# Texas Medical Center Library DigitalCommons@The Texas Medical Center

UT GSBS Dissertations and Theses (Open Access)

Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences

12-2011

# Prognostic significance of xCT polymorphisms and expression in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer treated with chemotherapy

Tzu-chuan Jane Huang MD

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.library.tmc.edu/utgsbs\_dissertations Part of the <u>Medicine and Health Sciences Commons</u>

### **Recommended** Citation

Huang, Tzu-chuan Jane MD, "Prognostic significance of xCT polymorphisms and expression in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer treated with chemotherapy" (2011). UT GSBS Dissertations and Theses (Open Access). Paper 219.

This Thesis (MS) is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences at DigitalCommons@The Texas Medical Center. It has been accepted for inclusion in UT GSBS Dissertations and Theses (Open Access) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@The Texas Medical Center. For more information, please contact laurel.sanders@library.tmc.edu.



# Prognostic significance of xCT polymorphisms and expression in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer treated with chemotherapy

by

Tzu-chuan Jane Huang, MD

**APPROVED:** 

Milind Javle, MD Supervisory Professor

Donghui Li, PhD

Robert Wolff, MD

James Abbruzzese, MD

Razelle Kurzrock, MD

**APPROVED:** 

Dean, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences Prognostic significance of xCT polymorphisms and expression in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer treated with chemotherapy

Α

# THESIS

# Presented to the Faculty of the University of Texas

Health Science Center at Houston

and

M. D. Anderson Cancer Center

Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences

in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the Degree of

# MASTER OF SCIENCE

by

Tzu-chuan Jane Huang, MD

Houston, Texas

December 2011

### Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to those who made this possible:

My parents, Ping-yuan and Yao-wen Huang, for instilling in me the belief that hard work and perseverance bring limitless possibilities. They have encouraged me to go after my dreams. I could not have asked for more loving and supportive parents.

My brothers, William and Kevin Huang, for supporting me and giving me perspective through challenging times. Growing up alongside them was very special.

My husband, Philipp Torres, for believing in me with his unyielding support and love. He has been my closest friend and has kept me grounded through all the experiences of medical school, residency and fellowship training, and working as physicians. Having him as a life partner makes everything better.

My children, Marin and Garrett Torres, for their patience and love. They have shown me real joy and inspire me to continually improve.

My current mentors, Milind Javle and Donghui Li - as well as former - for their selfless tutelage in developing my interest in research.

# Acknowledgements

These studies were partially supported by funding from The Halpern Funds, provided under the direction of Dr. Robert Wolff. I am appreciative of the following individuals, who contributed to the completion of my thesis project: Dr. Yanan Li, Siddhartha Kar, Jacqueline Weatherly, and Hongwei Tang. I would like to thank my thesis committee members for their willingness to guide and refine my thesis project.

# Prognostic significance of xCT polymorphisms and expression in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer treated with chemotherapy

# Tzu-chuan Jane Huang, MD Supervisory Professor: Milind Javle, MD

The plasma membrane x<sub>c</sub> cystine/glutamate transporter mediates cellular uptake of cystine in exchange for intracellular glutamate and is highly expressed by pancreatic cancer cells. The *xCT* gene, encoding the cystine-specific xCT protein subunit of x<sub>c</sub>, is important in regulating intracellular glutathione (GSH) levels, critical for cancer cell protection against oxidative stress, tumor growth and resistance to chemotherapeutic agents including platinum. We examined 4 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the *xCT* gene in 269 advanced pancreatic cancer patients who received first line gemcitabine with or without cisplatin or oxaliplatin. Genotyping was performed using Taqman real-time PCR assays. A statistically significant correlation was noted between the 3' untranslated region (UTR) xCT SNP rs7674870 and overall survival (OS): Median survival time (MST) was 10.9 and 13.6 months, respectively, for the TT and TC/CC genotypes (p = 0.027). Stratified analysis showed the genotype effect was significant in patients receiving gemcitabine in combination with platinum therapy (n = 145): MST was 10.5 versus 14.1 months for the TT and TC/CC genotypes, respectively (p = 0.013). The 3' UTR xCT SNP rs7674870 may correlate with OS in pancreatic cancer patients receiving gemcitabine and platinum combination therapy. Paraffin-embedded core and

surgical biopsy tumor specimens from 98 patients with metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma were analyzed by immunohistochemistry using an xCT specific antibody. xCT protein IHC expression scores were analyzed in relation to overall survival in 86 patients and genotype in 12 patients and no statistically significant association was found between the level of xCT IHC expression score and overall survival (p = 0.514). When xCT expression was analyzed in terms of treatment response, no statistically significant associations could be determined (p = 0.908). These data suggest that polymorphic variants of *xCT* may have predictive value, and that the xc- transporter may represent an important target for therapy in pancreatic cancer.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dedication	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	v
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	х
List of Abbreviations	xi
Chapter I: Introduction	1
Pancreatic adenocarcinoma	
Molecular heterogeneity in pancreatic cancer	
Challenges of current therapy of pancreatic cancer	
Role of platinum analogues in pancreatic cancer	
Platinum resistance	
Cystine-Glutamate antiporter (System xc-)	
Pharmacogenomics and personalization of cancer therapy	
xCT and chemoresistance	
Chapter II: Methods	9
Study population	
Clinical data collection	
Specimen collection and DNA extraction	
Genotyping	

	Immunohistochemistry	
	Grading of immunohistochemistry slides	
	Survival measurements	
	Statistical analysis	
Chap	ter III: Results	16
	SNP Analysis: Patient characteristics and clinical predictors	
	Genotype and association with overall survival	
	Immunohistochemistry	
Chap	ter IV: Discussion	26
Refer	rences	32
Vita		40

viii

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	System xc-: Cystine/glutamate antiporter and the pancreatic cell	6
Figure 2	Kaplan-Meier curve of overall survival in all patients by the rs7674870 genotype	18
Figure 3	Overall survival by genotype in patients receiving first- line gemcitabine monotherapy	20
Figure 4	Survival by genotype in patients receiving gemcitabine + platinum	21

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	SNPs evaluated	11
Table 2.	SNP analysis: patient characteristics	16
Table 3.	SNP analysis: clinical prognostic factors	17
Table 4.	Overall survival by genotype	19
Table 5.	Immunohistochemistry: patient characteristics	23
Table 6.	xCT immunohistochemistry and overall survival	24
Table 7.	Table 7.Average immunohistochemistry score and chemotherapy response	
Table 8.	xCT expression correlated to chemotherapy response	25
Table 9.Immunohistochemistry: survival analysis of patients treated with gemcitabine		25
Table 10.	Immunohistochemistry: survival analysis of patients treated with gemcitabine and platinum agent	25

# COMMONLY USED ABBREVIATIONS

°C	Centigrade
CA19-9	Carbohydrate antigen 19-9
Chr	Chromosome
СІ	Confidence interval
DAB	Diaminobenzidine
dH20	Distilled water
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
ECOG	Eastern cooperative oncology group
ERCC1	Excision repair cross-complementation group 1
Ex	Exon
FDA	Food and drug administration
FFPE	Formalin fixed paraffin embedded
FOLFIRINOX	5-fluorouracil, leucovorin, irinotecan, oxaliplatin
GSH	Glutathione
H202	Hydrogen peroxide
HR	Hazard ratio
IHC	Immunohistochemistry
MAF	Minor allele frequency
MST	Median survival time
mRNA	Messenger ribonucleic acid
μL	Microliter
μm	Micrometer

MMR	Mismatch repair					
NCI	National Cancer Institute					
ng	Nanogram					
OS	Overall survival					
PBS	Phosphate buffered solution					
PD	Progressive disease					
PFS	Progression free survival					
PR	Partial response					
RNA	Ribonucleic acid					
ROS	Reactive oxygen species					
SD	Stable disease					
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism					
System xc-	Cystine/Glutamate antiporter					
UGT	Uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase					
UTMDACC	University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center					
UTR	Untranslated region					
хСТ	Cystine specific subunit of system xC-					

### Chapter 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma

In 2011, approximately 44,030 new pancreatic adenocarcinoma cases will be diagnosed in the United States, with 37,660 estimated resulting deaths [1]. Although accounting for only 3% of all new cases of cancer, pancreatic cancer continues to be the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death for both men and women in the United States [1]. The diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma portends a poor prognosis with a mortality rate nearly matching its incidence [1]. This is a highly aggressive cancer that causes substantial disease-related morbidity, metastasizes early in its natural history, and exhibits treatment resistance [2]. While surgery is the only potentially curative therapeutic modality when a microscopic margin negative resection is achieved, only 15–20% of patients have resectable pancreatic cancer. Of these resected early stage pancreatic adenocarcinomas, the 5-year survival rate is only 20% due to eventual development of metastases [3]. Despite advances in conventional multimodality approaches of surgery, radiation and chemotherapy, mortality rates of pancreatic adenocarcinoma have remained relatively unchanged for the last two decades and contribute to a five year overall survival rate of less than 4% [2, 4]. For this reason, understanding the contribution of molecular mechanisms to disease natural history and identifying novel molecular markers are important goals in the management of this cancer.

### Molecular Heterogeneity in Pancreatic Cancer

Progressive accumulation of both inherited and acquired mutations leads to the molecular heterogeneity of pancreatic adenocarcinoma [5]. This genetic heterogeneity can be considered broadly in terms of three main molecular events: oncogenic activation driven by genetic mutations, inactivation of tumor suppressor genes, and inactivation of genome maintenance genes critical to cellular repair mechanisms [6]. The extensive inter-tumor genetic variability existing from individual to individual gives rise to multiple permutations of genetic changes. Jones et al. demonstrated this high complexity of the pancreatic cancer genome by determining each cancer has an average of 63 somatic alterations, most of which are point mutations [7]. However, the deregulation of 12 core biological regulatory processes or pathways underlie these large numbers of functional genetic alterations in the majority of pancreatic tumors [7]. Due to this considerable degree of genetic heterogeneity coupled with disappointing survival outcomes with current available therapies, patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma are in particular need of a personalized approach to cancer therapy.

### Challenges of Current Therapy of Pancreatic Cancer

The majority of patients present with unresectable late stage locally advanced or metastatic disease (stage III or IV) that precludes cure by radiotherapy or surgery and have tumors highly resistant to most chemotherapies [8, 9]. Despite the role of cytotoxic chemotherapy as the mainstay of pancreatic cancer therapy, most patients with pancreatic cancer will eventually progress and develop distant metastatic disease. For patients with advanced disease, mono- or combination systemic chemotherapy that is gemcitabine or fluoropyrimidines-based currently is the standard of care. In metastatic disease, treatment with gemcitabine is associated with symptom improvement in more than 20% of patients and offers a slight survival benefit (5.65 versus 4.4 month overall median survival) when compared to patients treated with 5-fluorouracil [10]. Drug resistance has hindered gains in survival and kept beneficial effects largely confined to symptom palliation [11].

### **Role of Platinum Analogues in Pancreatic Cancer**

Combination chemotherapy in pancreatic cancer has resulted in improved outcomes for patients possessing a good functional performance status [12]. The combination of gemcitabine and a platinum analogue has become first line standard care treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer patients based on results from a meta-analysis of randomized trials [13]. While the combination of gemcitabine with cisplatin has not yielded significant survival benefit over single agent gemcitabine in Phase III studies [14-16], individuals with certain heritable forms of pancreatic cancer may exhibit particular disease sensitivity to platinum agents and benefit with improved responses to this regimen [17-19]. When compared to gemcitabine monotherapy, the addition of oxaliplatin to gemcitabine in advanced pancreatic cancer patients has demonstrated clinically significant advantages of superior response rates, median progression-free survival, and disease-related symptom palliation [20]. More recently, the drug regimen of 5-fluorouracil, leucovorin, irinotecan and oxaliplatin (FOLFIRINOX) resulted in more promising results with significant overall survival advantage (10.5 months versus 6.9 months, p>0.001) when compared with single agent gemcitabine. FOLFIRINOX is now considered the preferred frontline treatment regimen for good performance status patients with unresectable or metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma [12]. The improved clinical outcomes prompting increased use of platinum analogues in the frontline and salvage settings warrant further study of underlying molecular pathways particular to platinum resistance.

### **Platinum Resistance**

Gaining further understanding of drug-resistance mechanisms is essential to improving the treatment outcome of patients with pancreatic cancer, as the identification of novel targets could lead to the development of therapeutic strategies and provide valuable information to optimize patient selection for particular drugs. Studies in pancreatic cancer have shown that acquired and intrinsic drug resistance is mediated by multiple mechanisms within or outside the cell or at the cell membrane resulting from the dysregulated expression of proteins regulating cell proliferation, death, transport and metabolism of drugs, and DNA repair [21].Two key DNA repair pathways of nucleotide excision repair and mismatch repair are thought to be primary drivers determining sensitivity to cisplatin and its analogues [22]. *In* vitro studies in ovarian and testis tumor cell lines demonstrate that deficiency of the excision repair cross-complementation group 1 (ERCC 1) protein, which is required for the excision of damaged DNA, interrupts the highly conserved nucleotide excision repair DNA repair pathway and leads to

decreased cisplatin sensitivity [23, 24]. Mismatch repair pathway (MMR) deficiency applies to the platinum agents cisplatin and carboplatin. Inherited genetic changes or acquired defects due to epigenetic silencing results in failure of repair proteins to recognize mismatched or unmatched DNA base pairs or insertion-deletion loops and, thus, inability to correct platinum induced DNA damage [25-28]. As a result, cells become resistant to cisplatin and carboplatin, continuing to proliferate despite sustaining treatment-generated DNA damage. Oxaliplatin shows only partial cross resistance to cisplatin in preclinical studies [29]. In addition to causing DNA damage, preclinical data suggests that cisplatin and oxaliplatin activate cell death through generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS); another mechanism of both cisplatin and oxaliplatin resistance results from generation of the intracellular antioxidant molecule, glutathione (GSH), which mediates elimination of druginduced ROS [29, 30, 31]

### Cystine-Glutamate Antiporter (System xc-)

The plasma membrane cystine/glutamate antiporter (system xc-) is an amino acid transport system consisting of a light protein subunit with specificity for cystine, xCT (*SLC7A11* gene), that is coupled to a ubiquitous non-specific heavy protein subunit found in other transporters, 4F2hc (*SLC3A2* gene) [32]. In human tissues and cells, system xc- expression has mainly been demonstrated in the pancreas, along with other cells from the brain, stromal and immune system [33]. A variety of cancer cells also express system xc-, including prostate cancer, lymphoma, glioma, lung cancer and pancreatic cancer [34-37]. xCT transports extracellular cystine

(oxidized form of cysteine) in to cells in exchange for the efflux of glutamate in an obligate relationship at a 1:1 ratio (Fig 1) [33]. Once inside the cell, the dimeric amino acid cystine rapidly reduces to cysteine, the rate-limiting substrate for glutathione biosynthesis [38]. GSH is a tripeptide thiol of glutamate, cysteine and glycine, functioning as a major protective redox-regulatory molecule against free radical induced cellular damage, mutagens, toxins, and drugs [39, 40]. GSH is also co-factor for antioxidant enzymes and, thus, is a major reactive oxygen species scavenger [41]. Thus, xCT plays a critical role protecting cells by counteracting conditions of oxidative stress through its regulation of cystine influx and hence intracellular GSH levels and contributing to cellular detoxification of chemotherapy [42]. This antiporter keeps the redox relationship between extracellular cystine and cysteine in equilibrium [33, 43].



Fig. 1. System xc-: Cystine/Glutamate Antiporter and the Pancreatic Cell.

#### Pharmacogenomics & Personalization of Cancer Therapy

Pharmacogenomics is the study of how an individual's genotype influences the body's response to drugs and can give insight to drug efficacy in specific patient populations. The term comes from the words pharmacology and genomics and represents the intersection of both disciplines. Germline single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the gene encoding the detoxification enzyme, uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 1A1, have been linked to increased toxicity to the drug irinotecan [44]. Patients homozygous for the *UGT1A1\*28* allele metabolize the excretion of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38, more slowly and are at increased risk for neutropenia following this therapy [45, 46]. With FDA approval of a test to identify individuals carrying this mutation, identification of this genetic variant illustrates the predictive possibilities of SNPs. These techniques hold promise for individualizing and optimizing treatments for patients with pancreatic cancer.

### xCT and Chemoresistance

Given the role of xc- system in the maintainance of intracellular GSH, it may play an important role in cellular resistance to cisplatin, oxaliplatin and other chemotherapeutic agents. System xc- has been demonstrated to contribute to chemotherapy resistance in preclinical studies, with resistance of tumor cells to anticancer drugs correlated with increased GSH levels. The level of xCT expression can be induced in conditions of oxidative stress and seems also to play a role in cancer cell proliferation [37]. Microarray gene expression analysis of

system xc- in 60 human cancer cell lines used by the National Cancer Institute for drug screening (NCI-60) demonstrated that the level of xCT expression is positively correlated with sensitivity of tumor cells to anticancer drugs, with its inhibition compromising both cellular redox defense and resistance to multiple drugs [47]. Lo et al demonstrated that the highly chemotherapy resistant pancreatic cell line PANC-1 expresses higher xCT expression in comparison to pancreatic cell lines MIAPaCa-2 and BxPC-3 [37]. Similar findings of higher xCT expression correlated with cisplatin resistance also has been demonstrated in resistant human ovarian cancer and colon cancer cell lines. Further, data from *in vitro* systems have shown that inhibition of xCT restores sensitivity to gemcitabine [49]. An understanding of the pharmacology including the pharmacogenomics of the xc- system is therefore worthy of further study.

From these preclinical observations, the following hypotheses are made: 1) genetic variations of the cystine/glutamate transporter are associated with overall survival and response to chemotherapy in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer treated with gemcitabine +/- cisplatin and 2) high xCT expression in pancreatic cancer tissue is associated with a lower overall survival in patients with unresectable advanced pancreatic cancer.

# Chapter 2

### METHODS

### **Study Population**

Patients were initially identified from patients participating in a case-control study of pancreatic cancer conducted at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (Houston, Texas) from 1999 through 2009. The study was approved by the institutional review board of University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center. The eligibility criteria included patients having: a diagnosis of a primary pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma that was pathologically confirmed at MD Anderson, gave consent to blood donation, no prior therapy received, and who received first-line single-agent gemcitabine or gemcitabine in combination with cisplatin or oxaliplatin treatment at MD Anderson. All patients signed an informed consent for medical record review and provided a sample of whole blood by peripheral phlebotomy. Clinical, pathology, and radiographic records of the selected patients were then reviewed using the institutional electronic medical records database (ClinicStation<sup>™</sup>) to confirm their diagnosis and disease stage. Available outside records which had been digitally scanned into the system were also reviewed. Patients who were seen only at their initial visit without subsequent follow up visits at MD Anderson were excluded. Patients who had pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors were also excluded.

### **Clinical Data Collection**

Clinical information was retrieved by reviewing patients' medical records and included gender, age at diagnosis, date of pathologic diagnosis, clinical tumor stage (resectable, locally advanced, metastasized, and unstaged), serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) values (unit/mL) at diagnosis, patient performance status, chemotherapy received in the first-line setting at the time of metastasis and date of death or last follow-up. Overall survival duration was calculated from the time of pathologic diagnosis to the date of death or last follow-up. The clinical information was double-checked by different researchers. Clinical response to chemotherapy was assessed by evaluation of radiographic reports and determination by the treating physicians as documented in clinical progress notes. The clinical endpoint was overall survival and treatment response.

### Specimen Collection and DNA Extraction

Peripheral lymphocytes were collected from freshly drawn blood by Ficoll–Hypaque (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ) density gradient centrifugation and stored at –80 ℃. The FlexiGene DNA kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) and the Maxwell 16 automated system (Promega, Madison, WI) were used to extract DNA, which was stored at 4 ℃.

### Genotyping

Four functional SNPs located in the coding region (synonymous) or the untranslated region (UTR) of the SLC7A11 gene were selected. The four SNPs included three

synonymous SNPs of rs35701885, rs4479754, rs6838248 and one 3'-UTR (untranslated region) SNP rs7674870. The gene, chromosome (Chr) location, function, amino acid changes and minor allele frequency (MAF) of the 4 SNPs evaluated in this study are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. SNPs evaluated

Gene	Chr	dbSNP rs#	Chr Position	Genomic Systematic	Proteomic Systematic	Function	Wild type allele	Variant allele	MAF *	
SLC7A11	4q28-q32	rs7674870	139308913	Ex12+3709T>C	3'UTR	3' UTR	A C	0.35		
			rs35701885	139323865	Ex8+45G>A	P320P	Synonymous	G	А	0.04
		rs4479754	139319822	Ex11-2G>A	S481S	Synonymous	A	G	0.08	
		rs6838248	139359944	Ex5+26C>G	Ex5+26C>G	Synonymous	С	G	0.331	

\* Allele frequencies obtained from the national center for biotechnology information dbSNP cancer database.

Genotyping was performed using the Taqman 5' nuclease assay. Primers and TaqMan MGB probes were provided by TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay Services (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). PCR was performed in a 5-µL total volume consisting of TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix, 20 ng of genomic DNA (diluted with dH<sub>2</sub>O), and TaqMan SNP genotyping assay mix. Alleles were discriminated by running end point detection using an ABI Prism 7900HT sequence detection system and SDS 2.3 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Approximately 10% of samples were analyzed in duplicate, and inconsistent data were excluded from final analysis.

### Immunohistochemistry

In addition to the above described cohort, patients with metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma evaluated at MD Anderson were also identified from their medical records. Pathology records of these patients were then used to determine the availability of patient tissue samples. For patients who had a biopsy or surgical procedure at MD Anderson, their formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue samples were requested and obtained from the pathology file room. For patients treated at MD Anderson who received a biopsy or surgery at other institutions, formalin fixed paraffin embedded samples from these patients were also requested and obtained from outside hospitals. All patients had their pathologic samples confirmed by a pathologist at MD Anderson.

Formulin fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) core and surgical biopsy tumor specimens from 98 patients with metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma were analyzed by immunohistochemistry (IHC). Tissue samples were cut to 4-5 µm thick sections using an automated Leica RM2255 rotary microtome and mounted on silanized positively charged slides.

FFPE tissue histology sections were deparaffinized, hydrated and incubated for 120 minutes. Antigen retrieval was performed using steam preheated to 92-97 °C and submerged in 0.01 M Citrate at pH 6. Samples were cooled for 20 minutes at room temperature and then washed in 4X PBS for 15 minutes. Peroxide blocking was done with 3%  $H_2O_2$  in PBS at room temperature for 10 min, followed by washing in

4X PBS for 15 minutes, and then blocked with normal serum for 20 minutes at room temperature.

Slides were incubated with a rabbit primary polyclonal antibody against xCT (Novus Biologicals, LLC, Littleton, CO) at 1:100 dilution at 4 °C overnight and then probed at room temperature for 60 minutes with the secondary antibody Vectastain Elite (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Samples were washed for 5 minutes using 3X PBS and incubated with ABC reagent for 30 minutes. Staining was developed with 0.05% 3,3'-Diaminobenzidine (DAB) substrate (Invitrogen, a division of Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) and counterstained with hematoxylin.

### Grading of IHC slides

IHC scores were calculated by the product of intensity and extent of xCT expression by visualization of 6 fields (staining-intensity X percentage of staining-extent). The intensity of tumor staining for xCT was quantified using a four value intensity score that was categorized as: absent (score 0, non-expressed), very weak (score 1, slightly expressed), weak (score 2, expressed), or strong (score 3, highly expressed). Detection of positive staining in  $\leq$ 50% or >50% resulted in a respective score of 1 or 2 for staining extent. Cells with a final score  $\geq$ 2 were considered positive for protein expression in cytoplasmic (membrane) staining.

### **Survival Measurements**

Overall survival was measured from the date of diagnosis to the date of death or last follow-up. Dates of death were obtained and confirmed using at least one of the following three methods: Social Security Death Index (www.deathindexes.com/ssdi.html), inpatient medical records, and the MD Anderson tumor registry.

### **Statistical Analysis**

The genotype distribution was tested for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium with the goodness-of-fit X<sup>2</sup> test. The heterozygous and homozygous genotypes were collapsed in the analysis if the frequency of the homozygous mutant was very low or if the homozygous and heterozygous genotypes had the same direction of effect, e.g., both had reduced survival time compared to the referent group.

Median survival times (MST) were calculated for all patients. Kaplan-Meier method was used for survival analyses, groups were compared using log-rank test. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were estimated using univariable or multivariate Cox proportional hazard models. Known or potential prognostic clinical factors (CA 19-9, race, performance status) were included in the multivariate model when appropriate. All statistical testing was conducted with SPSS software, version 17.0 (SPSS), and statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05. All tests were two sided. The false-positive report probability for the observed statistically significant association was estimated using the methods described by Wacholder et al [50]. A

prior probability of 25% was considered appropriate given the biologic plausibility and previous established biostatistical evidence in support of such an association. The false-positive report probability value for noteworthiness was set as 0.2.

### Immunohistochemistry

xCT protein IHC expression scores were analyzed in relation to overall survival and response to treatment of the patients. Mean differences of groups were analyzed using the one factor ANOVA test. Dichotomous scoring, with 0 representing expression scores  $\leq$  3 and 1 representing expression scores > 3, was also used to evaluate the association between the protein expression and overall survival of the patients. Kaplan-Meier method was used for survival analyses, groups were compared using log-rank test. Cox proportional hazard regression models were fitted to determine the association between xCT IHC expression and overall survival. Prognostic variables entered into the model included ECOG performance status, CA 19-9, and stage.

## Chapter 3

### RESULTS

### SNP Analysis.

## Patient characteristics and clinical predictors

The patient characteristics are summarized in Table 2. There were no significant differences in overall survival by age, sex, or race of the 269 patients evaluated. Of them, 148 (55%) patients had metastatic disease (stage 4) and 121 (45%) patients had locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma (stage 3).

Variable	Number of	Percentage (%)
	Patients (n)	
Age		
<u>&lt;</u> 50	39	14.5
51-60	67	24.9
61-70	102	37.9
>70	61	22.7
Sex		
Male	159	59.1
Female	110	40.9
Race		
White	242	90
Hispanic	14	5.2
Black	10	3.7
Asian	3	1.1
Stage		
3	121	45
4	148	55

### Table 2. SNP Analysis: Patient characteristics (Total n = 269).

We identified three prognostic factors that were significantly associated with improved survival outcomes, which include an earlier disease stage, a lower CA 19-9 tumor marker, and a better performance status (Table 3). This analysis was consistent with previously identified independent prognostic factors in advanced pancreatic cancer published in the literature, demonstrating our patients are a representative cohort.

	N. patients	No. deaths	MST (months)	95% Cl	p value
Stage					0.002
3	121	110	15.7	13.84-	
4	148	138	9.7	17.63	
				7.95-	
				11.45	
*ECOG Performance					0.026
Status	29	24	15.7	13.77-	
0	129	121	13.2	17.7	
1	23	23	10.4	11.69-	
2				14.77	
				6.94-	
				13.93	
CA 19-9					0.005
<47	35	28	17.8	11.47-	
48-500	93	86	14.1	24.13	
>500	126	119	11.4	12.34-	
				15.92	
				9.65-	
				13.15	

 Table 3. SNP Analysis: Clinical Prognostic Factors (n=269).

Information was missing from 88 patients.

## Genotype and association with OS.

Of the four SNPs evaluated, one showed a significant association with OS, i.e. the 3' UTR xCT gene SNP, rs7874870. As shown in Figure 2, patients having CC and

TC genotypes had a significantly better overall survival than the TT genotype, the median survival time (MST) was 13.7, 13.3, and 10.9 months, respectively (p value = 0.023). We estimated the false-positive report probability of the xCT SNP rs7674870 to be 0.077, given a prior probability of 25%. It is below the threshold of 0.20 indicating noteworthiness.

**Figure 2.** Kaplan-Meier curve of overall survival in all patients by the **rs7674870 genotype.** The genotype is indicated by the blue (TT homozygous), red (TC heterozygous) and the green (CC homozygous) lines.



Because of similar survival, the CC and TC groups were combined for further statistical analysis. This range of overall survival is comparable with the general population of pancreatic cancer patients and further confirms our study population is a representative cohort. None of the three synonymous SNPs were found to be significantly associated with OS. The genotype frequencies, MSTs and hazard ratios (95% CI) are shown in Table 4.

SNP	Number of patients (n)	MST (month)	HR (95% CI)	p value
rs4479754				0.646
AA	260	12.3	1.0	
AG	4	5.9		
GG	1	9.2		
AG/GG			1.2 (0.54-2.66)	
rs6838248				0.990
CC	82	12.7		
CG	113	12.3	1.0	
GG	70	11.1	1.01 (0.85-1.19)	
rs35701885				0.543
GG	200	12.4	1.0	
GA	21	15.1		
AA	0	-		
GA/AA			0.92 (0.59-1.43)	

### Table 4. OS by genotype.

Stratified analysis showed that this genotype effect remained significant in patients receiving gemcitabine in combination with platinum analogs, with MST of 10.5 months for the TT genotype and 14.1 months for the TC/CC genotypes (p value = 0.011) (Fig 3).

# Figure 3. Survival by genotype in patients receiving Gemcitabine + Platinum Therapy



Genotype	n	MST (months)	HR (95% CI)	p value
TC/CC	95	14.1	0.60 (0.40-0.89)	0.011
TT	45	10.5	1.0	

The genotype effect was not significant in patients treated with gemcitabine monotherapy, with MST of 10.9 months for TT and 12.0 months for TC/CC genotypes (p value = 0.47) (Fig 4).

Figure 4. Overall survival by genotype in patients receiving first-line gemcitabine monotherapy.



Genotype n		MST (months)	HR (95% CI)	p value
TC/CC	76	12	0.99 (0.63-1.57)	0.47
TT	47	10.9	1.0	

None of the three synonymous SNPs (rs4479754, rs6838248, and rs35701885) were found to be significantly associated with OS (Table 4).

### Immunohistochemistry

98 patient samples were available for evaluation by immunohistochemistry (Table 5). All patients had metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. There were no significant differences in overall survival by age, sex, race or IHC expression score of the patients evaluated. Clinical treatment history was available for 86 samples and were eligible for treatment response data analysis. At the time the data were censored, 79.5% of the patient population had died.

	Number of Patients	Percentage	HR	p value
	(n)	(%)	(95% CI)	
Age				0.699
<u>&lt;</u> 50	16	18.6		
51-60	25	29.1		
61-70	31	36		
>70	14	16.3	0.995 (0.971-1.020)**	
Sex				0.729
Male	61	70.9	1.0	
Female	25	29.1	1.111 (0.614-2.007)	
Race				0.102
White	74	86	1.0	
Hispanic	4	4.7		
Black	6	7		
Other	2	2.3		
Non-white			0.715 (0.479-1.069)	
IHC Score*				0.782
Low	36	42.9	1.0	
High	48	57.1	0.924 (0.530-1.614)	
Stage				
4	86	100		

Table 5. Immunohistochemistry: Patient Characteristics (n=86)

\*2 samples were not evaluable after staining

\*\*Continuous variable

There was no statistically significant association between the level of xCT IHC expression score and MST, with 8.8 month MST for high xCT expression and 8.4 month MST for low xCT expression (p=0.514) (Figure 5, Table 6).





 Table 6.
 xCT IHC and Overall Survival.

	Number of patients	Number of deaths	MST (months)	95% CI	p value
Low IHC Expression	44	35	8.8	5.48-12.18	
High IHC Expression	54	48	8.4	5.92-10.81	
Overall	98	83	8.4	6.41-10.32	0.514

When the mean xCT IHC expression staining score was analyzed in terms of patient chemotherapy treatment response, no statistically significant associations could be determined (p = 0.908) (Table7,8). The trend of higher xCT expression, however, is consistent with our hypothesis that higher xCT expression is correlated with aggressive disease course and with our overall survival data indicating a shorter median survival time.

Response	n	Mean	95% CI	p value
Progressive disease	37	3.28	2.76-3.82	
Partial response	25	3.12	2.48-3.76	
Stable disease	16	3.16	2.42-3.91	
Total	78	3.21	2.87-3.55	0.908

 Table 7. Average IHC Score and Chemotherapy Response.

 Table 8. xCT IHC Expression Correlated to Chemotherapy Response.

Response	Score <u>&lt;</u> 3	Score > 3	Total	p value
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Progressive disease	15 (40.5)	22 (59.5)	30	
Partial response	11 (44)	14 (56)	25	
Stable disease	8 (50)	8 (50)	16	
TOTAL with	34 (43.6)	44 (56.4)	78	0.514
response				

Stratified analysis of xCT immunohistochemical expression score and survival by chemotherapy treatment groups of gemcitabine monotherapy and combination chemotherapy with gemcitabine and platinum containing agent did not show any significant associations (Table 9, 10).

	Number of patients	Number of deaths	MST (months)	95% CI	p value
Low IHC Expression	18	14	8.83	2.81-14.86	
High IHC Expression	18	15	8.57	6.05-11.08	
Overall	36	29	8.83	6.66-11.00	0.73

Table 9. IHC: Survival Analysis of Patients Treated with Gemcitabine (n=36).

Table 10. IHC: Survival Analysis of Patients Treated with Gemcitabine andPlatinum Agent (n=43).

	Number of patients	Number of deaths	MST (months)	95% CI	p value
Low IHC Expression	14	13	7.73	3.30-12.17	
High IHC Expression	29	27	8.37	1.58-15.15	
Overall	43	40	7.73	4.41-11.06	0.98

### Chapter 4 DISCUSSION

Genomic variations may have predictive value in determining response to chemotherapy. In this study, the associations between xCT gene SNP, rs7674870, and clinical outcomes of patients with advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma were evaluated. To our knowledge, these data are the first to suggest that there is an important role for cystine/glutamate antiporter genes in predicting cisplatin resistance and in the overall survival of patients with advanced pancreatic cancer.

Our results suggest that the 3'UTR rs7674870 TC/CC genotype was significantly associated with OS. The rs7674870 TC/CC genotype remained as a significant predictor for survival after adjusting for all other clinical and genetic factors. Our results indicate the correlation between this genotype and OS of patients receiving combination chemotherapy with gemcitabine and platinum analogs in predicting platinum treatment response.

This study demonstrates that xCT is reliably detectable by immunohistochemistry in human pancreatic cancer tissue. xCT has the functional role of modulating the oxidative environment that is critical to protection of the cancer cell against xenobiotics through its control of cystine uptake and intracellular glutathione levels [51]. Based on preclinical data that system xc- expression is associated with gemcitabine resistance, we expected an association of xCT protein expression with chemotherapeutic response and OS of patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer.

Based upon our results demonstrating a possible role of xCT genotypic variations in cisplatin resistance, we expected the patient cohorts treated with combination therapy of gemcitabine and platinum to have significant differences in survival based on level of xCT expression. Our data did not show any such significant associations.

Many factors may be responsible for these results. *In vitro* preclinical pancreatic cell line and *in vivo* functions may not be similar for xCT, and *in vitro* function may not be recapitulated *in vivo* [52]. Given the discrepancy between *in vitro* and *in vivo* xCT function, the availability of three different xCT loss of function mouse models serve as valuable systems in which to further study xCT function and response to pharmacologic manipulation. In comparison to the technique of Western blotting, immunohistochemistry is limiting as a semi-quantitative assay evaluated by visual assessment which may depend on inter-observer variability and the target of interest. Further, the immunohistochemical expression of the xCT protein may not represent the functional properties of this transporter [37].

We postulated that genetic variations of xCT would manifest in changes of the xCT protein detectable by immunohistochemistry and expected a possible association of this SNP with xCT protein expression. An exploratory analysis in 12 patient samples demonstrated higher xCT expression was associated with reduced survival seen for the TT genotype, which would be consistent with our SNP analysis. Though results from this small patient cohort only trended toward statistical

significance, further genotypic-phenotypic correlative studies may be worthy for future study. Biologically relevant SNPs may not be best studied by protein expression correlative studies as multiple regulatory steps are involved in the pathway from gene to protein. Because synonymous SNPs do not produce altered coding sequences, they are not expected to change the function of the protein encoded. However, a previous study has demonstrated that a synonymous SNP in the MDR1 gene results in a protein product with altered drug and inhibitor interactions [51]. SNPs may also be located at the 3' and 5'-UTR of DNA. While these sequences do not translate into proteins, the 3'UTR may contain sequence motifs crucial for the regulation of transcription, mRNA stability, and cellular location of the mRNA or the binding of microRNA [52]. Further studies of xCT mRNA expression through utilization of Northern blotting and microRNAs, evolutionarily conserved noncoding RNAs that mediate the posttranslational protein modifications by binding to 3' untranslated regions, would be particularly insightful [51].

Given the increasing use of platinum analogues in the frontline setting with the emergence of FOLFIRINOX and continued use of gemcitabine and cisplatin combination chemotherapy in advanced pancreatic cancer, our study is especially relevant. With persistent poor survival outcomes for patients with pancreatic cancer, clearly a need for greater understanding of underlying mechanisms of chemotherapy resistance exists. This would be important not only for discerning disease pathogenesis but also for potentially determining new targets of therapy. xCT may represent a viable novel target in pancreatic cancer.

Several pharmacologic agents that inhibit system xc- exist [54], exerting therapeutic effects primarily by interrupting the antiporter function of cystine uptake into the cell. The resulting state of decreased intracellular cystine levels may lead to cellular growth inhibition and ultimately cause a state of glutathione depletion, thus reducing the ability of the cell to detoxify xenobiotics such as chemotherapy [33]. The established FDA approved anti-inflammatory drug, sulfasalazine, has been studied as an xCT inhibitor in many different in vitro and in vivo systems. In vitro, sulfasalazine causes growth inhibition of the MIAPaCa and PANC-1 pancreatic cancer cell lines [53]. Chung et al. demonstrated that intraperitoneal injection of sulfasalazine pharmacologically inhibits system xc- in glioma cells, reducing glutathione levels in tumor tissue and slowing tumor growth in an intracranial xenograft animal model for human glioma [54]. While sulfasalazine historically having excellent safety profile, a trial evaluating sulfasalazine in the treatment of progressing malignant gliomas had to be terminated early after interim analysis demonstrated significant grade 4 toxicity and patient death on study [55]. Most recently, the synthesis of several sulfasalazine analogues possessing a more favorable pharmacologic profile demonstrate promise in expanding therapeutic options that inhibit system xc- [56].

It is acknowledged that this study has several limitations and that our findings are hypothesis generating due to its exploratory nature. The large number of patients with unresectable advanced pancreatic cancer in this study cohort likely reflects a

29

referral bias favoring patients with more severe disease at our institution. A selection bias exists due to the retrospective nature of this study. This study population was biologically and clinically heterogenic due to the inclusion of patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer. We evaluated rs7674870 in a patient cohort of 269 patients where 123 received gemcitabine monotherapy and 140 received gemcitabine-platinum (cisplatin/oxaliplatin) combination chemotherapy. xCT immunohistochemistry was performed in 98 patients, of whom 36 was treated with gemcitabine and 43 was treated with gemcitabine-platinum combination. In 12 patients, the association of genotype and immunohistochemical protein expression was analyzed. Hence, the statistical power in terms of prediction and prognosis is limited.

Chemotherapy resistance contributes to poor survival outcomes for patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. Our analyses is one of the first to specifically evaluate the role of xCT polymorphisms to the chemotherapy sensitivity and survival in unresectable pancreatic cancer. In conclusion, genotypes of system xc- xCT transporter genes have potential as predictive biomarkers for cisplatin response and efficacy in unresectable advanced pancreatic cancer. This study establishes that human xCT can be reliably detected and qualitatively scored by immunohistochemistry. Prospective validation of these results in additional datasets and human functional pharmacologic inhibitor studies are needed.

### REFERENCES

- Siegel, R., E. Ward, O. Brawley, A. Jemal, *Cancer Statistics, 2011: The* Impact of eliminating Socioeconomic and Racial Disparities on Premature Cancer Deaths. CA Cancer J Clin, 2011. 61(4): p. 212-236.
- Li, D., X. Keping, R. Wolff, J. Abbruzzese, *Pancreatic Cancer*. Lancet, 2004.
   363: p. 1049-1057.
- Yeo, C.J., J.L. Cameron, K.D. Lillemoe, J.V. Sitzmann, R.H. Hruban, S.N. Goodman, W.C. Dooley, J. Coleman, H.A. Pitt, *Pancreaticoduodenectomy for cancer of the head of the pancreas: 201 patients.* Ann Surg, 1995. 221(6): p. 721-31.
- 4. *StatBite.* J Natl Cancer Inst, 2010. 102: p. 1822.
- Bardeesy, N., R.A. DePinho, *Pancreatic cancer biology and genetics*. Nat Rev Cancer, 2002. 12: p. 897-909.
- 6. Vogelstein, B. and K.W. Kinzler, *The genetic basis of human cancer: Pancreatic cancer.* 2nd ed2002, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Jones, S., X. Zhang, D.W. Parsons, J.C. Lin, R.J. Leary, P. Angenendt, P. Mankoo, H. Carter, *Core signaling pathways in human pancreatic cancers revealed by global genoic analyses.* Science, 2008 (321) p. 1801-1806.
- Louvet, C., T. André, G. Lledo, P. Hammel, H. Bleiberg, C. Bouleuc, E. Gamelin, M. Flesch, E. Cvitkovic, A. de Gramont, *Gemcitabine combined with oxaliplatin in advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma: final results of a GERCOR multicenter phase II study.* J Clin Oncol, 2002(20): p. 1512-8.

- Huang, Y. and W. Sadee, *Drug sensitivity and resistance genes in cancer chemotherapy: a chemogenomics approach.* Drug Discov Today, 2003. 8: p. 356-63.
- Burris, H.A., M.J. Moore, J. Anderson, M.R. Green, M.L. Rothenberg, M.R. Modiano, M.C. Cripps, R.K. Portenoy, A.M. Storniolo, P. Tarassoff, R. Nelson, F.A. Dorr, C.D. Stephens, D.D. Von Hoff, *Improvements in survival and clinical benefit with gemcitabine as first-line therapy for patients with advanced pancreas cancer: a randomized trial.* J Clin Oncol, 1997. 6: p. 2403-13.
- 11. Zalatnai, A. and J. Molnar, *Molecular background of chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer.* In vivo, 2007. 21: p. 339-347.
- 12. Conroy, T., F. Desseigne, and M. Chou, Randomized phase III trial comparing FOLFIRINOX (F:5-FU/leucovorin [LV], irinotecan [I], and oxaliplatin [O]) versus gemcitabine (G) as first-line treatment for metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma (MPA): Preplanned interim analysis results of the PRODIGE 4/ACCORD 11 trial [abstract]. J Clin Oncol, 2010: p. 28 (15s supple): 4010.
- Heinemann, V., S. Boeck, A. Hinke, R. Labianca, C. Louvet, *Meta-analysis of randomized trials: evaluation of benefit from gemcitabine-based combination chemotherapy applied in advanced pancreatic cancer.* BMC Cancer, 2008. 8: p. 82.
- 14. Colucci, G., F. Giuliani, and V. Gebbia, *Gemcitabine alone or with cisplatin* for the treatment of patients with locally advanced and/or metastatic

pancreatic carcinoma: a prospective, randomized phase III study of the Gruppo Oncologia dell'Italia Meridionale. Cancer, 2002. 94: p. 902-910.

- Colucci, G., R. Labianca, and F.D. Costanzo, Randomized phase III trial of gemcitabine plus cisplatin compared with single-agent gemcitabine as firstline treatment of patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: the GIP-1 study. J Clin Oncol, 2010. 28: p. 1645-1651.
- 16. Cunningham, D., I. Chau, and D.D. Stocken, *Phase III randomized* comparison of gemcitabine versus gemcitabine plus capecitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. J Clin Oncol, 2009. 27: p. 5513-5518.
- Ferrone, C.R., D.A. Levine, and L.H. Tang, *BRCA germline mutations in Jewish patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma.* J Clin Oncol, 2009. 27: p. 433-438.
- 18. Majdak, E.J., J. Debniak, and T. Milczek, *Prognostic impact of BRCA1* pathogenic and BRCA1/BRCA2 unclassified variant mutations in patients with ovarian carcinoma. Cancer, 2005. 104: p. 1004-1012.
- Stefansson, O.A., J.G. Jonasson, and O.T. Jonasson, *Genomic profiling of breast tumours in relation to BRCA abnormalities and phenotypes.* Breast Cancer Res, 2009. 11.
- 20. Louvet, C., R. Labianca, and P. Hammel, *Gemcitabine in combination with oxaliplatin compared with gemcitabine alone in locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer: results of a GERCOR and GISCAD phase III trial.* J Clin Oncol, 2005. 23: p. 3509-3516.

- Giovannetti, E., V. Mey, S. Nannizzi, G. Pasqualetti, M. Del Tacca, R. Danesi, *Pharmacogenetics of anticancer drug sensitivity in pancreatic cancer.* Mol Cancer Ther, 2006. 5: p. 1387-1395.
- 22. Martin, L., T. Hamilton, and R. Schilder, *Platinum Resistance: The Role of DNA Repair Pathways.* Clin Cancer Res, 2007. 14: p. 1291-1295.
- 23. Welsh, C., R. Day, C. McGurk, J.R. Masters, R.D. Wood, B. Koberle, *Reduced levels of XPA, ERCC1 and XPF DNA repair proteins in testis tumor cell lines.* Int J Cancer, 2004. 110: p. 352-361.
- 24. Selvakumaran, M., D.A. Pisarcik, R. Bao, A.T. Yeung, T.C. Hamilton, Enhanced cisplatin cytotoxicity by disturbing hte nucleotide excision repair pathway in ovarian cancer cell lines. Cancer res, 2003. 63: p. 1311-1316.
- 25. Raymond, E., S.G. Chaney, A. Taamma, E. Cvitkovic, *Oxaliplatin: a review of preclinical and clinical studies.* Ann Oncol, 1998. 9: p. 1053-1071.
- 26. Peltomaki, P., *Role of DNA mismatch repair defects in the pathogenesis of human cancer.* J Clin Oncol, 2003. 21: p. 1174-1179.
- 27. Esteller, M., *Epigenetic lesions causing genetic lesions in human cancer.* Eur J Cancer 2000. 36: p. 2294-2300.
- 28. Fink, D., S. Nebel, S. Aebi, H. Zheng, B. Cenni, A. Nehme, R.D. Christen,
  S.B. Howell, *The rold of DNA mismatch repair in platinum drug resistance.*Cancer Res, 1996. 56: p. 4881-4886.
- 29. Christian, M., *The current status of new platinum analogs.* Semin Oncol, 1992. 19: p. 720-733.

- 30. Brozovic, A., A. Ambriovic-Ristov, and M. Osmak, *The relationship between cisplatin-induced reactive oxygen species, glutathione, and BCL-3 and resistance to cisplatin.* CRC Cr Rev Toxicol, 2010. 40: p. 347-359.
- Brozovic, A., D. Majhen, V. Roje, N. Mikac, S. Jakopec, G. Fritz, M. Osmak,
  A. Ambriovic-Ristov, *alpha(v)beta(3) Integrin-mediated drug resistance in human laryngeal carcinoma cells is caused by glutathione-dependent elimination of drug-induced reactive oxygen species.* Mol Pharmacol, 2008.
  74: p. 298-306.
- Bannai, S. and E. Kitamura, *Transport interaction of L-cystine and L-glutamate in human diploid fibroblasts in culture*. J Biol Chem, 1980. 255: p. 2372-2376.
- Lo, M., Y. Wang, and P. Gout, *The xc- Cystine/Glutamate Antiporter: A Potential Target for Therapy of Cancer and Other Diseases.* J Cell Physiol, 2008. 215: p. 593-602.
- Gout, P.W., A.R. Buckley, C.R. Simms, N. Bruchovsky, *Sulfasalazine: a* potent suppressor of lymphoma growth by inhibition of the x(c)- cystine transporter: a new action for an old drug. Leukemia, 2001. 10: p. 1633-1640.
- Doxsee, D.W., P.W. Gout, T. Kurita, M. Lo, A.R. Buckley, Y. Wang, H. Xue,
   C.M. Karp, J. Cutz, G.R. Cunha, Y.Z. Wang, *Sulfasalazine-induced cystine* starvation: potential use for prostate cancer therapy. Prostate, 2007. 67(2): p. 162-171.

- Guan, J., et al., *The xc- cystine/glutamate antiporter as a potential therapeutic target for small-cell lung cancer: use of sulfasalazine.* Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 2009. 74(3): p. 463-472.
- 37. Lo, M., P. Dockery, S. Mahon, C.M. Karp, A.R. Buckley, S. Lam, P.W. Gout,
  Y.Z. Wang, *The xc- cystine/glutamate antiporter: A mediator of pancreatic cancer growth with a role in drug resistance* Br J Cancer, 2008: p. 464-472.
- 38. Bannai, S., *Exchange of cystine and glutamate across plasma membrane of human fibroblasts.* J Biol Chem, 1986. 26: p. 2256-2263.
- Griffeth, O.W., *Biologic and pharmacologic regulation of mammalian glutathione synthesis.* Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 1999. 27: p. 922-935.
- 40. Shnelldorfer, T., S. Gansauge, F. Gansauge, S. Schlosser, H.G. Beger,
  A.K. Nussler, *Glutathione depletion causes cell growth inhibition and enhanced apoptosis in pancreatic cancer cells.* Cancer, 2000. 89: p. 1440-1447.
- 41. Estrela J.M., A. Ortega, and E. Obrador, *Glutathione in cancer biology and therapy.* Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci, 2006. 43(2): p. 143-181.
- 42. Kim, J.Y., Y. Kanai, A. Chairoungdua, S.H. Cha, *Human cystine/glutamate transporter: cDNA cloning and upregulation by oxidative stress in glioma cells.* Biochim Biophys Acta 2001. 1512: p. 335-344.
- 43. Sato, H., A. Shiiya, M. Kimata, K. Maebara, M. Tamba, Y. Sakakura, N. Makino, F. Sugiyama, K. Yagami, T. Moriguchi, S. Takahashi, S. Bannai,

Redox imbalance in cystine/glutamate transporter-deficient mice. J Biol Chem, 2005. 280(45): p. 37423-37429.

- 44. O'Dwyer, P.J. and R.B. Catalano, Uridine Diphosphate Glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 1A1 and Irinotecan: Practical Pharmacogenomics Arrives in Cancer Therapy. JCO, 2006. 24(28): p. 4534-4538.
- Innocenti, F., A. Shiiya, M. Kimata, K. Maebara, M. Tamba, Y. Sakakura, N. Makino, F. Sugiyama, K. Yagami, T. Moriguchi, S. Takahashi, akahashi, S. Bannai., *Genetic Variants in teh UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 Gene Predict the RIsk of Severe Neutropenia of Irinotecan.* JCO, 2004. 22(8): p. 1382-1388.
- 46. Iyer, L., S. Das, and L. Janisch, UGT1A1\*28 polymorphism as a determinant of irinotecan disposition and toxicity. Pharmacogenomics J, 2002. 2: p. 43-47.
- 47. Huang, Y., Z. Dai, C. Barbacioru, W. Sadee, *Cystine-Glutamate Transporter SLC7A11 in Cancer Chemosensitivity and Chemoresistance.* Cancer Res, 2005. 65(16): p. 7446-7454.
- 48. Okuno, S., H. Sato, K. Kuriyama-Matsumura, M. Tamba, *Role of cystine transport in intracellular glutathione level and cisplatin resistance in human ovarian cancer cell lines.* Br J Cancer, 2003. 88: p. 951-956.
- 49. Lo, M., H. Sato, K. Kuriyama-Matsumura, M. Tamba , *The xc- transporter as a target for sensitizing pancreatic cancer to gemcitabine: Use of sulfasalazine* 99th AACR Annual Meeting, 2008: p. Abs #2293.

- 50. Wacholder, S., S. Chanock, M. Garcia-Closas, L. El Ghormli, N. Rothman, Assessing the probability that a positive report is false: an approach for molecular epidemiology studies. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2004. 96: p. 434-442.
- Rodriguez, A., S. Griffiths-Jones, J.L. Ashurst, A. Bradley, *Identification of Mammalian microRNA Host Genes and Transcription Units.* Genome Res, 2004. 14: p. 1902-1910.
- 52. Conrad, M. and H. Sato, *The oxidative stress-inducible cystine/glutamate antiporter, system xc-: cystine supplier and beyond.* Amino Acids, 2011.
- 53. Lo, M., V. Ling, C. Low, Y.Z. Wang, P.W. Gout, *Potential use of the anti-inflammatory drug, sulfasalazine, for targeted therapy of pancreatic cancer.*Curr Oncol, 2010. 17(3): p. 9-16.
- 54. Chung, W.J., S.A. Lyons, G. M. Nelson, H. Hamza, C.L. Gladson, G.Y.
  Gillespie, H. Sontheimer, *Inhibition of Cystine Uptake Disrupts the Growth of Primary Brain Tumors.* The Journal of Neuroscience, 2005. 25(31): p. 7101-7110.
- 55. Robe, P.A., S.A. Lyons, G. M. Nelson, H. Hamza, C.L. Gladson, G.Y. Gillespie, H. Sontheimer, *Early termination of ISRC TN45828668, a phase 1/2 prospective, randomized study of sulfasalazine for the treatment of progressive malignant gliomas in adults.* BMC Cancer, 2009. 19(9): p. 372.
- Shukla, K., A. Thomas, D. Ferraris, N. Hin, R. Sattler, J. Alt, C. Rojas
   B. Slusher, T. Tsukamoto, *Inhibition of xc- transporter-mediated cystine* uptake by sulfasalazine analogs. Bioorg Med Chem Lett, 2011.

Vita

Tzu-chuan Jane Huang, MD, was born in Keelung, Taiwan, on January 2, 1977, the daughter of Ping-yuan Chiang Huang and Yao-wen Huang. After completing high school at Cedar Shoals High School in Athens, Georgia, in 1995, she entered University of Georgia in Athens, Georgia, on the Foundation Fellows Scholarship. She received a Bachelor of Science with magna cum laude honors with majors in Molecular Biology and Microbiology in 1999. From 1999-2000, she studied Art History in Aberystwyth, Wales, U.K., for one year on a Rotary International Foundation Scholarship. She then attended the Medical College of Georgia in Augusta, Georgia, receiving her medical doctorate degree in 2004. During the summers of 1996 and 2001, she researched G protein signaling at the National Institutes of Health National Institute of Digestive, Diabetes and Kidney Diseases in Bethesda, Maryland. Dr. Huang completed her internal medicine residency at Temple University Hospital in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, from 2004-07. She was a chief resident from 2007-2008. She completed her medical oncology and hematology fellowship at MD Anderson Cancer Center in Houston, Texas, from 2008-11. In 2009, she entered the University of Texas Health Science Center at the Houston Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences.