

# LSHTM Research Online

Petherick, ES; Pearce, N; Sunyer, J; Wright, J; (2016) Ethnic and socio-economic differences in the prevalence of wheeze, severe wheeze, asthma, eczema and medication usage at 4 years of age: Findings from the Born in Bradford birth cohort. Respiratory medicine, 119. pp. 122-129. ISSN 0954-6111 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2016.08.017

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/2965163/

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2016.08.017

# Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/

https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk

Ethnic and socio-economic differences in the prevalence of wheeze, severe wheeze, asthma, eczema and medication usage at 4 years of age: findings from the Born in Bradford birth cohort.

# Emily S Petherick, Neil Pearce, Jordi Sunyer, John Wright others? Background

Asthma, wheeze and eczema are common in early childhood and cause considerable morbidity. Globally wheeze and asthma prevalence in children aged 6-7 is generally highest in high income countries, with the prevalencerates of current wheeze being lowest in the Indian subcontinent and highest in English-speaking countries such as the United Kingdom and New Zealand Oceania, whilst asthma ever was lowest in Africa and again highest in the Oceania region[1]. Within Western countries however, further differences in prevalence between native born populations and non-Western migrants, with migrants generallyon average haveing lower prevalence rates than relative to native born populations in Western countries[2]. <u>HEvidence does suggest however</u>, that the prevalence of wheeze and asthma symptoms may be higher in migrants compared to their peers in their countries of origin. and prevalence and severity the risk of development and symptoms increase in increaseseverity with each additional year of residence in high-prevalence countires [2-4]. Thee evidence of ethnic related differences in the prevalence of eczema prevalence areis not however as consistent, with high prevalence rates observed in Western countries, such as the UK and NZ, but also high prevalence in some low and middle income countries\_within Africa and Latin America[5]. Similar to the situation with asthma, rResults for eczema also showed a similar relationship with, with recent migrants to affluent and Western countries experienceing lower eczema prevalence than populations already resident in host countries[6].

The prevalence of physician\_-diagnosed asthma in childhood has been reported to differ by ethnicitye background in UK and other western populations. In the UK, the highest rates have been reported in children of Black ethnicity followed by those of White and South Asian ethnicitybackground [7]. Pln addition to the differences in prevalence by ethnicity there is further evidence that phenotypes of asthma, wheeze and atopy may also differ by ethnicity [8]. Atopy has also been shown to vary by ethnicity\_ with South Asian children shown to havinge higher rates than their White British peers[9], although it is being

increasingly recognised that not all persons who experience asthma, wheeze and/or eczema are also atopic[10, 11]. Despite persons of South Asian origin resident in the UK being less likely to experience symptoms of wheeze and asthma, there are reports of poorer outcomes in asthma, with-potentially linked to lower rates of adequate diagnosis and being more likely to have both a higher risks of asthma exacerbations and hospitalisations for their asthma [7, 12]. However, South Asian populations resident in the United Kingdom (UK) are however heterogeneous, originating from different countries including India, Pakistan and Bangladesh, experiencing differing cultural and socio-economic profiles and. As previous research has not always examined the relationship between wheeze asthma and eczema in different South Asian populations and what impact migration status may make to the observed results.

<u>Few studies of e</u>Ethnic differences in childhood eczema have been<u>\_not been consistently</u> reported. Lower eczema prevalence in children of Indian, Pakistani and Bangladeshi origin compared to children of White British origin <u>has been</u> reported in a London based study[3], whilst another prevalence study conducted in Leicester found no differences between White and Asian children[13].

The current study will-therefore examines the risks of wheeze, severe wheeze, doctor diagnosed asthma and eczema, and treatment provision for breathing problems, in an early childhood population that is UK born and predominantly of White British and Pakistani ethnic origin. We also This study will examine the comparability of these results to studies that have included heterogeneous South Asian populations with differing migration profiles [14].

#### Methods

A sub-cohort of children from the Born in Bradford cohort study were recruited to participate in this study of the assessment of asthma and allergy; this work was conducted as part of a larger EU FP7 project <u>entitledealled</u> Mechanisms of the Development of ALLergy (MeDALL) [15]. This subcohort consisted of a convenience sample of 1648 children aged between 4 and 5 years recruited between 22<sup>nd</sup> October 2012 and 24<sup>th</sup> April 2014. Full details of the main Born in Bradford study and recruitment processes <u>have been reported</u>can be found elsewhere [16].

Children's parents or guardians were asked to report on a range <u>of of factors related to</u> symptoms, diagnoses and potential risk factors <u>and their associations withrelated to</u> wheeze, asthma and atopy. Children's ethnicity was assigned based on mother's selfreported ethnicity. We excluded responses from cases where maternal ethnicity was missing (excluded n=2), resulting in an eligible study population of 1646 children and their carers; <del>who</del> in the majority of cases <u>the carers</u> were their mother <u>(n=1621)</u> or father <u>(n=22)</u>.

## **Clinical outcomes**

Wheeze: defined as self-reported wheeze in the past 12 months. Severe wheeze: defined as reported wheeze on four or more occasions in the past year Asthma: defined as a positive report of doctor diagnosis of asthma ever Eczema: defined as a positive report of ever been diagnosed by a physician with having eczema/atopic dermatitis.

Medication use: defined as a positive response to question asking if child had received medication for asthma or other breathing problems during the previous 12 months. Atopy: Sensitivity to five common aeroallergens (dog and cat dander, mixed grasses, *Mite D Pteronyssinus* and *Mite D Farinae*) was assessed using skin prick testing in a subgroup cohort of 167 children. A child was considered sensitized if a weal reaction of >3 mm was present to a specific allergen after subtraction of the negative control. A child was considered atopic if a positive weal reaction to at least one of the six allergens tested was present.

## Data analysisCovariable adjustment

The variables included in the analysis were based on previous literature, and in the case of socio-economic variables, were selected to ensure that a wide range were considered as our previous work in the cohort has shown that socio-economic measures may differ between different ethnic groups [17]. In particular, weWe considered adjustinged for covariables that have previously been shown to be associated with wheeze, asthma, eczema <u>orand</u> medication usage in childhood. These <del>covariables</del> included age of mother at delivery, maternal history of asthma or atopy (yes *vs* no), paternal history of asthma or atopy (yes *vs* 

no), maternal smoking during pregnancy (yes vs no), child currently exposed to smoke (yes vs no), visible signs of mould or damp in the home (yes vs no), gas cooker used in the home (no, yes always use an extractor fan, yes sometimes use a fan, yes never use a fan) mother born abroad (yes vs no), father born abroad (yes vs no), child seen doctor for chest infection in the past 12 months (yes vs no), born at term (yes vs no), gender of child (male vs female), ever breastfed (yes vs no), older sibling(yes vs no), birthweight (<2500g, 2500-2999g, 3000-3499g, 3500-3999g, >=4000g), mode of birth (vaginal vs caesarean), ever eczema ever (yes vs no), ever had a problem with sneezing, or a runny or blocked nose when s/he did not have a cold or the flu (yes vs no) and mother's BMI at pregnancy booking.

We <u>included</u>further adjusted for three different socio-economic indicators including: highest level of maternal education (categorised as less than 5 GCSEs, >5 GCSEs, A level, Degree Level, Other, Foreign Unknown or Other); current home ownership (yes vs no); and subjective poverty (yes vs no). Subjective poverty was derived by asking women how they felt they were managing financially and were classified as subjectively poor if they responded that they were "finding it very difficult" or "finding it quite difficult" and not subjectively poor if they responded that they were "living comfortably", "doing alright" or "just getting by".

Univariate associations between <u>each potential confounder</u> covariables and each clinical outcome of interest were <u>estimated</u> calculated using logistic regression followed by mutually adjusted logistic regression models. Selection of covariables included in the analysis were based on previous literature, and in the case of socio economic variables, were selected to ensure that a wide range were considered as our previous work in the cohort has shown that socio economic measures may differ between different ethnic groups [17]. Output from these models was expressed as odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of the effect estimates and corresponding p values are also provided. Estimates were assumed to indicated statistically significant differences if p values were less than 0.05. All analyses were conducted using Stata SE 13.1[18].

#### Results

The baseline characteristics of the participating mother\_-child pairs,-stratified by ethnicity\_ are shown below in table 1. Some differences were observed between the baseline characteristics of different ethnic groups. Specifically, both <u>M</u>maternal and paternal history of asthma or atopy, were <u>more common inhigher for</u> children of White British origin compared to Pakistani and Other ethnic groups. Maternal smoking during pregnancy was highest in the White British group compared to the Other ethnic group and lowest in the Pakistani group. Current exposure to smoke in the home was highest in the Pakistani group compared to White British and lowest in the other group. Women of Pakistani ethnicity were most likely to be within the normal weight category at pregnancy booking.

Socio-demographic characteristics differed between the different ethnic groups. Mother's age at delivery was youngest for mothers of Pakistani origin followed by mothers of Other ethnicity and of White British origin. Women in the Other ethnic group were more likely to have a degree or an A level <u>equivalent</u> education <u>(or equivalent)</u> followed by White British and Pakistani women. Mothers of Other ethnic origin were most likely to report that they were managing financially whilst White British mothers were least likely to report this. Mothers of Other ethnic groups, whereas fathers of Pakistani children were most likely to born abroad compared to children of White British and Other ethnic origin. Rates of home ownership were greatest amongst Pakistani respondents.

There were also ethnic differences observed in specific household environmental risk factors; White British families were most likely to have gas cookers and use them without an extractor fan or only sometimes use an extractor fan, whilst children of Other ethnicities were slightly more likely to have visible damp in their homes.

Children of Pakistani origin were more likely to have been born at low or very low birth weight, although they were also more likely to be delivered through vaginal rather than caesarean birth, and to have an older sibling compared to White British and Other ethnic groups. Children of Other ethnic origin were most likely to have ever been breastfed, followed by Pakistani whilst lowest breastfeeding rates were observed in the White British group. Minimal ethnic differences were observed between the prevalence of term birth.

Eczema prevalence was highest in children of White British origin compared to children of other ethnic groups.

Table 1 <u>CBaseline c</u> har			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	White	Pakistani	Other	Total
	British			
	495 (30.0)	n=961	n=190	n=1646
Family history				
Maternal history of	287 (58.0)	277 (28.8)	69 (36.3)	633 (38.5)
asthma or atopy				
Paternal history of	204 (41.2)	264 (27.5)	61 (32.1)	529 (32.1)
asthma or atopy				
Socio-demographic				
factors				
Maternal age at	29.2 (6.2)	28.6 (5.3)	29.1 (5.2)	28.8 (5.5)
child's birth				
Maternal BMI at				
booking				
Underweight	3 (0.6)	59 (6.1)	4 (2.1)	66 (4.0)
Normal	210 (42.4)	429 (44.6)	92 (48.4)	731 (44.4)
Overweight	129 (26.1)	271 (28.2)	53 (27.9)	453 (27.5)
Obese	123 (24.9)	150 (15.6)	31 (16.3)	304 (18.5)
Missing	30 (6.1)	52 (5.4)	10 (5.3)	92 (5.6)
Maternal education				
(baseline)				
<5 GCSEs	84 (17.0)	290 (30.2)	26 (13.7)	400 (24.3)
5+ GCSEs	150 (30.3)	290 (30.2)	44 (23.2)	484 (29.4)
A level	81 (16.4)	101 (10.5)	25 (13.2)	207 (12.6)
Degree level	127 (25.7)	247 (25.7)	65 (34.2)	439 (26.7)
Other	47 (9.5)	17 (1.8)	9 (4.7)	73 (4.4)
Foreign	6 (1.2)	12 (1.3)	20 (10.5)	38 (2.3)
unknown/Don't know				
Married living with a				
partner	253 (51.1)	900 (93.7)	139 (73.2)	1292 (78.5)
Not married living				
with a partner	129 (26.1)	4 (0.4)	21 (11.1)	154 (9.4)
Not living with a			22 (15 -	200 (15 5)
partner	113 (22.8)	57 (5.9)	30 (15.8)	200 (12.2)
Housing tenure				
(current)				
Owns house	291 (58.8)	716 (74.5)	110 (57.8)	1117 (67.9)
Subjectively poor				
(current)	34 (6.87)	49 (5.10)	15 (7.89)	98 (5.95)
Mother born abroad				
(baseline)		_		
Yes	9 (1.8)	645 (67.1)	121 (63.7)	775 (47.1)

Table 1 <u>CBaseline characteristics of study participants</u>

1

Father born abroad				
(baseline)				
Yes	22 (4.4)	572 (59.5)	112 (59.0)	706 (42.9)
Environmental	( ,	0, 2 (00.0)	112 (0010)	/ 00 ( 1210 )
exposures				
Currently exposed to	50 (10.1)	108 (11.2)	16 (8.4)	174 (10.6)
smoke+		/	(,	
Maternal smoking	125 (25.3)	33 (3.4)	21 (9.3)	179 (10.9)
during pregnancy				
Gas cooker in the				
home,				
No	92 (18.6)	12 (1.3)	21 (11.1)	125 (7.6)
Yes always use a fan	138 (27.9)	498 (51.9)	70 (36.8)	706 (42.9)
Yes sometimes use a				
fan	122 (24.7)	221 (23.0)	52 (27.4)	395 (24.0)
Yes never use a fan	143 (28.9)	230 (23.9)	47 (24.7)	420 (25.5)
Visible signs of				
dampness or mould	99 (20.0)	206 (21.4)	41 (21.6)	346 (21.0)
Child characteristics				
Female gender	234 (47.2)	497 (51.7)	96 (50.5)	827 (50.2)
Ever breastfed, yes	336 (67.9)	730 (76.0)	162 (85.3)	1228 (74.6)
Seen doctor for chest				
infection past 12	52 (10.9)	155 (16.9)	22 (12.0)	230 (14.5)
months				
Older sibling*	261 (52.7)	627 (65.2)	108 (55.8)	996 (60.5)
Eczema ever	177 (35.8)	227 (23.6)	49 (25.8)	453 (27.5)
Ever sneezing, runny	106 (21.4)	178 (18.5)	42 (22.1)	326 (19.8)
or blocked nose				
when no cold				
Birth weight				
<2500	27 (5.5)	104 (10.8)	17 (9.0)	148 (9.0)
2500-2999	74 (15.0.)	277 (28.8)	46 (24.2)	397 (24.1)
3000-3499	170 (34.3)	342 (35.6)	80 (42.1)	592 (36.0)
3500-3999	145 (29.3)	184 (19.2)	35 (18.4)	364 (22.1)
>4000	79 (16.0)	54 (5.6)	12 (6.3)	145 (8.8)
Term pregnancy, yes	437 (88.3)	833 (86.7)	166 (87.4)	1436 (87.2)
Mode of birth				
Vaginal	379 (76.6)	755 (78.6)	141 (74.2)	1275 (77.5)
Caesarean	110 (22.2)	193 (20.1)	47 (24.7)	350 (21.3)
Childs BMI, mean (SD)	16.1 (1.43)	15.7 (11.4)	15.8 (1.7)	15.9 (1.7)

+ Includes smoke exposure from mother, father or others in the home.

Table 2 presents the patterns, by ethnivity, of differences in wheeze in the last 12 months,

severe wheeze, doctor diagnosis of asthma or medication usage for asthma or other breathing problems between the children of different ethnicities. <u>WPrevalence of wheeze</u> in

the last 12 months was highest in children of Pakistani ethnicity, followed by children of White British and Other ethnic groups. In contrast, severe wheeze and eczema was highest in the White British group followed by those of Pakistani and Other ethnic background. Doctor diagnosis of asthma and medication usage for asthma or breathing problems was consistently highest in children of Pakistani origin followed by children of White British and then children of Other ethnic background.

	White British	Pakistani	Other	Total
	n=495	n=961	n=190	n=1646
Wheeze				
Wheeze in the last 12 months	98 (19.8)	217 (22.6)	29 (15.3)	344 (20.9)
Severe wheeze (>4 times in a year)*	31 (6.3)	39 (4.1)	4 (2.1)	74 (4.5)
Asthma diagnosis and man	agement			÷
Ever diagnosed by doctor as having asthma	42 (8.9)	129 (13.4)	6 (3.2)	179 (10.9)
Ever diagnosed by doctor as having eczema or atopic dermatitis	161 (32.5)	200 (20.8)	42 (22.1)	403 (24.5)
Taken medicines for asthma or breathing difficulties in past 12 months	94 (19.0)	185 (19.3)	23 (12.1)	302 (18.3)
Positive skin prick test+	<mark>2 (3.4)</mark>	23 (26.4)	<mark>2 (11.1)</mark>	<mark>28 (17.0)</mark>

# Table 2 Summary of asthma, wheeze, eczema and medication usage by ethnic group

\*Denominator participants that reported wheezing or whistling in the last 12 months.

+Denominator 164 participants with valid atopy and ethnicity data

All potential <u>confounders</u>covariables were explored in a series of two different models for each outcome of interest wheeze in the last 12 months, severe wheeze, doctor diagnosis of asthma/eczema and taken medications for asthma or breathing problems. The first model (shown below in table 3) examined the <u>univariate relationship between</u> univariate associations between <u>the various measures of socioeconomic position</u>-<u>socio-economic</u> variables and all four health outcomes<u>i</u>- <u>univariate between covariables and the outcomes</u> and the second model <u>conducted was multivariate analysis</u> mutually adjust<u>eding</u> for all variables (table 4)covariates. The results of these models are shown below in table 3.

**Commented [EP1]:** Should we model skin prick tests or wait til the All in analyses where we will have greater power. Very interesting that ever eczema is lower in the Pakistani group but positive skin prick tests much higher in the Pakistani group!

	Wheeze in the last 12 months	Severe Wheeze	Doctor diagnosed asthma	Doctor diagnosed eczema	Medications for asthma or breathing problems
Maternal Education <5 GCSEs 5+ GCSEs A level Degree level Other Foreign unknown/Don't know	0.90 (0.65-1.24) 1 0.86 (0.58-1.28) 0.70 (0.51-0.97) 1.07 (0.61-1.90) 0.62 (0.25-1.51)	1.09 (0.57-2.10) 1 1.05 (0.47-2.36) 1.05 (0.55-1.99) 1.70 (0.62-4.70) 1.29 (0.29-5.73)	1.13 (0.77-1.67) 1 0.53 (0.29-0.96)* 0.52 (0.32-0.81)* 0.96 (0.45-2.02) 1.03 (0.39-2.74)	0.76 (0.55-1.04) 1 1.15 (0.80-1.67) 1.08 (0.80-1.45) 1.14 (0.66-1.99) 0.94 (0.43-2.04)	0.91 (0.65-1.27) 1 0.89 (0.58-1.34) 0.71 (0.51-1.01) 1.20 (0.67-2.15) 0.89 (0.38-2.08)
Subjectively poor, yes (current) Housing tenure (current) Owns house, yes	2.21 (1.44-3.41)* 0.65 (0.51-0.83)**	1.15 (0.45-2.91)         0.45 (0.29-0.72)**	1.79 (1.03-3.09)*         0.66 (0.48-0.90)**	1.25 (0.79-1.96)         0.94 (0.74-1.19)	1.56 (0.97-2.50)         0.69 (0.53-0.89)**

# Table 3 FAssociations of indings by socio-economic measures with asthma

	Wheeze in the last 12 months		Severe Wheeze		Doctor diagnos	ed asthma	Doctor diagnos	ed eczema	Medications for asthma or	
							Liniveriatella Multiveriete		breathing prob	
	UnivariateUn	Multivariate	UnivariateUn	Multivariate	UnivariateUn	Multivariate	UnivariateUn	Multivariate	UnivariateUn	Multivariate
	adjusted	Adjusted	adjusted	Adjusted	adjusted	Adjusted	adjusted	Adjusted	adjusted	Adjusted
Ethnic										
ity	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
White	1.18 (0.90-	1.02 (0.63-	0.63 (0.39-	0.97 (0.42-	1.59 (1.11-	1.88 (1.00-	0.55(0.43-	0.79 (0.52-	1.02 (0.77-	1.32 (0.80-
British	1.54)	1.66)	1.03)	2.26)	2.28)*	3.52)*	0.70)***	1.21)	1.34)	2.17)
Pakist	0.73 (0.47-	0.56 (0.30-	0.32 (0.11-	0.45 (0.13-	0.33 (0.14-	0.33 (0.12-	0.59 (0.40-	0.67 (0.39-	0.59 (0.36-	0.72 (0.38-
ani	1.16)	1.05)	0.92)	1.55)	0.80)*	0.93)*	0.87)**	1.13)	0.97)*	1.38)
Other										
Femal	0.77 (0.60-	0.72 (0.54-	0.88 (0.55-	0.90 (0.53-	0.64 (0.46-	0.53 (0.37-	0.97 (0.77-	1.01 (0.78-	0.67 (0.52-	0.61 (0.45-
e	0.96)*	0.96)*	1.41)	1.53)	0.87)**	0.75)***	1.21)	1.29)	0.86)**	0.82)***
comp										
ared										
to										
male										
gende										
r										
Eczem	1.96 (1.53-	1.77 (1.30-	1.85 (1.49-	1.28 (0.73-	2.43 (1.77-	2.21 (1.53-	-	-	2.26 (1.75-	1.96 (1.44-
a ever	2.52)*	2.40)***	2.98)*	2.24)	3.34)***	3.19) ***			2.94)***	2.67)***
Ever	2.76 (2.11-	2.53 (1.84-	3.51 (2.18-	4.01 (2.29-	2.42 (1.73-	1.93 (1.31-	2.06 (1.59-	1.80***	2.89 (2.19-	2.44 (1.76-
sneezi	3.60)*	3.48) ***	5.64)***	7.02)***	3.39)***	2.85) ***	2.67)***	(1.34-2.40)	3.81)***	3.37)***
ng,										
runny										
or										
blocke										
d nose										
when										
no										
cold								0.00		
Older	0.80 (0.62-	0.72 (0.52-	0.56 (0.35-	0.70 (0.38-	0.87 (0.63-	0.85 (0.56-	0.61 (0.49-	0.60***	0.72 (0.56-	0.66 (0.47-
sibling	1.02)	1.01)	0.89)*	1.30)	1.20)	1.31)	0.77)***	(0.45-0.80)	0.93)*	0.93)*
, yes										

# Table 4 UnivariateUnadjusted and MultivariateAdjusted associations relationship with asthma outcome+

11

							r			
comp ared										
to no										
Mater										
nal			2.51 (1.09-	1.27 (0.42-	1.72 (0.85-	1.44 (0.60-	1.05 (0.59-	0.76 (0.38-	1.19 (0.63-	0.80 (0.36-
age at	1.07 (0.57-	0.90 (0.41-	5.77)	3.80)	3.48)	3.48)	1.90)	1.52)	2.24)	1.76)
child's	2.01)	1.95)	0.88 (0.48-	0.65 (0.32-	1.21 (0.81-	1.05 (0.64-	0.99 (0.73-	0.90 (0.63-	1.04 (0.75-	0.86 (0.57-
birth	1.13 (0.82-	1.09 (0.73-	1.62)	1.34)	1.83)	1.71)	1.34)	1.29)	1.46)	1.30)
15-19	1.56)	1.63)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	1	1	0.54 (0.27-	0.56 (0.25-	0.77 (0.49-	0.86 (0.52-	0.88 (0.64-	1.02 (0.72-	0.84 (0.59-	0.90 (0.60-
20-24	1.01 (0.73-	1.23 (0.83-	1.07)	1.23)	1.20)	1.43)	1.18)	1.43)	1.18)	1.35)
	1.39)	1.83)	0.57 (0.26-	0.56 (0.22-	1.10 (0.69-	1.25 (0.72-	0.99 (0.70-	0.99 (0.67-	1.00 (0.69-	1.11 (0.70-
	0.97 (0.67-	1.36 (0.87-	1.26)	1.43)	1.76)	2.17)	1.40)	1.47)	1.47)	1.74)
25-29	1.41)	2.12)								
30-34										
35+										
Family										
histor										
y Mater	1.43 (1.13-	1.08 (0.80-	1.94 (1.22-	1.09 (0.62-	1.72 (1.26-	1.70 (1.17-	2.48 (1.97-	2.08***	1.82 (1.41-	1.45 (1.06-
nal	1.43 (1.13-	1.08 (0.80-	3.10)*	1.90)	2.35)**	2.47)**	3.12)***	(1.60-2.70)	2.34)***	1.98)*
histor	1.02)	1.47)	5.10)	1.50)	2.55)	2.47)	5.12)	(1.00-2.70)	2.34)	1.50)
y of										
asthm										
a or										
atopy										
Patern	1.09 (0.85-	1.16 (0.85-	1.08 (0.66-	0.92 (0.51-	1.34 (0.97-	1.45 (1.00-	1.52 (1.20-	1.24 (0.95-	1.18 (0.90-	1.12 (0.82-
al	1.41)	1.57)	1.77)	1.67)	1.84)	2.10)	1.92)***	1.62)	1.53)	1.53)
histor										
y of										
asthm										
a or										
atopy										
Mater	1.23 (0.85-	1.06 (0.64-	1.29 (0.65-	0.49 (0.21-	0.90 (0.54-	0.82 (0.42-	1.08 (0.76-	0.78 (0.50-	1.05 (0.70-	0.79 (0.47-
nal	1.77)	1.74)	2.57)	1.15)	1.51)	1.59)	1.54)	1.22)	1.55)	1.34)

			I.		1	1	1	1	1	
smoki										
ng										
during										
pregn										
ancy										
Curre	1.19 (0.82-	1.09 (0.70-	1.87 (1.00-	2.00 (0.95-	1.21 (0.75-	1.03 (0.60-	1.09 (0.76-	1.14 (0.77-	1.00 (0.67-	0.87 (0.54-
ntly	1.72)	1.69)	3.47)*	4.22)	1.95)	1.76)	1.56)	1.70)	1.50)	1.40)
expos										
ed to										
smoke										
Moth	0.98 (0.78-	0.85 (0.60-	0.32 (0.18-	0.21 (0.097-	1.25 (0.91-	1.16 (0.73-	0.65 (0.51-	0.90 (0.64-	0.88 (0.68-	0.83 (0.57-
er	1.25)	1.22)	0.56)***	0.44)***	1.70)	1.83)	0.81)***	1.25)	1.13)	1.22)
born										
abroa										
d										
(baseli										
ne)										
Yes										
Father	1.19 (0.94-	1.29 (0.92-	0.85 (0.52-	1.06 (0.53-	1.33 (0.97-	1.35 (0.89-	0.71 (0.56-	0.96 (0.71-	1.13 (0.88-	1.21 (0.85-
born	1.51)	1.82)	1.37)	2.13)	1.81)	2.06)	0.90)**	1.31)	1.45)	1.72)
abroa										
d vs										
UK										
born										
(baseli										
ne)										
Seen	7.77 (5.77-	7.19 (5.17-	4.22 (2.59-	4.67 (2.64-	4.03 (2.89-	3.03 (2.05-	1.56 (1.16-	1.41*	5.74 (4.27-	5.19 (3.72-
doctor	10.45)***	10.0)***	6.89)***	8.27)***	5.70)***	4.48)***	2.11)**	(1.01-1.98)	7.73)***	7.25)***
for										
chest										
infecti										
on										
past										
12										
month										
s										

Gas										
cooke										
r in	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
the	1.98 (1.14-	3.25 (1.54-	1.21 (0.47-	2.33 (0.70-	1.58 (0.77-	2.20 (0.83-	0.66 (0.44-	0.86 (0.53-	1.58 (0.91-	2.48 (1.19-
home	3.44)*	6.86)**	3.17)	7.81)	3.24)	5.85)	1.00)	1.41)	2.76)	5.18) *
No		3.09 (1.44-	1.28 (0.47-	3.27 (0.94-	1.37 (0.64-	2.40 (0.88-	0.67 (0.43-	0.74 (0.44-	1.52 (0.85-	2.77 (1.31-
Yes	1.70 (0.95-	6.65)**	3.48)	11.4)	2.92)	6.54)	1.04)	1.23)	2.72)	5.89) **
always	3.04)	2.46 (1.16-	0.89 (0.31-	1.17 (0.33-	1.95 (0.93-	2.55 (0.96-	0.77 (0.50-	0.90 (0.54-	1.63 (0.92-	2.26 (1.08-
use a		5.22)*	2.50)	4.11)	4.06)	6.76)	1.20)	1.48)	2.91)	4.74)*
fan	1.86 (1.05-									
Yes	3.31)*									
someti										
mes										
use a										
fan										
Yes										
never use a										
fan										
Visible					0.98 (0.67-	0.93 (0.62-	1.34 (1.03-	1.33 (0.99-	1.23 (0.91-	0.85 (0.61-
signs	1.18 (0.89-	1.08 (0.77-	1.13 (0.65-	1.42 (0.76-	1.44)	1.41)	1.74)*	1.79)	1.65)	1.20)
of	1.57)	1.53)	1.96)	2.66)	,	,	,	<u> </u>	1.00,	1.20,
damp	,	,	,	,						
ness										
or										
mould										

Child										
characteristics										
Birth weight										
<2500	1.63 (1.09-	1.79* (1.03-	2.18 (1.09-	1.98 (0.76-	1.39 (0.81-	1.58 (0.79-	0.79 (0.51-	0.44**	1.58 (1.03-	1.28 (0.72-
	2.44)*	3.11)	4.38)	5.14)	2.38)	3.16)	1.23)	(0.25-0.77)	2.43)*	2.27)
2500-2999	1.02 (0.75-	1.06 (0.73-	0.77 (0.39-	0.92 (0.43-	1.05 (0.69-	1.03 (0.64-	0.87 (0.64-	0.86 (0.62-	0.93 (0.66-	0.88 (0.59-
	1.40)	1.54)	1.52)	1.99)	1.60)	1.65)	1.17)	1.20)	1.31)	1.30)
3000-3499	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3500-3999	0.90 (0.65-	0.83 (0.56-	1.18 (0.63-	1.29 (0.63-	1.19 (0.78-	1.17 (0.73-	1.03 (0.76-	0.91 (0.65-	1.05 (0.75-	1.04 (0.70-
	1.25)	1.23)	2.19)	2.66)	1.80)	1.87)	1.39)	1.28)	1.48)	1.55)
>4000	0.84 (0.53-	0.73 (0.39-	0.81 (0.30-	0.97 (0.32-	0.95 (0.51-	0.88 (0.39-	1.22 (0.82-	1.09 (0.67-	1.29 (0.82-	1.40 (0.79-
	1.35)	1.36)	2.15)	2.93)	1.75)	1.97)	1.83)	1.77)	2.03)	2.48)
Term	0.56 (0.40-	0.80 (0.51-	0.45 (0.26-	0.60 (0.27-	0.81 (0.51-	1.14 (0.63-	0.81 (0.58-	0.60*	0.54 (0.38-	0.68 (0.42-
pregnancy (yes	0.79)**	1.27)	0.81)*	1.34)	1.28)	2.05)	1.14)	(0.39-0.93)	0.77)**	1.08)
compared to										
no)										
Ever breastfed,	0.93 (0.71-	0.98 (0.70-	0.74 (0.45-	0.82 (0.45-	0.86 (0.61-	0.93 (0.62-	1.18 (0.91-	1.14 (0.84-	0.90 (0.68-	0.85 (0.61-
yes	1.22)	1.37)	1.23)	1.48)	1.22)	1.41)	1.54)	1.54)	1.19)	1.20)
Mode of birth										
Vaginal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Caesarean	1.11(0.83-	0.96 (0.68-	1.37 (0.81-	1.14 (0.60-	1.05 (0.72-	1.00 (0.65-	1.54 (1.19-	1.52**	1.21 (0.90-	1.14 (0.80-
	1.47)	1.36)	2.32)	2.14)	1.54)	1.54)	2.00)	(1.13-2.04)	1.63)	1.61)
Maternal BMI										
at booking		1.18 (0.57-	1.73 (0.65-	2.25 (0.72-	0.96 (0.43-	0.66 (0.27-	0.93 (0.52-	1.15 (0.61-	1.20 (0.63-	1.05 (0.50-
Underweight	1.31 (0.71-	2.42)	4.60)	7.02)	2.18)	1.64)	1.67)	2.18)	2.27)	2.21)
Normal	2.40)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Overweight	1	1.37 (0.98-	0.98 (0.55-	1.01 (0.53-	0.99 (0.68-	0.95 (0.62-	0.89 (0.68-	0.88 (0.65-	1.13 (0.83-	1.16 (0.81-
Obese	1.34 (1.01-	1.93)	1.72)	1.94)	1.44)	1.46)	1.17)	1.19)	1.53)	1.64)
	1.79)*	1.39 (0.94-	1.02 (0.54-	0.93 (0.45-	0.99 (0.64-	0.95 (0.58-	0.90 (0.66-	0.80 (0.56-	1.23 (0.87-	1.13 (0.76-
	1.33 (0.96-	2.06)	1.94)	1.92)	1.52)	1.55)	1.23)	1.14)	1.72)	1.69)
	1.84)									

 Table 4 UnivariateUnadjusted and MultivariateAdjusted
 relationship with asthma outcome+ (continued)

+Adjusted for ethnicity and socio-economic measures

# Wheeze in the past 12 months

Mutual adjustment of covariablevariables showed that the odds of wheeze in the preceding 12 months was higher if the child had eczema ever OR 1.85 (1.39-2.46), had a history of sneezing, runny or blocked nose when no cold 2.66 (1.98-3.58) or used a gas cooker either if always used a fan OR 3.25 (1.54-6.86), sometimes used a fan OR 3.09 (1.44-6.65) or never used a fan OR 2.46 (1.16-5.22). Gender was the only covariablevariable associated with a lower odds of wheeze, with girls having a lower odds then boys OR 0.69 (0.53-0.90).

## Severe Wheeze

<u>TNext-the mutually adjusted relationships associations</u> with severe wheeze were examined with only a few variables found to contribute to the odds of severe wheeze. The odds were higher in children who reported sneezing, runny or blocked nose when no cold OR 4.81 (1.49-2.98) and report of a doctor visit for chest infection OR 4.67 (2.64-8.27). Only term birth was associate with lower odds of severe wheeze OR 0.32 (0.18-0.56).

#### Doctor diagnosed asthma

In adjusted analyses eczema OR 2.21 (1.53-3.19), ever sneezing, runny or blocked nose when not had a cold OR 1.93 (1.31-2.85), maternal history of asthma or atopy OR 1.70 (1.17-2.47) and seen a doctor for a chest infection in the past 12 months OR 3.03 (2.05-4.48) and Pakistani compared to white British children OR1.88 (1.00-3.52) were all associated with an increased odds of doctor diagnosed asthma. Reduced odds of asthma were only observed in girls compared to boys OR 0.53 (0.37-0.75) and children of Other ethnic group compared to White British OR 0.33 (0.12-0.93). Paternal history of asthma or atopy was not statistically significantly associated with increased odds although results were marginal OR 1.45 (1.00-2.10).

# Doctor diagnosed eczema

Odds of eczema were higher in children who had problems with sneezing when didn't have a cold OR 1.80 (1.34-2.40), had a maternal history of asthma or atopy OR 2.08 (1.60-2.70), had seen a doctor for a chest infection OR 1.41 (1.01-1.98) or had been born via caesarean section OR 1.52 (1.13-2.04).Lower odds were associated with having older siblings OR 0.60 (0.45-0.80) and being born <2500g compared to 3000-3500g OR 0.44 (0.25-0.77).

#### Medications for asthma or breathing problems

In the mutually adjusted analyses having eczema ever OR 1.96 (1.44-2.67), ever sneezing or having a runny nose ever when not having a cold OR 2.44 (1.76-3.37), maternal history of asthma or atopy OR 1.45 (1.06-1.98), having seen a doctor a chest infection in the previous 12 months OR 5.19 (3.72-7.25) and use of a gas cooker in the home whether always using an extractor fan OR 2.48 (1.19-5.18), sometimes uses a fan OR 2.77 (1.31-5.89) or never uses a fan OR 2.26 (1.08-4.74) were all positively associated with medications for asthma or breathing problems. Female gender OR 0.61 (0.45-0.82) and having an older sibling OR 0.66 (0.47-0.93) were all associated with a lower odds of receiving medications in line with the reduced risk of respiratory problems in these participants.

#### Discussion

This study has We found that there were ethnic differences in the prevalence of doctor diagnosed asthma, with Pakistani children more likely to receive a diagnosis than White British or Other ethnicity children. However, no major ethnic differences were observed for wheeze in the last 12 months, severe wheeze, doctor diagnosed eczema or taking medications for breathing problems or asthma, even after adjustment for other risk factors. For all five outcomes evaluated, two covariablevariables had consistent positive relationshipsassociations with outcomes; sneezing or having a runny nose ever when not having a cold and having seen a doctor for a chest infection in the previous 12 months, the latter variable having the strongest-largest positive associationeffect size. For all respiratory and respiratory medication usage outcomes, eczema was shown to confer an extra risk of having these outcomes.

<u>W</u>Our results demonstrate that well established factors such as gender, family history, socio-economic status and child's medical history and chest infection were stronger risk factors than ethnicity for the outcomes examined in a UK born child cohort.

#### Strengths and weaknesses

The strengths of our studies include the large sample size, a homogenous UK born Pakistani comparator group with a rich collection of socio-demographic and clinical data which has

allowed for adjustment for a wide range of potential confounding factors. We were able to adjust-our results for a wide range of factors including multiple measures of socio-economic status at different periods of the life\_course, an approach that has been recommended when examining health inequalities within and between ethnic groups [19-21]. We also adjusted for a wide range of factors relating to the-maternal and paternal migration in addition to ethnic background as well as other established risk factors for asthma and wheeze, although we were unable to adjust for pet ownership or day care attendance as this information was not collected.

# Strengths and weakness compared to other studies

Our findings are consistent with results from the Millennium cohort study in finding no difference in wheeze between children of White British and Pakistani or Indian ethnic origin children [22] in the early years. Our findings of higher rates of doctor diagnosed asthma in Pakistani compared to White British children <u>are similar to those of was not replicated by</u> Panico et al[22] who had used a definition of asthma ever <u>and found no ethnic differences</u> between. Irrespective of the definition used, results for asthma both from ours and earlier studies should be interpreted with caution given the diagnostic uncertainty of asthma in the\_age groups under study. Our results are also based on a UK born Pakistani population which may also explain the similarity of some of our findings to those of Panico et al[22].

With the exception of the current study and th<u>at ofe results published by</u> Panico et al [22]<sub>2</sub> previous studies <u>ofexamining</u> ethnic differences in wheeze, asthma and asthma treatment in the UK that have included Pakistani participants, have analysed their <u>dataresults</u> as part of a homogenous grouping of South Asian participants. A previous systematic review and metaanalysis of ethnic variations in asthma frequency and morbidity concluded that the prevalence of asthma and wheeze was lower in South Asian populations than observed for White children<sub>i</sub>, however <u>these</u> studies were limited by the heterogeneity of ethnic groups included, with the South Asian population groupings included and the lack of adjustment for migration status [12]. The other variable that is <u>perhapsfar</u> more likely to have influenced the lower prevalence results observed for South Asian children in the systematic review is the socio-economic profile of the included participants. There is evidence indicating that different South Asian groups resident in the UK have different socio-economic profiles. South Asian women of Indian ethnic origin are more likely to be economically active compared to women of Pakistani and Bangladeshi ethnic backgrounds [23] and children of Bangladeshi and Pakistani origin are more likely to be economically deprived than Indian children[24].

Our findings for eczema are consistent with earlier findings in showing lower rates of eczema in children of Pakistani origin compared to White British children. Lower rates of eczema in Pakistani children are likely related to the lower rates of familial history and higher likelihood of being in a low socio-economic group, given previous consistent findings showing higher rates of eczema in offspring born to parents with asthma and/or eczema and those of higher socio-economic status (refs to be added).

We selected children for inclusion in this study based on their age based on those already participating in the overall Born in Bradford study. Compared to the main Born in Bradford study population[16] we have oversampled children of Pakistani ethnicity in this current subgroup. Whilst our results are likely representative of the Bradford district they may not be representative childhood populations in other UK cities.

#### **Further research**

The finding of a higher prevalence of doctor diagnosed asthma for Pakistani children in this young age group, in contrast with the lack of ethnic difference in asthma symptoms, may suggest greater medicalization of wheeze and respiratory symptomology for children of this ethnic group.

The findings of the current study confirm <u>the</u> conclusions <u>offrom</u> the Millenium Cohort study [22], that suggest that <u>relationshipsassociations</u> between wheeze, asthma, eczema and medication usage for South Asian children is not homogenous. Authors of future studies should seek to stratify results by different South Asian origin and seek to report the migration status. By adhering to these recommendations future systematic reviews in this area will be able to produce evidence that has greater applicability to the diverse South Asian diaspora and their offspring resident in the UK.

# FUNDING AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The data collection for this study was funded by the MeDALL project. MeDALL is a collaborative project funded by the Health Cooperation Work Programme of the 7th Framework programme (grant agreement No. 261357).

Born in Bradford is only possible because of the enthusiasm and commitment of the

Children and Parents in BiB. We are grateful to all the participants, health professionals and

researchers who have made Born in Bradford happen.

The views expressed are those of the author (s). The funders had no role in study design,

data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### References

- 1. Lai CK, Beasley R, Crane J, Foliaki S, Shah J, Weiland S, International Study of A, Allergies in Childhood Phase Three Study G: **Global variation in the prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms: phase three of the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC)**. *Thorax* 2009, **64**(6):476-483.
- 2. Garcia-Marcos L, Robertson CF, Ross Anderson H, Ellwood P, Williams HC, Wong GW, the IPTSG: Does migration affect asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema prevalence? Global findings from the international study of asthma and allergies in childhood. International journal of epidemiology 2014.
- 3. Whitrow MJ, Harding S: Asthma in Black African, Black Caribbean and South Asian adolescents in the MRC DASH study: a cross sectional analysis. *BMC pediatrics* 2010, **10**:18.
- Cabieses B, Uphoff E, Pinart M, Anto JM, Wright J: A systematic review on the development of asthma and allergic diseases in relation to international immigration: the leading role of the environment confirmed. *PloS one* 2014, 9(8):e105347.
- Odhiambo JA, Williams HC, Clayton TO, Robertson CF, Asher MI, Group IPTS: Global variations in prevalence of eczema symptoms in children from ISAAC Phase Three. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 2009, 124(6):1251-1258 e1223.
- Garcia-Marcos L, Robertson CF, Ross Anderson H, Ellwood P, Williams HC, Wong GW, Group IPTS: Does migration affect asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema prevalence? Global findings from the international study of asthma and allergies in childhood. International journal of epidemiology 2014, 43(6):1846-1854.

- Davidson E, Liu JJ, Sheikh A: The impact of ethnicity on asthma care. Primary care respiratory journal : journal of the General Practice Airways Group 2010, 19(3):202-208.
- Kelley CF, Mannino DM, Homa DM, Savage-Brown A, Holguin F: Asthma phenotypes, risk factors, and measures of severity in a national sample of US children. *Pediatrics* 2005, 115(3):726-731.
- 9. Carey OJ, Cookson JB, Britton J, Tattersfield AE: The effect of lifestyle on wheeze, atopy, and bronchial hyperreactivity in Asian and white children. *American* journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 1996, **154**(2 Pt 1):537-540.
- 10. Brown S, Reynolds NJ: Atopic and non-atopic eczema. *Bmj* 2006, **332**(7541):584-588.
- 11. Court CS, Cook DG, Strachan DP: Comparative epidemiology of atopic and nonatopic wheeze and diagnosed asthma in a national sample of English adults. *Thorax* 2002, **57**(11):951-957.
- 12. Netuveli G, Hurwitz B, Levy M, Fletcher M, Barnes G, Durham SR, Sheikh A: Ethnic variations in UK asthma frequency, morbidity, and health-service use: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2005, **365**(9456):312-317.
- 13. Neame RL, Berth-Jones J, Kurinczuk JJ, Graham-Brown RA: Prevalence of atopic dermatitis in Leicester: a study of methodology and examination of possible ethnic variation. *The British journal of dermatology* 1995, **132**(5):772-777.
- 14. Netuveli G, Hurwitz B, Sheikh A: Ethnic variations in incidence of asthma episodes in England & Wales: national study of 502,482 patients in primary care. *Respiratory research* 2005, **6**:120.
- Bousquet J, Anto J, Auffray C, Akdis M, Cambon-Thomsen A, Keil T, Haahtela T, Lambrecht BN, Postma DS, Sunyer J *et al*: MeDALL (Mechanisms of the Development of ALLergy): an integrated approach from phenotypes to systems medicine. *Allergy* 2011, 66(5):596-604.
- Wright J, Small N, Raynor P, Tuffnell D, Bhopal R, Cameron N, Fairley L, Lawlor DA, Parslow R, Petherick ES et al: Cohort Profile: the Born in Bradford multi-ethnic family cohort study. International journal of epidemiology 2013, 42(4):978-991.
- 17. Fairley L, Cabieses B, Small N, Petherick ES, Lawlor DA, Pickett KE, Wright J: Using latent class analysis to develop a model of the relationship between socioeconomic position and ethnicity: cross-sectional analyses from a multiethnic birth cohort study. *BMC public health* 2014, **14**:835.
- StataCorp: Stata Statistical Software: Release 13. In. Edited by College Station TSL; 2013.
- 19. Krieger N: A glossary for social epidemiology. Journal of epidemiology and community health 2001, 55(10):693-700.
- 20. Galobardes B, Shaw M, Lawlor DA, Lynch JW, Davey Smith G: Indicators of socioeconomic position (part 1). *Journal of epidemiology and community health* 2006, **60**(1):7-12.
- 21. Galobardes B, Shaw M, Lawlor DA, Lynch JW, Davey Smith G: Indicators of socioeconomic position (part 2). *Journal of epidemiology and community health* 2006, 60(2):95-101.
- 22. Panico L, Bartley M, Marmot M, Nazroo JY, Sacker A, Kelly YJ: Ethnic variation in childhood asthma and wheezing illnesses: findings from the Millennium Cohort Study. International journal of epidemiology 2007, **36**(5):1093-1102.
- 23. Dale A, Lindley J, Dex S: A Life-course Perspective on Ethnic Differences in Women's Economic Activity in Britain. *European Sociological Review* 2006, 22(3):323-337.
- 24. Platt L: **Inequality within ethnic groups**. In: *JRF Programme paper: Poverty and Ethncity*. Joseph Rowntree Foundation; 2011.