

**Original citation:**

Wolke, Dieter. (2016) Born extremely low birth weight and health related quality of life into adulthood. The Journal of Pediatrics . doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.09.012

**Permanent WRAP URL:**

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/82199>

**Copyright and reuse:**

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

**Publisher's statement:**

© 2016, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

**A note on versions:**

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP url' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: [wrap@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:wrap@warwick.ac.uk)

## **Born extremely low birth weight and Health Related quality of life into adulthood**

**Author:** Dieter Wolke <sup>1,2</sup>, PhD Dr rer nat h.c.

**Affiliations:** <sup>1</sup> University of Warwick, Department of Psychology, Coventry, UK; <sup>2</sup> Warwick Medical School, Division of Mental Health and Wellbeing, Coventry, UK;

**Address correspondence to:** Dieter Wolke, Ph.D., Department of Psychology, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK, [[D.Wolke@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:D.Wolke@warwick.ac.uk)], Tel: +44 (0)24 7657 3217, Fax: +44 (0)24 7652 4225

**Short title:** ELBW Quality of Life

**Abbreviations:** HRQL – Health Related Quality of Life; HUI 3 - Health Utility Index Mark 3; NBW: normal birth weight (> 2500g); NSI: neuro-sensory impairment; VP/VLBW – Very Preterm (<32 weeks gestation) /Very Low Birth Weight (birth weight <1,500g); ELBW – Extremely low birth weight (< 1000g)

**Key Words:** Quality of Life; ELBW; very preterm; social relationships

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors have no financial relationships to disclose.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Health-related quality of life (HRQL) refers to the impact of health on an individual's overall psychological, social, and physical well-being (1). Rather than having different measures for specific conditions, having one measure of HRQL allows for the comparison of consequences or effects of treatment across all starting conditions. This is of practical importance when difficult decisions have to be reached by budget holders how to best employ limited health resources.

In this volume of *The Journal*, Saigal et al (...) report on the evaluation of long term HRQL outcomes associated with health after being born extremely low birth weight (ELBW). While several studies have evaluated HRQL after being born ELBW or very preterm (VP) or very low birth weight (VLBW) in adolescence or adulthood across two time points (2) (3-5) (6), this is the first study that evaluated HRQL from adolescence through early adulthood into the mid-thirties across 3 time points. This allowed the study team to analyze trajectories, i.e. does HRQL after ELBW tend to remain the same, increase or decrease significantly over time?

They employed the Health Utility Index Mark 3 (HUI-3) questionnaire that is widely used to obtain subject or patient-reported outcomes (PRO) and enquires about 8 attributes of functioning: Vision, Hearing, Speech, Ambulation, Dexterity, Emotion, Cognition and Pain – each with 5 or 6 levels of ability/disability (1). The health states are converted into multi-attribute utility scores (MAU) that represent mean community preferences. The utility (preference) scores range on a generic scale where dead = 0.00 and perfect health = 1.00.

Saigal et al. (...) defined two subgroups within the ELBW a priori; ELBW with and without neurosensory impairment (NSI), and a control group of normal birth weight (NBW). Their HRQL was assessed at three time points (ages 12-16; 22-26; and 29-36 years). Long-term studies are difficult to do and not easy to fund but their value increases with every

assessment wave. The major findings were, firstly, that there was both a statistically and clinically significant HRQL difference between ELBW without NSI and, in particular, of ELBW with NSI compared to NBW at each assessment point from adolescence. HRQL differences already emerged in adolescence and did not significantly widen as the ELBW progressed into early and mid-adulthood. This means, that long-term HRQL for ELBW can usually be determined in adolescence without an indication of improvement over time. This is consistent with recent findings from other cohorts who also analyzed the stability of HRQL scores over time (3, 5). The stability in HRQL is higher in VP/VLBW compared to NBW controls and the high stability is mainly carried by those with significant NSI, i.e. high health burden (2).

How do the mean HRQL levels reported by Saigal et al. compare with those of two other longitudinal studies of HRQL that assessed VP/VLBW with the same instrument from adolescence into adulthood (table 1)? Noticeable is that the weighted mean for all ELBW (with and without NSI) was significantly lower at 0.79 in adolescence and early adulthood in the Canadian compared to the German study (0.82 and 0.82, respectively) and notably lower compared to the Dutch findings in adolescence (0.87), early adulthood (0.83) and later adulthood (0.73 vs 0.85). This cannot be easily explained by cultural differences as the NBW means were exactly the same in Canada and Germany in adolescence (0.88) and early adulthood (0.89) (the Dutch study had no NBW controls). The difference is most likely due to the Canadian study investigating ELBW while the other two studies reported on more mature and larger VP/VLBW. A previous comparison of the three cohorts, focusing on ELBW indicated that at least the German and Canadian ELBW had similarly low HRQL in adolescence (8). This study provides further evidence that, firstly, HRQL of ELBW individuals is, on average, significantly lower than that of VP/VLBW who in turn report lower HRQL than NBW adolescents and adults. Secondly, consistently HRQL has been

found to not improve with age in ELBW or VP/VLBW. Thirdly, NSI reduces HRQL well into adulthood. The stability of these differences compared to NBW indicates that whatever services ELBW or VP/VLBW individuals received from early adolescence have made no difference to their HRQL well into adulthood.

The key question to answer is thus: How can we increase HRQL of ELBW and VP/VLBW children and adults? Despite efforts to prevent preterm birth, there will be the same or even a greater number of ELBW and VP/VLBW survivors in the community, at least, in the foreseeable future (9). Thus we have to understand and find ways to increase HRQL of ELBW/VLBW beyond considering NSI as those ELBW or VP/VLBW without NSI also have lower HRQL than NBW (table 1). An alternative to the approach chosen by Saigal et al (...) of defining a priori risk groups and plot their HRQL over time, would be to make full use of repeated HRQL measurements to identify adolescents whose HRQL grows in similar ways over time (trajectories). This approach is called latent class growth analysis (LCGA) (10). Having found specific trajectories (e.g., consistently low, consistently high, or changing over time), it can then be investigated what factors in infancy or childhood separate those individuals who are members of different trajectory groups (10, 11) (12). This would allow to identify both, early risk and protective factors beyond ELBW and NSI that may predict constantly low or improving scores in HRQL over time, for example. Some recent longitudinal research indicates that emotional problems such as worries, depression and anxiety in childhood (5, 13) are related to Quality of Life and adaptation into adulthood (14) beyond NSI. Furthermore, having friends, dating a romantic partner, receiving emotional support and generating an independent income are crucial factors in adulthood that increase HRQL in both VP/VLBW and term born adults (2). To overcome the problems of limited statistical power in individual samples and to detect universal factors related to HRQL, future

research may want to combine samples of ELBW or VP/VLBW across countries as successfully demonstrated for other outcomes (15) (16, 17).

Now that we know that HRQL is reduced in VP/VLBW and ELBW and remains lower than in NBW individuals well into adulthood, research should focus on identifying factors that can be modified from birth to adulthood in order to increase HRQL of ELBW and VP/VLBW. Factors providing protection against poor HRQL may lie in the universal application of evidence based peri- and neonatal treatment (18) (19) and in support to improve social skills, social relationships and emotional health from childhood into adulthood. The emerging evidence suggests that we have to look beyond excellent medical care and focus more on the social-emotional care of those born very or extremely preterm.

**Acknowledgement.** I would like to thank Julia Jaekel and Nicole Baumann for their critical reading and feedback on a previous draft of this editorial.

## References

1. Horsman J, Furlong W, Feeny D, Torrance G. The Health Utilities Index (HUI®): concepts, measurement properties and applications. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*. 2003;1:54-.
2. Baumann N, Bartmann P, Wolke D. Health-Related Quality of Life Into Adulthood After Very Preterm Birth. *Pediatrics*. 2016.
3. van Lunenburg A, van der Pal SM, van Dommelen P, van der Pal – de Bruin KM, Bennebroek Gravenhorst J, Verrrips GH. Changes in quality of life into adulthood after very preterm birth and/or very low birth weight in the Netherlands. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*. 2013;11(1):1-8.
4. Verrrips E, Vogels T, Saigal S, al e. Health-related quality of life for extremely low birth weight adolescents in Canada, Germany, and the Netherlands. *PEDIATRICS*. 2008;122(3):556-61.
5. Verrrips GHW, Brouwer L, Vogels T, Taal E, Drossaert CHC, Feeny D, et al. Long term follow-up of health-related quality of life in young adults born very preterm or with a very low birth weight. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2012;10.
6. Zwicker JG, Harris SR. Quality of life of formerly preterm and very low birthweight infants from preschool age to adulthood: A systematic review. *Pediatrics*. 2008;121:e366-e76.

7. Verrips GHW, Stuifbergen MC, den Ouden AL, Bonsel GJ, Gemke RBB, Paneth N, et al. Measuring health status using the Health Utilities Index: Agreement between raters and between modalities of administration. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2001;54(5):475-81.
8. Verrips E, Vogels T, Saigal S, Wolke D, Meyer R, Hoult L, et al. Health-Related Quality of Life for Extremely Low Birth Weight Adolescents in Canada, Germany, and the Netherlands. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(3):556-61.
9. Zeitlin J, Szamotulska K, Drewniak N, Mohangoo AD, Chalmers J, Sakkeus L, et al. Preterm birth time trends in Europe: a study of 19 countries. *BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology*. 2013;120(11):1356-65.
10. Muthén B, Muthén LK. Integrating Person-Centered and Variable-Centered Analyses: Growth Mixture Modeling With Latent Trajectory Classes. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*. 2000;24(6):882-91.
11. Hall J, Wolke D. A comparison of prematurity and small for gestational age as risk factors for age 6–13 year emotional problems. *Early Human Development*. 2012;88(10):797-804.
12. Winsper C, Wolke D. Infant and Toddler Crying, Sleeping and Feeding Problems and Trajectories of Dysregulated Behavior Across Childhood. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*. 2014;42(5), 831-43. .
13. Goodman A, Joyce R, Smith JP. The long shadow cast by childhood physical and mental problems on adult life. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2011;108(15):6032-7.
14. Copeland WE, Wolke D, Shanahan L, Costello E. Adult functional outcomes of common childhood psychiatric problems: A prospective, longitudinal study. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2015;72(9):892-9.
15. Hille E, den Ouden A, Saigal S, Wolke D, Lambert M, Whitaker A, et al. Behavioural problems in children who weigh 1000 g or less at birth in four countries. *Lancet*. 2001;357:1641 - 3.
16. Wolke D, Baumann N, Strauss V, Johnson S, Marlow N. Bullying of Preterm Children and Emotional Problems at School Age: Cross-Culturally Invariant Effects. *The Journal of Pediatrics*. (0).
17. Wolke D, Strauss VY-C, Johnson S, Gilmore C, Marlow N, Jaekel J. Universal Gestational Age Effects on Cognitive and Basic Mathematic Processing: 2 Cohorts in 2 Countries. *The Journal of Pediatrics*. 2015;166(6):1410-6.e2.
18. Zeitlin J, Manktelow BN, Piedvache A, Cuttini M, Boyle E, van Heijst A, et al. Use of evidence based practices to improve survival without severe morbidity for very preterm infants: results from the EPICE population based cohort. *Bmj*. 2016;354:i2976.
19. Roberts G, Burnett AC, Lee KJ, Cheong J, Wood SJ, Anderson PJ, et al. Quality of Life at Age 18 Years after Extremely Preterm Birth in the Post-Surfactant Era. *The Journal of Pediatrics*. 2013;163(4):1008-13.e1.

Table 1. Health Related Quality of Life in longitudinal Studies of ELBW or VP/VLBW with 2 or more assessments from Adolescence into Adulthood

Study Country	<i>Maximum N</i>	Adolescence (12-16 years)	Early Adulthood (19-26 years)	Adulthood (>26 years)
		<i>M 95% CI</i>	<i>M 95% CI</i>	<i>M 95% CI</i>
<b>Canada (in this Journal)</b>				
ELBW without NSI	116	0.83 (0.79; 0.87)	0.83 (0.78; 0.87)	0.77 (0.70; 0.83)
ELBW with NSI	37			
ALL ELBW <sup>a</sup>	153	0.68 (0.58; 0.78)	0.65 (0.56; 0.75)	0.60 (0.50; 0.70)
NBW	137	0.79	0.79	0.73
		0.88 (0.86; 0.91)	0.89 (0.86; 0.92)	0.85 (0.81; 0.90)
<b>Germany <sup>2</sup></b>				
VP/VLBW (self-reported)	190	0.86 (0.83; 0.88)	0.86 (0.83; 0.88)	
ALL VP/VLBW <sup>b</sup>	203			
NBW controls	201	0.82 (0.79; 0.86)	0.82 (0.79; 0.86)	
		0.88 (0.86; 0.90)	0.89 (0.87; 0.91)	
<b>Netherlands <sup>3</sup></b>				
VP/VLBW assessed	314		0.89 <sup>c</sup> (SD 0.16)	0.88 <sup>c</sup> (SD 0.22)
ALL VP/VLBW (imputed)	957			
Adolescents VP/VLBW assessed <sup>5</sup>	630	0.87 <sup>c</sup> (SD 0.18)	0.83 <sup>c</sup> (SD 0.22)	0.85 <sup>c</sup> (SD 0.20)

*ELBW: Extremely low birth weight (< 1000g); VP/VLBW very preterm (< 32 weeks gestation) or very low birth weight (< 1500g)*

<sup>a</sup> *weighted mean computed from ELBW with and without NSI. 95% CI could not be computed.*

<sup>b</sup> *Includes all VP/VLBW who self-reported and 13 proxy reports by parents as participant was too disabled to complete HUI 3. Differs from numbers in original publication<sup>2</sup> where these were added to parents' ratings of HRQL.*

<sup>c</sup> *only standard deviation reported in original report (SD)*



