



# ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Hanspeter KRAFT & Immanuel STAMPFLI

**On Automorphisms of the Affine Cremona Group**

Tome 00, n° 0 (XXXX), p. 1-11.

[http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\\_XXXX\\_\\_00\\_0\\_0\\_0](http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_XXXX__00_0_0_0)

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, XXXX, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du  
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques*  
<http://www.cedram.org/>

## ON AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE AFFINE CREMONA GROUP

by Hanspeter KRAFT & Immanuel STAMPFLI (\*)

---

ABSTRACT. — We show that every automorphism of the group  $\mathcal{G}_n := \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$  of polynomial automorphisms of complex affine  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{A}^n = \mathbb{C}^n$  is inner up to field automorphisms when restricted to the subgroup  $T\mathcal{G}_n$  of tame automorphisms. This generalizes a result of Julie Déserti who proved this in dimension  $n = 2$  where all automorphisms are tame:  $T\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{G}_2$ . The methods are different, based on arguments from algebraic group actions.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous montrons que tous les automorphismes du groupe des automorphismes polynomiaux de l'espace affine  $\mathbb{C}^n$  sont des automorphismes intérieurs modulo des automorphismes du corps  $\mathbb{C}$ , si nous nous restreignons au sous-groupe des automorphismes modérés. Ceci généralise un résultat de Julie Déserti traitant le cas de la dimension  $n = 2$ . Dans ce cas, tous les automorphismes polynomiaux sont modérés. Nos méthodes sont différentes de celles de Julie Déserti et sont basées sur des arguments d'actions de groupes algébriques.

### 1. Notation

Let  $\mathcal{G}_n := \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$  denote the group of polynomial automorphisms of complex affine  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{A}^n = \mathbb{C}^n$ . For an automorphism  $\mathbf{g}$  we use the notation  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$  if

$$\mathbf{g}(a) = (g_1(a_1, \dots, a_n), \dots, g_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \quad \text{for } a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n$$

where  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Moreover, we define the degree of  $\mathbf{g}$  by  $\deg \mathbf{g} := \max(\deg g_1, \dots, \deg g_n)$ . The product of two automorphisms is denoted by  $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}$ .

---

*Keywords:* Polynomial automorphisms, algebraic group actions, ind-varieties, affine  $n$ -space.

*Math. classification:* 14R10, 14R20, 14L30.

(\*) Both authors were partially supported by Swiss National Science Foundation (Schweizerischer Nationalfonds).

The automorphisms of the form  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  where  $g_i = g_i(x_i, \dots, x_n)$  depends only on  $x_i, \dots, x_n$ , form the *Jonquière subgroup*  $\mathcal{J}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n$ . Moreover, we have the inclusions  $D_n \subset \mathrm{GL}_n \subset \mathrm{Aff}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  where  $D_n$  is the group of *diagonal automorphisms*  $(a_1x_1, \dots, a_nx_n)$  and  $\mathrm{Aff}_n$  the group of *affine transformations*  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  where all  $g_i$  have degree 1. The group  $\mathrm{Aff}_n$  is the semidirect product of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  with the commutative unipotent subgroup  $\mathcal{T}_n$  of translations. The subgroup  $T\mathcal{G}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  generated by  $\mathcal{J}_n$  and  $\mathrm{Aff}_n$  is called the group of *tame automorphisms*.

**MAIN THEOREM.** — *Let  $\theta$  be an automorphism of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Then there is an element  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_n$  and a field automorphism  $\tau: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that*

$$\theta(\mathbf{f}) = \tau(\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}^{-1}) \text{ for all tame automorphisms } \mathbf{f} \in T\mathcal{G}_n.$$

After some preparation in the following sections the proof is given in Section 7. For  $n = 2$  where  $T\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{G}_2$  this result is due to Julie Deserti [3]. In fact, she proved this for any uncountable field  $K$  of characteristic zero. Our methods work for any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

## 2. Ind-group structure and locally finite automorphisms

The group  $\mathcal{G}_n$  has the structure of an ind-group given by  $\mathcal{G}_n = \bigcup_{d \geq 1} (\mathcal{G}_n)_d$  where  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_d$  are the automorphisms of degree  $\leq d$  (see [8]). Each  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_d$  is an affine variety and  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_d \subset (\mathcal{G}_n)_{d+1}$  is closed for all  $d$ . This defines a topology on  $\mathcal{G}_n$  where a subset  $X \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  is closed (resp. open) if and only if  $X \cap (\mathcal{G}_n)_d$  is closed (resp. open) in  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_d$  for all  $d$ . All subgroups mentioned above are closed subgroups.

In addition, multiplication  $\mathcal{G}_n \times \mathcal{G}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n$  and inverse  $: \mathcal{G}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n$  are morphisms of ind-varieties where for the latter one has to use the fact that  $\deg \mathbf{f}^{-1} \leq (\deg \mathbf{f})^{n-1}$ . This seems to be a classical result for birational maps of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  based on Bézout's Theorem (see [1, Corollary (1.4) and Theorem (1.5)]). It follows that for every subgroup  $G \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  the closure  $\bar{G}$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is also a subgroup.

A closed subgroup  $G$  contained in some  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_d$  is called an *algebraic subgroup*. In fact, such a  $G$  is an affine algebraic group which acts faithfully on  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , and for every algebraic group  $H$  acting on  $\mathbb{A}^n$  the image of  $H$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is an algebraic subgroup.

A subset  $X \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  is called *bounded constructible*, if  $X$  is a constructible subset of some  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_d$ .

LEMMA 2.1. — *Let  $G \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  be a subgroup and let  $X \subset G$  be a subset which is dense in  $G$  and bounded constructible. Then  $G$  is an algebraic subgroup, and  $G = X \circ X$ .*

*Proof.* — By assumption  $G \subset \bar{X} \subset (\mathcal{G}_n)_d$  for some  $d$  and so  $\bar{G} = \bar{X}$  is an algebraic subgroup. Moreover, there is a subset  $U \subset X$  which is open and dense in  $\bar{G}$ . Then  $U \circ U = \bar{G}$ , and so  $\bar{G} = G = X \circ X$ .  $\square$

An element  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_n$  is called *locally finite* if it induces a locally finite automorphism of the algebra  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of polynomial functions on  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . This is equivalent to the condition that the linear span of  $\{(\mathbf{g}^m)^*(f) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is finite dimensional for all  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

More generally, an action of a group  $G$  on an affine variety  $X$  is called *locally finite* if the induced action on the coordinate ring  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  is locally finite, *i.e.*, for all  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  the linear span  $\langle Gf \rangle$  is finite dimensional. It is easy to see that the image of  $G$  in  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is dense in an algebraic group  $\bar{G}$  which acts algebraically on  $X$ . In fact, one first chooses a finite dimensional  $G$ -stable subspace  $W \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$  which generates  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ , and then defines  $\bar{G} \subset \text{GL}(W)$  to be the closure of the image of  $G$  inside  $\text{GL}(W)$ .

The next result will be used in the following section. We start again with an action of a group  $G$  on an affine variety  $X$  and assume that  $x_0 \in X$  is a fixed point. Then we obtain a representation  $\tau: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_{x_0}X)$  on the tangent space at  $x_0$ , given by  $\tau(g) := d_{x_0}g$ .

LEMMA 2.2. — *Let  $G$  act faithfully on an irreducible affine variety  $X$ . Assume that  $x_0 \in X$  is a fixed point and that there is a  $G$ -stable decomposition  $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0} = V \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}^2$ . Then the tangent representation  $\tau: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_{x_0}X)$  is faithful.*

*Proof.* — Let  $g \in \ker \tau$ . Then  $g$  acts trivially on  $V$ , hence on all powers  $V^j$  of  $V$ . This implies that the action of  $g$  on  $\mathcal{O}(X)/\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}^k$  is trivial for all  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $\bigcap_k \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}^k = \{0\}$  the claim follows.  $\square$

We remark that a  $G$ -stable decomposition  $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0} = V \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}^2$  like in the lemma above always exists if  $G$  is a reductive algebraic group.

### 3. Tori and centralizers

For the convenience of the reader we recall two important results about fixed point sets of group actions which we will need below. A complex variety  $X$  is called  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic if  $H_j(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $j > 0$  and  $H_0(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . The first result goes back to P. A. Smith [11].

PROPOSITION 3.1 (Corollary to Theorem 7.5 in [10]). — *Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group and let  $X$  be an affine  $G$ -variety. If  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic, then so is  $X^G$ .*

The second result is due to Fogarty and describes the *tangent cone*  $C(X^G, x)$  of the fixed point set  $X^G$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2 (Theorem 5.2 in [4]). — *Let  $G$  be a reductive group. If  $X$  is an affine  $G$ -variety, then for each point  $x \in X$  we have  $C(X^G, x) = C(X, x)^G$ .*

Define  $\mu_k := \{\mathfrak{g} \in D_n \mid \mathfrak{g}^k = \text{id}\}$ . We have  $\mu_k \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/k)^n$ , and  $\mu_\infty := \bigcup_k \mu_k \subset D_n$  is the subgroup of elements of finite order where  $\mu_\infty \simeq (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^n$ . The next lemma about the centralizer of  $\mu_k$  is easy.

LEMMA 3.3. — *For every  $k > 1$  we have  $\text{Cent}_{\mathcal{G}_n}(\mu_k) = \text{Cent}_{\text{GL}_n}(\mu_k) = D_n$ .*

The following result is crucial for the proof of the main theorem.

PROPOSITION 3.4. — *Let  $\mu \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  be a finite subgroup isomorphic to  $\mu_2$ . Then the centralizer  $\text{Cent}_{\mathcal{G}_n}(\mu)$  is a diagonalizable algebraic subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , i.e., isomorphic to a closed subgroup of a torus. Moreover  $\dim \text{Cent}_{\mathcal{G}_n}(\mu) \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* — We first remark that  $\text{Cent}_{\mathcal{G}_n}(\mu)$  is a closed subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . By Theorem 3.1 the fixed point set  $F := (\mathbb{A}^n)^{\mu'}$  of every subgroup  $\mu' \subset \mu$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -acyclic, in particular non-empty and connected. We also know that  $F$  is smooth and that  $T_a F = (T_a \mathbb{A}^n)^{\mu'}$  since  $\mu'$  is linearly reductive (see Theorem 3.2). If  $a \in (\mathbb{A}^n)^\mu$ , then the tangent representation of  $\mu$  on  $T_a \mathbb{A}^n$  is faithful, by Lemma 2.2 above, and so  $a$  is an isolated fixed point. Hence,  $(\mathbb{A}^n)^\mu = \{a\}$ .

Choose generators  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  of  $\mu$  such that the images in  $\text{GL}(T_a \mathbb{A}^n)$  are reflections, i.e., have a single eigenvalue  $-1$ , and set  $H_i := (\mathbb{A}^n)^{\sigma_i}$ . The tangent representation shows that  $H_i$  is a hypersurface, hence defined by an irreducible polynomial  $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Moreover,  $\sigma_i^*(f_i) = -f_i$  and  $\sigma_i^*(f_j) = f_j$  for  $j \neq i$ . It follows that the linear subspace  $V := \mathbb{C}f_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}f_n \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is  $\mu$ -stable. In addition, any  $\mathfrak{g} \in G := \text{Cent}_{\mathcal{G}_n}(\mu)$  fixes  $a$  and stabilizes all  $\mathbb{C}f_i$  and so, by the following Lemma 3.6 applied to the morphism  $\varphi := (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ , the action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{A}^n$  is locally finite. Since  $G$  is a closed subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , it follows that it is an algebraic subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , and its image in  $\text{GL}(V)$  is a closed subgroup contained in a maximal torus, hence a diagonalizable group.

Finally,  $\mathfrak{m}_a = V \oplus \mathfrak{m}_a^2$ , and thus the homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(T_a \mathbb{A}^n)$  is injective, by Lemma 2.2. Hence the claim.  $\square$

*Remark 3.5.* — It is not difficult to show that the proposition holds for every finite commutative subgroup  $\mu$  of rank  $n$ . In fact, the proof carries over to subgroups isomorphic to  $\mu_p$  where  $p$  is a prime, and every finite commutative subgroup  $\mu$  of rank  $n$  contains such a group.

LEMMA 3.6. — *Let  $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$  be a subgroup and let  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow X$  be a dominant morphism such that  $\dim X = n$ . Assume that  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}(X))$  is a  $G$ -stable subalgebra and that the induced action of  $G$  on  $X$  is locally finite. Then the same holds for the action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .*

*Proof.* — Put  $A := \varphi^*(\mathcal{O}(X)) \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and denote by  $R \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the integral closure of  $A$ . We first claim that the action of  $G$  on  $R$  is locally finite. In fact, let  $f \in R$  and let  $f^m + a_1 f^{m-1} + \dots + a_m = 0$  be an integral equation of  $f$  over  $A$ . By assumption, the spaces  $\langle Ga_i \rangle$  are all finite dimensional, and so there is a  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\deg ga_i < d$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $a_i$ . Since  $gf$  satisfies the equation  $(gf)^m + (ga_1)(gf)^{m-1} + \dots + (ga_m) = 0$  we get  $\deg(gf) < d$  for all  $g \in G$ , hence the claim.

Therefore, we can assume that  $X$  is normal and that  $\varphi: \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow X$  is birational. Choose an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  such that  $\varphi(U) \subset X$  is open and  $\varphi$  induces an isomorphism  $U \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi(U)$ . Define  $Y := \bigcup_{g \in G} gU \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ . Then the induced morphism  $\psi := \varphi|_Y: Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$  is  $G$ -equivariant and a local isomorphism. Since  $X$  is quasi-compact the fibers of  $\psi$  are finite, and since  $\psi$  is birational and  $\varphi(Y)$  normal we get that  $\psi$  is a  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism.

By assumption, the action of  $G$  on  $X$  is locally finite, and so  $G$  is dense in an algebraic group  $\bar{G}$  which acts regularly on  $X$ . Clearly, the open set  $\varphi(Y)$  is  $\bar{G}$ -stable and thus the action of  $\bar{G}$  on  $\mathcal{O}(\varphi(Y))$  is locally finite. Now the claim follows, because  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset \mathcal{O}(Y)$  is a  $G$ -stable subalgebra.  $\square$

The proposition above has an interesting consequence for the linearization problem for finite group actions on affine 3-space  $\mathbb{A}^3$ . In this case it is known that every faithful action of a non-finite reductive group on  $\mathbb{A}^3$  is linearizable (Kraft-Russell, see [6]).

COROLLARY 3.7. — *Let  $\mu \subset \mathcal{G}_3$  be a commutative subgroup of rank three. If the centralizer of  $\mu$  is not finite, then  $\mu$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $D_3$ .*

#### 4. $D_n$ -stable unipotent subgroups

Recall that every commutative unipotent group  $U$  has a natural structure of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space, given by the exponential map  $\exp: T_e U \xrightarrow{\sim} U$ . Thus  $\text{Aut}(U) = \text{GL}(U)$  and every action of an algebraic group on  $U$  by group automorphisms is given by a linear representation.

A (non-zero) locally nilpotent vector field  $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  defines a (non-trivial)  $\mathbb{C}^+$ -action on  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , hence a one-dimensional unipotent subgroup

$$U_\delta = \{(\exp(t\delta)(x_1), \dots, \exp(t\delta)(x_n)) \mid t \in \mathbb{C}^+\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_n,$$

and  $U_\delta = U_{\delta'}$  if and only if  $\delta'$  is a scalar multiple of  $\delta$ . In the following we denote by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  the standard basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , and by  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  the standard basis of the character group of  $D_n$ .

LEMMA 4.1. — *Let  $U = U_\delta \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  be a one-dimensional unipotent subgroup. Then  $U_\delta$  is normalized by  $D_n$  if and only if  $\delta$  is of the form  $cx^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ , where*

$$x^\gamma = x_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots x_{i-1}^{\gamma_{i-1}} x_{i+1}^{\gamma_{i+1}} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n}$$

and  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . In particular,  $U_\delta = \{\delta(s) := (x_1, \dots, x_i + s(cx^\gamma), \dots, x_n) \mid s \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , and  $\mathbf{d} \circ \delta(s) \circ \mathbf{d}^{-1} = \delta(t^{e_i - \gamma} s)$  for  $\mathbf{d} = (t_1 x_1, \dots, t_n x_n) \in D_n$ .

*Proof.* — If  $U_\delta$  is normalized by  $D_n$ , then  $\mathbf{d} \circ \delta \circ \mathbf{d}^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^* \delta$  for all  $\mathbf{d} \in D_n$ . Writing  $\delta = \sum_i h_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  it follows that each  $h_i$  is a monomial of the form  $h_i = a_i x^{\beta + e_i}$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . If  $\beta_i \geq 0$  an induction on  $m$  shows that, for all  $m \geq 1$ , we have

$$\delta^m(x_i) = b_m^{(i)} x^{m\beta + e_i}, \quad \text{where } b_m^{(i)} = a_i \prod_{l=1}^{m-1} (lb + a_i) \quad \text{and } b = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \beta_j.$$

Assume that  $\beta_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ . Since  $\delta$  is locally nilpotent there is a minimal  $m_i \geq 0$  such that  $b_{m_i+1}^{(i)} = 0$ . This implies  $a_i = -m_i b$ . Since  $\delta \neq 0$ , we get

$$0 \neq b = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \beta_i = -b \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \beta_i,$$

and so  $\sum m_i \beta_i = -1$ . But this is a contradiction, because  $m_i, \beta_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ . Therefore  $a_i x^{\beta + e_i} \neq 0$  implies that  $\beta_j \geq 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ , and  $\beta_i = -1$ . Thus there is only one term in the sum, i.e.,  $\delta = a_i x^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  where  $\gamma := \beta + e_i$  has the claimed form.  $\square$

Remark 4.2. — This lemma can also be expressed in the following way: *There is a bijective correspondence between the  $D_n$ -stable one-dimensional unipotent subgroups  $U \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  and the characters of  $D_n$  of the form  $\lambda =$*

$\sum_j \lambda_j \varepsilon_j$  where one  $\lambda_i$  equals 1 and the others are  $\leq 0$ . We will denote this set of characters by  $X_u(D_n)$ :

$$X_u(D_n) := \{ \lambda = \sum \lambda_j \varepsilon_j \mid \exists i \text{ such that } \lambda_i = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_j \leq 0 \text{ for } j \neq i \}.$$

If  $\lambda \in X_u(D_n)$ , then  $U_\lambda$  denotes the corresponding one-dimensional unipotent subgroup normalized by  $D_n$ .

*Remark 4.3.* — In [9, Theorem 1] Alvaro Liendo shows that the locally nilpotent derivations normalized by the torus  $D'_n := D_n \cap \text{SL}_n$  have exactly the same form.

LEMMA 4.4. — *The subgroup  $\mathcal{T}_n$  of translations is the only commutative unipotent subgroup normalized by  $\text{GL}_n$ .*

*Proof.* — If  $U \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  is a commutative unipotent subgroup normalized by  $\text{GL}_n$ , then all the weights of the representation of  $\text{GL}_n$  on  $T_e U \simeq U$  must belong to  $X_u(D_n)$ . The dominant weights of  $\text{GL}_n$  are  $\sum_i \lambda_i \varepsilon_i$  where  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ , and only those of the form  $\lambda = \varepsilon_1 + \sum_{i>1} \lambda_i \varepsilon_i$  where  $0 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  occur in  $X_u(D_n)$ . If  $\lambda \neq \varepsilon_1$ , i.e.,  $\lambda = \varepsilon_1 + \lambda_k \varepsilon_k + \lambda_{k+1} \varepsilon_{k+1} + \dots$  where  $\lambda_k < 0$ , then the weight  $\lambda' := (\lambda_k + 1) \varepsilon_k + \lambda_{k+1} \varepsilon_{k+1} + \dots$  is dominant and  $\lambda' \prec \lambda$ . Therefore  $\lambda'$  appears in the irreducible representation of  $\text{GL}_n$  of highest weight  $\lambda$ , but  $\lambda' \notin X_u(D_n)$ . Thus  $U$  and  $\mathcal{T}_n$  are isomorphic as  $\text{GL}_n$ -modules, hence contain the same  $D_n$ -stable one-dimensional unipotent subgroups, and so  $U = \mathcal{T}_n$ .  $\square$

## 5. Maximal tori

It is clear that  $D_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  is a maximal commutative subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  since it coincides with its centralizer, see Lemma 3.3. Moreover, Białyński-Birula proved in [2] that a faithful action of an  $n$ -dimensional torus on  $\mathbb{A}^n$  is linearizable (cf. [7, Chap. I.2.4, Theorem 5]). Thus we have the following result.

LEMMA 5.1. —  *$D_n$  is a maximal commutative subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Moreover, every algebraic subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , which is isomorphic to  $D_n$  is conjugate to  $D_n$ .*

Now let  $G \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  be an algebraic subgroup which is normalized by  $D_n$ . Then the non-zero weights of the representation of  $D_n$  on the Lie algebra  $\text{Lie } G$  belong to  $X_u(D_n)$ , and the weight spaces are one-dimensional. It follows that the non-zero weight spaces of  $\text{Lie } G$  are in bijective correspondence with the  $D_n$ -stable one-dimensional unipotent subgroups of  $G$ .



LEMMA 5.2. — *Let  $G \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  be an algebraic subgroup normalized by a torus  $D \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  of dimension  $n$ , let  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  be the  $D$ -stable one-dimensional unipotent subgroups of  $G$ , and put  $X := U_1 \circ \dots \circ U_r \subset G$ .*

(a) *If  $G$  is unipotent, then  $G = X \circ X$  and  $\dim G = r$ .*

(b) *If  $D \subset G$ , then  $G^0 = D \circ X \circ D \circ X$  and  $\dim G = r + n$ .*

*Proof.* — (a) The canonical map  $U_1 \times \dots \times U_r \rightarrow G$  is dominant, and so  $X \subset G$  is constructible and dense. Thus  $X \circ X = G$ , by Lemma 2.1, and  $\dim G = \dim \text{Lie } G = r$ .

(b) Similarly, we see that  $D \circ X \subset G^0$  is constructible and dense, and therefore  $D \circ X \circ D \circ X = G^0$ , and  $\dim G = \dim \text{Lie } G = \dim \text{Lie } D + r$ .  $\square$

## 6. Images of algebraic subgroups

The next two propositions are crucial for the proof of our main theorem.

PROPOSITION 6.1. — *Let  $\theta$  be an automorphism of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Then*

(a)  *$D := \theta(D_n)$  is a torus of dimension  $n$ , conjugate to  $D_n$ .*

(b) *If  $U$  is a  $D_n$ -stable unipotent subgroup, then  $\theta(U)$  is a  $D$ -stable unipotent subgroup of the same dimension.*

(c)  *$\mathcal{T} := \theta(\mathcal{T}_n)$  is a commutative unipotent subgroup of dimension  $n$ , normalized by  $D$ , and the representation of  $D$  on  $\mathcal{T}$  is faithful.*

*Proof.* — (a) We have  $D_n = \text{Cent}_{\mathcal{G}_n}(\mu_2)$ , by Lemma 3.3, and thus  $D = \theta(D_n) = \text{Cent}_{\mathcal{G}_n}(\theta(\mu_2))$ . Proposition 3.4 implies that  $D$  is a diagonalizable algebraic subgroup with  $\dim D \leq n$ , hence  $D = D^0 \times F$  for some finite group  $F$ . If  $k$  is prime to the order of  $F$ , then  $\theta(\mu_k) \subset D^0$  and so  $\dim D^0 = n$ , because  $\mu_k \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/k)^n$ . Hence  $D = D^0$  is an  $n$ -dimensional torus which is conjugate to  $D_n$ , by Lemma 5.1.

(b) First assume that  $\dim U = 1$ . Then  $U$  consists of two  $D_n$ -orbits,  $O := U \setminus \{\text{id}\}$  and  $\{\text{id}\}$ . It follows that  $\theta(U)$  consists of the two  $D$ -orbits  $\theta(O)$  and  $\{\text{id}\}$ , and so  $\theta(U)$  is bounded constructible and thus a commutative algebraic group normalized by  $D$ . Since it does not contain elements of finite order it is unipotent, and since it consists of only two  $D$ -orbits it is of dimension 1.

Now let  $U$  be arbitrary,  $\dim U = r$ , and let  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  be the different  $D_n$ -stable one-dimensional unipotent subgroups of  $U$ . Then  $X := U_1 \circ U_2 \circ \dots \circ U_r \subset U$  is dense and constructible and  $U = X \circ X$ , by Lemma 5.2(a). Applying  $\theta$  implies that  $\theta(X) = \theta(U_1) \circ \dots \circ \theta(U_r)$  is bounded constructible

and connected, as well as  $\theta(U) = \theta(X) \circ \theta(X)$ , and thus  $\theta(U)$  is a connected algebraic subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  normalized by  $D$ . Since every element of  $\theta(U)$  has infinite order,  $\theta(U)$  must be unipotent. Moreover,  $\dim \theta(U) \geq r$ , since  $\theta(U)$  contains the  $D$ -stable one-dimensional unipotent subgroups  $\theta(U_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The same argument applied to  $\theta^{-1}$  finally gives  $\dim \theta(U) = r$ .

(c) This statement follows from (b) and the fact that  $\mathcal{T}_n$  contains a dense  $D_n$ -orbit with trivial stabilizer.  $\square$

The same arguments, this time using Lemma 5.2(b), gives the next result.

**PROPOSITION 6.2.** — *Let  $\theta$  be an automorphism of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  and let  $G \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  be an algebraic subgroup which contains a torus  $D$  of dimension  $n$ .*

- (a) *The image  $\theta(G)$  is an algebraic subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  of the same dimension  $\dim G$ .*
- (b) *We have  $\theta(G^0) = \theta(G)^0$ . In particular,  $\theta(G)$  is connected if  $G$  is connected.*
- (c) *If  $G$  is reductive, then so is  $\theta(G)$ , and then  $\theta(G)$  is conjugate to a closed subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ .*

*Proof.* — As above, let  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  be the different  $D$ -stable one-dimensional unipotent subgroups of  $G$ , and put  $X := U_1 \circ \dots \circ U_r$ . Then  $D \circ X$  is constructible in  $G^0$ , and  $D \circ X \circ D \circ X = G^0$ , by Lemma 5.2(b). Applying  $\theta$  we see that  $\theta(D \circ X \circ D \circ X) = \theta(D) \circ \theta(X) \circ \theta(D) \circ \theta(X)$  is bounded constructible and connected, and so  $\theta(G^0)$  is a connected algebraic subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , of finite index in  $\theta(G)$ . Since the  $\theta(U_i)$  are different  $\theta(D)$ -stable one-dimensional unipotent subgroups of  $\theta(G)$  we have  $\dim \theta(G) \geq \dim \theta(D) + r = \dim G$ . Using  $\theta^{-1}$  we get equality. This proves (a) and (b).

For (c) we remark that if  $G$  contains a normal unipotent subgroup  $U$ , then  $\theta(U)$  is a normal unipotent subgroup of  $\theta(G)$ . Moreover, a reductive subgroup  $G$  containing a torus of dimension  $n$  has no non-constant invariants, and so  $G$  is linearizable (see [5, Proposition 5.1]).  $\square$

## 7. Proof of the Main Theorem

Let  $\theta$  be an automorphism of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . It follows from Proposition 6.2 that there is a  $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{G}_n$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} \circ \theta(\mathrm{GL}_n) \circ \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ . Therefore we can assume that  $\theta(\mathrm{GL}_n) = \mathrm{GL}_n$ . The subgroup  $\mathcal{T}_n$  of translations is the only commutative unipotent subgroup normalized by  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ , by Lemma 4.4. Therefore,  $\theta(\mathcal{T}_n) = \mathcal{T}_n$  and so  $\theta(\mathrm{Aff}_n) = \mathrm{Aff}_n$ . Now the theorem follows from the next proposition.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 7.1.

- (a) Every automorphism  $\theta$  of  $\text{Aff}_n$  with  $\theta(\text{GL}_n) = \text{GL}_n$  and  $\theta(\mathcal{T}_n) = \mathcal{T}_n$  is of the form  $\theta(\mathbf{f}) = \tau(\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}^{-1})$  where  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{GL}_n$  and  $\tau$  is an automorphism of the field  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- (b) If  $\theta$  is an automorphism of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  such that  $\theta|_{\text{Aff}_n} = \text{Id}_{\text{Aff}_n}$ , then  $\theta|_{\mathcal{J}_n} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{J}_n}$ .

*Proof.* — (a) It is enough to prove that  $\theta(f) = \mathbf{g} \circ \tau(\mathbf{f}) \circ \mathbf{g}^{-1}$  for some  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{GL}_n$  and some automorphism  $\tau: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the field  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $Z = \mathbb{C}^* \subseteq \text{GL}_n$  be the center of  $\text{GL}_n$  and define  $\theta_0 := \theta|_Z: Z \rightarrow Z$ ,  $\theta_1 := \theta|_{\mathcal{T}_n}: \mathcal{T}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n$ . It follows that  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1$  are abstract group homomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\mathcal{T}_n$  respectively, and for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$  we get  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}_n$

$$(*) \quad \theta_1(c \cdot \mathbf{t}) = \theta_1(c \circ \mathbf{t} \circ c^{-1}) = \theta_0(c) \circ \theta_1(\mathbf{t}) \circ \theta_0(c)^{-1} = \theta_0(c) \cdot \theta_1(\mathbf{t}),$$

where “ $\cdot$ ” denotes scalar multiplication. We claim that  $\tau: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\tau|_{\mathbb{C}^*} = \theta_0$ ,  $\tau(0) = 0$ , is an automorphism of the field  $\mathbb{C}$ . Indeed, using (\*) one sees that  $\tau(a+b) = \tau(a) + \tau(b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$  such that  $a+b \neq 0$ . As  $\theta_0(-1) = -1$  it follows that  $\tau(-a) = -\tau(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and so  $\tau(a + (-a)) = \tau(a) + \tau(-a)$ . This implies the claim.

Thus we can assume that  $\theta_0 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ . Using (\*) again, it follows that  $\theta_1$  is linear. Considering  $\theta_1$  as an element of  $\text{GL}_n$  we have  $\theta_1(\mathbf{t}) = \theta_1 \circ \mathbf{t} \circ \theta_1^{-1}$ , and thus we can assume that  $\theta_1 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{T}_n}$ . But this implies that  $\theta(\mathbf{g}) = \mathbf{g}$  for all  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{GL}_n$ , because

$$\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{t} \circ \mathbf{g}^{-1} = \theta(\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{t} \circ \mathbf{g}^{-1}) = \theta(\mathbf{g}) \circ \mathbf{t} \circ \hat{\text{E}}\theta(\mathbf{g})^{-1}$$

for all  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}_n$ .

(b) Let  $U \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  be a one-dimensional unipotent  $D_n$ -stable subgroup. We first claim that  $\theta(U) = U$  and that  $\theta|_U$  is linear. In fact,  $U' := \theta(U)$  is a one-dimensional unipotent  $D_n$ -stable subgroup, by Proposition 6.1(b), and the characters  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  associated to  $U$  and  $U'$  (see Remark 4.2) have the same kernel, because

(\*\*)

$$\theta(\lambda(\mathbf{d}) \cdot u) = \theta(\mathbf{d} \circ u \circ \mathbf{d}^{-1}) = \mathbf{d} \circ \theta(u) \circ \mathbf{d}^{-1} = \lambda'(\mathbf{d}) \cdot \theta(u) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{d} \in D_n, u \in U.$$

Hence  $\lambda = \pm\lambda'$ . If  $\lambda = -\lambda'$ , then  $U \subseteq \text{GL}_n$  and so  $U' = U$ , since  $\theta|_{\text{GL}_n} = \text{Id}_{\text{GL}_n}$ , hence a contradiction. Thus  $\lambda = \lambda'$ , and so  $U = U'$  and (\*\*) shows that  $\theta|_U$  is linear, proving our claim.

As a consequence,  $\theta|_{U_\lambda} = a_\lambda \text{Id}_{U_\lambda}$  for all  $\lambda \in X_u(D_n)$ , with suitable  $a_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . If  $\lambda_i = 1$  put  $\gamma_i := 0$  and  $\gamma_j := -\lambda_j$ . Then  $\mathbf{f} = (x_1, \dots, x_i + x^\gamma, \dots, x_n) \in U_\lambda$ , see Lemma 4.1. Conjugation with the translation  $\mathbf{t}: x \mapsto$

$x - \sum_{j \neq i} e_j$  gives

$\mathbf{t} \circ \mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{t}^{-1} = (x_1, \dots, x_i + h_\gamma, \dots, x_n)$  where  $h_\gamma := (x_1 + 1)^{\gamma_1} (x_2 + 1)^{\gamma_2} \cdots (x_n + 1)^{\gamma_n}$ .

Now we apply  $\theta$  to get  $\theta(\mathbf{t} \circ \mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{t}^{-1}) = \mathbf{t} \circ \theta(\mathbf{f}) \circ \mathbf{t}^{-1}$ . Since all the monomials  $x^{\gamma'}$  with  $\gamma' \leq \gamma$  appear in  $h_\gamma$  it follows that the corresponding coefficients  $a_{\lambda'}$  must all be equal. In particular,  $a_\lambda = a_{\varepsilon_i} = 1$  since  $U_{\varepsilon_i} \subset \mathcal{T}_n$ . This shows that  $\theta|_{\mathcal{J}_n} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{J}_n}$ .  $\square$

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] H. BASS, E. H. CONNELL & D. WRIGHT, “The Jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **7** (1982), no. 2, p. 287-330.
- [2] A. BIALYNICKI-BIRULA, “Remarks on the action of an algebraic torus on  $k^n$ ”, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **14** (1966), p. 177-181.
- [3] J. DÉSERTEI, “Sur le groupe des automorphismes polynomiaux du plan affine”, *J. Algebra* **297** (2006), p. 584-599.
- [4] J. FOGARTY, “Fixed point schemes”, *Amer. J. Math.* **95** (1973), p. 35-51.
- [5] H. KRAFT & V. L. POPOV, “Semisimple group actions on the three-dimensional affine space are linear”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **60** (1985), no. 3, p. 466-479.
- [6] H. KRAFT & P. RUSSELL, “Families of group actions, generic isotriviality, and linearization”, 2011, preprint.
- [7] H. KRAFT & G. W. SCHWARZ, “Reductive group actions with one-dimensional quotient”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1992), no. 76, p. 1-97.
- [8] S. KUMAR, *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 204, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002, xvi+606 pages.
- [9] A. LIENDO, “Roots of the affine Cremona group”, *Transform. Groups* **16** (2011), no. 4, p. 1137-1142.
- [10] J.-P. SERRE, “How to use finite fields for problems concerning infinite fields”, in *Arithmetic, geometry, cryptography and coding theory*, Contemp. Math., vol. 487, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, p. 183-193.
- [11] P. A. SMITH, “A theorem on fixed points for periodic transformations”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **35** (1934), no. 3, p. 572-578.

Manuscrit reçu le 8 novembre 2011,  
 accepté le 15 mars 2012.

Hanspeter KRAFT & Immanuel STAMPFLI  
 Universität Basel  
 Mathematisches Institut  
 Rheinsprung 21, CH-4051 Basel (Suisse)  
 Hanspeter.Kraft@unibas.ch  
 Immanuel.Stampfli@unibas.ch