



UPCommons

Portal del coneixement obert de la UPC

<http://upcommons.upc.edu/e-prints>

Aquesta és una còpia de la versió *author's final draft* d'un article publicat a la revista Chemical Engineering Journal

URL d'aquest document a UPCommons E-prints:
<http://hdl.handle.net/2117/90165>

Article publicat / *Published paper:*

Hermassi, M., Valderrama, C., Dosta, J., Cortina, J.L. and Batis, N.H. (2016) Detrimental effects of magnesium (II) on hydroxyapatite precipitation from synthetic industrial brines. Chemical Engineering Journal, 283. 572-581. Doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2015.07.082

26 Hap and chlorapatite as Ca-phosphate, stanfieldite as Ca-Mg-phosphate and farringtonite as Mg-
27 phosphate. In the experiments at pH 8, the formation of stable nanometre-sized pre-nucleation
28 clusters promoted nucleation inhibition, even in supersaturated solutions, and no solids were
29 recovered after filtration. Although sulfate was involved in some of the precipitation reactions, its
30 role in the inhibition of Hap formation is not clearly elucidated.

31 Keywords: phosphate recovery; brines; hydroxyapatite; stanfieldite; cattite; farringtonite

32 **1. Introduction**

33 Phosphorus (P) is a non-renewable resource, non-substitutable for agriculture and food
34 production and directly linked to global food security, as well as being important in other industrial
35 and technical uses. At the same time, P losses are the principal contributor to eutrophication of
36 surface waters, globally the P footprint of human diets continues to increase and the world
37 mineral phosphate reserves decrease and there is a debate about their extent and extractability
38 and about their geographical concentration. Improving the efficiency of P processing and use, in
39 industry, agriculture, livestock production, food processing, and developing P reuse or recovery-
40 recycling can reduce costs, contribute to reducing nutrient pollution, and create jobs in the frame
41 of circular economy [1].

42 Phosphate is typically present at low concentrations in urban wastewaters (from 10 to 30 mg P-
43 $\text{PO}_4^{3-}/\text{L}$) and in industrial wastewaters, such as detergent manufacturing, food processing or
44 metal-coating processes (50 to 0 mg P- $\text{PO}_4^{3-}/\text{L}$) [2–4]. The removal of phosphate from water
45 bodies is important because it causes eutrophication, which has a harmful effect on aquatic life,
46 resulting in a reduction in biodiversity. On the other hand, the recovery of phosphate from
47 phosphorus-containing wastewater is essential for developing an alternative phosphorus source
48 to overcome the global challenge of its scarcity [5]. However, one of the disadvantages that
49 complicates phosphate recovery is the low concentration of phosphate in the target effluents.

50 Many different processes have been proposed for pre-concentration of phosphate, such as
51 adsorption, ion exchange and biological treatment [6–8]. The introduction of new P-selective
52 sorbents (e.g., hydrated metal oxide based sorbents) would generate alkaline phosphate
53 concentrates due to the requirements of the sorbent regeneration with NaOH solutions [6].

54 Chemical phosphorus recovery using Ca(II) and Mg/NH₄ salts to precipitate or crystallize
55 phosphate as NH₄-Mg or Ca salts are the primary solutions postulated [9]. To address this
56 objective, the use of industrial wastes as alternative Ca(II) sources for Ca-phosphate precipitation
57 has been suggested. Ca-phosphates can be recovered by crystallization of Hap in appropriate
58 reactors via pH and chemical dosing control, as reported previously [10-13]. Recently, the use of
59 seawater reverse osmosis and nanofiltration brines for the recovery of economically valuable
60 constituents [14] or specifically as an inexpensive Mg(II) and Ca(II) source, and for struvite
61 recovery from anaerobic digesters in municipal wastewater treatment plants was suggested [15-
62 17].

63 The significant Ca(II) concentration present in seawater brines (up to 0.4 g Ca/L) may enhance
64 the precipitation of Ca-phosphate minerals (e.g., Ca₃(PO₄)₂ and Ca₅(PO₄)₃(OH), among others).
65 However, the influence of high concentrations of Mg(II) up to 1 g Mg(II)/L is unknown. Salami et
66 al. [18] reported no detectable effect of Mg(II) ions on the growth of dicalcium phosphate
67 dihydrate, but they did report that the Mg(II) ions appreciably decelerated the rate of octacalcium
68 phosphate growth, most likely by adsorption at active growth. More recently, Cao and Harris [19]
69 studied the interactive effects of CO₃²⁻ and Mg(II) ions on Ca-phosphate precipitation under
70 conditions simulating dairy manure-amended soil leachate and phosphate recovery from manure
71 wastewater. The inhibition effects of Mg(II) and the synergistic effect of both of the ions on Hap
72 crystallinity and the precipitation rate promoted the formation of amorphous Ca-phosphate (ACP),
73 presumably due to Mg(II) incorporation into the crystal structure. However, the presence of Mg(II)
74 or SO₄²⁻ ions in the case of using industrial desalinated brines at concentrations higher than the

75 calcium ions has not been studied. Moreover, few studies in literature are devoted to study the
76 potential precipitation of Ca-Mg-phosphate minerals and the mechanism involved. It should be
77 mentioned the work done by Golubev et al. [20, 21] who postulated the formation of
78 $((\text{Ca,Mg})_4\text{H}(\text{PO}_4)_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O})$ in the precipitation of phosphate with sea water and more recently
79 Muster et al. [22] who postulated theoretically the formation of potential Ca-Mg phases.

80 Therefore, the goal of this study is to evaluate the potential inhibition of Mg(II) on hydroxyapatite
81 (Hap) precipitation during the valorisation of concentrated phosphate effluents when using
82 synthetic industrial desalinated brines as the calcium source. Two brines with different Mg/Ca
83 molar ratios of (2.2) and (3.3) were used. The precipitation/crystallization of Ca- and/or Mg-
84 phosphate processes at different constant pH values were evaluated in a batch reactor and the
85 precipitate properties were also studied. The variation of the Ca- and Mg-phosphate nucleation
86 profiles was used to elucidate the formation mechanism of Hap or Mg-phosphates with high
87 Mg(II) concentration brine.

88 **2. Materials and Methods**

89 **2.1 Experimental set-up and procedures**

90 The precipitation of phosphate (P(V)) was performed in a 2 L glass batch reactor at constant pH
91 values (8, 9.5, 10.5, 11.5 and 12), following the conditions defined in a previously study [13].
92 These alkaline pH values were selected based on the thermodynamic prediction for the
93 precipitation of Ca and Mg phosphates. Stirring at 250 rpm was achieved using a mechanical
94 stirrer (IKA RW 20). The pH was monitored on-line using a pH potentiometer (Crison pH 28),
95 when the pH was 0.1 units above or below the set point, 1 M HCl or 1 M NaOH was dosed using
96 a peristaltic pump. Batch experiments were performed by mixing a 1.0 g P- PO_4^{3-} /L solution with
97 Mg/Ca brine. NaH_2PO_4 was used to prepare the phosphate solutions. Composition was fixed
98 according to the expected conditions of the elution of ion exchange resins on the recovery of

99 phosphate from treated waste water effluents. Two synthetic solutions with different Mg/Ca molar
100 ratios (2.2 and 3.3) were prepared by mixing given amounts of NaCl, CaCl₂·2H₂O, Na₂SO₄ and
101 MgCl₂·6H₂O. The compositions of both of the brines are summarized in Table 1. The presence of
102 antiscalants typically present on desalination brines (e.g. 1-2 mg/L) and the temperature were not
103 included in the experimental design.

104 Brine solution was added at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min (using a Gilson Minipuls 3 peristaltic pump)
105 to reach a Ca/Pa molar ratio of 1.67 suitable for Hap precipitation. Experiments were performed
106 at room temperature (22±2 °C) in duplicate.

107 **Table 1.**

108 Batch reactor aqueous samples were obtained during the experiments and then filtered through a
109 0.22-µm filter. The total concentrations of ions were determined by ion chromatography using an
110 Ionex Liquid Chromatograph (ICS-1000). The accuracy of the measurements was higher than
111 95%. At the end of the experiments, the solid phase was removed from the reactor by filtration,
112 washed with deionised water several times and dried at 60°C for 24 h.

113 **2.2 Particle analysis**

114 The solid phase particle size distribution was analysed by LS with a Coulter diffraction particle
115 size analyser (LS 13 320 Laser Diffraction Particle Size Analyser Instrument, Beckman Coulter).
116 The crystal size distribution range (CSD) varied from 0.04 to 2000 µm. Particles were analysed
117 as obtained directly from the batch reactor without any thermal treatment or particle size
118 separation.

119 The phase purity and crystallinity of powder were analysed by X-ray diffraction with λ CuKα
120 radiation (λ= 1.54056 Å) at a scanning rate of 19.2 and 57.6 s, a steep angle of 0.015° and 2θ
121 over range of 4 to 60°. The solids in powder form were identified by the Joint Committee Powder
122 Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) file and were compared with the Powder Diffraction File (PDF) no.

123 00-009-0432 for Hap ($\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$), 00-011-0231 for stanfieldite ($\text{Ca}_4\text{Mg}_5(\text{PO}_4)_6$), 00-025-
124 1373 for farringtonite ($\text{Mg}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$) and 00-001-1011 for chlorapatite ($\text{Ca}_{10}\text{Cl}_2(\text{PO}_4)_6$) [23].

125 To elucidate the potential inhibition mechanism, a portion of the amorphous phases was heated
126 at 1050°C for 4 h and cooled at room temperature to enhance the crystallinity of the precipitated
127 phases. It cannot be discarded that the thermal treatment promotes additionally to an increase
128 of crystallinity a change on the crystal structure or the chemical composition. However, the
129 information provided after this treatment is valued as it is improving the mechanisms discussion
130 [24].

131 **2.3 Prediction of phosphate precipitation processes**

132 Phosphate precipitation processes using Mg/Ca brines were studied using the HYDRA-MEDUSA
133 [25] and the Visual Minteq codes [26]. The measured P(V), Mg(II), Ca(II), SO_4^{2-} , and Cl-
134 concentrations were compared when required to those estimated using both of the codes.
135 Although conditions in the precipitation tests could be far away from the equilibrium, measured
136 and predicted values were used to identify the potential reactions and mechanism involved,
137 especially when the solids formed were not appropriately characterized.

138 The supersaturation index (SI) was calculated by Visual Minteq and using Equation 1, as follows:

$$139 \quad SI = \log \left(\frac{IAP}{K_{so}} \right)$$

140 (1)

141 where IAP is the ion activity product, and K_{so} is the solubility constant. Equilibrium solubility data
142 for Ca-Mg-phosphates were critically reviewed from the HYDRA and PHREEQ C databases, and
143 the selected values are shown in Table (S1) (Supporting Material) [11, 27, 28].

144 **3. Results and Discussion**

145 3.1 Influence of pH on the phosphate recovery with Ca/Mg brines

146 Precipitation of Hap with Mg/Ca (2.2) and Mg/Ca (3.3) brines was studied as a function of pH (8,
147 9.5, 10.5, 11.5 and 12). The change of total phosphate concentration and recovery (%) as a
148 function of reaction time is shown in Figure 1 in which the dotted lines represent the expected
149 total phosphate concentration if any precipitation reaction was involved. Phosphate recovery
150 efficiency in the richest Mg brine (Mg/Ca 3.3) (Figure 1 c and d) is larger at pH up to 10.5. Higher
151 recoveries were measured in only 18 hours, while for the Mg/Ca (2.2) brine were observed after
152 34 hours (Figure 1 a and b). A similar trend was reported by Su et al. [11] when precipitating
153 phosphate with magnesium chloride solutions (Mg/P (2.1)) in alkaline media (pH 10, 11 and 12) in
154 a fluidized bed reactor.

155 Figure 1.

156 The lowest phosphate recoveries (20%) were reported at pH 8 for Mg/Ca (2.2) brine and at pH 8
157 and 9.5 for Mg/Ca (3.3) brine. For both of the brines (at lower pH) at the end of the experiment
158 after filtration, the solutions presented turbidity, and no precipitate was recovered on the 0.22- μm
159 filter. This result was associated with the inhibition of the nucleation process and the formation of
160 clusters of the nanometre size, as discussed in section 4.3.

161 The increase of phosphate recovery efficiency with increasing pH is explained by the change of
162 P(V) speciation. At pH 8, 45% of P(V) is present in solution as HPO_4^{2-} , and less than 4% is
163 present as PO_4^{3-} for the initial additions of brine with 12-8 mmol/L P(V) concentrations. However,
164 at pH 11.5, 41% of P(V) is present as PO_4^{3-} and 20% as HPO_4^{2-} , and a higher SI is achieved. As
165 demonstrated by Gunawan et al. [29], the degree of supersaturation and the type of precipitates
166 formed depend on the pH. A higher pH leads to higher SI and accelerates the precipitation
167 reaction as well as increasing its efficiency.

168 **3.2 Influence of brine composition on Hap formation and precipitation inhibition**

169 **3.2.1 Recovery of phosphate by Mg/Ca (2.2) brines**

170 The change of the Mg(II), Ca(II), SO_4^{2-} and Cl^- contents as a function of precipitation reaction time
171 is plotted in **Figure 2** in which the solid lines represent the total ion concentration added to the
172 reactor throughout the experiment, which is the concentration expected to be measured for a
173 species not involved in any precipitation or solid formation reaction.

174 **Figure 2.**

175 As can be seen in Figure 2a, Mg(II) concentration is reduced to less than 0.2 mmol/L,
176 independent of the pH value, while the Ca(II) concentrations are maintained below 1 mmol/L, with
177 the exception of pH 8, where values are equal to the total added Ca(II) concentration. In the case
178 of SO_4^{2-} , the measured concentrations agree with the total added concentration, except for the
179 experiment at pH 8 with values below 10%. For Cl^- , the measured concentrations showed a
180 reduction from 700 to 400 mmol/L. This behaviour confirms that these ions (Mg(II), Ca(II) and Cl^-)
181 are involved in the precipitation reactions.

182 **Figure 3.**

183 The variation of the SI of the expected mineral phases along the reaction time, such as Hap,
184 tricalcium phosphate (TCP), octacalcium phosphate (OCP), monetite and brushite for Ca(II) as
185 well as $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ and $\text{Mg}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{s})$ for Mg(II) is shown in **Figure 3** for pH 11.5 and 8. At higher pH
186 values (9.5 and 11.5), the precipitation of Ca-phosphates is favoured (up to 90% of P(V) recovery
187 at pH 11.5, as shown in **Figure 1**). The SI of the Ca-phosphate mineral phases were close to zero
188 for brushite and monetite, close to 4 for TCP and OCP, and close to 18 for Hap (**Figure 3a**).
189 Therefore, nucleation of Hap, the most stable phase among the Ca-phosphates, is expected to
190 occur instantaneously [30]. Typically, supersaturation of Hap is achieved by a simple increase in

191 pH [31], and then it follows a three-stage process in which the initially formed amorphous ACP
192 may be redissolved and form HAP nuclei followed by formation of hydroxyapatite [32-34].

193 XRD analysis of the precipitates collected in both of the experiments at pH 9.5 and 11.5 reveals
194 that the formed Ca-phosphates were amorphous, as shown by a broad peak between 23° and
195 35° (2θ) (Figure 4a). These patterns are typical of ACP [35], indicating that Mg(II) promoted the
196 formation of the relatively unstable ACP, in the form of ACP-adsorbed Mg(II), and then hindered
197 the expected Hap formation according to the saturation indexes [36, 37].

198 **Figure 4.**

199 Yang et al. [38] reported that Mg(II) ions reduce the nucleation rate of Hap in Ca-phosphate
200 supersaturated solutions by stabilizing the gel-like ACP phase and increasing the induction and
201 transformation time. Ding et al. [37] described that Mg(II) ion adsorption onto ACP is more
202 effective than the phase incorporation at inhibiting phase transformation from ACP to Hap.
203 Additionally, at these pH values, sulfate was not involved in the formation of solid phases
204 (measured values agree with the total added concentration), and it is present in solution primarily
205 as complexed species (e.g., $\text{MgSO}_{4(\text{aq})}$ and $\text{CaSO}_{4(\text{aq})}$), avoiding the precipitation or re-dissolution
206 of potential Ca-phosphate precipitates [7].

207 The SEM–EDX analysis of the amorphous solids confirmed the major presence of precipitates
208 containing Ca–P–O and to a minor extent, Mg(II) and Cl⁻. Considering that the Mg(II) and Ca(II)
209 removal ratios for both of the pH values were higher than 90%, the solubility data of different
210 Ca/Mg-phosphate mineral phases ($\text{Mg}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{s})$, $\text{Ca}_5\text{OH}(\text{PO}_4)_3(\text{s})$) were used to predict the
211 expected P(V) concentration throughout the experiment at each given pH. For both of the pH
212 values Figures (S1 b and c) (Supporting Material), the measured P(V) concentrations were better
213 predicted when assuming the formation of Mg-phosphate minerals than when assuming the
214 formation of Ca-phosphate minerals.

215 The XRD analysis of the amorphous precipitate at pH 9.5, after treatment at 1050°C to increase
216 its crystallinity, identified the presence of a Ca-phosphate mineral (Hap ($\text{Ca}_5\text{OH}(\text{PO}_4)_3(\text{s})$), a Ca-
217 Mg-phosphate mineral (stanfieldite ($\text{Ca}_4\text{Mg}_5(\text{PO}_4)_6$)) and a Mg-phosphate mineral (farringtonite
218 $\text{Mg}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$) (Figure 4b). In the case of the amorphous precipitate at pH 11.5, in addition to the
219 presence of Hap and stanfieldite, a Ca-phosphate-chloride mineral (chlorapatite ($\text{Ca}_5\text{Cl}(\text{PO}_4)_3(\text{s})$))
220 was detected (Figure 4b). Therefore, the consumption of chloride in the precipitation reactions
221 was confirmed (Figure 2), and it was also identified by EDX analysis, as described in Table (S2)
222 (Supporting Material).

223 In the experiment at pH 8 because Ca(II) was not consumed, and Mg(II) was completely
224 consumed, the P(V) recovery (up to 20%) should be associated with the formation of Mg-
225 phosphate or magnesium hydroxide. The SI indicates that the solution is not supersaturated in
226 $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2(\text{s})$ (Figure 3c); thus, the recovery of P(V) should be associated with the formation of Mg-
227 phosphate and, potentially, with the formation of minerals containing sulfate because the
228 measured values are lower than the total added concentration. A comparison of the measured
229 and expected P(V) concentration provides a good prediction of the measured P(V) concentration
230 profile considering the formation of Mg-phosphate, as shown in Figure (S1 a) (Supporting
231 Material). Although solutions were supersaturated in Hap, OCP, TCP, brushite and monoteite
232 (Figure 3b), the observed Ca-phosphate mineral inhibition could be due to the formation of
233 CaSO_4 as a precursor because sulfate is present at a substantially higher concentration than
234 phosphate or due to the inhibition of Mg(II) ions. At the end of the experiment, no solid was
235 recovered after solution filtration because the size of the precipitate is expected to be of
236 nanometre size (ca. below 1 nm); thus, it was not possible to confirm its chemical or mineral
237 composition or the potential inhibition effect of sulfate ions.

238 3.2.2 Recovery of phosphate by Mg/Ca (3.3) brines

239 The change of the major ion concentration as a function of reaction time at different pH values is
240 plotted in [Figure 5](#). Mg(II) concentration was reduced from 15 mmol/L to less than 5 mmol/L at the
241 end of the experiments at pH 9.5 and 11.5. The Ca(II) concentration was reduced to values of 1
242 mmol/L for the experiment at pH 9.5, while for the test at pH 8 and 11.5, the measured values
243 approached the total added Ca(II) concentration (4 mmol/L), indicating that Ca(II) did not
244 participate in any precipitation reaction. Sulfate concentration was reduced from 80 mmol/L to 60
245 mmol/L at the end of the experiments, indicating that sulfate was involved in the precipitation
246 reactions. The measured chloride concentrations approached the total added concentration,
247 indicating that it was not involved in any precipitation reaction, contrary to the observed behaviour
248 for Mg/Ca (2.2) brine.

249 **Figure 5.**

250 XRD analysis revealed that the solid product collected at pH 9.5 was amorphous, while at pH
251 11.5, cattiite ($\text{Mg}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 22\text{H}_2\text{O}$) was detected. These results confirm the profiles of Ca(II) and
252 Mg(II) shown in [Figure 5](#) in which the Ca(II) concentration was not reduced as the experiment
253 progressed, as revealed when it was identified by EDX analysis, as summarized in [Table \(S2\)](#)
254 (Supporting Material).

255 **Figure 6.**

256 At pH 11.5, the solution is supersaturated in Hap; however, the higher initial magnesium
257 concentration inhibited its precipitation, and cattiite was found ($\log K_{\text{so}} = -23.1$) [\[39\]](#) as shown in
258 [Figure 6a](#). Note that cattiite was formed in the presence of a high Mg(II) concentration instead of
259 the less-soluble solid Hap ($\log K_{\text{so}} = 57.8$) due to the effect of Mg(II) ions on the field stability of the
260 solids, promoting the precipitation of more soluble solids [\[40, 41\]](#). The measured P(V)
261 concentrations were well predicted assuming the formation of cattiite, as shown in [Figure \(S2\)](#)
262 (Supporting Material).

263 The amorphous precipitate at pH 9.5 shows a broad peak between 23° and 35° (2θ) (Figure 6a)
264 of ACP. The SEM–EDS examination of the amorphous sample detected the presence of Ca–Mg–
265 P–O solids and the minor presence of S. The XRD analysis of the treated sample at 1050°C
266 identified the presence of stanfieldite ($\text{Ca}_4\text{Mg}_5(\text{PO}_4)_6$) (Figure 6 b and c), thus confirming the
267 consumption of Mg(II) and Ca(II), as described in Figure 5. The higher Mg(II) concentration
268 inhibits the Hap precipitation, favouring the formation of mixed Ca–Mg–phosphates, such as
269 stanfieldite ($\text{Ca}_4\text{Mg}_5(\text{PO}_4)_6$), as detected by XRD. Mg(II) stabilizes ACP, which is the precursor
270 phase during Hap formation from highly supersaturated solutions [42]. It was also described that
271 Mg(II) could be included in the precipitated solid and could modify the solids by its smaller size
272 and greater tendency to bond covalently [43]. Lahav et al. [17] postulated that the complexation of
273 Ca(II) ions in the precipitation of P(V) using seawater desalination brines reduces their free
274 concentrations, thus reducing their precipitation potential and reducing the purity of the Ca–
275 phosphates. The analysis of solids by SEM–EDX detected the presence of S and Cl, indicating
276 their presence in the precipitates obtained at basic pH; however, XRD analysis did not detect any
277 crystalline form.

278 For the experiment at pH 8, Ca(II) and Mg(II) were partially removed (approximately 10%) with a
279 phosphate recovery of up to 20%. The SI analysis indicated that the solution is not
280 supersaturated in $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2(\text{s})$, and the removal of P(V) should be associated with the formation of
281 Ca–Mg–phosphates and, potentially, with minerals containing sulfate, because the measured
282 values were lower than the total added concentration. A good prediction of the measured
283 concentrations was obtained when considering the formation of Mg- and Ca-phosphates, as
284 shown in Figure (S2 a) (Supporting Material). Although solutions were also supersaturated in
285 OCP, TCP, brushite and monoteite, the observed inhibition could be either due to Mg(II) ions or the
286 formation of $\text{CaSO}_{4\text{aq}}$ or $\text{MgSO}_{4\text{aq}}$ species because sulfate is present at a substantially higher
287 concentration than phosphate. As previously described for the Mg/Ca (2.2) brine, after solution

288 filtration, no solids were recovered due to the nanometre size of the formed clusters, and it was
289 not possible to confirm its chemical or mineral composition or the potential inhibition effect of
290 sulfate ions.

291 **3.3 Evaluation of precipitation inhibition: Effects on nucleation growth kinetics**

292 According to the XRD results, it was observed that nucleation of Hap begins with the formation of
293 the ACP precursor during the early induction steps, and after a relatively long induction period, it
294 proceeds to the appearance of nuclei [44, 45]. However, in the presence of Mg(II), the formation
295 of Mg-phosphate ion-pairs reduces the P(V) species activity, thereby reducing the relative
296 supersaturation and prolonging the induction period [42]. Figure 1 shows that the change of the
297 P(V) concentration and recovery rate for pH values between 9.5 and 12 for Mg/Ca (2.2) brine and
298 between 10.5 and 12 for Mg/Ca (3.3) brine is different than for the experiments at pH 8 for Mg/Ca
299 (2.2) brine and at pH 8 and 9.5 for Mg/Ca (3.3) brine.

300 The presence of meta-stable pre-nucleation clusters (PNCs) decreases the energetic barrier,
301 thereby facilitating nucleation (pH 9.5 and 11.5), while at pH = 8, stable clusters are considered to
302 increase the barrier, thus inhibiting nucleation [46, 47].

303 **Figure 7.**

304 Phosphate precipitation can be evaluated considering the variation of the SI at pH 8, 9.5 and 11.5
305 (Figure 7) and by using the LaMer model (Appendix) describing the crystallization processes as
306 three well-defined stages. At pH 11.5, there was an initial stage in which no precipitation
307 occurred; in the second stage in which the SI reached values from 17 to 18.5, homogenous
308 nucleation occurred; and the third stage is completed with the aggregation of small particles of
309 the homogeneously nucleated material and their heterogeneous deposition [48]. For the
310 experiment at pH 9.5 from the initial additions, a supersaturation condition was observed
311 ($SI > 18.5$), and then the homogenous nucleation and final aggregation stages followed the trend

312 defined at pH 11.5. The observed behaviour for the experiment at pH 8 (no solid was recovered)
313 is associated with the formation of stable clusters, increasing the energetic barrier, hindering
314 nucleation and achieving heterogeneous nucleation. The longer induction time for nucleation as
315 the Mg(II) ions extend the induction and transformation time [37, 38] promotes the formation of
316 nanometre-sized nuclei (Posner's clusters of 0.7 to 1.0 nm [49]). These nanometre-sized crystals
317 could not be recovered by the 0.22- μ m filter.

318 To evaluate the influence of the pH and the supersaturation on the Hap nucleation kinetics, the
319 plot of $\ln(ts)$ versus $1/[\ln(1+\sigma)]^2$ was constructed for experiments with Mg/Ca (2.2) brine at pH 8
320 and 9.5, as shown in Figure 8.

321 **Figure 8.**

322 According to Equation A3 (in the appendix) the charge in the crystalline phase structures can be
323 analysed for a given system (k_n and B are constant) in terms of the variation of the slope ($k_n f(m)$).
324 As shown in Figure 8, the depicted functions can in a first approach, be fitted by two intersecting
325 straight lines with two slopes, which divide the supersaturation space into two regimes.

326 For both of the pH values (8 and 9.5), the function has a positive slope (regime 2) reaching a
327 transition point (indicated by a vertical dotted line) followed by a plateau (regime 1) with a
328 decrease of the slope. When comparing both of the experiments, a case of nucleation inhibition
329 was identified at pH 8, as was postulated by Jian et al. [50] who determined the inhibition effect
330 by the increase in the slope and the decrease of the intercept. On the other hand, for the
331 experiment at pH 9.5, a case of nucleation promotion was identified, with a factor of
332 ($k_n f(m_2)=243$), referring to regime 2, which was much lower than that reported at pH =8
333 ($k_n f(m_2)=760$). This result indicates that at pH 8, it is possible to reduce the nucleation barrier by
334 improving the interfacial structure correlation [47, 50]. Similar results (data not shown) were
335 obtained for the Mg/Ca (3.3) brines.

336 The particle size distribution in terms of volume and the number of particles for both of the Mg/Ca
337 brines at pH 11.5 is shown in [Figure \(S3\)](#) (Supporting Material). The number of particles with a
338 mean size (d_{50}) increases with the Mg(II) concentration from 310 nm to 1400 nm for the Mg/Ca
339 (2.2) and Mg/Ca (3.3) brines, respectively. The precipitate particle size analysis in terms of
340 volume revealed the formation of aggregates with an average equivalent diameter of
341 approximately 113 μm and 62 μm for the Mg/Ca (2.2) and Mg/Ca (3.3) brines, respectively. The
342 initially formed particles are smaller, thus having a higher tendency to aggregate due to their
343 amorphous state and small size [\[51\]](#). Excess Mg(II) negatively affects the final powder crystal
344 sizes because it causes a higher supersaturation, consequently increasing the nuclei population
345 density, which suggests a higher nucleation rate. Therefore, crystals reach larger sizes, as
346 described by [\[52\]](#) for Hap crystallization in the presence of excess of Mg(II) ions. The obtained
347 precipitates consist of a population of nanometre-sized primary particles and a population of
348 micrometre-sized aggregates. The aggregates most likely result from the aggregation of primary
349 nanoparticles because small particles have a high surface area-to-volume ratio, resulting in a
350 high surface tension, which tends to diminish by adhering to other particles. [\[53\]](#).

351

352 **4. Conclusions**

353 In this study, the influence of Mg(II) ions on phosphate recovery by Hap precipitation from basic
354 solutions with desalinated industrial brines containing mixtures of Ca and Mg was confirmed.

355 For both of the Mg/Ca (2.2 and 3.3) brines at higher pH values (9.5 and 11.5), the precipitation
356 inhibition of Hap was observed, and although solutions were supersaturated, the process
357 proceeded with the formation of typically amorphous mineral phases (e.g. Ca, Mg, and Ca-Mg-
358 phosphates). The presence of meta-stable clusters decreases the energetic barrier, thereby
359 facilitating nucleation (pH 9.5 and 11.5). In the case of experiments at pH = 8, formation of stable

360 clusters increased the barrier, thus promoting nucleation inhibition, and in this case, nanocrystals
361 were formed, and solids were not recovered after filtration with a 0.22 μm filter.

362 The presence of pre-nucleation clusters in under- and super-saturated solutions and their
363 participation in the phase separation process were proposed. Mg(II) severely inhibited precipitate
364 crystallinity and the precipitation rate, allowing formation of ACP. This result is presumably due to
365 Mg(II) incorporation into the Ca(II)-phosphate structure to form a Mg(II)-substituted structure that
366 crystallized to stanfieldite $\text{Ca}_4\text{Mg}_5(\text{PO}_4)_6$ upon thermal treatment to increase crystallinity.
367 According to literature, this mineral has not been postulated previously in the precipitation of
368 phosphate solutions with Mg/Ca brines. The surface adsorption of Mg(II) (rather than the
369 incorporated Mg(II)) played a critical role in regulating the transformation rate of ACP to Hap.
370 Mg(II) altered the stability of the mineral phases, and the more soluble solids were precipitated
371 (e.g., $\text{Mg}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 22\text{H}_2\text{O}$) at pH 11.5. Sulfate ions have a high capacity to form complexes with
372 Ca(II) and Mg(II), and participated in the precipitation reactions. However, although sulfur was
373 detected by EDX, no mineral containing sulfate was identified by XRD.

374 The use of industrial desalinated brines containing mixtures of Cd and Mg could be a suitable
375 source for the recovery of phosphate in the form of mixed Ca-Mg phosphates suitable for the
376 chemical industries producing fertilizers.

377 **Acknowledgments**

378 This study has been supported by the ZERODISCHARGE project (CTQ2011-26799) financed by
379 Ministry of Science and Innovation (MINECO, Spain) and the Catalan government (project ref.
380 2009SGR905). N. Moreno, IDAEA-CSIC for his help on XRD analysis.

381 **5. References**

382 [1] Communication from the commission to the European parliament, the council, the
383 european economic and social committee and the committee of the regions, Towards a
384 circular economy: a zero waste programme for Europe, Circular Economy Strategy, COM.
385 398 (2014) 1–8.

- 386 [2] N.Y. Mezenner, a. Bensmaili, Kinetics and thermodynamic study of phosphate adsorption
387 on iron hydroxide-eggshell waste, *Chem. Eng. J.* 147 (2009) 87–96.
- 388 [3] C. Barca, C. Gérente, D. Meyer, F. Chazarenc, Y. Andrès, Phosphate removal from
389 synthetic and real wastewater using steel slags produced in Europe., *Water Res.* 46
390 (2012) 2376–84.
- 391 [4] B. Li, M.T. Brett, The impact of alum based advanced nutrient removal processes on
392 phosphorus bioavailability., *Water Res.* 46 (2012) 837–44.
- 393 [5] T. Nur, M.A.H. Johir, P. Loganathan, T. Nguyen, S. Vigneswaran, J. Kandasamy,
394 Phosphate removal from water using an iron oxide impregnated strong base anion
395 exchange resin, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 20 (2014) 1301–1307.
- 396 [6] S. Sengupta, A. Pandit, Selective removal of phosphorus from wastewater combined with
397 its recovery as a solid-phase fertilizer., *Water Res.* 45 (2011) 3318–30.
- 398 [7] Y. Liu, X. Sheng, Y. Dong, Y. Ma, Removal of high-concentration phosphate by calcite:
399 Effect of sulfate and pH, *Desalination.* 289 (2012) 66–71.
- 400 [8] H. Kodera, M. Hatamoto, K. Abe, T. Kindaichi, N. Ozaki, A. Ohashi, Phosphate recovery
401 as concentrated solution from treated wastewater by a PAO-enriched biofilm reactor.,
402 *Water Res.* 47 (2013) 2025–32.
- 403 [9] A.T.K. Tran, Y. Zhang, D. De Corte, J.-B. Hannes, W. Ye, P. Mondal, et al., P-recovery as
404 calcium phosphate from wastewater using an integrated electrodialysis/crystallization
405 process, *J. Clean. Prod.* 77 (2014) 140–151.
- 406 [10] Elisabeth.V.M, Keith. B, Controlled struvite crystallisation for removing phosphorus from
407 anaerobic digester sidestreams, *Water Res.* 35 (2001) 151–159.
- 408 [11] C.-C. Su, L.D. Dulfo, M.L.P. Dalida, M.-C. Lu, Magnesium phosphate crystallization in a
409 fluidized-bed reactor: Effects of pH, Mg:P molar ratio and seed, *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 125
410 (2014) 90–96.
- 411 [12] F. Castro, F. Rocha, J. Anto, Continuous-Flow Precipitation of Hydroxyapatite at 37 ° C in
412 a Meso Oscillatory Flow Reactor, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 52 (2013) 9816–9821.
- 413 [13] Hermassi. M, Valderrama. C, Dosta. J, Cortina. J.L, Batis. N.H, Evaluation of
414 Hydroxyapatite crystallization in a batch reactor for the valorization of alkaline phosphate
415 concentrates from wastewater treatment plants using calcium chloride, *Chem. Eng. J.* 267
416 (2015) 142-152.
- 417 [14] D.H. Kim, A review of desalting process techniques and economic analysis of the
418 recovery of salts from retentates, *Desalination.* 270 (2011) 1–8.
- 419 [15] M. Telzhensky, L. Birnhack, O. Lehmann, E. Windler, O. Lahav, Selective separation of
420 seawater Mg²⁺ ions for use in downstream water treatment processes, *Chem. Eng. J.* 175
421 (2011) 136–143.

- 422 [16] Z. Bradford-Hartke, P. Lant, G. Leslie, Phosphorus recovery from centralised municipal
423 water recycling plants, *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* 90 (2012) 78–85.
- 424 [17] O. Lahav, M. Telzhensky, A. Zewuhn, Y. Gendel, J. Gerth, W. Calmano, et al., Struvite
425 recovery from municipal-wastewater sludge centrifuge supernatant using seawater NF
426 concentrate as a cheap Mg(II) source, *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 108 (2013) 103–110.
- 427 [18] M.H. Salimi, J.C. Heughebaert, G.H. Nancollas, Crystal growth of calcium phosphates in
428 the presence of magnesium ions, *Langmuir.* 1 (1985) 119–122.
- 429 [19] X. Cao, W. Harris, Carbonate and magnesium interactive effect on calcium phosphate
430 precipitation., *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42 (2008) 436–442.
- 431 [20] S. V Golubev, O.S. Pokrovsky, V.S. Savenko, Unseeded precipitation of calcium and
432 magnesium phosphates from modified seawater solutions, 205 (1999) 354–360.
- 433 [21] S. V. Golubev, O.S. Pokrovsky, V.S. Savenko, Homogeneous precipitation of magnesium
434 phosphates from seawater solutions, *J. Cryst. Growth.* 223 (2001) 550–556.
- 435 [22] T.H. Muster, G.B. Douglas, N. Sherman, a Seeber, N. Wright, Y. Güzükara, Towards
436 effective phosphorus recycling from wastewater: quantity and quality., *Chemosphere.* 91
437 (2013) 676–84.
- 438 [23] International center of diffraction data, PCPDF Win (JCPDS-ICDD) data base software,
439 (2003).
- 440 [24] W.L. Suchanek, K. Byrappa, P. Shuk, R.E. Riman, V.F. Janas, K.S. TenHuisen,
441 Mechanochemical-hydrothermal synthesis of calcium phosphate powders with coupled
442 magnesium and carbonate substitution, *J. Solid State Chem.* 177 (2004) 793–799.
- 443 [25] I.S.S. Puidomènech, Chemical Equilibrium Software Hydra and Medusa, Stock. Sweden.
444 (2001) Inorganic Chemistry Department. Stock. Sweden.
- 445 [26] J.E. Gray-Munro, M. Strong, A study on the interfacial chemistry of magnesium hydroxide
446 surfaces in aqueous phosphate solutions: influence of Ca²⁺, Cl⁻ and protein., *J. Colloid
447 Interface Sci.* 393 (2013) 421–428.
- 448 [27] Nordstrom, D.K., Pulmmer, L.N., Langmuir, D., Busenberg, E., May, H.M., Jones, B.F., David, L.
449 P., Revised chemical equilibrium data for major water-mineral reactions and their
450 limitations, *Chem. Model. Aqueous Systems II.* (1990) 399–413.
- 451 [28] D.R. Lide, *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, Taylor and Francis Group, 2008.
- 452 [29] L.J. Gunawan, E.K. Warmadewanthi, Removal of phosphate and fluoride from
453 optoelectronic wastewater by calcite, *Int. J. Technol. Manage.* 12 (2010) 308–321.
- 454 [30] Edzwald, J., *Water quality and treatment: A Handbook on drinking water*, 2010.
- 455 [31] E.V. JONES, Mineralogical controls on phosphorus recovery from wastewaters, *Nat.
456 Museum, Hist. Road, Cromwell.* 65 (2001) 611–620.

- 457 [32] Boskey A.L. and Posner A.S., Conversion of amorphous calcium phosphate to
458 microcrystalline hydroxyapatite. A pH dependent, solution-mediated, solid-solid
459 conversion., *J. Phys. Chem.* 77 (1973) 2313–2317.
- 460 [33] J. Meyer, Phase-transformations in the spontaneous precipitation of calcium phosphate.,
461 *Croat. Chem. Acta.* 56 (1983) 753–767.
- 462 [34] Y. Sugiura, K. Onuma, Y. Kimura, H. Miura, K. Tsukamoto, Morphological evolution of
463 precipitates during transformation of amorphous calcium phosphate into octacalcium
464 phosphate in relation to role of intermediate phase, *J. Cryst. Growth.* 332 (2011) 58–67.
- 465 [35] R. Alvarez, L. A. Evans, P.J. Milham, M. A. Wilson, Effects of humic material on the
466 precipitation of calcium phosphate, *Geoderma.* 118 (2004) 245–260.
- 467 [36] X. Cao, W.G. Harris, M.S. Josan, V.D. Nair, Inhibition of calcium phosphate precipitation
468 under environmentally-relevant conditions., *Sci. Total Environ.* 383 (2007) 205–215.
- 469 [37] H. Ding, H. Pan, X. Xu, R. Tang, Toward a Detailed Understanding of Magnesium Ions on
470 Hydroxyapatite Crystallization Inhibition, *Cryst. Growth Des.* 14 (2014) 763–769.
- 471 [38] X. Yang, B. Xie, L. Wang, Y. Qin, Z.J. Henneman, G.H. Nancollas, Influence of
472 magnesium ions and amino acids on the nucleation and growth of hydroxyapatite,
473 *CrystEngComm.* 13 (2011)
- 474 [39] A. W. Taylor, A. W. Frazier, E.L. Gurney, Solubility products of magnesium ammonium
475 and magnesium potassium phosphates, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* 59 (1963) 1580.
- 476 [40] D. Jenkins, J.F. Ferguson, Chemical processes for phosphate removal, *Water Res.* 5
477 (1971) 369–389.
- 478 [41] R.D. Cusick, M.L. Ullery, B. a Dempsey, B.E. Logan, Electrochemical struvite precipitation
479 from digestate with a fluidized bed cathode microbial electrolysis cell., *Water Res.* 54
480 (2014) 297–306.
- 481 [42] X. Yang, B. Xie, L. Wang, Y. Qin, Z.J. Henneman, G.H. Nancollas, Influence of
482 magnesium ions and amino acids on the nucleation and growth of hydroxyapatite,
483 *CrystEngComm.* 13 (2011) 1153–1158.
- 484 [43] F. John, L. Mccarty, Effects of Carbonate and Magnesium on Calcium Phosphate
485 Precipitation, (1969).
- 486 [44] L. Wang, G.H. Nancollas, Calcium orthophosphates: crystallization and dissolution.,
487 *Chem. Rev.* 108 (2008) 4628–4669.
- 488 [45] B. Xie, T.J. Halter, B.M. Borah, G.H. Nancollas, Tracking Amorphous Precursor Formation
489 and Transformation during Induction Stages of Nucleation, *Cryst. Growth Des.* 14 (2014)
490 1659–1665.
- 491 [46] D. Gebauer, H. Cölfen, Prenucleation clusters and non-classical nucleation, *Nano Today.*
492 6 (2011) 564–584.

- 493 [47] D. Gebauer, M. Kellermeier, J.D. Gale, L. Bergström, H. Cölfen, Pre-nucleation clusters
494 as solute precursors in crystallisation., *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 43 (2014) 2348–2371.
- 495 [48] F. Lagno, G.P. Demopoulos, Synthesis of Hydrated Aluminum Phosphate , $\text{AlPO}_4 \cdot 1.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$
496 ($\text{AlPO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$), by Controlled Reactive Crystallization in Sulfate Media, (2005) 8033–8038.
- 497 [49] K. Onuma, A. Ito, Cluster Growth Model for Hydroxyapatite, *Chem. Mater.* 10 (1998)
498 3346–3351.
- 499 [50] H. Jiang, X.-Y. Liu, G. Zhang, Y. Li, Kinetics and template nucleation of self-assembled
500 hydroxyapatite nanocrystallites by chondroitin sulfate., *J. Biol. Chem.* 280 (2005) 42061–
501 42066.
- 502 [51] F. Castro, S. Kuhn, K. Jensen, A. Ferreira, F. Rocha, A. Vicente, et al., Process
503 intensification and optimization for hydroxyapatite nanoparticles production, *Chem. Eng.*
504 *Sci.* 100 (2013) 352–359.
- 505 [52] N. Hutnik, A. Kozik, A. Mazienczuk, K. Piotrowski, B. Wierzbowska, A. Matynia,
506 Phosphates (V) recovery from phosphorus mineral fertilizers industry wastewater by
507 continuous struvite reaction crystallization process., *Water Res.* 47 (2013) 3635–43.
- 508 [53] M.D. Luque de Castro, F. Priego-Capote, Ultrasound-assisted crystallization
509 (sonocrystallization)., *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 14 (2007) 717–724.
- 510
- 511
- 512
- 513
- 514
- 515
- 516
- 517
- 518
- 519

520 **Appendix A. Fundamental precipitation inhibition effects on nucleation growth kinetics**

521 Lamer and Dinegar [1] described the formation of colloidal nanocrystals in a solution phase
522 through a crystal nucleation process involving the following three steps: i) ions start to aggregate
523 into nuclei via self-nucleation as the monomer concentration increases in the solution to
524 supersaturation levels, ii) monomers continuously aggregate on the pre-existing nuclei or seed,
525 which leads to a gradual decrease in the monomer concentration, and iii) nuclei grow into
526 nanocrystals of increasingly larger sizes until reaching an equilibrium state.

527 The nucleation and growth steps are two relatively separated processes, and the formation of
528 nuclei occurs only at a reactant concentration substantially higher than the saturation
529 concentration (C_s); otherwise, growth of the existing nuclei dominates. The subsequent growth
530 steps will strongly govern the final morphology of the nanocrystals [2], [3] and [4].

531 The free energy change required for the formation of nuclei (ΔG) is determined by the free energy
532 change for the phase transformation (ΔG_v) and the free energy change for the formation of a solid
533 surface (ΔG_s) [5]. Then, the driving force ($\Delta\mu$) required for Hap ($\text{Ca}_5(\text{PO}_4)_3\text{OH}(\text{s})$) crystallization is
534 defined by Equation A1, as follows [6]:

$$535 \quad \Delta\mu = KT \ln(1 + \sigma) = KT \ln \frac{[a(\text{Ca}^{2+})]^5 [a(\text{PO}_4^{3-})]^3 [a(\text{OH}^-)]}{K_{so}(\text{Hap})} \quad (\text{A1})$$

536 where K is the Boltzmann constant, T (K) is the absolute temperature, K_{so} is the solubility product,
537 a is the activity of species i , and σ is the relative solution supersaturation index.

538 At a given $\Delta\mu$, natural nucleation is a kinetically controlled process in which the Hap nuclei
539 overcome a homogeneous nucleation barrier (ΔG_{homo}^*) [6] that could be estimated by Equation
540 A2, as follows:

$$541 \quad \Delta G_{\text{homo}}^* = \frac{16\pi\gamma_{cf}^3\Omega^2}{3[KT \ln(1+\sigma)]} \quad (\text{A2})$$

542 where γ_{cf} is the specific interfacial free energy between the crystals and the mother phase, and Ω
 543 is the volume of the growth units.

544 The nucleation induction time (t_s) [7], [8] and [9] at different supersaturation levels could be used
 545 to characterize the kinetics of nucleation and could be calculated by Equation A3, as follows:

$$546 \quad \ln t_s = \frac{k_n f(m)}{[\ln(1+\sigma)]^2} - \ln(VR_s^2 N_0 f''(m)[f(m)]^{1/2} B) \quad (A3)$$

547 where R_s is the crystal radius, N_0 is the mineral density, B is the kinetic constant, V is the solution
 548 volume, and m is a factor that depends on the interaction and interfacial structural match between
 549 the crystalline phase and substrate; it is expressed as a function of the interfacial free energy
 550 difference among the different phases, as shown in Equation A4 as follows [10]:

$$551 \quad m = \frac{\gamma_{sf} - \gamma_{sc}}{\gamma_{cf}} \quad (-1 < m < 1) \quad (A4)$$

552 where γ_{sf} , γ_{sc} , and γ_{cf} correspond to the interfacial tension between substrate and fluid, crystal
 553 and substrate, and crystal and fluid, respectively.

554 Furthermore, $f(m)$ is the interfacial correlation factor describing the reduction of the nucleation
 555 barrier ΔG^*_{homo} due to the occurrence of the substrate and is defined by Equation A5; $f''(m)$ is the
 556 pre-exponential term describing the ratio between the average effective collision in the presence
 557 and absence of substrate and is defined by Equation A6. Finally, k_n is the nucleation constant,
 558 which remains constant under a given condition m , and is defined by Equation A7.

$$559 \quad f(m) = \frac{1}{4}(2 - 3m + m^3) \quad (A5)$$

$$560 \quad f''(m) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - m) \quad (A6)$$

$$561 \quad k_n = \frac{16\pi\gamma_{cf}^3\Omega^2}{3(KT)^3} \quad (A7)$$

562 For a crystalline phase m , $f(m)$ takes only those values corresponding to some
563 crystallographically preferred orientations; then, it is possible according to Equation A3, to obtain
564 a set of intercepting straight lines by plotting $\ln t_s$ versus $1/[\ln(1+\sigma)]^2$. These lines with different
565 slopes $k_n f(m)$ in the different regimes indicate that nucleation is governed by a sequence of
566 progressive heterogeneous processes, as described by Lamer and Dinegar (1950) [1].

567 Appendix references

- 568 [1] LaMer.V. K. and Dinegar.R. H., Theory, production and mechanism of formation of
569 monodispersed Hydrosols, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 72 (1950) 4847–4854.
- 570 [2] C. Destrée, F. Debuigne, L. Jeunieu, J.B. Nagy, Mechanism of formation of inorganic
571 and organic nanoparticles from microemulsions., *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 123-126
572 (2006) 353–67.
- 573 [3] R. Viswanatha, D.D. Sarma, *Growth of Nanocrystals in Solution*, 2007.
- 574 [4] A.L. Sarode, P. Wang, S. Obara, D.R. Worthen, Supersaturation, nucleation, and crystal
575 growth during single- and biphasic dissolution of amorphous solid dispersions: polymer
576 effects and implications for oral bioavailability enhancement of poorly water soluble
577 drugs., *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 86 (2014) 351–60.
- 578 [5] Y. Sun, Controlled synthesis of colloidal silver nanoparticles in organic solutions: empirical
579 rules for nucleation engineering., *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 42 (2013) 2497–511.
- 580 [6] A.C. Zettlemoyer, *Nucleation*, New York, NY: Dekker, 1969.
- 581 [7] X.Y. Liu, Heterogeneous nucleation or homogeneous nucleation?, *J. Chem. Phys.* 112
582 (2000) 9949–9955.
- 583 [8] Sato.K, Furukawa, Y. Nakajima, K, *Advances in crystal growth research*,: 2001, 42–61.
- 584 [9] H. Jiang, X.-Y. Liu, G. Zhang, Y. Li, Kinetics and template nucleation of self-assembled
585 hydroxyapatite nanocrystallites by chondroitin sulfate., *J. Biol. Chem.* 280 (2005) 42061–
586 6.
- 587 [10] H. Jiang, X.-Y. Liu, Principles of mimicking and engineering the self-organized structure of
588 hard tissues., *J. Biol. Chem.* 279 (2004) 41286–93.
- 589

- 1 Table 1. Composition of industrial desalinated brines used in this study.

	Ca (g Ca(II)/L)	Na g Na(I)/L	Cl g Cl-/L	SO ₄ ²⁻ g SO ₄ ²⁻ /L	Mg ^a g Mg(II)/L	pH _{initial}
Mg/Ca (2.2) brine	0.23	23.5	34.2	3.4	0.30	8.8
Mg/Ca (3.3) brine	0.41	18.9	16.4	20.1	0.85	8.9

- 2
 - a The Mg content is low because Mg(II) was recovered as Mg(OH)₂

1 **Figure captions:**

2

3 Figure 1. Effect of pH on a) the P(V) concentration variation and b) the P(V) recovery by precipitation
4 using the Mg/Ca (2.2) brine, c) the P(V) concentration variation and d) the P(V) recovery by precipitation
5 using the Mg/Ca (3.3) brine (dotted line represents the expected P(V) concentration if any precipitation.

6

7 Figure 2. Variation concentration of major components (Mg(II), Ca(II), SO_4^{2-} and Cl^-) in experiments
8 under different pH conditions using the Mg/Ca (2.2) brine (solid lines are the total ion concentration
9 added throughout the precipitation experiment).

10

11 Figure 3. Saturation index (SI) for several minerals in the reactor for brine (Mg/Ca=2.2) at a) pH 11.5 for
12 Ca-phosphate minerals, b) pH 8 for Ca-phosphate minerals and c) pH 8 and 11.5 for Mg-phosphate
13 minerals.

14

15 Figure 4. XRD spectra of the particles obtained in the stirred batch reactor with Mg/Ca (2.2) brine a)
16 ACP at pH 9.5 and 11.5 and b) Crystal solid at different pH values after thermal treatment.

17

18 Figure 5. Variation of major components (Mg(II), Ca(II), SO_4^{2-} and Cl^-) in the batch experiments under
19 different pH conditions using the Mg/Ca (3.3) brine (solid lines are the total ion concentration added
20 along the precipitation experiment).

21

22 Figure 6. XRD spectra of the particles produced in the stirred batch reactor with Mg/Ca (3.3) brine at a)
23 pH 11.5 and 9.5 and b) pH 9.5 amorphous solid and c) pH 9.5 after thermal treatment of amorphous
24 precipitates.





25

26 Figure 7. Supersaturation index (SI) for Ca/Mg (2.2) brine with respect to Hap at different pH values (8,
27 9.5 and 11.5) as a function of precipitation time in the batch reactor.


28

29 Figure 8. Evaluation of the nucleation kinetics using the dependence of $\ln(t_s)$ versus $1/[\ln(1+\sigma)]^2$ [47] for
30 Hap nucleation with Mg/Ca (2.2) brine at different pH values (8 and 9.5).

1




2 Figure 1.



3

4 Figure 2.


5



6

7 Figure 3.

8



9

10 Figure 4.

11

12

13


14

15

16

17

18




19

20 Figure 5.

21

22

23



24

25 Figure 6.

26

27


28

29

30

31

32



33

34 Figure 7.

35

36

37


38

39

40

41

42



43

44 Figure 8.