1	Title:
2	Electromyographic Analysis of Shoulder Girdle Muscles during Common
3	Internal Rotation Exercises
4	Authors:
5	Omid Alizadehkhaiyat (MD, PhD) <sup>1</sup> , David H Hawkes (MD) <sup>2</sup> , Graham J Kemp (DM,
6	FRCPath) <sup>3</sup> , Simon P Frostick (DM, FRCS) <sup>2</sup>
7	1. School of Health Sciences (Sport and Exercise Science), Liverpool Hope University,
8	Liverpool, UK
9	2. Musculoskeletal Science Research Group, Institute of Translational Medicine, University
10	of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
11	3. Department of Musculoskeletal Biology II, Institute of Ageing & Chronic Disease,
12	Faculty of Health & Life Sciences, University of Liverpool, UK
13	First Author and Corresponding Author:
14	Dr Omid Alizadehkhaiyat
15	School of Health Sciences, Liverpool Hope University
16	Hope Park, Liverpool L16 9JD, UK
17	Tel: 0151 291 3262
18	Email: <u>alizado@hope.ac.uk</u>

19 Abstract

Background: High level throwing performance requires the development of effective muscle
activation within shoulder girdle muscles particularly during forceful internal rotation (IR)
motions.

23 Study Design: Controlled Laboratory Descriptive Study

24 Purpose: To investigate activation pattern of 16 shoulder girdle muscles/muscle sub-regions
25 during three common shoulder IR exercises.

26 Method: EMG was recorded in 30 healthy subjects from 16 shoulder girdle muscles/muscle sub-regions (surface electrode: anterior, middle and posterior deltoid, upper, middle and 27 lower trapezius, serratus anterior, teres major, upper and lower latissimus dorsi, upper and 28 lower pectoralis major; fine wire electrodes: supraspinatus, infraspinatus, subscapularis and 29 rhomboid major) using a telemetric EMG system. Three IR exercises (standing IR at 0° and 30 90° of Abduction, and IR at Zero-Position) were studied. EMG amplitudes were normalized 31 to EMG<sub>max</sub> (EMG at maximal IR force in a standard position) and compared using one-way 32 33 repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA).

**Results:** There were significant differences in muscles' activation across IR exercises (p<0.05–p<0.001). Rotator cuff and deltoid muscles were highly activated during IR at 90° of Abduction. Latissimus dorsi exhibited markedly higher activation during IR at Zero-Position. While upper trapezius had the highest activation during IR at Zero-Position, middle and lower trapezius were activated at highest during IR at 90° of Abduction. The highest activation of serratus anterior and rhomboid major occurred in IR at Zero-Position and IR at 90° of Abduction, respectively.

41 Conclusions: Studied exercises have the potential to effectively activate glenohumeral and
42 scapular muscles involved in throwing motions. Results provide further evidence for
43 developing rehabilitation, injury prevention, and training strategies.

- 44 Key Words: Electromyography; Internal Rotation Exercises; Rehabilitation; Shoulder
- 45 Muscle Activation
- 46 Level of Evidence: 4, Controlled laboratory study

## 47 **INTRODUCTION**

The glenohumeral joint (GHJ) is the most mobile joint in the human body due to its bony 48 structure which requires the coordinated activation of shoulder complex musculature to 49 achieve functional stability during movements.<sup>1</sup> The activation of key rotator cuff (RC) 50 muscles is a fundamental contributor to shoulder joint stability (centring the humeral head 51 into the glenoid) and efficient force development during arm elevation and overhead 52 activities such as throwing.<sup>2-4</sup> The parts of the deltoid work along with the RC to develop 53 force couples required for arm motion during elevation and rotation. Pectoralis major, 54 55 latissimus dorsi, and teres major produce coordinated adduction moments during GHJ elevation and abduction. Concurrent activation of these muscles and the subscapularis 56 stabilize the GHJ inferiorly.<sup>5</sup> 57

A synchronised contribution from scapular musculature is also critical for optimal positioning, stability, and functioning of the shoulder complex. In addition to linking the upper extremity and trunk, the scapula provides insertion points for several muscles involved in scapulohumeral and scapulothoracic motions.<sup>6,7</sup> Scapular stabilizers play substantial roles in maintaining the center of glenohumeral rotation during arm-scapula-trunk motion, raising the acromion during glenohumeral rotation to increase subacromial space, and transition of forces from the feet to the hand by kinetically linking the upper extremity to the trunk.

During rotational motions, a coordinated balance between mobility and functional stability is essential for the safe transmission of the high forces placed on the shoulder complex. Yet, repetitive forceful movements may impose stress on the GHJ beyond the physiologic limits of composing tissues and lead to injury. For example, cadaveric studies have shown that vigorous abduction and external rotation (e.g. late cocking phase of throwing motion) in the presence of decreased subscapularis muscle force can lead to forceful internal impingement

due to significant increase in GHJ contact pressure.<sup>8</sup> Furthermore, biceps pulley lesions 71 caused by repetitive forceful IR above the horizontal plane can potentially lead to internal 72 impingement by causing frictional impairment between the pulley system and the 73 subscapularis tendon and the anterior superior glenoid rim.<sup>9, 10</sup> Earlier electromyohgraphy 74 EMG studies have documented shoulder girdle muscle activation during common internal 75 rotation (IR) exercises to support the development of evidence-based rehabilitation and injury 76 prevention programs.<sup>2, 6, 11</sup> The results, however, remain inconclusive and uncertainty exists 77 regarding optimal IR exercises that elicit optimal activation and strengthening of key 78 79 shoulder girdle muscles. Furthermore, the majority of previous studies compared the EMG activity of a limited number of muscles during exercises. 80

There is, thus a lack of comprehensive data regarding shoulder musculature activation strategies during common internal rotation exercises. This knowledge would guide the planning of effective training programs, and establish a base of evidence for developing optimal rehabilitation and training programs for overhead athletes with and without shoulder pathology. The purpose of this study was to provide such a knowledge base by comprehensive measurement of the EMG activity of 16 shoulder girdle muscles/muscle segments during commonly prescribed shoulder IR exercises.

#### 88 METHODS

# 89 **Participants**

Thirty healthy volunteers (15 male; 15 female) with normal upper limb clinical examination and no history of upper limb painful conditions were recruited for participation in the study. The mean ( $\pm$ SD) age, height and weight for the whole group was  $33.1\pm9.9$  y,  $1.71\pm0.08$  m, and  $70.5\pm12.7$  kg, respectively. This study received approval from local research ethics committee and written informed consent was obtained from participants. The data were collected in a university laboratory setting.

# 96 EMG Measurements

97 Signal acquisition, processing and analysis were performed using a TeleMyo 2400 G2 98 Telemetry System (Noraxon Inc., Arizona; USA). Signals were differentially amplified 99 (CMRR>100 dB; input impedance>100 Mohm; gain 500 dB), digitized at a sampling rate of 100 3000 Hz and band-pass filtered at 10-500 Hz and 10-1500 Hz for surface and fine-wire 101 electrodes, respectively. A cancellation algorithm was applied to remove ECG signal 102 contamination.

103 Disposable Ag/AgCl bipolar surface electrodes with 10mm conducting area and 20mm interelectrode distance (Noraxon Inc., Arizona, USA) were used to record the EMG from anterior, 104 middle, and posterior deltoid (AD, MD, PD, respectively), upper, middle and lower trapezius 105 (UT, MT, LT, respectively), upper and lower latissimus dorsi (ULD, LLD, respectively), 106 upper and lower pectoralis major pectoralis major (UPM, LPM, respectively), serratus 107 anterior (SA), and teres major (TM), consistent with established guidelines (SENIAM).<sup>12,13</sup> 108 Bipolar hooked fine-wire electrodes (Nicolet Biomedical, Division of VIASYS, Madison, 109 USA) were used to record signals from supraspinatus (SSP), infraspinatus (ISP), 110 subscapularis (SUBS), and rhomboid major (RHOM) according to Basmajian and DeLuca.<sup>14</sup> 111

112 The dominant shoulder was tested in all participants. Figure 1 demonstrates the relative113 locations of surface and fine-wire EMG electrodes.

Raw EMG signals from ten IR exercise cycles (the first and last IR exercise cycles were 114 omitted) were full-wave rectified and smoothed (100 ms root mean square [RMS]). For 115 normalization purpose, EMG<sub>max</sub> was recorded during a standardized production of maximal 116 IR force (MVC) using a shoulder Nottingham Mecmesin Myometer with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$ 117 % of full-scale and 1,000 N capacity (Mecmesin Ltd., Slinfold, UK) while seated, shoulder in 118 a neutral position, elbow in 90° flexion tucked to the side of body, and forearm in neutral 119 position. Data were collected during three 5-second contractions, and the average of three 120 trials was taken as EMG<sub>max</sub> which was used as a reference value for EMG amplitude 121 normalization during IR exercises. 122

# 123 Exercises

Exercises are demonstrated in Figure 2. Participants were tested for three shoulder IR 124 exercises in a random order: isotonic standing IR at 0° and 90° of abduction (IR at 0°ABD 125 and IR at 90°ABD) and IR at Zero-Position (Zero rotation of the humerus with arm elevated 126 155° in the scapular plane and elastic resistance applied against IR as described by Saha).<sup>15</sup> 127 128 This particular exercise was chosen as during the cocking phase of throwing motion, the arm in moved into external rotation past the zero position; and then during the acceleration the 129 arm is moved into forward internal rotation past the zero position again.<sup>16</sup> Each exercise was 130 accurately demonstrated and participants were allowed time to familiarize themselves with 131 the exercise. Participants performed 12 cycles of each exercise using either a 1 kg dumbbell 132 133 in hand (IR at 0°ABD and IR at 90°ABD) or an elastic band (IR at Zero-Position) according to a metronome set at 60 beats per minute (each concentric and eccentric phase was 134 performed during 1 beat). All participants were given a period of three-minute rest between 135 136 each set of exercises to minimise the impact of fatigue on measurements.

### 137 Data analyses

Descriptive statistics are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or standard error of the mean (SEM), as appropriate. A one-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the main effect of IR exercises on each muscle's activity. A Bonferroni post-hoc test was then applied for the comparative pair-wise analysis of mean normalized EMG (%EMG<sub>max</sub>) to detect significant differences in the activation of muscles across three exercises. The alpha level for statistical significance was set at p<0.05. SPSS release 20.0 for Windows (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) was used for statistical analysis.

# 145 **RESULTS**

146 Table 1 and Figure 3 present and compare the activation of muscles during IR exercises.

147 <u>**Deltoids:**</u> The highest activation of AD, MD, and PD occurred in IR at 90°ABD followed by 148 IR at Zero-Position; both significantly higher than IR at 0°ABD (p<0.001). Collective deltoid 149 (AD+MD+PD) activation in IR at 90°ABD and IR at Zero-Position was also markedly higher 150 than IR at 0° ABD (346.4% vs. 252.2% vs. 49.7%; p=0.006 - <0.001).

**Rotator Cuff:** The activity of SSP, ISP, and SUBS in IR at 90°ABD was significantly higher than IR at 0°ABD (p<0.05-<0.001). They also showed a similar trend towards higher muscle activity higher activation in IR at Zero-Position, but this difference was not statistically significantly different. As a group (SSP+ISP+SUBS), higher activation occurred in IR at 90°ABD compared to other exercises (325.0% vs. 94.0-188.3%; p<0.05).

156 <u>Pectoralis Major</u>: UPM and LPM activation did not vary across exercises. Both segments 157 showed a trend towards higher muscle activity during IR at Zero-Position, but were not 158 statistically significantly different.

Latissimus Dorsi: ULD had the highest activation in IR at Zero-Position, significantly
 higher than IR at 0°ABD (p<0.05) followed by IR at 90°ABD. The activity of LLD and</li>
 combined segments (ULD+LLD) was similar across exercises.

162 **Teres Major:** There was no significant difference across exercises.

163 <u>**Trapezius</u>**: Highest UT activation occurred in IR at Zero-Position followed IR at 90°ABD, 164 both significantly higher than IR at 0°ABD (p<0.001). MT and LT were activated 165 considerably more in IR at 90°ABD compared to other two exercises (p<0.001). MT 166 activation was also higher in IR at Zero-Position than IR at 0°ABD (p<0.05). Collective 167 activation of the trapezius muscles (UT+MT+LT) was markedly higher in both IR at 90°ABD 168 and IR at Zero-Position compared to IR at 0°ABD (230.2% vs. 64.3-158.8%; p<0.001).</u>

169 <u>Serratus Anterior</u>: The highest SA activation occurred in IR at Zero-Position which was 170 markedly higher than IR at  $0^{\circ}ABD$  (p<0.05).

171 <u>Rhomboid Major</u>: RM had the highest activation in IR at 90°ABD compared to other IR
172 exercises (p<0.001). The activity was also markedly higher in IR at Zero-Position compared</li>
173 to IR at 0°ABD (p<0.05).</li>

# 174 **DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study provide additional support for the use of these common IR exercises. Furthermore, the results illustrate novel strategies for the selective activation of shoulder complex muscles during specific exercises, which may be helpful during implementation in training, injury prevention, and rehabilitation programs.

179 Optimal performance of shoulder complex during both daily activities and sporting 180 movements necessitates appropriately balanced activation of muscles responsible for 181 shoulder mobility and functional stability.<sup>1,3,7,17</sup> The high occurrence of shoulder complex

injuries highlights the need for implementation of sound evidence in developing
rehabilitation, injury prevention, and training strategies.<sup>1,2,6,15</sup>

Current shoulder rehabilitation strategies give emphasis to correcting muscle imbalances and 184 strength deficiencies through selectively activating dysfunctional muscles. Considering that a 185 low ER/IR ratio has been suggested as a key risk factor for shoulder injuries,<sup>18,19</sup> several 186 investigators have studied muscle activation patterns during shoulder rotational exercises, 187 with inconsistent results.<sup>11,20-22</sup> EMG studies of IR exercises have mainly focused on the 188 principal internal rotators such as SUBS and pectoralis muscles.<sup>22-24</sup> Moreover, there is 189 growing interest in applying exercises in sport-specific positions that reflect capsular strain 190 and muscular length-tension relationships throughout the shoulder complex during sport 191 competition (e.g. ER and IR at 90°ABD) in order to facilitate enhanced functional 192 rehabilitation.23,25 193

#### **194 Glenohumeral Muscles**

195 In the present study, the highest activation of all deltoid sub-regions was found in IR at 90°ABD followed by IR at Zero-Position. This is consistent with the role of MD and AD 196 during dynamic arm abduction and with role of PD as humeral abductor and compressor in 197 higher degrees (>80°) of abduction.<sup>5</sup> This high activation of PD is contradictory to the reports 198 of its ineffectiveness in generating abduction forces.<sup>26,27</sup> Hughes and An<sup>28</sup> reported a minimal 199 200 force generation of 2 N for PD compared to 434 N for MD and 323 N for AD when the arm is positioned at 90°ABD. It is generally suggested that exercises producing high levels of 201 deltoid activity (MD in particular) are disadvantageous for majority of patients and athletes 202 with shoulder injury due to significant impact on superior humeral head migration.<sup>17, 23</sup> 203

Similar to deltoids, RC muscles including SSP, ISP, and SUBS had their highest activation in
 IR at 90°ABD followed by IR at Zero-Position. Jenp et al<sup>29</sup> reported substantial activity in the

SSP during shoulder IR. The activation patterns in the deltoids and RC demonstrated in the current study indicate a balanced motor strategy with similar contribution from both muscle groups for both stability (maintaining central position of the humeral head within the glenoid) and dynamic mobility of the GHJ in abducted positions. In order to counterbalance the impact of AD and MD activation on superior translation of the humeral head during shoulder abduction,<sup>5</sup> SUBS and ISP activation generates an inferior force which serves to minimize the risk of subacromial impingement.<sup>30</sup>

While standing IR at 90°ABD effectively activated both deltoid and RC muscles and may have functional advantages by replicating overhead and sport-specific positions,<sup>31</sup> the blend of abduction and rotation can impose high levels of stress on shoulder's ligaments and capsulolabral complex.<sup>25</sup> In the presence of RC pathology it is important to select exercises that generate high RC activation with minimal deltoid involvement. Hence, IR at 0°ABD with low-to-moderate activation of muscles may be considered in individuals who are at risk or suffering from shoulder complex injuries particularly impingement syndrome.

Previous researchers have placed an emphasis on SUBS activity during IR exercises 220 particularly in relation to other large muscles involved in glenohumeral IR such as PM and 221 LD.<sup>22,23</sup> It has been suggested that SUBS action during IR at 0°ABD is assisted by PM, LD, 222 and TM. While EMG activation differences between high- and low skill pitchers has 223 224 demonstrated the importance of SUBS conditioning (strength and endurance) in enhancing pitching ability and preventing injury,<sup>32</sup> the optimal position for selective activation of SUBS 225 for muscle strengthening and strength testing remains unclear.<sup>33</sup> In addition to its role as 226 internal rotator of humerus,<sup>27</sup> according to EMG studies of sport-specific activities SUBS also 227 acts as shoulder abductor, anterior stabiliser, and humeral head depressor.<sup>26,28,33,34</sup> While 228 some authors reported greater SUBS activity in IR at 90°ABD,<sup>35</sup> others found greater 229 activation at 0°ABD.<sup>22</sup> Based on three dimensional (3-D) biomechanical studies, SUBS 230

maximal force generation during IR at 90°ABD and 0°ABD is 1725N and 1297N,
respectively<sup>28</sup> which is consistent with the current finding of higher SUBS activation at
90°ABD compared to 0°ABD.

While previous authors have recommended SUBS strengthening exercises in adducted 234 positions,<sup>36</sup> significantly higher activation of SUBS along with low-to-moderate activation of 235 PM, LD, and, TM in IR at 90°ABD as demonstrated in the present study, suggest the 236 preference of this exercise for selective SUBS activation. In an EMG study of IR at various 237 positions, Suenaga et al<sup>24</sup> demonstrated high activation of LPM and UPM during resistive IR 238 at 0°ABD compared to other positions. Decker et al<sup>22</sup> also demonstrated higher levels of PM 239 and LD activation IR at 0°ABD compared to 90°ABD and suggested that IR at 90°ABD may 240 be beneficial in strengthening the SUBS due to minimizing the contributions of larger muscle 241 groups. 242

## 243 Scapular Muscles

244 Effective scapular muscle function is fundamental for maximized performance in both daily activities and overhead sports such as the volleyball serve and spike, the tennis serve, and 245 baseball pitching.<sup>17,34</sup> Furthermore, current suggestions regarding the role of impaired 246 247 scapular motions (e.g. aberrant muscle activation patterns and fatigue) in developing a dysfunctional shoulder complex and subsequent injury highlights the importance of 248 integrating scapulothoracic musculature into shoulder complex rehabilitation programs.<sup>6,37</sup> 249 Amongst scapular muscles that predominantly control synchronized scapular motion during 250 arm movements, the present study assessed three parts of trapezius (UT, MT, and LT), SA, 251 and RHOM major. 252

The main functions of the trapezius include upward rotation and elevation (UT), retraction (MT), and upward rotation and depression (LT) of the scapula. Importantly, LT activation

255 supports posterior tilt and ER of the scapula during arm elevation which consequently decreases the risk of subacromial impingement.<sup>38</sup> The main body of existing literature 256 focuses on trapezius activity during ER and sparse data are available regarding activity 257 258 during IR exercises. While UT activation was found to be highest in IR at Zero-Position, MT and LT had their highest activation in IR at 90°ABD. It is clinically important to enhance the 259 LT/UT and MT/UT activation ratios as a dominant UT (as compared to the other portions of 260 the trapezius) has been linked to shoulder pathologies due to contributions of poor posture 261 and muscle imbalances.<sup>6</sup> Hence, the current findings support IR at 90°ABD as the more 262 advantageous exercise to enhance the LT/UT and MT/UT activation ratios over the other two 263 studied exercises. This recommendation is in agreement with other authors who have 264 reported relatively high MT activity during arm positions of 90° abduction and higher<sup>2, 22</sup> but 265 not with those of Moseley et al<sup>11</sup> who reported low EMG activity of the MT during IR at 266 90°ABD. Higher LT activation in IR at 90°ABD is also consistent with previous reports of its 267 increased activity from  $90^{\circ}$  to  $180^{\circ}$ .<sup>2, 11</sup> 268

Contribution of the SA to upward rotation, posterior tilt, and ER rotation of the scapula 269 during arm elevation is important for preserving a healthy scapulohumeral rhythm.<sup>2, 39</sup> In the 270 presence of a dysfunctional SA, an overactive UT may cause abnormal scapular motion 271 (extreme scapular elevation and anterior tilt) and lead to muscle imbalance and functional 272 shoulder impairment.<sup>2,6,7,39</sup> In the presence of scapular muscle imbalances such as 273 274 disproportionate UT/SA activation/strength ratio, emphasis has been placed upon the selective activation of underactive muscles with the minimal involvement of hyperactive 275 muscles for balance restoration.<sup>6</sup> The authors' observed noticeably higher activation of SA in 276 IR at Zero-Position followed by IR at 90°ABD which represent a similar activation pattern to 277 UT during the same exercises. While IR at Zero-Position may enhance scapular function in 278 healthy athletes by mirroring shoulder positioning and motion patterns occurring during 279

overhead and throwing performance,<sup>40</sup> it may need to be avoided in those with or at risk of
subacromial impingement due to increased UT/SA activation ratio. While higher activation of
SA during IR at elevated and abducted arm positions has been reported by previous authors<sup>23</sup>,
<sup>41</sup> there is a lack of information regarding IR at Zero-Position.

RHOM contributes to scapular retraction, downward rotation, and elevation of scapula. In 284 general, there is limited information on RHOM activation during shoulder exercises mainly 285 because of technical complications in positioning intramuscular electrodes. It is suggested 286 that several exercises used for the training and strengthening RC and other scapular muscles 287 such as ER at 0°- and 90°ABD and prone horizontal abduction at 90°ABD with IR also 288 efficiently provoke RHOM activity.<sup>6,23</sup> The results of the present study demonstrated 289 markedly higher activation of RHOM activation in IR 90°ABD when compared to the other 290 exercises. This is in agreement with findings of Myers et al<sup>41</sup> who reported relatively high 291 RHOM activity during the same exercise.<sup>11</sup> 292

# 293 Technical Considerations and Study Limitations

The authors of the current study attempted to overcome inherent limitations of EMG and 294 maximize the reliability of findings. Broad experience with shoulder girdle EMG informed 295 accurate electrode positioning for optimal electrode positioning and EMG recording. EMG 296 297 studies have employed alternative normalization methods such as the use of MVC to study muscle activation, however, use of an isometric contraction remains questionable particularly 298 in relation to studying dynamic movements.<sup>42-45</sup> Hence, in view of conflicting opinions and 299 uncertainties surrounding the reliability of MMTs and related MVC for EMG amplitude 300 normalization,<sup>42</sup> the present study reported each muscle's EMG activity (mean RMS) during 301 each IR exercise as a percentage of a reference value, i.e. EMGmax in a standard IR position, 302 allowing appropriate assessment and comparison of each muscles' contribution across the 303 exercises. A similar method has been applied by previous authors (e.g. maximum sprinting 304 305 for normalizing the EMG during walking, maximum sprint cycling for normalizing the EMG during cycling).<sup>43-45</sup> This normalization method may have advantages for the examination of 306 relative muscle function around the shoulder complex by minimizing intrinsic limitations in 307 reliability and validity associated with communal reference to MVC as ther is no consensus 308 as to which test generates maximal activation in all individuals in any given muscle.<sup>46-48</sup> 309 310 While this normalization approach produced large EMG % values for some of the muscles, it was deemed appropriate for comparing activity of each individual muscle across the IR 311 exercises (between-exercise comparison) as the reference value is task dependent. However, 312 it may not be the preferred method for comparing activations between the muscles (between-313 muscle comparison) as maximum force production during the task used for normalization 314 does not necessarily produce a maximum activation in the muscles under investigation. 315

316 Muscle activations during IR exercises were examined using a single load (1kg) in hand or against resistence from an elastic band in order to gain further insight into functional roles of 317 the muscles contributing to glenohumeral stability. According to studies by other authors, 318 319 increasing load does not alter shoulder muscle recruitment patterns and the functional role of muscles does not change with higher muscle activity levels associated with increased 320 loads.<sup>21,49,50</sup> Considering the task-specific nature of shoulder muscle function, muscle 321 322 recruitment strategy for a particular task such as IR is not expected to change with increasing resistance/load due to a systematic increase in the activity of all shoulder muscles involved in 323 generating IR torque.<sup>21, 49</sup> However, applying different loads might have provided a greater 324 information regarding the contribution of each muscle to maintaining glenohumeral stability 325 when performing exercises. The clinical implications of current study findings with regard to 326 327 symptomatic subjects are limited as this study included only asymptomatic participants. 328 Finally, the use of arm support or placement of a rolled towel in the axilla for isolating or certain muscles without simultaneous deltoid activation was not considered in this study. This 329 is particularly important for the focused rehabilitation of RC where minimal activation of the 330 deltoid is desirable. 331

# 332 Conclusion

Activation patterns of 16 muscles/muscle sub-regions were reported during three common IR 333 exercises in order to provide descriptive data reagarding their activation. Despite the fact that 334 coactivation of deltoid and RC muscles standing IR at 90°ABD may provide a functional 335 advantage by mirroring shoulder position and soft tissue mechanics (e.g. capsular strain and 336 337 muscle fiber length-tension relationships) during overhead activities and sports, it can place high levels of stress on shoulder's tissues. Hence, IR at 0°ABD which generates low-to-338 moderate activation of muscles may be preferred in the rehabilitation of the individuals at risk 339 or affected by shoulder injuries. Considering the current emphasis on the SUBS activity 340

during IR exercises, findings of markedly higher activation of SUBS along with low-tomoderate activation of PM, LD, and, TM in IR at 90°ABD support the use of this exercise for
selective SUBS activation. Considering the significance of incorporating scapular muscles
into training and rehabilitation programs by means of enhanced LT/UT and MT/UT activity
ratios, the current findings support the use of IR at 90°ABD for such purposes.

#### 346 **REFERENCES**

- Cain PR, Mutschler TA, Fu FH, et al. Anterior stability of the glenohumeral joint. A dynamic
   model. *Am J Sports Med.* 1987;15:144-148.
- Ekstrom RA, Donatelli RA, Soderberg GL. Surface electromyographic analysis of exercises for
   the trapezius and serratus anterior muscles. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2003;33:247-258
- 351 3. Reinold MM, Gill TJ, Wilk KE, et al. Current concepts in the evaluation and treatment of the
- shoulder in overhead throwing athletes, part 2: injury prevention and treatment. *Sports Health.*2010;2:101-115.
- 4. Wilk KE, Andrews JR, Arrigo CA. Preventive and Rehabilitative Exercises for the Shoulder &
  Elbow. Edited by Birmingham, AL, American Sports Medicine Institute, 2001.
- 356 5. Ackland DC, Pak P, Richardson M, et al. Moment arms of the muscles crossing the anatomical
  357 shoulder. *J Anat.* 2008;213:383-390.
- 6. Cools AM, Dewitte V, Lanszweert F, et al. Rehabilitation of scapular muscle balance: which
  exercises to prescribe? *Am J Sports Med.* 2007;35:1744-1751.
- 7. Cricchio M, Frazer C. Scapulothoracic and scapulohumeral exercises: a narrative review of
  electromyographic studies. *J Hand Ther.* 2011;24:322-333.
- 8. Mihata T, Gates J, Mcgarry MH, Lee J, et al. Effect of rotator cuff muscle imbalance on forceful
  internal impingement and peel-back of the superior labrum: a cadaveric study. *Am J Sports Med.*
- **364** 2009;37, 2222-7.
- 365 9. Gerber C, Sebesta A. Impingement of the deep surface of the subscapularis tendon and the
  366 reflection pulley on the anterosuperior glenoid rim: a preliminary report. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.*367 2000;9, 483-90.
- 10.Habermeyer, P, Magosch, P, Pritsch, M, et al. Anterosuperior impingement of the shoulder as a
  result of pulley lesions: a prospective arthroscopic study. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2004;13, 5-12.
- 370 11.Moseley JB, Jobe FW, Pink M, et al. EMG analysis of the scapular muscles during a shoulder
- 371 rehabilitation program. Am J Sports Med. 1992;20:128-134.

- 372 12.ISEK. Standards for Reporting EMG Data. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*.
  373 2014;24:I-II.
- 374 13.SENIAM. Surface ElectroMyoGraphy for the Non-Invasive Assessment of Muscles. Available at:
  375 http://www.seniam.org Accessed August 2015.
- 14.Basmajian JV, De Luca CJ. Muscles alive: their functions revealed by electromyography. Edited
- 377 by Baltimore, Williams & Wilkins, 1985.
- 15.Saha AK. Dynamic stability of the glenohumeral joint. Acta Orthop Scand. 1971,42:491-505.
- 379 16.Liotard JP, Walch G. Rehabilitation of the unstable shoulder pp. 61-72 Edited by Puddu G,
- 380 Giombini A, Selvanetti A. Berlin Springer-Verlag, 2001, p.62.
- 381 17.Escamilla RF, Andrews JR. Shoulder muscle recruitment patterns and related biomechanics during
  382 upper extremity sports. *Sports Med.* 2009;39:569-590.
- 18.Ellenbecker TS, Mattalino AJ. Concentric isokinetic shoulder internal and external rotation
  strength in professional baseball pitchers. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 1997;25:323-328.
- 19.Wilk KE, Andrews JR, Arrigo CA, et al. The strength characteristics of internal and external
  rotator muscles in professional baseball pitchers. *Am J Sports Med.* 1993;21:61-66.
- 20.Blackburn TA, McLeod WD, White B, et al. EMG analysis of posterior rotator cuff exercises. *Athl Train.* 1990;25:40-45.
- 389 21.Dark A, Ginn KA, Halaki M. Shoulder muscle recruitment patterns during commonly used rotator
- 390 cuff exercises: an electromyographic study. *Phys Ther*. 2007;87:1039-1046
- 22. Decker MJ, Tokish JM, Ellis HB, et al. Subscapularis muscle activity during selected rehabilitation
  exercises. *Am J Sports Med.* 2003;31:126-134
- 393 23.Reinold MM, Escamilla RF, Wilk KE. Current concepts in the scientific and clinical rationale
- behind exercises for glenohumeral and scapulothoracic musculature. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.
  2009;39:105-117
- 396 24.Suenaga N, Minami A, Fujisawa H. Electromyographic analysis of internal rotational motion of
- the shoulder in various arm positions. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2003;12:501-505.
- 398 25. Wilk KE, Arrigo CA, Andrews JR. Current concepts: the stabilizing structures of the glenohumeral
- 399 joint. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1997;25:364-379.

- 26.Liu J, Hughes RE, Smutz WP, et al. Roles of deltoid and rotator cuff muscles in shoulder
  elevation. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).* 1997;12:32-38.
- 402 27.Otis JC, Jiang CC, Wickiewicz TL, et al. Changes in the moment arms of the rotator cuff and
  403 deltoid muscles with abduction and rotation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1994;76:667-676.
- 404 28. Hughes RE, An KN. Force analysis of rotator cuff muscles. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1996;75-83.
- 405 29.Jenp YN, Malanga GA, Growney ES, An KN. Activation of the rotator cuff in generating
- 406 isometric shoulder rotation torque. *Am J Sports Med.* 1996;24:477-485.
- 30.Sharkey NA, Marder RA. The rotator cuff opposes superior translation of the humeral head. *Am J Sports Med.* 1995;23:270-275.
- 31.Fleisig GS, Barrentine SW, Escamilla RF, et al. Biomechanics of overhand throwing with
  implications for injuries. *Sports Med.* 1996, 21:421-437.
- 411 32.Gowan ID, Jobe FW, Tibone JE, et al. A comparative electromyographic analysis of the shoulder
- 412 during pitching. Professional versus amateur pitchers. Am J Sports Med. 1987;15:586-590.
- 413 33.Jobe FW, Moynes DR. Delineation of diagnostic criteria and a rehabilitation program for rotator
- 414 cuff injuries. Am J Sports Med. 1982;10:336-339.
- 415 34.Jobe FW, Moynes DR, Tibone JE, et al. An EMG analysis of the shoulder in pitching. A second
- 416 report. Am J Sports Med. 1984;12:218-220.
- 417 35.Kadaba MP, Cole A, Wootten ME, et al. Intramuscular wire electromyography of the
  418 subscapularis. *J Orthop Res.* 1992;10:394-397.
- 36.Matsen FA, Thomas SC, Rockwood CA. Glenohumeral instability. Edited by Rockwood CA,
  Matsen FA. Philadelphia, Saunders. 1990,;pp. 526-622.
- 421 37.Ebaugh DD, McClure PW, Karduna AR. Scapulothoracic and glenohumeral kinematics following
- 422 an external rotation fatigue protocol. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2006;36:557-571.
- 423 38.Graichen H, Bonel H, Stammberger T, et al. Three-dimensional analysis of the width of the
- subacromial space in healthy subjects and patients with impingement syndrome. *Am J Roentgenol.*1999;172:1081-1086.
- 426 39.Ludewig PM, Reynolds JF. The association of scapular kinematics and glenohumeral joint
- 427 pathologies. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2009;39:90-104.

- 428 40.Puddu G, Giombini A, Selvanetti A. Rehabilitation of Sports Injuries: Current Concepts. Berlin,
  429 Springer, 2001.
- 430 41.Myers JB, Pasquale MR, Laudner KG, et al. On-the-Field Resistance-Tubing Exercises for
  431 Throwers: An Electromyographic Analysis. *J Athl Train*. 2005;40:15-22.
- 432 42.Halaki M, Ginn K. Normalization of EMG Signals: To Normalize or Not to Normalize and What
- 433 to Normalize to? Computational Intelligence in Electromyography Analysis A Perspective on
- 434 Current Applications and Future Challenges 2012.
- 435 43.Albertus-Kajee Y, Tucker R, Derman W, et al. Alternative methods of normalising EMG during
- 436 running. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2011;21:579-586.
- 437 44.Fernández-Peña E, Lucertini F, Ditroilo M. A maximal isokinetic pedalling exercise for EMG
  438 normalization in cycling. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2009;19:e162-e170.
- 439 45.Rouffet DM, Hautier CA. EMG normalization to study muscle activation in cycling. J
  440 *Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2008;18:866-878.
- 441 46.Cram JR, Kasman GS, Holtz J. Electrode placement. Edited by Cram JR, Kasman GS, Holtz J.
- 442 Gaithersburg, MD, Aspen Publishers, 1998.
- 443 47.Ha SM, Cynn HS, Kwon OY, et al. A reliability of electromyographic normalization methods for
- the infraspinatus muscle in healthy subjects. J Hum Kinet, 2013;36:69-76
- 445 48.Marras WS, Davis KG. A non-MVC EMG normalization technique for the trunk musculature: Part
- 1. Method development. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2001.11:1-9
- 447 49.Boettcher CE, Cathers I, Ginn KA. The role of shoulder muscles is task specific. *J Sci Med Sport*.
  448 2010;13:651-656.
- 449 50.Reed D, Halaki M, Ginn K. The rotator cuff muscles are activated at low levels during shoulder
- 450 adduction: an experimental study. *J Physiother*. 2010;56:259-264.