP-2: Epithelial Glycoprotein 2

EBV: Epstein-Barr Virus

EGFR: Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor

FACS: Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting

FBP: Folate Binding Protein

FBS: Fetal Bovine Serum

FDA: Food and Drug Administration

ffLuc: Firefly Luciferase

FRA: oligonucleotides marking transposons signaling through CD137

GvHD: Graft-versus-Host Disease

HLA: Human Leukocyte Antigen

HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus

HSC: Hematopoietic Stem Cell

ICOS: Inducible T-cell Co-Stimulator

ICS: Intracellular Cytokine Staining

IFNγ: Interferon-γ

Ig: Immunoglobulin

IL: Interleukin

IL15/IL15Ra: fusion protein of IL15 to IL15Ra

IND: Investigational New Drug

IPP: Isopentenyl pyrophosphate

IRB: Institutional Review Board

L1-CAM: L1-Cell Adhesion Molecule

LAC: Leukocyte Activation Cocktail

LCA: Lymphocyte Code-set Array

LCL: Lymphoblastoid Cell Line

mAb: monoclonal antibody

MDACC: MD Anderson Cancer Center

MFI: Mean Fluorescence Intensity

MHC: Major Histocompatibility Complex

MICA/B: MHC Class-I Chain-related A/B

MIP1α: Macrophage Inflammatory Protein-1α

MIP1β: Macrophage Inflammatory Protein-1β

mKate: red fluorescent protein

MRD: Minimal Residual Disease

NCI: National Cancer Institute

NHL: Non-Hodgkin's Leukemia

NIH: National Institutes of Health

NKT cells: Natural Killer T cells

NSG: Mice with the NOD.*scid*. $\gamma_c^{-/-}$  genotype

OvCa: Ovarian Cancer

**ORF:** Open Reading Frame

PaCa: Pancreatic Cancer

PBMC: Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells

PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction

PD1: Programmed Death-1

PI3K: Phosphoinositide 3-Kinase

PKC: Protein Kinase C

PMA: Phorbol 12-Myristate 13-Acetate

polyA: polyadenylation tail for mRNA transcripts

pSBSO: Sleeping Beauty transposon plasmid

PSCA: Prostate Stem Cell Antigen

PSMA: Prostate-specific Membrane Antigen

RAC: Recombinant DNA Advisory Committee

RANTES: Regulated on Activation, Normal T cell Expressed and Secreted

ROR1: Receptor tyrosine kinase-like Orphan Receptor-1

ROR2: Receptor tyrosine kinase-like Orphan Receptor-2

ROR1RCD28: ROR1-specific CAR with CD28 and CD3ζ endodomains

ROR1RCD137: ROR1-specific CAR with CD137 and CD3ζ endodomains

RPMI: Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium

SB: Sleeping Beauty

scFv: single-chain variable fragment

SCID: Severe Combined Immunodeficiency

SIM: oligonucleotides marking transposons signaling through CD28

STAT: Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription

TAG72: Tumor-associated Glycoprotein 72

T<sub>C</sub>: Cytotoxic T cell

T<sub>H</sub>: Helper T cell

 $T_{\rm H}$ 1: Type 1 CD4<sup>+</sup> Helper T cell

 $T_{\rm H}2$ : Type 2 CD4<sup>+</sup> Helper T cell

 $T_{\rm H}$ 17: Type 17 CD4<sup>+</sup> Helper T cell

T<sub>REG</sub>: Regulatory CD4<sup>+</sup> Helper T cell

T<sub>CM</sub>: Central memory T cell

T<sub>EFF</sub>: Effector T cell

T<sub>EM</sub>: Effector memory T cell

T<sub>EMRA</sub>: Effector memory RA T cell

T<sub>M</sub>: Memory T cell

T<sub>N</sub>: Naïve T cell

TAA: Tumor-associated antigen

TCR: T-cell Receptor

TGFβ: Transforming Growth Factor-β

TIL: Tumor-infiltrating Lymphocytes

TFN $\alpha$ : Tumor Necrosis Factor- $\alpha$ 

- UCB: Umbilical Cord Blood
- UCSD: University of California at San Diego
- UPenn: University of Pennsylvania

V $\delta$ 1:  $\gamma\delta$  T cell expressing TCR $\delta$ 1 isoform

V $\delta$ 2:  $\gamma\delta$  T cell expressing TCR $\delta$ 2 isoform

Vδ3: γδ T cell expressing TCRδ3 isoform

VEGFR2: Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor-2

WBC: White Blood Cell

ZFN: Zinc Finger Nuclease

Zol: Zoledronic acid (Zometa)

### CHAPTER I

### **INTRODUCTION**

### I.A. Cancer

Cancer is caused by the uncontrolled and abnormal growth of cells that leads to disease and remains the second most common cause of death in the United States of America behind heart disease.(1) It is more prevalent in women than men where the median time at diagnosis is in their 60's and 70's, respectively.(2) Overall, the median age at diagnosis is 66 years old for all cancer types and more than 1.5 million people are estimated to have been diagnosed with cancer in 2012, according to the most current statistics from the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER; http://seer.cancer.gov/statistics). Of these diagnoses, >200,000 are represented from *each* of the three most common cancers: prostate, breast, and lung. The other groups of cancers, therefore, affect roughly 900,000 people per year in the United States, and some of the diagnoses carry dismal chances for survival. For example, roughly 22,000 women are expected to have a new diagnosis of ovarian cancer in 2013 where only 44% of them are expected to survive 5 years, and over 186,000 women are currently estimated to have a history of ovarian cancer in the United States. Similarly, greater than 48,000 new leukemia diagnoses, with 5-year overall survival rate of 56% are predicted for 2013, and more than 287,000 people in the United States have leukemia at present. Cancers can either arise from either (i) the hematopoietic compartment, i.e. bone marrow, blood, and lymphatic system, giving rise

to hematological tumors or (ii) tissues outside of the hematological systems that are generically termed solid tumors. Despite the many treatments that exist for cancer, novel therapies are desperately needed to decrease the mortality and morbidity of this disease.

### I.A.1. Hematological Tumors

Hematological cancers are delineated by their hematopoietic differentiation status and the tissue from which the tumor arises. In regards to leukemia, the different types are separated first by either myeloid or lymphoid lineages and then into acute or chronic stages. Thus, they are classified as (i) acute myeloid leukemia (AML), (ii) chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), (iii) acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), or (iv) chronic lymphocytic leukemia.(3) Immunotherapy targeting tumor associated antigens (TAA), e.g. CD19 or Receptor tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor-1 (ROR1), have potential to lead to tumor regressions and, in some cases when targeting CD19, complete responses have been observed in the clinic.(4-7) The main focus of this dissertation is on developing immunotherapies for the lymphoid subsets of leukemia.

#### I.A.1.a. B-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

The most common pediatric malignancy known is ALL but also affects many adults.(8-10) The median age at ALL diagnosis in 2012 was estimated to be 13 years old.(2) For B-cell ALL (B-ALL), tumors typically arise from the pro-B cell stage and retain

primitive characteristics without undergoing further differentiation.(11) A common subtype of B-ALL halted in normal B cell development is t(1;19) ALL, where the translocation results in an E2A-PBX1 fusion protein that functions in promoting developmental arrest and oncogenic transformation simultaneously.(12) Therapies are being actively sought after for treatment of this B-ALL group by targeting unique or dysregulated proteins resulting from aberrant E2A-PBX1 gene regulation.(13) Cytogenetics and flow cytometric staining of the tumor cell surface molecules are two key tools in the diagnosis of B-ALL, which has clinical presentation consistent of common ailments, i.e. fever, bleeding, pain, fatigue, and lethargy, but is commonly first detected due to high white blood cell counts (WBC).(14, 15) Aggressive treatment, including chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), has dramatically improved overall survival, but long-term health problems frequently arise following therapy particularly amongst children.(16, 17) More specifically, children in remission commonly develop secondary malignancies later in life, and most commonly develop AML.(18) Unfortunately, few effective treatments exist for AML. Incomplete eradication of the primary tumor can result in minimal residual disease (MRD) of the primary tumor and is also a common cause of malignancies later in life that are usually resistant to conventional therapies.(16, 19) Thus, it is of paramount importance that safe and effective therapies are developed for B-ALL patients in order to fully remove their primary tumor, reduce risk the for development of secondary tumors, and improve their expected quality of life as adults.

### I.A.1.b. T-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

T-cell ALL (T-ALL) accounts for less than 25% of ALL cases and has a dismal prognosis relative to B-ALL.(20) The differentiation stage of T-ALL has importance as more immature T cells are correlated to more aggressive disease.(14, 21) Diagnosis and treatment are, in general, similar to those for B-ALL, although one unique and common clinical manifestation of T-ALL is a large mediastinal mass causing shortness of breath.(20, 22) Prognostic indicators for T-ALL response to therapy are widely sought after but are not yet predictive of response. However, particular emphasis on NOTCH mutations and chromosomal translocations has generated much enthusiasm for being able to stratify patients into potential responders and non-responders.(23, 24) As with B-ALL, MRD is a primary concern as it contributes to relapse in many cases and can be diagnosed by amplification of specific TCR alleles.(25) Currently, no adoptive T cell therapies directly targeting their neoplastic T cell counterparts exist for T-ALL. Therefore, development of T cells capable of fratricide may improve the outcomes for T-ALL patients in dire need of therapeutic intervention.

### I.A.1.c. Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia

In contrast to ALL, CLL occurs much later in life and is not as aggressive as ALL.(26) CLL often arises from activated or memory B cells and progresses slowly but is deadly nonetheless with a 5-year median survival.(27) Furthermore, a CLL profile with (i) alterations in chromosomes 11 or 17, (ii) unmutated immunoglobulin heavy chain  $(IgV_H)$  genes, (iii) expression of zeta-chain associated protein kinase-70 (ZAP70), (iv)
expression of CD38, (v) rapid doubling time of tumor lymphocytes, or (vi) increased serum  $\beta_2$ -microglobulin, soluble CD23, and thymidine kinase activity have been correlated with a more aggressive disease status and markedly decreased median survival.(28) CLL is generally asymptomatic and high WBC commonly results in early diagnosis that is later corroborated with cytogenetics and flow cytometry. Most current therapies are not curative and often require palliative care, but some strategies, e.g. chemotherapy, antibody therapy, and stem cell transplant, can extend survival up to multiple years.(29) T cell immunotherapy is an actively pursued therapy for CLL due to the many targetable TAA, e.g. CD19, CD20, CD23, CD52, and CD40, and monoclonal antibody therapies directed at these TAA have resulted in objective clinical responses in CLL treatments.(30) Furthermore, mAbs can be also adapted to T cell therapies in the form of chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) by linking a single chain antibody specific for the TAA to T cell intracellular activation domains.(31) Indeed, several clinical trials with CAR-based T cell therapies targeting CD19 have generated complete responses in both B-ALL and B-CLL (discussed further in Chapter I.D.3.).(4-7, 32) Because CLL can be sensitive to immunotherapy, it is a prime disease target for T cell treatments.

#### I.A.2. Solid Tumors

There are many different types of solid tumors but this dissertation will focus on generating T cell therapies for two model cancers with hopes of future applications to other solid tumors. Ovarian and pancreatic cancers were chosen because of (i) their poor prognostic outcome, (ii) lack of efficacious T cell immunotherapies, and (iii) favorable responses targeting these tumors in initial pre-clinical tests.

### I.A.2.a. Ovarian Cancer

Ovarian cancer (OvCa) is commonly referred to as "the most common gynecological malignancy."(33) The median age at diagnosis is 63 years old, and most patients are diagnosed in late stage (III or IV) which has a 5-year overall survival rate of 27%.(34-36) OvCa typically arises from the ovary, fallopian tube, or peritoneal cavity, and is unique in that traditional metastasis is not common outside of the intraperitoneal cavity.(37) Growth within the intraperitoneal cavity can grossly impact the ability of surrounding organs to function properly and, in some case, can be sites for local metastases. The most useful prognostic indicator for OvCa is CA125, also known as mucin 16 (MUC16), which is shed into the bloodstream and is predictive of progressive OvCa disease status.(38) Standard of care for women facing OvCa treatment is surgical resection and aggressive chemotherapy.(39, 40) Many immunotherapy approaches have been tried with few objective clinical responses.(41-44) Even though OvCa appears to have sensitivity to immunomodulation, a cell-based therapy that results in objective clinical responses has yet to be developed. As the survival rate is dismal for advanced OvCa, novel therapies are urgently needed to combat this disease.

## I.A.2.b. Pancreatic Cancer

Pancreatic cancer (PaCa) is one of the worst cancer diagnoses because 1-year and 5year overall survival rates are 20% and 5%, respectively.(45) It is commonly differentiated based on the anatomical location of the tumor where the tail, neck, and head of the pancreas are distinct locations and the pancreatic head is the most common site where tumors arise.(46) Similar to many of the cancer types discussed above, common health ailments, i.e. pain, weight loss, and appetite-related problems, are used in diagnosis, and patients are usually asymptomatic until metastases have already developed thereby limiting the ability of surgery to cure PaCa.(47) Diabetes is also a common diagnostic tool and is one of many risk factors, in addition to smoking, pancreatitis, genetic predisposition, and nutritional status.(46) Tumor resection dramatically improves outcome, but most cases involve metastases (liver and lymph nodes commonly) that are very difficult to control and treat with standard care.(48) Radiation and Gemcitabine is the standard of care for PaCa but elicits limited efficacy outside of palliative care.(49) Combinational approaches with other chemotherapies were also tested in clinical trials with some promising results but were not curative.(50) Perhaps the most promising results that have been generated are with vaccines (peptide, tumor lysate, or dendritic cells (DCs)) to boost resident immune responses to PaCa.(51, 52) Clinical data support that PaCa is sensitive to T cell responses and suggests that direct adoptive transfer of PaCa-reactive T cells could result in robust clinical responses.

#### **I.B. Tumor Associated Antigens**

The choice of which tumor associated antigen (TAA) to target is crucial for the success of the immunotherapy.(53, 54) The ideal TAA is not expressed on any normal tissues but highly expressed on the tumor cell surface. Most TAAs known thus far are cell surface glycoproteins that are involved in tumor growth or survival, e.g. growth factor receptors, that drive proliferation of the tumorigenic cells. Furthermore, optimal TAAs are often required for the growth of tumor cells meaning the cancer is dependent on the TAA, and removal or inhibition of the TAA or elimination of cells expressing the dependent TAA can lead to effective treatment. Dependence on the TAA is sought after in order to avoid antigen escape of tumor cells, i.e. no longer expressing the targeted TAA but continuing to proliferate, which can lead to relapse and disease progression.(55) Ideally, the TAA would exist on multiple tumor types to allow for targeting of many cancers with a single therapy. With these considerations in mind these studies focus on two TAAs, CD19 and ROR1, which have great promise as targets for cellular immunotherapy.

#### I.B.1. CD19

CD19 is a B-cell lineage-specific protein not expressed on other tissues and is, therefore, an ideal TAA for B-cell malignancies because B cells are not required for survival.(4, 6, 31, 56, 57) Similar to T cells, B cells have a B cell receptor (BCR) expressed on the cellular surface specific for a single cognate Ag.(58) Upon BCR/Ag binding, the B cell will proliferate and produce antibodies with specificity identical to

that of the BCR that are secreted into the circulation for opsonization and pathogen clearance.(59) The BCR complex is crucial for signal transduction, and is composed of CD19, CD21, and CD81, where CD19 is crucial for intracellular signaling.(60-62) CD19 is expressed from the early pro-B cell stage until memory stage and is lost as B cells differentiate into plasma cells. Because of its importance in B-cell function and persistence throughout B cell development, almost all (95%) of B-cell non-Hodgkin's leukemia (NHL) express CD19.(31) Successful removal of CD19<sup>+</sup> tumors results in B-cell aplasia, which can be treated with serum immunoglobulin infusions to restore humoral immunity.(4, 6, 32, 56, 63) Thus, targeting CD19 has proven to be safe and effective means for eliminating B-cell neoplasms, albeit with diminished quality of life.

## I.B.2 Receptor Tyrosine Kinase-like Orphan Receptor-1

In contrast to CD19, much less is known about ROR1, but what is known is that ROR1 (i) is a cell surface protein involved in Wnt5a signal transduction, (ii) plays a critical role in development, (iii) is no longer expressed post-parturition and is not found on almost all adult tissues, and (iv) has aberrant expression later in life on tumor cells making it a candidate TAA target.(64-67) ROR1 and its redundant partner in development, ROR2, were originally cloned and named neurotrophic tyrosine kinase receptor-related-1 and -2 (NTRKR1 and NTRKR2), respectively.(68) An analysis of the ROR1 protein structure reveals that it consists of signal peptide trailed by extracellular Ig-like C2 domain, Frizzled cysteine-rich domain (Fz-CRD), and Kringle domain that are followed by transmembrane (TM) alpha helix, intracellular protein kinase,

serine/threonine-rich domain, and proline-rich domains (Figure 1a). Sequence alignment shows that ROR1 is 57% identical and 81% homologous to ROR2 where there is homology in signal peptide (62%), Ig-like C2 (85%), Fz-CRD (93%), Kringle (90%), TM (95%), protein kinase domain (90%), serine/threonine-rich (87%), and proline-rich (54%) domains between the two proteins (Figure 1b). Single and double knockout mice for ROR1 and ROR2 were established that had multiple developmental problems leading to death shortly after birth.(69, 70) More specifically, ROR1<sup>-/-</sup> mice died of respiratory distress following birth, while ROR2<sup>-/-</sup> mice died of more advanced cardiovascular problems as well as skeletal abnormalities, and ROR1<sup>-/-</sup>ROR2<sup>-/-</sup> double knockout mice had exacerbated disease including transposition of the great arteries, pubic bone dysplasia, and sternal defects. Furthermore, ROR2 continues to be critical for skeletal development during life as autosomal recessive diseases resulting in bone dysmorphia and have been mapped to ROR2 gene mutations (chromosome 9q22) but not ROR1 gene (chromosome 1p32-31).(71-74) To date, ROR1 has not been linked to inherited genetic disease in adults, indicating that its major roles are only in fetal development. In 2008, three independent investigators published reports of ROR1 expression in tumors, and each described ROR1 expression in ~95% of CLL patients with confirmation of absent expression on most normal tissues.(65, 75, 76) Subsequently, ROR1 has been detected in breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, ovarian cancer, melanoma, gastric carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer, t(1:19) B-ALL, and mantle cell lymphoma, but some reports indicate that cytosolic expression of ROR1 exists in some tissues and that there may be surface expression on hematogones (normal B cell developmental precursors), the pancreas, and adipose tissue.(13, 66, 67, 77-81) The discovery of ROR1 expression on tumor cell lines enabled a number of biochemical studies to determine the role of ROR1 in neoplastic transformation. IL6 leads to transcriptional activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription-3 (STAT3) that then increases gene expression of ROR1 transcripts, which may give insight to a potential autocrine or paracrine loop for oncogenic transformation and/or disease progression.(82) Wnt5a binding of ROR1 (presumably to the Fz-CRD) leads to casein kinase-1ɛ (CK1ɛ) activation of phosphoinositol-3 kinase (PI3K) that phosphorylates Akt and results in activation of the transcriptional activator cAMPresponse-element-binding protein (CREB), which upregulates genes important for proliferation and, thus, is likely to result in oncogenic transformation (Figure 1c).(67, 79) The discovery of ROR1 on tumor cells is relatively new, so other signaling pathways have not been elucidated and direct targeting of ROR1 in humans has not been tested to date. Nonetheless, all indications suggest that ROR1 is an ideal TAA target for cellular immunotherapy with broad applicability, and immunotherapies targeting ROR1 in humans will be the ultimate test of its safety as a TAA.



**Figure 1. ROR1 Protein Structure.** (a) Diagram of protein sequence of ROR1 protein domains where abbreviations are as follows: SP; signal peptide, Fz-CRD; Frizzled cysteine-rich domain, TM; transmembrane alpha helix, S/T; serine/threonine-rich domain, P-rich; proline-rich domain. (b) Sequence alignment between ROR1 and ROR2 proteins by ClustalOmega (<u>http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/</u>). Lines above text correspond to colors in (a), (\*) describes identical amino acids, (:) denotes analogous closely related amino acids, and (.) describes similar amino acids. (c) Diagram for ROR1 protein structure in the cellular membrane where Wnt5a binding Fz-CRD leads to the following signal transduction pathway: casein kinase-1 $\epsilon$  (CK1 $\epsilon$ )  $\rightarrow$  phosphoinositol-3 kinase (PI3K)  $\rightarrow$  Akt  $\rightarrow$  cAMP-response-element-binding protein (CREB)  $\rightarrow$  transcriptional activation of genes for proliferation.

### I.C. T cell Immunity

The immune system is critical for pathogen clearance and prevention of disease. It is broadly partitioned into innate and adaptive immune systems, but interplay between innate and adaptive immunity is essential to an effective immune response.(83-86) The innate immune system is composed of many cell types, e.g. macrophages, natural killer (NK) cells, that have broad ranges of specificity to pathogens to remove them upon their primary encounter, and therefore serve as the first line of defense.(87) In contrast, the adaptive immune system is highly specific for a particular part of a pathogen and develops as a secondary and long-lasting response to a individual pathogen. The two major sections of adaptive immunity are the cellular and humoral immune systems.(88) B cells mediate humoral immunity primarily through the production of antibodies (Ab), which coat the surface of pathogens to label them as foreign for direct lysis through complement activation, which forms holes in the membrane thereby destroying the target cells, or by phagocytosis and elimination during the process known as opsonization.(89) In contrast, T cells mediate cellular immunity through direct contact with their target and either directly or indirectly mediate destruction of the pathogenic cell. T cells are typically dichotomized into helper ( $T_H$ ) or cytotoxic/killer ( $T_C$ ) T cells based on their expression of CD4 and CD8, respectively.(90) The combined interaction of these components of the adaptive immune system allow for its unique characteristics of (i) generating highly specific responses to pathogens, (ii) memory formation for more rapid and stronger responses to pathogens upon a repeated or secondary exposure, and (iii) adaptation to increase sensitivity through maturation.(88) Because T cells can exert direct cellular cytotoxicity and create memory responses, they have been used successfully to target and kill cancer cells.

## I.C.1. αβ T cells

The quintessential T cell lineage is the  $\alpha\beta$  T cell subset, which comprises up to 95-99% of circulating T cells, and are the object of most canonical T cell paradigms.(58) In addition to staining for either CD4 or CD8, these T cells are typically identified by costaining with CD3 and their  $\alpha\beta$  T-cell receptor (TCR $\alpha\beta$ ). Effector functions are endowed upon  $\alpha\beta$  T cells through an extensive educational process that results in a unique specificity to an antigen and a corresponding response in the form of T cell help (CD4) or cytolysis (CD8). Therefore, it is important to understand the nuances of  $\alpha\beta$  T cell development and education in order to maximize their impact in adoptive immunotherapy.

## I.C.1.a. T-cell Receptor Genetics

TCRs are subjected to genetic rearrangement events during development to randomly arrange distinct gene segments into an extremely high number of combinations and thus corresponding antigen affinities.(91) Four TCR loci, i.e. TCR $\alpha$ , TCR $\beta$ , TCR $\gamma$ , and TCR $\delta$  exist in the human genome, which lead to two distinct T cell lineages based on TCR pairing.(92) More specifically, the  $\alpha\beta$  T cell lineage is defined by the pairing of TCR $\alpha$  and TCR $\beta$  chains whereas the  $\gamma\delta$  T cell lineage is defined by T cells expressing

TCR $\gamma$  and TCR $\delta$  heterodimers. Each TCR allele is further compartmentalized into variable (V), diversity (D), junction (J), and constant (C) regions. (93) TCR $\alpha$  and TCR $\gamma$ genes have V and J regions while TCR $\beta$  and TCR $\delta$  genes have V, D, and J regions and all TCRs contain C regions (Figure 2). Each specific region is termed based on its region and origin, i.e. V $\alpha$  describes the variable region from the alpha locus or J $\delta$ describes the junction region from the delta locus. The V regions contain complementarity determining regions (CDR) that confer high degrees of antigen specificity, and are therefore important for defining T cell affinity.(94) These V, D (where applicable), J, and C segments are recombined into unique combinations in each T cell during T cell development in a process known as V(D)J recombination.(95, 96) The TCR $\gamma$  (Gene ID: 6965) and TCR $\beta$  (Gene ID: 6957) loci are in distinct locations at 7p14 and 7q34, respectively, but TCR $\delta$  locus (Gene ID: 6964) exists within the TCR $\alpha$ (Gene ID: 6955) locus at 14q11.2 (Figure 2). Upon V(D)J recombination of the Vα and J $\alpha$ , the entire  $\delta$ -chain locus is deleted from the T cell genome in a T-cell receptor excision circle (TREC).(97) Thus, once the  $\alpha$ -chain locus has recombined for a particular T cell, it can no longer become a  $\gamma\delta$  T cell. Programmed mutation of the T cell germline DNA allows for unbiased generation of many TCR specificities for extremely high combinational probabilities (at least  $10^{16}$  possible combinations for  $\alpha\beta$ T cells) for binding any potential foreign pathogen.(98) It is in this random genetic process through which T cells acquire exquisite abilities to mediate cellular immunity.



**Figure 2. Genetic Loci for TCR alleles.** Simplified schematic of exons encoding V, J, and C regions with D regions for  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  chains for TCR $\gamma$  (blue), TCR $\beta$  (red), TCR $\alpha$  (green), and TCR $\delta$  (black). V(D)J recombination of V $\alpha$ , J $\alpha$ , and C $\alpha$  results in excision of the TCR $\delta$  locus in a T cell Receptor Excision Circle (TREC).

## I.C.1.b. $\alpha\beta T$ cell Development

The thymus is crucial for T cell development as it is the location for both V(D)Jrecombination and thymic selection. Thymic selection is important for maintaining central tolerance by eliminating poorly-reactive T cells and over-reactive T cells from the T cell pool by neglect and negative selection, respectively, following V(D)Jrecombination.(99, 100) Positive selection only allows for T cells with intermediate reactivity to their antigen to be released into the periphery.(101, 102) Thymic selection is carried out by thymic cortical epithelial cells which express high levels of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules along with a wide array of proteins, including self-antigens, that are then processed and presented in the context of MHC on the epithelial cell surface.(103, 104) Both MHC Class-I (MHC-I) and Class-II (MHC-II) are expressed by the thymic cortical epithelial cells to stimulate CD8 and CD4 T cells, respectively. The developing T cells express both CD4 and CD8 in the thymus, and based on their TCRaß binding affinity to either MHC-I or MHC-II and subsequent TCR $\alpha\beta$  signaling they will become single positive for either CD8 or CD4, respectively.(105, 106) In this way, both affinity and peripheral T cell function is acquired in the thymic cortex.

## I.C.1.c. $\alpha\beta T$ cell Activation

T cells need to escape the thymus, encounter their corresponding antigen, and have a licensing event towards the antigen in order to become functionally responsive. At least two signals are required for T cell activation but 3 total signals are ideal for full T cell

activation.(107-109) Signal 1 comes from TCRaß interaction with MHC/peptide complexes mediated by CD4 or CD8 co-receptors.(110) However, the intracellular domain of TCR is very short and not able to generate its own intracellular signal. Signaling comes from CD3 molecules that are bound to TCR in the transmembrane through non-covalent interactions.(111) A complex of CD3 subunits surrounds the TCR composed of CD3 $\gamma$ /CD3 $\epsilon$  and CD3 $\delta$ /CD3 $\epsilon$  heterodimers and CD3 $\zeta$ /CD3 $\zeta$  homodimer. Each of the CD $3\gamma$ , CD $3\delta$ , and CD $3\varepsilon$  subunits has an immunoreceptor tyrosine activation domain (ITAM) motif and the CD3 $\zeta$  subunit has three ITAM motifs for a total of ten ITAMs surrounding each TCR. Upon TCRαβ binding to peptide/MHC complex, coreceptors (CD4 or CD8) bind to the constant regions of MHC and begin the signaling process through Lck and Fyn phosphorylation of tyrosine (p-Tyr) residues on the ITAMs.(112) Then ZAP70 can bind to p-Tyr through SH2 domains and becomes activated by Lck. Activated ZAP70 leads to a cascade of downstream activation events resulting in transcriptional and post-translational modifications for the molecules responsible for T cell proliferation and differentiation.(113) However, only receiving signal 1 will lead to functional unresponsiveness otherwise known as anergy.(114, 115) Therefore, the second signal is required and is termed co-stimulation. Examples of activating co-stimulatory molecules expressed on the T cell surface are CD27, CD28, and CD137 (41BB), which bind to CD70, CD80/CD86, and CD137L (41BB-L), respectively, expressed on the antigen presenting cell (APC).(116-118) Some costimulatory molecules are inhibitory, e.g. cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA4) and programmed death-1 (PD1), for immune regulatory purposes.(119) Dendritic cells (DCs) are professional APCs because of their ability to process and present a wide milieu of peptides, high expression of MHC molecules, and expression of costimulatory molecules.(120) DCs are present in tissues and following activation by the innate immune system to foreign antigens/pathogens, they migrate to secondary lymphoid organs to present their environmental data and license T cells to fight the pathogens.(121, 122) It is also important to note that cytokine support, e.g. interleukin-12 (IL12), IL15, and type I interferon (IFN), is generally regarded as signal 3 for T cell activation.(123) In summary, the combination of (i) TCR $\alpha\beta$  engagement with MHC/peptide complex with appropriate co-receptor (CD4 or CD8) binding to MHC, (ii) co-stimulation, and (iii) cytokine support licenses T cells to find their corresponding antigen expressed on damaged or pathogenic cells and to eliminate those cells.

# *I.C.1.d.* $CD4^+ \alpha\beta T$ cell Subsets

CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell subsets are numerous and typically described by the effector cytokines they release, and they can be stratified into  $T_H0$  (naïve),  $T_H1$ ,  $T_H2$ ,  $T_H17$ , regulatory T cells ( $T_{REG}$ ), and natural killer T (NKT) cells.(124) Naïve  $T_H0$  cells can be polarized to differentiate based on environmental cues that then translate into distinct transcriptional programs and result in lineage commitment.(125)  $T_H1$  encourage inflammation and help promote CD8 memory responses by producing IL2, IL12, interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN $\gamma$ ), and tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF $\alpha$ ) while  $T_H2$  cells inhibit inflammatory  $T_C$  response and foster humoral immunity by secreting IL4, IL5, IL6, and IL10.(126) The primary role of  $T_H17$  cells is to enhance neutrophil responses, and these cells are most often characterized by their ability to produce IL17.(127) There is plasticity between  $T_H17$  cells and  $T_{REG}$  cells as both require transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF $\beta$ ) but addition

of IL6 polarizes towards  $T_{H}17$  lineage.  $T_{REG}$  cells are infrequent and can exert strong blockades against other T cell effector functions through both cell-to-cell contact mechanisms and through production of IL10 and TGF $\beta$ .(128) Thus, they are critical for maintaining peripheral tolerance, and when dysregulated can contribute to diseases such as cancer (in the case of overactive T<sub>REGS</sub>) or autoimmune disorders (in the case of underactive  $T_{REGS}$ ). An extremely rare subset of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells are NKT cells, which express invariant TCR $\alpha\beta$  alleles, e.g. V $\alpha24/J\alpha18$  with V $\beta11$ , and are known to produce both  $T_{\rm H}1$  and  $T_{\rm H}2$  cytokines.(129) The best described antigen for NKT cells is  $\alpha$ galactosylceramide ( $\alpha$ GalCer) presented to NKT cells in the context of CD1d, a nonclassical MHC molecule, which leads to NKT expansion and effector function, but the "natural" ligands for NKT in humans are not fully known to date.(130) Some NKT cells express CD8 instead of CD4 and others express neither co-receptor, but their roles are less well known. In aggregate, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells are an important arm of the cellular immune response and can generate a wide range of effects towards eliminating pathogens.

### *I.C.1.e.* $CD8^+ \alpha\beta T$ cell Subsets

In contrast to  $CD4^+T$  cell subsets,  $CD8^+T$  cells subsets are usually defined in terms of their memory response from previous encounters with antigens.(131) As mediators of direct cellular cytotoxicity,  $CD8^+T$  cell memory responses are commonly studied in the context of pathogenic infection or in the context of long-lived tumor-reactive T cells.(132-134) After antigen exposure, naïve T cells (T<sub>N</sub>) proliferate rapidly and exert

cytotoxicity as effector T cells (T<sub>EFF</sub>). The large numbers of antigen-specific T cells then needs to be reduced as to not increase the total peripheral T cell pool each time a pathogen elicits a response, so there is a contraction phase marked by  $T_{EFF}$  sensitivity to extrinsic apoptosis. However, the numbers of antigen-specific cells surviving the contraction phase are greater than the initial antigen-specific T cell pool so that exposure to the same pathogen will result in a faster and stronger attack on the pathogen. These remaining cells are termed memory T cells (Figure 3). Three memory T cell subsets have been described and are called central memory (T<sub>CM</sub>), effector memory (T<sub>EM</sub>), and effector memory RA (T<sub>EMRA</sub>) T cells.(135) T<sub>N</sub> express CD45RA, CD27, CD28, and CCR7 where CD45RA expression is lost on both  $T_{CM}$  and  $T_{EM}$  but is re-expressed on  $T_{EMRA}$  without CD27, CD28, and CCR7. The  $T_{EM}$  and  $T_{CM}$  groups can be distinguished by CD28 and CCR7 where the former expresses neither and the latter expresses both. T<sub>CM</sub> cells have the greatest proliferative capacity with limited effector functions and serve as long-lasting antigen-specific pools. In contrast, T<sub>EM</sub> have immediate effector functions, limited replicative capacity relative to  $T_{CM}$ , and serve as the main memory cytotoxicity mediators.(136) Lastly, T<sub>EMRA</sub> cells are terminally differentiated cells that have effector functions without much proliferative capacity. Even though CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells are not typically stratified in this manner, memory populations have been detected that could produce cytokines following subsequent antigen exposure.(137, 138) Furthermore, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells are necessary for generating CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell memory, suggesting that even though they may not fit into clear subsets they are present and required for memory cytotoxicity.(139) The application of these groupings to cancer immunotherapy also comes with caveats due to the high degree of differences in their disease pathologies, i.e. virus versus cancer. CD27 expressed on  $T_N$ ,  $T_{CM}$ , and  $T_{EM}$  was correlated with greatest responses in cancer immunotherapy, and can be used to predict therapeutic efficacy.(134) While immediate effector function towards cancer in adoptive T cell immunotherapies is desired, it appears that  $T_N$  and  $T_{CM}$  cells are better for this particular task.(131) Generation of persistent CD8<sup>+</sup> populations with memory to the tumor, therefore, is an important consideration for immunotherapy efficacy.



**Figure 3.** CD8<sup>+</sup> Memory T cell Subsets. (a) Limited quantities of antigen-specific naïve T cell ( $T_N$ ) pool exist prior to exposure to antigen (Ag). Upon Ag contact, massive Ag-specific T cell proliferation occurs in the effector T cell ( $T_{EFF}$ ), which is followed by apoptotic contraction phase. Memory T cells ( $T_M$ ) are developed from the increase in Ag-specific T cell population relative to the  $T_N$  starting population. (b) Prior to Ag exposure  $T_N$  cells express CD45RA, CD27, CD28, and CCR7 where CD45RA expression is lost in the formation of  $T_{CM}$  and both CD28 and CCR7 are lost with  $T_{EM}$  cells. Terminally differentiated  $T_{EMRA}$  cells lose CD27 expression and express CR45RA again.

### I.C.2. γδ T cells

 $\gamma\delta$  T cells are a completely separate T cell lineage from  $\alpha\beta$  T cells, and  $\gamma\delta$  T cells have both innate and adaptive immune cell functions.(140) In contrast to  $\alpha\beta$  T cells,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells have predictable inherent anti-tumor immunity mediated directly through their TCR.(141) However,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells comprise only 1 – 5% of the circulating T cell repertoire, making them difficult to work with because of a relative lack of robust protocols for polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cell expansion and their infrequent quantities in peripheral blood.(142, 143) They are identified by co-expression of CD3<sup>+</sup>TCR $\gamma\delta^+$  where expression of CD4 or CD8 is rare, and can be stratified into V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 subsets based on TCR $\gamma\delta$  alleles.(144) Targets of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells include tumor cells, viruses, bacteria, mycobacteria, and cell stress-associated proteins.(145, 146) Therefore,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells are a promising T cell immunotherapy option despite their limited frequencies in blood if they can be expanded.

#### I.C.2.a. Unique Characteristics of $\gamma \delta T$ cells

There are three variable TCR $\delta$  chains and 14 variable TCR $\gamma$  chains expressed in humans, and fewer unique TCR $\gamma\delta$  combinations are observed in  $\gamma\delta$  T cells compared to the immense combinational diversity seen with  $\alpha\beta$  T cells following V(D)J recombination.(92, 144) Expression of TCR $\gamma\delta$  heterodimers on the T cell surface in the thymus inhibits recombination of  $\beta$ -chain locus during the CD4<sup>neg</sup>CD8<sup>neg</sup> stage thereby committing the T cell to the  $\gamma\delta$  T cell lineage.(147) This double negative status is often

maintained after exit from the thymus, most likely because TCRy $\delta$  recognizes antigens outside of MHC-restriction in many cases, making co-receptor expression dispensable for function and endowing them with an ability to recognize antigens outside of the signaling constraints imposed by classical thymic selection.(148) However, the thymus is not required for all  $\gamma\delta$  T cell development, as many of these  $\gamma\delta$  T cells take up residence in peripheral tissues and exhibit immediate effector functions against pathogens.(149) Resident  $\gamma\delta$  T cells can be found in the mucosa, tongue, vagina, intestine, lung, liver, and skin and can comprise up to 50% of the T cell populations in intestinal epithelial lymphocytes (IEL).(144, 150) In contrast, circulating  $\gamma\delta$  T cells can be found in the blood and lymphoid organs, and are canonically dominated by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressing V $\delta$ 2 TCR isotype (called V $\delta$ 2 cells) with few  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressing the V $\delta$ 1 TCR isotype (called V $\delta$ 1 cells) that are more frequently associated with resident  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.(146) Moreover, V $\delta$ 2 cells most commonly pair with V $\gamma$ 9, but V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 3 have broad  $\gamma$ -chain pairing potential.(141, 146) Therefore, the location of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells can lead to their subset diversity and effector functions that can be mediated through specific combinations of  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  TCR chains to recognize pathogens upon encounter in their resident or circulating locations.

#### I.C.2.b. $V\delta I \gamma \delta T$ cells

V $\delta$ 1 cells have a wide range of effector functions and are located in a variety of anatomical locations.(151) They can, theoretically, pair with any of the TCR $\gamma$  chains, and there are a variety of known ligands for V $\delta$ 1 cells.(140) In fact, the crystal structure

of  $V\gamma 1V\delta 1$  has been solved in combination with one of its antigens, MHC Class-I chain-related A (MICA).(152, 153) Cellular stress and/or viral infection result in MICA and its analog, MICB, to become expressed on the stressed/infected cell's surface, so MICA/B is commonly present on tumor cell surface.(154) MICA is also recognized by NKG2D, a receptor expressed by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, NK cells, and, less frequently,  $\alpha\beta$  T cells.(155) Other non-classical MHC molecules and cell stress proteins are also recognized by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. For instance, V $\gamma4V\delta1$  T cells have been shown to have specificity towards heat shock proteins and the non-classical MHC molecule CD1d.(156) Heat shock proteins are commonly over-expressed in tumor cells to handle their high protein translation loads.(157) The CD1d molecule is best described in its ability to expand NKT  $\alpha\beta$  T cells, but  $\gamma\delta$  T cells have also been described to have direct NKT-like functions, enhance NKT  $\alpha\beta$  T cells reactivity to  $\alpha$ GalCer, and have even been shown to have specificity to cardiolipin with CD1d.(158-160) Also, murine  $V\gamma 5V\delta 1$  cells are well described in their ability to serve as dendritic epidermal T cells (DETCs) with APC function.(161-163) Lastly, correlative studies have implicated V $\delta$ 1 cells to have immunity towards cytomegalovirus (CMV) and human Т immunodeficiency virus (HIV).(164, 165) In aggregate, Vol cells have immunity towards microbial pathogens, have antigen presenting capabilities, and can target proteins expressed on the tumor surface.

## I.C.2.c. $V\delta 2 \gamma \delta T$ cells

The most extensively studied subset of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells is the V $\delta$ 2 lineage, which similar to Vδ1 cells, recognize microbial pathogens, serve as APCs, and target cell-stress proteins expressed on tumor cells.(141, 166) Bacterial alkylamines and Listeria monocytogenes are recognized by V $\delta$ 2 cells when paired with V $\gamma$ 2.(167-169) In contrast to V $\delta$ 1, a strong preference towards V $\delta$ 2 heterodimerizing with V $\gamma$ 9 has been well documented.  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  cells have been shown to react to phospho-antigens (isopentenyl pyrophosphate: IPP).  $F_1$ -ATPase expressed on the cell surface, and *Mycobacterium* tuberculosis.(170-172) Furthermore,  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  cells are reactive to cells treated with aminobisphosphonates, e.g. Zoledronic Acid (Zol), which is the only current means of propagating γδ T cells ex vivo in the clinic.(173, 174) Aminobisphosphonates inhibit cholesterol synthesis and build up intermediates in the mevalonate-CoA pathway, including IPP, which is a ligand for  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2.(175)$  This process was serendipitously patients with bone disorders discovered when who were treated with aminobisphosphonates to resume bone growth experienced large *in vivo* expansions of  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  T cells, and aminobisphosphonates methods were subsequently translated into laboratory practice to expand  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  cells *ex vivo*.(176) Thus,  $V\delta 2$  cells are the *only*  $\gamma\delta$  T cells that have been used for adoptive T cell therapy. Utility of the V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 3 lineages is appealing, but there are no current means to rapidly expand them to clinically-significant numbers and the existing polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cell population is too few in number for direct infusion. Nonetheless, numerous clinical trials treating cancer patients with (i) infusions of Zol for *in vivo* Vy9V82 expansions and/or (ii) infusions of *ex vivo* expanded V $\gamma$ 9V $\delta$ 2 cells have generated objective clinical responses but complete responses have been unpredictable and have not always been directly correlated to the V $\gamma$ 9V $\delta$ 2 cells.(177-182) Thus, the extensive work studying V $\delta$ 2 cells has generated much interest in using  $\gamma\delta$  T cells for adoptive immunotherapy.

## I.C.2.d. $V\delta 3 \gamma \delta T$ cells

In contrast to V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2 cells, very little is known about  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressing V $\delta$ 3 TCR alleles (called V $\delta$ 3 cells). The limited quantities in peripheral blood and lack of commercially available reagents for V $\delta$ 3 inhibit attempts to study this subset. V $\delta$ 3 cells are indirectly correlated with CMV and HIV immune responses, but nothing is known about their anti-tumor immunity.(165, 183) Developing a means with which to study this lineage could have important scientific and clinical significance.

## **I.D.** Chimeric Antigen Receptors

Chimeric Antigen Receptors (CARs) re-direct T cells to antigens independent of their endogenous TCR specificity.(184, 185) These recombinant molecules contain in order from N-terminus to C-terminus: (i) a single chain variable fragment (scFv) derived from a monoclonal antibody with specificity to a TAA, (ii) an extracellular stalk, (iii) a transmembrane domain, and (iv) T-cell signaling endodomains (**Figure 4**). Binding of the scFv to its corresponding TAA leads to T cell activation resulting in proliferation, cytokine release, and cytotoxicity.(186) Thus, CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells are re-directed to TAA outside of their thymically-selected affinities.

#### I.D.1. CAR Generations

Successive modifications to the design of CARs have improved their ability to re-direct T cells to TAAs.(187) CAR technology was invented by Dr. Zelig Eshhar (Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel) in 1989, and the original CAR differed from the more modern CARs by (i) having only CD3 $\zeta$  and (ii) TCR constant domain scaffold.(188) Second generation CARs have shown the most efficacy in re-directing T cells and are superior to first generation CARs by adding in a co-stimulatory endodomain, e.g. CD28 or CD137 (41BB), to supplement CD3 $\zeta$  signaling strength present in both generations (Figure 4).(189-193) Third generation CARs, therefore, contain three endodomains, and the most common combination has been CD28, CD137, and CD3 $\zeta$ .(194-196) The order of endodomains does appear to have importance in the ability to stimulate the T cell in both second and third generation CARs, where CD3 $\zeta$ works best at a position most distal to the membrane.(192, 197) The scaffold sequence used has the most difference between investigators where IgG4 constant regions (used in this dissertation), CD8 $\alpha$ , no stalk, and flexible spacers have been used successfully.(13, 32, 192, 193, 198, 199) Although there exist some differences between groups in their CAR-modified T cell products in tumor killing, CARs in general have been shown as a consistent and effective means to target desired antigens and change the T cell response outside of their endogenous specificity.



Figure 4. Schematic Representation of CARs. (a) First generation CARs were constructed with single chain variable fragments (scFv) composed of heavy ( $V_H$ ) and light ( $V_L$ ) variable fragments from monoclonal antibodies specific for TAA, followed by IgG4 constant region (CH2 and CH3 domains displayed), a transmembrane  $\alpha$ -helix, and CD3 $\zeta$  signaling endodomain. (b) Second generation CARs added a co-stimulatory domain, e.g. CD28 or CD137, between CD3 $\zeta$  and transmembrane domain. (c) Third generation CARs use two co-stimulatory domains upstream of CD3 $\zeta$ .

#### I.D.2. Tumor-associated Antigens Targeted with CARs

Effective targeting of different TAAs using CAR-modified T cells has generated enthusiasm around CAR-based immunotherapies. B-cell malignancies have been targeted with CARs specific for ROR1, κ-light chain, CD19, CD20, CD22, CD23, and CD30, which are all confined to the hematopoietic compartment and are not expressed on solid tissues.(57, 77, 200-208) Moreover, CD30 is also expressed on T cells, making CD30-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells candidates for T-ALL therapy, but no T-ALL-specific CARs have been generated to date. Only one report of CARs targeting CML has been made thus far but the actual TAA was not examined.(209) CARs specific for CD33 and CD123 have been generated to target AML, but may have off-target effects due to the importance of CD33 and CD123 in hematopoiesis and viral immunity because of their expression on plasmacytoid dendritic cells that are critical producers of type-I interferons needed for viral clearance.(210-214) OvCa has been the target of multiple CARs including those specific for mesothelin,  $\alpha$ -Folate Receptor ( $\alpha$ FR), and folatebinding protein (FBP).(42, 215-219) Renal cell carcinoma has been targeted through the carbonic anhydrase IX (CAIX), which has minimal expression in normal tissues and is increased in hypoxia.(220-222) Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) is a developmental antigen absent on normal tissue and up-regulated in malignant cells, and CARs targeting CEA have been developed for pancreatic and colorectal cancers.(223, 224) Similarly, the oncofetal antigens h5T4 and ROR1 (discussed in Chapter I.B.2) are only expressed during development and CARs specific for these antigens can target multiple tumor types.(77, 199, 223) The differences between published ROR1-specific CAR T cells and the ones developed in this dissertation are discussed in detail in Chapter II.

Both CAR and mAb immunotherapies have had much success targeting human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (EGFR2, HER2, or ERBB2), which is expressed highly in many cancers. (194, 225-228) However, there is low-level expression of HER2 on normal tissues, which caused an "on-target/off-target" toxicity in the only trial to date testing CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells specific for this TAA on breast cancer, thereby limiting its application.(229) Other EGFR members have been targeted with CARs, including EGFRvIII, which is uniquely expressed on glioblastoma.(230-232) Even glycoproteins (Lewis-Y antigen) can be targeted by CARs, and Lewis-Y antigens are typically studied in the context of EGFR family members.(233) The ganglioside GD2 and L1-cell adhesion molecule (L1-CAM) are common expressed on neuroblastoma, melanoma, and sarcoma (GD2 only), and CARs targeting these TAA were shown to control neuroblastoma growth.(234-239) In addition to GD2 and L1-CAM, high molecular weight melanoma-associated protein was used as a target for melanoma.(240) Melanoma is highly responsive to immunotherapy, and complete responses have been generated from a single infusion of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs).(241, 242) Prostate cancer has two specific antigens with limited expression outside of the prostate, prostate stem cell antigen (PSCA) and prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA), which were both targeted with CARs.(243-245) MUC1 was also another CAR target for both prostate and breast cancers.(246, 247) Other ubiquitous tumor markers, e.g. tumor associated glycoprotein-72 (TAG72) and epithelial glycoprotein-2 (EGP-2) have been targeted by CARs for multiple cancer therapies.(248, 249) Angiogenesis is even the target of a CAR via specificity for Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor 2 (VEGFR2), which is crucial for introducing new blood vessels into the tumors.(250,

251) However, there are major concerns of long-term persistence of these VEGFR2specific cells in terms of regular vasculature growth. Lastly, receptors expressed on tumors can be targeted by "zetakines," which function like CARs but replace the scFv of the CAR with the ligand for a receptor of interest. For example, IL13-Receptor- $\alpha$ -2 (IL13R $\alpha$ 2) was targeted by an IL13 fused to T cell signaling domains to target glioblastoma multiforme and neuroblastoma.(252-255) As outlined, many tumor antigens have been targeted by CARs, highlighting the enthusiasm given to this immunotherapy.

#### I.D.3. Clinical Trials with CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells

Many of the CARs described above have been translated into T cell immunotherapies for cancer patients and have resulted in promising objective clinical responses.(200, 241, 242, 256, 257) The majority of the trials have been focused on CARs developed from the FMC63 mAb specific for CD19.(186, 258, 259) CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells have eliminated tumor from patients resulting in B cell aplasia, a litmus test for longlived clinical responses.(4-7, 260) It was in this model that second generation CARs proved to have superior anti-leukemia effects compared to first generation CARs. Furthermore, long-lived persistence of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells has been achieved by rendering them bi-specific to TAA and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-specific antigens through skewing TCR repertoire in *ex vivo* co-cultures with EBV-transformed lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCL).(206, 211, 212, 236, 237) The most striking clinical responses, including maintained complete responses, have been achieved with second generation CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells signaling through CD137 and CD3 $\zeta$ .(4, 7, 32) The exact reason why these cells out-performed other CARs signaling through CD28 and CD3 $\zeta$  is unknown at present, and pre-clinical models have not shown many differences between CD28 and CD137 CARs.(5, 6) This is an active area of investigation and Chapter II focuses on this question directly with ROR1-specific CARs that are in the approval stages for a Phase I clinical trial. The focus of all Phase I clinical trials, of which most CAR trials have been, is safety and establishing a maximum tolerated dose. Unfortunately, there have been 2 deaths on CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell clinical trials. The first death followed administration of CD19-specific T cells to an elderly patient, who later died of complications not thought to be directly linked to the immunotherapy.(261) In contrast, the second death was directly attributed to the CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells. In this study, a third generation CAR (CD28, CD137, and CD3ζ) specific for HER2 (based on the monoclonal antibody trastuzumab) was used to treat breast cancer, and following infusion of  $10^{10}$  T cells, the patient died of cytokine storm in response to basal levels of HER2 on the lungs.(229) This tragedy has heightened the safety concerns around CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell immunotherapy, and TAA choice, CAR design, and T cell dose are being closely monitored in current and future trials.(262) Nonetheless, clinical trials are currently accruing with CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells targeting HER2 for sarcoma (NCT00902044), glioblastoma multiforme (NCT01109095), and multiple cancer (NCT00889954) treatments (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/). Clinical trials with CAR-modified T cells specific for  $\alpha$ FR were not effective at treating advanced ovarian cancer, and the lack of efficacy was attributed to lack of persistence of T cells in vivo.(42) Other trials targeting solid tumors with TAA, e.g. GD2, L1-CAM, CAIX, and IL13R $\alpha$ 2, which are similar to

HER2 expression in that there is some expression on normal tissues, have been safe and sometimes effective at reducing tumor burden.(186, 220, 221, 235-237) Therefore, the safety and efficacy of a particular CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell clinical trial may vary from investigator to investigator due to nuance in a number of variables surrounding propagation and CAR design and/or from variability between individual patients.

## I.E. Ex Vivo Propagation of T cells

Many platforms exist for the propagation of T cells *ex vivo*, and this dissertation focuses on the use of *Sleeping Beauty* (SB) transposition for gene transfer into T cells followed by propagation on artificial antigen presenting cells (aAPC). This non-viral system for propagating T cells can be contrasted to viral-mediated gene transfer in that the latter requires previous expansion, e.g. with agonistic antibodies or stimulating beads, in order to transduce cells with the transgene of interest and the former does not require previous expansion but rather propagates the T cells *ex vivo* following gene transfer. The SB/aAPC strategy has been translated into the clinic, and modification of the current SB/aAPC will be used to streamline translation of therapies developed in this thesis to the clinic.

## I.E.1. Sleeping Beauty Transposition-mediated Gene Transfer

Non-viral gene transfer with SB transposition establishes stable transgene expression in human cells.(263, 264) SB genes are originally derived from fish that were undergoing

active transposition in their evolutionary maturation and were adapted for transposition into human cells.(265) In short, a DNA transposon with flanking inverted repeats and direct repeats is ligated into the human genome at TA dinucleotide repeats by the SB transposase enzyme. (266) TA dinucleotide repeats are randomly distributed in the human genome, yielding potential for random integration into the genome and has shown to be safe in regards to transgene insertion in pre-clinical studies.(267-269) This is of particular importance in gene therapy as inappropriate integration at gene start sites or promoters, within exons, or even distal to genes within enhancers or repressors can cause cellular transformation. Lentiviruses and  $\gamma$ -retroviruses have higher efficiency in transgene delivery than SB, but these vectors are known to integrate near genes or within genes.(186) Moreover, this was a particular problem in gene therapy trials treating X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency syndrome (X-SCID) where roughly half of the patients receiving transduced cells later developed leukemia as a result of integration near the LMO2 gene.(270, 271) In contrast, no preference towards a particular chromosome or gene "hotspot" has been detected with SB.(267) Application of SB to human T cells has worked as a two DNA plasmid system, where one plasmid contains the SB transposon with the transgene of interest, e.g. CAR, and the other plasmid encodes the SB transposase.(272) Electro-transfer of the DNA plasmids by Amaxa nucleofection into quiescent peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) results in transient expression of SB transposase that then ligates the CAR transposon into the genome. As soon as the SB transposase mRNA is degraded translation of SB transposase protein is halted, thereby limiting the chances of additional transposition events. CAR expression can be encouraged through the co-culture of T cells on aAPC that express cognate antigen for the CAR.(273) aAPC serve as feeder cells, and recursive stimulations with  $\gamma$ -irradiated aAPC promote CAR-specific growth. Typically, after 30 days of co-culture >90% of cells will express CAR (**Figure 5**). Thus, SB transposition is an efficient gene transfer modality in T cells and modified T cells can be expanded *ex vivo* by aAPC co-culture.



Figure 5. Schematic of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells Expansion on aAPC. PBMC are isolated by Ficoll-Hypaque or steady state apheresis and are electroporated with plasmids encoding either (i) *Sleeping Beauty* transposase or (ii) *Sleeping Beauty* transposon containing CAR. Transient expression of CAR is observed the following day, and recursive stimulations with K562-derived aAPC are performed weekly with exogenous IL2 and/or IL-21. Pictured here are the clone#1 aAPC that expresses CD19, ROR1, CD64, CD86, CD137L, and IL15/IL15R $\alpha$ . Following a month of co-culture on aAPC, stable CAR expression is achieved and clinically-relevant numbers of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells are ready for cryopreservation and then infusion into cancer patients.

#### I.E.2 Artificial Antigen Presenting Cells

CARs stimulate T cells independent of their TCR specificity, and a primary aim of this propagation schema is to stimulate the CAR without affecting TCR repertoire by avoiding TCR/MHC interactions. Classical dendritic cells, thought of as "professional" APC, are infrequent in peripheral blood, laborious to manipulate, have limited replicative ability, and would need to be generated in the autologous setting for each immunotherapy patient. For these reasons, an alternative means for CAR-specific proliferation was sought after with the goal of serving as a global "off-the-shelf" bank of aAPCs to stimulate T cells independent of their MHC typing.

## I.E.2.a Unique Features of K562 for Antigen Presentation

K562 has become an efficient aAPC line because it (i) lacks most MHC Class-I molecules, (ii) can be genetically modified easily, and (iii) proliferates robustly for easy cell banking and scale-up purposes.(273-276) The lack of MHC Class-I molecules (no A or B but limited C) on the K562 surface is advantageous because CD8-specific allogeneic reactivity is minimized or could be tailored to certain HLA restriction for TCR-specific responses.(277, 278) Expansion of T cells on aAPC has shown that polyclonal TCR repertoire is readily achieved, suggesting that the aAPCs do not skew endogenous TCR-response to a particular affinity or antigen.(263) Another important characteristic of using K562-derived aAPC is their susceptibility to further gene modification by either non-viral or viral mediated gene transfer. For instance, a master aAPC cell bank was modified with both IL15 fusion protein to IL15 receptor- $\alpha$ 

(IL15/IL15R $\alpha$ ) and ROR1 antigen for memory formation and propagation of ROR1specific T cells, respectively (**Chapter II**). Also, HLA-Cw3 was detected on K562 cells, so Cw3 was efficiently removed with zinc-finger nucleases to create HLA<sup>-/-</sup> K562 cells (Torikai H, Cooper LJN, and Lee DA, unpublished observations) in order to generate new aAPC completely devoid of HLA Class-I molecules. Thus, working cell banks can be easily re-tooled to ask biological questions regarding aAPC mechanics and/or maximize therapeutic cell output. Given the apparently unlimited proliferative capacity of K562 cells and their genetically modified counterparts, optimization of stimulations can be done easily and changed at will with options to use high ratios of aAPC to T cells. Furthermore,  $\gamma$ -irradiation of aAPC prior to co-culture with T cells is well tolerated by K562 in acute phases but eventually subjects the aAPC to death (typically 3 days) thereby eliminating most risk for unintended transfer of this tumor cell line into patients.(273) Therefore, K562 cells are an ideal source for antigen presentation and T cell stimulation.

## I.E.2.b. Established aAPC Cell Banks and Clinical Trials with aAPC

As of now, four clinical trials have used K562-derived aAPC as T cell and NK cell expansion platforms at MD Anderson (NCT01653717, NCT01619761, NCT00968760, NCT01497184). Clone#4 aAPC generated at University of Pennslyvania (UPenn) was used successfully to expand CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells in both autologous and allogeneic settings.(57, 263, 272, 273, 279-281) The surface phenotype of clone#4 is characterized by expression of: (i) CD19, (ii) CD32 (as an endogenous protein), (iii)
CD64, (iv) CD86, (v) CD137L, and enhanced green fluorescence protein (eGFP; surrogate marker for IL15 expression). Similarly, clone#9 aAPC was also generated at UPenn and has a surface phenotype of: (i) CD19, (ii) CD32 (as an endogenous protein), (iii) CD64, (iv) CD86, and (v) CD137L. Clone#9 aAPC was further modified to express membrane-bound IL21 for trials propagating NK cells.(275) Translation of expansion protocols into the clinic was readily achieved and validated this approach. Patients treated with aAPC-expanded lymphocytes did not show toxicity, suggesting that this is a safe approach (Cooper LJN, unpublished observations). Thus, aAPC will be used for the propagation of T cells in this dissertation for direct clinical application.

## **I.F. Dissertation Specific Aims**

This dissertation has three major specific aims, which attempt to solve the gaps in the above knowledge and/or application of immunotherapy. More specifically, these aims are directed at either harnessing the inherent anti-tumor immunity of T cells for cancer therapy, modifying T cells with natural anti-tumor capacity with CARs for enhanced specificity, or re-directing T cells with unpredictable anti-tumor immunity to cancer through CAR expression. This multivariate approach has resulted in approval of one Phase I clinical trial and holds the potential to result in other clinical trials for treatment of both solid and hematological tumors.

I.F.1 Specific Aim#1: To evaluate whether ROR1-specific T cells can target  $ROR1^+$  tumor cells while sparing normal tissues. The *hypothesis* of this specific aim is that

ROR1-specific CARs will re-direct the specificity of T cells to target ROR1<sup>+</sup> malignancies and that CARs signaling through CD137 will be superior to CD28 in therapeutic efficacy. The *rationale* for this specific aim is that (i) ROR1 is a candidate TAA because it is expressed on a number of tumors but is not on most normal tissues, (ii) the 4A5 monoclonal antibody specific for ROR1 can be adapted to generate a CAR, (iii) CARs can re-direct T cells to TAA and empower them to kill TAA<sup>+</sup> malignancies, and (iv) cancer patients treated with CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells have achieved complete responses. Sub-Aim 1.1. To generate ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells. Sequences from 4A5 antibody hybridoma will be constructed into second generation ROR1-specific CARs signaling through (i) CD28 and CD3 $\zeta$  (ROR1RCD28) or (ii) CD137 and CD3 $\zeta$  (ROR1RCD137), which will be part of SB transposons for stable CAR expression in T cells. CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells will be propagated on  $\gamma$ -irradiated ROR1<sup>+</sup> aAPC (clone#1), and CAR<sup>+</sup> T-cell numeric expansion will be monitored by inferred cell counts and flow cytometry for 28 days. Sub-Aim 1.2. To phenotype ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells. Extended phenotyping for memory and homing markers will be performed by flow cytometry at the end of the co-culture period. Genotyping will also be performed with nCounter gene expression platform for TCR isotype expression and lymphocyte-associated genes. Sub-Aim 1.3. To assess whether CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell function is specific for ROR1. Cytokine production and 4hour chromium release assay (CRA) will be used to evaluate CAR<sup>+</sup> specificity in responding to ROR1<sup>+</sup> targets with ROR1<sup>neg</sup> targets as negative controls. ROR1<sup>+</sup> leukemia xenografts will be established in immunocompromised mice which will be treated with CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells to evaluate tumor clearance *in vivo*.

I.F.2. Specific Aim#2: To assess whether a CD19-specific CAR expressed on  $\gamma\delta$  T cells will render them bi-specific to tumors through their TCR and CAR. The *hypothesis* of this specific aim is that enforced CAR expression on  $\gamma\delta$  T cells would stimulate them independent of their TCR $\gamma\delta$ , thus leading to expansion of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells with polyclonal TCR $\gamma\delta$  repertoire, and would amplify the anti-tumor effects from TCR $\gamma\delta$  towards TAA<sup>+</sup> malignancies through the CAR. The *rationale* for this specific aim is that (i)  $\gamma\delta$  T cells have inherent anti-tumor immunity through a number of combinations of TCRy and TCR $\delta$  pairings, (ii) the use of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in the clinic is currently restricted to V $\gamma$ 9V $\delta$ 2 even though other  $\gamma\delta$  T cell lineages have anti-tumor reactivity, (iii) CARs stimulate T cells independent of their TCR, (iv) electroporation of SB transposons containing the CAR can be achieved in quiescent PBMC with a polyclonal repertoire of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, and (v) CD19-specific CAR transposon plasmids and CD19<sup>+</sup> aAPC are currently in clinical trials at MD Anderson and these reagents can be used to quickly translate findings from this specific aim into clinical trials. Sub-Aim 2.1. To propagate CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells on aAPC. The second generation CD19-specific CAR (CD19RCD28) currently in clinical trials is available as highly pure DNA and will be used for gene transfer into quiescent PBMC from which  $CAR^+ \gamma \delta$  T cells will be propagated on CD19<sup>+</sup> aAPC. CAR expression and inferred cell counts will be used to evaluate  $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$  cell numeric expansion. Sub-Aim 2.2. To phenotype  $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$  cells. After a month of expansion on aAPC, CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cell surface phenotypes will be evaluated for T cell and memory molecules by flow cytometry and TCR $\gamma\delta$  allele expression will be assessed by nCounter gene expression analysis. Sub-Aim 2.3. To determine the ability of  $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$  cells to

*functionally respond to tumors.* Cytokine production and 4-hour CRA assays will be tested against CD19<sup>+</sup> tumor targets with CD19<sup>neg</sup> targets serving as negative controls. Autologous CAR<sup>neg</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells will be used to compare CAR-specific responses to CD19<sup>+</sup> tumors. CD19<sup>+</sup> leukemia xenografts will be established in immunocompromised mice which will be treated with CAR<sup>+</sup> $\gamma\delta$  T cells to evaluate anti-tumor effects *in vivo*.

I.F.3. Specific Aim#3: To evaluate the inherent anti-tumor activity of aAPC-expanded  $\gamma\delta$  T cells against solid and hematological cancers. The hypothesis of this specific aim is that aAPC will expand polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells that will have broad anti-tumor immunity. The *rationale* for this specific aim is that (i) CAR<sup>neg</sup> polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells proliferated in parallel to CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells described in specific aim#2 on aAPC, (ii) no current expansion protocols exist for polyclonal y8 T cells for the clinic, (iii) aAPC are currently in clinical trials and are available as a master cell bank in the manufacturing facility at MD Anderson, (iv)  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressing V $\delta$ 1 are correlated with long-term remissions in cancer therapy but have not been directly infused as an adoptive immunotherapy, (v)  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressing V $\delta$ 2 have shown anti-tumor effects as direct adoptive immunotherapies, (vi)  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressing V $\delta$ 3 have not been described to have direct anti-tumor immunity leaving a gap in the field of knowledge, and (vii) a polyclonal approach to  $\gamma\delta$  T cell immunotherapy could target multiple ligands on the tumor through a diverse repertoire of TCR $\gamma\delta$ . Sub-Aim 3.1. To propagate  $\gamma\delta T$  cells on *aAPC*. PBMC or UCB will be sorted for  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, and then co-cultured with aAPC used in clinical trials at MD Anderson. Flow cytometry and inferred cell counts will be used to evaluate proliferation of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Subsets of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells will also be sorted and expanded as co-cultures with clinical aAPC to assess differences in  $\gamma\delta$  T cell lineages. *Sub-Aim 3.2. To phenotype*  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expanded on aAPC. After one month of co-culture on aAPC, the surfaces of polyclonal or sorted  $\gamma\delta$  T cells will be evaluated for T cell and memory markers by flow cytometry and TCR allele expression will be assessed on nCounter gene expression platform. *Sub-Aim 3.3. To examine the range of killing capabilities by aAPC-expanded*  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Polyclonal or sorted  $\gamma\delta$  T cells will be evaluated for their ability to produce cytokines in response to TCR stimulation or coculture with tumor cells derived from solid and hematological cancers. Standard 4-hour CRA will be used to assess acute cytolysis and long-term co-cultures will evaluate durable killing abilities. Neutralizing antibodies will be employed to determine the specificity of killing. OvCa xenografts will be established in immunocompromised mice which will be treated with polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells to test their tumor clearance *in vivo*.

#### CHAPTER II

#### **Clinical Implications for ROR1-specific T cells**

## **II.A. Hypothesis and Rationale**

The *hypothesis* of this chapter is that ROR1-specific CARs will re-direct the specificity of T cells to target ROR1<sup>+</sup> malignancies and that CARs signaling through CD137 will be superior to those signaling through CD28 in therapeutic efficacy. The *rationale* for this chapter is that (i) ROR1 is a candidate TAA because it is expressed on a number of tumors but not on most normal tissues, (ii) the 4A5 monoclonal antibody specific for ROR1 can be adapted to generate a CAR, (iii) CARs can re-direct T cells to TAA and empower them to kill TAA<sup>+</sup> malignancies, and (iv) cancer patients treated with CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells have achieved complete responses. This chapter describes preclinical testing of ROR1-specific T cells that have clinical implications as cancer immunotherapies.

#### **II.B. Introduction**

Current clinical trials use T cells expressing CARs specific for CD19, an antigen expressed on the surfaces of all B cells, to eliminate refractory B-cell malignancies.(4, 57, 184, 186) However, there is also loss of normal CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells in patients undergoing this therapy, which can result in serious health complications including loss of humoral immunity.(7, 32) Furthermore, loss of CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells in an elderly patient

treated with CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells resulted in death from an opportunist viral infection.(261) ROR1 is absent on most normal B cells and other healthy tissues (**Chapter I.B.2.**), but is expressed on many B-cell tumors (mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), ALL with t(1:19) translocations, and >95% of CLL) and solid tumors (lung and breast cancer, OvCa, PaCa, renal cell carcinoma, and melanoma) where ROR1 expression is required for cellular growth and survival.(13, 64, 66, 67, 75, 79, 80, 282) Thus, CARs targeting ROR1 instead of CD19 would allow for tumor elimination while sustaining the normal B cell repertoire, and ROR1-specific T cells have the potential for use in a number of solid tumors.

The design of the CAR is a source of debate at present. Striking clinical data, including complete responses, were observed in ALL and CLL patients treated with second generation CD19-specific CARs having CD137 (41BB) endodomain or the more frequently used CD28 region.(5-7, 32) However, the differences between the two CARs or their mechanisms of improved efficacy over other CAR clinical trials are unknown at present. CAR clinical trials targeting CD19 open at MD Anderson use the CD28 moiety (NCT01653717, NCT00968760, NCT01497184), but are being adapted to (i) directly compare CD28 to CD137 CARs and/or (ii) replace CD28 CARs with CD137 CARs. These trials, and those performed at other independent centers, will aim to validate these remarkable responses and determine whether CD28 or CD137 is the ideal co-stimulatory domain for CD19-specific CARs.

However, these results may not necessarily hold true for targeting different antigens due to differences in antibody affinity and/or antigen expression. Direct immunotherapy of ROR1-specific antibody (through clone 2A2) has been proposed as an option for leukemia and broader cancer treatment, but this antibody appears to have strong cytoplasmic staining in a number of normal tissues (despite absence of ROR1 mRNA expressed in these tissues) and directly binds to adipocytes that express small amounts of ROR1 mRNA.(77, 81, 283) CARs have been developed from the 2A2 (mouse) and R12 (goat) antibodies, and CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells were generated in central memory T cells ( $T_{CM}$ ) that could then efficiently lyse ROR1<sup>+</sup> tumor, but their reactivity towards normal tissues outside of normal B cells was not evaluated.(77, 199) The optimal 2A2 and R12 CARs for expression in T<sub>CM</sub> cells had short extracellular domains (14 amino acids) with CD137 and CD3 $\zeta$  signaling endodomains. In contrast to other ROR1specific antibodies, the 4A5 clone developed by Dr. Thomas J Kipps (Moores Cancer Center, UCSD) has not been shown to bind any normal tissues, except hematogones (dispensable B-cell precursors), but is highly reactive to a number of cancers, including leukemia, OvCa, and PaCa.(66, 67, 75, 79) Therefore, this clone was chosen for generation of ROR1-specific T cells in the expansion system developed at MD Anderson that has a number of differences to the previous studies, including (i) 4A5 antibody specificity, (ii) expression of CAR in polyclonal peripheral T cells containing naïve and  $T_{CM}$  reported to have maximal efficacy as CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells,(131) (iii) propagation of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells on aAPC containing membrane-bound IL15/IL15Ra fusion protein for optimal cytokine signaling potency and memory formation, and (iv) expansion schema without the need for sorting steps that can complicate clinical translation. Thus, CARs developed based on this strategy are hypothesized to have efficient killing of ROR1<sup>+</sup> malignancies and could answer some of the same fundamental CAR questions in a broader set of peripheral T cells.

Clinical trials have not yet tested ROR1-specific CARs in humans, so this report of pre-clinical testing of ROR1-specific CARs aims to directly test CD28 and CD137 signaling CARs to streamline trial design and clinical efficacy for cancer treatments. "First-in-man" clinical trials open at MD Anderson translated (i) co-electro-transfer of CD19-specific CAR Sleeping Beauty (SB) transposon with SB transposase and (ii) expansion of CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell on CD19<sup>+</sup> aAPC into clinical manufacturing and were successfully transplanted into leukemia patients without toxicity or adverse event, suggesting that this is an effective and safe strategy (Cooper LJN, unpublished observation). This study builds upon these successes and adapts current (i) CAR plasmids, (ii) working aAPC cell banks expressing co-stimulatory molecules for endogenous co-stimulation of CD28 and CD137, and (iii) protocols for direct clinical application. A phase I clinical trial has been approved by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) DNA Recombinant Advisory Committee (RAC) based on the data herein and is currently under review at the MD Anderson Cancer Center Institutional Review Board (IRB). Thus, ROR1-specific CARs are close to being tested for the first time in cancer immunotherapy.

## **II.C. Results**

# II.C.1. ROR1 Surface Expression on Tumor Cells

Surface expression of ROR1 was detected on a number of leukemia cell lines, OvCa cell lines, and primary leukemia patient samples before proceeding with generating ROR1-specific CARs. The 4A5 monoclonal antibody has been shown to have high

affinity binding to ROR1,(75) and it was provided by Dr. Thomas J Kipps (UCSD) for testing ROR1 expression at MDACC. EL4 is a murine T-cell lymphoma cell line with low cross-reactivity with human T cells most likely due to their differences in MHC molecules. This cell line does not express human ROR1, thus they were genetically modified to express ROR1 in order to assess CAR-specific responses independent of their TCR interaction with MHC (Figure 6a). Human B-cell ALL cell lines were readily accessible and were profiled for ROR1 expression. As expected, ROR1 was present on some, but not all, B-ALL cell lines. More specifically, NALM6 and Kasumi2 tested negative and positive for ROR1, respectively (Figure 6b). ROR1 was also expressed on most (11 of 12) OvCa cell lines tested, which are best exemplified by ROR1<sup>+</sup> EFO27 cells and the only ROR1<sup>neg</sup> OvCa cell line tested, A2780 (Figure 6c). ROR1 was originally described as a cancer antigen in B-cell CLL, so primary B-cell CLL patient samples were acquired for testing in parallel with LCL derived from healthy donor B cells immortalized with EBV. Indeed, CLL samples stained for ROR1 while LCL did not (Figure 6d). These results corroborated the previous literature and gave us confidence to go forward with generating a ROR1-specific CAR designed from the 4A5 antibody.



**Figure 6. Surface Expression of ROR1 on Tumors.** The 4A5 mAb specific for ROR1 was used to assess ROR1 expression on the surface of (**a**) EL4 parental (ROR1<sup>neg</sup>) and genetically modified EL4-ROR1 cells, (**b**) B-ALL cell lines NALM6 and Kasumi2, (**c**) OvCa cell lines A2780 and EFO27, and (**d**) primary patient B-CLL cells or healthy donor LCL by flow cytometry. Mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) are displayed near corresponding histograms and legends are displayed above corresponding graphs.

#### II.C.2. ROR1-specific CAR Plasmid Construction

Two SB transposons were constructed with second generation ROR1-specific CARs for side-by-side comparison between the CD28 (ROR1RCD28) and CD137 (ROR1RCD137) endodomains (Figure 7a and 7b, respectively). CD19 constructs were prepared in parallel with the CD28 (CD19RCD28) and CD137 (CD19RCD137) endodomains as controls for current standard T cell therapy and were identical to ROR1-specific CARs except in two pieces. First, the single chain variable fragment (scFv) differ between the CD19 and ROR1 constructs where the FMC63 and 4A5 monoclonal antibodies specific for CD19 and ROR1 were used, respectively. Second, CD19 CARs use the colony-stimulating factor-2 receptor (CSF2R) signal peptide whereas ROR1 CARs use the murine IgG  $\kappa$  signal peptide. Human elongation factor-1 $\alpha$ promoter was used to drive CAR expression of all CARs. Following the promoter, the CAR open reading frame was composed of (from 5' to 3'): signal peptide, scFv with Whitlow linker, modified extracellular IgG4-Fc stalk,(272) CD28 transmembrane domain, CD28 or CD137 endodomains, and intracellular CD3<sup>\zeta</sup> containing three ITAM domains. Interspaced between the STOP codons and the polyadenylation (polyA) tail were unique oligonucleotides to distinguish the two CAR transposons by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The CD28 constructs could be distinguished from CD137 constructs by the "SIM" and "FRA" oligonucleotides, respectively. Thus, detection of T cell persistence in patients undergoing ROR1-CAR T cell therapy can be monitored and can corroborate flow cytometry data. SB indirect repeats flanking the promoter (5' end) and the polyA tail (3' end) defined the CAR transposons to be integrated within TA repeats in the human T cell genome. Lastly, kanamycin resistance was used to

selectively amplify CAR plasmids in bacteria to large quantities (0.5 - 1.0 mg), which were cleared for transfection after testing negative for endotoxin. In summary, these two ROR1-specific CAR plasmids mimic current plasmids used for CD19-specific CAR clinical trials at MD Anderson and should be directly translatable to the clinical setting.



Figure 7. ROR1-specific CAR Transposons. DNA plasmid vector maps for (a) ROR1RCD28 and (b) ROR1RCD137. Abbreviations are as follows, IR/DR: Sleeping Beauty Inverted Repeat, hEF-1alpha/p: Human Elongation Factor-1 alpha region hybrid ROR1RCD28CD3z: promoter, Human codon optimized ROR1-specific scFvFc:CD28zeta chimeric antigen receptor, ROR1RCD137CD3z: Human codon optimized ROR1-specific scFvFc:CD137zeta chimeric antigen receptor, SIM: "SIM" PCR tracking oligonucleotides, FRA: "FRA" PCR tracking oligonucleotides, BGH polyA; bovine growth hormone polyadenylation sequence, ColE1: A minimal E.coli origin of replication, Kanamycin (Kan/R): Bacterial selection gene encoding Kanamycin resistance, Kanamycin promoter (Kan/p); Prokaryotic promoter. Digestion with BsrGI enzyme can distinguish the two plasmids, which have high degrees of similarity. The entire plasmid sequences were verified by Sanger-based sequencing techniques.

#### II.C.3. Development of ROR1<sup>+</sup> aAPC (clone#1)

aAPC have been shown to propagate T cells *ex vivo* through (i) expression of cognate antigen or (ii) activation through membrane-bound antibody. However, current clinical K562-based aAPC cell banks at MD Anderson do not express ROR1. Therefore, a new aAPC was developed to express ROR1 and an IL15 fusion protein to the IL15 receptor- $\alpha$  (IL15/IL15R $\alpha$ ) along with the other molecules present on aAPC surfaces. Transpresentation of IL15 by IL15R $\alpha$  has been shown to have higher signaling potency than IL15 alone in other models.(284, 285) Clone#1 feeder cells were derived from the K562 cell line, which was previously made to express CD19 antigen, co-stimulatory molecules (CD86 and CD137L), and Fc receptors (endogenous CD32 and introduced CD64) for loading of agonistic anti-CD3 antibody (OKT3). Thus, the CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells had the potential to receive co-stimulation through the CAR and from endogenous binding of CD28 and CD137 on the T cell to CD86 and CD137L, respectively, on the aAPC. Prior to co-culture, aAPC were  $\gamma$ -irradiated (100 Gy) and typically die within 3 days of co-culture. Clone#1 aAPC were phenotyped prior to co-culture to ensure that all markers were present at >80% (Figure 8 right panels). Negative and positive controls were parental K562 cells (Figure 8 left panels) and clone#4 aAPC (Figure 8 middle **panels**) used in CD19-specific  $CAR^+$  T cell clinical trials at MD Anderson, respectively. The expression of IL15 by clone#4 is detected with eGFP as a surrogate marker but IL15 was directly detected on the surface of the clone#1 cells. Cytokine support, co-stimulation, and antigen expression by clone#1 aAPC gave us confidence in its ability for use in  $CAR^+ T$  cell propagation.



56

**Figure 8. Surface Phenotype of Clone#1 aAPC Used for ROR1-specific T cell Expansion.** Parental K562 (left), clone#4 aAPC (middle), and clone#1 aAPC (right) were stained for surface marker expression and were analyzed by flow cytometry. Top plots are forward scatter (FSC; x-axes) by side scatter (SSC; y-axes). Other plots were eGFP (x-axes) with the following on the y-axes from top to bottom: CD19, CD32, CD64, CD137L, ROR1, IL15, and CD86. Quadrant frequencies are displayed in the upper right corners.

#### II.C.4. CAR<sup>+</sup> T-cell Expansion on Clone#1 aAPC

Healthy donor PBMC were electroporated with (i) no DNA as a negative control for CAR expression, (ii) SB11 transposase and ROR1RCD28 transposon plasmids, or (iii) SB11 transposase and ROR1RCD137 transposon plasmids. The following day, cells were phenotyped for CAR expression on their surfaces where "no DNA" and isotype antibodies served as negative controls. Transient expression of CAR was detected in T cells at  $41\% \pm 6\%$  and  $41\% \pm 8\%$  (mean  $\pm$  SD; n=3) for ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137, respectively, as evidenced by co-staining for Fc (IgG4-Fc extracellular stalk of CAR) and CD3 (Figure 9a). Co-cultures were then initiated with  $\gamma$ -irradiated clone#1 aAPC and CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells at a 1:1 ratio. Similarly,  $\gamma$ -irradiated OKT3-loaded clone#4 aAPC and "no DNA" T cells were co-cultured at 1:1 ratio of total cells. Cocultures were supplemented with IL21 (30 ng/mL) at the outset of co-culture and every 2-3 days thereafter. Recursive stimulations were performed every 7 days as above for four total stimulations, except that (i) IL2 (50 U/mL) was supplemented with IL21 starting at the second stimulation and (ii) NK cells were depleted from cultures with CD56 microbeads at day 15. At day 29, stable CAR expression was observed suggesting that clone#1 aAPC enforced CAR expression in T cells (Figure 9b). More specifically, CAR was expressed in T cells at 90%  $\pm$  3% and 79%  $\pm$  11% (mean  $\pm$  SD; n=3) for ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137, respectively, at the end of the co-culture. There was a difference between the transient and stable populations for ROR1RCD28 (p = 0.006) and ROR1RCD137 (p = 0.009), but the populations did not have significant differences in CAR expression (p = 0.184) following expansion. ROR1RCD137 had consistently lower mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) compared to ROR1RCD28 (51  $\pm$ 

8 vs 102  $\pm$  68, respectively) after expansion, but the reason for this is unknown at present. Recombinant rROR1 (rROR1; soluble extracellular domain) was purified and directly conjugated to a fluorescent marker (courtesy of Dr. Thomas J Kipps, USCD) for detection of antigen binding by  $CAR^+$  T cells. CD19-specific  $CAR^+$  T cells were expanded in parallel to serve as negative controls for rROR1 binding (Figure 10a). The CD19RCD28 had higher CAR expression than did ROR1RCD28, which could be explained by the differences in signal peptides used (human CSF2R and murine IgGK, respectively). Nonetheless, ROR1RCD28 bound to rROR1, but CD19RCD28 and CAR<sup>neg</sup> T cells did not bind to rROR1 (Figure 10b). Proliferation kinetics between the two ROR1 CAR populations was similar in total cells counts (p = 0.66; Two-way ANOVA) and in CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell counts (p = 0.74). Total cell proliferation closely coincided with CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell proliferation kinetics for both ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 (Figure 11). ROR1RCD28 resulted in an average of 2.5x10<sup>9</sup> total inferred cell counts (range  $1.4 \times 10^9 - 4.0 \times 10^9$ ) and  $2.2 \times 10^9$  CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells (range  $1.3 \times 10^9$  $-3.6 \times 10^{9}$ ), and ROR1RCD137 resulted in an average of  $3.6 \times 10^{9}$  total inferred cell counts (range  $3.7x10^9 - 8.2x10^9$ ) and  $2.9x10^9$  CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells (range  $2.4x10^9 - 6.7x10^9$ ). Thus, SB transposition resulted in stable CAR expression and co-culture on clone#1 aAPC led to clinically-relevant numbers of ROR1-specific T cells.



**Figure 9. CAR Expression in T cells Before and After Expansion on Clone#1 aAPC. (a)** Transient expression of ROR1RCD28 (middle) and ROR1RCD137 (right) T cells the day following electroporation where "no DNA" T cells (left) were used as negative controls. (b) Stable CAR expression in ROR1RCD28 (middle) and ROR1RCD137 (right) populations. T cells were marked by CD3 staining and CAR<sup>+</sup> cells were detected with anti-Fc antibody. Quadrant frequencies are displayed in upper right corners.



Figure 10. rROR1 Antigen Binding by ROR1-specific T cells. Recombinant ROR1 (rROR1) was purified and conjugated to fluorescent tag for detection of ROR1-specific T cells (ROR1RCD28). CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells (CD19RCD28) and "no DNA" CAR<sup>neg</sup> T cells were used as negative controls. (a) Fc detection of CARs and (b) rROR1 binding.



**Figure 11. Sustained Proliferation of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells.** (a) Total cells and (b) CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell proliferation on clone#1 aAPC. ROR1RCD28 represented on the left and ROR1RCD137 shown on the right. Each symbol represents a different healthy donor.

#### II.C.5. Immunophenotype of ROR1-specific T cells

# *II.C.5.a. T* cell Immunophenotype of ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137

Following 29 days of expansion on irradiated clone#1 aAPC, ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 cells were profiled for (i) gene expression using the nCounter gene expression array platform (NanoString) and (ii) T cell surface proteins and memory markers by flow cytometry. A unique panel of lymphocyte genes was assembled for analysis on the nCounter and was termed "Lymphocyte CodeSet Array" or LCA (Appendix A). As expected, both  $\delta$  and  $\epsilon$  isoforms of CD3 (CD3D and CD3E, respectively) were highly expressed by both CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell populations, and there was higher expression of both CD3D and CD3E in ROR1RCD28 cells (Figure 12a). Expression of CD3 $\zeta$  was not evaluated at the mRNA level because it could not be distinguished from CD3ζ on CAR intracellular domains. Nonetheless, >97% of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells were  $CD3^+$  on the cell surface (**Figure 12b**). There was also a trend of decreased expression of CD4 and CD8A transcripts in ROR1RCD137 cells relative to ROR1RCD28 and there was ~100 times more CD8A transcript than CD4 (Figure 12a middle panels). The same was observed at the protein level where both CARs preferentially expanded CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells over CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and on average there were fewer CD4 and CD8 T cells in the ROR1RCD137 culture (Figure 12b top panels and **12c**). This phenomenon of fewer  $CD4^+$  and  $CD8^+$  T cells is most likely attributed to small frequencies of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (identified by CD3<sup>+</sup>TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ ) that were present in the ROR1RCD137 cultures and not in the ROR1RCD28 cultures (Figure 12b bottom **panels**), because  $\gamma\delta$  T cells are commonly negative for both CD4 and CD8 but express

CD3.(286) Indeed,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells can proliferate on aAPC (**Chapters III and IV**), which suggests that they may compete for clone#1 for proliferative signal and diminish ROR1RCD137 cells from reaching >90% CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells. NK cells were present in cultures at Day 15 and were depleted with CD56 microbeads from all cultures, so negligible quantities of CD3<sup>neg</sup>CD56<sup>+</sup> NK cells were detected at the end of the coculture period two weeks later (**Figure 12b, middle panels**). CD56 was also expressed by T cells at the end of the co-culture period and is associated with MHC-unrestricted cytolysis (**Figure 12a and 12b**).(287) Significant differences between T cell surface protein expression were not observed (p = 0.322) between the two CARs in respect of CD3, CD4, CD8, CD56, NK cells, or  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (**Figure 12c**). These results suggest that CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells have canonical T cell phenotype features and on the basis of these evaluated markers were highly similar.



**Figure 12. Basic Immunophenotype of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells.** After 29 days of expansion on clone#1 aAPC, ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 cells were (i) lysed for mRNA expression analysis or (ii) phenotyped for T cell surface markers by flow cytometry. (a) RNA lysates were interrogated on nCounter gene expression array with "lymphocyte CodeSet array" (LCA) and normalized CD3 (far left), CD4 (middle left), CD8A (middle right), and CD56 (far right). mRNA expression are displayed for ROR1RCD28 (open shapes) and ROR1RCD137 (closed shapes). Student's paired, 2-tailed t-test was used

for statistical analysis (n = 3). \*p<0.05 (b) CD4 (x-axes) and CD8 (y-axes) expression (top panels), CD3 (x-axes) and CD56 (y-axes) expression (middle panels), and CD3 (x-axes) and TCR $\gamma\delta$  (y-axes) expression (bottom panels) of one of 3 representative donors. Gate frequencies are in the upper right corners and correspond to gate quadrants. (c) Frequencies of cells staining positive for each lymphocyte marker where each shape represents an individual donor, ROR1RCD28 are in open shapes and ROR1RCD137 are in closed shapes, NK cells were defined as CD3<sup>neg</sup>CD56<sup>+</sup>,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were defined as CD3<sup>+</sup>TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ , and data are mean ± SD (n = 3).

# II.C.5.b. Memory Phenotype of ROR1-specific T cells

Naïve  $(T_N)$  and central memory  $(T_{CM})$  T cells have been associated with long-term CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell therapeutic efficacy due to their ability to achieve persistence *in vivo*.(131) Both ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 cells predominantly expressed memory markers associated with  $T_N$  and  $T_{CM}$  memory phenotypes at Day 29 of co-culture (Figure 13). The mRNA expression of memory-associated genes was first evaluated with LCA, which identified a significant reduction in the inhibitory regulatory gene CTLA4 and an increase in expression of the transcription factor Lef1, which has been described to participate in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell memory formation, in ROR1RCD137 cells relative to ROR1RCD28 cells (Figure 13a).(119, 288) As seen with the mRNA gene expression data, surface protein expression of CD28 was significantly (p = 0.003; Student's paired, 2-tailed t-test) higher in ROR1RCD137 cells compared to ROR1RCD28, whereas CD27 was highly expressed in both  $CAR^+$  T cell populations suggesting they have not reached terminal differentiation (Figure 13a, 13b, and 13d). CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells populations were also similar in their high surface protein expression of lymphoid organ homing and memory markers CD62L and CCR7, suggesting they could home to organs harboring leukemia (Figure 13a, 13c, and 13d). A trend of decreased gene expression of SELL (CD62L) gene was observed in ROR1RCD137 cells, whereas CCR7 transcripts were roughly equivalent between the two CAR populations and protein expression was roughly equivalent for both sets as well. There was also a trend of higher expression of the antigen-experienced marker CD45RO over the more naïveassociated marker CD45RA in both populations (Figure 13d). Both groups were similar overall (p = 0.251; Two-way ANOVA) in expression of CD27, CD28, CD45RA,

CD45RO, CD62L, and CCR7. To further analyze memory potential, multi-parameter gating was used to define specific memory populations as naïve  $(T_N;$ CD45RA<sup>+</sup>CD27<sup>+</sup>CD28<sup>+</sup>CCR7<sup>+</sup>), central memory  $(T_{CM};$ CD45RA<sup>neg</sup>CD27<sup>+</sup>CD28<sup>+</sup>CCR7<sup>+</sup>), effector  $(T_{EM};$ memory CD45RA<sup>neg</sup>CD27<sup>+</sup>CD28<sup>neg</sup>CCR7<sup>neg</sup>), and effector RA  $(T_{EMRA};$ memory CD45RA<sup>+</sup>CD27<sup>neg</sup>CD28<sup>neg</sup>CCR7<sup>neg</sup>).(131, 289) Most CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells belonged to T<sub>N</sub> and T<sub>CM</sub> groups with few T<sub>EM</sub> and T<sub>EMRA</sub> (Figure 13e). ROR1RCD137 had a trend of higher frequencies of cells belonging to T<sub>N</sub> and significantly higher T<sub>CM</sub> groups than ROR1RCD28, and overall the two CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell populations were different (p = 0.019; Two-way ANOVA). In aggregate, the surface phenotypes of ROR1-specific CAR T cells suggest their potential for memory and effector functions against ROR1<sup>+</sup> malignancies.



Figure 13. Memory Markers on CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell Surfaces. After 29 days of expansion on clone#1 aAPC, ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 cells were (i) lysed for mRNA expression analysis or (ii) phenotyped for T cell surface markers by flow cytometry. (a) RNA lysates were run on the nCounter LCA and normalized expression of CTLA4 (far left), Lef1 (middle left), CCR7 (center), SELL (CD62L; middle right), and CD28 (far right) are displayed. Student's paired, 2-tailed t-tests were done for statistical analyses. \*p<0.05 (b) CD27 (x-axes) and CD28 (y-axes) expression and (c) CCR7 (x-axes) and CD62L (y-axes) expression of one of 3 representative donors. (d) Frequencies of cells

staining positive for each memory marker. Student's paired, 2-tailed t-tests were done for statistical analyses. \*\*\*p<0.001 (e) Frequencies of cells staining positive for memory groups (T<sub>N</sub>: naïve, T<sub>CM</sub>: central memory, T<sub>EM</sub>: effector memory, T<sub>EMRA</sub>: effector memory RA). Statistical analysis was Student's paired, 1-tailed t-test between CAR groups for each memory group. \*p<0.05 For (a), (d) and (e), each shape represents an individual donor, ROR1RCD28 are in open shapes and ROR1RCD137 are in closed shapes, and data are mean ± SD (n = 3).

#### II.C.6. TCR Repertoire of ROR1-specific T cells

Multiplex gene expression analysis was used to assay differences in TCR genes. Skewing towards a particular TCR clonotype was evaluated between the two CAR populations to assess whether CD28 or CD137 CARs particularly expand a select group of TCRs (Figure 14). The "direct TCR expression array" or DTEA was developed to analyze all 45 V $\alpha$  and 46 V $\beta$  TCR isotypes in a single reaction using the nCounter gene multiplex array platform.(290) After 22 days of expansion on clone#1 aAPC, ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 were assessed for TCR isotype expression by DTEA (Figure 14). Frequencies of TCR $\alpha$  regions were not statistically different between the two CARs (p = 0.25; Repeated measures Two-way ANOVA), no obvious trends were observed, and comparisons for each TCR $\alpha$  (Student's paired, two-tailed t-test) resulted in p values >0.05 for all alleles (Figure 14a). Similarly, TCR $\beta$  isotypes were not significantly different between ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 when analyzed together (p = 0.33) or as individual genes (Figure 14b). TCR $\alpha$  and TCR $\beta$  were both polyclonal suggesting that skewing to a particular TCR isotype did not occur. Additionally, DTEA measured TCR $\gamma$  and TCR $\delta$  expression where all V $\delta$  counts were 0.9% and 1.9% of the ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 total TCR frequencies, respectively, and V $\gamma$  counts were 6.2% and 8.1% of the ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 total TCR frequencies, respectively. These results showed that y8 T cells were minor contributors to the total CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell pools, which were mainly  $\alpha\beta$  T cells as determined by DTEA. Thus, CAR endodomain signaling was not preferential to a particular TCR $\alpha\beta$  clonotype but rather generated polyclonal  $\alpha\beta$  T cells.



Figure 14. TCR $\alpha$  and TCR $\beta$  Expression in ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 cells. nCounter gene multiplex array was used to interrogate TCR isotype expression with "direct TCR expression array" (DTEA) in CAR-modified T cells after expansion on clone#1 aAPC. Cells were lysed at day 22 of co-culture period. (a) TCR $\alpha$  and (b) TCR $\beta$  expression in ROR1RCD28 (filled bars) and ROR1RCD137 (open bars) T cells.

## II.C.7. IFNγ Production by CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells in Response to ROR1

In order to assess whether  $CAR^+$  T cells were functional and specific for  $ROR1^+$  tumor cells, IFN $\gamma$  production was measured by flow cytometry after activation with leukemia cells or TCR agonists. Brefeldin-A was co-cultured with T cells to inhibit IFN $\gamma$ secretion. Collectively, the data suggest that  $CAR^+$  T cells were specific and functional in responding to  $ROR1^+$  tumors.

#### II.C.7.a. TCR Stimulus with Leukocyte Activation Cocktail

Phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) and Ionomycin were used as leukocyte activation cocktail (LAC) to stimulate the T cells for evaluation of maximal TCR response. LAC mimics TCR activation by activating protein kinase C (PKC) and increasing intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> levels and, therefore, is a measure of non-specific T cell activation.(291, 292) ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 T cells were mock activated (media only) as a negative control or activated with LAC for 6 hours. Significant expression of IFN $\gamma$  was measured in response to LAC as seen in example histograms (**Figure 15a**) and average mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) of IFN $\gamma$  staining (**Figure 15b**). There was a trend of higher production of IFN $\gamma$  by ROR1RCD28 compared to ROR1RCD137 that was not statistically different (p = 0.120). These results established that IFN $\gamma$  was produced when CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells were activated through canonical TCR signaling pathways and suggested that ROR1RCD28 had higher propensity to express IFN $\gamma$  relative to ROR1RCD137 cells.

73

## II.C.7.b. Specific IFN $\gamma$ Production to ROR1<sup>+</sup> Leukemia Cells

Both Kasumi2 and NALM6 are B-cell ALL cell lines that express CD19, but only Kasumi2 expresses ROR1 (**Figure 6a**). Thus, they were used to assess responsiveness of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells to human leukemia cells in 6 hours of co-culture. As expected, ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 T cells produced IFN $\gamma$  when co-cultured with Kasumi2 cells but not with NALM6 (**Figure 15c**). Similarly to LAC activation, ROR1RCD137 cells produced less IFN $\gamma$  than ROR1RCD28 cells (**Figure 15d**) in response to the ROR1<sup>+</sup> cell line. Nonetheless, ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells responded specifically to ROR1<sup>+</sup> leukemia.

# II.C.7.c. $CAR^+$ T cells Produce IFN $\gamma$ in Response to Primary ROR1<sup>+</sup> Leukemia Cells but not Healthy ROR1<sup>neg</sup> B cell LCL

It was important to ensure that ROR1-specific T cells would respond to primary ROR1<sup>+</sup> leukemia samples and spare normal B cells. LCL cell lines are immortalized healthy B cells, which served as negative controls in experiments where primary patient samples were used as targets. No IFN $\gamma$  was produced by CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells when co-cultured for 6 hours with allogeneic LCL cell lines (**Figure 15e**). In contrast, significant (p = 0.004, Student's paired, 2-tailed t-test) IFN $\gamma$  was produced by ROR1RCD28 and there was a trend of increased IFN $\gamma$  production by ROR1RCD137 with CLL but did not reach a measure for statistical significance (**Figure 15e and 15f**). This was the same observation seen in an independent study testing ROR1-specific T cells, albeit with CARs derived from different mAbs specific for ROR1, where less cytokine production

was seen with CARs signaling through CD137 relative to those signaling through CD28.(199) Thus, ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells were functionally responsive to primary ROR1<sup>+</sup> leukemia and not to healthy B cells.



Figure 15. IFN $\gamma$  Production by ROR1-specific T cells in Response to ROR1<sup>+</sup> Targets. Brefeldin-A (GolgiPlug) was added to T cells to block IFN $\gamma$  secretion in order to measure functional responses to agonistic stimulation. At day 29 of co-culture, CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells were co-cultured for 6 hours at 37°C and cells were gated for CD3<sup>+</sup>Fc<sup>+</sup> to assess CAR responses to: (a)/(b) complete media (Mock) or PMA and Ionomycin (leukocyte activation cocktail; LAC), (c)/(d) B-ALL cell lines NALM6 (ROR1<sup>neg</sup>) or Kasumi2 (ROR1<sup>+</sup>), or (e)/(f) healthy donor LCL cell line (ROR1<sup>neg</sup>) or CLL patient sample (ROR1<sup>+</sup>). Mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) are displayed next to histograms in (a), (c), and (e), which are representative of three CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell donors. Mean ± SD (n = 3) are displayed in (b), (d), and (f). Student's paired, 1-tailed t-test for statistical analysis. \*p<0.05 and \*\*p<0.01
## II.C.8. ROR1-specific Cytotoxicity by CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells

Cytotoxicity was another important assessment of ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell function. Four-hour chromium release assays (CRA) are the gold-standard technique for *in vitro* killing assays. Thus, CRA was used to test specific lysis of ROR1<sup>+</sup> control cells, established tumor cell lines, and primary tumor cells. Significant lysis was only observed against ROR1<sup>+</sup> cells suggested that CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells were specific in their lytic abilities.

## *II.C.8.a. CAR*<sup>+</sup> *T* cells Lyse Leukemia but not Healthy B cells

The clinical trial based on these data will treat patients with B-cell CLL, so primary Bcell CLL samples were tested as targets by allogeneic ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells. ROR1<sup>neg</sup> LCLs were used for negative controls for CLL samples (**Figure 6a**). As expected, minimal lysis was observed by ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 against LCL (**Figure 16a**). In contrast, both ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 killed patient CLL cells in a dose-dependent manner (**Figure 16b**). More variability was observed in ROR1RCD28 samples in their lysis of CLL compared to ROR1RCD137, which was almost identical amongst donors. These data indicated specific lysis of ROR1<sup>+</sup> leukemia by CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells while sparing normal B cells.



Figure 16. Specific Cytolysis of Primary ROR1<sup>+</sup> B-cell CLL by CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells. (a) Established ROR1<sup>neg</sup> B-cell LCL and (b) Primary patient ROR1<sup>+</sup> CLL cells were tested for cytolysis by ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells in standard 4-hour CRA. Specific lysis by ROR1RCD28 (left) and ROR1RCD137 (right) at decreasing effector to target (E:T) ratios. Each line and shape represents a different effector donor. Data are mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicate measurements in CRA.

## II.C.8.b. ROR1-restricted Killing of Tumor Cell Lines

A number of established tumor cell lines express ROR1 as an endogenous or introduced protein (Figure 6), so they were used for killing assays in parallel to cell lines lacking ROR1 expression. As expected, both ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 efficiently lysed EL4-ROR1<sup>+</sup> but showed minimal lysis of EL4-ROR1<sup>neg</sup> cells (Figure 17a). Similar to EL4 data, ROR1<sup>+</sup> B-ALL cell line Kasumi2 was lysed at significantly higher levels (p < 0.0001) compared to ROR1<sup>neg</sup> B-ALL cell line NALM6 by ROR1RCD28 (Figure 17b left). The same was observed for ROR1RCD137 where Kasumi2 was lysed at significantly higher levels (p < 0.0001) compared to NALM6 (Figure 17b) right). In contrast to ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells, donor-matched CD19<sup>+</sup> specific  $CAR^+$  T cells lysed all three cell lines, which were all  $CD19^+$  (data not shown), and suggested that ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 were more discriminant in their killing abilities. Furthermore, ROR1<sup>+</sup> OvCa cell line EFO27 was lysed at significantly (p<0.0001) higher levels than ROR1<sup>neg</sup> OvCa cell line A2780 by both ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 (Figure 17c). In summary, ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells demonstrated effective and specific lysis of ROR1<sup>+</sup> tumor cells *in vitro*.



Figure 17. Specific Cytolysis of Established ROR1<sup>+</sup> Tumor Cell Lines by CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells. Standard 4-hour CRA were used to assess specific lysis of (a) EL4-ROR1<sup>neg</sup> (circles) or EL4-ROR1<sup>+</sup> (squares) cells, (b) ROR1<sup>neg</sup> NALM6 (circles) or ROR1<sup>+</sup> Kasumi2 (squares) cells, and (c) ROR1<sup>neg</sup> A2780 (circles) or ROR1<sup>+</sup> EFO27 (squares) cells by ROR1RCD28 (left) and ROR1RCD137 (right) at decreasing E:T ratios. Each line and shape represents a different target where data are mean  $\pm$  SD of three donors with triplicate measurements in CRA.

#### II.C.9. In Vivo Leukemia Clearance by ROR1-specific T cells

In order to test the anti-tumor activity of ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells *in vivo*, a mouse model of MRD was implemented for leukemia and ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells were tested as treatment arms. Kasumi2 cells were sensitive to ROR1-specific T cells lysis, so they were genetically modified to express mKate red fluorescence protein to sort transduced cells (Figure 18a) and *Firefly Luciferase (ffLuc*; bioluminescence reporter) for non-invasive bioluminescence imaging (BLI) of tumor burden in vivo (Figure 18b). NOD.*scid*. $\gamma_c^{-/-}$  (NSG) mice were used because they lack functional adaptive immune systems and can, therefore, accept human tumor xenografts well. Mice engrafted with Kasumi2-*ffLuc*-mKate had consistent  $\log_{10}$ -fold increases in bioluminescence flux from their tumors and succumbed to disease after 27 (average) days after engraftment (Figure 18c circles and 18d top panel). ROR1RCD28 was able to diminish tumor burden significantly (p = 0.0004) above untreated mice as measured by tumor BLI flux (Figure 18c squares and 18d middle panel) and was able to increase survival significantly (p = 0.002) to an average of 30 days post-engraftment. Furthermore, ROR1RCD137 eliminated tumor burden significantly above both untreated mice (p =(0.0001) and ROR1RCD28-treated mice (p = 0.002) as measured by tumor BLI flux (Figure 18c triangles and 18d bottom panels), and was able to increase survival significantly longer compared to both untreated mice (p < 0.001) and ROR1RCD28treated mice (p = 0.03) to 34 days (average) post-engraftment and up to 11 days relative to the first mouse that died in the untreated group and the last mouse that died in the ROR1RCD137 group. ROR1RCD137 cells had consistently lower frequencies of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells (94%, 62%, and 46% at doses 1, 2, and 3, respectively) prior to infusion relative to ROR1RCD28 cells, which expressed CAR at >90% for all three doses. The T cell doses were given based as  $10^7$  total cells/mouse, so a greater anti-tumor effect was seen with ROR1RCD137 with fewer total CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells, which highlights their ability to outperform ROR1RCD28 in tumor killing *in vivo*. In summary, ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells can efficiently treat ROR1<sup>+</sup> leukemia and, therefore, can now be moved into the clinic for testing in patients with ROR1<sup>+</sup> malignancies.



**Figure 18.** *In vivo* **Tumor Clearance by ROR1-specific CAR**<sup>+</sup> **T cells.** ROR1<sup>+</sup> B-ALL cell line Kasumi2 was transduced with mKate-*ffLuc* lentiviral particles and cells were sorted for uniform mKate expression by FACS. (**a**) mKate expression in parental cell line (black histogram) or transduced cell line (red histogram). (**b**) *In vitro* luciferase activity of parental Kasumi2 cell line (without *ffLuc*) and transduced Kasumi2-*ffLuc*-mKate cells intravenously (i.v.) and were treated with three doses of 10<sup>7</sup> T cells i.v. to assess the ability of ROR1-specific T cells to manage MRD. High dose (60 kIU) IL2 was given intraperitoneally (i.p.) the day of T cell dosing and the following day. (**c**) Non-invasive bioluminescence imaging (BLI) flux kinetics during experiment where untreated mice are in circles, ROR1RCD28-treated mice are in squares, and ROR1RCD137-treated mice are in triangles. Two-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis. \*\*p<0.01 (**d**) Representative BLI images at day +23 post-engraftment.

Drew C Deniger

#### **II.D.** Discussion

#### II.D.1. Importance of Developing ROR1-specific T cells for Leukemia Patients

This work aimed to develop pre-clinical data to support a "first-in-man" Phase I clinical trial of ROR1-specific T cell treatments for ROR1<sup>+</sup> malignancies. The major advantage of this therapy over the current anti-CD19 cellular therapies is that normal B cells would be spared when targeting ROR1 as CD19 is uniformly expressed on most B cells and is required for B cell function.(56, 57) B cells are the primary arm of the humoral response and are critical for the adaptive immune response in clearance of microbial pathogens.(89) However, people can survive without B cells, albeit under threat of novel pathogens, if they receive serum immunoglobulin replacement therapy.(63) Thus, quality of life would be certainly improved if CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell therapy patients had a normal repertoire of healthy B cells as would be achieved by targeting ROR1 instead of CD19.

## II.D.2. ROR1 as a Tumor Target and Safety Concerns in Immunotherapy

ROR1 was originally identified on the surface of CLL cells with absent expression on normal tissues, including cells in the hematopoietic compartment.(66, 75) Subsequently, ROR1 has been described on t(1;19) B-ALL and a number of solid tumors, e.g. breast, ovarian, and pancreatic cancers.(13, 67, 79) Some expression of ROR1 mRNA species was identified in normal lung, pancreas, and adipose tissue, by qPCR of healthy donor tissue panels, and protein expression was later corroborated on the cell surface in adipocytes and in the cytoplasm in pancreatic islet cells and alveolar macrophages by immunohistochemical staining with the 2A2 ROR1-specific antibody.(77, 81) However,

this antibody also displayed cytosolic staining of a number of tissues that do not express ROR1 mRNA transcripts, e.g. adrenal glands, cardiac muscle, neurons, colon, endometrium, hypophysis, larynx, liver, ovary, salivary, small intestine, skin, stomach, and thymus, which means that (i) the mRNA expression data is inaccurate or (ii) the 2A2 antibody is not completely specific for ROR1. Testing of the R12 goat antibody specific for ROR1 binding to normal tissues has not yet been reported.(293) In contrast to the 2A2 data, RNAseq analysis did not corroborate ROR1 mRNA presence in normal healthy tissues (Kipps TJ, UCSD, unpublished observations). Moreover, the 4A5 ROR1-specific mAb from which the CAR was developed in this study did not detect ROR1 in healthy tissues by both Western blot and immunohistochemistry.(67, 75) The only reported staining of ROR1 with the 4A5 mAb outside of malignancies was described on hematogones, which are B-cell precursors, and loss of hematogones would impact B cell differentiation but not the mature B cell pool.(66) There is always the risk of potential "on-target/off-target" toxicity of proper antigen recognition by CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells on undesired tissues expressing low levels of antigen, but we are confident that our approach is safe because (i) 4A5 did not stain normal tissue and the CAR was derived from this Ab, (ii) homing to pancreas and or adipose tissue is unlikely given the homing repertoire expressed by CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells which predicted for homing to lymphoid organs (CCR7 and CD62L), and (iii) high tumor burden in many CLL patients will likely be seen first and occupy the T cells from other organs. As a control for adverse events, suicide genes, e.g. inducible Caspase9, can be co-expressed with CAR in order to eliminate T cells in vivo with drugs specific for the suicide gene of choice.(294) In the end, these questions will only be answered once clinical trials test these hypotheses in humans.

#### II.D.3. CD28 versus CD137 in CAR Design

A common debate in CAR immunotherapy at present is whether to use CD28 endodomain, as most investigators have done, or CD137 endodomain, both of which have led to objective clinical responses.(4-7, 32) A direct comparison of CD28 versus CD137 signaling in CD19-specific CARs developed at MDACC (and analogous to the ROR1-specific CARs in design) resulted in almost indistinguishable characteristics in vitro but CD137 was superior in vivo in leukemia clearance compared to CD28 (Singh H, unpublished observations). In this study, the most notable differences between the two ROR1-specific CARs were in (i) memory phenotype, (ii) in vitro IFNy production, and (iii) in vivo tumor clearance. In regards to surface phenotype, both ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 T cells were almost completely naïve  $(T_N)$  and central memory T cells ( $T_{CM}$ ) after *ex vivo* expansion, and there were more of both  $T_N$  and  $T_{CM}$ populations in ROR1RCD137 cells (Figure 13). Indeed, both of these populations have been correlated to limited effector functions including reduced cytokine production and cytolysis.(132, 135) It is consistent then that ROR1RCD137 cells produced less IFNy when challenged with ROR1<sup>+</sup> targets (Figures 15), and fewer cytokine mRNA transcripts were produced by ROR1RCD137 relative to ROR1RCD28 as evaluated by nCounter LCA (data not shown). Indeed, the ability to produce cytokines was inversely correlated with CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell efficacy in other T cell immunotherapies.(295) Again,

reduced cytokine production was also observed with ROR1-specific CARs signaling through CD137 that were derived from the 2A2 mAb and its higher affinity counterpart R12 mAb.(199) Similar killing was detected by both CAR populations against ROR1<sup>+</sup> targets, with a minor exception of primary cell lines where ROR1RCD28 was highly variable in cytolysis between donors and exceeded ROR1RCD137 in killing for 2 out of 3 donors (Figure 16b). In contrast to the in vitro results, ROR1RCD137 was significantly (p = 0.0001) better at eliminating ROR1<sup>+</sup> leukemia compared to ROR1RCD28, which was significantly better (p < 0.0001) than no treatment (Figure 18). Furthermore, these results were achieved with fewer total CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells infused into each mouse, because the same total number was injected but CAR percentage was lower in ROR1RCD137 relative to ROR1RCD28. Possible explanations of the differences are (i) higher frequencies of T<sub>N</sub> and T<sub>CM</sub> memory cells that are correlated with highest CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell responses relative to other classification,(131) (ii) lower expression of inhibitory molecules like CTLA4 (Figure 13), (iii) production of other inflammatory molecules other than IFNy such as IL17, and/or (iv) longer persistence in the mice which has been correlated to memory formation and increased anti-tumor activity.(6, 189, 215, 237) The NSG mice used for in vivo studies lack human homeostatic cytokines, e.g. IL7 and IL15, that can improve persistence in patients treated with ROR1-specific T cells and therefore increase the potential of the anti-tumor effects observed in the mouse studies. A side-by-side comparison of the two CARs in clinical trials will be the ultimate test of which CAR is better for cancer treatment.

## II.D.4. Immediate Plans for ROR1-specific T cells in Leukemia Treatment

A Phase I clinical trial has been approved by the NIH RAC and is in process for MD Anderson IRB approval. The trial design is to co-infuse ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 cells in a competitive repopulation experiment to maximize potential therapeutic efficacy and determine which CAR will persist longer in the patients. PCR will be used as a highly-sensitive means to detect persistence of one population over another based on unique oligonucleotides present in the two CAR transposons (SIM for CD28 and FRA for CD137). As this will be the first time ROR1-specific T cells are infused into humans, it is the primary endpoint to determine toxicity and maximum tolerated doses. There is strong evidence that this will work as means to eliminate leukemia while maintaining normal B cells, and will be the first time that ROR1 has been a target of immunotherapy for cancer treatment.

#### <u>CHAPTER III</u>

# Bi-specific T cells Expressing Polyclonal Repertoire of Endogenous γδ T-cell Receptors and Introduced CD19-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor

## **III.A. Hypothesis and Rationale**

The *hypothesis* of this chapter is that enforced CAR expression on  $\gamma\delta$  T cells will stimulate them independent of their TCR $\gamma\delta$ , thus leading to expansion of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells with polyclonal TCRy $\delta$  repertoire, and would amplify the anti-tumor effects from TCRy $\delta$ towards TAA<sup>+</sup> malignancies through the CAR. The *rationale* for this specific aim is that (i)  $\gamma\delta$  T cells have inherent anti-tumor immunity through a number of combinations of TCR $\gamma$  and TCR $\delta$  pairings, (ii) the use of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in the clinic is currently restricted to  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  even though other  $\gamma\delta$  T cell lineages have anti-tumor reactivity, (iii) CARs stimulate T cells independent of their TCR, (iv) electroporation of SB transposons containing the CAR can be achieved in quiescent PBMC with a polyclonal repertoire of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, and (v) CD19-specific CAR transposon plasmids and CD19<sup>+</sup> aAPC are currently in clinical trials at MD Anderson and these reagents can be used to quickly translate findings from this chapter into clinical trials. Therefore, using a polyclonal set of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells for CAR-based immunotherapy would allow for targeting the tumor through both CAR and multiple TCR $\gamma\delta$  pairings to maximize anti-tumor immunity through bi-specific T cells.

Drew C Deniger

### **III.B. Introduction**

"Chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) re-direct T-cell specificity to tumorantigens (TAAs), such as CD19, independent of major associated histocompatibility complex (MHC).(57, 186, 189, 272, 296) This genetic modification of T cells has clinical applications as adoptive transfer of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells with specificity for CD19 can lead to anti-tumor responses in patients with refractory B-cell malignancies.(6, 7, 32, 56) Current trials administer CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells co-expressing  $\alpha\beta$  T-cell receptor (TCR $\alpha\beta$ ) derived from a population that represents 95% of the peripheral T-cell pool. However, the remaining 1-5% of circulating T cells expressing TCRy $\delta$  (y $\delta$  T cells) have clinical appeal based on their endogenous cytotoxicity towards tumor cells as well as their ability to present TAA and elicit an anti-tumor response.(177, 297, 298) This population of T cells directly recognizes TAA, e.g., heat shock proteins, MHC class I chainrelated gene A/B (MICA/B), F1-ATPase, and intermediates in cholesterol metabolism (phosphoantigens), in humans.(299) Therefore, broad recognition of tumor cells and anti-tumor activity is achieved by these T cells expressing a diverse TCR $\gamma\delta$  repertoire (combination of V $\delta1$ , V $\delta2$ , or V $\delta3$  with one of fourteen V $\gamma$  chains).(300)

More specifically, T cells expressing V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2 have been associated with anti-tumor immunity, but current adoptive immunotherapy approaches are limited to the V $\delta$ 2 sub-population due to limited expansion methods of V $\delta$ 1 to clinically-sufficient numbers of cells for human applications. For the most part,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells have been numerically expanded *in vivo* and *ex vivo* using Zoledronic acid (Zol),(301) an aminobisphosphonate that results in selective proliferation of T cells expressing  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  TCR.(175, 177, 297) This treatment modality has resulted in objective clinical responses against both solid and hematologic tumors, but has not been curative as a monotherapy. V $\delta 1 \gamma \delta T$  cells have not yet been infused, but their presence has correlated with complete responses observed in patients with B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) after undergoing αβ Т cell-depleted allogeneic hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation (HSCT).(302-305) As both of these sub-populations of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells are associated with anti-tumor activity, but have not been combined for cell therapy, we sought a clinically-appealing approach to propagate T cells that maintain a polyclonal TCR $\gamma\delta$  repertoire.

Recognizing that a CD19-specific CAR can sustain the proliferation of  $\alpha\beta$  T cells on artificial antigen presenting cells (aAPC) independent of TCR $\alpha\beta$  usage,(280) we hypothesized that CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells would expand on aAPC independent of TCR $\gamma\delta$ . Our approach was further stimulated by the observation that K562, the cell line from which the aAPC are derived, are a natural target for  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.(303) We report that CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells can be propagated to clinically-relevant numbers on designer aAPC while maintaining a polyclonal population of TCR $\gamma\delta$  as assessed by our "direct TCR expression assay" (DTEA), a novel digital multiplexed gene expression analysis that we adapted to interrogate all TCR $\gamma\delta$  isotypes.(290) These CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells

displayed enhanced killing of CD19<sup>+</sup> tumor cell lines *in vitro* compared to polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells not expressing CAR. Leukemia xenografts in immunocompromised mice were significantly reduced when treated with CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells compared to control mice. This study highlights the ability of aAPC to numerically expand bi-specific T cells that exhibit introduced specificity for CD19 and retain endogenous polyclonal TCR $\gamma\delta$  repertoire.

## **III.C. Results**

## <u>III.C.1. CAR<sup>+</sup> $\gamma\delta$ T cells Numerically Expand on aAPC</u>

To date, it has been problematic to synchronously manipulate and expand multiple  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell subpopulations for application in humans. Viral-mediated gene transfer typically requires cell division to achieve stable gene transfer and CARs have been introduced into transduced T cells expressing just V $\delta$ 2 TCR following the use of aminobisphosphonates to drive proliferation.(306) In contrast, non-viral gene transfer with *Sleeping Beauty* (SB) transposition can be achieved in quiescent peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) with the full complement of peripheral  $\gamma\delta$  T cells initially present. Thus, stable expression of CAR can be achieved without prior T-cell propagation, enabling us to investigate if a population of T cells expressing polyclonal TCR $\gamma\delta$  chains could then be numerically expanded in a CAR-dependent manner on designer artificial antigen presenting cells (aAPC). PBMC were electroporated (Day 0) with SB transposon/transposase system to enforce expression of a second generation CD19-specific CAR (CD19RCD28)(57) that signals through chimeric CD28 and  $CD3\zeta$ . Electroporated cells were sorted using paramagnetic beads to separate the  $4.0\% \pm 1.5\%$  (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD); n = 4) CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells from the majority of CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta$  T cells. The CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were selectively propagated by the recursive additions of  $\gamma$ -irradiated K562-derived aAPC (clone #4, genetically modified to co-express CD19, CD64, CD86, CD137L, and membrane bound IL15)(57) with soluble IL2 and IL21. IL21 is included in the manufacture of our CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta$  T cells so it was used to propagate CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.(57) Prior experiments predicted that IL2 and IL15 enhance the proliferative potential of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, and synergy between IL2 and IL21 has led to improved anti-tumor activity compared with  $\gamma\delta$  T cells grown with either IL2 or IL21 alone.(174, 178, 307-309) Sham electroporations were undertaken to provide staining control T cells that were propagated by cross-linking CD3 using aAPC loaded with OKT3 to numerically expand CAR<sup>neg</sup>  $\alpha\beta$  T cells.(310) As expected, CAR was expressed on the day following electroporation (Day 1) in most of the T cells, including  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, which comprised up to 10% of the mononuclear cells (Figure 19a, left). After 36 days of co-culture on aAPC, the majority of cells co-expressed CD3 and TCR $\gamma\delta$  with 30.7% ± 23.3% (n = 4) CAR expression (Figure 19a, right). The absolute CAR proportions at Day 36 varied in frequency depending on the donor, but increased compared to the initial populations of CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells at Day 1 (Figure 19b). As we have demonstrated, our aAPC co-culture system enforces CAR expression in  $\alpha\beta$  T cells (>90% CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells by 28 days of co-culture),(57) but the apparent lack of the same degree of selective pressure when combined with  $\gamma\delta$  T cells was attributed to an inherent ability of CAR<sup>neg</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells to sustain proliferation on aAPC derived from K562. Continuous proliferation of both CAR<sup>neg</sup> and CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells was observed over the tissue culture period. Even so, we could generate up to  $1.5 \times 10^9 \pm 1.2 \times 10^9$  (n = 3) CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells from the  $2.8 \times 10^5 \pm$  $1.5 \times 10^5$  (n = 3) CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells at the start of the culture (**Figure 19c**). Most of the propagated cells co-expressed CD3 and TCR $\gamma\delta$ , but did not express TCR $\alpha\beta$ (**Figure 19d**). These data demonstrate that aAPC could be used to sustain proliferation of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells co-expressing TCR $\gamma\delta$ .



**Figure 19.** CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells Propagate on Designer aAPC. (a) Transient (Day 1) and stable (Day 36) expression of CAR in T cells (top) and  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (bottom) in mock electroporated ("no DNA") or CD19-specific CAR electroporated cells (CD19RCD28). (b) Percentage of CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in the culture as transient (Day 1) and stable (Day 36) expression where each shape represents an individual donor. (c) Rate of expansion of total  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (triangles), CAR<sup>neg</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (squares), and CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (circles) over tissue culture period following paramagnetic bead sorting (open arrow) and recursive stimulation (closed arrows) with aAPC and exogenous IL2 and IL21 administration. (d) Percentage-positive cells and mean fluorescence intensity of CD3, CAR, TCR $\alpha\beta$ , and TCR $\gamma\delta$  at day 36. Data are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 4) and quadrant percentages of flow plots are in upper right corner. This work was originally published in Molecular Therapy. Deniger, D. C., K. Switzer, T. Mi, S. Maiti, L. Hurton, H. Singh, H. Huls, S. Olivares, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Bispecific T-cells Expressing Polyclonal Repertoire of Endogenous gammadelta T-cell Receptors and Introduced CD19-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor. Mol Ther. 21(3): 638-647.(311) © Nature **Publishing Group** 

#### III.C.2. Immunophenotype of Numerically Expanded CAR<sup>+</sup> $\gamma\delta$ T cells

Multi-parameter flow cytometry was used to gate on  $CAR^+$  T cells and analyze their expression of cell surface markers (**Figure 20**). TCR $\gamma\delta$  was expressed at high and low densities (Figure 20a, top). CD56, a marker of MHC-unrestricted lytic ability,(287) was also expressed on T cells, but the culture contained <1%  $CD3^{neg}CD56^+$  NK cells and <1%  $CD3^+V\alpha_{25}TCR^+$  NKT cells (data not shown). In contrast to  $\alpha\beta$  T cells, no CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressed CD4, some were CD8<sup>+</sup>, but most were  $CD4^{neg}CD8^{neg}$ , which is consistent with what is known for  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.(286) The relative frequencies for each donor are shown in Figure 20b. Markers associated with memory, e.g., CD27, CD28, CD62L, and CCR7, were expressed by CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (Figure 20a, bottom). Both naïve (CD45RA) and antigen-experienced (CD45RO) cells were present after propagation on aAPC, and the T cells were not exhausted as measured by low expression of CD57 (Figure 20b). In aggregate, cultures contained a heterogonous mixture of naïve 26.5% (CD45RA<sup>+</sup>CD27<sup>+</sup>CD28<sup>+</sup>CCR7<sup>+</sup>; 6.2%), central  $\pm$ memory (CD45RA<sup>neg</sup>CD27<sup>+</sup>CD28<sup>+</sup>CCR7<sup>+</sup>; 7.8% 3.6%),  $\pm$ effector memory (CD45RA<sup>neg</sup>CD27<sup>+</sup>CD28<sup>neg</sup>CCR7<sup>neg</sup>; 10.1% 5.4%), ± and EMRA  $(CD45RA^+CD27^{neg}CD28^{neg}CCR7^{neg}; 7.6\% \pm 3.4\%)$  T-cell phenotypes.(131, 289) Co-stimulation by enforced expression of CD86 and CD137L (4-1BBL) on aAPC may be important for CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell numeric expansion due to expression of their receptors CD28 and CD137 (4-1BB), respectively. Molecules associated with homing to bone marrow (cutaneous lymphocyte antigen (CLA) and CXCR4) and lymph nodes (CD62L and CCR7) were present on CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells suggesting that they could migrate to sites known to harbor leukemia. In sum, propagated CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressed T cell-associated surface markers that indicate desired potential for memory and homing.



Figure 20. Immunophenotype of Electroporated, Separated, and Propagated CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. (a) Expression by flow cytometry of cell-surface markers associated with T cells and memory as gated on CD3<sup>+</sup>CAR<sup>+</sup> cells. (b) Percentages of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing T-cell markers where each shape represents a different donor. Data are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 4). Quadrant percentages of flow plots are in upper right corner. This work was originally published in *Molecular Therapy*. Deniger, D. C., K. Switzer, T. Mi, S. Maiti, L. Hurton, H. Singh, H. Huls, S. Olivares, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Bispecific T-cells Expressing Polyclonal Repertoire of Endogenous gammadelta T-cell Receptors and Introduced CD19-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor. Mol Ther. 21(3): 638-647.(311) © Nature Publishing Group

## <u>III.C.3.</u> Direct TCR Expression Assay to Reveal $\gamma$ and $\delta$ TCR Usage in CAR<sup>+</sup> $\gamma\delta$ T cells

We sought to determine that aAPC-propagated CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells were indeed bispecific as defined by the presence of a polyclonal population of TCR $\gamma\delta$  alleles. Up to now, it has been difficult to determine the pattern of expression of the  $\gamma$ and  $\delta$  TCR chains. Therefore, we adapted our DTEA to assess the complete TCR $\gamma\delta$  transcriptome. This approach takes advantage of the nCounter assay system to measure multiple bar-coded genes in a single reaction with high sensitivity and linearity across a broad range of expression.(312) A multiplexed CodeSet was designed with two sequence-specific probes for each allele to evaluate TCR $\gamma\delta$  isotypes. The DTEA was initially validated using Zol to preferentially propagate  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  cells from PBMC and, as expected, the resultant TCR usage was dominated by both V $\delta$ 2 and V $\gamma$ 9 at protein (Figure 21a) and mRNA levels (Figure 21b and 21c). A second validation employed antibodies directed against  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell subsets (V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2; no commercially available antibodies to V $\delta$ 3) to measure their mRNA expression. V $\delta$ 1<sup>neg</sup>V $\delta$ 2<sup>neg</sup>,  $V\delta1^+V\delta2^{neg}$ , and  $V\delta1^{neg}V\delta2^+$  cells were sorted from CAR<sup>neg</sup> T cells (to maximize the number of V82 cells recovered by fluorescence-activated cell sorting, FACS) and subjected to DTEA (Figure 22a). As expected,  $V\delta 1^+V\delta 2^{neg}$ .  $V\delta1^{neg}V\delta2^+$ , and  $V\delta1^{neg}V\delta2^{neg}$  expressed  $V\delta1*01$ ,  $V\delta2*02$ , and  $V\delta3*01$  mRNA species, respectively (Figure 22b). These two strategies supported the validity of the DTEA panel enabling the identity of TCR $\gamma\delta$  to be determined in CAR<sup>+</sup> T

cells. Therefore, we measured the mRNA levels for all three V $\delta$  alleles as present in electroporated, separated, and propagated  $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$  cells which correlated with multi-parameter flow cytometry on gated CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells to reveal the frequencies of V $\delta$  subsets based on protein expression. The three V $\delta$ populations were present in ascending frequency (V $\delta$ 1>V $\delta$ 3>>>V $\delta$ 2) in the electroporated and propagated T cells (Figure 22c). CAR<sup>neg</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells displayed similar frequencies of V $\delta$  TCR usage as CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. DTEA array also assessed V $\gamma$  usage, which is of particular utility because only one antibody against  $V\gamma 9$  is commercially available, thus limiting the tools with which to detect Vy usage. Of note, Vy2, Vy7, Vy8 (both alleles), Vy9, and Vy10 were present in CAR<sup>+</sup> T-cell cultures (**Figure 22d**). A lack of commercially-available antibodies prevented assessment of pairing between individual V $\delta$  and V $\gamma$  chains on the T cells. The TCR usage described for  $\gamma\delta$  T cells was that which was present at the time of functional assays. Our ability to digitally quantify the presence of mRNA species enabled us to determine that the propagated CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells expressed a polyclonal population of TCR $\gamma\delta$  chains.



Figure 21. Distribution of V $\delta$  and V $\gamma$  in  $\gamma\delta$  T cells Expanded on Aminobisphosphonate. (a) Representative flow cytometry plot from T cells following 36 days of numeric expansion with Zol. (b) V $\delta$  and (c) V $\gamma$  allele mRNA expression in Zol-expanded T cells. Data are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Quadrant frequencies of flow plot are displayed. This work was originally published in *Molecular Therapy*. Deniger, D. C., K. Switzer, T. Mi, S. Maiti, L. Hurton, H. Singh, H. Huls, S. Olivares, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Bispecific T-cells Expressing Polyclonal Repertoire of Endogenous gammadelta T-cell Receptors and Introduced CD19-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor. Mol Ther. 21(3): 638-647.(311) © Nature Publishing Group



**Figure 22. Distribution of Vδ and Vγ in CAR**<sup>+</sup> **γδ T cells.** (a) Representative FACS of Vδ populations (top) into V $\delta 1^{\text{neg}}V\delta 2^{\text{neg}}$  (left), V $\delta 1^{+}V\delta 2^{\text{neg}}$  (middle), and V $\delta 1^{\text{neg}}V\delta 2^{+}$  (right) populations and (b) Vδ allele mRNA expression in sorted T cells. (c) V $\delta 1^{\text{neg}}V\delta 2^{\text{neg}}$ , V $\delta 1^{+}V\delta 2^{\text{neg}}$ , and V $\delta 1^{\text{neg}}V\delta 2^{+}$  frequencies in gated CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell populations from four donors. (d) V $\gamma$  allele mRNA expression in CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Data are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Quadrant percentages of flow plots are in upper right corner. This work was originally published in *Molecular Therapy* Deniger, D. C., K. Switzer, T. Mi, S. Maiti, L. Hurton, H. Singh, H. Huls, S. Olivares, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Bispecific T-cells Expressing Polyclonal Repertoire of Endogenous gammadelta T-cell Receptors and Introduced CD19-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor. Mol Ther. 21(3): 638-647.(311) © Nature Publishing Group

## <u>III.C.4. T cells Produced Pro-inflammatory Cytokines in Response to</u> Stimulation through Endogenous TCRγδ and Introduced CAR

The functional activity of the CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells was assessed by activation with leukocyte activation cocktail (LAC), which was comprised of PMA and Ionomycin. LAC mimics activation through TCR by simulating protein kinase C and increasing intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  to activate phospholipase C (PLC). Measurement of secreted and intracellular cytokines (in the presence of the inhibitor GolgiPlug, which contains Brefeldin A) were performed on genetically modified T cells with and without LAC (Figure 23a and 23b). A broad range of cytokines were produced by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, with the highest expression of IFN $\gamma$ , TNF $\alpha$ , and chemokines MIP-1 $\alpha$ , MIP-1 $\beta$ , and RANTES (Figure 23b). Interleukin-17 (IL17) has been shown to be important for anti-tumor efficacy of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and this cytokine was secreted by CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. These results suggest that TCR $\gamma\delta$  can be activated to produce cytokines that could promote inflammation within the tumor. Next, CAR-specific cytokine production was assessed by activation using the murine T-cell lymphoma line EL4 and a genetically modified derivative to enforce expression of human CD19. Both TNF $\alpha$  and IFN $\gamma$  were produced by CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in response to CD19 (Figure 23c). A less diverse repertoire of cytokines was secreted following CAR stimulation when compared with stimulation of TCR $\gamma\delta$ , but IFN $\gamma$ , TNF $\alpha$ , MIP- $1\alpha$ , MIP-1 $\beta$ , and RANTES were all increased in response to activation through CAR (Figure 23d). In aggregate, pro-inflammatory cytokines were upregulated by bi-specific CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells through their TCR and CAR.



Figure 23. Bi-specific γδ T cells Produce Pro-inflammatory Cytokines when **Endogenous TCR and Introduced CAR are Stimulated.** (a) CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells at Day 35 of co-culture on aAPC were stimulated for 4 hours with a mock cocktail (media alone) or Leukocyte Activation Cocktail (LAC. PMA/Ionomycin) to induce TCR stimulation and then analyzed by flow cytometry. CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells were gated and tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF $\alpha$ , top) and interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN $\gamma$ , bottom) production is shown. (b) Luminex array (27-Plex) of cytokines secreted by  $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$  cells in conditions described in (a). (c) Similar to (a) except that EL4-CD19<sup>neg</sup> and EL4-CD19<sup>+</sup> were used instead of Mock/LAC. (d) Same as (b) but with EL4-CD19<sup>neg</sup> and EL4-CD19<sup>+</sup> targets. Student's t-test for statistical analysis between (b) Mock and LAC and (d) EL4-CD19<sup>neg</sup> and EL4-CD19<sup>+</sup> where \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, and \*\*\*p<0.001. Data are representative of four donors for (a) and (c) and mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3) for (b) and (d). This work was originally published in Molecular Therapy. Deniger, D. C., K. Switzer, T. Mi, S. Maiti, L. Hurton, H. Singh, H. Huls, S. Olivares, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Bispecific T-cells Expressing Polyclonal Repertoire of Endogenous gammadelta T-cell Receptors and Introduced CD19-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor. Mol Ther. 21(3): 638-647.(311) © Nature Publishing Group

## <u>III.C.5. CAR<sup>+</sup> γδ T cells Exhibit Enhanced Anti-tumor Effects against CD19<sup>+</sup></u> <u>Targets *in vitro*</u>

It was anticipated that  $\gamma\delta$  T cells would display endogenous cytotoxicity to leukemia cells. Therefore,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells without CAR were numerically expanded on aAPC in order to test their anti-leukemia activity. Human CD19<sup>+</sup> B-ALL cell lines (REH, Kasumi2, and Daudi genetically modified to express  $\beta$ 2M) were lysed by CAR<sup>neg</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells while primary, healthy CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells were not killed by the same effectors (Figure 24a). However, not all B-ALL cell lines were susceptible to efficient lysis by  $\text{CAR}^{\text{neg}}$   $\gamma\delta$  T cells. In particular, EL4 and NALM6 cells were largely resistant to cytolysis by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Thus, the ability of the CD19-specific CAR to amplify the inherent anti-tumor activity of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells was investigated. Enforced expression of CD19 on the surface of EL4 cells improved targeting and killing of this cell line by  $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$  cells at significantly higher (p = 0.0001) levels compared with the parental CD19<sup>neg</sup> EL4 cell line (**Figure 24b**). Similarly,  $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$  cells exhibited improved ability (p = 0.001) to kill CD19<sup>+</sup> NALM6 cells compared with CAR<sup>neg</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (Figure 24c). In summary, the introduced CAR enhanced the specific killing capability of genetically modified  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.



**Figure 24. Specific lysis of CD19<sup>+</sup> Tumor Cell Lines by CAR<sup>+</sup> γδ T cells. (a)** Standard 4-hour CRA of (a) CAR<sup>neg</sup> γδ T cells against CD19<sup>+</sup> B-ALL cell lines (REH, Kasumi2, and Daudi-β2M) or primary CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells from autologous (Auto) or allogeneic (Allo) donors, (b) CAR<sup>+</sup> γδ T cells against EL4-CD19<sup>neg</sup> (open squares) and EL4-CD19<sup>+</sup> (closed squares) tumor cells, and (c) CAR<sup>neg</sup> γδ T cells (open squares) and CAR<sup>+</sup> γδ T cells (closed squares) against CD19<sup>+</sup> NALM6 tumor cells. Data are mean ± SD from four healthy donors (average of triplicate measurements for each donor) that were pooled from two independent experiments. **This work was originally published in** *Molecular Therapy*. Deniger, D. C., K. Switzer, T. Mi, S. Maiti, L. Hurton, H. Singh, H. Huls, S. Olivares, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Bispecific T-cells Expressing Polyclonal Repertoire of Endogenous gammadelta T-cell Receptors and Introduced CD19-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor. Mol Ther. 21(3): 638-647.(311) © **Nature Publishing Group** 

#### <u>III.C.6. CAR<sup>+</sup> γδ T cells can Target CD19<sup>+</sup> Tumor *in vivo*</u>

The ability of electroporated and propagated  $\gamma\delta$  T cells to target CD19<sup>+</sup> tumor was then investigated *in vivo*. NALM6 is an aggressive CD19<sup>+</sup> B-cell leukemia model and immunocompromised mice engrafted with 10<sup>5</sup> NALM6 are moribund in 20 to 25 days when untreated. Control of disseminated NALM6 tumor in vivo is dependent on the infused T cells homing to tumor and activating cytolytic machinery in the tumor microenvironment. After adoptive immunotherapy, the burden of tumor was significantly decreased in mice receiving CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (Donor#4 from Figure 22c) compared to untreated mice (Figure 25). Mice in treatment group receiving CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells displayed fewer characteristics of the untreated and thus unwell mice, which included lethargy, ruffled coat, temporary hind limb paralysis, and difficulty entering and exiting anesthesia at late stages of the experiment. A uniform date for euthanasia was chosen to measure the anti-tumor effect based on flow cytometry for NALM6 in lymphoid tissue. There was significant anti-tumor activity by the CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cell as measured by bioluminescent imaging (BLI) of NALM6-eGFP-ffLuc (Figure **25b**) as exemplified at 22 days after injection of tumor (Figure 25c). Noninvasive imaging was corroborated by analysis of presence of tumor cells at necroscopy. Mice that received CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells exhibited significant reductions in tumor burden (CD19<sup>+</sup>eGFP<sup>+</sup>) in the bone marrow, spleen, and peripheral blood (Figure 25d and 25e). These data reveal that polyclonal CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells exhibit therapeutic activity in vivo.



Figure 25. In vivo Anti-tumor Activity of CAR<sup>+</sup> γδ T cells. (a) Schematic of experiment. (b) BLI derived from  $eGFP^+ffLuc^+CD19^+$  NALM-6 tumor and (c) representative images of mice at day 22. (d) Post-mortem analysis of tissues and blood where tumor cells (CD19<sup>+</sup>eGFP<sup>+</sup>) were detected by flow cytometry. (e) Representative flow plots from (d). Data are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3 to 5 mice per group, representative of two independent experiments) and gating frequencies in (e) are displayed. The percentage of tumor cells is derived from detecting CD19<sup>+</sup>eGFP<sup>+</sup> NALM-6 by flow cytometry from post-mortem samples. Statistics performed with (b) two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-tests and (d) Student's t-test between treated and untreated mice. \*\*p<0.01 and \*\*\*p<0.001 This work was originally published in Molecular Therapy. Deniger, D. C., K. Switzer, T. Mi, S. Maiti, L. Hurton, H. Singh, H. Huls, S. Olivares, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Bispecific T-cells Expressing Polyclonal Repertoire of Endogenous gammadelta T-cell Receptors and Introduced CD19-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor. Mol Ther. 21(3): 638-647.(311) © Nature Publishing Group

### **III.D. Discussion**

### III.D.1. Polyclonal Bi-specific T cells for Immunotherapy

We established that introduction of a  $2^{nd}$  generation CAR could (i) drive the numeric expansion of T cells independent of usage of TCR $\gamma\delta$  chains and (ii) augment the lytic potential of CD19<sup>+</sup> tumors by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Propagating bispecific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells with a broad diversity of TCR $\gamma\delta$  chains is desirable based on their therapeutic potential. Indeed,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells other than those expressing  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  have been generated from PBMC using TCRy $\delta$ -specific and CD3specific mAbs.(313-315) These prior approaches did not comprehensively measure TCR $\gamma\delta$  isotype expression nor did they yield V $\delta1$  and V $\delta3$  at frequencies as high as seen in this study. The V $\gamma$ 2 TCR chain was detected on our T cells, which has been described to pair with V $\delta 2$ , and these T cells can have antigen presentation capabilities.(166) Our CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressed molecules consistent with antigen presentation, e.g., CD86, CD137L, and HLA-DR (data not shown), and V $\gamma$ 9V $\delta$ 2 cells have served as aAPC for  $\alpha\beta$  T cells.(298) Future experiments will investigate if our polyclonal CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells also have an ability to serve as aAPC. Also present were T-cell sub-populations expressing  $V\gamma7$ , and  $V\gamma8$ , and  $V\gamma10$ , where the first two chains have been associated with intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes (iIEL)(316, 317) and the latter chain's functional significance is not yet apparent. In all, our approach is the first to report expansion of  $CAR^+$  T cells that maintained a polyclonal TCR $\gamma\delta$  expression.

#### III.D.2. Changes Observed in Vδ Populations Following Expansion on aAPC

The repertoire of TCR $\gamma\delta$  chains employed by CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells was similar to the initial pool of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in PBMC with two exceptions. We noted an increase in V $\delta3$  usage, but this may be advantageous as it is associated with specificity for viruses that could offer enhanced immune responses to viral infections in immunocompromised patients receiving therapy.(165) A decrease in V $\gamma$ 9V $\delta2$  usage was also observed compared to the starting frequency of this TCR in PBMC, but this could potentially be increased by priming aAPC with Zol to increase V $\gamma$ 9V $\delta2$  ligand expression in the co-culture. Whether this loss of V $\gamma$ 9V $\delta2$  TCR expression was due to preferential activation induced cell death or selective out-growth of T cells expressing V $\delta1$  and V $\delta3$  TCR is not known. Nonetheless, V $\gamma$ 9V $\delta2$  chains were still present in the final T-cell cultures indicating that aminobisphosphonate therapy could drive expansion of this subset of T cells after administration.

## III.D.3. Improvements upon CAR Expression on γδ T cells

Recombinant retroviruses have been previously employed to achieve stable expression of CARs in  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, but this required using an aminobisphosphonate to achieve numeric expansion of T cells before transduction.(175, 318) We now demonstrate propagation of T cells after, rather than before, gene transfer using SB-mediated transposition results in a polyclonal population of bi-specific  $\gamma\delta$  T cells capable of CAR-mediated (i) production and secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines in response to CD19, (ii) enhanced lysis of CD19<sup>+</sup> tumor targets, and (iii) *in vivo* anti-tumor activity against a CD19<sup>+</sup> tumor. The ability of these T cells to exhibit effector functions was not correlated to a particular V $\delta$  or V $\gamma$  usage as cells with different V $\delta$  TCR frequencies (Figure 22c) produced the same cytokines (Figure 23) and displayed similar cytolysis of  $CD19^+$  targets (Figure 24b). We noted that frequency of CAR expression was more variable on  $\gamma\delta$  T cells compared with  $\alpha\beta$  T cells. This was likely due to an endogenous ability of K562 cells to sustain proliferation of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells independent of CAR. Nevertheless, adoptive transfer of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells of which 60% expressed CAR could still yield the same *in vitro* lytic ability as 98% CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (**Figure 26**). This indicated that (i) CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells are potent tumor killers and (ii) >90% CAR expression may not be a critically limiting parameter for predicting therapeutic efficacy. Nonetheless, we are undertaking improvements to increase the expression of CAR on propagated  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Furthermore, the chimeric signaling molecules in the CAR endodomain could be specifically designed to enhance triggering of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. For example,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells can be activated through Fc $\gamma$ RIIIA (CD16) in the TCR complex,(319) which raises the possibility that signaling through chimeric FcR $\gamma$ (as compared with CD3 $\zeta$  in our current design) in a CAR endodomain may improve activation. However, CD16 was not detected on CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in this study (data not shown). Since clinical responses against CD19<sup>+</sup> lymphocytic leukemia have been achieved with T cells expressing a CAR that signaled through 4-1BB (CD137) endodomain,(7, 32) another option is to swap CD28 for CD137 for activation of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.


**Figure 26. Specific Lysis of CD19<sup>+</sup> Tumor Cell Lines by CAR<sup>+</sup>, CAR<sup>++</sup>, and CAR**<sup>++++</sup> γδ **T cells.** (a) Phenotype of T cells at day 19 of co-culture either unsorted (left) or from CAR sorting at day 15 where CAR<sup>neg</sup> and CAR<sup>+</sup> fractions are displayed in the middle and right, respectively. Four-hour CRA (Day 19 of co-culture on aAPC) of γδ T cells genetically modified to enforce expression of CD19-specific CAR with 6% (CAR<sup>+</sup>, circles), 60% (CAR<sup>++</sup>, triangles), and 98% (CAR<sup>++++</sup>, squares) expression of CAR targeting (b) EL4-CD19<sup>neg</sup>, (c) EL4-CD19<sup>+</sup>, and (d) CD19<sup>+</sup> NALM-6 tumor cells. Data are mean ± SD (n = 3). Quadrant frequencies of flow plots are displayed. This work was originally **published in** *Molecular Therapy*. Deniger, D. C., K. Switzer, T. Mi, S. Maiti, L. Hurton, H. Singh, H. Huls, S. Olivares, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Bispecific T-cells Expressing Polyclonal Repertoire of Endogenous gammadelta T-cell Receptors and Introduced CD19-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor. Mol Ther. 21(3): 638-647.(311) © Nature Publishing Group

113

## III.D.4. Improvements on Type of $\gamma\delta$ T cell used for CAR Immunotherapy

In addition to improving CAR expression on  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, the type of  $\gamma\delta$  T cell arising after electroporation with SB system and propagation on aAPC could be manipulated to further improve anti-tumor activity. For instance, some  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were observed to secrete IL17, a pro-inflammatory cytokine that has potent, yet context-dependent, anti-tumor effects.(320-324) IL17 producing lineages of T cells can be mutually exclusive from those that secrete IFN $\gamma$ .(325) Inducible costimulator of T cells (ICOS) leads to IL17 polarization in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and CD28 co-stimulation overcame this effect to dictate that CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells now produce IFNy.(326) CD86 is one of the co-stimulatory molecules on our aAPC and the majority of  $CAR^+ \gamma \delta$  T cells secrete IFNy in response to CD19 with diminished production of IL17. Furthermore, the CAR contains a chimeric CD28 endodomain which may contribute to IFNy polarization in genetically modified T cells. Substitution of chimeric CD28 for ICOS in the CAR and replacement of CD86 on the aAPC with ICOS-ligand (ICOSL) could potentially reverse the polarization to IL17. Given that we can propagate CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells on aAPC we are prepared to design aAPC to evaluate whether we can skew the cytokine profile to reflect the propagation of desired T-cell subsets.

# III.D.5. Clinical Significance of Bi-specific T cells

The human application of CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells is appealing given their inherent potential for anti-tumor effects and their apparent lack of alloreactivity.(304) The CAR, SB system, and aAPC are all already in use in our clinical trials. Therefore, we plan to modify our manufacturing scheme in compliance with current good manufacturing practice to generate bi-specific CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Our data provides a clinically-appealing approach to numerically expand and manipulate CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells with multiple V $\gamma$  and V $\delta$  pairings enabling clinical trials to evaluate their therapeutic potential."

**This work was adapted from published work in** *Molecular Therapy*. Deniger, D. C., K. Switzer, T. Mi, S. Maiti, L. Hurton, H. Singh, H. Huls, S. Olivares, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Bispecific T-cells Expressing Polyclonal Repertoire of Endogenous gammadelta T-cell Receptors and Introduced CD19-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor. Mol Ther. 21(3): 638-647.(311) © Nature Publishing Group

#### CHAPTER IV

# Artificial Antigen Presenting Cells Propagate Polyclonal Gamma Delta T cells with Broad Anti-tumor Activity

## **IV.A. Hypothesis and Rationale**

The *hypothesis* of this chapter is that aAPC will expand polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells that will have broad anti-tumor immunity. The *rationale* for this chapter is that (i) CAR<sup>neg</sup> polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells proliferated in parallel to CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells described in **Chapter III** on aAPC, (ii) no current expansion protocols exist for polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells for the clinic, (iii) aAPC are currently in clinical trials and are available as a master cell bank in the manufacturing facility at MD Anderson, (iv)  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressing V $\delta$ 1 are correlated with long-term remissions in cancer therapy but have not been directly infused as an adoptive immunotherapy, (v)  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressing V $\delta$ 2 have shown antitumor effects as direct adoptive immunotherapies, (vi)  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressing V $\delta$ 3 have not been described to have direct anti-tumor immunity leaving a gap in the field of knowledge, and (vii) a polyclonal approach to  $\gamma\delta$  T cell immunotherapy could target multiple ligands on the tumor through a diverse repertoire of TCRγδ. Therefore, development of an expansion protocol to generate clinically-relevant numbers of polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells would have implications as both cancer immunotherapies and for immunologists studying  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.

Drew C Deniger

## **IV.B.** Introduction

Human  $\gamma\delta$  T cells exhibit inherent anti-tumor activity and hold promise for immunotherapy of cancer. They are distinguished by the heterodimeric pairing of  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  T-cell receptor (TCR) chains from the more prevalent  $\alpha\beta$  T cell lineage (~95% of circulating T cells), which are defined by TCR $\alpha$ /TCR $\beta$  heterodimers.(327) TCR $\alpha\beta$  recognizes peptide complexed with MHC but TCR $\gamma\delta$  ligands are recognized independent of MHC restriction.(141, 146, 152) Many of these ligands are present on cancer cells, thus raising the possibility that a culturing approach to propagating T cells that maintains a polyclonal repertoire of  $\gamma\delta$  TCRs may have appeal for human application.

 $\gamma\delta$  T cells represent 1% to 5% of the T-cell pool in peripheral blood, and many standard T cell expansion protocols are not applicable to  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.(314, 328) Proliferation of monoclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cell populations (V $\gamma$ 9V $\delta$ 2) can be sustained with aminobisphosphonates, e.g. Zol, and clinical trials investigating their anti-tumor efficacy have yielded objective responses treating both solid and hematological cancers.(175, 179, 301) However, this subset of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells was not curative as a standalone therapy.(318) Novel polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cell expansion protocols are needed to improve upon these findings, but are lacking in clinically-relevant methods to expand multiple  $\gamma\delta$  T cell subsets in one cellular therapy product.

Since many ligands that signal through  $\gamma\delta$  TCR are unknown, we hypothesized that a tumor cell line may serve as a cellular substrate for activating these T cells and sustaining their proliferation. aAPC are used to stimulate CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell growth *ex vivo* 

and are derived from K562 cells, a natural cytolytic target of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.(57, 280, 310, 329) As seen in **Chapter III**, CAR-modified  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expanded on aAPC while expressing multiple TCR $\gamma\delta$  alleles and displayed enhanced cytolysis to antigen-positive tumors.(311) Moreover,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells not expressing CAR were present in CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cell cultures in high frequencies (**Figure 19a, bottom right panels**). Therefore, we hypothesized that  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could expand on aAPC independent of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells and that these  $\gamma\delta$  T cells would maintain a polyclonal TCR $\gamma\delta$  repertoire. Given that the aAPC are available as a master-cell bank, these data provide a translational pathway for adapting  $\gamma\delta$  T cells for human application. Thus, this could be the first time that polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could be used for cancer immunotherapy.

#### **IV.C. Results**

#### <u>IV.C.1. Propagation of γδ T cells on aAPC</u>

As seen in **Chapter III**, aAPC clone#4 sustained the proliferation of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in cultures containing CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.(311) To assess whether  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could numerically expand on aAPC without expression of CAR, quiescent  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were isolated from peripheral blood and stimulated by recursive additions of  $\gamma$ -irradiated aAPC clone#4 in presence of IL2 and IL21 (**Figure 27a**). It was observed that  $\gamma\delta$  T cells represented a small fraction of PBMC (3.2% ± 1.2%; mean ± SD; n = 4), but after 22 days of co-culture on aAPC the cultures contained a homogeneous population of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (97.9% ± 0.6%) as assessed by co-expression of CD3 and

TCR $\gamma\delta$  (**Figure 27b**). Cultures yielded >10<sup>9</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells from <10<sup>6</sup> total cells in three weeks of co-culture (**Figure 27c**), which represented a 4.9x10<sup>3</sup> ± 1.7x10<sup>3</sup> fold increase over a 22-day culture period. Although  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were rare in peripheral blood, they were readily sorted then expanded on aAPC to sufficient numbers for experiments and potential clinical application.



Figure 27. Sustained Proliferation of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells on aAPC and IL2/21. (a) Schematic of experimental design where NK cells are in open shapes,  $\alpha\beta$  T cells are in light gray shapes, and  $\gamma\delta$  T cells are in dark gray shapes. Columns represent paramagnetic isolation. (b) Expression by flow cytometry of CD3 (y-axis) and TCR $\gamma\delta$  (x-axis) in PBMC prior to isolation of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells isolation (Day 0) and after 22 days of co-culture on aAPC/IL2/IL21. One representative donor is shown and quadrant gate frequencies are displayed in the upper right corners of flow plots. (c) Total inferred cell counts of viable cells during co-culture period. Black lines are mean ± SD from 4 healthy donors, gray lines are individual donors, and arrows represent addition of  $\gamma$ -irradiated aAPC.

# IV.C.2. Roles for Co-stimulation and Cytokine Support in $\gamma\delta$ T cell Proliferation on aAPC

The mechanism of  $\gamma\delta$  T cell proliferation on aAPC was unknown. Addition of cytokines and co-stimulation by aAPC were likely candidates for supporting growth of on aAPC. In order to assess which surface molecules on the clone#4 aAPC (membrane-bound IL15 (mIL15), CD86, and CD137L) were important for  $\gamma\delta$  T cell expansion with IL2 and IL21, parental K562 cells were genetically modified to express (i) mIL15 (cloneA6), (ii) mIL15 and CD86 (clone A3), or (iii) mIL15 and CD137L (clone D4) and were subcloned for uniform transgene expression (Figure 28a). Co-cultures with exogenous IL2 and IL21 were initiated with  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and  $\gamma$ -irradiated (i) parental K562 cells, (ii) clone A6 aAPC, (iii) clone A3 aAPC, (iv) clone D4 aAPC (Figure 28b), or (v) clone#4 aAPC (Figure 8 middle panels) in parallel with T cells receiving cytokines only. IL2 and IL21 in combination sustained limited  $\gamma\delta$  T cell proliferation, which was increased when K562 cells were added to co-cultures. Slightly less expansion was observed when either mIL15 or mIL15 and CD86 were added to K562 cells. However, significantly higher  $\gamma\delta$  T cell propagation was only observed with mIL15<sup>+</sup>CD137L<sup>+</sup> and mIL15<sup>+</sup>CD86<sup>+</sup>CD137L<sup>+</sup> aAPC over IL2 and IL21 alone. After establishing that costimulation on aAPC was necessary for y8 T cell proliferation, IL2 and IL21 were added separately or in combination to assess their contribution to growth on clone#4 aAPC. No γδ T cell expansion was observed when both IL2 and IL21 were removed from cocultures, addition of IL2 alone resulted in more proliferation than IL21 alone, and combination of both IL2 and IL21 displayed additive growth of γδ T cells (Figure 28c).

This validated our approach to use both IL2 and IL21 for maximum  $\gamma\delta$  T cell yield following co-culture on clone#4 aAPC and strongly suggested that both aAPC co-stimulation and cytokine support were critical for maximum  $\gamma\delta$  T cell proliferation *ex vivo*.



**Figure 28. Co-stimulation and Cytokine Requirements for γδ T cell Expansion on aAPC** *ex vivo*. (**a**) Surface phenotype of aAPC expressing single co-stimulatory molecules with membrane-bound IL15 (mIL15). (**b**) γδ T cell proliferation was measured after 10 days of growth with IL2 and IL21 on (i) no aAPC, (ii) parental K562 cells, (iii) mIL15<sup>+</sup> aAPC (clone A6), (iv) mIL15<sup>+</sup>CD86<sup>+</sup> aAPC (clone A3), (v) mIL15<sup>+</sup>CD137L<sup>+</sup> aAPC (clone D4), or (vi) clone#4 aAPC. All aAPC were γ-irradiated prior to co-culture. (**c**) Co-cultures were initiated with clone#4 aAPC and either (i) no cytokines, (ii) 50 U/mL IL2, (iii) 30 ng/mL IL21, or (iv) 50 U/mL IL2 and 30 ng/mL IL21. Fold changes were calculated relative to the input cell numbers. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-tests was used for statistical analysis. \*p<0.05 and \*\*p<0.01

#### IV.C.3. UCB-derived γδ T cells Expansion on aAPC

Umbilical cord blood (UCB) is a source of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells with unique use for immunotherapy because they have limited immunological education and thus potential utility in allogeneic settings. Moreover, UCB-derived  $\gamma\delta$  T cells should have a younger phenotype and could (theoretically) have a longer range of responsiveness before anergizing or undergoing senescence. However, UCB has limited volumes and y8 T cells are a small fraction of an already limited resource. Fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) was used to isolate  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in order to maximize yields and purity of this valuable resource. Indeed, clone#4 aAPC induced substantial proliferation of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells derived from UCB (Figure 29a). After 35 days of co-culture on clone#4 with IL2 and IL21, there was a 10 million-fold increase in cell number as an average of  $10^{11}$ UCB-derived  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (Range:  $6x10^9 - 3x10^{11}$ ; n = 5) were propagated from just  $10^4$  $\gamma\delta$  T cells at the start of the culture. Because few cells were isolated (10<sup>4</sup> per donor), two more stimulations were performed for UCB compared to PBMC to highlight their potential for proliferating to clinically relevant numbers. As expected,  $\gamma\delta$  T cell cultures were pure as assessed by uniform expression of CD3 (Figure 29b) and TCR $\gamma\delta$  (Figure **29c**) without expression of TCRαβ (Figure 29d) or presence of CD3<sup>neg</sup>CD56<sup>+</sup> NK cells (Figure 29b). Collectively, these data demonstrate that aAPC clone#4 when used with IL2 and IL21 could sustain the proliferation of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells *ex vivo* from limited starting populations.



**Figure 29. Expansion of UCB-derived**  $\gamma\delta$  **T cells on aAPC.**  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were sorted by FACS following staining with CD3 and TCR $\gamma\delta$  and were stimulated weekly with clone#4 aAPC, IL2, and IL21 (a) Total inferred cell numbers from co-cultures where black line represents mean ± SD (n = 5) and gray lines are individual donors. Arrows represent stimulations with aAPC. Expression of (b) CD3 (y-axis) and CD56 (x-axis), (c) CD3 (y-axis) and TCR $\gamma\delta$  (x-axis), and (d) TCR $\alpha\beta$  (y-axis) and TCR $\gamma\delta$  (x-axis) of one representative donor by flow cytometry after 5 weeks of expansion on aAPC with IL2 and IL21. Quadrant frequencies are displayed in upper right corners.

### IV.C.4. Frequency of $\gamma$ and $\delta$ TCR Usage in aAPC-propagated $\gamma\delta$ T cells

Previously, CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expanded on clone#4 aAPC maintained polyclonal repertoire of TCR $\gamma$  and TCR $\delta$  chains, and  $\gamma\delta$  T cells proliferating in parallel to CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$ T cells also maintained polyclonal TCR $\gamma\delta$  distribution (**Chapter III**).(311) Whether the aAPC-expanded  $\gamma\delta$  T cells would do the same was of great interest, because if so then this would represent the first ever clinically-viable approach to expand multiple  $\gamma\delta$  T cells subsets in one cellular product for cancer therapy.

# IV.C.4.a. $V\delta$ and $V\gamma$ mRNA Expression

Now that it is established that  $\gamma\delta$  T cells can expand on aAPC independently of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells (**Figures 27, 28, and 29**), the TCR isotype variable (V) region repertoire was evaluated at the mRNA level by DTEA. As anticipated, mRNA species for all three V $\delta$  alleles were identified (**Figure 30a**) and V $\gamma$ 2, V $\gamma$ 5, V $\gamma$ 7, V $\gamma$ 8 (two alleles), V $\gamma$ 9, V $\gamma$ 10, and V $\gamma$ 11 mRNA species were co-expressed in the aAPC-expanded  $\gamma\delta$  T cells from PBMC (**Figure 30b**). Similar polyclonal TCR expression of V $\delta$  (**Figure 30c**) and V $\gamma$  (**Figure 30d**) was observed in  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expanded from UCB with fewer V $\delta$ 2 cells, more V $\gamma$ 2 and V $\gamma$ 5 cells, and presence of V $\gamma$ 3 cells not seen in PBMC. Thus, aAPC are able to repeatedly expand  $\gamma\delta$  T cells with polyclonal TCR repertoire from both PBMC and UCB.



Figure 30. Pattern of V $\delta$  and V $\gamma$  mRNA Usage on aAPC-expanded  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Quantification of mRNA species coding for (a) V $\delta$ 1\*01, V $\delta$ 2\*02, and V $\delta$ 3\*01 alleles from left to right, respectively, and (b) V $\gamma$  alleles in PBMC-derived  $\gamma\delta$  T cells by DTEA at day 22 of co-culture on aAPC/IL2/IL21. Each circle represents an individual donor's  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and lines show mean (horizontal) ± SD (vertical). Quantification of mRNA species coding for (c) V $\delta$  and (d) V $\gamma$  alleles in UCB-derived  $\gamma\delta$  T cells by DTEA at day 34-35 of co-culture on aAPC/IL2/IL21 as described for PBMC. Numbers correlate with identification of PBMC (1-4) and UCB (5-9) donors described further in **Figure 31**.

# IV.C.4.b. TCRγδ Surface Protein Expression

After establishing  $V\gamma$  and  $V\delta$  mRNA expression from a number of different isotypes, surface expression of TCR $\gamma$  and TCR $\delta$  was investigated. However, there are only 3 commercially available antibodies specific for individual TCR $\gamma\delta$  isotypes, which are specific for TCR $\delta$ 1, TCR $\delta$ 2, and TCR $\gamma$ 9. As was seen in CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, aAPCexpanded  $\gamma\delta$  T cells from PBMC stained for all three V $\delta$  populations  $(TCR\delta1^{+}TCR\delta2^{neg}, TCR\delta1^{neg}TCR\delta2^{+}, and TCR\delta1^{neg}TCR\delta2^{neg})$ , corroborating DTEA detection of V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 populations of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, respectively (Figure 31a). Moreover, TCR $\delta$  expression frequencies followed the trend of TCR $\delta$ 1>TCR $\delta$ 3>TCR $\delta$ 2, and most TCR $\delta$ 2 chains paired with TCR $\gamma$ 9 (Figure 31b). Fewer TCR $\delta$ 2 cells were seen in UCB-derived  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (Figure 31c) compared to PBMC-derived  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (Figure 31a), but UCB-derived  $\gamma\delta$  T cells followed the same TCR $\delta$ 1>TCR $\delta$ 3>TCR $\delta$ 2, trend and most V $\delta$ 2 paired with V $\gamma$ 9 as expected (**Figure 31d**). Analysis of other V $\gamma$ pairings with V $\delta$  could not be performed because there are no other V $\gamma$ -specific commercially antibodies available. Thus, aAPC-expanded  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were polyclonal at both mRNA and protein levels, and this protocol therefore represents the first clinicallyrelevant expansion approach of polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.



Figure 31. TCR $\delta$  and TCR $\gamma$  Isotype Surface Expression on aAPC-expanded  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Expression by flow cytometry of (a) TCR $\delta$ 2 (y-axes) and TCR $\delta$ 1 (x-axes) and (b) TCR $\delta$ 2 (y-axes) and TCR $\gamma$ 9 (x-axes) in PBMC-derived  $\gamma\delta$  T cells at day 22 of coculture on aAPC/IL2/IL21. Expression by flow cytometry of (c) TCR $\delta$ 2 (y-axes) and TCR $\delta$ 1 (x-axes) and (d) TCR $\delta$ 2 (y-axes) and TCR $\gamma$ 9 (x-axes) in UCB-derived  $\gamma\delta$  T cells at day 35 of co-culture on aAPC/IL2/IL21. Numbers in lower right corners correlate with identification of PBMC (1-4) and UCB (5-9) donors also shown in Figure 30 and quadrant frequencies are displayed in upper right corners.

# IV.C.4.c. Validation of V83 Subset and V8 Lineage Propagation

Little is known about the V $\delta$ 3 lineage of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and no reports have been made to date about their role in anti-tumor immunity. Because this study has implications for showing the first ever evidence that this subset can mediate anti-tumor effects, further validation that the TCR $\delta 1^{neg}$ TCR $\delta 2^{neg}$  cells were, in fact, V $\delta 3$  cells was warranted. Complicating this matter is the fact that no commercially available antibodies for TCR $\delta$ 3. However, an indirect means was successfully used by combining FACS and DTEA. As there are only three V $\delta$  populations in humans and there are antibodies to two of the isoforms, a combination of DTEA and FACS was used to in two ways to confirm the various populations. First,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expanded in the presence of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells (Chapter III) were sorted for TCR $\delta 1^+$ TCR $\delta 2^{neg}$ , TCR $\delta 1^{neg}$ TCR $\delta 2^+$ , and TCR $\delta 1^{\text{neg}}$ TCR $\delta 2^{\text{neg}} \gamma \delta$  T cells populations by FACS and they expressed only V $\delta 1*01$ , Vδ2\*02, and Vδ3\*01 mRNA, respectively (Figure 22a and 22b).(311) The second approach directly applied the same techniques to  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expanded on aAPC as described in **Chapter IV** without  $CAR^+$  T cells. Again,  $TCR\delta1^+TCR\delta2^{neg}$ , TCR $\delta 1^{\text{neg}}$ TCR $\delta 2^+$ , and TCR $\delta 1^{\text{neg}}$ TCR $\delta 2^{\text{neg}}$  populations isolated by FACS consisted primarily of V81\*01, V82\*02, and V83\*01 mRNA, respectively, and were therefore denoted V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3, respectively (Figure 32a). It is important to note that Vδ1\*01 only resulted in ~150 mRNA counts whereas Vδ2\*02 and Vδ3\*01 ranged in the ~1000-2000 mRNA count range (Figure 30), so the purity as measured by mRNA counts appeared to have contaminating V $\delta$ 1 cells in V $\delta$ 2 and V $\delta$ 3 populations but these populations were minor contributors in the Vo1 population as measured by flow

cytometry (Figures 32d and 32e). Furthermore, the FACS sorted V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 populations were expanded on clone#4 aAPC in the presence of exogenous IL2 and IL21 as separate co-cultures populations and even after 15 days of isolated growth the same V $\delta$  mRNA signatures were observed suggesting the cells remained pure during propagation (data not shown). As expected, all three V $\delta$  populations proliferated well on aAPC as separate populations (Figure 32b), where fold increase capability was ranked as  $V\delta 1 > V\delta 3 = V\delta 2$  although there were no statistically different differences (Figure 32c). Indeed, there are more V $\delta$ 1 cells in polyclonal populations (Figures 30) and 31), which may be due to a slight increase in their ability to proliferate on aAPC. Importantly, populations expressed the appropriate TCR alleles on the  $\gamma\delta$  T cell surface where V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 subsets were pure for TCR $\delta$ 1<sup>+</sup>TCR $\delta$ 2<sup>neg</sup>, TCR $\delta$ 1<sup>neg</sup>TCR $\delta$ 2<sup>+</sup>, and TCR $\delta 1^{\text{neg}}$ TCR $\delta 2^{\text{neg}}$ , respectively, after 15 days of isolated expansion on aAPC (Figure 32d and 32e). All separated V $\delta$  subsets co-expressed CD3 and TCRy $\delta$ verifying that they were, in fact,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (Figures 34a and 34b). Collectively, these results showed that (i) TCR $\delta 1^{\text{neg}}$ TCR $\delta 2^{\text{neg}}\gamma\delta$  T cells contained the V $\delta 3$  lineage, (ii) DTEA accurately measured V $\delta$  mRNA, (iii) V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 lineages are stimulated by aAPC leading to their proliferation, and (iv) aAPC-expanded  $\gamma\delta$  T cells are truly polyclonal.



**Figure 32. Vδ Subset Separation, Propagation, and Resultant TCR Expression on Sorted T cells.** PBMC were sorted for γδ T cells with paramagnetic beads and were expanded for 2 weeks on aAPC/IL2/IL21. They were then sorted into three populations (Vδ1, Vδ2, and Vδ3) by FACS. Separated populations were stimulated for 2 weeks on aAPC/IL2/IL21. (**a**) DTEA detection of Vδ1\*01, Vδ2\*02, and Vδ3\*01 mRNA species in Vδ1, Vδ2, and Vδ3 subsets following FACS purification. (**b**) Proliferation of Vδ lineages on aAPC as separated populations. (**c**) Fold increases of each Vδ population where each shape represents a different donor. (**d**) Representative flow cytometry plots of TCRδ1 (x-axes) and TCRδ2 (y-axes) expression in Vδ1, Vδ2, and Vδ3 subsets (from left to right). Quadrant frequencies are displayed in upper right corner. (**e**) Frequencies of TCRδ1<sup>+</sup>TCRδ2<sup>neg</sup>, TCRδ1<sup>neg</sup>TCRδ2<sup>+</sup>, and TCRδ1<sup>neg</sup>TCRδ2<sup>neg</sup> cells in Vδ1, Vδ2, and Vδ3 subsets. Data are mean ± SD (n = 3-4).

## IV.C.5. Immunophenotype of γδ T cells Expanded on aAPC

Functional outcomes, e.g. memory formation, homing to tissues, and effector mechanism, can be predicted by the expression of lymphocyte-specific proteins on the T cell surface. Thus, a panel of markers was used to identify the immunophenotype of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells cultures first as a polyclonal population to be used as therapy and then as sorted V $\delta$  populations to gain insight into lineage differences.

## IV.C.5.a. Immunophenotype of Polyclonal $\gamma \delta T$ cell Population

The ultimate goal for the clinic is to use a polyclonal population of T cells for immunotherapy in order to have a multivariate approach to cancer immunotherapy, so extensive phenotyping of the  $\gamma\delta$  T cell surfaces was performed as a mixed V $\delta$  population. After 22 days of co-culture on aAPC, few  $\alpha\beta$  T cells (TCR $\alpha\beta$ ) and NK cells (CD3<sup>neg</sup>CD56<sup>+</sup>) were detected in the cultures where strong staining for  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (TCR $\gamma\delta$ ) was observed (**Figure 33a**). Most  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were CD4<sup>neg</sup>CD8<sup>neg</sup>, as expected,(286) but some CD8 and CD4 expression was observed (**Figure 33b**). These T cells were highly activated as measured by expression of CD38 and CD95. IL2 receptors (CD25; IL2R $\alpha$  and CD122; IL2R $\beta$ ) were detected, but limited surface expression of IL7R $\alpha$  (CD127) was identified.  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were not exhausted as evidenced by the absence of CD57 and PD1. Most cells expressed CD27 and CD28 co-stimulatory ligands and had a preference towards antigen-experienced (CD45RA) characteristics. Homing to the skin, lymph nodes, and bone marrow

has potential as evidenced by CCR4, CXCR4/CLA, and CCR7/CD62L expression, respectively. In aggregate, the surface phenotypes of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells indicated that they were highly activated and antigen experienced with potential for memory formation and homing to tissues.



Figure 33. Immunophenotype of Polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells Propagated on aAPC, IL2, and IL21. (a) Gating (one representative of four donors is shown) and (b) frequency of T cell surface makers by flow cytometry of T cells at Day 22 of culture. Lines show mean (horizontal)  $\pm$  SD (vertical) and symbols represent individual donors.

## IV.C.5.b. Immunophenotype of $V\delta 1$ , $V\delta 2$ , and $V\delta 3$ Subsets

It is of interest to identify differences amongst V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 lineages that could enable us to predict functional responses and therapeutic efficacy. In particular, distinct differences were observed in TCRy8 cell surface density and memory-associated markers. TCR $\gamma\delta$  often stained as a two populations with distinct MFI when co-stained with CD3 (Figure 27b). Separation of V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 subsets clearly identified V $\delta$ 2 as the low (43 ± 9; mean ± SD; n = 4), V $\delta$ 3 as the medium (168 ± 40), and V $\delta$ 1 as the high (236  $\pm$  56) MFI populations in TCR $\gamma\delta$  staining (**Figure 34a**). CD4 and CD8 are not commonly expressed on  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, but there were differences detected in limited surface expression of both CD4 and CD8 between the separated subsets (Figure 34b). V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 3 cells consistently expressed more CD4 and CD8 than did V $\delta$ 2 cells (p = 0.001; Two-way ANOVA), and there were significantly more CD4<sup>+</sup> V $\delta$ 1 and CD8<sup>+</sup> V $\delta$ 3 cells than  $CD4^+ V\delta2$  and  $CD8^+ V\delta2$  cells, respectively (Figure 34c and 34d). CCR7 and CD62L mediate homing to the lymph nodes and other secondary lymphoid organs.  $CD8^+$  T cells expressing CCR7 and/or CD62L were described as T<sub>CM</sub> cells but CCR7<sup>neg</sup>CD62L<sup>neg</sup> were defined as  $T_{EM}$  cells.(330, 331) Almost all V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 3 cells were CCR7<sup>+</sup>CD62L<sup>neg</sup>, but larger proportions of V $\delta$ 2 cells were CCR7<sup>neg</sup>CD62L<sup>neg</sup> with roughly equal remaining proportions staining as single or double positive for CCR7 and CD62L, suggesting V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 3 were T<sub>CM</sub> and V $\delta$ 2 cells were mostly T<sub>EM</sub> (Figure **34e**). CD27 and CD28 are both memory markers for  $CD8^+$  T cells, especially in the absence of CD45RA, and have important roles as co-stimulatory molecules for T cell activation.(332) CD27 expression followed the order of V $\delta$ 1>V $\delta$ 3>V $\delta$ 2 but all were

>80% CD27<sup>+</sup> (**Figure 34f y-axes**). In contrast, there was almost no difference between the three Vδ populations in CD28 expression (**Figure 34f x-axes**). Human  $\gamma\delta$  T cell memory has been most extensively reported as combinations of CD27 and CD45RA expression where CD27<sup>+</sup>CD45RA<sup>+</sup>, CD27<sup>+</sup>CD45RA<sup>neg</sup>, CD27<sup>neg</sup>CD45RA<sup>neg</sup>, and CD27<sup>neg</sup>CD45RA<sup>+</sup> correspond to T<sub>N</sub>, T<sub>CM</sub>, T<sub>EM</sub>, and T<sub>EMRA</sub>, respectively (**Figure 34g**).(151, 333) Indeed, these were the markers that showed the most convincing differences between the Vδ populations although all subsets contained at least some of each population. More specifically, the most T<sub>N</sub> cells were Vδ1, the most T<sub>CM</sub> were Vδ3, the most T<sub>EM</sub> cells were Vδ2, and virtually no T<sub>EMRA</sub> were detected (**Figure 34h**). Given these differences in surface memory phenotype, different functional abilities were expected from the  $\gamma\delta$  T cell subsets and a polyclonal approach to adoptive T cell therapy could utilize these different attributes as needed.



Figure 34. Immunophenotype of V $\delta$  Lineages Propagated on aAPC, IL2, and IL21. After 15 days of proliferation as separated populations, V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 subsets were stained for lymphocyte markers. (a) Representative flow cytometry plots of CD3 (x-axes) and TCRy $\delta$  (y-axes) expression in V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 subsets (from left to right). (b) Mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) of TCR $\gamma\delta$  staining in V $\delta1$  (red), V $\delta2$ (black), and V $\delta$ 3 (blue) subsets where each shape represents a different donor and data are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 4). (c) Representative flow cytometry plots of CD4 (x-axes) and CD8 (y-axes) expression in V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 subsets (from left to right) and (**d**) summary of frequencies in V $\delta$ 1 (red), V $\delta$ 2 (black), and V $\delta$ 3 (blue) cells where data are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3) and each shape represents a different donor. Representative flow cytometry plots of (e) CCR7 (x-axes) and CD62L (y-axes), (f) CD28 (x-axes) and CD27 (y-axes), and (g) CD45RA (x-axes) and CD27 (y-axes) expression in V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 subsets (from left to right). Plots are representative of three normal donors. (**h**) Memory phenotypes based on CD27 and CD45RA displayed in lower right corner of V $\delta$ 3 in (g) where each shape represents a different donor and data are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

#### IV.C.6. Polyclonal γδ T cells Secrete Pro-inflammatory Cytokines and Chemokines

To determine whether  $\gamma\delta$  T cells would foster an inflammatory environment during therapy, a multiplex analysis (27-Plex Luminex) of cytokines and chemokines was performed on polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells following culture on aAPC. LAC and mock activation was used as described in Chapters II and III. There was no significant production of anti-inflammatory T<sub>H</sub>2 cytokines IL4, IL5, and IL13, and there was a small increase in IL10 production from baseline (Figure 35a). In contrast, IL1Ra, IL6, and IL17 were significantly secreted by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and have roles together for T<sub>H</sub>17 inflammatory responses (Figure 35b). Moreover, pro-inflammatory T<sub>H</sub>1 cytokines IL2, IL12 (p70), IFNy, and TNF $\alpha$  were all significantly produced by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells when TCR was stimulated compared to mock stimulated controls (Figure 35c). High expression of chemokines CCL3 (macrophage inflammatory protein- $1\alpha$ ; MIP $1\alpha$ ), CCL4 (MIP $1\beta$ ), and CCL5 (regulated and normal T cell expressed and secreted; RANTES) were also detected (Figure 35d). CCR5 binds to all three of these chemokines, (334) but only 6%  $\pm 2\%$  (mean  $\pm$  SD; n = 4) of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressed this receptor. Nonetheless, recruitment of other immune cells expressing CCR5 is possible based on  $\gamma\delta$  T cell's production of CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5. In aggregate, TCR stimulation in  $\gamma\delta$  T cells led to a largely pro-inflammatory response desired for cell-based cancer therapies.



Figure 35. Cytokines and Chemokines Secreted by Polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. At Day 22 of culture on aAPC/IL2/21, T cells were incubated with complete media (mock) or leukocyte activation cocktail (LAC; PMA/Ionomycin) for 6 hours at 37°C. Conditioned media was interrogated on 27-Plex Luminex array to detect cytokines and chemokines. (a) T<sub>H</sub>2 cytokines, (b) T<sub>H</sub>17 cytokines, (c) T<sub>H</sub>1 cytokines, and (d) Chemokines. Data are mean  $\pm$  SD from 4 healthy donors. Student's t-test performed for statistical analysis between mock and LAC groups for each molecule. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, and \*\*\*p<0.001

#### IV.C.7 TCR $\gamma\delta$ Involvement in V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 Production of IFN $\gamma$

After establishing that polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells produced pro-inflammatory cytokines upon non-specific TCR stimulation, it was of interest to evaluate whether they would respond to tumor cells through their TCR $\gamma\delta$ . IFN $\gamma$  was produced most highly of all the cytokines interrogated by Luminex (Figure 35c), so it was chosen as a marker for  $\gamma\delta$  T cell response to OvCa in a classical intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) assay. Co-cultures with polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and two different OvCa cell lines were incubated at 37°C for 6 hours in the presence of the secretory pathway inhibitor Brefeldin-A (GolgiPlug) in order to trap IFN $\gamma$  within the T cells. Parallel co-cultures were set up with (i) normal mouse serum (NMS) for negative control or (ii) neutralizing TCR $\gamma\delta$  antibody (clone IMMU510) for 1 hour prior to co-culture and during the duration of co-culture. Surfaces of T cells were stained for CD3, TCR $\delta$ 1, and TCR $\delta$ 2 in order to separate V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and Vδ3 populations from tumor cells (Figure 36a, 36b, and 36c). Tumor cells alone and T cells without tumor cells served as negative staining controls. As anticipated, each V $\delta$ subset produced IFNy in response to OvCa in the NMS (negative blocking control) treated cells (Figure 36d). Furthermore, the amount of IFNy produced followed the order V $\delta$ 2>V $\delta$ 1>V $\delta$ 3 as evidenced by IFN $\gamma$  MFI of 855 ± 475, 242 ± 178, and 194 ± 182 (mean  $\pm$  SD; n = 4), respectively. Addition of antibody neutralizing TCRy $\delta$ significantly inhibited IFN $\gamma$  production by all three V $\delta$  subsets where V $\delta$ 2 was most affected (Figure 36d, 36e, and 36f). Therefore, polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells responded to tumor cells indicating that they have specific anti-tumor effects through their TCRγδ.



Figure 36. TCRyδ-specific IFNy Production by Vδ1, Vδ2, and Vδ3 Subsets. Polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were incubated for 1 hour prior to co-culture and during cocultures with normal mouse serum (NMS; negative control) or neutralizing TCRyδ antibody ( $\alpha TCR\gamma\delta$ ; clone IMMU510). Co-cultures were initiated in the presence of the secretory inhibitor BrefeldinA (GolgiPlug) where polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and one of two OvCa cell lines (CAOV3 or OC314) and were incubated at 37°C for 6 hours. Cells were stained for TCR $\delta$ 1, TCR $\delta$ 2, CD3, and IFN $\gamma$  in order to gate each T cell subset and assess IFN $\gamma$  production. The gating strategy was (a) separation of forward and side scatter (FSC and SSC, respectively) in activated T cell gate, (b) isolation of CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells from contaminating tumor cells in T cell gate, and (c) separation into V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 based on TCR $\delta$ 1<sup>+</sup>TCR $\delta$ 2<sup>neg</sup>, TCR $\delta$ 1<sup>neg</sup>TCR $\delta$ 2<sup>+</sup>, and TCR $\delta$ 1<sup>neg</sup>TCR $\delta$ 2<sup>neg</sup>, respectively. (d) Histogram comparisons of V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 gates (from left to right) co-cultured with CAOV3 and treated with NMS (open) or  $\alpha TCR\gamma\delta$  (shaded). Numbers next to histograms are MFI. Flow plots are representative of 1 of 3 normal donors and of co-cultures with OC314 cells. Percent inhibition for each V $\delta$  subset was calculated by the following equation: Inhibition (%) = 100 - 100 x [(MFI<sub>TUMOR + T CELL</sub>) - MFI<sub>T CELL ONLY</sub>)<sub>αTCRγδ</sub> / (MFI<sub>TUMOR + T CELL</sub> - MFI<sub>T CELL ONLY</sub>)<sub>NMS</sub>]. Data are mean ± SD (n = 3).

## IV.C.8. Broad Anti-tumor Cytolysis by Polyclonal γδ T cells

After establishing that  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were functional in producing pro-inflammatory molecules, their ability to lyse a broad range of tumor cell lines was investigated against healthy donor cells and established hematological and solid tumor cell lines.

## IV.C.8.a. Polyclonal $\gamma\delta T$ cells Lyse Hematological Tumors

We previously established that  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could lyse B-ALL cell lines (Daudi- $\beta$ 2M, Kasumi2, and REH) but not healthy autologous or allogeneic B cells.(311) This observation was confirmed again with healthy autologous and allogeneic B cells, which were not lysed by polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (**Figure 37a**). However, the same effectors were able to kill allogeneic B-ALL cell lines cALL2 and RCH-ACV (**Figure 37b**). T-ALL cell lines (Kasumi3 and Jurkat) were also sensitive to  $\gamma\delta$  T cell killing suggesting that  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could be used to kill T cell malignancies (**Figure 37c**). CML cell line K562 was also killed by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and has been a well-known target for  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, as expected (**Figure 37d**). Thus, polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells propagated on aAPC have anti-tumor immunity towards hematological malignancies.



Figure 37. In vitro Cytolysis of Hematological Tumor Cells by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Standard 4-hour CRA were performed with increasing effector ( $\gamma\delta$  T cells) to target (E:T) ratios against (a) B cells from autologous donors or from an allogeneic donor (one of four representative donors), (b) B-ALL cell lines cALL2 and RCH-ACV, (c) T-ALL cell lines Kasumi3 and Jurkat, and (d) CML cell line K562 and its derivative clone#4 aAPC. Each line represents an individual effector where data are mean ± SD (n = 3 wells per assay).

## IV.C.8.b. Polyclonal $\gamma\delta T$ cells Lyse Solid Tumors

After establishing that polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could lyse hematological tumor cells, solid tumor cell lines were evaluated for killing using standard 4-hour CRA. Established PaCa and OvCa cell lines were tested because of their high likelihood for sensitivity to anti-tumor immunity with a lack of current cellular therapies. Several PaCa cell lines (CaPan2, MiaPaCa2, Su8686, and BxPc3) cell lines were lysed by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in a dosedependent manner where BxPc3 cells were killed most efficiently (**Figure 38a**). Next, eight OvCa cell lines were lysed by polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in the following order: CAOV3 > EFO21 > UPN251 > IGROV1 > OC314 > Hey > A2780 > OVCAR3 (**Figure 38b**). Moreover, there was an average of >60% maximum cytolysis observed against CAOV3 in one donor after 4 hours at an effector to target (E:T) ratio of 20:1. Therefore, polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were able to kill solid tumors *in vitro* and other solid tumor cell lines may also be sensitive to cytolysis by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.



Figure 38. *In vitro* Cytolysis of Solid Tumor Cells by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Standard 4-hour CRA were performed with increasing effector ( $\gamma\delta$  T cells) to target (E:T) ratios against (a) PaCa cell lines CaPan2, MiaPaCa2, Su8686, and BxPc3 and (b) OvCa cell lines A2780, EFO21, Hey, IGROV1, OC314, OVCAR3, UPN251, and CAOV3. Each line represents an individual effector where data are mean ± SD (n = 3 wells per assay).

## IV.C.8.c. Mechanism of Tumor Cytolysis by $\gamma \delta T$ cells was Multi-factorial

We sought to determine if polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cell cytolysis was directly dependent upon the TCR $\gamma\delta$  by neutralizing killing with antibodies. Confounding these assays was the observation that  $\gamma\delta$  T cells displayed high levels of DNAM1 and NKG2D (data not shown), which can mediate cytolysis by both T cells and NK cells.(335, 336) Moreover, there was not a clear-cut choice for TCR $\gamma\delta$  neutralizing antibody since the company information for TCR $\gamma\delta$ -specific antibodies did not report on neutralization. In the end, the TCR $\gamma\delta$ -specific antibody used for staining in this study (clone B1, BD Biosciences) and clone IMMU510 TCR $\gamma\delta$ -specific antibody (IM) from Thermo Fisher were used for neutralization studies. Also, because there were many activating receptors (TCR $\gamma\delta$ , DNAM1, NKG2D) on the  $\gamma\delta$  T cell surface, a pool of all antibodies was used for maximum inhibition and to assess if there was additivity or synergy between the receptors in killing. Hematological tumor cell line (Jurkat) and solid tumor cell line (OC314) were chosen as targets because of their reported expression of DNAM1 and NKG2D ligands and their sensitivity to cytolysis by polyclonal γδ T cells (Figures 37c and 38b).(337-339) An E:T ratio of 12:1 was chosen where effectors were preincubated with the antibodies and antibodies were present during the 4-hour CRA. NMS was used as a negative control and parallel wells were initiated without antibodies to determine maximum cytolysis for normalization purposes. Antibodies targeting NKG2D, DNAM1, and TCR $\gamma\delta$  (clone B1) had minimal effect on reducing cytolysis against Jurkat (Figure 39a) and OC314 (Figure 39b) relative to NMS. However, there was a statistically significant increase in killing against Jurkat with DNAM1 antibody and significant decrease in killing against OC314 with NKG2D antibody. In contrast, TCR $\gamma\delta$  (IM) antibody significantly neutralized killing of both Jurkat and OC314 cells compared to NMS and reduced the killing by an average of 40% in both cell lines (**Figures 39a and 39c second bars from right**). Furthermore, a pool of all four antibodies (NKG2D, DNAM1, TCR $\gamma\delta$  (B1), and TCR $\gamma\delta$  (IM)) resulted in synergistic inhibition of  $\gamma\delta$  T cell cytolysis of Jurkat (65% ± 8%) and OC314 (71% ± 10%) cells (**Figures 39a and 39c bars to far right**). Moreover, dose-dependent inhibition was observed by both TCR $\gamma\delta$  (IM) and pooled antibodies when concentrations were diluted from 3.0 µg/mL (shown in **Figures 39a and 39c**) to 1.0 µg/mL and 0.3 µg/mL against Jurkat (**Figure 39b**) and OC314 (**Figure 39d**). Similar results were seen with targeting IGROV1 (data not shown), which is also known to express DNAM1 and NKG2D ligands and was sensitive to polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cell killing (**Figure 38b**).(337, 339, 340). In sum, these results suggested that killing by  $\gamma\delta$  T cells is multi-factorial with an emphasis on the TCR $\gamma\delta$  to mediate cytolysis.


**Figure 39. Neutralization of Polyclonal γδ T cell Cytolysis.** Neutralizing antibodies to NKG2D, DNAM1, TCRγδ (B1), TCRγδ (IM) were used to block killing of Jurkat or OC314 tumor targets at an E:T ratio of 12:1 in standard 4-hour CRA. Antibodies were pre-incubated with T cells for 1 hour and kept in the CRA at 0.3, 1.0, or 3.0 µg/mL. NMS was used for antibody controls and specific lysis was normalized to wells without antibody to yield relative cytolysis as defined by: Relative cytolysis (%) = (Specific Lysis)<sub>With Antibody</sub> / (Specific Lysis)<sub>Without Antibody</sub> x 100. Relative cytolysis of Jurkat cells by (**a**) all antibodies at 3.0 µg/mL and (**b**) NMS, TCRγδ (IM), and pooled antibodies at 3.0 µg/mL and (**d**) NMS, TCRγδ (IM), and pooled antibodies at 3.0 µg/mL and (**d**) NMS, TCRγδ (IM), and pooled antibodies at tested concentrations. Data are mean ± SD (n = 4). Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-tests were used for statistical analysis.

# *IV.C.8.d. Importance for TCR* $\delta$ *in* $\gamma\delta$ *T cell Cytolysis*

Because the separated V $\delta$  subsets displayed differences in memory phenotype and cytokine production, it was of interest to evaluate their ability to directly lyse solid and hematological tumors. Acute killing was evaluated with standard 4-hour CRA against Daudi-B2M, Jurkat, K562, clone#4 aAPC, and OvCa cell lines (CAOV3, IGROV1, OC314, and UPN251) all of which displayed high levels of susceptibility to lysis by polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (**Figures 37 and 38**). All eight tumor cell lines were lysed by the separated V $\delta$  lineages, but a distinct order of lysis was observed against all targets where  $V\delta^{2} > V\delta^{3} > V\delta^{1}$  in killing capabilities (**Figure 40**). As the phenotype indicated that V $\delta$ 1 cells were mainly naïve, it was expected that they would have the most limited cytolytic ability, which is what was observed. Likewise,  $T_{CM}$  have less immediate effector function relative to  $T_{EM}$  cells, and these memory populations were dominated by V $\delta$ 3 and V $\delta$ 1, respectively. Importantly, this was the first report of anti-tumor activity by V $\delta$ 3 cells. It was interesting that all three V $\delta$  lineages lysed clone#4 aAPC roughly equally which supports their similar proliferation (Figure 32). Long-term killing assays were then set up to assess whether equivalent killing could be achieved during 48 hours of co-culture between V $\delta$  subsets and OvCa cell lines CAOV3, OC314, and UPN251 (Figure 41). Indeed, >95% of CAOV3 and UPN251 cells were eliminated by all three subsets in two days. Likewise,  $96\% \pm 4\%$  of OC314 cells were killed by V $\delta$ 2 cells, and V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 3 achieved 76% ± 5% and 89% ± 5% (mean ± SD; n = 3) killing, respectively, in 48 hours of culture. Collectively, the V $\delta$  subset lineage was important for cytolysis in both acute and long-term conditions, and established that each

 $V\delta$  lineage propagated on aAPC was capable of tumor killing.



**Figure 40.**  $\gamma\delta$  **T cell Subset Acute Cytolysis.** V $\delta$  subsets were tested in 4-hour CRA against (**a**) ALL cell lines Daudi- $\beta$ 2M and Jurkat, (**b**) CML cell line K562 and its derivative clone#4 aAPC, and (**c**) OvCa cell lines IGROV1, OC314, UPN251, and CAOV3. V $\delta$ 1 (circles), V $\delta$ 2 (squares), and V $\delta$ 3 (triangles) are displayed as mean ± SD from averaged triplicate measurements from four normal donors.



**Figure 41.**  $\gamma\delta$  **T cell Subset Long-term Killing.** CAOV3, OC314, and UPN251 cells were seeded in wells of 6-well plates and incubated overnight so that they would adhere to the wells. T cells from V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, or V $\delta$ 3 subsets were then added and co-cultured in the wells with tumor cells for 2 days. Remaining adherent cells were enzymatically removed from the wells and counted for viable cells. Tumor cells without T cells were positive control and T cells without tumor cells was the negative control. Killing (%) = (Viable cells)<sub>Co-culture</sub> / (Viable cells)<sub>Tumor only</sub> x 100. Data are mean ± SD (n = 3).

### IV.C.9. Clearance of Established Tumor Xenografts by Polyclonal γδ T cells

As polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells are being proposed as a therapy for cancer patients, a model to test their efficacy in vivo was evaluated. NSG mice were used for their ability to accept human tumor xenografts well and were injected with CAOV3-ffLuc-mKate tumor cells intraperitoneally (i.p.) then randomized into treatment groups to establish a model of high tumor burden. This was a model for advanced OvCa disease as many women with OvCa do not usually develop metastases outside of the peritoneal cavity but local tumor growth and ascites result in disease pathology.(37) After 8 days of engraftment (denoted Day 0) either PBS (negative control) or  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (escalating doses) were administered i.p. to the mice (Figure 42). Tumor burden was monitored during the experiment with non-invasive BLI following D-luciferin administration. Established tumors were clearly visible by BLI after 8 days of engraftment at Day 0 (Figure 42a top panels), which continued to grow in mock (PBS) treated mice (Figure 42a bottom left panels) but were eliminated in mice treated with polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (Figure 42a bottom right **panels**) at 72 days post-treatment initiation. All mice treated with PBS had increased BLI flux measurements (p = 0.018) whereas polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cell-treated mice had significantly decreased (p = 0.004) BLI flux (Figure 42b). Moreover, treatment with  $\gamma\delta$ T cells improved overall survival (p = 0.0001) compared to mock-treated mice where 90% of mice survived OvCa and hazard ratio for mice without treatment was 20.4 (**Figure 42c**). In sum, polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were effective in treating cancer *in vivo* and represent an attractive approach to cell-based cancer treatment.



**Figure 42.** *In vivo* **Tumor Clearance by Polyclonal** γδ **T cells.** CAOV3-*ffLuc*-mKate tumor cells (3x10<sup>6</sup>) were injected i.p. into NSG mice at Day -8 and were allowed to engraft until Day 0 when treatment was started with either PBS (vehicle/mock) or polyclonal γδ T cells. Four doses were given with  $3x10^6$ ,  $6x10^6$ ,  $10x10^6$ , and  $15x10^6$  on days 0, 7, 14, and 21, respectively, to create a dose escalation scheme. (a) BLI flux images at Day 0 (top panels) or Day 72 (bottom panels) in PBS-treated (left 3 panels) or polyclonal γδ T cell-treated (right 3 panels) mice. Mice displayed are representative of 10 total mice. (b) BLI flux measurements of mice at Day 0 (squares) and Day 72 (circles) where lines are drawn between the same mouse. Student's paired, 2-tailed t-tests were used for statistical analysis and p values are displayed above treatment groups. (c) Overall survival of mice treated with PBS (open squares) or polyclonal γδ T cells (closed squares). Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon Test was used to calculate p value. H = hazard ratio.

### **IV.D.** Discussion

# IV.D.1. Importance of Polyclonal γδ T cells for Immunotherapy

This study establishes clone#4 aAPC as a cellular platform for the sustained proliferation of populations of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells that exhibit broad reactivity against hematologic malignancies and solid tumors. T cells expressing certain Vo TCR usage have been associated with clinical responses against cancer. For example, the V $\delta$ 1 TCR subset correlated with complete responses observed in patients with ALL and AML who underwent  $\alpha\beta$  T cell-depleted allogeneic HSCT.(302, 304, 305) However, V $\delta$ 1 cells have not been directly infused for therapy. This chapter established direct evidence that V\delta1 cells could mediate anti-tumor immunity and strengthens support for their use in adoptive T cell cancer treatments. In contrast to V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 3 cells, T cells expressing V $\delta$ 2 TCR have been directly infused and generated responses against solid and hematological tumors, but complete responses were unpredictable and sometimes not directly correlated to V $\delta$ 2 therapy (175, 318). Similarly, V $\delta$ 2 cells expanded in this chapter had the most immediate anti-tumor cytotoxicity and cytokine production, and aAPC-based expansions could build upon these early successes of V $\delta 2$  T cell infusions. A role for T cells expressing V $\delta$ 3 TCR in targeting tumors is unknown, but these lymphocytes have been correlated with immunity to HIV and CMV.(165, 183) Thus infusion of this T-cell subset could be beneficial to immunocompromised patients. Importantly, these results are the first to directly show that V $\delta$ 3 cells have anti-tumor activity and this study could, therefore, represent a significant contribution to both translational research strategies and to immunologists studying  $\gamma\delta$  T cell function. In aggregate, the data herein lend impetus to adoptive transfer of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells that maintain expression of all V $\delta$  TCR types as investigational treatment for tumors and opportunistic viral infections.

### IV.D.2. Potential Ligands for TCRγδ on aAPC

The molecules on aAPC that stimulate TCR $\gamma\delta$  for their numeric expansion are not known. K562-derived aAPC express endogenous MICA and MICB molecules (329) which are ligands for both V $\delta$ 1 and NKG2D.(152) NKG2D was expressed (40% ± 16%; mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 4) on aAPC-expanded  $\gamma\delta$  T cells that were also predominantly V $\delta$ 1 cells (**Figure 31**). Polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells also demonstrate expression (26% ± 7%) for other activating NK receptors (NKp30, NKp44, and NKp46), which may contribute to  $\gamma\delta$  T cell function. Two ligands described for V $\delta$ 2 TCR are surface mitochonrial F<sub>1</sub>-ATPase and phosphoantigens, both of which are described in K562 cells.(171, 172, 297, 299) Indeed, enhanced responses of T cells expressing  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  were observed when K562 cells were treated with aminobisphosphonates, (172) and a similar strategy could be employed upon co-culture with clone #4 to increase the frequency of V $\delta$ 2 TCR usage.(173) Otherwise, patients receiving polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could be primed to expand V82 cells in vivo through administration of aminobisphosphonates. Now that aAPC have been established as a means to propagate polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, these molecular questions can be answered and used for future therapies.

### IV.D.3. Co-stimulation in Polyclonal γδ T cell Expansion

We introduced co-stimulatory molecules to improve the ability of aAPC to propagate  $\gamma\delta$ T cells. CD28 and CD137 (4-1BB) expressed on γδ T cells bind CD86 and CD137L, respectively, expressed on aAPC. The absence of both CD86 and CD137L abrogated  $\gamma\delta$ T-cell proliferation and expression of single co-stimulatory molecules only partially restored the ability of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells to proliferate (Figure 28b). The benefit of using other molecules' involvement in co-stimulation has not been evaluated to date. CD70 is expressed on  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (36% ± 15%) concurrently with its receptor CD27 (Figure 33), which may allow for *trans*- or *cis*- stimulation independent of the aAPC that does not express CD70. CD27 has been described as a marker for  $\gamma\delta$  T cells that produce IFN $\gamma$ , and CD27<sup>neg</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells commonly secrete IL17, a potent cytokine that has powerful, yet context-dependent anti-tumor activities.(127, 333, 341) Current studies are investigating whether other co-stimulation combinations, i.e. ICOS without CD86, can improve the propagation and/or change the phenotype of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells – especially in regards to improving production of IL17 that can have potent anti-tumor effects. It may be that a cocktail of cytokines and neutralizing antibodies is required to propagate IL17producing  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, which was required for expansion of CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>H</sub>17 cells *ex vivo* on stimulating beads.(326) Indeed, the addition of IL2 and IL21 was also crucial for the numeric expansion of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells so the strategy will likely need addition of these two exogenous cytokines (Figure 28c). In the end, the aAPC co-culture system provides a clinically relevant methodology to tailor the type of the rapeutic  $\gamma\delta$  T cell produced for adoptive T cell therapy.

### IV.D.4. Polyclonal γδ T cells Apparently Lack Allogeneic Responses to Healthy Tissue

An attractive therapeutic strategy is to employ third party allogeneic  $\gamma\delta$  T cells as an "off-the-shelf" therapy. This may be feasible, as  $\gamma\delta$  T cells have reduced potential to cause graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) resulting from inappropriate TCR-mediated recognition of normal host tissue (305). Unlike TCR $\alpha\beta$  that recognizes peptides in the context of MHC, TCR $\gamma\delta$  is not known to be subject to MHC restriction.(141, 298, 299) Thus, matching recipient and donor T cell MHC may not be needed, raising the possibility that propagated  $\gamma\delta$  T cells from one donor can be infused into multiple recipients. Autologous T cells expressing  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  TCR have been adoptively transferred and intravenous administration of aminobisphosphonates was used for in vivo numeric expansion of this T-cell subset.(175, 179, 318) To date, the infusion of allogeneic  $\gamma\delta$  T cell has not been reported. We have evaluated aAPC-expanded  $\gamma\delta$  T cells for allogeneic responses and are not able to detect such reactivity. For example,  $\gamma \delta$ T cells proliferate (Figure 43a) and secrete IFN<sub>γ</sub> (Figure 43b) when co-cultured with OKT3-loaded aAPC, but not when co-cultured with autologous or allogeneic B cells. Allogeneic tumor cell lines were lysed by our  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, but healthy B cell donors were spared (Figures 24a and 37a). Further, formation of colonies from hematopoietic stem cells was inhibited by allogeneic NK cells, but not by allogeneic  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (**Figure 43c**). Autologous EBV-transformed LCL stimulated  $\gamma\delta$  T cells suggesting they may react with EBV antigens (data not shown) as indicated by previous studies.(342, 343) Bi-specific  $\alpha\beta$  T cells expressing CARs specific for GD2 or CD19 and grown on LCL have shown excellent anti-tumor immunity and could be applicable for the  $\gamma\delta$  T cell

population using aAPC.(236, 344) The ability to infuse donor-derived  $\gamma\delta$  T cells when needed, rather than wait the availability of an autologous product raises the therapeutic potential of this T-cell subset. This adds to our development of "off-the-shelf" cells as we previously reported that zinc finger nucleases can be used to eliminate expression of TCR $\alpha\beta$  to help generate "universal" CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells.(345)



Figure 43. Absence of Allogeneic Responses by Polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells to Partially **Mis-matched Donors' Healthy Cells.** (a) Polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were labeled with red fluorescent dye (PKH-26) and co-cultured with (i) media only (mock), (ii) autologous B cells, (iii) allogeneic B cells from normal donors (n = 5), or (iv) OKT3-loaded clone#4 aAPC (positive control) for 3 days at 37°C without exogenous cytokines. Proliferation was measured by dilution of PKH-26 dye MFI and each group was normalized to mock treated T cells after gating for CD3<sup>+</sup>TCR $\gamma\delta^+$  cells. Each shape represents a polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$ T cell effector (n = 3). Representative flow cytometry plot is displayed to the right. (b) The same co-cultures set up in (a) were initiated overnight in an IFN $\gamma$  ELISpot assay plate, except that cells were not labeled with PKH-26. Spots were enumerated and normalized to mock-treated cells for each donor, which is represented by an individual shape. (c) Hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) colony forming unit assays were set up with co-cultures of donor-matched NK cells or  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and PBMC containing a fixed number of HSC and co-cultures were added to semi-solid media supplemented with cytokines for colony formation. HSC cultures without co-cultured lymphocytes were used as negative controls for inhibition of colony formation and to normalize co-culture colony formation. Student's paired, 1-tailed t-tests were used for statistical analysis. \*p<0.05 and \*\*p<0.001.

### IV.D.5. Application of Polyclonal γδ T cells for Immunotherapy

These data demonstrate that our aAPC can be used to generate large numbers of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells that maintain polyclonal TCR repertoire and have an ability to kill tumor cells. Clone#4 has been produced as a master cell bank and thus there is a clear path to generating clinical-grade  $\gamma\delta$  T cells for human application. A polyclonal approach to  $\gamma\delta$  T cell immunotherapy is supported by the ability to of aAPC generate T<sub>N</sub>, T<sub>CM</sub>, and T<sub>EM</sub>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells from V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 lineages (**Figure 34**) that could then produce a range of effector functions including production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (**Figures 35 and 36**), exerting direct cytotoxicity against tumors (**Figures 37, 38, 39, 40 and 41**), and eliminating solid tumor xenografts (**Figure 42**). Thus, immediate tumor cytotoxicity can be achieved mainly through effector and T<sub>EM</sub> cells and long-lived anti-tumor immunity could be repopulated in patients with T<sub>N</sub> and T<sub>CM</sub>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Clinical trials can now, for the first time, test the efficacy of polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cell transfers in cancer treatments of both solid and hematological tumors.

#### CHAPTER V

### **General Discussion and Future Directions**

### V.A. Dissertation Summary

The central aim of this dissertation was to develop and test novel cellular immunotherapies for cancer treatment. This was tested in three independent specific aims. First, ROR1-specific CARs were able to re-direct  $\alpha\beta$  T cells towards leukemia without affecting normal B cells, and this represented an improvement from current CD19-specific CAR strategies that result in normal B cell aplasia (Chapter II). Current CD19-specific CAR and CD19<sup>+</sup> aAPC are currently in clinical trials at MD Anderson and were the fastest way to translate a strategy to use  $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$  cells for immunotherapy. Therefore, the second approach used polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expressing TCRyδ with anti-tumor reactivity as sentinels of CD19-specific CAR anti-tumor immunity. These CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells may have clinical bi-specific anti-leukemia efficacy due to targeting the tumor through both TCR and CAR (Chapter III). The last aim evaluated the broad anti-tumor activity of polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells expanded on aAPC, and established that they can be an effective option for leukemia, PaCa, and OvCa (Chapter **IV**). The translation of these pre-clinical methods into the clinical trials will give people facing cancer treatment new, safe, and effective options.

### **V.B.** Combinational Cellular Immunotherapies

Using more than one cell immunotherapy product in therapy may lead to therapeutic additivity, or better yet, synergy. Indeed, clinical trials have already combined HSCT with CD19-specific CARs to target B-cell leukemia. (263, 346) The trials are still in the enrolling stages, so it will take time to determine whether they are better than historical controls. Similar to HSCT and CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells, CARs can be paired to other cellular products to increase anti-tumor efficacy. For instance, polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells had inherent anti-tumor immunity towards ovarian and pancreatic cancers (**Chapter V**) and ROR1 is a TAA expressed on both PaCa and OvCa where  $ROR1^+$ OvCa cells were lysed by ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells (Figure 16c),(67) so a combinational immunotherapy of ROR1-specific  $\alpha\beta$  T cells and polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could be used. In fact, the 4A5 mAb specific for ROR1 and from which the CAR was derived detected ROR1 at some level in 11 of 12 OvCa cell lines (Figure 6c and data **not shown**). Given the potent anti-tumor activity of polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells towards OvCa (Figure 38b), the two approaches could be done together to increase tumor clearance. Moreover, patients with low ROR1 antigen expression and resistance to  $\gamma\delta$  T cellmediated cytolysis may be sensitive to synergistic killing by ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta$  T cells and polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Also,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells are unlikely to participate in GvHD in allogeneic transplantation, so a universal bank of polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could be established that was known to have high anti-tumor immunity or containing a particular set frequency of V $\delta$ 1, V $\delta$ 2, and V $\delta$ 3 populations with maximum efficacy.(305) Polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could also be used as front-line therapy before addition of HSCT, CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells, TILs, etc. in order to prime the tumor microenvironment for adaptive

immune cells with broader tumor specificity or to reveal neo-tumor antigens. Furthermore, the bystander effects of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in the microenvironment are largely unknown, and tumor lysis could lead to other resident cell types, e.g. NK cells, macrophages, DCs, etc. to have renewed reactivity to the tumor.(347) Indeed, B-ALL cell lines coated with mAb were lysed by  $CD16^+ V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  cells via antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC), and subsequently the  $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$  had APC function to generate antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta$  T cell responses to known B-ALL peptides, e.g. PAX5.(348, 349) The advantage of polyclonal γδ T cells expanded on aAPC is that there may be sufficient direct tumor lysis that ADCC would not be necessary. However, the APC function of aAPC-expanded polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells has not yet been studied. Lastly, melanoma may be an ideal target for combinational cellular immunotherapy because it is one of the most responsive tumors to immunotherapy and many T cells specific to melanoma peptides, e.g. MART1 and gp100, have been well characterized for rapid detection of antigen-specific responses. As aAPC have already been adapted for melanoma TIL studies (Forget MA, unpublished observation),(274) it is a logical next step to evaluate whether polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells can induce antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell responses to melanoma. If successful, this approach could impact the TIL expansion protocols to adapt them to a wider range of patients. In aggregate, there are many combinatory approaches that can be taken to increase the therapeutic payload to cellular immunotherapy.

### V.C. Generation of IL17-producing T cells for Adoptive Immunotherapy

IL17 has been shown to have potent anti-tumor effects when used in the tumor microenvironment, and therefore secretion of IL17 by transferred T cells homing to the tumor may have potent anti-tumor immunity.(321, 323, 350) T cells that produce IL17 can be mutually exclusive from those who produce IFNy. Indeed, most of the T cells expanded on aAPC in this dissertation, with or without CARs, produced IFNy, and the expanded  $\gamma\delta$  T cells secreted IL17 in diminished quantities compared to IFN $\gamma$  (Figures **23 and 35**). CD27 has been a marker for these cytokines in  $\gamma\delta$  T cells where CD27<sup>neg</sup> and CD27<sup>+</sup> are associated with IL17 and IFN $\gamma$ , respectively.(333, 351, 352) It holds then that ~80% of polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells stain positive for CD27 (Figures 33 and 34). CD28 co-stimulation was shown to inhibit  $T_H 17$  polarization in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells through ICOS co-stimulation, (326) and so it may be that CD86 co-stimulation by aAPC and/or CD28 endodomains in the CAR lead to polarization towards IFNy in polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, and CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta$  T cells. Replacement of CD28 for ICOS in the CAR(s) and CD86 for ICOSL in the aAPC can be tested to see if these can generate T cells that secrete IL17. Another strategy comes out of the observation that ROR1specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells signaling through CD137 produce less IFNy than do those signaling through CD28 (Figure 15). This may be due to (i) CD137 signaling yielding less inflammatory cells or (ii) CAR-CD137 cells expressed other cytokines that have yet to be detected. Clinical trials out of The University of Pennsylvania (PI: June, CH) using CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells for ALL and CLL treatment have shown that responders had high serum IL6.(4, 7) This cytokine has importance for macrophages, inflammatory response (of particular interest in his trials as patients underwent massive

fevers from T cells attacking their leukemia), and polarization of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from  $T_{REG}$  to  $T_H17.(127)$  In regards to the latter, release of immunosuppression by  $T_{REG}$  and production of IL17 could explain these impressive complete responses. **Chapter II** did not directly evaluate the influence of  $T_{REG}$  cells on CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell function or IL6 and IL17 production, but experiments using intracellular cytokine staining or multiplex arrays can be used to pursue this line of questioning. Development of an aAPC-based expansion of IL17 secreting T cells would allow for direct testing of their benefit relative to IFN $\gamma$ -producing cells, and may lead to rationales to use one or both of them in the clinic for cancer therapies.

#### V.D. Importance of Polyclonal γδ T cells to Immunology

One of the major accomplishments of this dissertation was creating a method for expanding polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (**Chapter IV**), which has broader applications outside of immunotherapy to the immunology and cancer biology fields. For example, few mAb exist that are specific for TCR $\gamma\delta$  isotypes, which limits their detection in correlative studies and other assays.(165) Given the ability of aAPC to expand large numbers of polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, mice can be immunized to generate mAb specific for desired TCR $\gamma\delta$  isotypes, e.g. V $\delta$ 3 and V $\gamma$  isotypes outside of V $\gamma$ 9. Commercial and academic use of these detection antibodies have tangible outcomes, including diagnostic and/or prognostic profiling of  $\gamma\delta$  T cell TIL within tumors. Other major unknowns are the ligands for many TCR $\gamma\delta$  heterodimers. Generation of  $\gamma\delta$  T cell clones could be used to determine the specific ligands of V $\delta$ /V $\gamma$  combinations and therefore lead to future

studies on  $\gamma\delta$  T cell affinity towards a particular disease. Moreover, the ligands on the K562-derived aAPC that TCR $\gamma\delta$  binds are unknown. Likely candidates include IPP (V $\delta$ 2) and MICA/B (V $\delta$ 1) but their exact roles have not been determined.(155, 172) Elucidation of these interactions could assist attempts to tailor the aAPC for total  $\gamma\delta$  T cell expansion, expansion of a particular  $\gamma\delta$  T cell lineage, or polarization towards a certain  $\gamma\delta$  T cell phenotype. Thus, aAPC could be an excellent source for the study of fundamental  $\gamma\delta$  T cell immunobiology and could yield answers not currently accessible because of limited starting cell numbers and ineffective polyclonal expansion protocols.

# V.E. Potential Benefits and Issues with Cellular Immunotherapy

Although promising, there may be some limitations to the immunotherapies created in this dissertation. First, patients with advanced B-cell leukemia disease often have few T cells in their peripheral blood, and have even fewer  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.(6) In some cases, the residual autologous T cells are functionally unresponsive and difficult to expand to clinically-relevant doses.(353) Preliminary studies using CD19-specific CAR have indicated that CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells can be generated from CLL patients with <5% T cells at the start of culture (Huls MH, unpublished observation). Other options would be to use haplo-identical or MHC-matched T cells. However, this is not always feasible, so allogeneic  $\gamma\delta$  T cells could be an ideal choice because of they are generally thought to recognize antigens outside of MHC-restriction.(304, 342) Of course, if normal hematopoiesis resumes in the patients then the  $\gamma\delta$  T cell graft may be rejected, but there may still be a therapeutic window. Another unknown is whether  $\gamma\delta$  T cells will be

subjected to the same regulation by  $T_{REG}$  cells or other immunosuppressive forces. Some  $\gamma\delta$  T cells have been reported to have immunosuppressive function, and it would be of interest to identify these cells and eliminate them from the adoptive T cell product prior to infusion.(354) The tumor microenvironment is also of interest because it often contains hypoxic areas containing malignant cells resistant to conventional treatments.(355, 356) In preliminary experiments, the co-culture system was adapted to assess  $\gamma\delta$  T cell proliferation as a function of oxygen tension. No difference in proliferative capacity (p = 0.404) was observed when the cultures were in hypoxia (1%)  $O_2$ ) or normoxia (20%  $O_2$ ) and stimulated with clone#4 aAPC, IL2, and IL21, indicating that  $\gamma\delta$  T cells have potential to operate within the bone marrow or hypoxic tumor milieu (Figure 44). Thus, administration of graded doses of autologous and allogeneic  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in humans will test the ability of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells to home and recycle effector function in the tumor microenvironment. In the end, clinical trials will be the ultimate test of whether these potential pitfalls out weight the anti-tumor benefits to cancer patients.



Figure 44. Proliferation of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in Hypoxia Compared to Normoxia. Cocultures were initiated in parallel with  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and aAPC in the presence of exogenous IL2 and IL21 in incubators set with either 1% O<sub>2</sub> (hypoxia) or 20% O<sub>2</sub> (normoxia) and were normalized to starting quantities 10 days after culture initiation. Student's paired, 2-tailed t-test was used for statistical analysis.

### V.F. Clinical Applications of Dissertation Immunotherapies

As of June, 2013 there are immediate plans to use immunotherapies detailed in **Chapters II and IV** in the clinic. A Phase I clinical trial was written to co-administer autologous ROR1RCD28 and ROR1RCD137 T cell populations into CLL patients after lymphodepletive (Cytoxan and Fludarabine) chemotherapy. Proof-of-principle studies have established protocols for expanding CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells from patient samples by using an "electroporation-then-sort" strategy used for growing  $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$  cells (Chapter **III**). Patient PBMC will be electroporated with SB transposase and SB transposase plasmids and sorted on paramagnetic beads the following day to deplete CD19<sup>+</sup> T cells. Co-culture on aAPC led to CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell growth in the translation research lab (TRL) built to translate lab protocols to the current good manufacturing practices (cGMP) facility. As more data has arrived, the support for utilizing only ROR1RCD137 in the clinical trial has gained momentum and may be the treatment modality tested instead of a competitive re-population experiment of both CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell populations. This investigational new drug (IND) application passed rigorous examination by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Recombinant DNA Advisory Committee (RAC) with approval in December 2012. Review at the MD Anderson IRB is underway before sending the trial for final IND approval by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). A second CAR trial has been proposed for treatment of leukemia with both ROR1-specific T cells and the chemotherapy dasatinib, which leads to increased surface expression of ROR1 in t(1;19) B-ALL cells and could minimize the risk for ROR1 antigen escape.(13) In regards to Chapter IV translation, a compassionate IND (CIND) has been written to treat a late stage CLL patient with autologous or allogeneic polyclonal

 $\gamma\delta$  T cells in the case that the autologous  $\gamma\delta$  T cells do not proliferate or respond to the tumor. If allogeneic  $\gamma\delta$  T cells are infused into this patient, this will represent the first time that purified polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells from an allogeneic host were ever infused into a human. There is great optimism that the polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells can home to secondary lymphoid tissues harboring CLL and that they can eliminate the leukemia. These two trials are, hopefully, the beginning of the trials to come that will apply ROR1-specific T cells, CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, and polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells for human cancer immunotherapies.

#### CHAPTER VI

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### VI.A. DNA Plasmids and Construct Cloning

All plasmids in this study were propagated in dam<sup>-/-</sup> bacteria (C2925, Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) and purified as single cell bacteria clones with EndoFree Plasmid Maxi Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Plasmids were cleared for transfection when (i) identity was confirmed by analytical digestion, (ii) samples were negative for endotoxin, and (iii) had spectrophotometer readings of  $1.80 < A_{260}/A_{280} < 2.00$ .

#### VI.A.1. Tumor Antigens

### VI.A.1.a. ROR1

The extracellular and transmembrane domains of ROR1 (Accession: NM\_005012), termed dROR1, were cloned into a SB vector (pSBSO). The open reading frame (ORF) was codon optimized for expression in human cells and cloned into a shuttle vector (pMK-RQ) by GeneArt (Invitrogen). Codon-optimized dROR1/pMK-RQ and GlySer-EGFP-mIgG1(CooP)/pSBSO plasmids were digested with *NheI* and *XhoI* restriction enzymes and were purified from pMK-RQ and GlySer-EGFP-mIgG1 fragments, respectively, by gel electrophoresis. Purified dROR1 and pSBSO fragments were ligated with T4 DNA Ligase (Promega, Madison, WI) to create dROR1/pSBSO plasmid, which was then amplified in the presence of kanamycin for large-scale

purification. Identity of the purified plasmid was confirmed with digestions of (i) *ClaI*, (ii) *ClaI* and *SmaI*, (iii) *PvuII*, and (iv) *PvuI* and *SmaI* enzymes to distinguish between parental plasmids and dROR1/pSBSO.

### VI.A.1.b. CD19

The extracellular and transmembrane domains of human CD19 (Accession: M84371), termed Delta-CD19, were cloned into a pSBSO with linked F2A cleavage site and neomycin resistance (NeoR) for enforced dCD19 expression (performed by Olivares S). As with dROR1, the ORF was codon optimized for expression in human cells and cloned into a shuttle vector by GeneArt. In order to create the final vector, codon-optimized dCD19 from plasmid vector Delta-CD19(CoOp)-F2A-SStomato/pSBSO and Neomycin resistance from plasmid vector Myc-FFLuc(CoOp)-Neo/pSBSO, were digested with *Zral/SpeI* and *EcoRV/SpeI* restriction enzymes respectively. The fragments (Neo-insert and Delta-CD19(CoOp)-F2A-X/pSBSO-vector) were purified by gel electrophoresis. Purified fragments were ligated with T4 DNA Ligase to create Delta-CD19(CoOp)-F2A-Neo/pSBSO plasmid, which was then amplified in the presence of kanamycin for large-scale purification. Identity of the purified plasmid was confirmed with digestions of *SacI* restriction enzyme to distinguish between parental plasmids and Delta-CD19-F2A-NeoR/pSBSO.

#### VI.A.2. Co-stimulatory Molecules

### VI.A.2.a. CD86 and CD137L

The entire ORF for CD86 (Accession: EF064748.1) and CD137L (Accession: NM\_003811.3) were codon optimized and synthesized by GeneArt and were then cloned into pSBSO (performed by Ang S).

#### VI.A.2.b. IL15-IL15Ra Fusion Construct

This construct will produce an IL15 that is membrane-bound, but also presented in the context of IL15R $\alpha$ . A fusion of IL15 (NM\_000585.4) to the full length IL15R $\alpha$  (NM\_002189.3) was constructed with a serine-glycine linker and a C-terminal Flag (x3) motif attached to generate membrane bound IL15 (mIL15). The signal peptides for IL15 and IL15R $\alpha$  were omitted and the IgE signal peptide (gb|AAB59424.1) was used for the mIL15 fusion protein. As with dROR1, mIL15 was codon optimized and synthesized by GeneArt and was then subcloned into GlySer-EGFP-mIgG1(CooP)/pSBSO using *NheI* and *XhoI* restriction sites.

### VI.A.3. Chimeric Antigen Receptors

Cloning of second generation CD19-specific CAR signaling through CD28 and CD3ζ (CD19RCD28) has been previously described.(57, 272, 281) The CAR was modified to replace CD28 endodomain for CD137 endodomain as a synthetic cDNA sequence

(GeneArt) that was cloned back into the original plasmid with Smal and Spel restriction endonucleases to create another second generation CD19-specific CAR signaling though CD137 and CD3 $\zeta$  (CD19RCD137). These plasmids were further manipulated to contain "SIM" and "FRA" oligonucleotides at the 3' end of the CD19RCD28 and CD19RCD137 transposons, respectively, by shuttling the entire CARs into new pSBSO backbones with *NheI* and *XhoI* enzymes (CD19-specific CAR work performed by Olivares S). Heavy and light chain immunoglobulin sequences from the 4A5 mAb hybridoma were provided by Dr. Thomas J Kipps (UCSD) and were used to assemble the following ROR1R sequence *de novo* (GeneArt) from 5' to 3' (i) murine IgGk signal peptide, (ii)  $V_L$ , (iii) Whitlow linker, (iv)  $V_H$ , and (v) the first 73 amino acids of the IgG4 stalk, and ROR1R sequence was shipped to MD Anderson as ROR1R(CoOp)/pMK-RQ plasmid. Amplification of ROR1R fragment from ROR1R(CoOp)/pMK-RQ was done by PCR with the following primers: ROR1RCoOpF (GCTAGCCGCCACCATGGGCTGGTCCTGCATC) and ROR1Rrev (GCTCCTCCC GGGGCTTTGTCTTGGC). The PCR product was cloned into pCR4-TOPO with TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen) to generate ROR1R(CoOp)/pCR4-TOPO and the sequence was verified with T7 and T13-0 primers by Sanger sequencing (DNA Sequencing Core, MDACC). Then NheI and SmaI were used to digest ROR1R(CoOp)/pCR4-TOPO CD19RCD28mZ(CoOp)/pEK and plasmids and appropriate bands were purified by gel electrophoresis and ligated with T4 DNA Ligase to generate ROR1RCD28mZ(CoOp)/pEK. The ROR1-specific CAR was then transferred into a SB transposon by digestion of CD19RCD28mZ(CoOp)/pSBSO-MCS and ROR1RCD28mZ(CoOp)/pEK with NheI and SpeI, removal of phosphates by Antarctic Phosphatase from pSBSO-MCS digestion, isolation of ROR1RCD28mZ and pSBSO-MCS bands by gel electrophoresis, and ligation with T4 DNA Ligase to generate ROR1RCD28mZ(CoOp)/pSBSO-MCS. The final ROR1RCD28 transposon plasmid was constructed by digesting CD19RCD28mZ(CoOp)/pSBSO-SIM with NheI, XmaI, and Antarctic Phosphatase and ROR1RCD28mZ(CoOp)/pSBSO-MCS with *NheI*, *XmnI*, and *XmaI*, purifying appropriate bands by gel electrophoresis, and ligating them together with T4 DNA Ligase to generate ROR1RCD28CD3z/pSBSO-SIM plasmid. Similarly, the final ROR1RCD137 transposon plasmid was constructed by digesting CD19R-CD28Tm-41BBCyt-Z(CoOp)/pSBSO-FRA with Nhel, Xmal, and Antarctic Phosphatase and ROR1RCD28mZ(CoOp)/pSBSO-MCS with NheI, XmnI, and *XmaI*, purifying appropriate bands by gel electrophoresis, and ligating them together with T4 DNA Ligase to generate ROR1RCD137CD3z/pSBSO-FRA plasmid. Identities of final ROR1R plasmids were distinguished from CD19R plamids by *PmlI* enzyme and pSBSO-SIM and pSBSO-FRA plasmids were distinguished by BsrGI enzyme (Figure 7).

### VI.B. Cell Culture

Three media formulations were used herein for tissue culture. First, RPMI-CM was composed of RPMI (Gibco, Grand Island, NY), 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone, Logan, UT), and 1% Glutamax-100 (Gibco). Similarly, RPMI-NaPyr-CM was RPMI, 10% FBS, 1% sodium pyruvate solution (Gibco), and 1% Glutamax-100. Last, DMEM-CM was made with DMEM (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), 10%

FBS, 1% sodium pyruvate solution, and 1% Glutamax-100. All tissue culture work was performed with 5%  $CO_2$  at 37°C in humidified conditions unless otherwise stated.

#### VI.B.1. Established Tumor Cell Lines

Jurkat, HCT-116, Kasumi3, and K562 cell lines were acquired from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA). K562-derived aAPC (clone #4 and clone#9) were acquired as previously described from the University of Pennslyvania courtesy of Dr. Carl June.(57, 275, 278, 279) B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) cell lines cALL2, Kasumi2, REH, and RCH-ACV cell lines were gifts from Dr. Jeff Tyner (OHSU), pancreatic cancer cell lines (BxPC3, CaPan2, MiaPaCa2, and Su8686) were donated by Dr. Viji Ramachandran (MDACC), and ovarian cancer cell lines (A2780, CAOV3, EFO21, Hey, IGROV1, OC314, OVCAR3, and UPN251) were provided by Dr. Robert Bast (MDACC). Cell cultures were maintained in (i) RPMI-CM: K562 parental cells, clone#1 aAPC, clone#4 aAPC, clone A6 aAPC, clone A3 aAPC, clone D4 aAPC, Jurkat, cALL2, Kasumi2, REH, RCH-ACV, and Kasumi3, (ii) RPMI-NaPyr-CM: A2780, EFO21, EFO27, Hey, IGROV1, OC314, OVCAR3, SKOV3, and UPN251, or (iii) DMEM-CM: CAOV3, BxPC3, CaPan2, MiaPaCa2, and Su8686. UPN251 cells were supplemented with insulin-transferrin-selenium solution (Gibco). Identities of all cell lines were confirmed by STR DNA Fingerprinting at MDACC's Cancer Center Support Grant (CCGS) supported facility "Characterized Cell Line Core."

#### VI.B.2. Genetic Modification of Cell Lines

### VI.B.2.a. ROR1 aAPC (clone#1)

Clone#9 aAPC was generated though enforced expression of CD19, CD64, CD86, and CD137L on K562 cells (June CH, UPenn). This aAPC was further modified to express IL15/IL15Rα fusion protein (**Chapter VI.A.2.b**) on their surfaces and was sub-cloned to generate clone#27. Then clone#27 was made to express dROR1 (**Chapter VI.A.1.a**), and single cell clones were isolated based on expression of ROR1, CD137L, and IL15. The clone#1 aAPC uniformly expressed CD19, CD32, CD64, CD86, CD137L, IL15, and ROR1 and was cleared for co-culture following negative testing for mycoplasma and other microbial pathogens.

# *VI.B.2.b. HLA<sup>-/-</sup> aAPC*

Zinc-finger nuclease (ZFN) specific for HLA-C was used to remove all MHC Class I expression from K562 cell surface (Torakai H, Lee DA, Rosoff H, and Cooper LJN). Clone#4 aAPC expresses IL15, CD86, and CD137L, so in order to investigate the roles of these molecules on  $\gamma\delta$  T cell proliferation new aAPC were constructed on K562 background (**Figure 28**). SB transposon containing IL15/IL15R $\alpha$  fusion protein and SB11 transposase were electro-transferred into K562 cells (CD86<sup>neg</sup> and CD137L<sup>neg</sup>) using Amaxa nucleofection and Kit V (cat#VCA-1003, Lonza, Basel, Switzerland). FACS was used to isolate IL15<sup>+</sup> cells, which were electroporated with SB11 and SB transposons containing either CD86 or CD137L. Cells were sorted again by FACS to

obtain IL15<sup>+</sup>CD86<sup>+</sup> or IL15<sup>+</sup>CD137L<sup>+</sup> as single cell clones A3 (IL15<sup>+</sup>CD86<sup>+</sup>CD137L<sup>neg</sup>) and D4 (IL15<sup>+</sup>CD86<sup>neg</sup>CD137L<sup>+</sup>), respectively. Single cell sorting FACS was also used to make a single cell clone (A6; IL15<sup>+</sup>CD86<sup>neg</sup>CD137L<sup>neg</sup>) of cells electroporated once. Each cell line was negative for mycoplasma and microbial pathogens.

### VI.B.2.c. Lenitviral Packaging and Gene Transduction

Lentivirus particles were packaged according to a modified version of a protocol described elsewhere.(357) Briefly, packaging cells (293-METR) were plated on flasks and transfected the following day with pCMV R8.2, VSV-G, and pLVU3G-effLuc-T2A-mKateS158A (Figure 45) plasmids in conjunction with Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent according to manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen). Virus-like particles were harvested 48 and 72 hours post-transfection and were concentrated on 100 kDa NMWL filters (cat#UFC810096, MilliPore, Billerica, MA). CAOV3 cells were plated on wells of a 6 well plate, and the following day *ffluc*-mKate virus particles were added with 8  $\mu$ g/ml polybrene then plate was spun at 1,800 rpm for 1.5 hours. The same was done for Kasumi2, except that polybrene was not added. Six hours later, the viral-conditioned supernatant was removed and the tissue culture media was immediately changed and changed the following day. Transduced CAOV3 were subcultured and single-cell clones were derived from limiting dilution that displayed the same morphology as the parental cell line and had uniform mKate fluorescence with high (>10<sup>6</sup> signal to noise ratio) *ffLuc* activity. CAOV3 clone 1C2 was used for mouse experiments. Kasumi2 were sorted for mKate and were used as a bulk population for mouse experiments (Figure 17a and 17b).





#### VI.B.3. Primary Tumor Cells

PBMC were isolated by Ficoll-Hypaque (GE Healthcare) from patients with CLL diagnosis after informed consent was granted. Samples were cryopreserved and were thawed and used the day of the experiments. All cells frozen at the Cooper Lab were cryopreserved in 50% FBS, 40% RPMI, 10% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) termed "free media." All patient samples were maintained in RPMI-CM.

## VI.B.4. Lymphocyte Cultures

All PBMC from adult donor blood or UCB used in this dissertation were obtained after informed consent and were isolated from whole blood by Ficoll-Hypaque or steadystate apheresis. PBMC were cryopreserved and thawed for experimental use whereas UCB were freshly isolated and immediately used. All aAPC were γ-irradiated (100 Gy) prior to co-culture and were then used immediately or were cryopreserved then thawed at the time of the co-culture. Validation of co-expression of cell surface markers (for example CD19, CD64, CD86, CD137L, and IL15 (co-expressed with eGFP) for clone #4) were performed before addition to T-cell cultures. All lymphocyte cultures were maintained in RPMI-CM.

# VI.B.4.a. $CAR^{neg} \alpha\beta T$ cells

 $\gamma$ -irradiated clone#4 aAPC were loaded with OKT3 antibody, which is agonistic for CD3 thereby leading to T cell proliferation independent of the TCR specificity, by

OKT3 antibody docking on CD64 (high-affinity Fc Receptor) expressed on aAPC. CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells were stimulated with an equal number of OKT3-loaded clone#4 cells in the presence of exogenous IL2 (50 U/mL; Aldeleukin; Novartis, Switzerland) and IL21 (30 ng/mL; cat#AF20021; Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ) unless otherwise stated. Exogenous IL2 and IL21 were added back to cultures every 2-3 days along with at least half of the current volume of RPMI-CM.

# VI.B.4.b. $CAR^+ \alpha\beta T$ cells

CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells were propagated based on modified standard operating protocols as previously described.(57, 273) Cryopreserved PBMC were thawed the day of the electroporation (designated day 0) and rested for 2 hours in RPMI-CM at 37°C. Cells for electroporation were spun at 200g for 10 minutes, enumerated, and  $2x10^7$  cells were mixed with DNA (5 µg SB11 transposase and 15 µg SB transposon) in Human T cell Nucleofector Solution (cat#VPA-1002, Lonza) then added to a cuvette, which was then electroporated on the U-014 program of Amaxa Nucleofector II (Lonza). Transfected cells were then added to wells of a 6-well plate containing phenol-free RPMI, 20% FBS, and 1x Glutamax-100. The following day, electroporated T cells were phenotyped and stimulated with aAPC according to their CAR expression. A ratio of 2:1 of clone#4 to CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells was used and a 1:1 ratio of clone#1 to ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells was used. Each co-culture was supplemented with IL21 during the first week (given every 2-3 days) and with both IL2 and IL21 for the subsequent weeks. CAR expression was evaluated each week in order to do the stimulation according to
CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells. If NK cells reached >10% of the total populations, they were depleted from co-cultures with paramagnetic CD56 microbeads (cat#130-050-401, Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA) and LS columns (cat#130-042-401, Miltenyi Biotec). Stocks were made of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells at days 14, 21, 28, and 35 (where applicable), and inferred cell numbers were calculated by the number of cells that were generated multiplied by the fold change from the previous week relative to the number of cells that were carried forward. Phenotyping and functional analyses were performed between days 21 - 28unless otherwise stated. For ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell studies, 3 normal donors were tested in 3 independent experiments.

# *VI.B.4.c.* $CAR^+ \gamma \delta T$ cells

CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were generated as previously described.(311) Briefly, 10<sup>8</sup> PBMC were electroporated as described above for CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta$  T cells (**Chapter VI.B.4.b.**), and were then sorted for  $\gamma\delta$  T cells using TCR $\gamma/\delta$ + Isolation Kit (cat#130-092-892, Miltenyi Biotec). Co-cultures were established with clone#4 along with IL2 and IL21 from the start of the cultures where cytokines were added every 2-3 days and clone#4 aAPC was added every 7 days at a 2:1 ratio with CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. NK cells were depleted from cocultures when they reached >10% of total cells as described above. T cells were phenotyped for CD3, Fc (CAR), CD56, and TCR $\gamma\delta$  every week to monitor the cocultures. Cells were cryopreserved at days 21, 28, and 35 and inferred cell numbers were calculated as described above. Functional assays were performed between the third and fifth weeks of stimulation. Six donors were tested in 3 independent experiments.

#### VI.B.4.d. Polyclonal $\gamma \delta T$ cells

Experiments were initiated to expand  $\gamma\delta$  T cells on aAPC that did not express a CAR. Thawed PBMC (10<sup>8</sup>) were depleted of NK cells as described above and were then labeled with TCR $\gamma/\delta$ + T-cell isolation kit and placed on LS columns to separate  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in the unlabeled fraction from other cells attached to magnet.  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were stimulated at a ratio of one T cell to two aAPC (clone #4) in presence of exogenous IL2 and IL21. Cells were serially re-stimulated with addition of aAPC every 7 days for three weeks. FACS was used to isolate V $\delta$ 1 (TCR $\delta$ 1<sup>+</sup> TCR $\delta$ 2<sup>neg</sup>), V $\delta$ 2 (TCR $\delta$ 1<sup>neg</sup> TCR $\delta$ 2<sup>+</sup>), and V $\delta$ 3 (TCR $\delta$ 1<sup>neg</sup> TCR $\delta$ 2<sup>neg</sup>) populations, which were stimulated as above with clone#4 aAPC twice and then phenotyped and used for functional assays. UCB-derived mononuclear cells were isolated from fresh Ficoll-Hypaque gradients by FACS following staining for TCR $\gamma\delta$  and CD3, and were stimulated for five weeks on aAPC as per PBMC. Ten PBMC donors were tested in six independent experiments and five UCB donors were tested in four independent experiments. Four donors were tested in 2 independent experiments for V $\delta$  sorting assays.

Drew C Deniger

#### VI.B.4.e. NK cells

As controls for killing and allogeneic reactivity, NK cells autologous to  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were separated from healthy donor PBMC with CD56 microbeads and LS columns and were then stimulated at a 1:2 ratio with clone#4 aAPC in cultures that were supplemented at the initiation of culture and every 2-3 days later with IL2 and IL21.

#### VI.B.4.f. $\gamma \delta T$ cell Proliferation in Hypoxia

A dedicated incubator set to 1%  $O_2$ , 5%  $CO_2$ , and 37°C under humidified conditions was used to assess proliferation in hypoxia in parallel to "normal" tissue culture incubators set at 20%  $O_2$ , 5%  $CO_2$ , and 37°C under humidified conditions. Parallel cocultures were added to the incubators and were analyzed after the reported times.

# VI.B.5 γδ T cell Co-culture Deconstruction

Experiments were implemented to assess the relative contribution of co-culture molecules to  $\gamma\delta$  T cell proliferation. This was dissected by cytokine dependence and dependence upon molecules on the aAPC using new aAPC described in **Chapter VI.B.2.b.** (Figure 28).

# VI.B.5.a. Effects of Cytokines on $\gamma \delta T$ cell Proliferation

In order to assess the dependence of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells on cytokines for proliferation, cocultures were initiated with 10<sup>5</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and 2x10<sup>5</sup> clone#4 aAPC and then were added to an equal volume of (i) complete media (CM), (ii) CM and 100 U/mL IL2, (iii) CM and 60 ng/mL IL21, or (iv) CM, 100 U/mL IL2, and 60 ng/mL IL21. Co-cultures were counted 9 days after initiation to determine yields. Three donors were tested in two independent experiments.

#### VI.B.5.b. Effects of Co-Stimulation on $\gamma\delta T$ cell Proliferation

HLA<sup>-/-</sup> aAPC (**Chapter VI.B.2.b**) were used to assess effects of co-stimulation on  $\gamma\delta$  T cell growth. Co-cultures were then initiated with 10<sup>5</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in CM, 100 U/mL IL2, and 60 ng/mL IL21 and were added to  $2x10^5 \gamma$ -irradiated (i) parental K562 cells, (ii) clone A6, (iii) clone A3, (iv) clone D4, (v) clone#4 aAPC, or (vi) no aAPC. Co-cultures were counted 9 days as above with cytokine experiments. Three donors were tested in two independent experiments.

# VI.C. Multiplex Gene Expression Analysis

At Day 22 of co-culture on aAPC,  $>10^5$  T cells were lysed at a ratio of 5 µL RLT Buffer (Qiagen) per  $3x10^4$  cells and frozen at  $-80^\circ$ C in replicate vials for one time use. RNA lysates were thawed and immediately analyzed using nCounter Analysis System

(NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA) with "designer TCR expression array" (DTEA) as previously described or with "lymphocyte codeset array" (LCA; Appendix A).(290, 311) DTEA data was normalized to both spike positive control RNA and housekeeping genes (ACTB, G6PD, OAZ1, POLR1B, POLR2A, RPL27, Rps13, and TBP) where 2 normalization factors were calculated and applied to the raw coutns. Each normalization factor was calculated from the average of sums for all samples divided by the sum of counts for an individual sample. Reported expression of TCR frequencies for ROR1-specific T cells (Figure 14) was calculated as counts for each TCR $\alpha$  or TCR $\beta$ allele over the total sum of TCR $\alpha$  or TCR $\beta$  counts, respectively. Total counts for LCA genes described in ROR1-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells (Figures 12 and 13) and for TCR $\delta$  and TCR $\gamma$  alleles in polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were directly reported as normalized counts (Figure 30). For V $\delta$  sorted  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, the normalized counts were reported at frequencies of each V $\delta$  population per donor for each TCR $\delta$  or TCR $\gamma$  allele (Figure **32**). For example,  $\% V \delta 1 * 01 = (V \delta 1 * 01)_{V \delta 1} / [(V d 1 * 01)_{V \delta 1} + (V \delta 1 * 01)_{V \delta 2} +$  $(V\delta 1*01)_{V\delta 3}].$ 

# **VI.D.** Immunostaining

Antibodies directly conjugated to FITC, PerCP/Cy5.5, PE, and APC were used at 1:20, 1:33, 1:40, and 1:40 dilutions, respectively, in 100 µL FACS buffer (PBS, 0.1% FBS, 0.1% sodium azide) unless otherwise stated. A complete list of antibodies, clonotypes, and vendors can be found in **Appendix B**. CAR detection was primarily performed with anti-human Fc antibody (Invitrogen). CD19-specific CAR was stained with an idiotypic

antibody conjugated to AlexFluor-647 in some instances.(259) BD FACS CAlibur was used for most flow cytometry. Samples were analyzed with FlowJo software (version 7.6.5). BD FACS Aria Ilu II was used to sort cells where appropriate and was used to isolate single cell clones in 96 well plates for aAPC cloning strategies. Tumor cells transduced with *ffLuc*-mKate lentivirus particles were sorted for mKate expression on BD Influx for bulk populations or as single cell clones as appropriate.

#### **VI.E.** Cytokine Production

Expression of cytokines was assessed by intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) and secretion of cytokines into tissue culture supernatants was evaluated by Luminex multiplex analysis. Co-cultures were set up with T cells and targets as described for each experiment and were incubated at 37°C. For ICS, Brefeldin-A (GolgiPlug; BD Biosciences) was added to co-cultures to block exocytosis and secretion of cytokines produced in response to agonists. All ICS experiments were incubated for 6 hours and were then (i) stained for surface markers, e.g. CD3 and CAR, (ii) fixed and permeabilized with BD Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD Biosciences), (iii) stained for intracellular proteins, e.g. IFN $\gamma$  and TNF $\alpha$ , and (iv) analyzed by flow cytometry. Cocultures to assess cytokine secretion were incubated for 24 hours and supernatants from triplicate wells were pooled and analyzed by Bio-Plex Human Cytokine Group I 27plex Assay (#L50-0KCAF0Y, BioRad Technologies, Hercules, CA) using Luminex100 (xMap Technologies, Austin, TX).

#### VI.F. In Vitro Killing Assays

# VI.F.1. Chromium Release Assay

*In vitro* specific lysis was assessed using a standard 4-hour CRA, as previously described.(57) Purified antibodies specific for NKG2D (clone 1D11; BD Biosciences), DNAM1 (clone DX11; BD Biosciences), TCR $\gamma\delta$  (clone B1; BD Biosciences), and TCR $\gamma\delta$  (clone IMMU510; Thermo Fisher, Pittsburg, PA) were used for neutralization experiments at 0.3, 1.0, and 3.0 µg/mL final concentrations in CRA at E:T ratios of 12:1. Normal mouse serum was used as a negative control at the same concentrations.

#### VI.F.2. Long-term Killing Assay

Tumor cells were seeded in wells of 12-well plates at a density of  $4 \times 10^4$  cells/well. The following day,  $5 \times 10^5 \gamma \delta$  T cells were added to each well of the plate and an equal number was added to a well without tumor cells (media only). One well of tumor cells had an equal volume of RPMI-CM added as a positive control for growth. After 2 days, supernatants were harvested, wells were washed in PBS, and remaining tumor cells were harvested with trypsin-EDTA and were then enumerated. The frequencies of cells remaining were normalized to mock treated tumor cells.

Drew C Deniger

#### VI.G. Mixed Lymphocyte Reactions

B cells from healthy donors were isolated with CD19 microbeads (cat#130-050-301, Miltenyi Biotec) the day of each assay and were used as target cells in proliferation, IFNy production (ELISpot), and cytolysis assays. Standard 4-hour CRA were used for the latter as described above. For proliferation assays, effector cells were labeled with PKH26 red fluorescent dye according to manufacturer's instructions (Sigma) and were co-cultured with target cells for 4 days at 37°C at an E:T ratio of 5:1. Co-cultures were stained for CD3, CD19, and CD56 then were analyzed by flow cytometry. Similarly, IFN $\gamma$  ELISpot plate (Mabtech, Mariemont, OH) was set up with effector ( $\gamma\delta$  T cells) and target (B cells) at an E:T ratio of 0.3:1 and plate was incubated for 24 hours at 37°C then stained according to manufacturer's instructions, and spots were counted on Immunospot (CTL, Shaker Heights, OH). OKT3-loaded aAPC were used as positive controls and mock treated were used as negative controls along with autologous B cells. Co-cultures of effectors and allogeneic PBMC (normalized to equal CD34<sup>+</sup> cells) at a 4:1 ratio of effectors to CD34<sup>+</sup> HSC were incubated at 37<sup>o</sup>C for 4 hours and were then plated in wells of 6-well plates in semi-solid HSC-CFU Complete without EPO (Miltenyi Biotec). After 12 days, individual colonies were counted under inverted microscope. Colonies formed with effectors alone or targets alone were used to normalize the relative number of colonies formed for each donor.

#### VI.H. In Vivo Anti-tumor Activity

*In vivo* anti-tumor efficacy was assessed in NSG mice (NOD.Cg-Prkdc<sup>scid</sup> Il2rγ<sup>tm1Wjl</sup>/SzJ; Jackson Laboratories). Non-invasive BLI was performed during the course of the experiments to measure tumor burden of cell lines expressing *ffLuc* following subcutaneous D-Luciferin (cat#122796, Caliper, Hopkinton, MA) administration with IVIS-100 Imager (Caliper). BLI was analyzed using Living Image software (version 2.50, Xenogen, Caliper).

#### VI.H.1. ROR1-specific Anti-leukemia Effects

Kasumi-2-*ffLuc*-mKate cells ( $4x10^4$  per mouse) were engrafted into NSG mice (n = 15) intravenously (i.v.) the day before the first T cell dose (designated Day -1). The following day (Day 0), treatment groups for mice with tumors were set up with (i) no treatment (n = 5), (ii) ROR1RCD28 T cells (n = 5), and (iii) ROR1RCD137 T cells (n = 5). Mice were injected with T cells only as controls for xenogeneic reactivity (one mouse per T cell type). T cell doses ( $10^7$  total cells per mouse) were given on days 0, 7, and 14. Frequencies for CAR expression for ROR1RCD28 were 96%, 91%, and 90% and for ROR1RCD137 were 94%, 62%, and 46% on days 0, 7, and 14, respectively. Survival was the primary endpoint for the study and BLI from tumor *ffLuc* was monitored twice per week as above.

# VI.H.2. CD19-specific Anti-leukemia Activity

The anti-tumor effects of CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were evaluated as previously described.(311)

# VI.H.3. γδ T cells Clearance of Ovarian Cancer

CAOV3-*ffLuc*-mkate (clone 1C2;  $3x10^6$  cells/mouse) tumors were established by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection and mice were randomly distributed into treatment groups. Eight days later (designated Day 0), a dose escalation regimen was initiated with polyclonal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells administered i.p. and PBS administered i.p. as a negative control. T cell doses infused were  $3x10^6$ ,  $6x10^6$ ,  $10^7$ , and  $1.5x10^7$  on days 0, 7, 14, and 21, respectively. BLI was monitored during the course of the experiment by weekly monitoring of tumor *ffLuc* activity as above. Survival was the primary endpoint for the experiment.

# APPENDICIES

# Appendix A. Lymphocyte CodeSet Array

GENE ID	Access- ion	Target Region	Target Sequence
A D C D 1	NM_000	3910-	TATAGCACTAAAGTAGGAGACAAAGGAACTCAGCTCTCTGGTGGCCAGAAAC
ABCB1	927.3	4010	AACGCATTGCCATAGCTCGTGCCCTTGTTAGACAGCCTCATATTTTGC
ADCC2	NM_004	285-385	AGGATTTAGGAACGCACCGTGCACATGCTTGGTGGTCTTGTTAAGTGGAAACT
ABC02	827.2		GCTGCTTTAGAGTTTGTTTGGAAGGTCCGGGTGACTCATCCCAACAT
ACTD	NM_001	1010-	TGCAGAAGGAGATCACTGCCCTGGCACCCAGCACAATGAAGATCAAGATCAT
ACTB	101.2	1110	TGCTCCTCCTGAGCGCAAGTACTCCGTGTGGATCGGCGGCTCCATCCT
	NM_023	1690-	GAGAAGGTGAATGTGGCAGGAGACACCTTTGGAAACTGTGGAAAGGACATG
ADAM17	038.3	1790	AATGGTGAACACAGGAAGTGCAACATGAGAGATGCGAAGTGTGGGAAGA
ACED	NM_001	240 440	GAAAGGAGACCAAGTCCAACTACCGAGTCCGTGTCTACCAGATTCCTGGGAA
AGEK	136.3	340-440	GCCAGAAATTGTAGATTCTGCCTCTGAACTCACGGCTGGTGTTCCCAA
	NM_001	15420-	GGATTTGACCTGAATGTTCCTGGGGGTGAAATTGATGCCAGCCTCAAGGCTCC
AHNAK	620.1	15520	GGATGTAGATGTCAACATCGCAGGGCCGGATGCTGCACTCAAAGTCG
A 1151	NM_032	215 415	AAAAGCGAGAGAAAAGGAAAAGCCAACAGGCCCCCCAGCCAAGAAAGCTAT
AIFI	955.1	315-415	CTCTGAGTTGCCCTGATTTGAAGGGAAAAGGGATGATGGGATTGAAGGG
4.13.62	NM_004	(07.707	ACGTGCTGCACCAAAAGTCTCTCCTCATGTTAAGCCTGAACAGAAACAGATG
AIM2	833.1	607-707	GTGGCCCAGCAGGAATCTATCAGAGAAGGGTTTCAGAAGCGCTGTTTG
A 12TT 1	NM_005	1772-	TTCTTTGCCGGTATCGTGTGGCAGCACGTGTACGAGAAGAAGCTCAGCCCACC
AKII	163.2	1872	CTTCAAGCCCCAGGTCACGTCGGAGACTGACACCAGGTATTTTGATG
	NM_000	11-111	ATTGCTGAGCCAGTCACCTGTGTTCCAGGAGCCGAATCAGAAATGTCATCCTC
ALDHIAI	689.3		AGGCACGCCAGACTTACCTGTCCTACTCACCGATTTGAAGATTCAAT
A 3137 A 1	NM_000	515 (15	GAAATCAGAGACATTAACAGGGTCTACAGAGAGGAACTGAAGAGAGATCTG
ANXAI	700.1	515-615	GCCAAAGACATAACCTCAGACACATCTGGAGATTTTCGGAACGCTTTGC
	NR_003	257 257	ATATTGTCTTCTCCTACCAGAGAAGGACCAAAAAGGAACTTGCATCAGCACT
ANAA2P2	573.1	237-337	GAAGTCAGCCTTATCTGGCCACCTGGAGACGGTGATTTTGGGCCTATT
A D1	NM_002	140 240	ACACAGCCAGCCAGGTCGGCAGTATAGTCCGAACTGCAAATCTTATTTT
API	228.3	140-240	CTTTTCACCTTCTCTCTAACTGCCCAGAGCTAGCGCCTGTGGCTCCC
Apof1	NM_181	1160-	TTCTGATGAAACTGCAGAATCTTTGCACACGGTTGGATCAGGATGAGAGTTTT
Apari	869.1	1260	TCCCAGAGGCTTCCACTTAATATTGAAGAGGCTAAAGACCGTCTCCG
ADC1	NM_000	505 605	AAGGAACTAAAAGGAAAGATTCCCGATGTGCCAGGATTCTCCTGGGTGACTC
AKUI	045.2	303-005	CCTGTATATCTGCCAAGGATATTGTGTATATTGGCTTGAGAGACGTGG
ATM	NM_000	20.120	ACGCTAAGTCGCTGGCCATTGGTGGACATGGCGCAGGCGCGTTTGCTCCGAC
AIM	051.3	30-130	GGGCCGAATGTTTTGGGGCAGTGTTTTGAGCGCGGAGACCGCGTGATA
A TD2D 4	NM_001	7640-	CTTCCCATAGTATCATCTGTCCTCTGGAATGACTCTCCTGTCCCTAAAGGGGTT
ATP2B4	684.3	7740	AAGAGAGAGATCACCTAGAAATCCCTCTGGACACTTGTGGGTTCTT
DOM	NM_004	25 125	CGGGCATTCCTGAAGCTGACAGCATTCGGGCCGAGATGTCTCGCTCCGTGGCC
DZM	048.2	23-123	TTAGCTGTGCTCGCGCTACTCTCTTTTCTGGCCTGGAGGCTATCCA
влена	NM_021	3395-	TGTGGCACTGTTCATCTGCTGTCCCGAAGAAACCGAGAACACATTTGGTGCAC
DACH2	813.2	3495	ACTACAGCGGTCTTAGCAGCAATACTGTTCCGAAGTATCCTCTCCTC
BAD	NM_004	195-295	CAGCTGTGCCTTGACTACGTAACATCTTGTCCTCACAGCCCAGAGCATGTTCC

	322.2		AGATCCCAGAGTTTGAGCCGAGTGAGCAGGAAGACTCCAGCTCTGCA
BATF	NM_006		CACTGTGGGTTGCAGGCCCAATGCAGAAGAGTATTAAGAAAGA
	399.3	825-925	CCCATGGCACAGAGCAAGGCGGGGCAGGGAACGGTTATTTTCTAAATA
DAW	NM_138	(04 704	ATTTTTCTGGGAGGGGTGGGGGATTGGGGGACATGGGCATTTTCTTACTTTTG
ВАА	761.2	094-794	TAATTATTGGGGGGGTGTGGGGAAGAGTGGTCTTGAGGGGGGTAATAAA
DCI 10	NM_003	1250-	TGAAAATACCATCTTCTCTTCAACTACACTTCCCAGACCTGGGGACCCAGGGG
BCL10	921.2	1350	CTCCTCCTTTGCCACCAGATCTACAGTTAGAAGAAGAAGGAACTTGT
D -12	NM_000	1525-	CCAAGCACCGCTTCGTGTGGCTCCACCTGGATGTTCTGTGCCTGTAAACATAG
BCIZ	633.2	1625	ATTCGCTTTCCATGTTGTTGGCCGGATCACCATCTGAAGAGCAGACG
DCL 2L1	NM_138	1560-	CTAAGAGCCATTTAGGGGCCACTTTTGACTAGGGATTCAGGCTGCTTGGGATA
DCL2L1	578.1	1660	AAGATGCAAGGACCAGGACTCCCTCCTCACCTCTGGACTGGCTAGAG
DCI 21 11	NM_138	2825-	TGTTGGCACCAGAACTTAAAGCGATGACTGGATGTCTCTGTACTGTATGTA
BCL2L11	621.2	2925	TGGTTATCAAGATGCCTCTGTGCAGAAAGTATGCCTCCCGTGGGTAT
D-16	NM_001	(75 775	GTTGTGGACACTTGCCGGAAGTTTATTAAGGCCAGTGAAGCAGAGATGGTTT
BCIO	706.2	0/5-//5	CTGCCATCAAGCCTCCTCGTGAAGAGTTCCTCAACAGCCGGATGCTGA
Beta-arrestin	NM 004	1652	
(ARRB2 and	212.2	1052-	
ARRB2)	515.5	1732	
	NM_030	655 755	CGCCCATTCAGTCCGACTTGGATGCGTTCCACTCGGGATTTCAAACATGCGCC
DIILIIL41	762.2	055-755	AAAGAAGTCTTGCAATACCTCTCCCGGTTTGAGAGCTGGACACCCAG
BID	NM_197	2095-	GCTTAGCTTTAGAAACAGTGCAACACTGGTCTGCTGTTCCAGTGGTAAGCTAT
DID	966.1	2195	GTCCCAGGAATCAGTTTAAAAGCACGACAGTGGATGCTGGGTCCATA
BIRC2	NM_001	1760-	TGGGATCCACCTCTAAGAATACGTCTCCAATGAGAAACAGTTTTGCACATTCA
BIRC2	166.3	1860	TTATCTCCCACCTTGGAACATAGTAGCTTGTTCAGTGGTTCTTACTC
BMI1	NM_005	1145-	CCTGGAGAAGGAATGGTCCACTTCCATTGAAATACAGAGTTCGACCTACTTGT
DIVITI	180.5	1245	AAAAGAATGAAGATCAGTCACCAGAGAGATGGACTGACAAATGCTGG
BNIP3	NM_004	325-425	CACCTCGCTCGCAGACACCACAAGATACCAACAGGGCTTCTGAAACAGATAC
DIVILO	052.2	525 125	CCATAGCATTGGAGAGAAAAACAGCTCACAGTCTGAGGAAGATGATAT
C10RF24	NM_052	3526-	TGCCCAATAGATTCAAGAGAAGCTAAGCGGAAATGGAGGGTGGAAGGTGTG
Clota 21	966.2	3626	ATCTGTGGGACTGTCTGGGCCTGTTACTCATCCTGCTATCAATTTCTTA
C110RF17	NM_020	570-670	GAACATCTCTAAGGACCTCTACATAGAAGTATATCCAGGGACCTATTCTGTCA
errora r,	642.3	0,00,0	CTGTGGGCTCAAATGACTTAACCAAGAAGACTCATGTGGTAGCAGTT
C5ORF13	NM_001	990-	AAACTCATTGTTTCCTTGTGGTAAGTGACCGAGATGCTGCCACAGGACCTGAG
0001010	142474.1	1090	ACACTGATGAATGGTGCTATTTTGGACTTTCAACATGCTCCTTGGCG
C80RF70	NM_016	665-765	ACGATTACCGCAGCCAAGTGGCGCTGGCAAAACTGTTGTAGGTGTTCCTTCAG
coord / o	010.2	000 700	GTAAAGTGTCTTCAAGTAGCAGCTCTTTGGGAAACAAACTTCAGACC
CA9	NM_001	960-	CAGGTCCCAGGACTGGACATATCTGCACTCCTGCCCTCTGACTTCAGCCGCTA
0.15	216.2	1060	CTTCCAATATGAGGGGTCTCTGACTACACCGCCCTGTGCCCAGGGTG
CASP1	NM_033	575-675	ACAGGCATGACAATGCTGCTACAAAATCTGGGGTACAGCGTAGATGTGAAAA
en brit	292.2	010 010	AAAATCTCACTGCTTCGGACATGACTACAGAGCTGGAGGCATTTGCAC
Caspase 9	NM_052	1850-	CGCTGACTTGGCCTGGAACGAGGAATCTGGTGCCCTGAAAGGCCCAGCCGGA
	813.2	1950	CTGCCGGGCATTGGGGCCGTTTGTTAAGCGGCACTCATTTTGCGGAGG
САТ	NM_001	1130-	ATGCTTCAGGGCCGCCTTTTTGCCTATCCTGACACTCACCGCCATCGCCTGGG
	752.2	1230	ACCCAATTATCTTCATATACCTGTGAACTGTCCCTACCGTGCTCGAG
CCL3	NM_002	681-781	CTGTGTAGGCAGTCATGGCACCAAAGCCACCAGACTGACAAATGTGTATCGG
CCLS	983.2	001-/01	ATGCTTTTGTTCAGGGCTGTGATCGGCCTGGGGAAATAATAAAGATGC

CCL4	NM_002	25 125	TTCTGCAGCCTCACCTCTGAGAAAACCTCTTTGCCACCAATACCATGAAGCTC
	984.2	55-155	TGCGTGACTGTCCTGTCTCCTCATGCTAGTAGCTGCCTTCTGCTC
CCL5	NM_002	280 380	AGTGTGTGCCAACCCAGAGAAGAAATGGGTTCGGGAGTACATCAACTCTTTG
CCLS	985.2	280-380	GAGATGAGCTAGGATGGAGAGTCCTTGAACCTGAACTTACACAAATTT
CCNB1	NM_031	715 815	AACTTGAGGAAGAGCAAGCAGTCAGACCAAAATACCTACTGGGTCGGGAAGT
	966.2	/15-015	CACTGGAAACATGAGAGCCATCCTAATTGACTGGCTAGTACAGGTTCA
CCND1	NM_053	600 700	TTGAACACTTCCTCTCCAAAATGCCAGAGGCGGAGGAGAACAAACA
CCNDI	056.2	090-790	CCGCAAACACGCGCAGACCTTCGTTGCCCTCTGTGCCACAGATGTGAA
CCP 1	NM_001	525 625	CATCATTTGGGCCCTGGCCATCTTGGCTTCCATGCCAGGCTTATACTTTTCCAA
CCKI	295.2	555-055	GACCCAATGGGAATTCACTCACCACACCTGCAGCCTTCACTTTCCT
CCP2	NM_001	20,120	ACATTCTGTTGTGCTCATATCATGCAAATTATCACTAGTAGGAGAGCAGAGAG
CCK2	123041.2	20-120	TGGAAATGTTCCAGGTATAAAGACCCACAAGATAAAGAAGCTCAGAG
CCP4	NM_005	25 125	GGTCCTTCTTAGCATCGTGCTTCCTGAGCAAGCCTGGCATTGCCTCACAGACC
CCK4	508.4	55-155	TTCCTCAGAGCCGCTTTCAGAAAAGCAAGCTGCTTCTGGTTGGGCCC
CCD5	NM_000	2730-	TAGGAACATACTTCAGCTCACACATGAGATCTAGGTGAGGATTGATT
CCRS	579.1	2830	GTAGTCATTTCATGGGTTGTTGGGAGGATTCTATGAGGCAACCACAGG
CCR6	NM_031	935-	CTTTAACTGCGGGATGCTGCTCCTGACTTGCATTAGCATGGACCGGTACATCG
CCK0	409.2	1035	CCATTGTACAGGCGACTAAGTCATTCCGGCTCCGATCCAGAACACTA
CCP7	NM_001	1610-	TTCCGAAAACCAGGCCTTATCTCCAAGACCAGAGATAGTGGGGGAGACTTCTT
CCK/	838.2	1710	GGCTTGGTGAGGAAAAGCGGACATCAGCTGGTCAAACAAA
CD111	NM_000	515-615	GCCCTCCGAGGGTGTCCTCAAGAGGATAGTGACATTGCCTTCTTGATTGA
CD110	632.3		CTCTGGTAGCATCATCCCACATGACTTTCGGCGGATGAAGGAGTTTG
CD1/	NM_000	50.150	CCTATTCCTGTTCTATGGTGGGGGCTCCATTGCGAGACTTCAGATTGAGAAATC
CD16	570.3	15-175	AGATGAAGTTTCAAGAAAAGGAAACTGGCAGGTGACAGAGATGGGTG
GD1(0	NM_007	500 600	TTGATGTTCACCATAAGCCAAGTCACACCGTTGCACAGTGGGACCTACCAGTG
CD160	053.2	500-600	TTGTGCCAGAAGCCAGAAGTCAGGTATCCGCCTTCAGGGCCATTTTT
CD10	NM_001	1770-	AGATTCACACCTGACTCTGAAATCTGAAGACCTCGAGCAGATGATGCCAACC
CD19	770.4	1870	TCTGGAGCAATGTTGCTTAGGATGTGTGCATGTGTGTAAGTGTGTGT
CD19RCD2	MDA_0	2 102	CAGGTGTTCCTGAAGATGAACAGCCTGCAGACCGACGACACCGCCATCTACT
8CAR	0002.1	2-102	ACTGTGCCAAGCACTACTACTACGGCGGCAGCTACGCCATGGACTACT
CD2	NM_001	1400-	TGGGTCTCACTACAAGCAGCCTATCTGCTTAAGAGACTCTGGAGTTTCTTATG
CD2	767.2	1500	TGCCCTGGTGGACACTTGCCCACCATCCTGTGAGTAAAAGTGAAATA
CD244	NM_016	1150-	AAGAGGAACCACAGCCCTTCCTTCAATAGCACTATCTATGAAGTGATTGGAA
CD244	382.2	1250	AGAGTCAACCTAAAGCCCAGAACCCTGCTCGATTGAGCCGCAAAGAGC
CD247	NM_198	1490-	TGGCAGGACAGGAAAAAACCCGTCAATGTACTAGGATACTGCGCGTCATTAC
CD247	053.1	1590	AGGGCACAGGCCATGGATGGAAAACGCTCTCTGCTCTGC
GD274	NM_014	(04.704	TAGGAGATTAGATCCTGAGGAAAACCATACAGCTGAATTGGTCATCCCAGAA
CD274	143.2	084-784	CTACCTCTGGCACATCCTCCAAATGAAAGGACTCACTTGGTAATTCTG
CD276	NM_001	2120-	ACATTTCTTAGGGACACAGTACACTGACCACATCACCACCCTCTTCTTCCAGT
CD270	024736.1	2220	GCTGCGTGGACCATCTGGCTGCCTTTTTTCTCCAAAAGATGCAATAT
CD28	NM_006	205 405	GCTTGTAGCGTACGACAATGCGGTCAACCTTAGCTGCAAGTATTCCTACAATC
CD28	139.1	303-403	TCTTCTCAAGGGAGTTCCGGGCATCCCTTCACAAAGGACTGGATAGT
CD29	NM_001	1035-	CCTTGACTCCTTGTGGTTTATGTCATCATACATGACTCAGCATACCTGCTGGTG
CD38	775.2	1135	CAGAGCTGAAGATTTTGGAGGGTCCTCCACAATAAGGTCAATGCCA
CD2D	NM_000	110 210	TATCTACTGGATGAGTTCCGCTGGGAGATGGAACATAGCACGTTTCTCTCTGG
	732.4	110-210	CCTGGTACTGGCTACCCTTCTCTCGCAAGTGAGCCCCTTCAAGATAC

CD:6      7312      7313      TGGGCCTCTQCCTCTTACAGTTGGCGGCAAGAGGCGAAATGGTAATAGT        CD4      616.3      835-935      AGACATCGTGGTGCTAGCTTTCCAAAGAGCCTCCACGCACAATGTCTAAAGAAA        CD401.G      016.3      125-      GCATTTGATTTATCGGTGAAGTGCAAGAGGGAAATGGGGAACCAGGCCAGCCA	CD2E	NM_000	75 175	AAGTAACAGTCCCATGAAACAAAGATGCAGTCGGGCACTCACT
CD4      NM_000      835-933      GAGCATCGTGGTGCTAGCTTTCCAGAGAGGCCTCAGCATAGTGCTAAGAGAA        CD40LG      NM_000      1225-      GCATTTGATTTATCGTGGAAGTGCAAGGGGAAAGGGGAAAGGGGAGCCTCAGCTCA        CD44      610.3      2250-      GCATTGATTATGGTGAACTGGGAGGCCAGGGGAAGGGGAGGGGGGGG	CD3E	733.2	/3-1/3	TGGGCCTCTGCCTCTTATCAGTTGGCGTTTGGGGGGCAAGATGGTAATG
CD4      60.6.3      CAGGGGGAACAGGTGGAGTICTCCTTCCCACTCGCCTTTACAGTTGAA        CD401.G      NM_000      1225-      GCATTTGATTATCAGTGAAGATGCAGAAGGGGAATGGGGAGCCTGCGCTGGGAGG        CD44      610.3      2560      ACAGAAAGCCAAGTGGACTCACGGGAGAGCCGACGAGGGCAGCAGGGAGGC        CD58      NM_000      478-578      GTGGTGAGTCGCTTCCATCCCCACCAACTAGTGCATGAGCAGCAAGGAAGCAAGTGCAGGAAG        CD58      NM_001      478-578      GTGCTAGAGTCCAATGCATGATACCGAGGAGCATTGCAGCAGCAAGCCAGCAGCAGCAAGTTGCCACGCAGCAGAAGAACTTGCGCTTC        CD63      NM_001      350-450      GTGCATCATCGCAGTGGGTGCTTCCTCCTGCTGGGGCTTTGGGGCTTTGGGGCTT        CD69      NM_001      460-560      AGGACATGAACTTGAGGGTCCACCAGGAGAAGATGGGGAACACTGGGGTGG        CD69      NM_005      128-      AAAGATCGAAGGTCCACCTGGTCACCCCATTGGAATGTGGCAAAGGAGACACTGGGTGG        CD80      NM_005      128-      AAAGATCGAAGGTCCACCTGCTCATTGGACTTCCTCTGCGGGCCTTCCTGGGGGCCCCCCATTGGCATTAGCCCTTCTGGGGAACATCGGGAGGGT        CD80      NM_005      128-      AAAGATCGAAGGTCCACCTGCCACTTGGAGTGCAAGCCCCCCTTCGGGGGCCCCCCCC	CD4	NM_000	835-035	AGACATCGTGGTGCTAGCTTTCCAGAAGGCCTCCAGCATAGTCTATAAGAAA
CD40LG      NM_000      1225- 1235      CGATTIGATITATATGGTGAAGTGCAAGAGGGAATGGGAAGGGGAATGGGGAGCTAGGCAA CATCAGTTATGGTGAACTCGGGAATGGAACTCATGGGCAATGGAGCTGGGAGG CD44      NM_000      2440- 610.3      CGATGGGCAGAGAAAAGCCAAGTGGACTCCAACGGAGGACATGGAGCTGGGAGG ACAGAAAAGCCAAGTGGACTCCAACGGAGGGCAAGTAGGAGCTGCAGGAAT GTGCTGGGCAGGAAAAAGCCAACGGAGGGCAGCAAGTGGCAATGGGAGCAATGGGAGAA TTGGAGTCAATGCAAGGGGGGTCTCCCCCCCACGAGGACAACAACAGCCATGGGG CD63      NM_001      350-450      GTCATCATCGCAGTGGGGTGCTCCCCTCTCCTGCTGCAGGGAAACAGCCATCG GGGGCCTGCCAAGGAGAACACTATTGTTAAAACGAACAGCCATCG GGGGCCTGGCAAGGAGAACCTGGTCACCCATGGAAGAGGAGAACATGCGGTTG CD69      NM_001      350-450      GTCATCATCGCAGTGGGTGTCCCCCCTCTTGCAGGGAGAGAGA	CD4	616.3	035-755	GAGGGGGAACAGGTGGAGTTCTCCTTCCCACTCGCCTTTACAGTTGAA
CMBD      074.2      1325      CATTCAGTTATGGTGACTCTGGGTTCCATGGCCTGTGGGAGGGG        CD44      NM_000      2460      GTGGGCAGAAGAAAAAGCTAGTGATCAACGTGGCAATGGAAGGGGGGGG	CD40LG	NM_000	1225-	GCATTTGATTTATCAGTGAAGATGCAGAAGGGAAATGGGGAGCCTCAGCTCA
CD44      NM.000      2460- 2560      GTGGGCAGAAGGAAAAACCTAGTGATCAACGTGGCAATGGACTGAAGGGGAATGAAGGCAATGGAGGC        CD58      NM.001      478-578      GTGCTTGAGTCTTTCCATCTCCCACCACACAGTGGACACTAAGTGTCAAGGAGGCATGAAGGCACTGAGGAG        CD63      NM.001      350-450      GTCATCATCGCCACGAGGGGTCTTCCTCTTCTGGGGGCTTTGGGGCGCTGC        CD63      NM.001      460-500      AGGACATGAAAGGCACAGTGGCACCAGGGAGGAGGAGGAACACTGGGTTGG        CD69      NM.001      460-500      AGGACATGAAAAGGCACCAGTGGCACCCAGGGAGGAGGGAACACTGGGTTGG        CD80      NM.005      1288-      AAAGACTGAAAGGTCACCCACTGCTTGGCAGTGGCAAAGGGAACACTGGGTGCTGC        CD80      NM.005      1288-      AAAGACTGAAAGGTCACCCACCTGCCATTGGCAGTCTGCGTCTGCGTCTGCGTCTGCGTCTGCGTCTGGGGCTGCACACTGGCACTTCC        CD86      NM.000      1320-      GCTCAGGGCTGACTACACACTTCCTTGGGTGCTCGCCACGGAGACCTGCCA        CD81      NM.001      1320-      GCTCAAGGCTAACTACACCCACCTGCAGGAAAAGGGAACAGTGGGGCCAGGGCCAGGGCCAGGGCCAGGGCCAGGCGCCCGGC        CDH1      NM.001      1220-      GCTCAAGGCTAACGCGACTCCACCCACGCAGGAAAAGGTGCAGGGCCAGGGCCAGGGGCCAGGGGGCCGGGGCCGGGGGG	CD40LO	074.2	1325	CATTCAGTTATGGTTGACTCTGGGTTCCTATGGCCTTGTTGGAGGGGG
CDM      610.3      2560      ACAGAAAGCCAAGTGGACTCAACGGAGGCCACCAAGTTCTCAGGAAAT        CD58      NM_001      478-578      GTGCTTGAGTCTCTTCCATCTCCAACACTAACTTGTGCATTGACAAGGAGAAGA        CD63      NM_001      350-450      GGGGCCTGCAAGGAGAACTATTGTCTATGACAAGCAACTGCGTGG        CD63      NM_001      460-560      AGGACATGAACTTTCTAAAACGAACGGAGGAGAGAGCACTGGTGCAAAGGAGAACACTGGGGAG        CD69      NM_005      1288      AAAGATCTGAAAGGAACCTGGTCACCCACGAGGAGAGACACTGGTGCAAAAGG        CD80      NM_005      1288      AAAGATCTGAAAGGTCCACCCACTGCACTTGCCCTTTCGGAAAGGAACAAGA        CD80      NM_006      146-246      TCAGAGGCTGAAGATTCACCACCACTGCACTTCCGCCTCTGCTGCTGCCTGC	CD44	NM_000	2460-	GTGGGCAGAAGAAAAAGCTAGTGATCAACAGTGGCAATGGAGCTGTGGAGG
CD58      NN_001 779.2      478-578      GTGCTTGAGTCTCTTCCATCTCCCAACTAACTTGTGCATTGACTAATGGAAG CATTGAAGTCCAATGCATGGAGCATACCAGAGCATTACAACGAGCATCGAGC CATGAAGTCCAATGCATGGAGCATATGTCTTACACAGCCCATGGGCTGCTGC 780.4        CD63      NM_001 780.4      350-450      GGGGCCTGCCAGGGGGGTCTTCCTCTTGTGGTGGCTTTGGGCTGCTGC CATGCAAAGAGAGCACTATGTCTTTGAACACGTTTGGCAATGGGCAAGA AGGACATGAAAGGACCCCGCCCCCCTTGGCAGGGAGGGGGCAAGGAGAACACTGGGTGG ATGCAAAAGGACCTGGTCACCCCACTGGCATTGACCACTGGGGAAGAAGA CD80      NM_005      1288- AAAGATCTGAAAGGCCCACCCCCCCTTGCCATTGCAATGGCCAAGG ACTGAAAAAGGACTGGCAAGGATTCCACCCACTGGCCTTCTGTGGGGGCCTGC TCTGTGAAGATTCAAGCTTATTCCAATGGGCCTTCCGTGCTGCTGC TCCTGTGAAGATTCCACCCACCCACCCACTGCGCCTTCCTGCGCGCCCGCA CD84      NM_006      146-246      TATGGGGCCTTCCGGACTCCACCCACCCACTGCAGGGCCCCTGCC TCCGTGGAGATCCTCCCGACCCCCCACCCACTGCAAGGGACAAGACAGCCCCCA CD84      NM_001      1230- CGCTAGGGCTTCCTGGAGCTCTCAATGCCCACCGAGGAACAAGGTAGCTGCTGA ACGTACGGCGCTCCTGGAGACTTCCAAAGGCGAAGGTGAGGCCAGGGGCCCGGAG ACGTACGGCGCTCCTGGAGCCTCCAAAGGGCAAAGGTCGGAGAAGGTG CDK4      NM_000      1055- ACGTTCAGGAGTTGTGTAAAAGCCAAAGGCAAAGGCAAGGGAGGG	CD44	610.3	2560	ACAGAAAGCCAAGTGGACTCAACGGAGAGGCCAGCAAGTCTCAGGAAAT
CD35      779.2      418-378      CATTGAAGTECAATGCATGATACCAGAGCATTACCAAGGCATTACAACAGCCATCGAG        CD63      NM_001      350-450      GTCATCATCGCAGTGGGTGTCTTCCTCTTCTGGTCATTGGCCTTGGGCGTCTGGGCGTTGG        CD69      NM_001      460-560      ACGAAAAAGGAACCATGGTCACCCATGGAAGGGGACAACTGGGTGGG	CD5 <sup>9</sup>	NM_001	170 570	GTGCTTGAGTCTCTTCCATCTCCCACACTAACTTGTGCATTGACTAATGGAAG
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	CD38	779.2	4/8-3/8	CATTGAAGTCCAATGCATGATACCAGAGCATTACAACAGCCATCGAG
CD65      780.4      330-30      GGGGCCTGCAAGGAGAACTATTGTCTTATGATCACGTTTGCCATTCT        CD69      NM_001      460-560      AGGACATGACACTTTCTAAAACGATAGCCAGTGAGGAGGAACACTGGGTGGAAAATGGAAAAAGGAACATGGTAAAAAGGAACCCCCATTGGAAATGGACAAAGGA        CD80      NM_005      1288      AAAGATCTGAAAGGTCCAACCTCCATTTGCAATGACATTGCCAATGCCAAATGGCAAATGGCAAATGGCAAGGCCTCTCT        CD86      NM_006      191.3      1388      TCAGATGGACAAGATTACCCCACTTGGCTGCCTGCTCTGCGTCTCGGGCCTGC        CD86      NM_000      1320-      GCTCAGGGCTCTTCCTCCACACCATTCAGGCTTTCTTCCGCGAGCCCCTGCC        CD84      768.5      1420      TCAGGGGGCGTGAGTCAGCTCAACGCACAGGGAAAGGACACGTACTT        CDH1      NM_004      1230-      CGATAATCCTCCGATCTTCAAGCCACACACAGGGAAAGGAGCGGACAGGGGCCCGCGGC        CDH1      NM_000      1055-      CCGTCGCGCGCTCATCGGAGCACCACCCGAAAGGGACGAGGGGG        CDK2      NM_000      1055-      CCTGTGTCCCGACCTTCTCCAGGAGCTGCTCAGAAAGACTGGAGGGGGGCGCC        CDKN1A      NM_000      1975-      CATGTGTCCCGGGCCCGCTAAGGGACACCTCTGGAAAAGACACTCCCACAA        CDKN1B      NM_000      1975-      CATGTGTCCCGGGCCCGCTAACGTGGGGCCCTCTCCCACAA        CDKN1A      NM_000      1975-      CATGTGTCCCGGGGCCGCGCGCGGGAAACGGGGCCCTCTCCCACAA        CDKN1B      NM_000      <	CD62	NM_001	250 450	GTCATCATCGCAGTGGGTGTCTTCCTCTTCCTGGTGGCTTTTGTGGGGCTGCT
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	CD03	780.4	350-450	GGGGCCTGCAAGGAGAACTATTGTCTTATGATCACGTTTGCCATCT
CD09      781.1      400-500      ACTGAAAAAGGAACCTGGTCACCCATGGAAGTGGTCAAATGGCAAAGA        CD80      NM_005      1288-      AAAGATCTGAAGGTCCCACCTCACTTGCAATTGACCTCTTTGGGAACTTCC        CD86      S89.3      1388      TCAGATGGACAAGATTACCCACCTTGCCTTTGCGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGC        CD86      S89.3      146-246      TATGGGACTGAGTAACATTCTCTTTGTATGGCCTTCGCTGCTGCTGCTGCGC        CD8A      NM_001      1320      GCTCAGGGCTGTTCCTCCAACCATTCAGGTCTTCAAGGAACTGCAGGGCCCCGTC        CD8A      NM_001      1230      CCGATAATCCTCCGATCTTCAATCCCACCACGTGAAAGGGACAAGATGCTGGAG        CDH1      NM_004      1230      CGCTGGGGCTCAACGTGAATCACCACACGTGAAAGGTGACAGGGCAGGAGGTG        CDK2      NM_001      1220-320      TCGCTGGGGCTCAAACGTGAAACACACCGAAAAGATGGAGGGCAACGGAAGAGGGAACGAGAAGTGCAGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGAGGAGGAGGAGGA	CD60	NM_001	460 560	AGGACATGAACTTTCTAAAACGATACGCAGGTAGAGAGGAACACTGGGTTGG
CD80      NM_005      1288- 1388      AAAGATCTGAAGGTCCCACCTCCATTGCAATTGACCTCTTCGGGAACTTCC TCGCGAGAGGTCGACCACCTGCCCTTTACGTATCTGCTCTTGGGAACTTCCTT        CD86      NM_006 889.3      146-246      TATGGGACTGAGTAACATTCTTTTGTGATGGCTTCTCGCTGCTGCCTGC	CD09	781.1	400-300	ACTGAAAAAGGAACCTGGTCACCCATGGAAGTGGTCAAATGGCAAAGA
CD80      191.3      1388      TCAGATGGACAAGATTACCCCACCTTGCCCTTTACGTATCTGCTCTT        CD86      NM_006      146-246      TATGGACTGAGTAACATTCTCTTGTGATGGCCTTCCGCACCGCGCCA        CD8A      NM_001      1320      GCTCAGGGCTCTTCCACACCACTAAGGTCTTCGGAGACCAGGCCCA        CD8A      768.5      1420      TCAGGGTGAGGTGCTTGATCTCAACCGCAACGGAACAAGTACTT        CDH1      360.2      1330      ACGAGGCTAACGTCGAACCACCACTGAAAGGGAACAAGTACTGAGGGCCTGAGA        CDK2      NM_001      1230      CGCTGGGCGTTCATGGAGAACTTCCAACCGCAACTGAAGGGAACAAGGAGGGC        CDK2      NM_001      1055      ACGTACGGGGTTGTGTAACAAGGAAACTGCGAAACAAGTGAGGGAGG	CD80	NM_005	1288-	AAAGATCTGAAGGTCCCACCTCCATTTGCAATTGACCTCTTCTGGGAACTTCC
CD86      NM_006      146-246      TATGGGACTGAGTAACATTCTCTTTGTGATGGCCTCCTGCTCTGGTGCTGC        CD8A      NM_001      1320-      CCTCATGAGGACTAAGGTTATTTCAAGGTTATTTCAATGAGACTGCAGACCTGCCA        CD8A      NM_001      1320-      CGTCAGGGCTCTTTCCTCCACACCACTTCAGGGCAGGGACAGAGGACAGAGTACTT        CDH1      360.2      1330      ACGAGGCTAACGTCGTAATCCCCACCACCACGAAGGGACAGGGGCCTGATGA        CDK2      NM_001      220-320      TCGCTGGCGCTTCATGGAGAACATCCCACACAGGAAAAGATCGGAGAGGGG        CDK4      NM_000      1055-      ACTTTTAACCCACACAGAGGGAAACTTCCGACACAGGGAGAGGAGGGG        CDK4      NM_000      1975-      CATGTGTCTGGTTCCCGTTTCCCGCAGAGAGCAGAGCAG	CD80	191.3	1388	TCAGATGGACAAGATTACCCCACCTTGCCCTTTACGTATCTGCTCTT
CD86889.3140-240TCCTCTGAAGATTCAAGCTTATTTCAATGAGACTGCAGACCTGCCACD8ANM_0011320- 768.5GCTCAGGGCTCTTTCCTCCAACCATTCAGGTCTTTCTTTC	CD%6	NM_006	146 246	TATGGGACTGAGTAACATTCTCTTTGTGATGGCCTTCCTGCTCTCGGTGCTGC
CD8A      NM_001      1320- 768.5      GCTCAGGGTCTTTCCTCCCACACCATTCAGGTCTTTCTTCCCGAGGCCCCTGTC TCAGGGTGAGGTGCTTGAGTCTCCAACGGCAAGGGAACAAGTACTT        CDH1      NM_004      1230- 360.2      CGATAATCCTCCGATCTTCAATCCCAACGGCAAGGGAACAAGTACTT        CDK2      NM_001 798.2      220-320 798.2      CGGTGGGGCTTCATGGAGAACTTCCAAAAGGTGGAAAAGATCGGAGAGGGG ACGTACGGAGTTGTGTACAAAGCCAGAAAGTTGGACGGAGAGGTG GCDK4      NM_000 NM_000      1035- 105.5      ACTTTTAACCCACACAGGGAACTTCCCAAAGTTGACGGGGAGCAATGGAGGGGG ACGTACGGAGTGAGGAAGTAACCAGGGAGTGGCCAGGAGCATGGAGGGGGGCGCTTGCCGGCCG	CD80	889.3	140-240	TCCTCTGAAGATTCAAGCTTATTTCAATGAGACTGCAGACCTGCCA
CDSA      768.5      1420      TCAGGGTGAGGTGCTTGAGTCTCAACGGCAAGGGAACAAGTACTT        CDH1      NM_004      1230-      CGATAATCCTCCGATCTTCAATCCCACCGTACAAGGGTCAGGGGCCTGAGA        AGGAGGCTAACGTCGTAATCACCACCACGTGAAAGGTGAAGGTGCTGATGCTGAT      360.2      1330      ACGAGGCTAACGTCGTAATCACCACCACGTGAAAGGTGAAAGGTGGTGGTGATGCTGATG        CDK2      NM_001      220-320      TCGCTGGCGCTTCATGGAGAACTTCCCAAAAGGTGAAAAGATCGGAGGAGGGG        CDK4      NM_000      1055-      ACTTTTAACCACACAAGGAAATCCTGGGAGAGAGTGGAGCATGGAGGAGGGGG        CDK4      NM_000      1975-      CATGTGTCTGGTTCCGGTTTCCACCACAAGGGAATGGAGCTGCGCAGGGGGGGG	CD9 A	NM_001	1320-	GCTCAGGGCTCTTTCCTCCACACCATTCAGGTCTTTCTTT
$ \begin{array}{c c} CDH1 & NM_004 & 1230- \\ CDH1 & 360.2 & 1330 & ACGAGGCTACCGATCTTCAATCCCACCACGTACAAGGGCTCAGGTGCCTGAGA \\ ACGAGGCTAACGTCGTAATCACCACACTGAAAGTGACTGATGCTGAT \\ CDK2 & NM_001 & 220-320 & TCGCTGGCGCTTCATGGAGAACTTCCAAAAGGTGGAAAAGATCGGAGAGGGC \\ ACGTACGGAGTTGTATCAAAGCCAGAAACAAGTGACGGGGAGGGGG \\ ACGTACGGAGTTGTACAAAGCCAGAAACAAGTGACGGGGAGGGGG \\ CDK4 & NM_000 & 1055- & ACTTTTAACCACACACAAGCGAATCCTGCGGCTTCGAGCACTCTAC \\ 075.2 & 1155 & TCTACATAAGGATGAAGGTAATCCGGAGTGAGCAATGGAGGGGCGG \\ CDKN1A & NM_000 & 1975- & CATGTGTCCTGGTTCCCGTTTCTCACCTAGACTGTAAACCTCTCGAGGGCAG \\ GACCACACCCTGTACTGTTCTGTGTCTTTCCACCTAGGCTGCAGCACTCCAAA \\ CDKN1B & 064.2 & 365-465 & TTTTTTTGAGAGGGCCGGCGTGGTGCCAGACCCGGGAGAAAG \\ CDKN2C & 262.2 & 1395 & TATGAAACTTCGGACGAGAGGCGGGTGTGCAGACCCGGGAGAAAG \\ CDKN2C & 262.2 & 1395 & TATGAAACTTGGAAATCCCGAGAATGGAGTTGGAGGCCGCGCTGCTGCAGACCGGGGAGAAAG \\ CEBPA & NM_001 & 1225- & ATAATGTAAACGTCAATGCACAAAATGGATTTGGAAGGACTGCCTCGCAGAGG \\ CEBPA & NM_001 & 1320- & GAGCTGGGGAGCCCGGCAACTCTAGTATTAGGATAACCTTGTGCCTTGGAAATCCGGG \\ CFLAR & NM_003 & 445-545 & CAAGACCCTTGTGAGCTCCCAATGGCAGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGCGTGC \\ CITTA & NM_000 & 470-570 & GCCTGAGCAAGGACACTTTGCAAGGATGGGCAGAAAAGTCAGAAAAGACC \\ CTTED2 & NM_006 & 965- & AGGACTGCCCGGAACTCTGGCTAAGGAGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGCGGGC \\ CITFD2 & NM_000 & 2297- & CATGGGCTGCCAGACACCATGGGCAAAACGAGTTGGACAACAGAGGAGGAGTGTGGC \\ CLA & NM_001 & 2297- & CATGGGCTGTGAACCAGAAGTTGGGCAAAACGAGTTGGACAAAGGAGCCACTTCGGTGGAAAAGTCAGGAAGACCAAAGCCCAGGAGGAGAAAGTCAGGAAAGACC \\ CLC1 & NM_001 & 2297- & CATGGGCTGTAGCTGAGGAAAAGTCAGGAAAGGACGAAAGGTCGGCCAAAG \\ CLIC1 & NM_001 & 2297- & CATGGGCTGTAGGTGAACCAGAAGGCCAGGAGGAGAAGCCACTTGGGCAAAACGAGTTGGACAAAGGAGGGGGCCAAAG \\ CLIC1 & NM_001 & 2297- & CATGGGCGCAAAGACTGGGGAAAAGTTCAGGCTATGGGCAAAAGGACCTTGGGCAAAAGTCAGGAAGTCGGGGACAAAGTCGGGGACACTTCAGGTTGACCCAA AGGAGGTCTGACCCAAGGGGGTCTGGCCAAAGGAGGAAGTCGGGGAACACTGCACCAAAGGAGGCCAAAGGCCAAGGCCAAAGGCCAAGACTGGGGAACCTGCACCAAAGGAGGCCAAAGGCCAAGAGTGGGGAACACTGCACCAAGGGGGCCAAAGGCCAAAGGCCAAGGAGGCCAAAGGCCACAGGAGAGGCCAAAGGCCACAGGAGAGTCGGGAACCTGCACCACAA AGGAGGTCCTGCAAGGGGGACACTGCGCAAAG$	CD8A	768.5	1420	TCAGGGTGAGGTGCTTGAGTCTCCAACGGCAAGGGAACAAGTACTT
CDH1360.21330ACGAGGCTAACGTCGTAATCACCACACTGAAAGTGAACGTAGTGCTGATCDK2NM_001220-320TCGCTGGCGCTTCATGGAGAAACTTCCAAAAGGTGGAAAAGATCGGAGAGGGC798.2798.2220-320ACGTACGGAGTTGTGTACAAAGCCAGAAACAAGTTGACGGGAGAGGGGCDK4NM_0001055-ACTTTTAACCCACACAAGCGAATCTCTGCCTTTCGAGCCTGCAGCACTCTTA075.21155TCTACATAAGGATGAAGGTAATCCGGAGTGAGCAATGGAGGGGGCGCDKN1ANM_0001975-CATGTGTCCTGGTTCCGGTTCTCCACCTAGACTGTAAACCTCTCGAGGGGCAG064.2365-465GCTTCCGAGAGGGGTCGGGCGCGTAGGGGCGCGTTTGTTT	CDUU	NM_004	1230-	CGATAATCCTCCGATCTTCAATCCCACCACGTACAAGGGTCAGGTGCCTGAGA
CDK2NM_001 798.2220-320TCGCTGGCGCTTCATGGAGAACTTCCAAAAGGTGGAAAAGATCGGAGAGGGC ACGTACGGAGTTGTGTACAAAGCCAGAAACAAGTTGACGGGAGAGGGG ACGTACGGAGTTGTGTACAAAGCCAGAAACAAGTTGACGGGAGAGGGGCDK4NM_0001055- 1155ACTTTTAACCCACACAAGCGAATCTCGCCTTCGAGCTCTGCAGCACTCTTA TCTACATAAGGATGAAGGTAATCCGGAGTGGAGCAATGGAGGGGGGGCGCCDKN1ANM_0001975- 389.2CATGTGTCCTGGTTCCGGTTCCCACCTAGACTGTAAACCTCTCGAGGGCAG GGACCACACCCTGTACTGTTCTGTGTCTTTCACAGCTCCTCCACAA GCTCCGAGAGGGGTCCGGGCCCGCTAGGGGGCGCTTTGTTTG	CDHI	360.2	1330	ACGAGGCTAACGTCGTAATCACCACACTGAAAGTGACTGATGCTGAT
$ \begin{array}{c c} CDK2 & 798.2 & 220-320 & ACGTACGGAGTTGTGTACAAAGCCAGAAACAAGTTGACGGGAGAGGTG \\ \hline \\ CDK4 & NM_000 & 1055 & ACTTTAACCCACAAGCGAATCTCTGCCTTTCGAGCTCTGCAGCACTCTTA \\ \hline \\ 075.2 & 1155 & TCTACATAAGGATGAAGGTAATCCGGAGTGAGCAATGGAGTGGCTGC \\ \hline \\ CDKN1A & 389.2 & 2075 & GGACCACACCTGTTCTCCACCTAGACTGTAAACCTCTCGAGGGCAG \\ \hline \\ CDKN1B & 004 & 365-465 & GCTTCCGAGAGGGGTTCGGGCCGCGTAGGGGGCGCTTTGTTTG$		NM_001	220-320	TCGCTGGCGCTTCATGGAGAACTTCCAAAAGGTGGAAAAGATCGGAGAGGGC
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	CDK2	798.2		ACGTACGGAGTTGTGTACAAAGCCAGAAACAAGTTGACGGGAGAGGTG
$ \begin{array}{c c} CDK4 & 075.2 & 1155 & TCTACATAAGGATGAAGGTAATCCGGAGTGAGCAATGGAGTGGCTGC \\ \hline CDKN1A & NM_000 & 1975 & CATGTGTCCTGGTTCCCGTTTCTCACACTAAACCTCTCGAGGGCAG \\ \hline GACCACACCCTGTACTGTTCTGTGTCTTTAAACCTCCCACAA \\ \hline CDKN1B & 064.2 & 064.2 & 0CTTCCGAGAGGGGTTCGGGCGCGCTAGGGGGGCGCTTTGTTTG$		NM_000	1055-	ACTTTTAACCCACAAGCGAATCTCTGCCTTTCGAGCTCTGCAGCACTCTTA
$ \begin{array}{c c} \label{eq:cbknia} & \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	CDK4	075.2	1155	TCTACATAAGGATGAAGGTAATCCGGAGTGAGCAATGGAGTGGCTGC
CDKN1A389.22075GGACCACACCCTGTACTGTTCTGTGTCTTTCACAGCTCCTCCCACAACDKN1BNM_004365-465GCTTCCGAGAGGGGGTTCGGGCCGCGTAGGGGGCGCTTGTTTGT	CDUDITA	NM_000	1975-	CATGTGTCCTGGTTCCCGTTTCTCCACCTAGACTGTAAACCTCTCGAGGGCAG
$ \begin{array}{c c} CDKN1B & NM\_004 \\ 064.2 & 365-465 & GCTTCCGAGAGGGGTTCGGGCCGCGTAGGGGGCGCTTTGTTTG$	CDKNIA	389.2	2075	GGACCACACCCTGTACTGTTCTGTGTCTTTCACAGCTCCTCCCACAA
CDKNIB064.2303-405TTTTTTTGAGAGTGCGAGAGAGAGGCGGTCGTGCAGACCCGGGAGAAAGCDKN2CNM_0011295- 262.2ATAATGTAAACGTCAATGCACAAAATGGATTTGGAAGGACTGCGCTGCAGGTCBKN2C262.21395TATGAAACTTGGAAATCCCGAGATTGCCAGGAGGACGCTGCTACTTAGAGGCEBPANM_0041320- 364.2GAGCTGGGAGCCCGGCAACTCTAGTATTTAGGATAACCTTGTGCCTTGGAAATCFLARNM_003 246.3445-545CAAGACCCTTGTGAGCTTCCCTAGTCTAAGAGTAGGAGGAGGAGCAAATCGTGCIITANM_000 246.3470-570GCCTGAGCAAGGACATTTTCAAGCACATAGGACCAGAAGGAAG	CDKNID	NM_004	265 165	GCTTCCGAGAGGGGTTCGGGCCGCGTAGGGGGCGCTTTGTTTG
$ \begin{array}{c c} CDKN2C & NM\_001 & 1295- \\ 262.2 & 1395 & TATGAAACGTCAATGCACAAAATGGATTTGGAAGGACTGCGCTGCAGGT \\ TATGAAACTTGGAAATCCCGAGATTGCCAGGAGGACTGCTACTTAGAGG \\ \hline \\ CEBPA & NM\_004 & 1320- \\ 364.2 & 1420 & GCAAACTCACCGCTCCAATGCCTACTGAGGGGGGAGCAAATCGTG \\ \hline \\ CFLAR & NM\_003 \\ 445-545 & CAAGACCCTTGTGAGCTTCCCTAGTCTAAGAGTAGGATGTCTGCTGAAGTCAT \\ CCATCAGGTTGAAGAAGCACTTGATACAGAGTAGGATGTCTGCTGAAGTCAT \\ CFLAR & NM\_000 \\ 246.3 & 470-570 & GCCTGAGCAAGGACATTTTCAAGCACATAGGACCAGAAGGAGGAGAGGAGGAGCA \\ \hline \\ CIITA & 246.3 & 470-570 & GCCTGAGCAAGGACATTTTCAAGCACATAGGACCAGATGAAGTGATCGGTGA \\ GAGTATGGAGATGCCAGCAGCAGAAGAGCCAGAAAAGTCAGAAAAGTCAGAAAAGACC \\ \hline \\ CITED2 & NM\_006 & 965- & AGGAGCTGCCCGAACTCTGGCTGGGGCAAAACGAGTTTGATTTTATGACGGA \\ \hline \\ CLA & NM\_001 & 2297- & CATGGGCTGTTAGGTTGACTCCAGGAGAGGAGGTGAGCTGTTGACTCGATCG \\ \hline \\ CLIC1 & NM\_001 & 2397 & TACATCCTTGGGTGACCAGGAAAAGTTCAGGCTATGGGGGGCCAAAG \\ \hline \\ CLIC1 & NM\_001 & 310-410 & GTGATGGGGCCAAGATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCTCCCAGAGACTGTTCATGTT \\ ACTGTGGCTCAAGGGAGTCACCTTCAATGTTACCACCGTTGACACAA \\ \hline \\ CMRF-35H & NM\_007 & 261.2 & 0-100 & CGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGAACAACGCGAAGACCTGCAGCACCA \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$	CDKNIB	064.2	365-465	TTTTTTGAGAGTGCGAGAGAGGGGGGTCGTGCAGACCCGGGAGAAAG
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	CDKNDC	NM_001	1295-	ATAATGTAAACGTCAATGCACAAAATGGATTTGGAAGGACTGCGCTGCAGGT
$ \begin{array}{c c} CEBPA & NM\_004 & 1320- \\ 364.2 & 1420 & GAGCTGGGAGCCCGGCAACTCTAGTATTTAGGATAACCTTGTGCCTTGGAAAT \\ GCAAACTCACCGCTCCAATGCCTACTGAGTAGGGGGAGCAAATCGTG \\ CFLAR & NM\_003 \\ 879.3 & 445-545 & CAAGACCCTTGTGAGGCTTCCCTAGTCTAAGAGTAGGATGCTGCTGAAGTCAT \\ CCATCAGGTTGAAGAAGCACTTGATACAGATGAGAAGGAGATGCTGC \\ CIITA & NM\_000 \\ 246.3 & 470-570 & GCCTGAGCAAGGACATTTTCAAGCACATAGGACCAGATGAAGGAGATGCTGGC \\ GAGTATGGAGAAGGACGCCAGCAGAAGAACGAGATGAGAAGTCAGAAAAGACC \\ CIITED2 & NM\_006 & 965- & AGGAGCTGCCCGAACTCTGGCTGGGGCAAAACGAGTTTGAATTTTATGACGGA \\ CLIC1 & NM\_003 & 2297- & CATGGGCTGTTGACTTCAGTTTTGCCTCTTGGACAACAGGGGGGCCAAAG \\ CLIC1 & NM\_001 \\ 288.4 & 310-410 & GTGATGGGGCCAAGAATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCTCCCAGAGACTGTTGACTCATGGT \\ ACTGTGGCTCAAGGAGTCAGCAGCACTTCAGTTTACCACCGTTGACACCAA \\ CMRF-35H & NM\_007 \\ 261.2 & 0-100 & CGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGAACAATAGCCGAAGAACTGCCAACCAGGGAGTCACTACAGGA \\ \end{array}$	CDKN2C	262.2	1395	TATGAAACTTGGAAATCCCGAGATTGCCAGGAGACTGCTACTTAGAGG
CEBPA364.21420GCAAACTCACCGCTCCAATGCCTACTGAGTAGGGGGAGCAAATCGTGCFLARNM_003 879.3445-545CAAGACCCTTGTGAGCTTCCCTAGTCTAAGAGTAGGATGTCTGCTGAAGTCAT CCATCAGGTTGAAGAAGCACTTGATACAGATGAGAAGGAAG	CEDDA	NM_004	1320-	GAGCTGGGAGCCCGGCAACTCTAGTATTTAGGATAACCTTGTGCCTTGGAAAT
CFLARNM_003 879.3445-545CAAGACCCTTGTGAGCTTCCCTAGTCTAAGAGTAGGATGTCTGCTGAAGTCAT CCATCAGGTTGAAGAAGCACTTGATACAGATGAGAAGGAGATGCTGCCIITANM_000 246.3470-570GCCTGAGCAAGGACATTTTCAAGCACATAGGACCAGATGAAGTGATCGGTGA GAGTATGGAGATGCCAGCAGAAGTTGGGCAGAAAAGTCAGAAAAGACCCIITED2NM_006965- 079.3AGGAGCTGCCCGAACTCTGGCTGGGGGCAAAAACGAGTTTGATTTTATGACGGA CTTCGTGTGCAAACAGCAGCCCAGCAGCAGAGTGAGCTGTTGACTCGATCGCLANM_0032297- 006.3CATGGGCTGTTAGGTTGACTTCAGTTTGCCTCTTGGACAACAGGGGGGCCAAAG GTGATGGGGCCAAGATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCAGGCTATGGGGGGCCAAAGCLIC1NM_001 288.4310-410GTGATGGGGCCAAGATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCCAGGTTGACACCAG ACTGTGGCTCAAGGGAGTCACCTCAAGGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGG GAGAGGTCTCATCATGGTGAGACTGTCCTGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGG GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGGCCTCAACAG GAGAGGTCTCATCACTACACAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGGCCTCAACCA	CEBPA	364.2	1420	GCAAACTCACCGCTCCAATGCCTACTGAGTAGGGGGGGGG
CFLAR879.3445-345CCATCAGGTTGAAGAAGCACTTGATACAGATGAGAAGGAAG		NM_003	445 545	CAAGACCCTTGTGAGCTTCCCTAGTCTAAGAGTAGGATGTCTGCTGAAGTCAT
CIITANM_000 246.3470-570GCCTGAGCAAGGACATTTTCAAGCACATAGGACCAGATGAAGTGATCGGTGA GAGTATGGAGATGCCAGCAGAAGTTGGGCCAGAAAAGTCAGAAAAGACCCITED2NM_006965-AGGAGCTGCCCGAACTCTGGCTGGGGCAAAACGAGTTTGATTTTATGACGGA CTTCGTGTGCGAAACAGCAGCCCAGCAGAGTGAGCTGTTGACTCCGATCGCLANM_0032297-CATGGGCTGTTAGGTTGACTTCAGTTTTGCCTCTTGGACAACAGGGGGGCCAAAG GGGGGGCCAAGACTGTGGGGGAACAGGTCAGGCCATTGGGGGGGCCAAAGCLIC1NM_001 288.4310-410GTGATGGGGCCAAGATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCTCCCAGAGACTGTTCATGGT ACTGTGGCTCAAGGGAGTCACCTTCAATGTTACCACCGTTGACACCAACMRF-35HNM_007 261.20-100CGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGATCAGTCCTGCAAGCAACAGGAGCCCCAACAG	CFLAR	879.3	445-545	CCATCAGGTTGAAGAAGCACTTGATACAGATGAGAAGGAGATGCTGC
CIIIA246.34/0-5/0GAGTATGGAGATGCCAGCAGAAGTTGGGCAGAAAAGTCAGAAAAGACCCITED2NM_006965-AGGAGCTGCCCGAACTCTGGCTGGGGCAAAACGAGTTTGATTTTATGACGGA079.31065CTTCGTGTGCAAACAGCAGCCCAGCAGAGTGAGGCTGTTGACTCGATCGCLANM_0032297-CATGGGCTGTTAGGTTGACTTCAGTTTGCCTCTTGGACAACAGGGGGGCCAAAG006.32397TACATCCTTGGGTGACCAGGAAAAGTTCAGGCTATGGGGGGGCCAAAGCLIC1NM_001310-410GTGATGGGGCCAAGATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCTCCCAGAGACTGTTCATGGTACTGTGGCTCAAGGGAGTCACCTTCAATGTTACCACCGTTGACACCAACGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGATCAGTCCTGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGGCMRF-35HNM_0070-100CGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGATCAGTCCTGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGG	CITE 1	NM_000	470.570	GCCTGAGCAAGGACATTTTCAAGCACATAGGACCAGATGAAGTGATCGGTGA
CITED2      NM_006      965-      AGGAGCTGCCCGAACTCTGGCTGGGGCAAAACGAGTTTGATTTTATGACGGA        079.3      1065      CTTCGTGTGCAAACAGCAGCCCAGCAGAGTGAGCTGTTGACTCGATCG        CLA      NM_003      2297-      CATGGGCTGTTAGGTTGACTCAGTTTGCCTCTGGACAACAGGGGGGCCAAAG        006.3      2397      TACATCCTTGGGTGACCAGGAAAAGTTCAGGCTATGGGGGGGCCAAAG        CLIC1      NM_001      310-410      GTGATGGGGCCAAGGATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCTCCCAGAGACTGTTCATGGT        CMRF-35H      NM_007      0-100      CGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGATCAGTCCTGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGG        GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGCCTCAACCA      GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGCCTCAACCA	CIITA	246.3	470-570	GAGTATGGAGATGCCAGCAGAAGTTGGGCAGAAAAGTCAGAAAAGACC
CHED2079.31065CTTCGTGTGTGCAAACAGCAGCCCAGCAGAGTGAGCTGTTGACTCGATCGCLANM_0032297- 006.3CATGGGCTGTTAGGTTGACTTCAGTTTGCCTCTTGGACAACAGGGGGGCTCTG TACATCCTTGGGTGACCAGGAAAAGTTCAGGCTATGGGGGGGCCAAAGCLIC1NM_001 288.4310-410GTGATGGGGCCAAGATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCTCCCAGAGACTGTTCATGGT ACTGTGGCTCAAGGGAGTCACCTTCAATGTTACCACCGTTGACACCAACMRF-35HNM_007 261.20-100CGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGATCAGTCCTGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGG GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCCAGCCTCAACCA	CUTTER	NM_006	965-	AGGAGCTGCCCGAACTCTGGCTGGGGCAAAACGAGTTTGATTTTATGACGGA
CLA    NM_003    2297-    CATGGGCTGTTAGGTTGACTTCAGTTTGCCTCTTGGACAACAGGGGGGTCTTG      006.3    2397    TACATCCTTGGGTGACCAGGAAAAGTTCAGGCTATGGGGGGGCCAAAG      CLIC1    NM_001    310-410    GTGATGGGGCCAAGATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCTCCCAGAGACTGTTCATGGT      CMRF-35H    NM_007    0-100    CGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGATCAGTCCTGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGG      GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCCAGCCTCAACCA    GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGCCTCAACCA	CITED2	079.3	1065	CTTCGTGTGCAAACAGCAGCCCAGCAGAGTGAGCTGTTGACTCGATCG
CLA      006.3      2397      TACATCCTTGGGTGACCAGGAAAAGTTCAGGCTATGGGGGGGCCAAAG        CLIC1      NM_001 288.4      310-410      GTGATGGGGCCAAGGATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCTCCCAGAGACTGTTCATGGT ACTGTGGCTCAAGGGAGTCACCTTCAATGTTACCACCGTTGACACCAA        CMRF-35H      NM_007 261.2      0-100      CGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGGATCAGTCCTGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGG GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGCCTCAACCA	CLA	NM_003	2297-	CATGGGCTGTTAGGTTGACTTCAGTTTTGCCTCTTGGACAACAGGGGGGTCTTG
CLIC1      NM_001 288.4      310-410      GTGATGGGGGCCAAGATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCTCCCAGAGACTGTTCATGGT ACTGTGGCTCAAGGGAGTCACCTTCAATGTTACCACCGTTGACACCAA        CMRF-35H      NM_007 261.2      0-100      CGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGATCAGTCCTGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGG GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGCCTCAACCA	CLA	006.3	2397	TACATCCTTGGGTGACCAGGAAAAGTTCAGGCTATGGGGGGGCCAAAG
CLICI  288.4  SI0-410  ACTGTGGCTCAAGGGAGTCACCTTCAATGTTACCACCGTTGACACCAA    CMRF-35H  NM_007  0-100  CGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGGATCAGTCCTGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGG    GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGCCTCAACCA  GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGCCTCAACCA	CLIC1	NM_001	210 410	GTGATGGGGCCAAGATTGGGAACTGCCCATTCTCCCAGAGACTGTTCATGGT
CMRF-35H      NM_007      CGGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGGATCAGTCCTGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGG        261.2      0-100      GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGGCCTCAACCA	CLICI	288.4	310-410	ACTGTGGCTCAAGGGAGTCACCTTCAATGTTACCACCGTTGACACCAA
CMRF-35H      261.2      0-100      GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGCCTCAACCA	CL (D) T ATT	NM_007	0.100	CGGGGAAGTGAGAGTCGGGGATCAGTCCTGCAAGCTACGGAGTCACTACAGG
	CMRF-35H	261.2	0-100	GAGAGGTCTCATCACTAGAAATAGCCGAAGAACCTGCAGCCTCAACCA

CPER1	NM_004	4855-	TTTGATGGTAGGTCAGCAGCAGTGCTAGTCTCTGAAAGCACAATACCAGTCA
CREDT	379.3	4955	GGCAGCCTATCCCATCAGATGTCATCTGGCTGAAGTTTATCTCTGTCT
CPID1	NM_001	260 260	CAACCACCCCTGCTACGCAGCCATGTTTGGGCCTAAAGGCTTTGGGCGGGGC
CKIFI	311.4	209-309	GGAGCCGAGAGCCACACTTTCAAGTAAACCAGGTGGTGGAGACCCCAT
CSAD	NM_015	205 205	TCAAATTCTTCTGCCTAGCCTTAGCCATTAGAGAGAGGGTCCTGCTAAAGATGG
CSAD	989.4	203-303	ACTGCAAATGCGCTTGATGGAAGGAGATGTCAATTCCACTGAAGTCC
CSE2	NM_000	175 575	AGATGAGGCTGGCCAAGCCGGGGGGGGCTGCTCTCTCATGAAACAAGAGCTAGA
CSF2	758.2	475-575	AACTCAGGATGGTCATCTTGGAGGGACCAAGGGGTGGGCCACAGCCAT
CENIZ2A1	NM_177	1930-	CCATTCCCACCATTGTTCCTCCACCGTCCCACACTTTAGGGGGGTTGGTATCTCG
CSNK2A1	559.2	2030	TGCTCTTCTCCAGAGATTACAAAAATGTAGCTTCTCAGGGGAGGCA
OTOF	NM_001	1100-	ACCACCCTGCCGGTGGAGTTCAAGTGCCCTGACGGCGAGGTCATGAAGAAGA
CIGF	901.2	1200	ACATGATGTTCATCAAGACCTGTGCCTGCCATTACAACTGTCCCGGAG
	NM_005	105 505	AGTCTGTGCGGCAACCTACATGATGGGGGAATGAGTTGACCTTCCTAGATGATT
CILA4	214.3	405-505	CCATCTGCACGGGCACCTCCAGTGGAAATCAAGTGAACCTCACTATC
CTNNA 1	NM_001	75 175	TCGCCCAGCTAGCCGCAGAAATGACTGCTGTCCATGCAGGCAACATAAACTT
CINNAI	903.2	/5-1/5	CAAGTGGGATCCTAAAAGTCTAGAGATCAGGACTCTGGCAGTTGAGAG
CTNNP 1	NM_001	1815-	TCTTGCCCTTTGTCCCGCAAATCATGCACCTTTGCGTGAGCAGGGTGCCATTC
CINNDI	098210.1	1915	CACGACTAGTTCAGTTGCTTGTTCGTGCACATCAGGATACCCAGCGC
CTNNDI 1	NM_030	855 055	TGATGCCAACAAACTGTATTGCAGTGAAGTGCTGGCCATATTGCTCCAGGAC
CINNDLI	877.3	855-955	AATGATGAAAAACAGGGAATTGCTTGGGGAGCTGGATGGA
CV2C1	NM_002	140-240	AGCACCACGGTGTGACGAAATGCAACATCACGTGCAGCAAGATGACATCAAA
CASCI	996.3		GATACCTGTAGCTTTGCTCATCCACTATCAACAGAACCAGGCATCATG
CY2CD1	NM_001	1040-	GGGCGCTCAGTCCACGTTGATTTCTCCTCATCTGAATCACAAAGGAGCAGGCA
CASCRI	337.3	1140	TGGAAGTGTTCTGAGCAGCAATTTTACTTACCACACGAGTGATGGAG
CVCCP1	NM_000	1950-	GCAGCCACCAGTCCATTGGGCAGGCAGATGTTCCTAATAAAGCTTCTGTTCCG
CAUCKI	634.2	2050	TGCTTGTCCCTGTGGAAGTATCTTGGTTGTGACAGAGTCAAGGGTGT
CYCL 10	NM_001	40.140	GCAGAGGAACCTCCAGTCTCAGCACCATGAATCAAACTGCGATTCTGATTTGC
CACLIO	565.1	40-140	TGCCTTATCTTTCTGACTCTAAGTGGCATTCAAGGAGTACCTCTCTC
CYCL 12	NM_199	505 605	GGGCCTGAGGTTTGCCAGCATTTAGACCCTGCATTTATAGCATACGGTATGAT
CACL12	168.2	303-003	ATTGCAGCTTATATTCATCCATGCCCTGTACCTGTGCACGTTGGAAC
CYCLO	NM_002	1975-	CACCATCTCCCATGAAGAAAGGGAACGGTGAAGTACTAAGCGCTAGAGGAA
CACL <sup>3</sup>	416.1	2075	GCAGCCAAGTCGGTTAGTGGAAGCATGATTGGTGCCCAGTTAGCCTCTG
CYCP3	NM_001	80.180	GTGAGTGACCACCAAGTGCTAAATGACGCCGAGGTTGCCGCCCTCCTGGAGA
CACKS	504.1	80-180	ACTTCAGCTCTTCCTATGACTATGGAGAAAACGAGAGTGACTCGTGCT
CVCP4	NM_001	125 225	GTCACTATGGGAAAAGATGGGGGAGGAGAGTTGTAGGATTCTACATTAATTCT
CACK4	008540.1	155-255	CTTGTGCCCTTAGCCCACTACTTCAGAATTTCCTGAAGAAAGCAAGC
CVORE14	NR_001	142 242	GAGGCTGTCTGCCAACATCTTTCATCACTCTGCCTGCAACTATGAAAAATTTA
CTORF14	544.2	143-243	GTTCTAAAAAATGCAACCTTGCTAAATTGAGTACTAATAGGATTGGT
DAP10	NM_001	122 222	ATCCTCTTCCTGCTTTTGCTCCCAGTGGCTGCAGCTCAGACGACTCCAGGAGA
DAF10	007469.1	132-232	GAGATCATCACTCCCTGCCTTTTACCCTGGCACTTCAGGCTCTTGTT
DAP12	NM_003	457-557	CTGCACCTCATTCCAACTCCTACCGCGATACAGACCCACAGAGTGCCATCCCT
DAI 12	332.2	437-337	GAGAGACCAGACCGCTCCCCAATACTCTCCTAAAATAAACATGAAGC
DECI	NM_017	100 200	AGGCCTTACTTTCCAGATCCAGATCCTTGTGCATACAACTGACTTGTGTGGGT
DECI	418.2	190-290	GAGGCTTGCAGAAAAAATCAGCTAGAACAGCCTTGGGGGGTAGTGGCA
DNAM 1	NM_006	162 262	TAAACAGGATACGATAAAAGTCCTTAACCAAGACGCAGATGGGAAGAAGCG
DNAM-1	566.2	103-263	TTAGAGCGAGCAGCACTCACATCTCAAGAACCAGCCTTTCAAACAGTTT

	NM_001	2700-	CAGCAGTCAGCTCAGATCTCCAAAGCCCTGGTCGATGTTGGAGTGGATTTCCA
DFF4	935.3	2800	GGCAATGTGGTATACTGATGAAGACCATGGAATAGCTAGC
ECI N1	NM_022	3975-	AGCAGCATGGACGACCTGATACGCCACTGTAACGGGAAGCTGGGCAGCTACA
LOLIN	051.1	4075	AAATCAATGGCCGGACGAAAGCCATGGT
EGLN3	NM_022	800.000	AAGCTACATGGTGGGATCCTGCGGATATTTCCAGAGGGGAAATCATTCAT
	073.3	800-900	CAGATGTGGAGCCCATTTTTGACAGACTCCTGTTCTTCTGGTCAGATC
EIE1	NM_005	860.060	CCTGAACAGTCCTCGGTGAATCTGAGAGGAGAGGAGGGTGGGGTAAGGCAGAAG
LIFI	801.3	809-909	CACCAGCTGTACTACTAGAAGGGAGCTTTTGGTGGTAGATCCCCTGGTG
ELE4	NM_001	225 125	AGCTCTGGAGGGCTCTGATAATCCCGTTGTCAGCTCTCTGAAAAGACAGCATG
ELF4	421.3	555-455	GCTATTACCCTACAGCCCAGTGACCTGATCTTTGAGTTCGCAAGCAA
ENTDD1	NM_001	225 225	TTCGAGTAACTTTAGGAAAATGAGCTGCTGGACTCCTCAGTCAATCTGTCCTT
ENIFDI	776.4	223-323	TCTAGTCAATGAAAAAGACAGGGTTTGAGGTTCCTTCCGAAACGGGG
Formas	NM_005	1670-	ATCCCATGCCCTGGGGTATTACCCAGACCCAACCTTTCCTGCAATGGCAGGGT
Eomes	442.2	1770	GGGGAGGTCGAGGTTCTTACCAGAGGAAGATGGCAGCTGGACTACCA
	NM_004	20 120	GCAGCGTTGGCACCGGCGAACCATGGCTGGGATTTTCTATTTCGCCCTATTTT
LI UM4	438.3	20-120	CGTGTCTCTTCGGGATTTGCGACGCTGTCACAGGTTCCAGGGTATAC
ETV6	NM_001	3840-	GTATGAATATGAAAATCAGAGACCAGGGCATGATGTTGCTAGGATTAGAGCCT
EIVO	987.4	3940	CTCAGTCTGGCCTCTTCACCCAAGTGCAAGAACTCAGTCTCTTACTGT
EADD	NM_003	1560-	TGAGACTGCTAAGTAGGGGGCAGTGATGGTTGCCAGGACGAATTGAGATAATA
FADD	824.2	1660	TCTGTGAGGTGCTGATGAGTGATTGACACACAGCACTCTCTAAATCTT
EANCO	NM_000	2130-	GACTCAGTCAGACATGTTCACTAATGACTCAAGTGAGCCTTCGGTACTCCTGG
FANCE	136.2	2230	TGCCCGCCCGGCCAGACCGTCAGCTTGATAATTACTAAAGCAAAGGC
EAG	NM_000	90-190	CACCGGGGCTTTTCGTGAGCTCGTCTCTGATCTCGCGCAAGAGTGACACACAG
FAS	043.3		GTGTTCAAAGACGCTTCTGGGGAGTGAGGGAAGCGGTTTACGAGTGA
EASLC	NM_000	(25.725	TCCATGCCTCTGGAATGGGAAGACACCTATGGAATTGTCCTGCTTTCTGGAGT
FASLG	639.1	625-725	GAAGTATAAGAAGGGTGGCCTTGTGATCAATGAAACTGGGCTGTACT
EI TI	NM_002	5615-	TTCAACTGCTTTGAAACTTGCCTGGGGTCTGAGCATGATGGGAATAGGGAGA
<b>FL11</b>	019.2	5715	CAGGGTAGGAAAGGGCGCCTACTCTTCAGGGTCTAAAGATCAAGTGGG
ELT2LC	NM_001	927-	CCTCCCCAGAATGGAGGCAACGCCAGAATCCAGCACCGGCCCCATTTACCCA
FLISLO	459.2	1027	ACTCTGTACAAAGCCCTTGTCCCCATGAAATTGTATATAAATCATCCT
FOS	NM_005	1475-	ACTCAAGTCCTTACCTCTTCCGGAGATGTAGCAAAACGCATGGAGTGTGTATT
ros	252.2	1575	GTTCCCAGTGACACTTCAGAGAGCTGGTAGTTAGTAGCATGTTGAGC
EOVD2	NM_014	1230-	GGGCCATCCTGGAGGCTCCAGAGAAGCAGCGGACACTCAATGAGATCTACCA
FUAF5	009.3	1330	CTGGTTCACACGCATGTTTGCCTTCTTCAGAAACCATCCTGCCACCTG
EVN	NM_002	765 965	GTCTTTGGAGGTGTGAACTCTTCGTCTCATACGGGGACCTTGCGTACGAGAGG
FIN	037.3	/03-803	AGGAACAGGAGTGACACTCTTTGTGGCCCTTTATGACTATGAAGCAC
EZD1	NM_003	2430-	GTGCCAATCCTGACATCTCGAGGTTTCCTCACTAGACAACTCTCTTTCGCAGG
FZDI	505.1	2530	CTCCTTTGAACAACTCAGCTCCTGCAAAAGCTTCCGTCCCTGAGGCA
CAL 26T4	NM_024	1140-	CGAGCCCAAACCCTCAATCCCAATGCCCTCATCCATCCTGTTTCCACTGTTAC
GAL5514	637.4	1240	TGATCATCGCAGCCAGATATCAAGCCCTGCCTCTTTCGATTTGGGGT
GARNI 4	NM_015	4140-	CCCACGGCTGGAAAGAGGCCTGTACGTTCTGGACGCGTTTTGTTGGCTGGGCT
OARIAL4	085.4	4240	TCTGGAGGCACTGGCAAGGTCAAACTGCATTTCTTTAAGAACAGTTG
CAS2	NM_005	915-	GATCTCCCGTGTGGATGGCAAAACATCCCCTATCCAAAGCAAATCTCCAACTC
GA52	256.3	1015	TAAAGGACATGAATCCAGATAACTACTTGGTGGTCTCTGCCAGTTAT
CATAO	NM_032	1495-	GAAGAAGGAAGGGATCCAGACTCGGAACCGGAAGATGTCCAACAAGTCCAA
GATA2	638.3	1595	GAAGAGCAAGAAAGGGGCGGAGTGCTTCGAGGAGCTGTCAAAGTGCATG

CATA2	NM_001	2835-	AAGAGTCCGGCGGCATCTGTCTTGTCCCTATTCCTGCAGCCTGTGCTGAGGGT
UATAS	002295.1	2935	AGCAGTGTATGAGCTACCAGCGTGCATGTCAGCGACCCTGGCCCGAC
Cfi1	NM_005	2235-	TCATCACTGGAGGTAAAAGCACAAGCAATGCCTGTGGACAAGATGTCATTCA
GIN	263.2	2335	TTCACTCAGCAAATGTTCATGGATCACCGGCTACCAAGGTACCAGGCA
GII 7	NM_198	1400-	TTAAGCAGAGGCAACCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTGTTTCGTGAAGGCAGGGGACAC
	057.2	1500	AGATGGGAGAGATTGAGCCAAGTCAGCCTTCTGTTGGTTAATATGGT
CI IPR 1	NM_006	255-355	CTGCGTTCGAATCCATAACAAGTTCCGATCAGAGGTGAAACCAACAGCCAGT
ULIIKI	851.2	235-355	GATATGCTATACATGACTTGGGACCCAGCACTAGCCCAAATTGCAAAA
CLO1	NM_006	1240-	GGAAATGATATGGTACCCAGACACTGGGCTAGGCTGCAACTTTATCTCATTTA
ULUI	708.1	1340	ATACTCCCAGCTGTCATGTGAGAAAGAAAGCAGGCTAGGCATGTGAA
CSK3B	NM_002	925-	ACTGATTATACCTCTAGTATAGATGTATGGTCTGCTGGCTG
USVOD	093.2	1025	GCTGTTACTAGGACAACCAATATTTCCAGGGGATAGTGGTGTGGATC
GZMA	NM_006	155,255	AGACCCTACATGGTCCTACTTAGTCTTGACAGAAAAACCATCTGTGCTGGGGC
ULINIA	144.2	133-233	TTTGATTGCAAAAGACTGGGTGTTGACTGCAGCTCACTGTAACTTGA
GZMB	NM_004	540-640	ACACTACAAGAGGTGAAGATGACAGTGCAGGAAGATCGAAAGTGCGAATCT
OZMB	131.3	540-040	GACTTACGCCATTATTACGACAGTACCATTGAGTTGTGCGTGGGGGGACC
GzmH	NM_033	705-805	AAAAAAGGGACACCTCCAGGAGTCTACATCAAGGTCTCACACTTCCTGCCCT
OZIIIT	423.3	105 005	GGATAAAGAGAACAATGAAGCGCCTCTAACAGCAGGCATGAGACTAAC
HDAC1	NM_004	785-885	CAAGCCGGTCATGTCCAAAGTAATGGAGATGTTCCAGCCTAGTGCGGTGGTC
IIDACI	964.2	705-005	TTACAGTGTGGCTCAGACTCCCTATCTGGGGATCGGTTAGGTTGCTTC
HDAC2	NM_001	930-	AAGCCTATTATCTCAAAGGTGATGGAGAGATGTATCAACCTAGTGCTGTGGTATT
HDAC2	527.1	1030	ACAGTGTGGTGCAGACTCATTATCTGGTGATAGACTGGGTTGTTTCA
LIEC 1	NM_004	1340-	TTGAGTTAATCAGCGTAAGGGGATTTCTAAAGCAGGCAATCCCTGTAGCCGC
HEST	649.5	1440	AGAGAATAAACGCCTTCCCAAAATGGCAACTTCCCACAGCCACATTTC
	NM_002	1000-	GGAAGAGCTCAGATAGAAAAGGAGGGAGTTACACTCAGGCTGCAAGCAGTG
HLA-A	116.5	1100	ACAGTGCCCAGGGCTCTGATGTGTCCCTCACAGCTTGTAAAGTGTGAGA
	NM_018	1503-	TTCTATAGAGATAGATATTGTCCTAAGTGTCAAGTCCTGACTGGGCTGGGTTT
HOAAIU	951.3	1603	GCTGTCTTGGGGTCCCACTGCTCGAAATGGCCCCTGTCTTCGGCCGA
HOYAO	NM_152	1015-	GGCTCTAAACCTCAGGCCACATCTTTTCCAAGGCAAACCCTGTTCAGGCTGGC
ПОЛАЭ	739.3	1115	TCGTAGGCCTGCCGCTTTGATGGAGGAGGTATTGTAAGCTTTCCATT
LIOVP2	NM_002	60 160	TGTCCGTTTAAATGCTGCTGGGAGACTCGTAAAAAATCATCGTGGACCTGG
польз	146.4	00-100	AGGATGAGAGGGGGGGGGGGCTTTATTTCGGTCGGATTGCGGTGTGGTGGT
	NM_024	1340-	CCTTTCTTTGTCCCCCACTCCCGATACCCAGCGAAAGCACCCTCTGACTGCCA
ПОАВ4	015.4	1440	GATAGTGCAGTGTTTTGGTCACGGTAACACACACACACTCTCCCTCA
	NM_000	240 240	TGTGATGAAGGAGATGGGAGGCCATCACATTGTAGCCCTCTGTGTGCTCAAG
ΠΓΚΙΙ	194.1	240-340	GGGGGCTATAAATTCTTTGCTGACCTGCTGGATTACATCAAAGCACTG
	NM_000	3055-	GTGGCAGCTCAAAATGATATGTTTGAGTAGACGAACAGCTGACATGGAGTTC
пкпт	861.2	3155	CCGTGCACCTACGGAAGGGGACGCTTTGAAGGAACCAAGTGCATTTTT
нрн2	NM_022	600-700	GCGGTCCTCATCCTCATCACCGTTGCTGGCAATGTGGTCGTCTGTCT
111112	304.1	000-700	GGGCTTGAACCGCCGGCTCCGCAACCTGACCAATTGTTTCATCGTGT
IAP	NM_001	897-997	GCCATATTGGTTATTCAGGTGATAGCCTATATCCTCGCTGTGGTTGGACTGAG
1711	777.3	0,1,7,1	TCTCTGTATTGCGGCGTGTATACCAATGCATGGCCCTCTTCTGATTT
ICOS	NM_012	640-740	AACTCTGGCACCCAGGCATGAAGCACGTTGGCCAGTTTTCCTCAACTTGAAGT
1005	092.2	010740	GCAAGATTCTCTTATTTCCGGGACCACGGAGAGTCTGACTTAACTAC
ICOSLG	NM_015	1190-	CTGCTGGCGTTGGCTGTGATCCTGGAATGAGGCCCTTTCAAAAGCGTCATCCA
ICOSLG	259.4	1290	CACCAAAGGCAAATGTCCCCAAGTGAGTGGGCTCCCCGCTGTCACTG

1D2	NM_002	505 605	CGGATATCAGCATCCTGTCCTTGCAGGCTTCTGAATTCCCTTCTGAGTTAATGT
ID2	166.4	505-505	CAAATGACAGCAAAGCACTGTGTGGGCTGAATAAGCGGTGTTCATGA
IEN <sub>2</sub> 1	NM_024	585-685	ATCCCTCTCTTTATCAACAAACTTGCAAGAAAGATTAAGGAGGAAGGA
IIINal	013.1	385-085	CATCTGGTCCAACATGAAAACAATTCTTATTGACTCATACACCAGGTC
IENG	NM_000	970-	ATACTATCCAGTTACTGCCGGTTTGAAAATATGCCTGCAATCTGAGCCAGTGC
into	619.2	1070	TTTAATGGCATGTCAGACAGAACTTGAATGTGTCAGGTGACCCTGAT
IENCP 1	NM_000	1140-	CCCGGGCAGCCATCTGACTCCAATAGAGAGAGAGAGAGTTCTTCACCTTTAAGT
IINOKI	416.1	1240	AGTAACCAGTCTGAACCTGGCAGCATCGCTTTAAACTCGTATCACTCC
IGE1P	NM_000	155 555	TCGGGGGGCCATCAGGATTGAGAAAAATGCTGACCTCTGTTACCTCTCCACTG
ЮРТК	875.2	455-555	TGGACTGGTCCCTGATCCTGGATGCGGTGTCCAATAACTACATTGTG
IKZE1	NM_006	4485-	CCGCTGTGTACTACTGTGTGCCTAGATTCCATGCACTCTCGTTGTGTTTGAAGT
IKZI'I	060.3	4585	AAATATTGGAGACCGGAGGGTAACAGGTTGGCCTGTTGATTACAGC
П 10	NM_000	230-330	AAGGATCAGCTGGACAACTTGTTGTTAAAGGAGTCCTTGCTGGAGGACTTTA
ILIO	572.2	230-330	AGGGTTACCTGGGTTGCCAAGCCTTGTCTGAGATGATCCAGTTTTACC
II 10R A	NM_001	150-250	TGCCCAGCCCTCCGTCTGTGTGGTTTGAAGCAGAATTTTTCCACCACATCCTC
ILTOKA	558.2	150-250	CACTGGACACCCATCCCAAATCAGTCTGAAAGTACCTGCTATGAAGT
П 12А	NM_000	775-875	CTTTCTAGATCAAAACATGCTGGCAGTTATTGATGAGCTGATGCAGGCCCTGA
ILIZA	882.2	115-015	ATTTCAACAGTGAGACTGTGCCACAAAAATCCTCCCTTGAAGAACCG
II 12PB1	NM_005	1292-	AGGAAAAGTGTTACTACATTACCATCTTTGCCTCTGCGCACCCCGAGAAGCTC
ILIZKDI	535.1	1392	ACCTTGTGGTCTACGGTCCTGTCCACCTACCACTTTGGGGGGCAATGC
II 12PB2	NM_001	1315-	CCTCCGTGGGACATTAGAATCAAATTTCAAAAGGCTTCTGTGAGCAGATGTAC
IL12KD2	559.2	1415	CCTTTATTGGAGAGATGAGGGACTGGTACTGCTTAATCGACTCAGAT
н 12	NM_002	516 (16	TTTCTTTCTGATGTCAAAAATGTCTTGGGTAGGCGGGAAGGAGGGTTAGGGA
IL15	188.2	510-010	GGGGTAAAATTCCTTAGCTTAGACCTCAGCCTGTGCTGCCCGTCTTCA
п 17	NM_172	1685-	AGGGTGATAGTCAAATTATGTATTGGTGGGGGCTGGGTACCAATGCTGCAGGT
IL15	174.1	1785	CAACAGCTATGCTGGTAGGCTCCTGCCAGTGTGGAACCACTGACTACT
IL15Ra	NM_002	30 130	CGCTCGCCCGGGGAGTCCAGCGGTGTCCTGTGGAGCTGCCGCCATGGCCCCG
	189.2	39-139	CGGCGGGCGCGCGGCTGCCGGACCCTCGGTCTCCCGGCGCTGCTACTG
IL17A	NM_002	240 340	TACTACAACCGATCCACCTCACCTTGGAATCTCCACCGCAATGAGGACCCTGA
	190.2	240-340	GAGATATCCCTCTGTGATCTGGGAGGCAAAGTGCCGCCACTTGGGCT
II 17E	NM_052	210-310	GCCCGCCTGTGCCAGGAGGTAGTATGAAGCTTGACATTGGCATCATCAATGA
12171	872.3	210-310	AAACCAGCGCGTTTCCATGTCACGTAACATCGAGAGCCGCTCCACCTC
II 17R A	NM_014	3020-	CTACTATGTGGCGGGCATTTGGGATACCAAGATAAATTGCATGCGGCATGGC
	339.4	3120	CCCAGCCATGAAGGAACTTAACCGCTAGTGCCGAGGACACGTTAAACG
II 10	NM_001	10 110	GACAGTCAGCAAGGAATTGTCTCCCAGTGCATTTTGCCCTCCTGGCTGCCAAC
IL18	562.2	40-140	TCTGGCTGCTAAAGCGGCTGCCACCTGCTGCAGTCTACACAGCTTCG
H 10D1	NM_003	2025-	GAATGAGGGGATTTTAAGTGTCTGAAGAGGCATTTTCTAGGGACCAGTGGGT
ILIOKI	855.2	2125	GACTGAGTAACTGAAATGCTGCTTTCACTCCCTAACACCATGGATCTG
	NM_003	2412-	GCTTGATGGACAATGGAGTGGGATTGAGACTGTGGTTTAGAGCCTTTGATTTC
ILIOKAP	853.2	2512	CTGGACTGGACTGACGGCGAGTGAATTCTCTAGACCTTGGGTACTTT
Ш 2	NM_000	300-400	AGGATGCAACTCCTGTCTTGCATTGCACTAAGTCTTGCACTTGTCACAAACAG
IL2	586.2	500-400	TGCACCTACTTCAAGTTCTACAAAGAAAACACAGGTACAACTGGAGC
II 21D	NM_021	2080-	CGTGTTTGTGGTCAACAGATGACAACAGCCGTCCTCCTAGGGTCTTGTG
IL21K	798.2	2180	TTGCAAGTTGGTCCACAGCATCTCCGGGGGCTTTGTGGGATCAGGGCA
11.22	NM_020	319-/10	CTATCTGATGAAGCAGGTGCTGAACTTCACCCTTGAAGAAGTGCTGTTCCCTC
IL22	525.4	517-417	AATCTGATAGGTTCCAGCCTTATATGCAGGAGGTGGTGCCCTTCCTG

П 23Р	NM_144	710 810	AACTGCAAATTCACCTGGATGATATAGTGATACCTTCTGCAGCCGTCATTTCC
IL23K	701.2	/10-810	AGGGCTGAGACTATAAATGCTACAGTGCCCAAGACCATAATTTATTG
II 2RA	NM_000	1000-	CTTGGTAAGAAGCCGGGAACAGACAACAGAAGTCATGAAGCCCAAGTGAAA
ILZKA	417.1	1100	TCAAAGGTGCTAAATGGTCGCCCAGGAGACATCCGTTGTGCTTGCCTGC
II 2RB	NM_000	1980-	GTCCTGCTGCCCGAGCCAGGAACTGTGTGTGTGTGCAGGGGGGGCAGTAACTCC
ILZKD	878.2	2080	CCAACTCCCTCGTTAATCACAGGATCCCACGAATTTAGGCTCAGAAGC
II 2DC	NM_000	505 605	CCACAGCTGGACTGAACAATCAGTGGATTATAGACATAAGTTCTCCTTGCCTA
IL2KG	206.1	393-093	GTGTGGATGGGCAGAAACGCTACACGTTTCGTGTTCGGAGCCGCTTT
ш 4	NM_000	625 725	GACACTCGCTGCCTGGGTGCGACTGCACAGCAGTTCCACAGGCACAAGCAGC
IL4	589.2	625-725	TGATCCGATTCCTGAAACGGCTCGACAGGAACCTCTGGGGCCTGGCGG
11.4D	NM_000	705 905	ATCATCTCACCTATGCAGTCAACATTTGGAGTGAAAACGACCCGGCAGATTTC
IL4K	418.2	/05-805	AGAATCTATAACGTGACCTACCTAGAACCCTCCCTCCGCATCGCAGC
11.5	NM_000	105 205	CCACAGAAATTCCCACAAGTGCATTGGTGAAAGAGACCTTGGCACTGCTTTCT
IL5	879.2	105-205	ACTCATCGAACTCTGCTGATAGCCAATGAGACTCTGAGGATTCCTGT
ШС	NM_000	220, 220	TGACAAACAAATTCGGTACATCCTCGACGGCATCTCAGCCCTGAGAAAGGAG
IL6	600.1	220-320	ACATGTAACAAGAGTAACATGTGTGAAAGCAGCAAAGAGGCACTGGCA
II 6D	NM_000	993-	CTTTCTACATAGTGTCCATGTGCGTCGCCAGTAGTGTCGGGAGCAAGTTCAGC
ILOK	565.2	1093	AAAACTCAAACCTTTCAGGGTTGTGGAATCTTGCAGCCTGATCCGCC
11 7D	NM_002	1610-	TTGCTTTGACCACTCTTCCTGAGTTCAGTGGCACTCAACATGAGTCAAGAGCA
IL/K	185.2	1710	TCCTGCTTCTACCATGTGGATTTGGTCACAAGGTTTAAGGTGACCCA
шо	NM_000	300-400	AAGTACTAAAGAACAACAAGTGTCCATATTTTTCCTGTGAACAGCCATGCAA
IL9	590.1		CCAAACCACGGCAGGCAACGCGCTGACATTTCTGAAGAGTCTTCTGGA
n ID O	NM_002	50.150	CTATTATAAGATGCTCTGAAAAACTCTTCAGACACTGAGGGGGCACCAGAGGAG
INDO	164.3	50-150	CAGACTACAAGAATGGCACACGCTATGGAAAACTCCTGGACAATCAGT
IDE1	NM_002	510 (10	CTGTGCGAGTGTACCGGATGCTTCCACCTCTCACCAAGAACCAGAGAAAAGA
IKFI	198.1	510-610	AAGAAAGTCGAAGTCCAGCCGAGATGCTAAGAGCAAGGCCAAGAGGAA
IDE2	NM_002	1375-	CAGTACCTGGAGCTTCTCTTTAACTCAGGACTCCAGCCCATTGGTAGACGTGT
IRF2	199.2	1475	GTTTCTAGAGCCTGCTGGATCTCCCAGGGCTACTCACTCA
ID T (	NM_002	225 425	GGGCACTGTTTAAAGGAAAGTTCCGAGAAGGCATCGACAAGCCGGACCCTCC
ІКГ4	460.1	525-425	CACCTGGAAGACGCGCCTGCGGTGCGCTTTGAACAAGAGCAATGACTT
	NM_181	1875-	AAGTGGCAAGACTATAAGGAAAGAGTATGCACAACGTATTCCATCAGGTGGG
IIGAI	501.1	1975	GATGGTAAGACACTGAAATTTTTTGGCCAGTCTATCCACGGAGAAATG
TROAM	NM_000	975-	GCCCACTGCCAACTGGCTCGCCAACGCTTCAGTGATCAATCCCGGGGCGATTT
HGA4	885.4	1075	ACAGATGCAGGATCGGAAAGAATCCCGGCCAGACGTGCGAACAGCTC
ITTCAS	NM_002	925-	AGAAGACTTTGTTGCTGGTGTGCCCAAAGGGAACCTCACTTACGGCTATGTCA
IIGAS	205.2	1025	CCATCCTTAATGGCTCAGACATTCGATCCCTCTACAACTTCTCAGGG
ITCAL	NM_002	3905-	GTGAGGGCTTGTCATTACCAGACGGTTCACCAGCCTCTCTTGGTTTCCTTCC
IIGAL	209.2	4005	GGAAGAAATGTCTGATCTAAATGTGGAGAAACTGTAGTCTCAGGA
ITCD 1	NM_033	2000-	TTTTAACATTACCAAGGTAGAAAGTCGGGACAAATTACCCCAGCCGGTCCAA
TIGBI	666.2	2100	CCTGATCCTGTGTCCCATTGTAAGGAGAAGGATGTTGACGACTGTTGG
ITV	NM_005	3430-	GCCAGTAAAGAAGTCAGTATAGAACCACTAGCGAATAGTGTTGCTCTGGCAC
IIK	546.3	3530	AGACCACTGTGGTTGATGGCATGGCCCTCCAACTTGGAATAGGATTTT
T A 17 1	NM_002	205 205	GAGAACACCAAGCTCTGGTATGCTCCAAATCGCACCATCACCGTTGATGACA
JAKI	227.1	285-385	AGATGTCCCTCCGGCTCCACTACCGGATGAGGTTCTATTTCACCAATT
1.170	NM_004	455 555	CTCCTCCCGCGACGGCAAATGTTCTGAAAAAGACTCTGCATGGGAATGGCCT
JAK2	972.2	455-555	GCCTTACGATGACAGAAATGGAGGGAACATCCACCTCTTCTATATATC

	NM_000	1715-	GTGCTGCTGAAGGTCATGGATGCCAAGCACAAGAACTGCATGGAGTCATTCC
JAK5	215.2	1815	TGGAAGCAGCGAGCTTGATGAGCCAAGTGTCGTACCGGCATCTCGTGC
JunD	NM_002	1155-	GCGCGCCTGGAGGACAAGGTGAAGACGCTCAAGGCCGAGAACGCGGGGCTG
JUILD	229.2	1255	TCGAGTACCGCCGGCCTCCTCCGGGAGCAGGTGGCCCAGCTCAAACAGA
KIR2DL1	NM 014		GCAGGAAACAGAACAGCGAATAGCGAGGACTCTGATGAACAAGACCCTCAG
(NKAT1)/C	218.2	881-981	
D158a	210.2		
KIR2DL2			
(NKAT6)/C	NM_014	814-914	TCTCCTTCATCGCTGGTGCTCCAACAAAAAAAAGCTGCTGCGGTAATGGACCAA
D158b	219.2		GAGTCTGCAGGGAACAGAACAGCGAATAGCGAGGACTCTGATGAACAA
KIR2DL3			
(NKAT2)/C	NM_015	741-841	CTCCGAAACCGGTAACCCCAGACACCTGCATGTTCTGATTGGGACCTCAGTGG
D158b	868.2	,	TCATCATCCTCTTCATCCTCCTCCTCTTTCTTCTCCTTCATCGCTGG
KIP2DI 4 (p	NM 002		GCGTCCTGGCAGCAGAAGCTGCACCATGTCCATGTCACCCACGGTCATCATCC
40 CD1584)	NW1_002	15-115	
49 CD1380)	255.5	1451	
KIR2DL5A	NM_020	1451-	GACACGIGUIGHICCACCHICCUCAIGUIGHICACCHICCUCAGACIAIHI
	535.3	1551	TCCAGCCTTCTGTCAGTCAGCAGTGAAACTTATAAAATTTTTTGTG
KIR2DS1	NM_014	698-798	CTTCACCCACTGAACCAAGCTCCGAAACCGGTAACCCCAGACACCTACATGT
	512.1	0,0,1,0	TCTGATTGGGACCTCAGTGGTCAAAATCCCTTTCACCATCCTCTCT
KIR2DS2	NM 012		
(NKAT5)/C	212.2	856-956	
D158b	512.2		GACCATCAGGAGGIGICATACGCATAATIGGATCACIGIGIIIICACAC
KIR2DS3	NM_012	(02.502	GGCCTTCACCCACTGAACCAAGCTCCAAAACCGGTAACCCCAGACACCTACA
(NKAT7)	313.1	693-793	CGTTCTGATTGGGACCTCAGTGGTCAAACTCCCTTTCACCATCCTCCT
KIR2DS4	NM_012	1427-	ACATACAAGAGGCTGCCTCTTAACACAGCACTTAGACACGTGCTGTTCCACCT
(NKAT8)	314.3	1527	CCCTTCAGACTATCTTTCAGCCTTCTGCCAGCAGTAAAACTTATAAA
KIR2DS5	NM_014	204.204	CTTCCTTCTGCACAGAGAGGGGACGTTTAACCACACTTTGCGCCTCATTGGAG
(NKAT9)	513.2	204-304	AGCACATTGATGGGGTCTCCAAGGGCAACTTCTCCATCGGTCGCATG
KIR3DL1	NM 013	1054-	CCAAATCTGGTAACCCCAGACACCTGCACATTCTGATTGGGACCTCAGTGGTC
(NKAT3/NK	280.2	1154	
B1)	289.2	1154	AICAICEICEICEICEICEICEICEICEICEICEICEICEICEI
KIR3DL2 (	NM_006	004.004	TGCCACCCACGGAGGGACCTACAGATGCTTCGGCTCTTTCCGTGCCCTGCCCT
NKAT4)	737.2	884-984	GCGTGTGGTCAAACTCAAGTGACCCACTGCTTGTTTCTGTCACAGGA
KIR3DL3			
(KIRC1	NM_153	508-608	CCTTGCGCCTCGTTGGACAGCTCCACGATGCGGGTTCCCAGGTCAACTATTCC
CD158z)	443.3		ATGGGTCCCATGACACCTGCCCTTGCAGGGACCTACAGATGCTTTGG
KIR3DS1 (	NM 001	1000-	CTCCAAATCTGGTAACCTCAGACACCTGCACATTCTGATTGGGACCTCAGTGG
NKAT10)	083539.1	1100	TCA & A A TCCCTTTC ACC ATCCTCCTCTTCTTCTCTTC
	NM 000	1100	
KIT	222.1	5-105	TTCTGCTCCTACTGCTTCGCGTCCAGACAGGCTCTTCTCAACCATCT
	NM 005		
KLF10	NWI_003	570-670	
	055.1	1015	
Klf2	NM_016	1015-	GGAAGTITIGCGCGCTUAGACGAGCTUACGUGCUACTACCGAAAGUAUACGGG
	270.2	1115	CUACUGGCCATICCAGTGCCATCIGTGCGATCGTGCCTTCTCGCGCTC
KLF4	NM_004	1980-	CGAGCATTTTCCAGGTCGGACCACCTCGCCTTACACATGAAGAGGCATTTTTA
IXLI 4	235.4	2080	AATCCCAGACAGTGGATATGACCCACACTGCCAGAAGAGAATTCAGT

KI E6	NM_001	1165-	GGGATGCGTGTTCCAGCCAAAGCATGCCGTTCTGCACCCTACCCAGTTGCCTC
ILLI U	008490.1	1265	CAGGGCCTCTCCTTGGAAGGTCTTTTGAGGGCTAAAAAGGTCCTGTA
KLRB1	NM_002	85 185	TGAGTTAAACTTACCCACAGACTCAGGCCCAGAAAGTTCTTCACCTTCATCTC
	258.2	85-185	TTCCTCGGGATGTCTGTCAGGGTTCACCTTGGCATCAATTTGCCCTG
KI DCI	NM_002	225 125	ACCTATCACTGCAAAGATTTACCATCAGCTCCAGAGAAGCTCATTGTTGGGAT
KLKUI	259.3	555-455	CCTGGGAATTATCTGTCTTATCTTAATGGCCTCTGTGGTAACGATAG
KLRD1	NM_002	542 642	AGCCTGCTTCAGCTTCAAAACACAGATGAACTGGATTTTATGAGCTCCAGTCA
(CD94)	262.3	342-042	ACAATTTTACTGGATTGGACTCTCTTACAGTGAGGAGCACACCGCCT
KLDC1	NM_005	45 145	TGCCTACGGCAACCCAAGCCCAGAATGACTATGGACCACAGCAAAAATCTTC
KLKUI	810.3	45-145	CTCTTCCAGGCCTTCTTGTTCTTGCCTTGTGGCAATAGCTTTGGGGGCT
LAID1	NM_002	1195-	GCACCTGAGGGTAGAAAGTCACTCTAGGAAAAGCCTGAAGCAGCCATTTGGA
LAIKI	287.3	1295	AGGCTTCCTGTTGGATTCCTCTTCATCTAGAAAGCCAGCC
LCK	NM_005	1260-	ATTAAGTGGACAGCGCCAGAAGCCATTAACTACGGGACATTCACCATCAAGT
LUK	356.2	1360	CAGATGTGTGGTCTTTTGGGATCCTGCTGACGGAAATTGTCACCCACG
	NM_005	985-	CAGAATGGAATCTCAGACCTTGTGAAGGTGACTCTGACTTCTGAGGAAGAGG
LDIA	566.1	1085	CCCGTTTGAAGAAGAGTGCAGATACACTTTGGGGGGATCCAAAAGGAGC
L of 1	NM_016	1165-	CCGTCACACATCCCATCAGATGTCAACTCCAAACAAGGCATGTCCAGACATC
Len	269.3	1265	CTCCAGCTCCTGATATCCCTACTTTTTATCCCTTGTCTCCGGGTGGTG
LCALS2	NM_002	120 220	CAGCCGTCCGGAGCCAGCCAACGAGCGGAAAATGGCAGACAATTTTTCGCTC
LUALSS	306.2	120-220	CATGATGCGTTATCTGGGTCTGGAAACCCAAACCCTCAAGGATGGCCT
LNIZ	NM_005	4285-	CCTCCAGCCAGAAGTTAAACATCTGGGATATGACGTCTTCATGCCAGGGGCA
LNK	475.2	4385	CTCATTTCTTAGCAGCCTCTCTACATACATCTCTCAGGTGGTGCCAAG
1.0.5202005	NR_026		TGATCACATTCTACCTGGCATTATTTCATCTGAGTCCCTGTCCTAGCCCTTCTG
LOC282997	932.1	665-765	CCCATTAGACTGTAACCTTGTTTAGGGAAAGACCTGTGTCTTACTC
LRP5	NM_002	2515-	TGGACACCAACATGATCGAGTCGTCCAACATGCTGGGTCAGGAGCGGGTCGT
	335.1	2615	GATTGCCGACGATCTCCCGCACCCGTTCGGTCTGACGCAGTACAGCGA
LDDC	NM_002	2185-	CTTAGATTATCCAGAAGGCATGGCAGTAGACTGGCTTGGGAAGAACTTGTAC
LKP6	336.1	2285	TGGGCAGACACAGGAACGAATCGAATTGAGGTGTCAAAGTTGGATGGG
LDDC22	NM_005	3470-	CACCCTGGTGTGGGTTCTCCTGTTCTCTCTGTGCTCTTGCATTCTCTCATTCCCT
LKKC32	512.2	3570	TTTCCTCTATTGAGCAGAGCCTGGAGTTTGAGACTATGGAATCCA
	NM_003	206 406	GAAGACCTGGGGGAAAACACCATGGTTTTATCCACCCTGAGATCTTTGAACA
MADILI	550.2	300-400	ACTTCATCTCCAGCGTGTGGAGGGAGGCTCTGGACTGGA
MADOR 1	NM_002	970-	ACGGAATGGACAGCCGACCTCCCATGGCAATTTTTGAGTTGTTGGATTACATA
MAP2KI	755.2	1070	GTCAACGAGCCTCCTCCAAAACTGCCCAGTGGAGTGTTCAGTCTGGA
MADE 14	NM_001	450 550	TGGGCTCTGGCGCCTATGGCTCTGTGTGTGTGCTGCTTTTGACACAAAAACGGGG
MAPK14	315.1	450-550	TTACGTGTGGCAGTGAAGAAGCTCTCCAGACCATTTCAGTCCATCAT
	NM_002	500 (00	AACGTGCTCCACCGAGATCTAAAGCCCTCCAACCTGCTCATCAACACCACCTG
MAPK3	746.2	580-680	CGACCTTAAGATTTGTGATTTCGGCCTGGCCCGGATTGCCGATCCTG
MADKO	NM_139	945-	TCTCTGTAGATGAAGCTCTCCAACACCCGTACATCAATGTCTGGTATGATCCT
MAPK8	049.1	1045	TCTGAAGCAGAAGCTCCACCACCAAAGATCCCTGACAAGCAGTTAGA
MCL 1	NM_021	1260-	GCTGTAACTTCCTAGAGTTGCACCCTAGCAACCTAGCCAGAAAAGCAAGTGG
MCLI	960.3	1360	CAAGAGGATTATGGCTAACAAGAATAAATACATGGGAAGAGTGCTCCC
	NM_002	210,410	TCCTACAGCAAGCTGCTGTGCGGCCTGCTGGCCGAGCGCCTGCGCATCAGCC
MIF	415.1	319-419	CGGACAGGGTCTACATCAACTATTACGACATGAACGCGGCCAATGTGG
	NM_004	1470-	GACAAGATTGATGCTGCTCTCTTCTGGATGCCCAATGGAAAGACCTACTTCTT
MMP14	995.2	1570	CCGTGGAAACAAGTACTACCGTTTCAACGAAGAGCTCAGGGCAGTGG

MDI	NM_005	805 005	CAGTGGCACTTGGACTGCAATGCTTTACCTTGGACCTGAAGAATGTTACCTGT
MPL	373.2	893-993	CAATGGCAGCAACAGGACCATGCTAGCTCCCAAGGCTTCTTCTACCA
MVB	NM_005	3145-	AACTGTTGCATGGATCCTGTGTTTGCAACTGGGGAGACAGAAACTGTGGTTG
IVI I D	375.2	3245	ATAGCCAGTCACTGCCTTAAGAACATTTGATGCAAGATGGCCAGCACT
Mue	NM_002	1610-	TCGGACACCGAGGAGAATGTCAAGAGGCGAACACACAACGTCTTGGAGCGCC
wiye	467.3	1710	AGAGGAGGAACGAGCTAAAACGGAGCTTTTTTGCCCTGCGTGACCAGA
MVO6	NM_004	6655-	AAGTTGGGGAGATGGCACCTTCTCAGAGGATTGTGAAAAATATGAGGAAGAAA
WI I OO	999.3	6755	CAAAACAGTGCATGTAGGAGCACAGGGCCACACAAAGGCATTCTATTG
	NM_015	8645-	CTGAGAGCCCTTGAAGGACCAGAAAACTGCTTATTCCCACGCTTGATATCTGT
NDEA	678.3	8745	CTCCAGCGAAGGCCACTGTATCATATACTATGAACGAGGGCGATTCA
NCAM1	NM_000	1620-	GGTATTTGCCTATCCCAGTGCCACGATCTCATGGTTTCGGGATGGCCAGCTGC
INCAMI	615.5	1720	TGCCAAGCTCCAATTACAGCAATATCAAGATCTACAACACCCCCTCT
NCI	NM_005	1492-	GAACAGAGATCGATGGGCGATCTATTTCCCTGTACTATACTGGAGAGAAAGG
NCL	381.2	1592	TCAAAATCAAGACTATAGAGGTGGAAAGAATAGCACTTGGAGTGGTGA
NIE A TS	NM_173	3290-	CCCTGACAACTATTCAAACCCAGGACATCTCACAGCCTGGTACTTTTCCAGCA
NFA15	214.1	3390	GTTTCTGCTTCTAGTCAGCTGCCCAACAGCGATGCACTATTGCAGCA
NIE A TC 1	NM_172	2510-	CCAGTACCAGCGTTTCACCTACCTTCCCGCCAACGGTAACGCCATCTTTCTAA
NFAICI	390.1	2610	CCGTAAGCCGTGAACATGAGCGCGTGGGGTGCTTTTTCTAAAGACGC
NEATOO	NM_012	1815-	GACGGACATTGGAAGAAAGAACACGCGGGTGAGACTGGTTTTCCGAGTTCAC
NFATC2	340.3	1915	ATCCCAGAGTCCAGTGGCAGAATCGTCTCTTTACAGACTGCATCTAAC
	NM_004	2190-	GTCCTTGAAGTTCCTCCATATCATAACCCAGCAGTTACAGCTGCAGTGCAGGT
NFATC3	555.2	2290	GCACTTTTATCTTTGCAATGGCAAGAGGAAAAAAAGCCAGTCTCAAC
	NM_002	942-	TATGTGAGTCAGCTTATAGGAAGTACCAAGAACAGTCAAACCCATGGAGACA
NKG2C	260.3	1042	GAAAGTAGAATAGTGGTTGCCAATGTCTCAGGGAGGTTGAAATAGGAG
NKG2D	NM_007	7.00.0.00	GGACCAGGATTTACTTAAACTGGTGAAGTCATATCATTGGATGGGACTAGTA
	360.1	/60-860	CACATTCCAACAAATGGATCTTGGCAGTGGGAAGATGGCTCCATTCTC
NKG2E	NM_002	7.0.0.00	ACTCCTGAGCTCAAGAAATCAACACATCTTGGCCTCCCAAGTTGCTGGGATTA
	261.2	/60-860	CTGACACAAGCCACCGCCCCTGAGTGCTCATGTACCATTTAGCTTGT
NKG2F	NM_013	20,120	TTATATTGGTCAACAGCAAAATGAACATTACTACTCAGCCTCCAACACATGCA
	431.2	29-129	GTTTGCCTATACCAGGGATCCTGTCAAAATATACACCACTTATAGCT
NKp30	NM_147	50.150	GCATCTGTCCTCTCCTCAGGGAGGCAAGCATTTGATGCTCGAGGTCCCTGG
(CD337)	130.1	50-150	CAGTTGTGGTCCTTGGCAAGTGATGTGTGAGTCCCGTGTGTCATAGG
NKp44	NM_004	700.000	CTTCAACAGGTCACGGACCTTCCCTGGACCTCAGTTTCCTCACCTGTAGAGAG
(CD336)	828.3	798-898	AGAAATATTATATCACACTGTTGCAAGGACTAAGATAAGCGATGATG
NKp46	NM_001	145 045	TTTCATGGTTCCAAAGGAAAAGCAAGTGACCATCTGTTGCCAGGGAAATTAT
(CD335)	145457.1	145-245	GGGGCTGTTGAATACCAGCTGCACTTTGAAGGAAGCCTTTTTGCCGTG
NH/ 00	NM_016	075 075	AAAAAGGAAGTTGTTCAAATGCCACTCAGTATGAGGACACTGGAGATCTAAA
NKp80	523.1	2/5-3/5	AGTGAATAATGGCACAAGAAGAAATATAAGTAATAAGGACCTTTGTGC
Noga	NM_000	CO5 505	TTGCCTGGGGTCCATTATGACTCCCAAAAGTTTGACCAGAGGACCCAGGGAC
NOS2	625.4	605-705	AAGCCTACCCCTCCAGATGAGCTTCTACCTCAAGCTATCGAATTTGTC
Notah 1	NM_017	725 925	CTGCCAGGCTTCACCGGCCAGAACTGTGAGGAAAATATCGACGATTGTCCAG
Notenii	617.3	155-655	GAAACAACTGCAAGAACGGGGGGGGCCTGTGTGGACGGCGTGAACACCT
ND2C1	NM_001	1665-	GCTTTCTCCTCTGGCGGGGAGAAGACGATTCATTCCTTTTGGAAGGAA
INK3CI	018077.1	1765	ATGAGGACTGCAAGCCTCTCATTTTACCGGACACTAAACCCAAAATT
ND 4 4 1	NM_002	155 255	CGGCCGGGTAGGGTGCAGCCTGAGGCTTGTTCAGCAGAACAGGTGCAAGCCA
NK4A1	135.3	155-255	CATTGTTGCCAAGACCTGCCTGAAGCCGGATTCTCCCCACTGCCTCCT

NDID1	NM_003	225 125	TGACTCATGGAGAAGAGCTTGGCTCTGATGTGCACCAGGATTCTATTGTTTTA
NKIP I	489.2	333-433	ACTTACCTAGAAGGATTACTAATGCATCAGGCAGCAGGGGGGATCAGG
NT5E	NM_002	1214-	ATTCGGGTTTTGAAATGGATAAACTCATCGCTCAGAAAGTGAGGGGTGTGGA
NIJE	526.2	1314	CGTCGTGGTGGGAGGACACTCCAACACATTTCTTTACACAGGCAATCC
OPTN	NM_001	625 725	TGAAGCTAAATAATCAAGCCATGAAAGGGAGATTTGAGGAGCTTTCGGCCTG
OF IN	008211.1	023-125	GACAGAGAAACAGAAGGAAGAACGCCAGTTTTTTGAGATACAGAGCAA
DOD V7	NM_002	240 440	AGTTGGTGCACAGTGTCTTTGACACCGCAGACTACACCTTCCCTTTGCAGGGG
F2KA/	562.4	340-440	AACTCTTTCTTCGTGATGACAAACTTTCTCAAAACAGAAGGCCAAGA
n <sup>28</sup>	NM_006	507 607	CCCTCTCCCTGCTTGTGCTGCACAGGCTGCTCTGTGAGCACTTCAGGGTCCTG
hao	303.3	307-007	TCCACGGTGCACACGCACTCCTCGGTCAAGAGCGTGCCTGAAAACCT
Dov 5	NM_016	2288-	CTCCAAGAGGAGCACACTTTGGGGAGATGTCCTGGTTTCCTGCCTCCATTTCT
Paxs	734.1	2388	CTGGGACCGATGCAGTATCAGCAGCTCTTTTCCAGATCAAAGAACTC
	NM_005	175 275	CTTCTTCCCAGCCCTGCTCGTGGTGACCGAAGGGGACAACGCCACCTTCACCT
PDCD1	018.1	1/3-2/3	GCAGCTTCTCCAACACATCGGAGAGCTTCGTGCTAAACTGGTACCGC
PDCD1LC2	NM_025	225 225	TGTGGAGCTGTGGCAAGTCCTCATATCAAATACAGAACATGATCTTCCTCCTG
FDCD1L02	239.3	233-333	CTAATGTTGAGCCTGGAATTGCAGCTTCACCAGATAGCAGCTTTATT
DDE2	NM_000	3010-	CTGGCCAACCTTCAGGAATCCTTCATCTCTCACATTGTGGGGGCCTCTGTGCAA
FDE5	921.3	3110	CTCCTATGATTCAGCAGGACTAATGCCTGGAAAATGGGTGGAAGACA
DDE4	NM_001	3855-	AATAATGGTGTATACCCTCATTCTCATTCCTGGGCAGCCCTTCCTT
PDE4	111307.1	3955	GCACCAAAATAATTTCTCCTCCATCCGTACCTTGCCTAGCCTCTCC
DDE7	NM_002	2210-	GTAGCTCAACAAGGAATAGAGGGAGGAGGAGTGTAATTTTGGTAGCTGGTGTTGA
PDE/	604.2	2310	ATAGGGCCTTTGAGAATCAGACTGAACACAGTGAAATATGTGCCCAAA
DDV1	NM_002	1170-	TGGATTGCCCATATCACGTCTTTACGCACAATACTTCCAAGGAGACCTGAAGC
PDKI	610.3	1270	TGTATTCCCTAGAGGGTTACGGGACAGATGCAGTTATCTACATTAAG
DECAMI	NM_000	1365-	ATCTGCACTGCAGGTATTGACAAAGTGGTCAAGAAAAGCAACACAGTCCAGA
PECAMI	442.3	1465	TAGTCGTATGTGAAATGCTCTCCCAGCCCAGGATTTCTTATGATGCCC
DHACTD2	NM_001	8350-	GGCAGAATGCCACTCTACCCTCAGGTCAATTTTATGGTATATGAAAATGCCAG
THACTK2	100164.1	8450	TAATATTTGTGCCACTTGCCAACTCGGGGGGGGGGGGGG
DHC1	NM_004	2905-	ATACAGCTCCACCTACACCGGAATTACATGGCATCAACCCTGTGTTCCTGTCC
rnci	426.2	3005	AGTAATCCCAGCCGTTGGAGTGTAGAGGAGGTGTACGAGTTTATTGC
POP5	NM_015	560 660	GCTTCAGGCCCACTTGTTGAACAGAACAATCTGGGTAGCAACAGCATCTTCCA
1015	918.3	500-000	CAGTTTTCCAAACTGGATAGCTGCCAACCAGCAGACATTACCCACTT
	NM_001	5220-	GGGTGTGTTTGCTATACGAACATAATGGACGTGAAGTGGGGCAGAAACCCAG
ITAKA	001928.2	5320	AACTCAGCATTCAAGGATGCCCAGGAGAGCTGTCCCTGTTTTAAAGAG
	NM_014	1440-	AACTTAACTCCTTGTGCATGGCCTGGCTTGTGGATCATGTATATGCCATCCGC
FFF2KIA	225.3	1540	GAGGCAGCCACCAGCAACCTGAAGAAGCTAGTGGAAAAGTTTGGGAA
DDDM1	NM_182	210 /10	CATCCCTGCCAACCAGGAACTTCTTGTGTGGGTATTGTCGGGACTTTGCAGAAA
FKDIVII	907.1	310-410	GGCTTCACTACCCTTATCCCGGAGAGCTGACAATGATGAATCTCACA
DD F1	NM_005	2120-	ACTGTTTTTCAGGGAGGTGGCTGGGTTTACACGCTAATCCCGATTCACCCTGT
	041.3	2220	CCAAACTGCCTAAGCCCTCCGCCATTCTCAAGCCCTGCAGTCACAGC
PROM1	NM_006	925-	AGCCTGCGGTCATCTCTCAATGACCCTCTGTGCTTGGTGCATCCATC
inolin	017.1	1025	AACCTGCAACAGCATCAGATTGTCTCTAAGCCAGCTGAATAGCAACC
PTGER2	NM_000	1410-	GTCAGAAGGAGCTACAAAACCTACCCTCAGTGAGCATGGTACTTGGCCTTTG
I I OLKZ	956.2	1510	GAGGAACAATCGGCTGCATTGAAGATCCAGCTGCCTATTGATTTAAGC
DTV2	NM_005	1005-	GGTTCAAGCTGGATTATTTCAGTGGAACTGGCAATCGGCCCAGAAGAAGGAA
PTK2	607.3	1105	TCAGTTACCTAACGGACAAGGGCTGCAATCCCACACATCTTGCTGACT

DTDDV	NM_001	4315-	GTGATCAACCGGATTTTTAGGATATGCAATCTAACAAGACCACAGGAAGGTT		
FIFKK	135648.1	4415	ATCTGATGGTGCAACAGTTTCAGTACCTAGGATGGGCTTCTCATCGAG		
DAC1	NM_198	1250-	AAAGACCTTCGTCTTTGAGAAGACGGTAGCTTCTGCAGTTAGGAGGTGCAGA		
KACI	829.1	1350	CACTTGCTCTCCTATGTAGTTCTCAGATGCGTAAAGCAGAACAGCCTC		
PAC2	NM_002	1069-	GCTGCCACAACTTGTGTACCTTCAGGGATGGGGGCTCTTACTCCCTCC		
KAC2	872.3	1169	CAGCTGCTCTAATATCGATGGTCCTGCTTGCCAGAGAGTTCCTCTAC		
DAD46	NM_004	1490-	CTCTTGTGATCGTGTAGTCCCATAGCTGTAAAACCAGAATCACCAGGAGGTTG		
KAF40	323.3	1590	CACCTAGTCAGGAATATTGGGAATGGCCTAGAACAAGGTGTTTGGCA		
DADA	NM_000	115 015	AGCCACCTAGCTGGGGCCCATCTAGGAGTGGCATCTTTTTTGGTGCCCTGAAG		
КАКА	964.2	113-213	GCCAGCTCTGGACCTTCCCAGGAAAAGTGCCAGCTCACAGAACTGCT		
PHOA	NM_001	1230-	GGTACTCTGGTGAGTCACCACTTCAGGGCTTTACTCCGTAACAGATTTTGTTG		
кпоа	664.2	1330	GCATAGCTCTGGGGTGGGCAGTTTTTTGAAAATGGGCTCAACCAGAA		
POPA	NM_134	1715-	AAAATTAACCGAGACACTTTATATGGCCCTGCACAGACCTGGAGCGCCACAC		
KOKA	261.2	1815	ACTGCACATCTTTTGGTGATCGGGGTCAGGCAAAGGAGGGGAAACAAT		
BOBC	NM_001	1350-	CTCATCAATGCCCATCGGCCAGGGCTCCAAGAGAAAAGGAAAGTAGAACAGC		
KUKU	001523.1	1450	TGCAGTACAATCTGGAGCTGGCCTTTCATCATCATCTCTGCAAGACTC		
DUNIX1	NM_001	(25 725	CAGCCATGAAGAACCAGGTTGCAAGATTTAATGACCTCAGGTTTGTCGGTCG		
KUNAI	754.4	035-735	AAGTGGAAGAGGGAAAAGCTTCACTCTGACCATCACTGTCTTCACAAA		
DUNIXO	NM_004	1850-	GAAGCCACAGCAGTTCCCCAACTGTTTTGAATTCTAGTGGCAGAATGGATGA		
KUNX2	348.3	1950	ATCTGTTTGGCGACCATATTGAAATTCCTCAGCAGTGGCCCAGTGGTA		
G100 + 4	NM_002	263-363	CAGGGACAACGAGGTGGACTTCCAAGAGTACTGTGTCTTCCTGTCCTGCATCG		
S100A4	961.2		CCATGATGTGTAACGAATTCTTTGAAGGCTTCCCAGATAAGCAGCCC		
	NM_001	1335-	TTCCGAAATCTACCAGTGGGTACGCGATGAACTGAAACGAGCAGGAATCTCC		
SATBI	131010.1	1435	CAGGCGGTATTTGCACGTGTGGCTTTTAACAGAACTCAGGGCTTGCTT		
G C A DO	NM_003	3374-	TTTTACAGTTAATCCAGGAGAGGGAGTCCTTTGCCAACTGATGACCAACAGTT		
SCAP2	930.3	3474	CCAAGCCAGATAGTCTCGTGAACAGTGACAATACAGAAATAAGGTGT		
SCMI 1	NM_001	925-	GCAACGTATGGTTCTTCTTCAGGGCTCTGCCTTGGCAACCCTCGGGCTGACAG		
SCMLI	037540.1	1025	CATCCACAACACTTACTCAACTGACCATGCTTCTGCAGCACCACCTT		
SCM 2	NM_006	260.460	ATTGGAAGCCCGTGACCCTCGCAATGCCACTTCAGTATGTAT		
SCML2	089.2	300-400	TTGGAATTACTGGGGCCAGGTTACGGTTACGACTGGATGGTAGTGAC		
CEL 11	NM_005	980-	GGGCAATCTAATAGCCCACATGGTTTTGGGTTACAGATACTGGGCTGGCATCG		
SELIL	065.4	1080	GCGTCCTCCAGAGTTGTGAATCTGCCCTGACTCACTATCGTCTTGTT		
CEL I	NM_000	110-210	CTCCCTTTGGGCAAGGACCTGAGACCCTTGTGCTAAGTCAAGAGGCTCAATG		
SELL	655.3	110-210	GGCTGCAGAAGAACTAGAGAAGGACCAAGCAAAGCCATGATATTTCCA		
GEDDNIEG	NM_006	240.240	CGCTGCCTTCCATCTGCTCCCACTTCAATCCTCTGTCTCTCGAGGAACTAGGCT		
SERPINE2	216.2	240-340	CCAACACGGGGATCCAGGTTTTCAATCAGATTGTGAAGTCGAGGCC		
CUD 1	NM_002	1734-	TGGTGCAGACGGAGGCGCAGTACAAGTTCATCTACGTGGCCATCGCCCAGTT		
SHP-1	831.5	1834	CATTGAAACCACTAAGAAGAAGCTGGAGGTCCTGCAGTCGCAGAAGGG		
CITE 1	NM_014	720.020	GCCCCAGCCCCCGTAGCAGGGGCATGACTGTTTCCCAACCAGCACCCAAAG		
SITT	450.2	720-820	ACGGGCGCCATTGCCAAGTCACAGGATGTGATCTACCCCGGACTTCCT		
CI A 2	NM_032	1640-	AAAGGAAAGCTGAGATGATGTCTTACCGTAGCAGCAGATCTTGGATGGTCCA		
SLAZ	214.2	1740	GGCTCTATGTGACCTCCAGAGCAAAGAGAAAGACTTCGGACAGTCTAG		
ST AME1	NM_003	580 680	GTGTCTCTTGATCCATCCGAAGCAGGCCCTCCACGTTATCTAGGAGATCGCTA		
SLAWFI	037.2	380-080	CAAGTTTTATCTGGAGAATCTCACCCTGGGGATACGGGAAAGCAGGA		
CI ANTO	NM_021	015 015	GGGCACTATCATAGTGACCCAAAATCGTAATAGGGAGAGAGA		
SLAMF/	181.3	1.3	GATGGAGGCTACTCCCTGAAGCTCAGCAAACTGAAGAAGAATGACTCA		

SL C2A 1	NM_006	2500-	AGGCTCCATTAGGATTTGCCCCTTCCCATCTCTTCCTACCCAACCACTCAAATT
SLC2AI	516.2	2600	AATCTTTCTTTACCTGAGACCAGTTGGGAGCACTGGAGTGCAGGGA
SMAD3	NM_005	4220-	TTAAAGGACAGTTGAAAAGGGCAAGAGGAAACCAGGGCAGTTCTAGAGGAG
SWADS	902.3	4320	TGCTGGTGACTGGATAGCAGTTTTAAGTGGCGTTCACCTAGTCAACACG
SNA11	NM_005	63 163	GACCACTATGCCGCGCTCTTTCCTCGTCAGGAAGCCCTCCGACCCCAATCGGA
SINAII	985.2	03-103	AGCCTAACTACAGCGAGCTGCAGGACTCTAATCCAGAGTTTACCTTC
50D1	NM_000	25 125	GCCTATAAAGTAGTCGCGGAGACGGGGGGGGGGGGTGCTGGTTTGCGTCGTAGTCTCCTGC
3001	454.4	55-155	AGCGTCTGGGGTTTCCGTTGCAGTCCTCGGAACCAGGACCTCGGCGT
CDI1	NM_003	720 820	CTCCGCAGCGGCGACATGAAGGACAGCATCTGGTGGGTGG
5P11	120.1	/30-830	GGCACCTTCCAGTTCTCGTCCAAGCACAAGGAGGCGCTGGCGCACCGCT
ST A T 1	NM_007	205 205	TTTGCTGTATGCCATCCTCGAGAGCTGTCTAGGTTAACGTTCGCACTCTGTGT
SIAII	315.2	203-303	ATATAACCTCGACAGTCTTGGCACCTAACGTGCTGTGCGTAGCTGCT
ST & T2	NM_139	4535-	AGACTTGGGCTTACCATTGGGTTTAAATCATAGGGACCTAGGGCGAGGGTTC
SIAIS	276.2	4635	AGGGCTTCTCTGGAGCAGATATTGTCAAGTTCATGGCCTTAGGTAGCA
STAT4	NM_003	790 990	AGACAATGGATCAGAGTGACAAGAATAGTGCCATGGTGAATCAGGAAGTTTT
51A14	151.2	/09-009	GACACTGCAGGAAATGCTTAACAGCCTCGATTTCAAGAGAAAGGAGGC
STAT5A	NM_003	3460-	GAGACAGAGAGAGAGAAAGAGAGAGAGTGTGTGGGGTCTATGTAAATGCATCTGT
SIAIJA	152.2	3560	CCTCATGTGTTGATGTAACCGATTCATCTCTCAGAAGGGAGGCTGGGG
STAT5B	NM_012	200 300	AAGGAGAAGCCCTTCATCAGATGCAAGCGTTATATGGCCAGCATTTTCCCATT
SIAISD	448.3	200-300	GAGGTGCGGCATTATTTATCCCAGTGGATTGAAAGCCAAGCATGGGA
State	NM_003	2030-	AGAACATCCAGCCATTCTCTGCCAAAGACCTGTCCATTCGCTCACTGGGGGGAC
Stato	153.3	2130	CGAATCCGGGATCTTGCTCAGCTCAAAAATCTCTATCCCAAGAAGCC
STMN1	NM_203	287-387	CGTGGGTGGCGGCAGGACTTTCCTTATCCCAGTTGATTGTGCAGAATACACTG
5111111	401.1	207-307	CCTGTCGCTTGTCTTCTATTCACCATGGCTTCTTCTGATATCCAGGT
TRY21	NM_013	800-000	ACACAGGAGCGCACTGGATGCGCCAGGAAGTTTCATTTGGGAAACTAAAGCT
IDA21	351.1	870-770	CACAAACAACAAGGGGGGCGTCCAACAATGTGACCCAGATGATTGTGCT
TBY A 2P	NM_001	385-485	CACACGCGCTCCTCCTCACCTTCCTCTGCGGCCTCGTCCTCACCGACTTC
IDAA2K	060.3	565-465	CTGGGGCTGCTGGTGACCGGTACCATCGTGGTGTCCCAGCACGCCG
Tof7	NM_003	2420-	ATTCCATTTCCAGTTCATCTATGGCAGTCCAGCCAGCTCCTGGGCAGCTTGAG
1017	202.2	2520	AGGGCAAACCCAAAACCTCATGACAGCCAGAGCCTGTCTTTCAGCAT
TDGF1	NM_003	1567-	AAGGAAAGAAAACATCTTTAAGGGGAGGAACCAGAGTGCTGAAGGAATGGA
12011	212.2	1667	AGTCCATCTGCGTGTGTGCAGGGAGACTGGGTAGGAAAGAGGAAGCAAA
TDO2	NM_005	0-100	AAGGTCAATGATAGCATCTGCCTAGAGTCAAACCTCCGTGCTTCTCAGACAGT
1002	651.1	0 100	GCCTTTTCACCATGAGTGGGTGCCCATTTTTAGGAAACAACTTTGGA
TEK	NM_000	615-715	CGAGTTCGAGGAGAGGCAATCAGGATACGAACCATGAAGATGCGTCAACAA
ILK	459.2	015-715	GCTTCCTTCCTACCAGCTACTTTAACTATGACTGTGGACAAGGGAGATA
терт	NM_198	2570-	GGCTTCAAGGCTGGGAGGAACATGCGTCGCAAACTCTTTGGGGTCTTGCGGC
TERT	253.1	2670	TGAAGTGTCACAGCCTGTTTCTGGATTTGCAGGTGAACAGCCTCCAGA
TE	NM_001	640-740	CTGCTCCACCCTTAACCAATACTTCGGCTACTCGGGAGCCTTCAAGTGTCTGA
11	063.2	040-740	AGGATGGTGCTGGGGATGTGGCCTTTGTCAAGCACTCGACTATATTT
TFRC	NM_003	1220-	CAGTTTCCACCATCTCGGTCATCAGGATTGCCTAATATACCTGTCCAGACAAT
inte	234.1	1320	CTCCAGAGCTGCTGCAGAAAAGCTGTTTGGGAATATGGAAGGAGACT
TGFA	NM_003	780-880	TGCCACAGACCTTCCTACTTGGCCTGTAATCACCTGTGCAGCCTTTTGTGGGC
10171	236.2	,00,000	CTTCAAAACTCTGTCAAGAACTCCGTCTGCTTGGGGGTTATTCAGTGT
TGFR1	NM_000	1260-	TATATGTTCTTCAACACATCAGAGCTCCGAGAAGCGGTACCTGAACCCGTGTT
TOLDI	660.3	1360	GCTCTCCCGGGCAGAGCTGCGTCTGCTGAGGCTCAAGTTAAAAGTGG

IOFB2      238.2      1225      AGATTTAACATCTCC-AACCCAGCGCATCATCACAGCAGCAGCAGAAGTGTGGAA        TGFBR1      NM_004      4280      GGGGAAATGAGAGCTAGGGAGTAGAGCATGAGCACATCCTTGTGTCTGTGTCT        TGFBR1      NM_005      2610-      CATCGGGGGGGGAACTTCGGCCAGGTCATCCGGGCCATGATCAAGAGAGGCTTCAGCAC        THE1      VAL02      2710      GGCTGAAGATGAACGCACCACCACAAAATGCTGGACATGAGCACATGACCTT        TLR2      XM_003      180-280      CCGCTTAGGTGTGGGGTTGAGGCTTGAGCACTGGACATGCCACAAGACATACTT        TLR3      NM_003      180-280      CCACTATCGACAACCTATGGAGGAGAGGAGAGGAGTGGGACCACAAGAAAATGCAAACCAACC	TCED1	NM_003	1125-	AAGCCAGAGTGCCTGAACAACGGATTGAGCTATATCAGATTCTCAAGTCCAA
TGEBR1      NM_004      4280      GGGGAAATACGACTTAGTAGCATGACATCCTGGTCACCTGGTCCTCTGTCT        TIEI      NM_005      2610-      CATGCGGGGGGGAACTTGGCCACGCTCGCTGCTGCGTGCCCTGAGGC        TIEI      142.2      2710      GGGCTAAGATGAGCCACCACAAAATGCGGGCATGACCAGGACATGGACAGGGAAGGCATGAGACTGG        TILR2      264.3      180-280      CTGCTTTCAACTGGTGTGTGGGGTTGAGGCACGGACATGACACTCAAGAGAGAG	IGFB2	238.2	1225	AGATTTAACATCTCCAACCCAGCGCTACATCGACAGCAAAGTTGTGAA
INFRSF1      612.2      4380      CCACCTGTTTCTTGGAACCTGCTCCTCCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCCGAGCA        THE1      NM_005      2610-      CATCGGGGGGGGGAGTTGGGGCCAGGCAAGCAACCACTCAAAGGAGAAGAGAGAG	TCEPP1	NM_004	4280-	GGGGAAATACGACTTAGTGAGGCATAGACATCCCTGGTCCATCCTTTCTGTCT
THEI      NM_005      2610- 2610- 2642      CATCCGGGGGGGGAACTTCGGCCAGGTCATCCGGGCATGATAGAGAGGACC GGGCTGAAGTGGAGCCAGCCACCAAAATGCGAAAGAGGATGGCCCCCT TLR2        TLR2      NM_003      180-280      CTGCTTCAACTGGTAGTGGGGTCTTGGGGGTCATCATCAGCCACTAAAGGA GGGGTGGTGGGGCCTCCAGGGGCACCCCACGAGGGAAGGCCACCACAAGCAAG	IOFBRI	612.2	4380	CCAGCTGTTTCTTGGAACCTGCTCTCCTGCTTGCTGGTCCCTGACGC
Ins.      44.2      2710      GGGCTGAAGATGAAGGCAAGCCATCAAAATGCTGAAGAATGCCTT        TLR2      NM_003      180-280      CTGCTTTCAACTGGTGTGTGGGGTCTGGGGGGTCTGGGGGCAAATGCCAACAATGCCAACAATGCTCAAGGAAG        TLR8      656.3      2895      CCATCATCGACAACCTCTTGCCAAGGAAGGGATTGGGGATCCGGGAATGG        TNF      SNM_000      1010      AGCAACAAGCCACCACCTCTGGCAAACCTAAGCAAAAGGAAAGCAGGAAGGGATGGGGATCCGGGAATGGGGCTGCAAGA        TNF      SP4.2      1110      CAGTGAAGGCACCACCACTTGGGAAACCTGGGAATCGGGGACTGGGGCCCACGAAGA        TNFRSF18      NM_001      445-545      AGGGGAAATTCAGTTTTGGCTTCCAGTGTACGACTGGGCCCACCCA	TIE1	NM_005	2610-	CATCGGGGAGGGGAACTTCGGCCAGGTCATCCGGGCCATGATCAAGAAGGAC
TLR2      NM_003 264.3      180-280      CTGCTTTCAACTGGTAGTGGGTTGAGCACTGACACACACA	TIET	424.2	2710	GGGCTGAAGATGAACGCAGCCATCAAAATGCTGAAAGAGTATGCCTCT
ILK2      264.3      100-200      GTGGATGGTGTGGGTCTTGGGGGTCATCATCAGCACTCCCAGGCAGG	TI DO	NM_003	100 200	CTGCTTTCAACTGGTAGTTGTGGGGTTGAAGCACTGGACAATGCCACATACTTT
TLR8      NM 138      2795- 666.3      QACAAAACGTTCTCCTTTGTTGAGAGAGAGGATGGATTCGGATTCGG        TNF      SM 000      1010- 594.2      AGCAACAAGCCCCACTTCGAAACTGGAGACTCAACCAAAGCAAAAACAG        TNFRSF18      NM_004      445.545      AGGGGACATCAGGTTCGGCACCACTTAGAAATGTGGGCCTCCAGAA        TNFRSF18      NM_001      835.935      CCCAGCTGAAGGGCACCTGGCGAACTGCGAAACCTTGGACTGGACTGGTGAACTGTGG        TNFRSF18      NM_001      835.935      CCCGGCGGGCCGGGCTTCTACAAACTTGGACGGGAGTGGAACTGGGCACGTGGT        TNFRSF1      NM_001      835.935      CCCGGCGGGGCCGGGCTTCTACAAACTTGGAGCTGGAACTGTGGC        TNFRSF1      NM_001      330.430      CCCGGCGGGGCGGGCTTCTACAAACGAAGGAGGGGGGACCAGGGAAGCAGCTGT        TNFRSF7      242.4      AAGGCTGCTCAGTGTGAACCAGGAAGCTTGGAAGGAGGGGCACAAGGA      AAGGCTGCTCAGTGTGTAACTAAGGGGGGTCTCCTCTCTCT	ILK2	264.3	180-280	GTGGATGGTGTGGGGTCTTGGGGGGTCATCATCAGCCTCTCCAAGGAAG
ILKS      636.3      2895      CCATCATCGACAACCTCATGCAGAGCATCAACCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAAGCAAGGAAAACCAG        TNF      NM_000      1010- 594.2      1110      CAGTGAAGTGCTGGCAACCACTAAAAACCTGGGATTCAGAAATGGGGCCTCCAGAA        TNFRSF18      NM_001      445.54      AGGGAAATTCAAAGGTGCTGCCAAGCTGCAAACCTTGGACAGCACTGCACCCCA        TNFRSF18      NM_001      835.935      CCCAGCTGAAGGGAGCACTGCGCGACTTCGCTACTGCAAGCTGGTGACAGCTGGAAGCGAAGC        TNFRSF4      327.2      CCGTGCGGGCGCGGCGTCTACAACGAGGTGGTCAGCTGAAGCGGAAGCGAGCG	TI DO	NM_138	2795-	GACAAAAACGTTCTCCTTTGTCTAGAGGAGAGGGATTGGGATCCGGGATTGG
TNF      NM_000      1010- S94.2      AGCAACAAGACCACCACTTGGAACCTGGGATCAGGAGATGTGGGCCTGCAA CAGTGAAGTGCGGCAACCACTAAGAATTCAAACTGGGGCCCCGAGAA        TNFRSF18      NM_004      445.545      AGGGAAATTCAGTTTGGCTTCCAGTGTATCGACTGGCCTCGGGACCTCC        TNFRSF18      NM_001      835-935      CCCAGCTGAAGGGCACCACACTGGCAACCTTGGACTGCCCAGGTGGACCTGTC        TNFRSF18      NM_001      835-935      CCCAGCTGGGGGCCGGGCCTTCACTAATAATAGGAGTGGACACCTGTGGACAGCC        TNFRSF18      NM_001      200-300      CCGTGCAGGTGGTGTAACCTCAGGAAGGAGGAGGGGAGG	ILKo	636.3	2895	CCATCATCGACAACCTCATGCAGAGCATCAACCAAAGCAAGAAAACAG
INF      594.2      1110      CAGTGAAGTGCTGGCAACCACTAAGAATTCAAACTGGGGCCTCCAGAA        TNFRSF18      NM_004 195.2      445-545      AGGGGAAATTCAGTTTGGCTCCAGTGTACGACTGCACGCAC	TNE	NM_000	1010-	AGCAACAAGACCACCACTTCGAAACCTGGGATTCAGGAATGTGTGGCCTGCA
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	IINF	594.2	1110	CAGTGAAGTGCTGGCAACCACTAAGAATTCAAACTGGGGCCTCCAGAA
INFRSF18      195.2      443-343      TCCGGGGGCCACGAAGGCACTGCAAACCTTGGACAGACTGCACCCA        TNFRSF1B      NM_001      835-925      CCCAGCTGAAGGGACCTGGCGACTTCCACTTCCAGTTGGACTGATTGTGG        TNFRSF4      NM_003      200-300      CCGTGCCGGGCCTGGCACGCAACGACGGTGGCAGCTCCAACCGACGTGGCAACCGTCAACGACCGGAACCAGCTT        TNFRSF7      NM_001      330-430      CCGGACGGGCCAGGAACATTCCTCGTGAAGGAGTGGACGGAACAACGACCAGCATAGA        TNFRSF7      242.4      330-430      AAGCTGTGAGCCAGGAACATTCCTCGTGAAGGAGGGCCAGGGAACAAGG        TNFRSF9      NM_001      255-355      AGATTTGCAGTCCCTGTCCTCCAAAAGGTGGTAACGGCGGGGGGCCAGGGAAAAGG        TNFSF10      NM_003      115-215      GGGGGGACCCAGCTGGGACAAGGCGGGTGGAACGGCAGGGAGGTGTAACTTACGGGAGGGCAGAAGG        TNFSF14      NM_003      115-215      GGGGGACCCAGCCTGGGACAGGCCGGCGGTGGCACCAGGCCGGGAGAGAGA	TNED CE19	NM_004	115 515	AGGGGAAATTCAGTTTTGGCTTCCAGTGTATCGACTGTGCCTCGGGGACCTTC
TNFRSFIB      NM_001 066.2      835-935      CCCAGCTGAAGGGAGCACTGGCGACTTCGCTTCTCAGTTGGACTGATTGTGG GTGTGACAGCCTTGGGTCTAATAATAGGAGTGGTGAACTGTGTC        TNFRSF4      NM_003 327.2      200-300      CCGGGCGGGCTTCTACAAGAGGGGAGTGGGAGCGGAACCAGCGGAACCAGC CCTGCAGGGGTGTAACCTCAGAGGGGGGGGAGCGAACCAGCGGAACCAGCCAG	INFKSF16	195.2	445-545	TCCGGGGGCCACGAAGGCCACTGCAAACCTTGGACAGACTGCACCCA
INFRSFID      066.2      833-933      GTGTGACAGCCTTGGGTCTACTAATAATAGGAGTGGTGAACTGTGTC        TNFRSF4      NM_003      200-300      CCCTGCGGGCCGGGCTTCTACAACGACGTGGTCAAGCCGGCAAGCAGC        TNFRSF7      NM_001      330-430      CCAGATGTGTGAGCCAGGAACTCCTCGTGAAGGCGGAAGCAGCCAGC	TNEDSEID	NM_001	825 025	CCCAGCTGAAGGGAGCACTGGCGACTTCGCTCTTCCAGTTGGACTGATTGTGG
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	INFROFID	066.2	833-935	GTGTGACAGCCTTGGGTCTACTAATAATAGGAGTGGTGAACTGTGTC
INNSP4      327.2      200-300      CCTGCACGTGGTGTAACCTCAGAAGTGGGAGTGAGCGGAAGCAGCGTGT        TNFRSF7      NM_001      330-430      CCAGATGTGTGAGCCAGGAACATTCCTCGTGAAGGACTGTGACCAGCATAGA        TNFRSF9      NM_001      242.4      330-430      AGGCTGCTCAGTGTGACCATGCATACCTGGGGGGTCTCCTTCTTCTCTC        TNFRSF9      NM_001      255-355      AGATTTGCAGTCCTGTCCTCCAAATAGTTTTCCCAGGCGGGGGTCACGGGAA        TNFSF10      NM_003      115-215      GGGGGGACCAGCCTGGGACAGACCTGGGTGTAACTTACGTGTACTTTACCAGTG        TNFSF14      NM_003      115-215      GGGGGGACCCAGCTTGGGAAAGTCGTGCAAGCCCAGGGGTGTAACTTACGTGTACTTTACCAGTG        TNFSF14      NM_003      115-215      GGGGGACCCAGCTTGGGAAAGTCGTGCACAGCCCAGGAGGTGTAGGCACGGGT        TNFSF14      NM_003      270-370      ATTTTCAGAGCTCTTGGAAAGTCGTGCAAGCCCAGGGGGTGTCAGCCTGACCCAAC        TOX      729.2      4050      TGTTGTTACAGCTTTGCAGGCAGGCGGCTGCGGCGGCGGGGGAAGGACGGGGAAGGCCGG        TP53      NM_000      1330-      GGGGGGACAGGCTCACTCCAGCCCGAAGCAGAGAGGGCCAAAAAGGGCCAAGCC        TRAF1      NM_000      1330-      GGGGGGCACGCCCTGCACTCAGCCCGAAGCAGAAGAAAAGGGCCAACAGCC        TRAF2      NM_001      1325-      GGGGGACGGCTCACTCACCTGAACGCCGAAGCAAAAAGGCCCAACAGAAGGACCCAAGAAG	TNED SE4	NM_003	200, 200	CCGTGCGGGCCGGGCTTCTACAACGACGTGGTCAGCTCCAAGCCGTGCAAGC
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	INFKSF4	327.2	200-300	CCTGCACGTGGTGTAACCTCAGAAGTGGGAGTGAGCGGAAGCAGCTGT
INRRSF/      242.4      330-30      AAGGCTGCTCAGTGTGATCCTTGCATACCGGGGGTCCTCTTCTCCT        TNFRSF9      NM_001      255-355      AGATTTGCAGTCCTGTCTCCAAATAGTTTCTCCAGGCAGG	TNEDSEZ	NM_001	220 420	CCAGATGTGTGAGCCAGGAACATTCCTCGTGAAGGACTGTGACCAGCATAGA
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ΠΝΓΚΟΓΙ	242.4	550-450	AAGGCTGCTCAGTGTGATCCTTGCATACCGGGGGGTCTCCTTCTCTCCT
INFRSP561.4253-33ACCTGTGACATATGCAGGCAGTGTAAAGGTGTTTTCAGGACCAGGAATNFSF10NM_003 810.2115-215GGGGGGACCCAGCCTGGGACAGACCTGCGTGCTGATCGTGATCTTCACAGTG CTCCTGCAGTCTCTCTGTGTGGCTGAACTTACGTGTACTTTACCAACTNFSF14NM_003 807.2270-370ATTTTCAGAAGCCTCTGGAAAGTCGTGCACAGCCCAGGAGTGTGAGCAATTT CGGTTTCCTCTGAGGTTGAAGGACCCAGGCGGTGTCAGCCCTGCTCCATOXNM_0143950- 729.2AATGAGCAGCTTTGACTTGACAGGCGGTTTGTGCAGGAAAGGCACAGTGCCG GGGGAGCAGGGCTCACTCCAGCCACCCGAAGGCACAGGCCCAGGGCTAGAGAGGTTP53NM_0001330- 546.2GGGGAGCAGGGCTCACTCCAGCCACCCGAAGTGCCAAAAAGGGTCAGTCTACCTRAF1NM_0053735- 546.2CGAGTGATGGGTCTAGGCCTGAAACTGAGTGTCAACAGAAGGGCCTGACTCAGACTRAF1NM_0053735- 546.2CGAGTGATGGGTCTAGCCCTGAAACTGAGTGTCTAGCAATAACCTTTGACTRAF1NM_0053735- 725.1CGAGTGATGGGTCTAGCCCTGAAACTGAGTGTCTAGCACAGAAAAGCCTRAF2NM_0141325- 725.1GTGGCCCTTCAACCAGAAGGGCACTTAATGCTGCTGACACAGAAATAACCGGTSLPNM_033 035.3395-495TCGATCAGGGGTCCTCCTGGCCGTCATGCGACAGAAAAGGCCTTAACCCATGCACATAACCTGCTGACGCGCCGCCCAATGCGCAAAAGAAAG	TNEDSEO	NM_001	255-355	AGATTTGCAGTCCCTGTCCTCCAAATAGTTTCTCCAGCGCAGGTGGACAAAGG
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	INFKSF9	561.4	255-555	ACCTGTGACATATGCAGGCAGTGTAAAGGTGTTTTCAGGACCAGGAA
$\frac{118-510}{118-510} = \frac{115-215}{810.2} = \frac{115-215}{118-215} = $		NM_003	115 015	GGGGGGACCCAGCCTGGGACAGACCTGCGTGCTGATCGTGATCTTCACAGTG
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	INFSFIU	810.2	115-215	CTCCTGCAGTCTCTCTGTGTGGCTGTAACTTACGTGTACTTTACCAAC
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	TNECE14	NM_003	270 270	ATTTTCAGAAGCCTCTGGAAAGTCGTGCACAGCCCAGGAGTGTTGAGCAATTT
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	INF5F14	807.2	270-370	CGGTTTCCTCTGAGGTTGAAGGACCCAGGCGTGTCAGCCCTGCTCCA
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		NM_014	3950-	AATGAGCAGCTTTGACTTTGACAGGCGGTTTGTGCAGGAAAGCACAGTGCCG
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	10A	729.2	4050	TGTTGTTTACAGCTTTTCTAGAGCAGCTGTGCGACCAGGGTAGAGAGT
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	TD52	NM_000	1330-	GGGGAGCAGGGCTCACTCCAGCCACCTGAAGTCCAAAAAGGGTCAGTCTACC
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1155	546.2	1430	TCCCGCCATAAAAAACTCATGTTCAAGACAGAAGGGCCTGACTCAGAC
IKAPI658.33835CCTACTCACCGAGTGTTGAGCCCAAGGGGGGATTTGTAGAACAAGCCTRAF2NM_0211325-GTGGCCCTTCAACCAGAAGGTGACCTTAATGCTGCTCGACCAGAATAACCGG138.31425GAGCACGTGATTGACGCCTTCAGGCCCGACGTGACTTCATCCTCTTTTTRAF3NM_1451795-ATATGATGCCCTGCTTCCTTGGCCGTTTAAGCAGAAAGTGACACTCATGCTGATRAF3NM_033395-495TGGATCAGGGGTCCTCTCGACGCCACATTGCCTACGAAAAGTAGCCCTSLPNM_003395-495CCGTCTCTTGTAGCAATCGGCCACATTGCCTACTGAAATCCAGAGGCCTAACCTYK2NM_003485-585TCATCGCTGACAGCTGAGGAAGTCTGCATCCACATTGCACAATAAGTTGGTAT CACTCCTCTTGTAGCAATCAGCAGCAGCAAAATGTGCAGACCVEGFANM_0011325-GAGTCCAACATCACCATGCAGAGTAAAACCTCACAAAGAATGTGAATGCAGACWEE1NM_003 390.25-105TGCGTTTGAGTTGCCGCGAGCCGGGCCAATCGGTTTGCCAACGCAGCCAACGAACAAATGTAGCAGACZAP70NM_0011175-GGAGCTCAAGGACAAGAAGCTCTTCCTGAAGGCGCGATAACCTCCTCATAGCTZAP70NM_0011275GAACATTGAACTTGGCTGCGGCGCAACTTGGCCCAGGGCCAACTTGGCCCAGGCCGGGCCAACTTGGCCCAGGCCGGGCCAACTGGCCCAGGCCGGGCCAACTTGGCCCAGGCCGGGCCAACTTGGCCCAGGGCCAGGCCGGGCCAACTGCGCCAGGGCCAGGCCGGGCCAACTTGGCCCAGGGCCAGGCCGGGCCAACTCCCCCAGGGCCAGGCCGGGCCAACTCCCCCCAGGGCCAACTCCCCCCAGGGCCAACTCCCCCCCC		NM_005	3735-	CGAGTGATGGGTCTAGGCCCTGAAACTGATGTCCTAGCAATAACCTCTTGATC
$\frac{\mathrm{TRAF2}}{\mathrm{TRAF2}} \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	IKAFI	658.3	3835	CCTACTCACCGAGTGTTGAGCCCAAGGGGGGGATTTGTAGAACAAGCC
$\frac{1100}{1100} \frac{1100}{11175} = \frac{1138.3}{1425} = \frac{11425}{1100} = \frac{1100}{11175} = \frac{1100}{1100} = \frac{1100}{100} = $		NM_021	1325-	GTGGCCCTTCAACCAGAAGGTGACCTTAATGCTGCTCGACCAGAATAACCGG
$\frac{\mathrm{TRAF3}}{\mathrm{TRAF3}} \begin{array}{ c c c c c } & \mathrm{NM}\_145 & 1795 \\ & 1795 \\ \hline & 1895 & \mathrm{TGGATCAGGGGTCCTTCTGGACGTCATTGGGAGAAGTGACACTCATGCTGA} \\ & \mathrm{TGGATCAGGGGTCCTCTCGACGTCATTTGGGAGATGCATTCAAGCCC} \\ & \mathrm{NM}\_033 \\ & 035.3 & 395-495 \\ \hline & \mathrm{CCGTCTCTTGTAGCAATCGGCCACATTGCCTTACTGAAATCCAGAGCCTAACC} \\ & \mathrm{TYK2} & \frac{\mathrm{NM}\_003}{331.3} & {485-585} & \frac{\mathrm{CCGTCCTCTGGACAGCTGAGGAAGTCTGCATCCACATTGCAAAAGTTGGTAT} \\ & \mathrm{CACTCCTCCTTGCTTCAATCTCTTTGCCGCACAGTGAGGACATCAGGCCC} \\ & \mathrm{VEGFA} & \frac{\mathrm{NM}\_001}{025366.1} & 1425 & \mathrm{GAGTCCAACATCACCATGCAGACACAAAATGTGAAATGCAGAC} \\ & \mathrm{WEE1} & \frac{\mathrm{NM}\_003}{390.2} & {5-105} & \frac{\mathrm{TGCGTTTGAGTTGCGCGCGAGCCGGGCCAATCGGTTTTGCCAACGCATGCCA} \\ & \mathrm{CGTGCTGGCGAACAATGTAAACACGGAGATCGTGTGCCGGGGCACTT} \\ & \mathrm{ZAP70} & \frac{\mathrm{NM}\_001}{079.3} & 1275 & GACATTGAACTGGCGCGGCCAACTTGCCAGGCCCAGGGCCAGGCCAGGGCCAGGGCCAACTCACCCATAGCTCACACATGACACCCCAACCAA$	IKAF2	138.3	1425	GAGCACGTGATTGACGCCTTCAGGCCCGACGTGACTTCATCCTCTTT
IRAPS725.11895TGGATCAGGGGTCCTCTCGACGTCATTTGGGAGATGCATTCAAGCCCTSLPNM_033 035.3395-495CCGTCTCTTGTAGCAATCGGCCACATTGCCTTACTGAAATCCAGAGCCTAACC TTCAATCCCACCGCCGGCTGCGCGCGCGCCGCCGCCAAAGAAATGTTCGCTYK2NM_003 331.3485-585TCATCGCTGACAGCTGAGGAAGTCTGCATCCACATTGCACATAAAGTTGGTAT CACTCCTCCTTGCTTCAATCTCTTTGCCGCATCAAACCTCACCAAGGCCAGCVEGFANM_0011325-GAGTCCAACATCACCATGCAGAGTTATGCGGATCAAAACCTCACCAAGGCCAGC ACATAGGAGAGATGAGCTTCCTACAGCACAACAAATGTGAATGCAGACWEE1NM_003 390.25-105TGCGTTTGAGTTTGCCGCGAGCCGGGCCAATCGGTTTTGCCAACGCATGCCCA 		NM_145	1795-	ATATGATGCCCTGCTTCCTTGGCCGTTTAAGCAGAAAGTGACACTCATGCTGA
TSLPNM_033 035.3395-495CCGTCTCTTGTAGCAATCGGCCACATTGCCTTACTGAAATCCAGAGCCTAACC TTCAATCCCACCGCCGGCTGCGCGCGCGCGCCGCCAAAGAAATGTTCGCTYK2NM_003 331.3485-585TCATCGCTGACAGCTGAGGAAGTCTGCATCCACATTGCACATAAAGTTGGTAT CACTCCTCCTTGCTTCAATCTCTTTGCCCTCTTCGATGCTCAGGCCCVEGFANM_0011325- 025366.1GAGTCCAACATCACCATGCAGAGTAGCGCAACAACAACAAATGTGAATGCAGACWEE1NM_003 390.25-105TGCGTTTGAGTTTGCCGCGGAGCCGGGCCAATCGGTTTTGCCAACGCATGCCCA CGTGCTGGCGAACAACAAATGTAAACACGGAGATCGTGTGCCGGGCACTTZAP70NM_0011175- 079.3GAGCTCAAGGACAAGAAGCTCTTCCTGCAGGCCAGGCCA	IKAFS	725.1	1895	TGGATCAGGGGTCCTCTCGACGTCATTTGGGAGATGCATTCAAGCCC
ISLP    035.3    395-495    TTCAATCCCACCGCCGGCTGCGCGCGTCGCTCGCCAAAGAAATGTTCGC      TYK2    NM_003    485-585    TCATCGCTGACAGCTGAGGAAGTCTGCATCCACATTGCACATAAAGTTGGTAT      CACTCCTCCTTGCTTCAATCTCTTTGCCCTCTTCGATGCTCAGGCCC    025366.1    1425    GAGTCCAACATCACCATGCAGAGATTATGCGGATCAAACCTCACCAAGGCCAGC      WEE1    NM_003    5-105    TGCGTTTGAGTTTGCCGCGAGCCGGGCCAATCGGTTTTGCCAACGCATGCCCA      ZAP70    NM_001    1175-    GGAGCTCAAGGACAAGAAGCTCTTCCTGAGGCGCGATAACCTCCTCATAGCT      ZAP70    079.3    1275    GACATTGAACTTGGCTGCGGGCAACTTTGGCTCAGTGCGCCAGGGCCAGGGCGTG	TOLD	NM_033	205 405	CCGTCTCTTGTAGCAATCGGCCACATTGCCTTACTGAAATCCAGAGCCTAACC
TYK2    NM_003 331.3    485-585    TCATCGCTGACAGCTGAGGAAGTCTGCATCCACATTGCACATAAAGTTGGTAT CACTCCTCCTTGCTTCAATCTCTTTGCCCTCTCGATGCTCAGGCCC      VEGFA    NM_001    1325-    GAGTCCAACATCACCATGCAGATTATGCGGATCAAACCTCACCAAGGCCAGC      VEGFA    NM_001    1325-    GAGTCCAACATCACCATGCAGATTATGCGGATCAAACCTCACCAAGGCCAGC      WEE1    NM_003    5-105    TGCGTTTGAGTTTGCCGCGAGCCGGGCCAATCGGTTTTGCCAACGCATGCCCA      ZAP70    NM_001    1175-    GGAGCTCAAGGACAAGAAGCTCTTCCTGAAGCGCGATAACCTCCTCATAGCT      ZAP70    079.3    1275    GACATTGAACTTGGCTGCGGGCAACTTTGGCTCAGTGCGCCAGGGCGTG	ISLP	035.3	395-495	TTCAATCCCACCGCCGGCTGCGCGTCGCTCGCCAAAGAAATGTTCGC
1YK2    331.3    485-585    CACTCCTCCTTGCTTCAATCTCTTTGCCCTCTTCGATGCTCAGGCCC      VEGFA    NM_001    1325-    GAGTCCAACATCACCATGCAGATTATGCGGATCAAACCTCACCAAGGCCAGC      025366.1    1425    ACATAGGAGAGATGAGCTTCCTACAGCACAACAAAATGTGAATGCAGAC      WEE1    NM_003    5-105    TGCGTTTGAGTTTGCCGCGAGCCGGGCCAATCGGTTTTGCCAACGCATGCCCA      ZAP70    NM_001    1175-    GGAGCTCAAGGACAAGAAGCTCTTCCTGAAGCGCGATAACCTCCTCATAGCT      ZAP70    079.3    1275    GACATTGAACTTGGCTGCGGGCAACTTTGGCTCAGTGCGCCAGGGCGTG	TY///0	NM_003	405 505	TCATCGCTGACAGCTGAGGAAGTCTGCATCCACATTGCACATAAAGTTGGTAT
VEGFA      NM_001      1325- 025366.1      GAGTCCAACATCACCATGCAGATTATGCGGATCAAACCTCACCAAGGCCAGC        WEE1      NM_003 390.2      5-105      TGCGTTTGAGTTTGCCGCGAGCCGGGCCAATCGGTTTTGCCAACGCATGCCCA CGTGCTGGCGAACAAATGTAAACACGGAGATCGTGTGCCGGGCACTT        ZAP70      NM_001      1175-      GGAGCTCAAGGACAAGAAGGCTCTCCTGCAAGGCGGGCCAATCGCGTGTGCCCAAGGCCGGGCCAGGCGGGCAACTTTGGCCAAGGACAAGAACTTTGGCTGCGGCGAACAAATGTAAACCTCCTCATAGCT        GAACATTGAACTTGGCTGCGGCGAACAATGTGCGCGCGATAACCTCCTCATAGCT      GGAGCTCAAGGACAAGAACTTTGGCTCCAGTGCGCCCAGGGCGTG	11K2	331.3	485-585	CACTCCTCCTTGCTTCAATCTCTTTGCCCTCTTCGATGCTCAGGCCC
VEGFA      025366.1      1425      ACATAGGAGAGATGAGCTTCCTACAGCACAACAAATGTGAATGCAGAC        WEE1      NM_003 390.2      5-105      TGCGTTTGAGTTTGCCGCGAGCCGGGCCAATCGGTTTTGCCAACGCATGCCCA CGTGCTGGCGGAACAAATGTAAACACGGAGATCGTGTGCCGGGCACTT        ZAP70      NM_001      1175-      GGAGCTCAAGGACAAGAAGCTCTTCCTGAAGCGCGATAACCTCCTCATAGCT GACATTGAACTTGGCTGCGGCAACTTTGGCTCAGTGCGCCCAGGGCGTG	VECEA	NM_001	1325-	GAGTCCAACATCACCATGCAGATTATGCGGATCAAACCTCACCAAGGCCAGC
WEE1      NM_003 390.2      TGCGTTTGAGTTTGCCGCGAGCCGGGCCAATCGGTTTTGCCAACGCATGCCCA CGTGCTGGCGAACAAATGTAAACACGGAGATCGTGTGCCGGGCACTT        ZAP70      NM_001 079.3      1175- 1275      GGAGCTCAAGGACAAGAAGCTCTTCCTGAAGCGCGATAACCTCCTCATAGCT GACATTGAACTTGGCTGCGGCAACTTTGGCTCAGTGCGCCCAGGGCGTG	VEGFA	025366.1	1425	ACATAGGAGAGATGAGCTTCCTACAGCACAACAAATGTGAATGCAGAC
WEE1      390.2      5-105      CGTGCTGGCGAACAAATGTAAACACGGAGATCGTGTGCCGGGCACTT        ZAP70      NM_001      1175-      GGAGCTCAAGGACAAGAAGCTCTTCCTGAAGCGCGATAACCTCCTCATAGCT        079.3      1275      GACATTGAACTTGGCTGCGGCAACTTTGGCTCAGTGCCGCCAGGGCGTG	WIEP 1	NM_003	5 105	TGCGTTTGAGTTTGCCGCGAGCCGGGCCAATCGGTTTTGCCAACGCATGCCCA
ZAP70      NM_001      1175-      GGAGCTCAAGGACAAGAAGCTCTTCCTGAAGCGCGATAACCTCCTCATAGCT        079.3      1275      GACATTGAACTTGGCTGCGGCAACTTTGGCTCAGTGCGCCAGGGCGTG	WEEI	390.2	5-105	CGTGCTGGCGAACAAATGTAAACACGGAGATCGTGTGCCGGGCACTT
ZAP/0      079.3      1275      GACATTGAACTTGGCTGCGGCAACTTTGGCTCAGTGCGCCAGGGCGTG		NM_001	1175-	GGAGCTCAAGGACAAGAAGCTCTTCCTGAAGCGCGATAACCTCCTCATAGCT
	ZAP70	079.3	1275	GACATTGAACTTGGCTGCGGCAACTTTGGCTCAGTGCGCCAGGGCGTG

ZNF516	NM_014	4830-	GGTGGGGGACGGCTTCATATACCTCTTCCTCAGTAATGCAAATGCGAGTTTTT
	643.2	4930	GTGGTGGGGGTTAAGGCCCATAACAAAGGATCTTAAACCATGCAGTG
n16	NM_000	975-	AAGCGCACATTCATGTGGGCATTTCTTGCGAGCCTCGCAGCCTCCGGAAGCTG
pro	077.3	1075	TCGACTTCATGACAAGCATTTTGTGAACTAGGGAAGCTCAGGGGGGGT
SHP2	NM_002	4650-	TAGTCCCTAGGTTGCTACGGCTTATCATGTGCTTGGTAAAAGGTGATCGCAGG
5111 2	834.3	4750	TTCTCAGACGAGTTTACTTTACATGAGATGGAATCAGGCAGAGAGGC
CD57/B3GA	NM_018	145 245	CTGGACAGCGACCCCTTCTCAGACTCCAGTTGGGCCGGACTCTCCAAACCTGC
T1	644.3		TTCCGCAATGGGTGGGTTGTGAGTGCTGGTAATGAGGAGCCGTGGGT
CD85/LILR	NM_001	2332-	AGCTGAGAAAACTAAGTCAGAAAGTGCATTAAACTGAATCACAATGTAAATA
B1	081637.1	2432	TTACACATCAAGCGATGAAACTGGAAAACTACAAGCCACGAATGAAT
Nail1	NM_024	1675-	TTAGCAGGAGGCTCTCCTTGCTTGCACTCACCCTTTCTTATTGTCTTGCCCTGC
Nell1	608.2	1775	ATCTGGGGGTCTGAATTTTTGGGAGCAGGCAATATCTGAAGGTGCA
Na:12	NM_145	2570-	GCCCGGTGGTGTGTAGAGAAAAGCTGCTTGTTTACTCCTTAAGTCAATGTATT
Inell2	043.2	2670	GGTGACTGTTGATTTGTTGAACAATTCAGGAATCAAGGGCTGTGGAG
DNIZ	NM_003	590 (90	TCCCGGAGGACCTCCTTCCCGTCTACAAAGAAAAGTGGTGCCGCTTGCAGA
PINK	681.3	580-680	CATTATCACGCCCAACCAGTTTGAGGCCGAGTTACTGAGTGGCCGGAA
DOLDAA	NM_000	3775-	TTCCAAGAAGCCAAAGACTCCTTCGCTTACTGTCTTCCTGTTGGGCCAGTCCG
POLK2A	937.2	3875	CTCGAGATGCTGAGAGAGCCAAGGATATTCTGTGCCGTCTGGAGCAT
DOL D1D	NM_019	3320-	GGAGAACTCGGCCTTAGAATACTTTGGTGAGATGTTAAAGGCTGCTGGCTAC
POLRIB	014.3	3420	AATTTCTATGGCACCGAGAGGTTATATAGTGGCATCAGTGGGCTAGAA
	NM_000	1085-	ACTCCATGAAGGCTGCATGGATCAATCTGTGTCTCTGAGTATCTCTGAAACCT
IL-Talpha	575.3	1185	CTAAAACATCCAAGCTTACCTTCAAGGAGAGCATGGTGGTAGTAGCA
	NM_000		GGGACCAAAGGCGGCCAGGATATAACTGACTTCACCATGCAATTTGTGTCTTC
IL-Ibeta	576.2	840-940	CTAAAGAGAGCTGTACCCAGAGAGTCCTGTGCTGAATGTGGACTCAA
	NM_002	1435-	GCAAGGCTGCAAGTACATCAGTTTTATGACAATCAGGAAGAATGCAGTGTTC
IL-12p40	187.2	1535	TGATACCAGTGCCATCATACACTTGTGATGGATGGGAACGCAAGAGAT
D. ( 1	NM_002	1990-	CCTATGGCATCGTATTGTATGAACTGATGACGGGGGGGGG
Raf-1	880.2	2090	ATCAACAACCGAGATCAGATCATCTTCATGGTGGGCCGAGGATATGC
H 22 10	NM_016	411 511	CAGGGACAACAGTCAGTTCTGCTTGCAAAGGATCCACCAGGGTCTGATTTTTT
IL-23p19	584.2	411-511	ATGAGAAGCTGCTAGGATCGGATATTTTCACAGGGGAGCCTTCTCTG
gBAD-	SCFV00	1 101	AGACAGACACCCTGCTCCTCTGGGTGTCCGGCACCTGTGGCGACATCGTGATG
1R_scfv	1.1	1-101	AGCAGAAGCCCCAGCAGCCTGGCCGTGTCCGTGGGCGAGAAAGTGAC
CD20_scfv_r	SCFV00	0.400	GCTGTCCCAGAGCCCCGCCATCCTGAGCGCCAGCCCTGGCGAGAAGGTGACC
utuximab	2.1	8-108	ATGACCTGCCGGGCCAGCAGCTCTGTGAGCTACATGCACTGGTATCAG
	SCFV00	100 000	CTGATCTACGCCGCCAGCAGCCTGAAGAGCGGCGTGCCCAGCCGGTTTAGCG
c-MET_scfv	4.1	138-238	GCTCTGGCTCTGGCGCCGACTTCACCCTGACCATCAGCAGCCTGCAGC
	SCFV00		TTCACCCTGAACATCCACCCCGTGGAGGAAGAGGACGCCGCCACCTACTACT
CD45R_scfv	6.1	222-322	GCCAGCACAGCAGAGAGCTGCCCTTCACCTTCGGCTCCGGCACCAAGC
Thymidine_k	SCFV00		TCTACGTACCCGAGCCGATGACTTACTGGCAGGTGCTGGGGGGCTTCCGAGAC
inase	7.1	100-200	AATCGCGAACATCTACACCACAACACCGCCTCGACCAGGGTGAGAT
	SCFV00		ATTCAGCGGCTCTGGCTCCGGCACCGACTTCACTCTGATGATCTCTCGGGTGG
CD56R_scfv	8.1	197-297	AGGCCGAGGACCTGGGCGTGTACTACTGCTTTCAGGGCAGCCACGTG
Human_CD1	SCFV00		CTTCACCATCAGCAGCCTGCAGCCCGAGGACATCGCCACCTACTACTGCCAG
9R_scfv	9.1	215-315	CAGTACCAGAGCCTGCCCTACACCTTCGGCCAGGGCACCAAGCTGCAG
	SCFV01		CTGAAGATCGACAGCAGCAACGAGCTGGGCTTCATCGTGAAGCAGGTGTCCA
DECTIN-1R	0.1	270-370	GCCAGCCCGACAACTCCTTCTGGATCGGCCTGAGCAGGCCCCAGACCG

HERV-	SCFV01	127 227	CGGCGGCACCAGCTACAACCAGAAGTTCAAGGACAAGGCCATCCTGACCGTG
K_6H5_scfv	2.1	137-237	GACAAGAGCAGCAGCACCGCCTACATGGAACTGCGGAGCCTGACCAGC
CD19R sefv	SCFV01	204-304	GGCACCGACTACAGCCTGACCATCTCCAACCTGGAGCAGGAGGACATCGCCA
CD19K_SCIV	3.1	204-304	CCTACTTTTGCCAGCAGGGCAACACACTGCCCTACACCTTTGGCGGCG
UED2 cofy	SCFV01	64 164	CCTGCAGCGCCAGCAGCAGCGTGTCCTACATGCACTGGTATCAGCAGAAGTC
HEK2_SCIV	4.1	04-104	CGGCACTAGCCCCAAGCGGTGGATCTACGACACCTACAAGCTCGCCAG
EGFR_scfv_	SCFV01	7 107	AGATGACCCAGAGCCCTAGCAGCCTGAGCGCCAGCGTGGGCGACAGAGTGA
NIMO_CAR	5.1	/-10/	CCATCACCTGCCGGTCCAGCCAGAACATCGTGCACAGCAACGGCAACAC
DDI 27	NM_000	22 122	GGGCCGGGTGGTTGCTGCCGAAATGGGCAAGTTCATGAAACCTGGGAAGGTG
KFL27	988.3	23-123	GTGCTTGTCCTGGCTGGACGCTACTCCGGACGCAAAGCTGTCATCGTG
0471	NM_004	212 412	GGTGGGCGAGGGAATAGTCAGAGGGATCACAATCTTTCAGCTAACTTATTCT
UALI	152.2	515-415	ACTCCGATGATCGGCTGAATGTAACAGAGGAACTAACGTCCAACGACA
GAPDo	NM_002	1160-	GACCAAGTCCTGCATTGGGTGGTTTGGGTAATGAAGGAATTCAGCATGACCG
GADPa	040.3	1260	ATATAGACCTCACCACACTCAACATTTCGGGGAGAGAATTATGTAGTC
VDD 1	NM_005	440 540	GGAGTTAAGACAGCGCTTGGGGGATGGATGCCCTGGTTGCTGAAGAGGAGGCG
ADF-1	080.2	440-540	GAAGCCAAGGGGAATGAAGTGAGGCCAGTGGCCGGGTCTGCTGAGTCC
MDD2	NM_003	2015-	ATTTACATTCAACTCTGATCCCTGGGCCTTAGGTTTGACATGGAGGTGGAGGA
WIDD2	927.3	2115	AGATAGCGCATATATTTGCAGTATGAACTATTGCCTCTGGACGTTGT
D-16h	NM_181	2135-	CTTTATTTGTTCTAGGGCAGCTCTGGGAACATGCGGGATTGTGGAATTGGGTC
BC100	844.3	2235	AGGAACCCTCTCTGGTATTCTGGATGTTGTAGGTTCTCTAGCAGTCT
TOLDD	NM_022	1420-	CAAGGCAGCACGTCCAAAATGCTGTAAAACCATCTTCCCACTCTGTGAGTCCC
TSLP-R	148.2	1520	CAGTTCCGTCCATGTACCTGTTCCATAGCATTGGATTCTCGGAGGAT
	NM_001	800.000	GCACCAACAGAATATGCATCCATATGTGTGAGGAGTTAAGTCTGTTTCTGACT
BILA	085357.1	890-990	CCAACAGGGACCATTGAATGATCAGCATGTTGACATCATTGTCTGGG
	NM_003	916-	CTCAGGGAGCCTCGTCATCGTCATTGTTTGCTCCACAGTTGGCCTAATCATAT
HVEM	820.2	1016	GTGTGAAAAGAAGAAAGCCAAGGGGTGATGTAGTCAAGGTGATCGTC
	NM_002	1435-	CTAACAGGGGCCCAAGGAACCAATTTATCACCCATGACTGAC
LIDK	342.1	1535	AAAAGGCAGAAGAAGGGGGGGGCACAAGGGCACTTTCTCCCTTGAGGCTG
CD42	NM_001	2798-	AAGCCAGGCTTCATGGAAAGATCGTATGTGTGACCCAAATATGAGTTCTTCA
CD43	030288.1	2898	GCTCAGCCATGGTAATCCCTTCCTTGAAGTCTCCATTTCTGCAGTACA
TOD	NM_004	5095-	TTAGTGTTGCTCCTGGGAGTTGATCCGTCTCGGCAACTTGACCATCCTCTGCC
mTOR	958.2	5195	AACAGTTCACCCTCAGGTGACCTATGCCTACATGAAAAACATGTGGA
	NM_006	975-	ATAGTGGTGACCCTCAAGACCAGCTTGCAGTGGCTTATCATCTTATCATTGAC
АМРК	252.2	1075	AATCGGAGAATAATGAACCAAGCCAGTGAGTTCTACCTCGCCTCTAG
GID1	NM_001	527 (27	ACAAGCAACAGTAACTAGTGTCTTGGAATATCTGAGTAATTGGTTTGGAGAA
SIPI	009182.1	537-637	AGAGACTTTACTCCAGAATTGGGAAGATGGCTTTATGCTTTATTGGCT
	NM_004	1525-	GAGCCGAGTGTGGAAGTACGAGGTCACTTACCGCAAGAAGGGAGACTCCAAC
EphA2	431.2	1625	AGCTACAATGTGCGCCGCACCGAGGGTTTCTCCGTGACCCTGGACGAC
	NM_003	100 500	TACCTGATTCATGTAGGAGAATTAAACAGGCCTTTCAAGGAGCTGTGCAAAA
CD254	701.2	490-590	GGAATTACAACATATCGTTGGATCACAGCACATCAGAGCAGAGAAAGC
DCL I	NM_001	260.260	ATCTTGGCTTTGGATCTTAGAAGAGAATCACTAACCAGAGACGAGACTCAGT
BCLXL	191.2	260-360	GAGTGAGCAGGTGTTTTGGACAATGGACTGGTTGAGCCCATCCCTATT
371 1	NM_001	935-	ATTCATTGTCTCAGTGAAGGAAGAACCTGTAGAAGATGACCTCGTTCCGGAG
Xbp1	079539.1	1035	CTGGGTATCTCAAATCTGCTTTCATCCAGCCACTGCCCAAAGCCATCT
Ш 27	NM_145	142 242	CAGGAGCTGCGGAGGGAGTTCACAGTCAGCCTGCATCTCGCCAGGAAGCTGC
IL27	659.3	143-243	TCTCCGAGGTTCGGGGCCAGGCCCACCGCTTTGCGGAATCTCACCTGC

INZED	NM_001	945-	CCATGTACCTCCTATGGAAGATTGTAAGGAACAAGAGCCTATTATGGACAAC		
ΙΚΖΓ2	079526.1	1045	AATATTTCTCTGGTGCCTTTTGAGAGACCTGCTGTCATAGAGAAGCTC		
CNI V	NM_006	205 405	CAGGAGCTGGGCCGTGACTACAGGACCTGTCTGACGATAGTCCAAAAACTGA		
ONL I	433.2	303-403	AGAAGATGGTGGATAAGCCCACCCAGAGAAGTGTTTCCAATGCTGCGA		
NELD	NM_001	2305-	CTTGGGTAACTCTGTTTTGCACCTAGCTGCCAAAGAAGGACATGATAAAGTTC		
INFKD	165412.1	2405	TCAGTATCTTACTCAAGCACAAAAAGGCAGCACTACTTCTTGACCAC		
GADD45alp	NM_001	965 065	GTTACTCCCTACACTGATGCAAGGATTACAGAAACTGATGCCAAGGGGCTGA		
ha	924.2	803-905	GTGAGTTCAACTACATGTTCTGGGGGGCCCGGAGATAGAT		
GADD45bet	NM_015	265 165	TGTGGACCCAGACAGCGTGGTCCTCTGCCTCTTGGCCATTGACGAGGAGGAG		
а	675.2	303-403	GAGGATGACATCGCCCTGCAAATCCACTTCACGCTCATCCAGTCCTTC		
A TE2	NM_001	600 700	GGCTCAGAATGGGAGGACTCCAGAAGATGAGAGAAACCTCTTTATCCAACAG		
AIIS	030287.2	000-700	ATAAAAGAAGGAACATTGCAGAGCTAAGCAGTCGTGGTATGGGGGGCGA		
MAD	NM_002	880-980	GAGAATAAAGCTGCAGGACAGTCACAAGGCGTGTCTTGGTCTCTAAGAGAGT		
MAD	357.2	000-900	GGGCACTGCGGCTGTCTCCTTGAAGGTTCTCCCTGTTGGTTCTGATTA		
Crem	NM_001	260-360	CTCCACCTCCTCGCGTCCGTAATCAGTGACGAGGTCCGCTACGTAAATCCCTT		
Crem	881.2	200 500	TGCGGCGGACAAATGACCATGGAAACAGTTGAATCCCAGCATGATGG		
SOCS1	NM_003	1025-	TTAACTGTATCTGGAGCCAGGACCTGAACTCGCACCTCCTACCTCTTCATGTT		
30031	745.1	1125	TACATATACCCAGTATCTTTGCACAAACCAGGGGTTGGGGGGAGGGTC		
SOC83	NM_003	1870-	GGAGGATGGAGGAGACGGGACATCTTTCACCTCAGGCTCCTGGTAGAGAAGA		
30033	955.3	1970	CAGGGGATTCTACTCTGTGCCTCCTGACTATGTCTGGCTAAGAGATTC		
DUSD16	NM_030	615-715	ATGGGTTTAACTCTCCTTTTGCCAGTCACCACCAGCCTGACCTCATACACTTTT		
205110	640.2		AGTACAATGGAGTGGCTGAGCCTTTGAGCACACCACCATTACATCA		
Dec12	NM_001	221 421	GCATCTTGAGAGGAACAGAAAGGATAAGGATGCTAAATTCCGTCTGATTCTA		
Kps15	017.2	551-451	ATAGAGAGCCGGATTCACCGTTTGGCTCGATATTATAAGACCAAGCGA		
TDD	NM_003	25 125	CGCCGGCTGTTTAACTTCGCTTCCGCTGGCCCATAGTGATCTTTGCAGTGACC		
IBP	194.3	25-125	CAGCAGCATCACTGTTTCTTGGCGTGTGAAGATAACCCAAGGAATTG		
CAPD	NM_000	1155-	ACAACATCGCCTGCGTTATCCTCACCTTCAAGGAGCCCTTTGGCACTGAGGGT		
GOPD	402.2	1255	CGCGGGGGCTATTTCGATGAATTTGGGATCATCCGGGACGTGATGCA		
Dh	NM_001	842 042	AAACAGCCTGTAGGTTTTGTCAGTTTTGACAGTCGCTCAGAAGCAGAGGCTGC		
Kopins	008710.1	042-942	AAAGAATGCTTTGAATGGCATCCGCTTCGATCCTGAAATTCCGCAAA		
VI E7	NM_001	1546-	GTACTATTGAGATCTTTCGCGTCGATCCCAACGGCCTTAGCGGCGGCAGACTG		
KLI /	270943.1	1646	GAATAACACCTTACACCTTTCTGGCCTGCATTTCTGTAGACTTCACT		
Vor2	NM_012	2 871-971	CAGCGCCAGCAGCTGCAAGAAAGCTAACACTTAAGACTCCCACCCTGTGACA		
V dXZ	476.2	0/1-9/1	CTGAGTCCCGAGCACAGCACCTTCCCAGTCTCCTGTGCCCCAGCGGAC		
DUNY2	NM_004	2085-	GTGGTCTCATAATTCCATTTGTGGAGAGAGAACAGGAGGGCCAGATAGAT		
KUNAS	350.1	2185	CCTAGCAGAAGGCATTGAGGTGAGGGATCATTTTGGGTCAGACATCAA		
EDV	NM_017	705 005	CAAAGCAGGCTTCGAGGCCGTTGAGAATGGCACCGTCTGCCGAGGTTGTCCA		
EKK	449.2	/03-003	TCTGGGACTTTCAAGGCCAACCAAGGGGATGAGGCCTGTACCCACTGT		
ITCU	NM_031	155 255	ACTGTGAGAACTTCAGGTTTTCCAACCTATTGGTGGTATGTCTGACAGTGGAT		
ПСН	483.4	155-255	CACAACTTGGTTCAATGGGTAGCCTCACCATGAAATCACAGCTTCAG		
CRIR	NM_170	3195-	TAATGTCGAAGTTGCCCGGAGCATCCTCCGAGAATTTGCCTTCCCTCCAG		
CBLB	662.3	3295	TATCCCCACGTCTAAATCTATAGCAGCCAGAACTGTAGACACCAAAA		
DCVA	NM_001	1375-	TTCCTAACACCCACCCACTTCTCGTCTTTGTCAATCCTAAGAGTGGCGGGAAG		
DGKA	345.4	1475	CAGGGGCAAAGGGTGCTCTGGAAGTTCCAGTATATATAAACCCTCG		
T TT A	NM_000	005 005	CTGATCAAGTCACCGGAGCTTTCAAAGAAGGAATTCTAGGCATCCCAGGGGA		
LTA	595.2	883-983	CCACACCTCCCTGAACCATCCCTGATGTCTGTCTGGCTGAGGATTTCA		

ED1	NM_032	6758-	CCTGAAAAATCAGATTTACAATGCTGAAGGCATTTCTTGGGCCCAGTGTAGCTC		
FOXFT	682.5	6858	ACGCAATCTCTGCTACCCATAAGCCTTGATGAAGATGATACAGTCCG		
CD223	NM_002	1735-	CTTTTGGTGACTGGAGCCTTTGGCTTTCACCTTTGGAGAAGACAGTGGCGACC		
(LAG3)	286.5	1835	AAGACGATTTTCTGCCTTAGAGCAAGGGATTCACCCTCCGCAGGCTC		
CD118	NM_002	2995-	CCTATTGTCCACCCATCATTGAGGAAGAAATACCAAACCCAGCCGCAGATGA		
CD110	310.3	3095	AGCTGGAGGGACTGCACAGGTTATTTACATTGATGTTCAGTCGATGTA		
Tyle	NM_003	800.000	ATGACTCGTCTCCGATATCCAGTTGGGCTGATGGGCAGTTGTTTACCAGCCAC		
IXK	328.1	800-900	AGCTGGGTTTAGCTACGAAAAGTGGGAGATAGATCCATCTGAGTTGG		
Delag	NM_006	1325-	GATGGACGATGATGTTGAGTGCACGATGGTAGAGAAGAGAGTTCTTTCCTTG		
ГІКСЧ	257.2	1425	GCCTGGGAGCATCCGTTTCTGACGCACATGTTTTGTACATTCCAGACC		
STS2	NM_001	1970-	GAGATGCTGCTGTTTCCAGAGGCGTCTTAGTCTCACCCAATGTGATTTGTAGA		
(Ubash3a)	001895.1	2070	AGCACGAGACGCACTTTTATATCCCGGAATATTTCCCTCCGGCTTTC		
PNE125	NM_017	700 800	GCAAGGTGTGTATGTCCCTTTTGTCAGAGGGAACTGTATGAAGACAGCTTGCT		
KNI 125	831.3	790-890	GGATCATTGTATTACTCATCACAGATCGGAACGGAGGCCTGTGTTCT		
Lat	NM_001	1290-	TGTGTAATAGAATAAAGGCCTGCGTGTGTGTCTGTGTTGAGCGTGCGT		
Lat	014987.1	1390	TGCCTGTGTGCGAGTCTGAGTCAGAGATTTGGAGATGTCTCTGTGTG		
Skapl	NM_003	1360-	AAGTGGGAAGAGGCACGTTCATCAAACCTGTTACTAAACCAGCCTAGTCATA		
Зкарт	726.3	1460	GCTCATCCCCATCTCTAAATGTGTCCACACAACCACATCTGCCTTTTC		
Dok2	NM_003	650 750	GCCAGGGACCCAGCTGTACGACTGGCCCTACAGGTTTCTGCGGCGCTTTGGGC		
D0K2	974.2	050-750	GGGACAAGGTAACCTTTTCCTTTGAGGCAGGCCGTCGCTGCGTCTCT		
A win 2	NM_004	1035-	CTTGTCCAGCAAAACTCTGAGGGCCACGGCGAGTGTGAGGTCCACGGAAACT		
AXIII2	655.3	1135	GTTGACAGTGGATACAGGTCCTTCAAGAGGAGCGATCCTGTTAATCCT		
SI-242-	NM_001	241 441	TGCTGGAGCCCAAGCCTCAGGGGTGCTACTTGGTGCGGTTCAGCGAGAGCGC		
Sh2d2a	161443.1	341-441	GGTGACCTTCGTGCTGACTTACAGGAGCCGGACTTGCTGCCGCCACTT		
Klra5	NR_028	414 514	CCTTCAGAGTCACAGAATAGATTAAGGCCTGATGATACTCAAAGGCCTGGGA		
(Ly49E)	045.1	414-514	AAACTGATGACAAAGAATTTTCAGTGCCCTGGCACCTCATTGCAGTGA		
CD7	NM_006	140 540	CCTACACCTGCCAGGCCATCACGGAGGTCAATGTCTACGGCTCCGGCACCCT		
CD/	137.6	440-540	GGTCCTGGTGACAGAGGAACAGTCCCAAGGATGGCACAGATGCTCGGA		
CD11a	NM_000	700 800	CCCCTCAGCCTGTTGGCTTCTGTTCACCAGCTGCAAGGGTTTACATACA		
CDITC	887.3	/00-800	CACCGCCATCCAAAATGTCGTGCACCGATTGTTCCATGCCTCATATG		
Seile	NM_003	1685-	CGGACTCTCCAAAGCACTGCGTGCTGATGAAAACTACTACAAGGCCCAGACC		
Зук	177.3	1785	CATGGAAAGTGGCCTGTCAAGTGGTACGCTCCGGAATGCATCAACTAC		
Lym	NM_002	1285-	TCCTGAAGAGCGATGAAGGTGGCAAAGTGCTGCTTCCAAAGCTCATTGACTTT		
Lyn	350.1	1385	TCTGCTCAGATTGCAGAGGGAATGGCATACATCGAGCGGAAGAACTA		
L at 2	NM_014	1863-	TGCAGAGCTGATTAAACAGTGTTGTGACTGTCTCATGGGAAGAGCTGGGGCC		
Latz	146.3	1963	CAGAGGGACCTTGAGTCAGAAATGTTGCCAGAAAAAGTATCTCCTCCA		
Clult	NM_052	1108-	GAAGGAGAACAAGGATGGTAGTTTCTTGGTCCGAGATTGTTCCACAAAATCC		
Clink	964.2	1208	AAGGAAGAGCCCTATGTTTTGGCTGTGTTTTATGAGAACAAAGTCTAC		
Carl	NM_000	575 675	AGCTGTGCAGCAACCTGATGGACTGGCCGTTCTAGGTATTTTTTGAAGGTTG		
Carz	067.2	373-073	GCAGCGCTAAACCGGGCCTTCAGAAAGTTGTTGATGTGCTGGATTCC		
Eal2	NM_006	250 250	CAATTCAGCAGGATCGAGGAGGTGTTCAAAGAAGTCCAAAACCTCAAGGAAA		
rgiz	682.2	250-550	TCGTAAATAGTCTAAAGAAATCTTGCCAAGACTGCAAGCTGCAGGCTG		
antha i C	NM_001	200.200	TGCTCGGTTATGGGACCACAAGAAAAAAAAGTAGTGGTGTACCTTCAGAAGC		
cathepsinC	1	260-360			
caulepsine	114173.1		TGGATACAGCATATGATGACCTTGGCAATTCTGGCCATTTCACCATCA		
	114173.1 NM_001	1495-	TGGATACAGCATATGATGACCTTGGCAATTCTGGCCATTTCACCATCA GAAGCCGGCGGCCCAAGCCCGACTTGCTGTTTTGTTCTGTGGTTTTCCCCTCC		

Dob21	NM_006	3800-	TTTTGTAAAGAGCTTCCATCTGGGCTGGACCCAGTTCTTGCACATACAAGACA
Kausi	868.3	3900	CCGCTGCAGTCAGCTAGGACCTTTCCGCCATGTATTCTATTCTGTAG
Som/2	NM_005	95 185	AAAGAGGAAATACTCCGCGTGCGCTTGTAGAAGGGGAGTCGTCTCCAGCTCC
Spry2	842.2	63-165	GAACCCCGGAGTGTTCATCAGCGGGGAATCTGGCTCCGAATTCTCTTT
\$10046	NM_014	520-630	TTCCTGGGGGGCCTTGGCTTTGATCTACAATGAAGCCCTCAAGGGCTGAAAATA
3100/10	624.3	337-037	AATAGGGAAGATGGAGACACCCTCTGGGGGGTCCTCTCTGAGTCAAAT
Loolal	NM_002	60.160	GGTGCGCCTGCCCGGGAACATCCTCCTGGACTCAATCATGGCTTGTGGTCTGG
Lgaisi	305.3	00-100	TCGCCAGCAACCTGAATCTCAAACCTGGAGAGTGCCTTCGAGTGCGA
Hmgh?	NM_001	125_225	CTGTCAACATGGGTAAAGGAGACCCCAACAAGCCGCGGGGCAAAATGTCCTC
ningu2	130688.1	123-223	GTACGCCTTCTTCGTGCAGACCTGCCGGGAAGAGCACAAGAAGAAACA
UonV	NM_001	1117-	AACAATAGGAAGCTATGTGTATCTTCTGTGTAAAGCAGTGGCTTCACTGGAA
порх	145460.1	1217	AAATGGTGTGGCTAGCATTTCCCTTTGAGTCATGATGACAGATGGTGT
Dock5	NM_024	630-730	TGCGAGATGACAATGGGAACATCCTAGACCCTGACGAAACCAGCACCATTGC
DOCKS	940.6	050 750	CCTCTTCAAGGCCCATGAGGTGGCCTCCAAAAGGATTGAGGAAAAGAT
Ptnn4	NM_002	705-805	TCGAGGCTTTTTTTCTCCAGCCGAGAGGACGCGGCTGTGATATACGAAGACTT
1 tpii+	830.2	705 005	TGTGTGGACAGTAATGACCTCACGTTTCCGATTGCCTGCTGGCAGAA
PLZF	NM_006	1585-	TCCTGGATAGTTTGCGGCTGAGAATGCACTTACTGGCTCATTCAGCGGGTGCC
1 221	006.4	1685	AAAGCCTTTGTCTGTGATCAGTGCGGTGCACAGTTTTCGAAGGAGGA
Foxo1	NM_002	1526-	TCTCATCACCAACATCATTAACTGTTTCGACCCAGTCCTCACCTGGCACCATG
10/01	015.3	1626	ATGCAGCAGACGCCGTGCTACTCGTTTGCGCCACCAAACACCAGTTT
Foxo3	NM_001	1860-	CCGGAACGTGATGCTTCGCAATGATCCGATGATGTCCTTTGCTGCCCAGCCTA
1 0 0 0 0	455.2	1960	ACCAGGGAAGTTTGGTCAATCAGAACTTGCTCCACCACCAGCACCAA
ID3	NM_002	195-295	AGGAAGCCTGTTTGCAATTTAAGCGGGCTGTGAACGCCCAGGGCCGGCGGGG
105	167.3	175-275	GCAGGGCCGAGGCGGGCCATTTTGAATAAAGAGGCGTGCCTTCCAGGC
7FB2	NM_014	20-120	TCCCAGAGAGAAACTTGGCGATCACGTTTTCACATGATGCTCACGCTCAGGGC
	795.2	20 120	GCTTCAATTATCCCTCCCCACAAAGATAGGTGGCGCGTGTTTCAGGG
SMAD4	NM_005	1370-	AGGTTGCACATAGGCAAAGGTGTGCAGTTGGAATGTAAAGGTGAAGGTGATG
5101 ID 1	359.3	1470	TTTGGGTCAGGTGCCTTAGTGACCACGCGGTCTTTGTACAGAGTTACT
YAP	NM_139	755-855	ATGGGAGCTATGCAGCTGATTGAAGACTTCAGCACACATGTCAGCATTGACT
	118.2	100 000	GCAGCCCTCATAAAACTGTCAAGAAGACTGCCAATGAATTTCCCTGTT
E2A	NM_003	4325-	ATACGTGTCAACACAGCTGGCTGGATGATTGGGACTTTAAAACGACCCTCTTT
	200.2	4425	CAGGTGGATTCAGAGACCTGTCCTGTATATAACAGCACTGTAGCAAT
Nanog	NM_024	1100-	CTACTCCATGAACATGCAACCTGAAGACGTGTGAAGATGAGTGAAACTGATA
1.000	865.2	1200	TTACTCAATTTCAGTCTGGACACTGGCTGAATCCTTCCTCTCCCCCTCC
OCT4	NM_002	1225-	AAGTTCTTCATTCACTAAGGAAGGAATTGGGAACACAAAGGGTGGGGGGCAGG
0011	701.4	1325	GGAGTTTGGGGCAACTGGTTGGAGGGAAGGTGAAGTTCAATGATGCTC
Sox2	NM_003	151-251	CTTAAGCCTTTCCAAAAAATAATAATAACAATCATCGGCGGCGGCGGCAGGATCG
50.12	106.2	101 201	GCCAGAGGAGGAGGGAAGCGCTTTTTTTGATCCTGATTCCAGTTTGCC
TAL1	NM_003	4635-	ACAGCATCTGTAGTCAGCCGACAACTATTTCGGCCTTTTGGGGGGTGGGT
	189.2	4735	CCGTACTTGTGATTTCGATGGTACGTGACCCTCTGCTGAAGACTTGC
ELF1	NM_032	125-225	AGACCCAGTTCACCTGCCCCTTCTGCAACCACGAGAAATCCTGTGATGTGAAA
•	377.3		ATGGACCGTGCCCGCAACACCGGAGTCATCTCTTGTACCGTGTGCCT
SOX13	NM_005	3039-	ATTTATTGAGTGCCCACTACGTGCCAGGCACTGTTGCTGAGTTCCTGTGGGTG
501115	686.2	3139	TGTCTCTCGATGCCACTCCTGCTTCTCTGGGGGGCCTCTTTCTGTGCT
Nrp1	NM_003	370-470	GCCTCGCTGCTTTCTTTTCTCCAAGACGGGCTGAGGATTGTACAGCTCTAGGC
mpi	873.5	510 410	GGAGTTGGGGCTCTTCGGATCGCTTAGATTCTCCTCTTTGCTGCATT

Blk	NM_001	990-	AGCTTCTTGCTCCAATCAACAAGGCCGGCTCCTTTCTTATCAGAGAGAG	
	715.2	1090	ACCAACAAAGGTGCCTTCTCCCTGTCTGTGAAGGATGTCACCACCCA	
CCD10	NM_001	1345-	GAACAGATGGGAACCAGCTCAATTGGGTGTCCACTCAAAGTGCTCTCCAG	
CCKIU	296.3	1445	GGGCCTCAGTGACTGTGTTGCTAAACCCAGTGGTCAGTTCTCAGTTCT	
ITCD7	NM_000	1278-	CAACGTGGTACAGCTCATCATGGATGCTTATAATAGCCTGTCTTCCACCGTGA	
IIOD/	889.1	1378	CCCTTGAACACTCTTCACTCCCTGGGGGTCCACATTTCTTACGAA	
S 5	NM_152	1885-	TAGCCATGCAATGATGGATTTCAATCTGAGTGGAGATTCTGATGGAAGTGCTG	
2022	989.2	1985	GAGTCTCAGAGTCAAGAATTTATAGGGAATCCCGAGGGCGTGGTAGC	
D-1111	NM_022	3420-	GAGATGTAGCACTCATGTCGTCCCGAGTCAAGCGGCCTTTTCTGTGTTGATTT	
BCIIID	898.1	3520	CGGCTTTCATATTACATAAGGGAAACCTTGAGTGGTGGTGCTGGGGGG	
003/4	NM_003	3040-	GTTCACGGTCAAACTGAAATGGATTTGCACGTTGGGGAGCTGGCGGCGGCGG	
SOX4	107.2	3140	CTGCTGGGCCTCCGCCTTCTTTTCTACGTGAAATCAGTGAGGTGAGAC	
Tcf12	NM_207	1105-	CACATGACCGCTTGAGTTATCCTCCACACTCAGTTTCACCAACAGACATAAAC	
	037.1	1205	ACGAGTCTTCCACCAATGTCCAGCTTTCATCGCGGCAGTACCAGCAG	
Dom! 1	NM_001	100 200	CGAGAAAACAAGTGCCATTGCAAATGTTGCCAAAATACAGACACTGGATGCC	
Dapi i	017920.2	190-290	CTGAATGACGCACTGGAGAAGCTCAACTATAAATTTCCAGCAACAGTG	
Taf	NM_003	1037-	CTGAAAGCAGAATACCTGTTTCAAAGAGTCAGCCGGTAACTCCTGAAAAACA	
111	218.3	1137	TCGAGCTAGAAAAAGACAGGCATGGCTTTGGGAAGAAGACAAGAATTT	
Cat1	NM_020	1303-	GATATGGTGATATACTTTAGTGCTTTGTGCCTGCAAATTTCAAGACACCTTCA	
Срп	244.2	1403	TCTAAATATATTCAAGACTGCATGTCATCAAGCACCTGAACAGGTTC	
Dim	NM_138	257 257	CGGACTGAGAAACGCAAGAAAAAAAGACCAAATGGCAAAGCAACCTTCTGA	
DIIII	621.4	237-337	TGTAAGTTCTGAGTGTGACCGAGAAGGTAGACAATTGCAGCCTGCGGAG	
C flin	NM_001	652 752	TAGAGTGCTGATGGCAGAGATTGGTGAGGATTTGGATAAATCTGATGTGTCCT	
C-mp	127183.1	033-733	CATTAATTTTCCTCATGAAGGATTACATGGGCCGAGGCAAGATAAGC	

# Appendix B. Antibodies Used in Dissertation

Antibody specificity	Clone	Vendor
Fc*	H10104	Invitrogen
anti-CD19scFv mAb**	136.20.1	Cooper Lab
ROR1	4A5	Kipps, TJ Lab (UCSD)
CD3	SK7	BD Biosciences
CD4	RPA-T4	BD Biosciences
CD8	RPA-T8	BD Biosciences
CD19	HIB19	BD Biosciences
CD25	M-A251	BD Biosciences
CD27	M-T271	BD Biosciences
CD28	L293	BD Biosciences
CD32	FLI8.26 (2003)	BD Biosciences
CD38	HB7	BD Biosciences
CD45RA	HI100	BD Biosciences
CD45RO	UCHL1	BD Biosciences
CD56	B159	BD Biosciences
CD57	NK-1	BD Biosciences
CD62L	Dreg 56	BD Biosciences

CD64	10.1	BD Biosciences
CD86	2331 FUN-1	BD Biosciences
CD95	DX2	BD Biosciences
CD122	TM-Beta 1	BD Biosciences
CD127	HIL-7R-M21	BD Biosciences
CD137	4B4-1	BD Biosciences
CD137L	C65-485	BD Biosciences
CCR7***	TG8	eBiosciences
CXCR4	12G5	BD Biosciences
CLA	HECA-452	BD Biosciences
CCR4	1G1	BD Biosciences
ICOS	ISA-3	eBiosciences
ICOS-L	MIH12	eBiosciences
OX40	ACT35	BD Biosciences
PD-1	MIH4	BD Biosciences
ΤCRαβ	WT31	BD Biosciences
ΤϹℝγδ	B1	BD Biosciences
ΤϹℝγδ	IMMU510	Thermo Fisher
ΤCRδ1	TS-1	Thermo/Pierce

ΤСRδ2	B6	BD Biosciences
TCRγ9	B3	BD Biosciences
invariant NKT	6B11	BD Biosciences
NMS	015-000-120	Jackson ImmunoResearch
DNAM1	DX11	BD Biosciences
NKG2D	1D11	BD Biosciences
IL15	34559	R&D Systems
IFNγ	4S.B3	BD Biosciences
ΤΝΓα	MAb11	BD Biosciences

- \* To detect CAR expression
- \*\* To detect CD19-specific CAR expression
- \*\*\* Used at 1:67 dilution

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

- <u>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/lcod.htm</u>. 2010. Leading Causes of Death. In FastStats Homepage. National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- Siegel, R., C. DeSantis, K. Virgo, K. Stein, A. Mariotto, T. Smith, D. Cooper, T. Gansler, C. Lerro, S. Fedewa, C. Lin, C. Leach, R. S. Cannady, H. Cho, S. Scoppa, M. Hachey, R. Kirch, A. Jemal, and E. Ward. 2012. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 62:220-241.
- Matasar, M. J., E. K. Ritchie, N. Consedine, C. Magai, and A. I. Neugut. 2006. Incidence rates of the major leukemia subtypes among US Hispanics, Blacks, and non-Hispanic Whites. Leukemia & Lymphoma 47:2365-2370.
- Grupp, S. A., M. Kalos, D. Barrett, R. Aplenc, D. L. Porter, S. R. Rheingold, D. T. Teachey, A. Chew, B. Hauck, J. F. Wright, M. C. Milone, B. L. Levine, and C. H. June. 2013. Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells for acute lymphoid leukemia. The New England Journal of Medicine 368:1509-1518.
- Kochenderfer, J. N., M. E. Dudley, S. A. Feldman, W. H. Wilson, D. E. Spaner,
  I. Maric, M. Stetler-Stevenson, G. Q. Phan, M. S. Hughes, R. M. Sherry, J. C.
  Yang, U. S. Kammula, L. Devillier, R. Carpenter, D. A. Nathan, R. A. Morgan,
  C. Laurencot, and S. A. Rosenberg. 2012. B-cell depletion and remissions of
  malignancy along with cytokine-associated toxicity in a clinical trial of anti CD19 chimeric-antigen-receptor-transduced T cells. Blood 119:2709-2720.
- Brentjens, R. J., I. Riviere, J. H. Park, M. L. Davila, X. Wang, J. Stefanski, C. Taylor, R. Yeh, S. Bartido, O. Borquez-Ojeda, M. Olszewska, Y. Bernal, H.
Pegram, M. Przybylowski, D. Hollyman, Y. Usachenko, D. Pirraglia, J. Hosey,
E. Santos, E. Halton, P. Maslak, D. Scheinberg, J. Jurcic, M. Heaney, G. Heller,
M. Frattini, and M. Sadelain. 2011. Safety and persistence of adoptively
transferred autologous CD19-targeted T cells in patients with relapsed or
chemotherapy refractory B-cell leukemias. Blood 118:4817-4828.

- Porter, D. L., B. L. Levine, M. Kalos, A. Bagg, and C. H. June. 2011. Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells in chronic lymphoid leukemia. The New England Journal of Medicine 365:725-733.
- McNeer, J. L., and E. A. Raetz. 2012. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia in young adults: which treatment? Current Opinion in Oncology 24:487-494.
- Lo Nigro, L. 2013. Biology of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Journal of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology 35:245-252.
- 10. Belson, M., B. Kingsley, and A. Holmes. 2007. Risk factors for acute leukemia in children: a review. Environmental Health Perspectives 115:138-145.
- Cobaleda, C., and I. Sanchez-Garcia. 2009. B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: towards understanding its cellular origin. BioEssays : news and reviews in molecular, cellular and developmental biology 31:600-609.
- Aspland, S. E., H. H. Bendall, and C. Murre. 2001. The role of E2A-PBX1 in leukemogenesis. Oncogene 20:5708-5717.
- Bicocca, V. T., B. H. Chang, B. K. Masouleh, M. Muschen, M. M. Loriaux, B. J. Druker, and J. W. Tyner. 2012. Crosstalk between ROR1 and the Pre-B cell receptor promotes survival of t(1;19) acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer Cell 22:656-667.

- Onciu, M. 2009. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Hematology/Oncology Clinics of North America 23:655-674.
- Faderl, S., S. O'Brien, C. H. Pui, W. Stock, M. Wetzler, D. Hoelzer, and H. M. Kantarjian. 2010. Adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: concepts and strategies. Cancer 116:1165-1176.
- 16. Oliansky, D. M., B. Camitta, P. Gaynon, M. L. Nieder, S. K. Parsons, M. A. Pulsipher, H. Dillon, T. A. Ratko, D. Wall, P. L. McCarthy, Jr., T. Hahn, B. American Society for, and T. Marrow. 2012. Role of cytotoxic therapy with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the treatment of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia: update of the 2005 evidence-based review. Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation : Journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation 18:505-522.
- 17. Pulsipher, M. A., C. Peters, and C. H. Pui. 2011. High-risk pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia: to transplant or not to transplant? Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation : Journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation 17:S137-148.
- Forman, S. J., and J. M. Rowe. 2013. The myth of the second remission of acute leukemia in the adult. Blood 121:1077-1082.
- Borowitz, M. J., M. Devidas, S. P. Hunger, W. P. Bowman, A. J. Carroll, W. L. Carroll, S. Linda, P. L. Martin, D. J. Pullen, D. Viswanatha, C. L. Willman, N. Winick, B. M. Camitta, and G. Children's Oncology. 2008. Clinical significance of minimal residual disease in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia and its

relationship to other prognostic factors: a Children's Oncology Group study. Blood 111:5477-5485.

- 20. Pullen, J., J. J. Shuster, M. Link, M. Borowitz, M. Amylon, A. J. Carroll, V. Land, A. T. Look, B. McIntyre, and B. Camitta. 1999. Significance of commonly used prognostic factors differs for children with T cell acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), as compared to those with B-precursor ALL. A Pediatric Oncology Group (POG) study. Leukemia 13:1696-1707.
- Shimizu, H., H. Handa, N. Hatsumi, S. Takada, T. Saitoh, T. Sakura, S. Miyawaki, and Y. Nojima. 2013. Distinctive disease subgroups according to differentiation stages in adult patients with T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. European Journal of Haematology 90:301-307.
- Fielding, A. K., L. Banerjee, and D. I. Marks. 2012. Recent developments in the management of T-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma. Current Hematologic Malignancy Reports 7:160-169.
- 23. Szczepanski, T., V. H. van der Velden, E. Waanders, R. P. Kuiper, P. Van Vlierberghe, B. Gruhn, C. Eckert, R. Panzer-Grumayer, G. Basso, H. Cave, U. Z. Stadt, D. Campana, A. Schrauder, R. Sutton, E. van Wering, J. P. Meijerink, and J. J. van Dongen. 2011. Late recurrence of childhood T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia frequently represents a second leukemia rather than a relapse: first evidence for genetic predisposition. Journal of Clinical Oncology : Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 29:1643-1649.
- 24. Van Vlierberghe, P., and A. Ferrando. 2012. The molecular basis of T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. The Journal of clinical investigation 122:3398-3406.

- 25. McGregor, S., J. McNeer, and S. Gurbuxani. 2012. Beyond the 2008 World Health Organization classification: the role of the hematopathology laboratory in the diagnosis and management of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Seminars in Diagnostic Pathology 29:2-11.
- Tam, C. S., and M. J. Keating. 2010. Chemoimmunotherapy of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Nature reviews. Clinical Oncology 7:521-532.
- Chiorazzi, N., K. R. Rai, and M. Ferrarini. 2005. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia. The New England Journal of Medicine 352:804-815.
- 28. Binet, J. L., F. Caligaris-Cappio, D. Catovsky, B. Cheson, T. Davis, G. Dighiero, H. Dohner, M. Hallek, P. Hillmen, M. Keating, E. Montserrat, T. J. Kipps, K. Rai, and L. International Workshop on Chronic Lymphocytic. 2006. Perspectives on the use of new diagnostic tools in the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood 107:859-861.
- 29. Yee, K. W., and S. M. O'Brien. 2006. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia: diagnosis and treatment. Mayo Clinic proceedings. Mayo Clinic 81:1105-1129.
- 30. Wierda, W. G., T. J. Kipps, and M. J. Keating. 2005. Novel immune-based treatment strategies for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Journal of Clinical Oncology : Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 23:6325-6332.
- Cooper, L. J., Z. Al-Kadhimi, D. DiGiusto, M. Kalos, D. Colcher, A. Raubitschek, S. J. Forman, and M. C. Jensen. 2004. Development and application of CD19-specific T cells for adoptive immunotherapy of B cell malignancies. Blood Cells Mol Dis 33:83-89.

- 32. Kalos, M., B. L. Levine, D. L. Porter, S. Katz, S. A. Grupp, A. Bagg, and C. H. June. 2011. T cells with chimeric antigen receptors have potent antitumor effects and can establish memory in patients with advanced leukemia. Science Translational Medicine 3:95ra73.
- Rauh-Hain, J. A., T. C. Krivak, M. G. Del Carmen, and A. B. Olawaiye. 2011.
   Ovarian cancer screening and early detection in the general population. Rev
   Obstet Gynecol 4:15-21.
- Lowe, K. A., V. M. Chia, A. Taylor, C. O'Malley, M. Kelsh, M. Mohamed, F. S. Mowat, and B. Goff. 2013. An international assessment of ovarian cancer incidence and mortality. Gynecologic Oncology.
- 35. Baldwin, L. A., B. Huang, R. W. Miller, T. Tucker, S. T. Goodrich, I. Podzielinski, C. P. DeSimone, F. R. Ueland, J. R. van Nagell, and L. G. Seamon. 2012. Ten-year relative survival for epithelial ovarian cancer. Obstetrics and Gynecology 120:612-618.
- Pignata, S., L. Cannella, D. Leopardo, C. Pisano, G. S. Bruni, and G. Facchini.
   2011. Chemotherapy in epithelial ovarian cancer. Cancer Letters 303:73-83.
- Lengyel, E. 2010. Ovarian cancer development and metastasis. The American journal of pathology 177:1053-1064.
- Moore, R. G., S. MacLaughlan, and R. C. Bast, Jr. 2010. Current state of biomarker development for clinical application in epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecologic Oncology 116:240-245.

- 39. Mould, T. 2012. An overview of current diagnosis and treatment in ovarian cancer. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer : Official Journal of the International Gynecological Cancer Society 22 Suppl 1:S2-4.
- Le, X. F., and R. C. Bast, Jr. 2011. Src family kinases and paclitaxel sensitivity. Cancer Biology & Therapy 12:260-269.
- Brahmer, J. R., S. S. Tykodi, L. Q. Chow, W. J. Hwu, S. L. Topalian, P. Hwu, C. G. Drake, L. H. Camacho, J. Kauh, K. Odunsi, H. C. Pitot, O. Hamid, S. Bhatia, R. Martins, K. Eaton, S. Chen, T. M. Salay, S. Alaparthy, J. F. Grosso, A. J. Korman, S. M. Parker, S. Agrawal, S. M. Goldberg, D. M. Pardoll, A. Gupta, and J. M. Wigginton. 2012. Safety and activity of anti-PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced cancer. The New England Journal of Medicine 366:2455-2465.
- 42. Kershaw, M. H., J. A. Westwood, L. L. Parker, G. Wang, Z. Eshhar, S. A. Mavroukakis, D. E. White, J. R. Wunderlich, S. Canevari, L. Rogers-Freezer, C. C. Chen, J. C. Yang, S. A. Rosenberg, and P. Hwu. 2006. A phase I study on adoptive immunotherapy using gene-modified T cells for ovarian cancer. Clinical Cancer Research : An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 12:6106-6115.
- 43. Fujita, K., H. Ikarashi, K. Takakuwa, S. Kodama, A. Tokunaga, T. Takahashi, and K. Tanaka. 1995. Prolonged disease-free period in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer after adoptive transfer of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. Clinical Cancer Research : An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 1:501-507.

- 44. Hung, C. F., T. C. Wu, A. Monie, and R. Roden. 2008. Antigen-specific immunotherapy of cervical and ovarian cancer. Immunological Reviews 222:43-69.
- 45. Saif, M. W. 2013. Advancements in the management of pancreatic cancer: 2013.JOP : Journal of the Pancreas 14:112-118.
- Sharma, C., K. M. Eltawil, P. D. Renfrew, M. J. Walsh, and M. Molinari. 2011.
   Advances in diagnosis, treatment and palliation of pancreatic carcinoma: 1990-2010. World Journal of Gastroenterology : WJG 17:867-897.
- 47. DiMagno, E. P. 1999. Pancreatic cancer: clinical presentation, pitfalls and early clues. Annals of Oncology : Official Journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology / ESMO 10 Suppl 4:140-142.
- Paulson, A. S., H. S. Tran Cao, M. A. Tempero, and A. M. Lowy. 2013. Therapeutic advances in pancreatic cancer. Gastroenterology 144:1316-1326.
- 49. Di Marco, M., R. Di Cicilia, M. Macchini, E. Nobili, S. Vecchiarelli, G. Brandi, and G. Biasco. 2010. Metastatic pancreatic cancer: is gemcitabine still the best standard treatment? (Review). Oncology Reports 23:1183-1192.
- 50. el-Kamar, F. G., M. L. Grossbard, and P. S. Kozuch. 2003. Metastatic pancreatic cancer: emerging strategies in chemotherapy and palliative care. Oncologist 8:18-34.
- Koido, S., S. Homma, A. Takahara, Y. Namiki, S. Tsukinaga, J. Mitobe, S. Odahara, T. Yukawa, H. Matsudaira, K. Nagatsuma, K. Uchiyama, K. Satoh, M. Ito, H. Komita, H. Arakawa, T. Ohkusa, J. Gong, and H. Tajiri. 2011. Current

immunotherapeutic approaches in pancreatic cancer. Clinical & Developmental Immunology 2011:267539.

- Plate, J. M. 2012. Advances in therapeutic vaccines for pancreatic cancer. Discovery Medicine 14:89-94.
- Gordan, J. D., and R. H. Vonderheide. 2002. Universal tumor antigens as targets for immunotherapy. Cytotherapy 4:317-327.
- Offringa, R. 2009. Antigen choice in adoptive T-cell therapy of cancer. Current Opinion in Immunology 21:190-199.
- 55. Zhou, G., and H. Levitsky. 2012. Towards curative cancer immunotherapy: overcoming posttherapy tumor escape. Clinical & Developmental Immunology 2012:124187.
- Kochenderfer, J. N., W. H. Wilson, J. E. Janik, M. E. Dudley, M. Stetler-Stevenson, S. A. Feldman, I. Maric, M. Raffeld, D. A. Nathan, B. J. Lanier, R. A. Morgan, and S. A. Rosenberg. 2010. Eradication of B-lineage cells and regression of lymphoma in a patient treated with autologous T cells genetically engineered to recognize CD19. Blood 116:4099-4102.
- 57. Singh, H., M. J. Figliola, M. J. Dawson, H. Huls, S. Olivares, K. Switzer, T. Mi, S. Maiti, P. Kebriaei, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2011. Reprogramming CD19-Specific T Cells with IL-21 Signaling Can Improve Adoptive Immunotherapy of B-Lineage Malignancies. Cancer Research 71:3516-3527.
- Chaplin, D. D. 2010. Overview of the immune response. J Allergy Clin Immunol 125:S3-23.

- 59. Boackle, S. A., M. A. Morris, V. M. Holers, and D. R. Karp. 1998. Complement opsonization is required for presentation of immune complexes by resting peripheral blood B cells. Journal of Immunology 161:6537-6543.
- 60. Rickert, R. C. 2005. Regulation of B lymphocyte activation by complement C3 and the B cell coreceptor complex. Current Opinion in Immunology 17:237-243.
- Cherukuri, A., T. Shoham, H. W. Sohn, S. Levy, S. Brooks, R. Carter, and S. K. Pierce. 2004. The tetraspanin CD81 is necessary for partitioning of coligated CD19/CD21-B cell antigen receptor complexes into signaling-active lipid rafts. Journal of Immunology 172:370-380.
- Carter, R. H., Y. Wang, and S. Brooks. 2002. Role of CD19 signal transduction in B cell biology. Immunologic Research 26:45-54.
- Jolles, S., W. A. Sewell, and S. A. Misbah. 2005. Clinical uses of intravenous immunoglobulin. Clin Exp Immunol 142:1-11.
- Rebagay, G., S. Yan, C. Liu, and N. K. Cheung. 2012. ROR1 and ROR2 in Human Malignancies: Potentials for Targeted Therapy. Frontiers in Oncology 2:34.
- 65. Baskar, S., K. Y. Kwong, T. Hofer, J. M. Levy, M. G. Kennedy, E. Lee, L. M. Staudt, W. H. Wilson, A. Wiestner, and C. Rader. 2008. Unique cell surface expression of receptor tyrosine kinase ROR1 in human B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Clinical Cancer Research : An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 14:396-404.
- 66. Broome, H. E., L. Z. Rassenti, H. Y. Wang, L. M. Meyer, and T. J. Kipps. 2011. ROR1 is expressed on hematogones (non-neoplastic human B-lymphocyte

precursors) and a minority of precursor-B acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia Research 35:1390-1394.

- 67. Zhang, S., L. Chen, J. Wang-Rodriguez, L. Zhang, B. Cui, W. Frankel, R. Wu, and T. J. Kipps. 2012. The onco-embryonic antigen ROR1 is expressed by a variety of human cancers. The American Journal of Pathology 181:1903-1910.
- Masiakowski, P., and R. D. Carroll. 1992. A novel family of cell surface receptors with tyrosine kinase-like domain. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 267:26181-26190.
- Nomi, M., I. Oishi, S. Kani, H. Suzuki, T. Matsuda, A. Yoda, M. Kitamura, K. Itoh, S. Takeuchi, K. Takeda, S. Akira, M. Ikeya, S. Takada, and Y. Minami. 2001. Loss of mRor1 enhances the heart and skeletal abnormalities in mRor2-deficient mice: redundant and pleiotropic functions of mRor1 and mRor2 receptor tyrosine kinases. Molecular and Cellular Biology 21:8329-8335.
- 70. Takeuchi, S., K. Takeda, I. Oishi, M. Nomi, M. Ikeya, K. Itoh, S. Tamura, T. Ueda, T. Hatta, H. Otani, T. Terashima, S. Takada, H. Yamamura, S. Akira, and Y. Minami. 2000. Mouse Ror2 receptor tyrosine kinase is required for the heart development and limb formation. Genes to Cells : Devoted to Molecular & Cellular Mechanisms 5:71-78.
- Reddy, U. R., S. Phatak, C. Allen, L. M. Nycum, E. P. Sulman, P. S. White, and J. A. Biegel. 1997. Localization of the human Ror1 gene (NTRKR1) to chromosome 1p31-p32 by fluorescence in situ hybridization and somatic cell hybrid analysis. Genomics 41:283-285.

- Schwabe, G. C., B. Trepczik, K. Suring, N. Brieske, A. S. Tucker, P. T. Sharpe,
  Y. Minami, and S. Mundlos. 2004. Ror2 knockout mouse as a model for the developmental pathology of autosomal recessive Robinow syndrome. Developmental Dynamics : An Official Publication of the American Association of Anatomists 229:400-410.
- van Bokhoven, H., J. Celli, H. Kayserili, E. van Beusekom, S. Balci, W. Brussel,
  F. Skovby, B. Kerr, E. F. Percin, N. Akarsu, and H. G. Brunner. 2000. Mutation of the gene encoding the ROR2 tyrosine kinase causes autosomal recessive Robinow syndrome. Nature Genetics 25:423-426.
- Oldridge, M., A. M. Fortuna, M. Maringa, P. Propping, S. Mansour, C. Pollitt,
  T. M. DeChiara, R. B. Kimble, D. M. Valenzuela, G. D. Yancopoulos, and A. O.
  Wilkie. 2000. Dominant mutations in ROR2, encoding an orphan receptor
  tyrosine kinase, cause brachydactyly type B. Nature Genetics 24:275-278.
- Fukuda, T., L. Chen, T. Endo, L. Tang, D. Lu, J. E. Castro, G. F. Widhopf, 2nd,
  L. Z. Rassenti, M. J. Cantwell, C. E. Prussak, D. A. Carson, and T. J. Kipps.
  2008. Antisera induced by infusions of autologous Ad-CD154-leukemia B cells
  identify ROR1 as an oncofetal antigen and receptor for Wnt5a. Proceedings of
  the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105:30473052.
- Daneshmanesh, A. H., E. Mikaelsson, M. Jeddi-Tehrani, A. A. Bayat, R. Ghods,
   M. Ostadkarampour, M. Akhondi, S. Lagercrantz, C. Larsson, A. Osterborg, F.
   Shokri, H. Mellstedt, and H. Rabbani. 2008. Ror1, a cell surface receptor

tyrosine kinase is expressed in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and may serve as a putative target for therapy. International Journal of Cancer 123:1190-1195.

- Hudecek, M., T. M. Schmitt, S. Baskar, M. T. Lupo-Stanghellini, T. Nishida, T. N. Yamamoto, M. Bleakley, C. J. Turtle, W. C. Chang, H. A. Greisman, B. Wood, D. G. Maloney, M. C. Jensen, C. Rader, and S. R. Riddell. 2010. The B-cell tumor-associated antigen ROR1 can be targeted with T cells modified to express a ROR1-specific chimeric antigen receptor. Blood 116:4532-4541.
- 78. Gentile, A., L. Lazzari, S. Benvenuti, L. Trusolino, and P. M. Comoglio. 2011. Ror1 is a pseudokinase that is crucial for Met-driven tumorigenesis. Cancer Research 71:3132-3141.
- 79. Zhang, S., L. Chen, B. Cui, H. Y. Chuang, J. Yu, J. Wang-Rodriguez, L. Tang,
  G. Chen, G. W. Basak, and T. J. Kipps. 2012. ROR1 is expressed in human breast cancer and associated with enhanced tumor-cell growth. PloS One 7:e31127.
- 80. Hojjat-Farsangi, M., F. Ghaemimanesh, A. H. Daneshmanesh, A. A. Bayat, J. Mahmoudian, M. Jeddi-Tehrani, H. Rabbani, and H. Mellstedt. 2013. Inhibition of the Receptor Tyrosine Kinase ROR1 by Anti-ROR1 Monoclonal Antibodies and siRNA Induced Apoptosis of Melanoma Cells. PloS One 8:e61167.
- 81. Dave, H., M. R. Anver, D. O. Butcher, P. Brown, J. Khan, A. S. Wayne, S. Baskar, and C. Rader. 2012. Restricted cell surface expression of receptor tyrosine kinase ROR1 in pediatric B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia suggests targetability with therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. PloS One 7:e52655.

- 82. Li, P., D. Harris, Z. Liu, J. Liu, M. Keating, and Z. Estrov. 2010. Stat3 activates the receptor tyrosine kinase like orphan receptor-1 gene in chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells. PloS One 5:e11859.
- Medzhitov, R., and C. Janeway, Jr. 2000. Innate immunity. The New England Journal of Medicine 343:338-344.
- Hoebe, K., E. Janssen, and B. Beutler. 2004. The interface between innate and adaptive immunity. Nature Immunology 5:971-974.
- 85. Schenten, D., and R. Medzhitov. 2011. The control of adaptive immune responses by the innate immune system. Advances in Immunology 109:87-124.
- Vesely, M. D., M. H. Kershaw, R. D. Schreiber, and M. J. Smyth. 2011. Natural innate and adaptive immunity to cancer. Annual Review of Immunology 29:235-271.
- Janeway, C. A., Jr., and R. Medzhitov. 2002. Innate immune recognition. Annual review of Immunology 20:197-216.
- Clem, A. S. 2011. Fundamentals of vaccine immunology. Journal of Global Infectious Diseases 3:73-78.
- Elgueta, R., V. C. de Vries, and R. J. Noelle. 2010. The immortality of humoral immunity. Immunological Reviews 236:139-150.
- Koretzky, G. A. 2010. Multiple roles of CD4 and CD8 in T cell activation.
   Journal of Immunology 185:2643-2644.
- 91. Nishana, M., and S. C. Raghavan. 2012. Role of recombination activating genes in the generation of antigen receptor diversity and beyond. Immunology 137:271-281.

- 92. Kreslavsky, T., M. Gleimer, A. I. Garbe, and H. von Boehmer. 2010. alphabeta versus gammadelta fate choice: counting the T-cell lineages at the branch point. Immunological Reviews 238:169-181.
- 93. Mackelprang, R., C. S. Carlson, L. Subrahmanyan, R. J. Livingston, M. A. Eberle, and D. A. Nickerson. 2002. Sequence variation in the human T-cell receptor loci. Immunological Reviews 190:26-39.
- Marrack, P., J. P. Scott-Browne, S. Dai, L. Gapin, and J. W. Kappler. 2008. Evolutionarily conserved amino acids that control TCR-MHC interaction. Annual review of Immunology 26:171-203.
- Bednarski, J. J., and B. P. Sleckman. 2012. Lymphocyte development: integration of DNA damage response signaling. Advances in Immunology 116:175-204.
- Ramsden, D. A., B. D. Weed, and Y. V. Reddy. 2010. V(D)J recombination: Born to be wild. Seminars in Cancer Biology 20:254-260.
- Spits, H. 2002. Development of alphabeta T cells in the human thymus. Nature Reviews. Immunology 2:760-772.
- 98. Robins, H. S., P. V. Campregher, S. K. Srivastava, A. Wacher, C. J. Turtle, O. Kahsai, S. R. Riddell, E. H. Warren, and C. S. Carlson. 2009. Comprehensive assessment of T-cell receptor beta-chain diversity in alphabeta T cells. Blood 114:4099-4107.
- 99. Stritesky, G. L., S. C. Jameson, and K. A. Hogquist. 2012. Selection of selfreactive T cells in the thymus. Annual Review of Immunology 30:95-114.

- Halkias, J., H. J. Melichar, K. T. Taylor, J. O. Ross, B. Yen, S. B. Cooper, A. Winoto, and E. A. Robey. 2013. Opposing chemokine gradients control human thymocyte migration in situ. The Journal of Clinical Investigation.
- 101. Stefanski, H. E., D. Mayerova, S. C. Jameson, and K. A. Hogquist. 2001. A low affinity TCR ligand restores positive selection of CD8+ T cells in vivo. Journal of Immunology 166:6602-6607.
- 102. Yin, L., J. Scott-Browne, J. W. Kappler, L. Gapin, and P. Marrack. 2012. T cells and their eons-old obsession with MHC. Immunological Reviews 250:49-60.
- 103. Capone, M., P. Romagnoli, F. Beermann, H. R. MacDonald, and J. P. van Meerwijk. 2001. Dissociation of thymic positive and negative selection in transgenic mice expressing major histocompatibility complex class I molecules exclusively on thymic cortical epithelial cells. Blood 97:1336-1342.
- 104. Akirav, E. M., N. H. Ruddle, and K. C. Herold. 2011. The role of AIRE in human autoimmune disease. Nature Reviews. Endocrinology 7:25-33.
- Germain, R. N. 2002. T-cell development and the CD4-CD8 lineage decision. Nature reviews. Immunology 2:309-322.
- 106. Van Laethem, F., A. N. Tikhonova, and A. Singer. 2012. MHC restriction is imposed on a diverse T cell receptor repertoire by CD4 and CD8 co-receptors during thymic selection. Trends in Immunology 33:437-441.
- 107. Bretscher, P. A. 1999. A two-step, two-signal model for the primary activation of precursor helper T cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 96:185-190.

- 108. Groux, H., M. Bigler, J. E. de Vries, and M. G. Roncarolo. 1996. Interleukin-10 induces a long-term antigen-specific anergic state in human CD4+ T cells. The Journal of Experimental Medicine 184:19-29.
- Appleman, L. J., and V. A. Boussiotis. 2003. T cell anergy and costimulation. Immunological Reviews 192:161-180.
- 110. Gascoigne, N. R., T. Zal, P. P. Yachi, and J. A. Hoerter. 2010. Co-receptors and recognition of self at the immunological synapse. Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology 340:171-189.
- 111. Kuhns, M. S., and H. B. Badgandi. 2012. Piecing together the family portrait of TCR-CD3 complexes. Immunological Reviews 250:120-143.
- 112. Love, P. E., and S. M. Hayes. 2010. ITAM-mediated signaling by the T-cell antigen receptor. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 2:a002485.
- Smith-Garvin, J. E., G. A. Koretzky, and M. S. Jordan. 2009. T cell activation.
   Annual Review of Immunology 27:591-619.
- 114. Vigano, S., M. Perreau, G. Pantaleo, and A. Harari. 2012. Positive and negative regulation of cellular immune responses in physiologic conditions and diseases.
   Clinical & Developmental Immunology 2012:485781.
- 115. Kinnear, G., N. D. Jones, and K. J. Wood. 2013. Costimulation blockade: current perspectives and implications for therapy. Transplantation 95:527-535.
- 116. Bertram, E. M., W. Dawicki, and T. H. Watts. 2004. Role of T cell costimulation in anti-viral immunity. Seminars in Immunology 16:185-196.
- Lenschow, D. J., T. L. Walunas, and J. A. Bluestone. 1996. CD28/B7 system of T cell costimulation. Annual Review of Immunology 14:233-258.

- 118. Croft, M. 2003. Costimulation of T cells by OX40, 4-1BB, and CD27. Cytokine& Growth Factor Reviews 14:265-273.
- 119. Quezada, S. A., and K. S. Peggs. 2013. Exploiting CTLA-4, PD-1 and PD-L1 to reactivate the host immune response against cancer. British Journal of Cancer 108:1560-1565.
- 120. Janeway, C. A., Jr. 2001. How the immune system protects the host from infection. Microbes and Infection / Institut Pasteur 3:1167-1171.
- 121. Martin-Fontecha, A., A. Lanzavecchia, and F. Sallusto. 2009. Dendritic cell migration to peripheral lymph nodes. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology:31-49.
- 122. Alvarez, D., E. H. Vollmann, and U. H. von Andrian. 2008. Mechanisms and consequences of dendritic cell migration. Immunity 29:325-342.
- Curtsinger, J. M., and M. F. Mescher. 2010. Inflammatory cytokines as a third signal for T cell activation. Current Opinion in Immunology 22:333-340.
- 124. Zou, W., and N. P. Restifo. 2010. T(H)17 cells in tumour immunity and immunotherapy. Nature Reviews. Immunology 10:248-256.
- 125. Zhu, J., and W. E. Paul. 2010. Peripheral CD4+ T-cell differentiation regulated by networks of cytokines and transcription factors. Immunological Reviews 238:247-262.
- 126. Zhu, J., and W. E. Paul. 2008. CD4 T cells: fates, functions, and faults. Blood 112:1557-1569.
- Muranski, P., and N. P. Restifo. 2013. Essentials of Th17 cell commitment and plasticity. Blood 121:2402-2414.

- 128. Taylor, A., J. Verhagen, K. Blaser, M. Akdis, and C. A. Akdis. 2006. Mechanisms of immune suppression by interleukin-10 and transforming growth factor-beta: the role of T regulatory cells. Immunology 117:433-442.
- Bendelac, A., P. B. Savage, and L. Teyton. 2007. The biology of NKT cells.
   Annual Review of Immunology 25:297-336.
- 130. Brennan, P. J., M. Brigl, and M. B. Brenner. 2013. Invariant natural killer T cells: an innate activation scheme linked to diverse effector functions. Nature reviews. Immunology 13:101-117.
- Klebanoff, C. A., L. Gattinoni, and N. P. Restifo. 2006. CD8+ T-cell memory in tumor immunology and immunotherapy. Immunological Reviews 211:214-224.
- Wherry, E. J., and R. Ahmed. 2004. Memory CD8 T-cell differentiation during viral infection. Journal of Virology 78:5535-5545.
- Harty, J. T., and V. P. Badovinac. 2008. Shaping and reshaping CD8+ T-cell memory. Nature reviews. Immunology 8:107-119.
- Gattinoni, L., E. Lugli, Y. Ji, Z. Pos, C. M. Paulos, M. F. Quigley, J. R. Almeida, E. Gostick, Z. Yu, C. Carpenito, E. Wang, D. C. Douek, D. A. Price, C. H. June, F. M. Marincola, M. Roederer, and N. P. Restifo. 2011. A human memory T cell subset with stem cell-like properties. Nature Medicine 17:1290-1297.
- 135. Geginat, J., A. Lanzavecchia, and F. Sallusto. 2003. Proliferation and differentiation potential of human CD8+ memory T-cell subsets in response to antigen or homeostatic cytokines. Blood 101:4260-4266.

- Butcher, E. C., and L. J. Picker. 1996. Lymphocyte homing and homeostasis. Science 272:60-66.
- Harrington, L. E., K. M. Janowski, J. R. Oliver, A. J. Zajac, and C. T. Weaver.
   2008. Memory CD4 T cells emerge from effector T-cell progenitors. Nature
   452:356-360.
- 138. MacLeod, M. K., J. W. Kappler, and P. Marrack. 2010. Memory CD4 T cells: generation, reactivation and re-assignment. Immunology 130:10-15.
- Shedlock, D. J., and H. Shen. 2003. Requirement for CD4 T cell help in generating functional CD8 T cell memory. Science 300:337-339.
- 140. Vantourout, P., and A. Hayday. 2013. Six-of-the-best: unique contributions of gammadelta T cells to immunology. Nature reviews. Immunology 13:88-100.
- Kabelitz, D., D. Wesch, and W. He. 2007. Perspectives of gammadelta T cells in tumor immunology. Cancer Research 67:5-8.
- 142. Roden, A. C., W. G. Morice, and C. A. Hanson. 2008. Immunophenotypic attributes of benign peripheral blood gammadelta T cells and conditions associated with their increase. Archives of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine 132:1774-1780.
- Lopez, R. D. 2002. Human gammadelta-T cells in adoptive immunotherapy of malignant and infectious diseases. Immunologic Research 26:207-221.
- 144. Carding, S. R., and P. J. Egan. 2002. Gammadelta T cells: functional plasticity and heterogeneity. Nature Reviews. Immunology 2:336-345.
- 145. Poggi, A., S. Catellani, A. Musso, and M. R. Zocchi. 2009. Gammadelta T lymphocytes producing IFNgamma and IL-17 in response to Candida albicans

or mycobacterial antigens: possible implications for acute and chronic inflammation. Current Medicinal Chemistry 16:4743-4749.

- 146. Bonneville, M., R. L. O'Brien, and W. K. Born. 2010. Gammadelta T cell effector functions: a blend of innate programming and acquired plasticity. Nature Reviews. Immunology 10:467-478.
- Xiong, N., and D. H. Raulet. 2007. Development and selection of gammadelta T cells. Immunological Reviews 215:15-31.
- Hayday, A. C. 2009. Gammadelta T cells and the lymphoid stress-surveillance response. Immunity 31:184-196.
- Hao, J., X. Wu, S. Xia, Z. Li, T. Wen, N. Zhao, Z. Wu, P. Wang, L. Zhao, and
  Z. Yin. 2010. Current progress in gammadelta T-cell biology. Cellular & Molecular Immunology 7:409-413.
- 150. Ishikawa, H., T. Naito, T. Iwanaga, H. Takahashi-Iwanaga, M. Suematsu, T. Hibi, and M. Nanno. 2007. Curriculum vitae of intestinal intraepithelial T cells: their developmental and behavioral characteristics. Immunological Reviews 215:154-165.
- 151. Pang, D. J., J. F. Neves, N. Sumaria, and D. J. Pennington. 2012. Understanding the complexity of gammadelta T-cell subsets in mouse and human. Immunology 136:283-290.
- 152. Xu, B., J. C. Pizarro, M. A. Holmes, C. McBeth, V. Groh, T. Spies, and R. K. Strong. 2011. Crystal structure of a gammadelta T-cell receptor specific for the human MHC class I homolog MICA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108:2414-2419.

- 153. Steinle, A., V. Groh, and T. Spies. 1998. Diversification, expression, and gamma delta T cell recognition of evolutionarily distant members of the MIC family of major histocompatibility complex class I-related molecules. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 95:12510-12515.
- 154. Groh, V., R. Rhinehart, H. Secrist, S. Bauer, K. H. Grabstein, and T. Spies. 1999. Broad tumor-associated expression and recognition by tumor-derived gamma delta T cells of MICA and MICB. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 96:6879-6884.
- Li, J., L. Cui, and W. He. 2005. Distinct pattern of human Vdelta1 gammadelta T cells recognizing MICA. Cellular & Molecular Immunology 2:253-258.
- Spada, F. M., E. P. Grant, P. J. Peters, M. Sugita, A. Melian, D. S. Leslie, H. K. Lee, E. van Donselaar, D. A. Hanson, A. M. Krensky, O. Majdic, S. A. Porcelli, C. T. Morita, and M. B. Brenner. 2000. Self-recognition of CD1 by gamma/delta T cells: implications for innate immunity. The Journal of Experimental Medicine 191:937-948.
- 157. Ciocca, D. R., and S. K. Calderwood. 2005. Heat shock proteins in cancer: diagnostic, prognostic, predictive, and treatment implications. Cell Stress & Chaperones 10:86-103.
- 158. Borg, N. A., K. S. Wun, L. Kjer-Nielsen, M. C. Wilce, D. G. Pellicci, R. Koh, G. S. Besra, M. Bharadwaj, D. I. Godfrey, J. McCluskey, and J. Rossjohn. 2007. CD1d-lipid-antigen recognition by the semi-invariant NKT T-cell receptor. Nature 448:44-49.

- 159. Paget, C., M. T. Chow, H. Duret, S. R. Mattarollo, and M. J. Smyth. 2012. Role of gammadelta T cells in alpha-galactosylceramide-mediated immunity. Journal of Immunology 188:3928-3939.
- 160. Dieude, M., H. Striegl, A. J. Tyznik, J. Wang, S. M. Behar, C. A. Piccirillo, J. S. Levine, D. M. Zajonc, and J. Rauch. 2011. Cardiolipin binds to CD1d and stimulates CD1d-restricted gammadelta T cells in the normal murine repertoire. Journal of Immunology 186:4771-4781.
- 161. Nanno, M., T. Shiohara, H. Yamamoto, K. Kawakami, and H. Ishikawa. 2007. gammadelta T cells: firefighters or fire boosters in the front lines of inflammatory responses. Immunological Reviews 215:103-113.
- 162. Chodaczek, G., V. Papanna, M. A. Zal, and T. Zal. 2012. Body-barrier surveillance by epidermal gammadelta TCRs. Nature Immunology 13:272-282.
- 163. Xiong, N., C. Kang, and D. H. Raulet. 2004. Positive selection of dendritic epidermal gammadelta T cell precursors in the fetal thymus determines expression of skin-homing receptors. Immunity 21:121-131.
- Wesch, D., T. Hinz, and D. Kabelitz. 1998. Analysis of the TCR Vgamma repertoire in healthy donors and HIV-1-infected individuals. International Immunology 10:1067-1075.
- 165. Knight, A., A. J. Madrigal, S. Grace, J. Sivakumaran, P. Kottaridis, S. Mackinnon, P. J. Travers, and M. W. Lowdell. 2010. The role of Vdelta2-negative gammadelta T cells during cytomegalovirus reactivation in recipients of allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Blood 116:2164-2172.

- 166. Brandes, M., K. Willimann, and B. Moser. 2005. Professional antigenpresentation function by human gammadelta T Cells. Science 309:264-268.
- 167. Bukowski, J. F., C. T. Morita, and M. B. Brenner. 1999. Human gamma delta T cells recognize alkylamines derived from microbes, edible plants, and tea: implications for innate immunity. Immunity 11:57-65.
- 168. Wang, L., A. Kamath, H. Das, L. Li, and J. F. Bukowski. 2001. Antibacterial effect of human V gamma 2V delta 2 T cells in vivo. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 108:1349-1357.
- Munk, M. E., C. Elser, and S. H. Kaufmann. 1996. Human gamma/delta T-cell response to Listeria monocytogenes protein components in vitro. Immunology 87:230-235.
- 170. Green, A. E., A. Lissina, S. L. Hutchinson, R. E. Hewitt, B. Temple, D. James, J. M. Boulter, D. A. Price, and A. K. Sewell. 2004. Recognition of nonpeptide antigens by human V gamma 9V delta 2 T cells requires contact with cells of human origin. Clin Exp Immunol 136:472-482.
- 171. Mookerjee-Basu, J., P. Vantourout, L. O. Martinez, B. Perret, X. Collet, C. Perigaud, S. Peyrottes, and E. Champagne. 2010. F1-adenosine triphosphatase displays properties characteristic of an antigen presentation molecule for Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells. Journal of Immunology 184:6920-6928.
- 172. Vantourout, P., J. Mookerjee-Basu, C. Rolland, F. Pont, H. Martin, C. Davrinche, L. O. Martinez, B. Perret, X. Collet, C. Perigaud, S. Peyrottes, and E. Champagne. 2009. Specific requirements for Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cell

stimulation by a natural adenylated phosphoantigen. Journal of Immunology 183:3848-3857.

- 173. D'Asaro, M., C. La Mendola, D. Di Liberto, V. Orlando, M. Todaro, M. Spina,
  G. Guggino, S. Meraviglia, N. Caccamo, A. Messina, A. Salerno, F. Di
  Raimondo, P. Vigneri, G. Stassi, J. J. Fournie, and F. Dieli. 2010. V gamma 9V
  delta 2 T lymphocytes efficiently recognize and kill zoledronate-sensitized,
  imatinib-sensitive, and imatinib-resistant chronic myelogenous leukemia cells.
  Journal of Immunology 184:3260-3268.
- 174. Thedrez, A., C. Harly, A. Morice, S. Salot, M. Bonneville, and E. Scotet. 2009.
  IL-21-mediated potentiation of antitumor cytolytic and proinflammatory responses of human V gamma 9V delta 2 T cells for adoptive immunotherapy. Journal of Immunology 182:3423-3431.
- 175. Chiplunkar, S., S. Dhar, D. Wesch, and D. Kabelitz. 2009. gammadelta T cells in cancer immunotherapy: current status and future prospects. Immunotherapy 1:663-678.
- 176. Thompson, K., A. J. Roelofs, M. Jauhiainen, H. Monkkonen, J. Monkkonen, and M. J. Rogers. 2010. Activation of gammadelta T cells by bisphosphonates. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 658:11-20.
- 177. Gomes, A. Q., D. S. Martins, and B. Silva-Santos. 2010. Targeting gammadelta T lymphocytes for cancer immunotherapy: from novel mechanistic insight to clinical application. Cancer Research 70:10024-10027.

- 178. Nagamine, I., Y. Yamaguchi, M. Ohara, T. Ikeda, and M. Okada. 2009. Induction of gamma delta T cells using zoledronate plus interleukin-2 in patients with metastatic cancer. Hiroshima J Med Sci 58:37-44.
- 179. Wilhelm, M., V. Kunzmann, S. Eckstein, P. Reimer, F. Weissinger, T. Ruediger, and H. P. Tony. 2003. Gammadelta T cells for immune therapy of patients with lymphoid malignancies. Blood 102:200-206.
- 180. Nicol, A. J., H. Tokuyama, S. R. Mattarollo, T. Hagi, K. Suzuki, K. Yokokawa, and M. Nieda. 2011. Clinical evaluation of autologous gamma delta T cell-based immunotherapy for metastatic solid tumours. British Journal of Cancer 105:778-786.
- 181. Lang, J. M., M. R. Kaikobad, M. Wallace, M. J. Staab, D. L. Horvath, G. Wilding, G. Liu, J. C. Eickhoff, D. G. McNeel, and M. Malkovsky. 2011. Pilot trial of interleukin-2 and zoledronic acid to augment gammadelta T cells as treatment for patients with refractory renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy : CII 60:1447-1460.
- 182. Kobayashi, H., Y. Tanaka, J. Yagi, N. Minato, and K. Tanabe. 2011. Phase I/II study of adoptive transfer of gammadelta T cells in combination with zoledronic acid and IL-2 to patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy : CII 60:1075-1084.
- Kabelitz, D., T. Hinz, T. Dobmeyer, U. Mentzel, S. Marx, A. Bohme, B. Arden, R. Rossol, and D. Hoelzer. 1997. Clonal expansion of Vgamma3/Vdelta3expressing gammadelta T cells in an HIV-1/2-negative patient with CD4 T-cell deficiency. British Journal of Haematology 96:266-271.

- 184. Cooper, L. J. 2008. Test-driving CARs. Blood 112:2172-2173.
- June, C. H. 2007. Principles of adoptive T cell cancer therapy. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 117:1204-1212.
- 186. Jena, B., G. Dotti, and L. J. Cooper. 2010. Redirecting T-cell specificity by introducing a tumor-specific chimeric antigen receptor. Blood 116:1035-1044.
- Sadelain, M., R. Brentjens, and I. Riviere. 2009. The promise and potential pitfalls of chimeric antigen receptors. Current Opinion in Immunology 21:215-223.
- 188. Gross, G., T. Waks, and Z. Eshhar. 1989. Expression of immunoglobulin-T-cell receptor chimeric molecules as functional receptors with antibody-type specificity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 86:10024-10028.
- 189. Kowolik, C. M., M. S. Topp, S. Gonzalez, T. Pfeiffer, S. Olivares, N. Gonzalez, D. D. Smith, S. J. Forman, M. C. Jensen, and L. J. Cooper. 2006. CD28 costimulation provided through a CD19-specific chimeric antigen receptor enhances in vivo persistence and antitumor efficacy of adoptively transferred T cells. Cancer Research 66:10995-11004.
- 190. Milone, M. C., J. D. Fish, C. Carpenito, R. G. Carroll, G. K. Binder, D. Teachey, M. Samanta, M. Lakhal, B. Gloss, G. Danet-Desnoyers, D. Campana, J. L. Riley, S. A. Grupp, and C. H. June. 2009. Chimeric receptors containing CD137 signal transduction domains mediate enhanced survival of T cells and increased antileukemic efficacy in vivo. Molecular Therapy : The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 17:1453-1464.

- 191. Cartellieri, M., M. Bachmann, A. Feldmann, C. Bippes, S. Stamova, R. Wehner, A. Temme, and M. Schmitz. 2010. Chimeric antigen receptor-engineered T cells for immunotherapy of cancer. Journal of Biomedicine & Biotechnology 2010:956304.
- 192. Brentjens, R. J., E. Santos, Y. Nikhamin, R. Yeh, M. Matsushita, K. La Perle, A. Quintas-Cardama, S. M. Larson, and M. Sadelain. 2007. Genetically targeted T cells eradicate systemic acute lymphoblastic leukemia xenografts. Clinical Cancer Research : An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 13:5426-5435.
- 193. Imai, C., K. Mihara, M. Andreansky, I. C. Nicholson, C. H. Pui, T. L. Geiger, and D. Campana. 2004. Chimeric receptors with 4-1BB signaling capacity provoke potent cytotoxicity against acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia 18:676-684.
- 194. Zhao, Y., Q. J. Wang, S. Yang, J. N. Kochenderfer, Z. Zheng, X. Zhong, M. Sadelain, Z. Eshhar, S. A. Rosenberg, and R. A. Morgan. 2009. A herceptinbased chimeric antigen receptor with modified signaling domains leads to enhanced survival of transduced T lymphocytes and antitumor activity. Journal of Immunology 183:5563-5574.
- 195. Wang, J., M. Jensen, Y. Lin, X. Sui, E. Chen, C. G. Lindgren, B. Till, A. Raubitschek, S. J. Forman, X. Qian, S. James, P. Greenberg, S. Riddell, and O. W. Press. 2007. Optimizing adoptive polyclonal T cell immunotherapy of lymphomas, using a chimeric T cell receptor possessing CD28 and CD137 costimulatory domains. Human Gene Therapy 18:712-725.

- 196. Carpenito, C., M. C. Milone, R. Hassan, J. C. Simonet, M. Lakhal, M. M. Suhoski, A. Varela-Rohena, K. M. Haines, D. F. Heitjan, S. M. Albelda, R. G. Carroll, J. L. Riley, I. Pastan, and C. H. June. 2009. Control of large, established tumor xenografts with genetically retargeted human T cells containing CD28 and CD137 domains. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106:3360-3365.
- 197. Finney, H. M., A. D. Lawson, C. R. Bebbington, and A. N. Weir. 1998. Chimeric receptors providing both primary and costimulatory signaling in T cells from a single gene product. Journal of Immunology 161:2791-2797.
- 198. James, S. E., P. D. Greenberg, M. C. Jensen, Y. Lin, J. Wang, B. G. Till, A. A. Raubitschek, S. J. Forman, and O. W. Press. 2008. Antigen sensitivity of CD22-specific chimeric TCR is modulated by target epitope distance from the cell membrane. Journal of Immunology 180:7028-7038.
- 199. Hudecek, M., M. T. Lupo Stanghellini, P. L. Kosasih, D. Sommermeyer, M. Jensen, C. Rader, and S. Riddell. 2013. Receptor affinity and extracellular domain modifications affect tumor recognition by ROR1-specific chimeric antigen receptor T-cells. Clinical Cancer Research : An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research.
- 200. Till, B. G., M. C. Jensen, J. Wang, X. Qian, A. K. Gopal, D. G. Maloney, C. G. Lindgren, Y. Lin, J. M. Pagel, L. E. Budde, A. Raubitschek, S. J. Forman, P. D. Greenberg, S. R. Riddell, and O. W. Press. 2012. CD20-specific adoptive immunotherapy for lymphoma using a chimeric antigen receptor with both CD28 and 4-1BB domains: pilot clinical trial results. Blood 119:3940-3950.

- 201. Giordano Attianese, G. M., V. Marin, V. Hoyos, B. Savoldo, I. Pizzitola, S. Tettamanti, V. Agostoni, M. Parma, M. Ponzoni, M. T. Bertilaccio, P. Ghia, A. Biondi, G. Dotti, and E. Biagi. 2011. In vitro and in vivo model of a novel immunotherapy approach for chronic lymphocytic leukemia by anti-CD23 chimeric antigen receptor. Blood 117:4736-4745.
- 202. Park, J. H., and R. J. Brentjens. 2010. Adoptive immunotherapy for B-cell malignancies with autologous chimeric antigen receptor modified tumor targeted T cells. Discovery Medicine 9:277-288.
- 203. Jensen, M. C., L. J. Cooper, A. M. Wu, S. J. Forman, and A. Raubitschek. 2003. Engineered CD20-specific primary human cytotoxic T lymphocytes for targeting B-cell malignancy. Cytotherapy 5:131-138.
- 204. Vera, J., B. Savoldo, S. Vigouroux, E. Biagi, M. Pule, C. Rossig, J. Wu, H. E. Heslop, C. M. Rooney, M. K. Brenner, and G. Dotti. 2006. T lymphocytes redirected against the kappa light chain of human immunoglobulin efficiently kill mature B lymphocyte-derived malignant cells. Blood 108:3890-3897.
- 205. Di Stasi, A., B. De Angelis, C. M. Rooney, L. Zhang, A. Mahendravada, A. E. Foster, H. E. Heslop, M. K. Brenner, G. Dotti, and B. Savoldo. 2009. T lymphocytes coexpressing CCR4 and a chimeric antigen receptor targeting CD30 have improved homing and antitumor activity in a Hodgkin tumor model. Blood 113:6392-6402.
- 206. Savoldo, B., C. M. Rooney, A. Di Stasi, H. Abken, A. Hombach, A. E. Foster,
  L. Zhang, H. E. Heslop, M. K. Brenner, and G. Dotti. 2007. Epstein Barr virus specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes expressing the anti-CD30zeta artificial

chimeric T-cell receptor for immunotherapy of Hodgkin disease. Blood 110:2620-2630.

- 207. Hombach, A., C. Heuser, R. Sircar, T. Tillmann, V. Diehl, C. Pohl, and H. Abken. 1998. An anti-CD30 chimeric receptor that mediates CD3-zeta-independent T-cell activation against Hodgkin's lymphoma cells in the presence of soluble CD30. Cancer Research 58:1116-1119.
- 208. Haso, W., D. W. Lee, N. N. Shah, M. Stetler-Stevenson, C. M. Yuan, I. H. Pastan, D. S. Dimitrov, R. A. Morgan, D. J. FitzGerald, D. M. Barrett, A. S. Wayne, C. L. Mackall, and R. J. Orentas. 2013. Anti-CD22-chimeric antigen receptors targeting B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood 121:1165-1174.
- 209. Wang, D., L. Zhang, Y. Li, H. Wang, Q. Xiao, W. Cao, and W. Feng. 2012. Construction and expression of humanized chimeric T cell receptor specific for chronic myeloid leukemia cells. Biotechnology Letters 34:1193-1201.
- 210. Tettamanti, S., V. Marin, I. Pizzitola, C. F. Magnani, G. M. Giordano Attianese,
  E. Cribioli, F. Maltese, S. Galimberti, A. F. Lopez, A. Biondi, D. Bonnet, and E.
  Biagi. 2013. Targeting of acute myeloid leukaemia by cytokine-induced killer
  cells redirected with a novel CD123-specific chimeric antigen receptor. British
  Journal of Haematology 161:389-401.
- 211. Dutour, A., V. Marin, I. Pizzitola, S. Valsesia-Wittmann, D. Lee, E. Yvon, H. Finney, A. Lawson, M. Brenner, A. Biondi, E. Biagi, and R. Rousseau. 2012. In Vitro and In Vivo Antitumor Effect of Anti-CD33 Chimeric Receptor-

Expressing EBV-CTL against CD33 Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Advances in Hematology 2012:683065.

- 212. Pizzitola, I., V. Agostoni, E. Cribioli, M. Pule, R. Rousseau, H. Finney, A. Lawson, A. Biondi, E. Biagi, and V. Marin. 2011. In vitro comparison of three different chimeric receptor-modified effector T-cell populations for leukemia cell therapy. Journal of Immunotherapy 34:469-479.
- Schmitt, N., M. C. Cumont, M. T. Nugeyre, B. Hurtrel, F. Barre-Sinoussi, D. Scott-Algara, and N. Israel. 2007. Ex vivo characterization of human thymic dendritic cell subsets. Immunobiology 212:167-177.
- 214. Garnache-Ottou, F., L. Chaperot, S. Biichle, C. Ferrand, J. P. Remy-Martin, E. Deconinck, P. D. de Tailly, B. Bulabois, J. Poulet, E. Kuhlein, M. C. Jacob, V. Salaun, M. Arock, B. Drenou, F. Schillinger, E. Seilles, P. Tiberghien, J. C. Bensa, J. Plumas, and P. Saas. 2005. Expression of the myeloid-associated marker CD33 is not an exclusive factor for leukemic plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Blood 105:1256-1264.
- 215. Song, D. G., Q. Ye, C. Carpenito, M. Poussin, L. P. Wang, C. Ji, M. Figini, C. H. June, G. Coukos, and D. J. Powell, Jr. 2011. In vivo persistence, tumor localization, and antitumor activity of CAR-engineered T cells is enhanced by costimulatory signaling through CD137 (4-1BB). Cancer Research 71:4617-4627.
- 216. Kandalaft, L. E., D. J. Powell, Jr., and G. Coukos. 2012. A phase I clinical trial of adoptive transfer of folate receptor-alpha redirected autologous T cells for recurrent ovarian cancer. Journal of Translational Medicine 10:157.

- 217. Parker, L. L., M. T. Do, J. A. Westwood, J. R. Wunderlich, M. E. Dudley, S. A. Rosenberg, and P. Hwu. 2000. Expansion and characterization of T cells transduced with a chimeric receptor against ovarian cancer. Human Gene Therapy 11:2377-2387.
- 218. Tchou, J., L. C. Wang, B. Selven, H. Zhang, J. Conejo-Garcia, H. Borghaei, M. Kalos, R. H. Vondeheide, S. M. Albelda, C. H. June, and P. J. Zhang. 2012. Mesothelin, a novel immunotherapy target for triple negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 133:799-804.
- 219. Lanitis, E., M. Poussin, I. S. Hagemann, G. Coukos, R. Sandaltzopoulos, N. Scholler, and D. J. Powell, Jr. 2012. Redirected antitumor activity of primary human lymphocytes transduced with a fully human anti-mesothelin chimeric receptor. Molecular Therapy : The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 20:633-643.
- 220. Lamers, C. H., S. Sleijfer, S. van Steenbergen, P. van Elzakker, B. van Krimpen, C. Groot, A. Vulto, M. den Bakker, E. Oosterwijk, R. Debets, and J. W. Gratama. 2013. Treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma with CAIX CARengineered T cells: clinical evaluation and management of on-target toxicity. Molecular Therapy : The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 21:904-912.
- 221. Lamers, C. H., R. Willemsen, P. van Elzakker, S. van Steenbergen-Langeveld, M. Broertjes, J. Oosterwijk-Wakka, E. Oosterwijk, S. Sleijfer, R. Debets, and J. W. Gratama. 2011. Immune responses to transgene and retroviral vector in patients treated with ex vivo-engineered T cells. Blood 117:72-82.

- 222. Genega, E. M., M. Ghebremichael, R. Najarian, Y. Fu, Y. Wang, P. Argani, C. Grisanzio, and S. Signoretti. 2010. Carbonic anhydrase IX expression in renal neoplasms: correlation with tumor type and grade. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 134:873-879.
- 223. Chmielewski, M., O. Hahn, G. Rappl, M. Nowak, I. H. Schmidt-Wolf, A. A. Hombach, and H. Abken. 2012. T cells that target carcinoembryonic antigen eradicate orthotopic pancreatic carcinomas without inducing autoimmune colitis in mice. Gastroenterology 143:1095-1107 e1092.
- 224. Schlimper, C., A. A. Hombach, H. Abken, and I. G. Schmidt-Wolf. 2012. Improved activation toward primary colorectal cancer cells by antigen-specific targeting autologous cytokine-induced killer cells. Clinical & Developmental Immunology 2012:238924.
- 225. Nakazawa, Y., L. E. Huye, V. S. Salsman, A. M. Leen, N. Ahmed, L. Rollins, G. Dotti, S. M. Gottschalk, M. H. Wilson, and C. M. Rooney. 2011. PiggyBacmediated cancer immunotherapy using EBV-specific cytotoxic T-cells expressing HER2-specific chimeric antigen receptor. Molecular Therapy : The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 19:2133-2143.
- 226. Li, S., J. Yang, F. A. Urban, J. N. MacGregor, D. P. Hughes, A. E. Chang, K. T. McDonagh, and Q. Li. 2008. Genetically engineered T cells expressing a HER2-specific chimeric receptor mediate antigen-specific tumor regression. Cancer Gene Therapy 15:382-392.
- 227. Ahmed, N., M. Ratnayake, B. Savoldo, L. Perlaky, G. Dotti, W. S. Wels, M. B.Bhattacharjee, R. J. Gilbertson, H. D. Shine, H. L. Weiss, C. M. Rooney, H. E.

Heslop, and S. Gottschalk. 2007. Regression of experimental medulloblastoma following transfer of HER2-specific T cells. Cancer Research 67:5957-5964.

- 228. Stancovski, I., D. G. Schindler, T. Waks, Y. Yarden, M. Sela, and Z. Eshhar. 1993. Targeting of T lymphocytes to Neu/HER2-expressing cells using chimeric single chain Fv receptors. Journal of Immunology 151:6577-6582.
- 229. Morgan, R. A., J. C. Yang, M. Kitano, M. E. Dudley, C. M. Laurencot, and S. A. Rosenberg. 2010. Case report of a serious adverse event following the administration of T cells transduced with a chimeric antigen receptor recognizing ERBB2. Molecular Therapy : The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 18:843-851.
- 230. Zhou, X., J. Li, Z. Wang, Z. Chen, J. Qiu, Y. Zhang, W. Wang, Y. Ma, N. Huang, K. Cui, J. Li, and Y. Q. Wei. 2013. Cellular Immunotherapy for Carcinoma Using Genetically Modified EGFR-Specific T Lymphocytes. Neoplasia 15:544-553.
- 231. Ohno, M., A. Natsume, K. Ichiro Iwami, H. Iwamizu, K. Noritake, D. Ito, Y. Toi, M. Ito, K. Motomura, J. Yoshida, K. Yoshikawa, and T. Wakabayashi. 2010. Retrovirally engineered T-cell-based immunotherapy targeting type III variant epidermal growth factor receptor, a glioma-associated antigen. Cancer Science 101:2518-2524.
- 232. Morgan, R. A., L. A. Johnson, J. L. Davis, Z. Zheng, K. D. Woolard, E. A. Reap, S. A. Feldman, N. Chinnasamy, C. T. Kuan, H. Song, W. Zhang, H. A. Fine, and S. A. Rosenberg. 2012. Recognition of glioma stem cells by

genetically modified T cells targeting EGFRvIII and development of adoptive cell therapy for glioma. Human Gene Therapy 23:1043-1053.

- Westwood, J. A., M. J. Smyth, M. W. Teng, M. Moeller, J. A. Trapani, A. M. Scott, F. E. Smyth, G. A. Cartwright, B. E. Power, D. Honemann, H. M. Prince, P. K. Darcy, and M. H. Kershaw. 2005. Adoptive transfer of T cells modified with a humanized chimeric receptor gene inhibits growth of Lewis-Y-expressing tumors in mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102:19051-19056.
- 234. Orentas, R. J., D. W. Lee, and C. Mackall. 2012. Immunotherapy targets in pediatric cancer. Frontiers in Oncology 2:3.
- 235. Park, J. R., D. L. Digiusto, M. Slovak, C. Wright, A. Naranjo, J. Wagner, H. B. Meechoovet, C. Bautista, W. C. Chang, J. R. Ostberg, and M. C. Jensen. 2007. Adoptive transfer of chimeric antigen receptor re-directed cytolytic T lymphocyte clones in patients with neuroblastoma. Molecular Therapy : The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 15:825-833.
- 236. Pule, M. A., B. Savoldo, G. D. Myers, C. Rossig, H. V. Russell, G. Dotti, M. H. Huls, E. Liu, A. P. Gee, Z. Mei, E. Yvon, H. L. Weiss, H. Liu, C. M. Rooney, H. E. Heslop, and M. K. Brenner. 2008. Virus-specific T cells engineered to coexpress tumor-specific receptors: persistence and antitumor activity in individuals with neuroblastoma. Nature Medicine 14:1264-1270.
- 237. Louis, C. U., B. Savoldo, G. Dotti, M. Pule, E. Yvon, G. D. Myers, C. Rossig,
  H. V. Russell, O. Diouf, E. Liu, H. Liu, M. F. Wu, A. P. Gee, Z. Mei, C. M.
  Rooney, H. E. Heslop, and M. K. Brenner. 2011. Antitumor activity and long-

term fate of chimeric antigen receptor-positive T cells in patients with neuroblastoma. Blood 118:6050-6056.

- 238. Kailayangiri, S., B. Altvater, J. Meltzer, S. Pscherer, A. Luecke, C. Dierkes, U. Titze, K. Leuchte, S. Landmeier, M. Hotfilder, U. Dirksen, J. Hardes, G. Gosheger, H. Juergens, and C. Rossig. 2012. The ganglioside antigen G(D2) is surface-expressed in Ewing sarcoma and allows for MHC-independent immune targeting. British Journal of Cancer 106:1123-1133.
- 239. Yvon, E., M. Del Vecchio, B. Savoldo, V. Hoyos, A. Dutour, A. Anichini, G. Dotti, and M. K. Brenner. 2009. Immunotherapy of metastatic melanoma using genetically engineered GD2-specific T cells. Clinical Cancer Research : An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 15:5852-5860.
- 240. Burns, W. R., Y. Zhao, T. L. Frankel, C. S. Hinrichs, Z. Zheng, H. Xu, S. A. Feldman, S. Ferrone, S. A. Rosenberg, and R. A. Morgan. 2010. A high molecular weight melanoma-associated antigen-specific chimeric antigen receptor redirects lymphocytes to target human melanomas. Cancer Research 70:3027-3033.
- 241. Morgan, R. A., M. E. Dudley, and S. A. Rosenberg. 2010. Adoptive cell therapy: genetic modification to redirect effector cell specificity. Cancer Journal 16:336-341.
- 242. Rosenberg, S. A. 2012. Raising the bar: the curative potential of human cancer immunotherapy. Science Translational Medicine 4:127ps128.
- 243. Zhong, X. S., M. Matsushita, J. Plotkin, I. Riviere, and M. Sadelain. 2010. Chimeric antigen receptors combining 4-1BB and CD28 signaling domains augment PI3kinase/AKT/Bcl-XL activation and CD8+ T cell-mediated tumor eradication. Molecular Therapy : The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 18:413-420.
- 244. Morgenroth, A., M. Cartellieri, M. Schmitz, S. Gunes, B. Weigle, M. Bachmann, H. Abken, E. P. Rieber, and A. Temme. 2007. Targeting of tumor cells expressing the prostate stem cell antigen (PSCA) using genetically engineered T-cells. The Prostate 67:1121-1131.
- 245. Gade, T. P., W. Hassen, E. Santos, G. Gunset, A. Saudemont, M. C. Gong, R. Brentjens, X. S. Zhong, M. Stephan, J. Stefanski, C. Lyddane, J. R. Osborne, I. M. Buchanan, S. J. Hall, W. D. Heston, I. Riviere, S. M. Larson, J. A. Koutcher, and M. Sadelain. 2005. Targeted elimination of prostate cancer by genetically directed human T lymphocytes. Cancer Research 65:9080-9088.
- 246. Sanchez, C., R. Chan, P. Bajgain, S. Rambally, G. Palapattu, M. Mims, C. M. Rooney, A. M. Leen, M. K. Brenner, and J. F. Vera. 2013. Combining T-cell immunotherapy and anti-androgen therapy for prostate cancer. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases.
- 247. Wilkie, S., G. Picco, J. Foster, D. M. Davies, S. Julien, L. Cooper, S. Arif, S. J. Mather, J. Taylor-Papadimitriou, J. M. Burchell, and J. Maher. 2008. Retargeting of human T cells to tumor-associated MUC1: the evolution of a chimeric antigen receptor. Journal of Immunology 180:4901-4909.

- 248. Sharifzadeh, Z., F. Rahbarizadeh, M. A. Shokrgozar, D. Ahmadvand, F. Mahboudi, F. R. Jamnani, and S. M. Moghimi. 2012. Genetically engineered T cells bearing chimeric nanoconstructed receptors harboring TAG-72-specific camelid single domain antibodies as targeting agents. Cancer Letters.
- 249. Ren-Heidenreich, L., G. T. Hayman, and K. T. Trevor. 2000. Specific targeting of EGP-2+ tumor cells by primary lymphocytes modified with chimeric T cell receptors. Human Gene Therapy 11:9-19.
- 250. Chinnasamy, D., Z. Yu, S. P. Kerkar, L. Zhang, R. A. Morgan, N. P. Restifo, and S. A. Rosenberg. 2012. Local delivery of interleukin-12 using T cells targeting VEGF receptor-2 eradicates multiple vascularized tumors in mice. Clinical Cancer Research : An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 18:1672-1683.
- Chinnasamy, D., Z. Yu, M. R. Theoret, Y. Zhao, R. K. Shrimali, R. A. Morgan, S. A. Feldman, N. P. Restifo, and S. A. Rosenberg. 2010. Gene therapy using genetically modified lymphocytes targeting VEGFR-2 inhibits the growth of vascularized syngenic tumors in mice. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 120:3953-3968.
- 252. Kahlon, K. S., C. Brown, L. J. Cooper, A. Raubitschek, S. J. Forman, and M. C. Jensen. 2004. Specific recognition and killing of glioblastoma multiforme by interleukin 13-zetakine redirected cytolytic T cells. Cancer Research 64:9160-9166.

- 253. Stastny, M. J., C. E. Brown, C. Ruel, and M. C. Jensen. 2007. Medulloblastomas expressing IL13Ralpha2 are targets for IL13-zetakine+ cytolytic T cells. Journal of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology 29:669-677.
- 254. Brown, C. E., R. Starr, B. Aguilar, A. F. Shami, C. Martinez, M. D'Apuzzo, M. E. Barish, S. J. Forman, and M. C. Jensen. 2012. Stem-like tumor-initiating cells isolated from IL13Ralpha2 expressing gliomas are targeted and killed by IL13-zetakine-redirected T Cells. Clinical Cancer Research : An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 18:2199-2209.
- 255. Kong, S., S. Sengupta, B. Tyler, A. J. Bais, Q. Ma, S. Doucette, J. Zhou, A. Sahin, B. S. Carter, H. Brem, R. P. Junghans, and P. Sampath. 2012. Suppression of human glioma xenografts with second-generation IL13R-specific chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells. Clinical Cancer Research : An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 18:5949-5960.
- June, C. H. 2007. Adoptive T cell therapy for cancer in the clinic. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 117:1466-1476.
- 257. Lipowska-Bhalla, G., D. E. Gilham, R. E. Hawkins, and D. G. Rothwell. 2012. Targeted immunotherapy of cancer with CAR T cells: achievements and challenges. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy : CII 61:953-962.
- 258. Hosing, C., P. Kebriaei, W. Wierda, B. Jena, L. J. Cooper, and E. Shpall. 2013. CARs in chronic lymphocytic leukemia -- ready to drive. Current Hematologic Malignancy Reports 8:60-70.

- 259. Jena, B., S. Maiti, H. Huls, H. Singh, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-specific monoclonal antibody to detect CD19-specific T cells in clinical trials. PloS One 8:e57838.
- 260. Kochenderfer, J. N., and S. A. Rosenberg. 2013. Treating B-cell cancer with T cells expressing anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptors. Nature reviews. Clinical Oncology 10:267-276.
- 261. Brentjens, R., R. Yeh, Y. Bernal, I. Riviere, and M. Sadelain. 2010. Treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia with genetically targeted autologous T cells: case report of an unforeseen adverse event in a phase I clinical trial. Molecular Therapy : The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 18:666-668.
- 262. Ertl, H. C., J. Zaia, S. A. Rosenberg, C. H. June, G. Dotti, J. Kahn, L. J. Cooper, J. Corrigan-Curay, and S. E. Strome. 2011. Considerations for the clinical application of chimeric antigen receptor T cells: observations from a recombinant DNA Advisory Committee Symposium held June 15, 2010. Cancer Research 71:3175-3181.
- 263. Maiti, S. N., H. Huls, H. Singh, M. Dawson, M. Figliola, S. Olivares, P. Rao, Y. J. Zhao, A. Multani, G. Yang, L. Zhang, D. Crossland, S. Ang, H. Torikai, B. Rabinovich, D. A. Lee, P. Kebriaei, P. Hackett, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Sleeping beauty system to redirect T-cell specificity for human applications. Journal of Immunotherapy 36:112-123.
- 264. Hackett, P. B., D. A. Largaespada, and L. J. Cooper. 2010. A transposon and transposase system for human application. Molecular Therapy : The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 18:674-683.

- 265. Wadman, S. A., K. J. Clark, and P. B. Hackett. 2005. Fishing for answers with transposons. Marine Biotechnology 7:135-141.
- 266. Liu, G., E. L. Aronovich, Z. Cui, C. B. Whitley, and P. B. Hackett. 2004. Excision of Sleeping Beauty transposons: parameters and applications to gene therapy. The Journal of Gene Medicine 6:574-583.
- 267. Hackett, P. B., D. A. Largaespada, K. C. Switzer, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Evaluating risks of insertional mutagenesis by DNA transposons in gene therapy. Translational Research : The Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine 161:265-283.
- Hackett, P. B., Jr., E. L. Aronovich, D. Hunter, M. Urness, J. B. Bell, S. J. Kass,
   L. J. Cooper, and S. McIvor. 2011. Efficacy and safety of Sleeping Beauty transposon-mediated gene transfer in preclinical animal studies. Current Gene Therapy 11:341-349.
- 269. Liu, G., A. M. Geurts, K. Yae, A. R. Srinivasan, S. C. Fahrenkrug, D. A. Largaespada, J. Takeda, K. Horie, W. K. Olson, and P. B. Hackett. 2005. Target-site preferences of Sleeping Beauty transposons. Journal of Molecular Biology 346:161-173.
- Howe, S. J., M. R. Mansour, K. Schwarzwaelder, C. Bartholomae, M. Hubank,
  H. Kempski, M. H. Brugman, K. Pike-Overzet, S. J. Chatters, D. de Ridder, K.
  C. Gilmour, S. Adams, S. I. Thornhill, K. L. Parsley, F. J. Staal, R. E. Gale, D.
  C. Linch, J. Bayford, L. Brown, M. Quaye, C. Kinnon, P. Ancliff, D. K. Webb,
  M. Schmidt, C. von Kalle, H. B. Gaspar, and A. J. Thrasher. 2008. Insertional
  mutagenesis combined with acquired somatic mutations causes leukemogenesis

following gene therapy of SCID-X1 patients. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 118:3143-3150.

- 271. Hacein-Bey-Abina, S., A. Garrigue, G. P. Wang, J. Soulier, A. Lim, E. Morillon,
  E. Clappier, L. Caccavelli, E. Delabesse, K. Beldjord, V. Asnafi, E. MacIntyre,
  L. Dal Cortivo, I. Radford, N. Brousse, F. Sigaux, D. Moshous, J. Hauer, A.
  Borkhardt, B. H. Belohradsky, U. Wintergerst, M. C. Velez, L. Leiva, R.
  Sorensen, N. Wulffraat, S. Blanche, F. D. Bushman, A. Fischer, and M.
  Cavazzana-Calvo. 2008. Insertional oncogenesis in 4 patients after retrovirusmediated gene therapy of SCID-X1. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 118:3132-3142.
- 272. Singh, H., P. R. Manuri, S. Olivares, N. Dara, M. J. Dawson, H. Huls, P. B. Hackett, D. B. Kohn, E. J. Shpall, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2008. Redirecting specificity of T-cell populations for CD19 using the Sleeping Beauty system. Cancer Research 68:2961-2971.
- Huls, M. H., M. J. Figliola, M. J. Dawson, S. Olivares, P. Kebriaei, E. J. Shpall,
  R. E. Champlin, H. Singh, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Clinical application of
  Sleeping Beauty and artificial antigen presenting cells to genetically modify T
  cells from peripheral and umbilical cord blood. Journal of Visualized
  Experiments : JoVE:e50070.
- 274. Ye, Q., M. Loisiou, B. L. Levine, M. M. Suhoski, J. L. Riley, C. H. June, G. Coukos, and D. J. Powell, Jr. 2011. Engineered artificial antigen presenting cells facilitate direct and efficient expansion of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes. Journal of Translational Medicine 9:131.

- 275. Denman, C. J., V. V. Senyukov, S. S. Somanchi, P. V. Phatarpekar, L. M. Kopp,
  J. L. Johnson, H. Singh, L. Hurton, S. N. Maiti, M. H. Huls, R. E. Champlin, L.
  J. Cooper, and D. A. Lee. 2012. Membrane-bound IL-21 promotes sustained ex
  vivo proliferation of human natural killer cells. PloS One 7:e30264.
- 276. Butler, M. O., S. Ansen, M. Tanaka, O. Imataki, A. Berezovskaya, M. M. Mooney, G. Metzler, M. I. Milstein, L. M. Nadler, and N. Hirano. 2010. A panel of human cell-based artificial APC enables the expansion of long-lived antigen-specific CD4+ T cells restricted by prevalent HLA-DR alleles. International Immunology 22:863-873.
- 277. Suhoski, M. M., T. N. Golovina, N. A. Aqui, V. C. Tai, A. Varela-Rohena, M. C. Milone, R. G. Carroll, J. L. Riley, and C. H. June. 2007. Engineering artificial antigen-presenting cells to express a diverse array of co-stimulatory molecules. Molecular Therapy : The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 15:981-988.
- 278. Maus, M. V., A. K. Thomas, D. G. Leonard, D. Allman, K. Addya, K. Schlienger, J. L. Riley, and C. H. June. 2002. Ex vivo expansion of polyclonal and antigen-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes by artificial APCs expressing ligands for the T-cell receptor, CD28 and 4-1BB. Nature Biotechnology 20:143-148.
- 279. Manuri, P. V., M. H. Wilson, S. N. Maiti, T. Mi, H. Singh, S. Olivares, M. J. Dawson, H. Huls, D. A. Lee, P. H. Rao, J. M. Kaminski, Y. Nakazawa, S. Gottschalk, P. Kebriaei, E. J. Shpall, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2010.

piggyBac transposon/transposase system to generate CD19-specific T cells for the treatment of B-lineage malignancies. Human Gene Therapy 21:427-437.

- 280. Numbenjapon, T., L. M. Serrano, H. Singh, C. M. Kowolik, S. Olivares, N. Gonzalez, W. C. Chang, S. J. Forman, M. C. Jensen, and L. J. Cooper. 2006. Characterization of an artificial antigen-presenting cell to propagate cytolytic CD19-specific T cells. Leukemia 20:1889-1892.
- 281. Singh, H., L. M. Serrano, T. Pfeiffer, S. Olivares, G. McNamara, D. D. Smith, Z. Al-Kadhimi, S. J. Forman, S. D. Gillies, M. C. Jensen, D. Colcher, A. Raubitschek, and L. J. Cooper. 2007. Combining adoptive cellular and immunocytokine therapies to improve treatment of B-lineage malignancy. Cancer Research 67:2872-2880.
- 282. Choudhury, A., K. Derkow, A. H. Daneshmanesh, E. Mikaelsson, S. Kiaii, P. Kokhaei, A. Osterborg, and H. Mellstedt. 2010. Silencing of ROR1 and FMOD with siRNA results in apoptosis of CLL cells. British Journal of Haematology 151:327-335.
- 283. Baskar, S., A. Wiestner, W. H. Wilson, I. Pastan, and C. Rader. 2012. Targeting malignant B cells with an immunotoxin against ROR1. mAbs 4:349-361.
- 284. Stonier, S. W., and K. S. Schluns. 2010. Trans-presentation: a novel mechanism regulating IL-15 delivery and responses. Immunology Letters 127:85-92.
- 285. Stonier, S. W., L. J. Ma, E. F. Castillo, and K. S. Schluns. 2008. Dendritic cells drive memory CD8 T-cell homeostasis via IL-15 transpresentation. Blood 112:4546-4554.

- 286. Smetak, M., B. Kimmel, J. Birkmann, K. Schaefer-Eckart, H. Einsele, M. Wilhelm, and V. Kunzmann. 2008. Clinical-scale single-step CD4(+) and CD8(+) cell depletion for donor innate lymphocyte infusion (DILI). Bone Marrow Transplant 41:643-650.
- 287. Kelly-Rogers, J., L. Madrigal-Estebas, T. O'Connor, and D. G. Doherty. 2006. Activation-induced expression of CD56 by T cells is associated with a reprogramming of cytolytic activity and cytokine secretion profile in vitro. Human Immunology 67:863-873.
- 288. Gattinoni, L., X. S. Zhong, D. C. Palmer, Y. Ji, C. S. Hinrichs, Z. Yu, C. Wrzesinski, A. Boni, L. Cassard, L. M. Garvin, C. M. Paulos, P. Muranski, and N. P. Restifo. 2009. Wnt signaling arrests effector T cell differentiation and generates CD8+ memory stem cells. Nature Medicine 15:808-813.
- Di Mitri, D., R. I. Azevedo, S. M. Henson, V. Libri, N. E. Riddell, R. Macaulay,
  D. Kipling, M. V. Soares, L. Battistini, and A. N. Akbar. 2011. Reversible senescence in human CD4+CD45RA+CD27- memory T cells. Journal of Immunology 187:2093-2100.
- 290. Zhang, M., S. Maiti, C. Bernatchez, H. Huls, B. Rabinovich, R. E. Champlin, L. M. Vence, P. Hwu, L. Radvanyi, and L. J. Cooper. 2012. A New Approach to Simultaneously Quantify Both TCR alpha- and beta-Chain Diversity after Adoptive Immunotherapy. Clinical Cancer Research : An Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research.

- 291. Chatila, T., L. Silverman, R. Miller, and R. Geha. 1989. Mechanisms of T cell activation by the calcium ionophore ionomycin. Journal of Immunology 143:1283-1289.
- 292. Iwata, M., Y. Ohoka, T. Kuwata, and A. Asada. 1996. Regulation of T cell apoptosis via T cell receptors and steroid receptors. Stem Cells 14:632-641.
- 293. Yang, J., S. Baskar, K. Y. Kwong, M. G. Kennedy, A. Wiestner, and C. Rader.
  2011. Therapeutic potential and challenges of targeting receptor tyrosine kinase
  ROR1 with monoclonal antibodies in B-cell malignancies. PloS One 6:e21018.
- 294. Straathof, K. C., M. A. Pule, P. Yotnda, G. Dotti, E. F. Vanin, M. K. Brenner, H.
  E. Heslop, D. M. Spencer, and C. M. Rooney. 2005. An inducible caspase 9 safety switch for T-cell therapy. Blood 105:4247-4254.
- 295. Gattinoni, L., C. A. Klebanoff, D. C. Palmer, C. Wrzesinski, K. Kerstann, Z. Yu, S. E. Finkelstein, M. R. Theoret, S. A. Rosenberg, and N. P. Restifo. 2005. Acquisition of full effector function in vitro paradoxically impairs the in vivo antitumor efficacy of adoptively transferred CD8+ T cells. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 115:1616-1626.
- 296. Cooper, L. J., M. S. Topp, L. M. Serrano, S. Gonzalez, W. C. Chang, A. Naranjo, C. Wright, L. Popplewell, A. Raubitschek, S. J. Forman, and M. C. Jensen. 2003. T-cell clones can be rendered specific for CD19: toward the selective augmentation of the graft-versus-B-lineage leukemia effect. Blood 101:1637-1644.

- 297. Castella, B., C. Vitale, M. Coscia, and M. Massaia. 2011. Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cell-based immunotherapy in hematological malignancies: from bench to bedside. Cell Mol Life Sci 68:2419-2432.
- 298. Brandes, M., K. Willimann, G. Bioley, N. Levy, M. Eberl, M. Luo, R. Tampe, F. Levy, P. Romero, and B. Moser. 2009. Cross-presenting human gammadelta T cells induce robust CD8+ alphabeta T cell responses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106:2307-2312.
- 299. Scotet, E., L. O. Martinez, E. Grant, R. Barbaras, P. Jeno, M. Guiraud, B. Monsarrat, X. Saulquin, S. Maillet, J. P. Esteve, F. Lopez, B. Perret, X. Collet, M. Bonneville, and E. Champagne. 2005. Tumor recognition following Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cell receptor interactions with a surface F1-ATPase-related structure and apolipoprotein A-I. Immunity 22:71-80.
- 300. Hayday, A. C. 2000. [gamma][delta] cells: a right time and a right place for a conserved third way of protection. Annual Review of Immunology 18:975-1026.
- 301. Kondo, M., K. Sakuta, A. Noguchi, N. Ariyoshi, K. Sato, S. Sato, A. Hosoi, J. Nakajima, Y. Yoshida, K. Shiraishi, K. Nakagawa, and K. Kakimi. 2008. Zoledronate facilitates large-scale ex vivo expansion of functional gammadelta T cells from cancer patients for use in adoptive immunotherapy. Cytotherapy 10:842-856.
- 302. Lamb, L. S., Jr., P. J. Henslee-Downey, R. S. Parrish, K. Godder, J. Thompson, C. Lee, and A. P. Gee. 1996. Increased frequency of TCR gamma delta + T cells in disease-free survivors following T cell-depleted, partially mismatched, related donor bone marrow transplantation for leukemia. J Hematother 5:503-509.

- 303. Lamb, L. S., Jr., A. P. Gee, L. J. Hazlett, P. Musk, R. S. Parrish, T. P. O'Hanlon,
  S. S. Geier, R. S. Folk, W. G. Harris, K. McPherson, C. Lee, and P. J. HensleeDowney. 1999. Influence of T cell depletion method on circulating gammadelta
  T cell reconstitution and potential role in the graft-versus-leukemia effect.
  Cytotherapy 1:7-19.
- 304. Lamb, L. S., Jr., P. Musk, Z. Ye, F. van Rhee, S. S. Geier, J. J. Tong, K. M. King, and P. J. Henslee-Downey. 2001. Human gammadelta(+) T lymphocytes have in vitro graft vs leukemia activity in the absence of an allogeneic response. Bone Marrow Transplant 27:601-606.
- 305. Godder, K. T., P. J. Henslee-Downey, J. Mehta, B. S. Park, K. Y. Chiang, S. Abhyankar, and L. S. Lamb. 2007. Long term disease-free survival in acute leukemia patients recovering with increased gammadelta T cells after partially mismatched related donor bone marrow transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 39:751-757.
- 306. Rischer, M., S. Pscherer, S. Duwe, J. Vormoor, H. Jurgens, and C. Rossig. 2004. Human gammadelta T cells as mediators of chimaeric-receptor redirected antitumour immunity. British Journal of Haematology 126:583-592.
- 307. Hanrahan, C. F., W. G. Kimpton, C. J. Howard, K. R. Parsons, M. R. Brandon, A. E. Andrews, and A. D. Nash. 1997. Cellular requirements for the activation and proliferation of ruminant gammadelta T cells. Journal of Immunology 159:4287-4294.
- 308. Garcia, V. E., D. Jullien, M. Song, K. Uyemura, K. Shuai, C. T. Morita, and R.L. Modlin. 1998. IL-15 enhances the response of human gamma delta T cells to

nonpeptide [correction of nonpetide] microbial antigens. Journal of Immunology 160:4322-4329.

- 309. Do, J. S., and B. Min. 2009. IL-15 produced and trans-presented by DCs underlies homeostatic competition between CD8 and {gamma}{delta} T cells in vivo. Blood 113:6361-6371.
- 310. O'Connor, C. M., S. Sheppard, C. A. Hartline, H. Huls, M. Johnson, S. L. Palla, S. Maiti, W. Ma, R. E. Davis, S. Craig, D. A. Lee, R. Champlin, H. Wilson, and L. J. Cooper. 2012. Adoptive T-cell therapy improves treatment of canine non-Hodgkin lymphoma post chemotherapy. Sci Rep 2:249.
- 311. Deniger, D. C., K. Switzer, T. Mi, S. Maiti, L. Hurton, H. Singh, H. Huls, S. Olivares, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2013. Bispecific T-cells expressing polyclonal repertoire of endogenous gammadelta T-cell receptors and introduced CD19-specific chimeric antigen receptor. Molecular Therapy : The Journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 21:638-647.
- 312. Kulkarni, M. M. 2011. Digital multiplexed gene expression analysis using the NanoString nCounter system. Curr Protoc Mol Biol Chapter 25:Unit25B 10.
- 313. Kang, N., J. Zhou, T. Zhang, L. Wang, F. Lu, Y. Cui, L. Cui, and W. He. 2009. Adoptive immunotherapy of lung cancer with immobilized anti-TCRgammadelta antibody-expanded human gammadelta T-cells in peripheral blood. Cancer Biology & Therapy 8:1540-1549.
- 314. Dokouhaki, P., M. Han, B. Joe, M. Li, M. R. Johnston, M. S. Tsao, and L. Zhang. 2010. Adoptive immunotherapy of cancer using ex vivo expanded human gammadelta T cells: A new approach. Cancer Letters 297:126-136.

- 315. Lopez, R. D., S. Xu, B. Guo, R. S. Negrin, and E. K. Waller. 2000. CD2mediated IL-12-dependent signals render human gamma delta-T cells resistant to mitogen-induced apoptosis, permitting the large-scale ex vivo expansion of functionally distinct lymphocytes: implications for the development of adoptive immunotherapy strategies. Blood 96:3827-3837.
- 316. Newton, D. J., E. M. Andrew, J. E. Dalton, R. Mears, and S. R. Carding. 2006. Identification of novel gammadelta T-cell subsets following bacterial infection in the absence of Vgamma1+ T cells: homeostatic control of gammadelta T-cell responses to pathogen infection by Vgamma1+ T cells. Infect Immun 74:1097-1105.
- 317. Olofsson, K., S. Hellstrom, and M. L. Hammarstrom. 1998. The surface epithelium of recurrent infected palatine tonsils is rich in gammadelta T cells. Clin Exp Immunol 111:36-47.
- Stresing, V., F. Daubine, I. Benzaid, H. Monkkonen, and P. Clezardin. 2007.
   Bisphosphonates in cancer therapy. Cancer Letters 257:16-35.
- 319. Angelini, D. F., G. Borsellino, M. Poupot, A. Diamantini, R. Poupot, G. Bernardi, F. Poccia, J. J. Fournie, and L. Battistini. 2004. FcgammaRIII discriminates between 2 subsets of Vgamma9Vdelta2 effector cells with different responses and activation pathways. Blood 104:1801-1807.
- 320. Haas, J. D., K. Nistala, F. Petermann, N. Saran, V. Chennupati, S. Schmitz, T. Korn, L. R. Wedderburn, R. Forster, A. Krueger, and I. Prinz. 2011. Expression of miRNAs miR-133b and miR-206 in the II17a/f locus is co-regulated with IL-17 production in alphabeta and gammadelta T cells. PloS One 6:e20171.

- 321. Kryczek, I., S. Wei, L. Zou, S. Altuwaijri, W. Szeliga, J. Kolls, A. Chang, and W. Zou. 2007. Cutting edge: Th17 and regulatory T cell dynamics and the regulation by IL-2 in the tumor microenvironment. Journal of Immunology 178:6730-6733.
- 322. Lai, D., F. Wang, Y. Chen, C. Wang, S. Liu, B. Lu, X. Ge, and L. Guo. 2011. Human ovarian cancer stem-like cells can be efficiently killed by gammadelta T lymphocytes. Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy : CII.
- 323. Middleton, G. W., N. E. Annels, and H. S. Pandha. 2011. Are we ready to start studies of Th17 cell manipulation as a therapy for cancer? Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy : CII.
- 324. Cua, D. J., and C. M. Tato. 2010. Innate IL-17-producing cells: the sentinels of the immune system. Nature Reviews. Immunology 10:479-489.
- 325. Haas, J. D., F. H. Gonzalez, S. Schmitz, V. Chennupati, L. Fohse, E. Kremmer, R. Forster, and I. Prinz. 2009. CCR6 and NK1.1 distinguish between IL-17A and IFN-gamma-producing gammadelta effector T cells. European Journal of Immunology 39:3488-3497.
- 326. Paulos, C. M., C. Carpenito, G. Plesa, M. M. Suhoski, A. Varela-Rohena, T. N. Golovina, R. G. Carroll, J. L. Riley, and C. H. June. 2010. The inducible costimulator (ICOS) is critical for the development of human T(H)17 cells. Science translational medicine 2:55ra78.
- 327. Turchinovich, G., and D. J. Pennington. 2011. T cell receptor signalling in gammadelta cell development: strength isn't everything. Trends in Immunology 32:567-573.

- 328. Janssen, O., S. Wesselborg, B. Heckl-Ostreicher, K. Pechhold, A. Bender, S. Schondelmaier, G. Moldenhauer, and D. Kabelitz. 1991. T cell receptor/CD3-signaling induces death by apoptosis in human T cell receptor gamma delta + T cells. Journal of Immunology 146:35-39.
- 329. Numbenjapon, T., L. M. Serrano, W. C. Chang, S. J. Forman, M. C. Jensen, and L. J. Cooper. 2007. Antigen-independent and antigen-dependent methods to numerically expand CD19-specific CD8+ T cells. Exp Hematol 35:1083-1090.
- 330. Sallusto, F., D. Lenig, R. Forster, M. Lipp, and A. Lanzavecchia. 1999. Two subsets of memory T lymphocytes with distinct homing potentials and effector functions. Nature 401:708-712.
- Unsoeld, H., and H. Pircher. 2005. Complex memory T-cell phenotypes revealed by coexpression of CD62L and CCR7. Journal of Virology 79:4510-4513.
- 332. Tomiyama, H., T. Matsuda, and M. Takiguchi. 2002. Differentiation of human CD8(+) T cells from a memory to memory/effector phenotype. Journal of Immunology 168:5538-5550.
- 333. Caccamo, N., C. La Mendola, V. Orlando, S. Meraviglia, M. Todaro, G. Stassi,
  G. Sireci, J. J. Fournie, and F. Dieli. 2011. Differentiation, phenotype, and
  function of interleukin-17-producing human Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells. Blood
  118:129-138.
- 334. Rostene, W., P. Kitabgi, and S. M. Parsadaniantz. 2007. Chemokines: a new class of neuromodulator? Nat Rev Neurosci 8:895-903.

- 335. Gilfillan, S., C. J. Chan, M. Cella, N. M. Haynes, A. S. Rapaport, K. S. Boles, D. M. Andrews, M. J. Smyth, and M. Colonna. 2008. DNAM-1 promotes activation of cytotoxic lymphocytes by nonprofessional antigen-presenting cells and tumors. The Journal of Experimental Medicine 205:2965-2973.
- 336. Bauer, S., V. Groh, J. Wu, A. Steinle, J. H. Phillips, L. L. Lanier, and T. Spies. 1999. Activation of NK cells and T cells by NKG2D, a receptor for stressinducible MICA. Science 285:727-729.
- 337. Bottino, C., R. Castriconi, D. Pende, P. Rivera, M. Nanni, B. Carnemolla, C. Cantoni, J. Grassi, S. Marcenaro, N. Reymond, M. Vitale, L. Moretta, M. Lopez, and A. Moretta. 2003. Identification of PVR (CD155) and Nectin-2 (CD112) as cell surface ligands for the human DNAM-1 (CD226) activating molecule. The Journal of Experimental Medicine 198:557-567.
- 338. Jensen, H., M. Hagemann-Jensen, F. Lauridsen, and S. Skov. 2013. Regulation of NKG2D-ligand cell surface expression by intracellular calcium after HDACinhibitor treatment. Molecular Immunology 53:255-264.
- 339. Pende, D., P. Rivera, S. Marcenaro, C. C. Chang, R. Biassoni, R. Conte, M. Kubin, D. Cosman, S. Ferrone, L. Moretta, and A. Moretta. 2002. Major histocompatibility complex class I-related chain A and UL16-binding protein expression on tumor cell lines of different histotypes: analysis of tumor susceptibility to NKG2D-dependent natural killer cell cytotoxicity. Cancer Research 62:6178-6186.
- Pende, D., C. Cantoni, P. Rivera, M. Vitale, R. Castriconi, S. Marcenaro, M.
   Nanni, R. Biassoni, C. Bottino, A. Moretta, and L. Moretta. 2001. Role of

NKG2D in tumor cell lysis mediated by human NK cells: cooperation with natural cytotoxicity receptors and capability of recognizing tumors of nonepithelial origin. European Journal of Immunology 31:1076-1086.

- 341. Turchinovich, G., and A. C. Hayday. 2011. Skint-1 identifies a common molecular mechanism for the development of interferon-gamma-secreting versus interleukin-17-secreting gammadelta T cells. Immunity 35:59-68.
- 342. Lam, V., R. DeMars, B. P. Chen, J. A. Hank, S. Kovats, P. Fisch, and P. M. Sondel. 1990. Human T cell receptor-gamma delta-expressing T-cell lines recognize MHC-controlled elements on autologous EBV-LCL that are not HLA-A, -B, -C, -DR, -DQ, or -DP. Journal of Immunology 145:36-45.
- 343. Bhaduri-McIntosh, S., M. J. Rotenberg, B. Gardner, M. Robert, and G. Miller. 2008. Repertoire and frequency of immune cells reactive to Epstein-Barr virusderived autologous lymphoblastoid cell lines. Blood 111:1334-1343.
- 344. Micklethwaite, K. P., B. Savoldo, P. J. Hanley, A. M. Leen, G. J. Demmler-Harrison, L. J. Cooper, H. Liu, A. P. Gee, E. J. Shpall, C. M. Rooney, H. E. Heslop, M. K. Brenner, C. M. Bollard, and G. Dotti. 2010. Derivation of human T lymphocytes from cord blood and peripheral blood with antiviral and antileukemic specificity from a single culture as protection against infection and relapse after stem cell transplantation. Blood 115:2695-2703.
- 345. Torikai, H., A. Reik, P. Q. Liu, Y. Zhou, L. Zhang, S. Maiti, H. Huls, J. C. Miller, P. Kebriaei, B. Rabinovitch, D. A. Lee, R. E. Champlin, C. Bonini, L. Naldini, E. J. Rebar, P. D. Gregory, M. C. Holmes, and L. J. Cooper. 2012. A foundation for universal T-cell based immunotherapy: T cells engineered to

express a CD19-specific chimeric-antigen-receptor and eliminate expression of endogenous TCR. Blood 119:5697-5705.

- 346. Kebriaei, P., H. Huls, B. Jena, M. Munsell, R. Jackson, D. A. Lee, P. B. Hackett, G. Rondon, E. Shpall, R. E. Champlin, and L. J. Cooper. 2012. Infusing CD19directed T cells to augment disease control in patients undergoing autologous hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation for advanced B-lymphoid malignancies. Human Gene Therapy 23:444-450.
- 347. Anderson, J., K. Gustafsson, and N. Himoudi. 2012. Licensing of killer dendritic cells in mouse and humans: functional similarities between IKDC and human blood gammadelta T-lymphocytes. Journal of Immunotoxicology 9:259-266.
- 348. Himoudi, N., D. A. Morgenstern, M. Yan, B. Vernay, L. Saraiva, Y. Wu, C. J. Cohen, K. Gustafsson, and J. Anderson. 2012. Human gammadelta T lymphocytes are licensed for professional antigen presentation by interaction with opsonized target cells. Journal of Immunology 188:1708-1716.
- 349. Anderson, J., K. Gustafsson, N. Himoudi, M. Yan, and J. Heuijerjans. 2012. Licensing of gammadeltaT cells for professional antigen presentation: A new role for antibodies in regulation of antitumor immune responses. Oncoimmunology 1:1652-1654.
- 350. Kryczek, I., M. Banerjee, P. Cheng, L. Vatan, W. Szeliga, S. Wei, E. Huang, E. Finlayson, D. Simeone, T. H. Welling, A. Chang, G. Coukos, R. Liu, and W. Zou. 2009. Phenotype, distribution, generation, and functional and clinical relevance of Th17 cells in the human tumor environments. Blood 114:1141-1149.

- 351. DeBarros, A., M. Chaves-Ferreira, F. d'Orey, J. C. Ribot, and B. Silva-Santos. 2011. CD70-CD27 interactions provide survival and proliferative signals that regulate T cell receptor-driven activation of human gammadelta peripheral blood lymphocytes. European Journal of Immunology 41:195-201.
- 352. Ribot, J. C., A. deBarros, D. J. Pang, J. F. Neves, V. Peperzak, S. J. Roberts, M. Girardi, J. Borst, A. C. Hayday, D. J. Pennington, and B. Silva-Santos. 2009.
  CD27 is a thymic determinant of the balance between interferon-gamma- and interleukin 17-producing gammadelta T cell subsets. Nature Immunology 10:427-436.
- 353. Di Ianni, M., L. Moretti, A. Terenzi, F. Bazzucchi, B. Del Papa, M. Bazzucchi, R. Ciurnelli, A. Lucchesi, P. Sportoletti, E. Rosati, P. F. Marconi, F. Falzetti, and A. Tabilio. 2009. Activated autologous T cells exert an anti-B-cell chronic lymphatic leukemia effect in vitro and in vivo. Cytotherapy 11:86-96.
- 354. Kang, N., L. Tang, X. Li, D. Wu, W. Li, X. Chen, L. Cui, D. Ba, and W. He. 2009. Identification and characterization of Foxp3(+) gammadelta T cells in mouse and human. Immunology Letters 125:105-113.
- 355. Lu, X., and Y. Kang. 2010. Hypoxia and hypoxia-inducible factors: master regulators of metastasis. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 16:5928-5935.
- 356. Zhu, P., Y. Ning, L. Yao, M. Chen, and C. Xu. 2010. The proliferation, apoptosis, invasion of endothelial-like epithelial ovarian cancer cells induced by hypoxia. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 29:124.

357. Turkman, N., A. Shavrin, R. A. Ivanov, B. Rabinovich, A. Volgin, J. G. Gelovani, and M. M. Alauddin. 2011. Fluorinated cannabinoid CB2 receptor ligands: synthesis and in vitro binding characteristics of 2-oxoquinoline derivatives. Bioorg Med Chem 19:5698-5707.

## VITA

Drew Caldwell Deniger was born on January 2, in Dallas, TX to William Southgate Deniger, Jr. and Jeanne Caldwell Deniger. He attended Dallas' Hillcrest High School where he served as the art and design editor for the school's newspaper, *The Hurricane*, and played varsity soccer and football. After graduating from Hillcrest in 1998, he enrolled at The University of Texas at Austin where he earned B.S. degrees in Chemistry and Biochemistry. He then worked as a research associate for three years in the lab of Robert A. Davey, Ph.D. at The University of Texas Medical Branch in Galveston, TX. In 2006, he enrolled at The University of Texas Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences in the M.S. program under the mentorship of Madeleine Duvic, M.D. and graduated two years later with a thesis focused on metastatic melanoma. He then enrolled in the Ph.D. program at The University of Texas Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences and joined the Immunology Program and the laboratory of Laurence J.N. Cooper, M.D., Ph.D. in the Division of Pediatrics at The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center.