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#### Abstract

We examined the effect of heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise on the rate of adjustment of pulmonary $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake ( $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) initiated from elevated intensities. Fourteen men (separated into two groups: $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}} \leq 25 \mathrm{~s}$ [Fast] or $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}>25 \mathrm{~s}$ [Slow]) completed step-transitions from 20 W -to45\%lactate threshold (LT; lower-step, LS) and 45\%-to-90\%LT (upper-step, US) performed (i) without; and (ii) with US preceded by heavy-intensity exercise (HUS). Breath-by-breath $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and near-infrared spectroscopy-derived muscle deoxygenation ([ $\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ ) were measured. Compared to LS, $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was greater ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in US in both Fast (LS, $19 \pm 4 \mathrm{~s}$; US, $30 \pm 4 \mathrm{~s}$ ) and Slow (LS, $25 \pm 5 \mathrm{~s}$; US, $40 \pm 11 \mathrm{~s}$ ) with $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in US being lower ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in Fast. In HUS, $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in Slow was reduced $(28 \pm 8 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p}<0.05)$ and was not different $(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ from LS or Fast group US. In Slow, $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ increased $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ in US relative to HUS; this finding coupled with a reduced $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ indicates a priming-induced improvement in matching of muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery-to$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization during transitions from elevated intensities in those with Slow but not Fast $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics.
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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction

When exercise transitions are initiated from a higher compared to lower baseline metabolic rate within the moderate-intensity exercise domain (i.e., intensities that do not engender appreciable lactate accumulation), the "fundamental" (phase II) component of the pulmonary $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake ( $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) response (reflecting the dynamic adjustment of muscle $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) adjusts more slowly (greater phase II $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ time constant, $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) and with a greater $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ gain (larger $\Delta \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}} / \Delta \mathrm{WR}$ ) than when the same transition is initiated from a lower baseline (Bowen et al., 2011; Brittain et al., 2001; Hughson and Morrissey, 1982; Keir et al., 2016a, 2016b, 2014; MacPhee et al., 2005; Williams et al., 2013). These responses have been attributed to starting the exercise from a less favourable intramuscular 'energetic state' consequent to the elevated level of muscle metabolism (Bowen et al., 2011; Grassi et al., 2011; Meyer and Foley, 1996; Wüst et al., 2014), to slowed adjustments in convective muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery to support oxidative metabolism (Hughson and Morrissey, 1982; MacPhee et al., 2005), and to recruitment of motor units which are positioned higher in the muscle recruitment hierarchy and that are comprised of muscle fibre pools having lower metabolic efficiency and slower dynamic adjustment characteristics (Brittain et al., 2001; Wilkerson and Jones, 2006).

In individuals presenting with slower $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics (i.e., $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}} \geq 20$ s) within the moderate-intensity domain, prior heavy exercise was shown to have a 'priming effect' on the $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response with kinetics becoming faster (i.e., a lower phase II $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) in the 'primed' compared to the 'unprimed' condition (DeLorey et al., 2007; Gurd et al., 2006, 2005; Murias et al., 2011; Spencer et al., 2013, 2012). Also, the 'speeding' was greater in individuals presenting with slower $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}^{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics in the 'unprimed' condition (Gurd et al., 2009, 2006, 2005; Murias et al., 2014, 2011). This effect has been linked to priming-induced: i) acute improvements in the
coordination of microvascular blood flow and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery (Murias et al., 2011; Spencer et al., 2012); ii) acute reductions in the activation time for oxidative phosphorylation (Behnke et al., 2002; Korzeniewski and Rossiter, 2015) through activation of rate limiting enzymes and greater delivery of oxidative substrate to mitochondria (Gurd et al., 2006; Howlett et al., 1999; Timmons et al., 1998); and iii) a combination of both mechanisms (Gurd et al., 2005).

Few studies have examined the effects of heavy-intensity priming exercise on phase II $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics during transitions from elevated baselines. In those studies, prior exercise was demonstrated to be ineffective at reducing phase II $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ during 'work-to-work' transitions (DiMenna et al., 2008; DiMenna et al., 2009; DiMenna et al., 2010b), suggesting that limitations in local muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability are unlikely to contribute to the response. However, in these studies transitions were from moderate-intensity baselines into either the heavy- or severeintensity exercise domains where $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ responses (and likely regulation of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) are markedly different from responses confined within the moderate-intensity domain (Poole et al., 2008). Our group has demonstrated that in individuals with relatively slow $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics, a prior bout of heavy-intensity exercise results in faster $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics during the subsequent transition to moderate-intensity exercise (Gurd et al., 2005; Murias et al., 2011; Scheuermann et al., 2002). Therefore, it remains uncertain as to what effect a heavy-intensity priming intervention may have on $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics when transitioning from elevated baselines within the moderate-intensity domain - particularly in individuals having slow $\dot{\mathrm{VO}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics.

Recently, Williams et al., (2013) reported that four weeks of high-intensity interval training caused a reduction in $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (relative to pre-training measures) in both the lower- (LS: from 24 s to 14 s ) and upper-step (US: from 45 s to 25 s ) of a moderate-intensity 'double-step' protocol. The relative speeding of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics was accompanied by an unchanged rate of
adjustment in muscle deoxygenation ( $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$; derived using near-infrared spectroscopy) in both LS and US (compared to pre-training measures) and that the $\Delta[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}] / \Delta \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ratio 'overshoot' (reflecting a transient decrease in microvascular blood flow-to-muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization and increased $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction) was eliminated in US. Despite the apparent rectification of any muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery limitations in US, $\dot{\mathrm{VO}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics remained slower than LS suggesting that the fundamental cause of slower $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics may not be related to limitations in regional $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery.

In light of these observations, we examined the effects of heavy-intensity priming exercise on the $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ responses to transitions from elevated baseline intensities constrained within the moderate-intensity domain whilst considering individuals with both faster and slower $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{\text {2p }}$ kinetics. Such an experimental design could serve to elucidate the mechanisms contributing to: i) the slowing of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics with transitions from elevated baseline intensities; and ii) the speeding of moderate-intensity on-transient kinetics with heavy intensity priming exercise. We hypothesized that: 1) $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ would be greater in US vs LS in both the faster and slower groups; 2) heavy-intensity priming exercise would lead to a reduction in $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ of US (relative to unprimed control) in the slower, but not fast group; 3) the speeding of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics in US in the slower group would be accompanied by a slowing in the kinetics of $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$.

## 2. Methods

2.1 Participants. Fourteen healthy young men (age: $25 \pm 2 \mathrm{yr} ; \dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \text { peak }}: 49.5 \pm 5.3 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-}$ ${ }^{1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ ) volunteered and provided written informed consent to participate in this study. All procedures were approved by The University of Western Ontario Research Ethics Board for Health Sciences Research involving Human Subjects. Participants were recreationally
active non-smokers, who had no known cardiovascular, respiratory, metabolic or musculoskeletal disease and who were not taking any medications that might affect cardiorespiratory and hemodynamic responses to exercise. Participants were instructed not to consume food or caffeine two hours prior to visits to the laboratory for data collection and to avoid exercise 24 hours prior to testing.
2.2 Preliminary Testing. Each participant performed a ramp incremental exercise test (20-25 W/min) to the limit of tolerance on a cycle ergometer (model: H-300-R Lode; Lode B.V., Groningen, The Netherlands) for determination of peak $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \text { peak }}\right)$ and estimated lactate threshold ( $\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ); the ramp portion of the protocol was initiated after 4 min of baseline cycling at 20 W . Participants were asked to maintain a cycling cadence between $60-70 \mathrm{rpm}$. The $\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ was estimated by visual inspection using a combination of standard gas exchange and ventilatory measures as previously described (Beaver et al., 1986). Each participant was assigned work rates (WR) corresponding to the $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ associated with: i) $\sim 90 \% \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ (WR90); ii) $50 \%$ of the difference between 20 W and WR90 (WR50); and iii) $\Delta 50 \%$ (i.e., WR corresponding to $\sim 50 \%$ of the difference between $\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{\text {2peak }}$ ).
2.3 Experimental Protocol. Three separate experimental protocols were performed by each participant. Each exercise protocol began with 6 min of baseline cycling at 20 W after which distinct series of step-changes in WR were performed as follows (Fig.1): Protocol A) two step-changes in WR to $90 \% \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ (i.e., WR90) $\left(\mathrm{MOD}_{1}, \mathrm{MOD}_{2}\right)$ each lasting 6 min , separated by a 6 min bout of heavy-intensity exercise at a WR corresponding to $\Delta 50 \%$, as previously described (Scheuermann et al., 2002); Protocol B) two equal step-changes in WR performed in series from $20 \mathrm{~W} \rightarrow$ WR50 (LS) and from WR50 $\rightarrow$ WR90 (US), each lasting 6 min ; Protocol C) two equal step-changes in WR performed in series from $20 \mathrm{~W} \rightarrow$ WR50 (LS) and from WR50 $\rightarrow$ WR90
(HUS), each lasting 6 min , but separated by a 6 min bout of heavy-intensity exercise at $\Delta 50 \%$, and a subsequent 6 min bout at the previous workload (LS: $20 \mathrm{~W} \rightarrow \mathrm{WR} 50$, WR50 $\rightarrow \Delta 50 \%$, $\Delta 50 \% \rightarrow$ WR50; HUS: WR50 $\rightarrow 90 \% \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ). During all trials, participants maintained a cadence of $\sim 70 \mathrm{rpm}$. Each participant completed 3-6 repeats of each protocol in a randomized order. The larger amplitude of the $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response due to a larger increase in WR resulted in each participant completing 3 repeats of Protocol A. In order to ensure a high signal-to-noise ratio for a protocol utilizing smaller $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ amplitude, 6 repeats were performed for Protocols B and C. In all cases, only one exercise trial was performed per visit.

### 2.4 Data Collection

During each trial participants wore a noseclip and breathed through a mouthpiece for breath-by-breath gas-exchange measurement. Inspired and expired volumes and flow rates were measured using a low dead space ( 90 mL ) bidirectional turbine (Alpha Technologies, VMM 110) and pneumotach (Hans Rudolph, Model 4813) positioned in series from the mouthpiece (total apparatus dead space was 150 mL ); respired air was sampled continuously at the mouth and analysed by mass spectrometry (Innovision, AMIS 2000, Lindvedvej, Denmark) for fractional concentrations of $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The volume turbine was calibrated before each test using a syringe of known volume ( 3 L ) over a range of flow rates and the pneumotach was adjusted for zero flow. Gas concentrations were calibrated with precision-analyzed gas mixtures. The time delay between an instantaneous, square-wave change in fractional gas concentration at the sampling inlet and its detection by the mass spectrometer was measured electronically by computer. Respiratory volumes, flows, and gas concentrations were recorded in real-time used to build a profile of each breath. Alveolar gas exchange was calculated on a breath-by-breath basis using the algorithms of Swanson (1980).
2.5 Near-infrared spectroscopy. Local muscle deoxygenation $([\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}])$ of the vastus lateralis muscle was monitored continuously with a frequency-domain multi-distance nearinfrared spectroscopy (NIRS) system (Oxiplex TS, Model 95205, ISS, Champaign, IL, USA) as described elsewhere (Spencer et al., 2012). The probe was placed on the belly of the muscle, midway between the lateral epicondyle and greater trochanter of the femur; it was secured in place with an elastic strap and bandage tightened to prevent movement and covered with an optically-dense, black vinyl sheet, thus minimizing the intrusion of extraneous light and loss of NIR light. The NIRS measurements were collected continuously for the entire duration of each trial. Briefly, the system comprised a single channel of eight laser diodes operating at two wavelengths ( $\lambda=690$ and 828 nm , four at each wavelength) pulsed in a rapid succession (110 MHz ) and a photomultiplier tube. The lightweight plastic NIRS probe (connected to laser diodes and photomultiplier tube by optical fibers) consisted of two parallel rows of light emitter fibers and one detector fiber bundle; the source-detector separations for this probe were 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, and 3.5 cm for both wavelengths.

The NIRS was calibrated in accordance with manufacturer guidelines at the beginning of each testing session following an instrument warm-up period of at least 20 min . Calculation of $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ reflected continuous measurements of a reduced scattering coefficient $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ made throughout each testing session (i.e., constant scattering value not assumed). To improve the signal-to-noise ratio a moving average was applied to the NIRS signal with a measurement averaging period of 1000 ms and a scatter averaging period of $50,000 \mathrm{~ms}$. Data were stored online at an output frequency of 25 Hz , but were reduced to 1 s bins for all subsequent analyses.
2.6 Data Analysis

Breath-by-breath $\dot{\mathrm{VO}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ data were edited by removing data that lay outside 3 SD of the local mean (Lamarra et al., 1987). The remaining data were interpolated to 1 s intervals, and time-aligned such that time "zero" represented the initiation of the step-increase in WR. The remaining data were linearly interpolated on a second-by-second basis, using the protocol where values removed by editing were replaced by data joined by straight-line segments (refer to Keir et al., 2014). Like-trials were ensemble-averaged and further averaged into 5 s time bins. The ontransient responses for $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ were modelled using the following exponential equation:

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{(\mathrm{t})}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{BSL}}+\mathrm{A}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{TD}) / \tau}\right) \quad \quad \text { Equation } 1
$$

where $\mathrm{Y}_{(\mathrm{t})}$ represents the value of the dependent variable at any given time $(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{Y}_{\text {BSL }}$ is the steadystate baseline value of Y before an increase in WR (given as the average Y value in the 60 s period immediately prior to a transition); A is the amplitude of the increase in Y above $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{BSL}} ; \tau$ is the time constant representing the time to attain $63 \%$ of the steady-state amplitude; and TD represents the mathematically generated time delay at which the exponential model is predicted to intersect $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {BSL }}$. The functional gain (G) of the phase II $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response was calculated as $\Delta \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { pss }} / \Delta \mathrm{WR}\left(\mathrm{ml} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~W}^{-1}\right)$, where $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{\text {2pss }}$ is steady-state increase in $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ above baseline and $\Delta \mathrm{WR}$ is the change in WR (in W). Data were modelled from the phase I-phase II transition to the end of the 6 min exercise transition using non-linear least-squares regression (Origin 8.5; OriginLab, Northampton, MA). The $95 \%$ confidence interval $\left(\mathrm{CI}_{95}\right)$ for the estimated time constant was determined after preliminary fit of the data with $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {BSL }}$, A and TD constrained to the best-fit values and the $\tau$ allowed to vary. Phase I was excluded from the fitting window by progressively moving the window (from $\sim 35 \mathrm{~s}$ ) back towards time zero while examining the flatness of the residual profile and values of $\mathrm{CI}_{95}$ [where $\mathrm{CI}_{95}$ is equal to the SE (derived from the
sum of squared residuals from the model parameter estimates) multiplied by the $t$-distribution value for the $2.5 \%$ two-tailed dimensions]. The window that yielded the flattest residuals (visual inspection) and most reduced $\mathrm{CI}_{95}$ was considered as the mono-exponential region (Rossiter et al., 2001); note that there are other methods that the influence of phase I may be avoided (Murias et al., 2011b). The mean response time $\left(\mathrm{MRT}-\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)$ of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was characterized from a fit of the $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response from $\mathrm{t}=0$ to the end of the exercise. The NIRS-derived $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ profiles were time-aligned and ensemble-averaged into 5 s bins to yield a single response time for each subject. The time-course of adjustment for the $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ profile has been previously described as consisting of a time delay at the onset of exercise, with a subsequent "exponential-like" increase in the signal with time of exercise (DeLorey et al., 2003). The time delay for the $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ response (TD-[HHb+Mb]) was determined visually using second-by-second data and corresponded to the time, after the onset of exercise, at which the $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ signal increased above 1 SD of the pre-transition baseline value. Determination of the $\mathrm{TD}-[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ was made on individual response profiles and averaged over the number of trial repeats for that individual. The ensemble-averaged $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ responses were modeled from $\mathrm{TD}-[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ with a monoexponential function of the form in Eq. 1 to determine the time course of muscle $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}](\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}])$. Baseline $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]\left([\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\mathrm{BSL}}\right)$ values were fixed as the mean value in the 60 s period leading up to a transition; similar to $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ described previously. Whereas the $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ describes the time course for the increase in $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$, the effective time constant, or MRT, of $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}](\mathrm{MRT}-[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]=\mathrm{TD}-[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]+\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}])$ described the overall time course of the $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ from the onset of each step transition. The $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ at the end of each step $\left([\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\text {end-step }}\right)$ was computed from the average of the last 60 s of each step transition.
2.7 Statistics

Values are presented as mean $\pm$ SD. Parameter estimates for $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and NIRS-derived $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ data using a two-way (Group x Condition) repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVA) to determine statistical significance for the dependent variables. When interactions were identified, Tukey's post-hoc analysis was used. Pearson product moment correlation coefficients were used to determine the degree of association amongst key variables.

All statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot 11 (Systat, California, USA). Statistical significance was accepted at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## 3. Results

By design, participants were separated into two groups based on $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics from MOD1: $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}<25 \mathrm{~s}$ (Fast group; $\mathrm{n}=6 ; \tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ range: $19 \mathrm{~s}-24 \mathrm{~s}$ ) and $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}>25 \mathrm{~s}$ (Slow group; $\mathrm{n}=8 ; \tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ range: $26 \mathrm{~s}-48 \mathrm{~s}$ ). The two groups were not different for $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{\text {2peak }}$ (Fast, 4.04 $\pm 0.21 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; Slow, $3.93 \pm 0.37 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ), $\mathrm{WR}_{\text {peak }}($ Fast, $345 \pm 17 \mathrm{~W}$; Slow, $331 \pm 12 \mathrm{~W}$ ), and $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and WR associated with $\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ (Fast, $2.17 \pm 0.32 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $131 \pm 34 \mathrm{~W}$, respectively; Slow, $2.19 \pm 0.27 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $130 \pm 27 \mathrm{~W}$, respectively). As such, the WRs corresponding to WR50 (i.e., WR used for LS), WR90 (i.e., WR used for MOD, US, and HUS) and $\Delta 50 \%$ were not different between the Fast (WR50, $75 \pm 17 \mathrm{~W}$; WR90, $131 \pm 34 \mathrm{~W} ; \Delta 50 \%, 232 \pm 34 \mathrm{~W}$ ) and Slow groups (WR50, $75 \pm 13 \mathrm{~W} ;$ WR90, $130 \pm 27 \mathrm{~W} ; \Delta 50 \%, 231 \pm 30 \mathrm{~W}$ ). The steady-state $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { pss }}$ associated with all moderate-intensity WRs did not exceed the $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ corresponding to $\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ in any of the participants.
$3.1 \dot{V} O_{2 p}$ kinetics
3.1.1 MOD1-MOD2 Transition. The $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetic parameter estimates are displayed in Table 1. By design, $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in MOD1 was lower ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in Fast ( $21 \pm 2$ s) compared to Slow
( $32 \pm 7$ s). After heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise there was no change in $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in Fast but in Slow $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ was reduced (to $24 \pm 2 \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) and not different from Fast MOD1 and MOD2. The reduction $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ between MOD1 and MOD2 was positively correlated $(\mathrm{r}=0.76, \mathrm{p}<0.05)$ with the initial $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in MOD1 (Figure 2A).
3.1.2 LS-US Transition. The parameter estimates for the on-transient $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ responses and the group mean ensemble-averaged $\dot{\mathrm{VO}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ profiles to LS-US for the Fast and Slow groups are presented in Table 2 and Figure 3 (A, B), respectively. The transition to US was initiated from an elevated $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { pbsl }}$ and despite identical $\Delta \mathrm{WR}$ for LS and US, both $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and G were greater in US compared to LS in both groups ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).
3.1.3 LS-HUS Transition. The parameter estimates for the on-transient $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ responses and the group mean ensemble-averaged $\dot{\mathrm{VO}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ profiles to LS-HUS for the Fast and Slow groups are presented in Table 2 and Figure 3 (C, D), respectively. The LS to HUS transition consisted of two equal step-changes in WR performed in series from $20 \mathrm{~W} \rightarrow$ WR50 (LS) and from WR50 $\rightarrow$ WR90 (HUS), each lasting 6 min , but separated by a 6 min bout of heavy-intensity exercise at $\Delta 50 \%$ and a subsequent 6 min bout at the previous workload. A Student's t-test confirmed that there were no differences in both $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and NIRS -derived parameter estimates for LS from both protocols B and C (p<0.05), therefore these data were averaged into a single value (LS) for all subsequent comparisons

In the Fast group, after 'priming' exercise, $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ remained greater ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in HUS (30 $\pm 5 \mathrm{~s})$ than in LS $(19 \pm 4 \mathrm{~s})$ but was not different from US $(30 \pm 4 \mathrm{~s})$. However, in the Slow group, 'priming' exercise resulted in a speeding of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics such that the $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in HUS (28 $\pm 8 \mathrm{~s})$ was not different to $\mathrm{LS}(21 \pm 5 \mathrm{~s})$ and less than the $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in $\mathrm{US}(40 \pm 11 \mathrm{~s})(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$. The reduction in $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ between HUS and US was linearly correlated with $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in the 'unprimed'

US condition ( $\mathrm{r}=0.73$; $\mathrm{p}<0.05$; Figure 2B). In both the Fast and Slow groups, after the heavyintensity 'priming' exercise, the G in HUS was not different to LS but lower than in US ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).

## $3.2[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ Kinetics

3.2.1 MOD1-MOD2 Transition. The parameter estimates for muscle $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ kinetics are presented in Table 1. There were no differences in any $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ kinetic parameters between the Fast and Slow groups during MOD1 (Table 1). In both groups, the $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\text {bsl }}$ was lower $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ but the $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\text {amp }}$ was greater $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ in MOD2 than in MOD1. Also, in both groups, $\mathrm{TD}-[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ was shorter $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ and the $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ was greater $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ in MOD2 than in MOD1, and as a consequence the overall $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ was not different in the Fast group in MOD1 and MOD2 but was greater ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in MOD2 in the Slow group (Table 1).
3.2.2 LS-US Transition. The group mean $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ kinetic parameter estimates and group mean profiles for the LS-US transition are displayed in Table 3 and Figure 4A. For both groups, the $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\text {bsl }}$ was elevated $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ and the $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\text {amp }}$ was not different in US compared to LS. Also, in both groups, a shorter $\mathrm{TD}-[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}](\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ and greater $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}](\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ in US than in LS resulted in a not different overall $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ in US and LS. However, while the $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ and $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ were not different for both groups in LS , during US the $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$, but not $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$, was shorter $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ in the Slow than in the Fast group (Table 3).
3.2.3 LS-HUS Transition. In both groups, TD-[HHb+Mb] was shorter ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) and $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ was greater $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ in HUS than in LS (Table 3). $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ in HUS and US were not different in the Fast group, but in the Slow group $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ was greater $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ in HUS than in US (Table 3). Also, in the Fast group, the overall $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ was not different in

HUS, LS and US, but in the Slow group, $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ was greater ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in HUS compared to both LS and US, and was greater ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) than the Fast group in HUS but not US.

## 4. Discussion

In this study, the effect of heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise on $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and muscle deoxygenation kinetics was examined in response to moderate-intensity step-transitions initiated from a raised baseline WR in individuals with slow, compared to fast, $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics. Young adults (mean age, 25 yrs ) were grouped according to whether they expressed slower ( $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}>25$ s) or faster $\left(\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}<25 \mathrm{~s}\right) \dot{\mathrm{VO}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics based on a preliminary step-transition to a WR corresponding to $\sim 90 \% \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ (WR90). Consistent with previous studies (Bowen et al., 2011; Brittain et al., 2001; Keir et al., 2016b, 2014; MacPhee et al., 2005; Williams et al., 2013) all participants in both the Fast and Slow groups demonstrated a greater phase II $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and greater G when step-transitions of similar $\Delta \mathrm{WR}$ were initiated from a high (US) compared to a lower (LS) baseline intensity, each within the moderate-intensity domain. The novel finding was that heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise was effective in reducing $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (i.e., speeding $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics) in the Slow group, but not the Fast group, during exercise on-transitions from low (MOD1 $\rightarrow$ MOD2: Slow, $32 \mathrm{~s} \rightarrow 24 \mathrm{~s}$; Fast, $21 \mathrm{~s} \rightarrow 21 \mathrm{~s}$ ) and elevated baseline WRs (US $\rightarrow$ HUS: Slow, 40 $\mathrm{s} \rightarrow 28 \mathrm{~s}$; Fast, $30 \mathrm{~s} \rightarrow 30 \mathrm{~s}$ ). The speeding of $\mathrm{VO}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics after 'priming' exercise in the Slow group was accompanied by a slowing of $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ kinetics (longer $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ ) suggesting that the dynamics of muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization were enhanced consequent to improved muscle perfusion which reduces the reliance on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction during the early transition to exercise

These findings suggest that for the Slow group, but not the Fast group, any limitation imposed on the adjustment of muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization during US was overcome consequent to a
bout of heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise. Furthermore, after 'priming' exercise, the $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics in US were not different from LS for the Slow group.

That $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics were faster in LS compared to US is consistent with other studies that examined "multi-step" exercise within the moderate-intensity domain (Bowen et al., 2011; Brittain et al., 2001; Keir et al., 2016b, 2014; MacPhee et al., 2005; Williams et al., 2013). Furthermore, the finding that heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise was associated with a greater reduction in $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ during subsequent moderate-intensity exercise in those individuals having "slower" compared to "faster" $\mathrm{VO}_{2 p}$ kinetics also is consistent with previous findings (Chin et al., 2010; Gurd et al., 2009, 2006, 2005; Murias et al., 2011). Therefore, this discussion will focus on the novel finding: a bout of heavy-intensity priming exercise is effective at speeding $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics of moderate-intensity work-to-work transitions in those with slow but not fast $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics.

In the present study, a bout of heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise resulted in a speeding of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics in HUS in those with slow ( $>25 \mathrm{~s}$ ) $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics. In this group, the 'priming' bout resulted in both faster $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics in $\mathrm{HUS}\left(\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}} \sim 28 \mathrm{~s}\right)$ relative to $\mathrm{US}\left(\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}} \sim 40 \mathrm{~s}\right)$ and an increase in both $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ and $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ in HUS relative to $\mathrm{US}(\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]: 14 \mathrm{vs} 24 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]: 21 \mathrm{vs} 26 \mathrm{~s}$, for US vs HUS, respectively). The faster adjustment of muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization (inferred from the smaller phase II $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) was associated with an earlier onset of muscle deoxygenation (smaller TD-[ $\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ ) but a slower time course of fractional $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ extraction (larger $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ and $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ ) suggesting that muscle microvascular perfusion likely was enhanced in US after 'priming' exercise (a slower rate of deoxygenation in the presence of faster rate of muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization is consistent with a greater $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery). MacPhee et al., (2005) demonstrated that transitions of moderate-intensity knee-extension
exercise initiated from high vs. low baseline metabolic rates were associated with slower kinetics of both $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and femoral (conduit) artery blood flow, as well as a lower steady-state blood flow-to- $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ratio. Heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise could contribute to speeding of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics during a subsequent US exercise bout via i) greater bulk muscle (conduit artery) blood flow and local muscle microvascular blood flow and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery, ii) rightward-shift of the oxyhemoglobin dissociation curve (induced via changes in acidosis, $\mathrm{PCO}_{2}$ and temperature), iii) greater $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ flux from the capillary into muscle consequent to a greater muscle microvascular blood flow-to- $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2}$ ratio facilitating a greater capillary $\mathrm{PO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ driving pressure, iv) improved muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ diffusing capacity related to an increase in functional capillary surface area (i.e., related to a greater capillary red blood cell volume in contact with the muscle membrane), v) greater intracellular $\mathrm{PO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ flux across the mitochondrial membrane, or vi) more rapid activation of rate-limiting oxidative enzymes and/or enhanced delivery of oxidative substrate to the mitochondrial tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and electron transport system (ETS) (Gerbino et al., 1996; Burnley et al., 2000; DiMenna et al., 2010c; Spencer et al., 2012 Gurd et al., 2006; Gurd et al., 2009). Our data suggest that microvascular blood flow distribution may have been improved in HUS and, at least in part, contributed to a speeding of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics during work-towork transitions, but in the Slow group only. However, faster adjustments and flux through metabolic pathways in the Slow, but not the Fast, group cannot be discounted. Alterations of microvascular blood flow distribution between the Slow and Fast groups may accompany our observation that young, healthy individuals can present with a broad range of initial $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values, in agreement with previous findings (Murias et al., 2011; Nederveen et al., 2014). Although an inverse association between $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{\text {2peak }}$ has been reported previously (Chillibeck et al., 1996; Gurd et al., 2005; Murias et al., 2011), in the present study no
relationship was observed between fitness $\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}{ }_{2 \text { peak }}\right)$ and $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}(-0.43, \mathrm{p}>0.05)$ - in fact, some individuals presenting with the highest $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { peak }}$ (e.g., $56 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ) were in the Slow group (i.e., $\left.\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}=39 \mathrm{~s}\right)$. Findings by Wust et al. (2013) suggest that the $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ rate constant $(\mathrm{k}=1 / \tau)$ in single muscle cells is linearly correlated with the cellular $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \text { max }}$, a finding that is reflected at the whole body level across multiple species ranging widely in $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { max }}$ (reviewed by Poole and Jones, 2012). In the present study, we did not find a significant relationship between k and $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{R}=0.362, \mathrm{p}>0.05)$, perhaps as a consequence of the relatively narrow ranges for both $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{\text {max }}$ and $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (and thus k). Although not a focus of the present study, the lack of relationship between measures of fitness and $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics (as assessed by the tau or k ) may not be surprising, leading one to speculate that the speed of adjustment of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ might be an important independent predictor of health, fitness and tolerance for exercise and daily activities (Rossiter, 2011).

We make the observation that while the Slow group exhibited faster $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics following 'priming' exercise, $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics were not affected in the Fast group - this despite the $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ being $\sim 60 \%$ greater in US than in LS. Furthermore, in Fast, $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ kinetics were not different between US and HUS. Taken together, it appears that any priming-induced increase in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery may contribute (but not completely eliminate) the slower $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics in the HUS in the Slow group, but have no effect on HUS $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics in Fast. However, there appears to a limit to these improvements in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery in Slow ( $\sim 28 \mathrm{~s} \tau \dot{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) following priming, such that they are similar to observed in Fast $\left(\sim 30 \mathrm{~s} \tau \dot{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)$. Therefore, regardless of whether or not individuals were sped following a priming bout of exercise, these data suggest that there may be mediators other than $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery that limit the adjustment to mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and contribute to slowed $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ when exercise transitions are initiated from an
elevated metabolic rate. A raised metabolic rate in muscle is associated with a disruption to the metabolic "stability" within the active muscle (i.e., increased muscle $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right],\left[\mathrm{ADP}_{\text {free }}\right]$, $\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]$, $\left[\mathrm{AMP}_{\text {free }}\right],\left[\mathrm{IMP}_{\text {free }}\right]$, and reduction in $[\mathrm{PCr}],[\mathrm{ATP}]$, and less negative $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {ATP }}$ ), and presumably would occur in individuals regardless of whether their initial $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ could be considered fast or slow. Collectively, a greater perturbation of the metabolic environment prior to the onset of exercise transitions, in part, may be responsible for greater $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ elicited during transitions from elevated levels of metabolism.

Alternatively, transitions from elevated baseline metabolic rates recruits additional motor units, and thus the slower $\mathrm{V}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics and greater G may reflect the metabolic and contractile characteristics of the newly recruited muscle fibres (Brittain et al., 2001; Wilkerson and Jones 2006; Keir et al., 2016a, Keir et al., 2016b). In this scenario, according to Henneman’s size principle of motor unit recruitment, muscle fibres associated with low threshold motor units, possessing lower $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and G, would be recruited preferentially with exercise transitions initiated for a lower baseline WRs (e.g., LS) with muscle fibres having greater $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and G characteristics being recruited from transitions initiated from higher baseline WRs (e.g., as in US and HUS) (Rossiter, 2011). Also, higher order muscle units may have metabolic profiles that are less oxidative and which are perfused by vascular units having lower and slower contractioninduced hyperemic responses (Behnke et al., 2003; Ferreira et al., 2006; McDonough et al., 2005), and are be associated with slower $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics in both human (Barstow et al., 1996; Pringle et al., 2003) and animal (Crow and Kushmerick, 1982; Wüst et al., 2013) models. Therefore, 'priming' exercise should be most effective in situations where $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics are slowed because of inadequate muscle $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ availability.

In conclusion, we showed that a bout of heavy-intensity 'priming' exercise was associated with a speeding of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics (smaller $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ ) in individuals presenting with slower (Slow, $\tau \dot{\mathrm{VO}}{ }_{2 \mathrm{p}}>25 \mathrm{~s}$ ), but not faster (Fast, $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}<25 \mathrm{~s}$ ), $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetics during steptransitions into the moderate-intensity domain initiated from an elevated baseline metabolic rate. This reduction in $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ subsequent to the 'priming' exercise also was associated with a slower rate of muscle deoxygenation (i.e., increased $\tau$ - and $\tau^{\prime}$ - $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ ) in the Slow, but not the Fast, group suggesting that improved microvascular $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery and distribution within the active muscle fibers during the exercise on-transient may have contributed to the faster adjustment of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$. However, in the Fast group microvascular $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ delivery appears not to limit muscle $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ kinetics.
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## Figure Captions

Figure 1. Schematic of three experimental exercise protocols. Left panel: MOD1 - Hvy MOD2 protocol; MOD, moderate-intensity exercise ( $\sim 90 \% \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ), Hvy, heavy-intensity "priming" exercise. Middle panel: LS - US protocol; LS ( $\sim 45 \% \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ), US ( $\sim 90 \% \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ). Right panel: LS Hvy - HUS protocol; LS ( $\sim 45 \% \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ), Hvy, HUS ( $\sim 90 \% \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ). By design, work rates at both LS and US/HUS were identical for participants.

Figure 2. Panel (A); relationship between the changes ( $\Delta$ ) in $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ from MOD1 to MOD2 and initial MOD1 $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$. Panel (B); relationship between the changes $(\Delta)$ in $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ from US to HUS and initial US $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$. Open circles denote individual data, filled square denotes group mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ ). Dashed diagonal line on each graph represents the line of best fit.

Figure 3. Ensemble average group mean responses ( $\sim 5$ s average, open circles) for $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ in response to experimental conditions. Vertical dashed lines indicate the onset of the work transition. The group mean phase II $\dot{\mathrm{VO}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetic response for each condition are superimposed over the data (black lines, fitted with a mono-exponential function). $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ values $( \pm \mathrm{SD})$ are inset under each transition and residuals are shown about $y=0$. Panel (A) denotes the Slow group ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 8) response to LS-US transitions; Panel (C) denotes the Slow group response to LS-Hvy-HUS transitions. Panel $(B)$ denotes the Fast group $(\mathrm{n}=6)$ response to LS-US transitions; Panel (D) denotes the Fast group response to LS-Hvy-HUS transitions

Figure 4. Ensemble average group mean response for the adjustments of deoxyhemoglobin concentration $([\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}], \mu \mathrm{m})$ in response to experimental conditions. Vertical dashed lines indicate the onset of the work transition. Panel (A) denotes the ensemble average group mean for

Slow group (black line) and for the Fast group (grey line) in response to LS-US transitions. Panel (B) denotes the ensemble average group mean for the Slow group (black line) and for the Fast group (grey line) in response to LS-HUS transitions.

1 Table 1. Kinetic parameter estimates for $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ in Fast and Slow groups during MOD1 and MOD2 2

| Parameter | FAST ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  | SLOW ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MOD1 | MOD2 | MOD1 | MOD2 |
| $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { pbsl }}\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $0.86 \pm 0.07$ | $0.98 \pm 0.09 \dagger$ | $0.87 \pm 0.16$ | $1.05 \pm 0.16 \dagger$ |
| $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{pss}},\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $1.89 \pm 0.42$ | $1.96 \pm 0.41 \dagger$ | $1.88 \pm 0.21$ | $1.97 \pm 0.25 \dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{p}},\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $1.00 \pm 0.39$ | $0.93 \pm 0.39 \dagger$ | $1.00 \pm 0.39$ | $0.93 \pm 0.39 \dagger$ |
| $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (s) | $21 \pm 2$ | $21 \pm 2$ | $32 \pm 7^{*}$ | $24 \pm 2 \dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{95}$ (s) | $5 \pm 2$ | $7 \pm 2$ | $5 \pm 2$ | $6 \pm 2$ |
| $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1} \mathrm{~W}^{-1}\right)$ | $9.3 \pm 1.8$ | $8.8 \pm 1.8 \dagger$ | $9.2 \pm 0.34$ | $8.3 \pm 0.5 \dagger$ |
| [ $\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}$ ] |  |  |  |  |
| $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\text {bsl }}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $23.7 \pm 6.8$ | $21.0 \pm 5.3 \dagger$ | $19.7 \pm 4.9$ | $17.5 \pm 3.85 \dagger$ |
| $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\mathrm{ee}},(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $29.1 \pm 8.7$ | $29.0 \pm 9.0$ | $25.9 \pm 5.1$ | $27.4 \pm 5.0$ |
| $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\text {amp }}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $7.5 \pm 3.6$ | $10.7 \pm 6.0 \dagger$ | $5.4 \pm 2.8$ | $8.9 \pm 4.0 \dagger$ |
| TD-[HHb+Mb] (s) | $8 \pm 2$ | $5 \pm 4 \dagger$ | $8 \pm 3$ | $3 \pm 2 \dagger$ |
| $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ (s) | $11 \pm 3$ | $15 \pm 4 \dagger$ | $9 \pm 3$ | $18 \pm 4 \dagger$ |
| $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ (s) | $19 \pm 3$ | $20 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 4$ | $21 \pm 2 \dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{95}$ (s) | $2 \pm 1$ | $2 \pm 1$ | $3 \pm 1$ | $2 \pm 1$ |

4 Values are mean $\pm \mathrm{SD} . \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, pulmonary $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake; $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{pbss}}$, baseline $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}} ; \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{pss}}$, steady-state $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{p}}$, amplitude of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response; TD,
time delay; $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, time constant for $\dot{\mathrm{V}}{ }_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response; $\mathrm{C}_{95}, 95 \%$ confidence interval for $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$; G, functional gain $\left(\Delta \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}} / \Delta \mathrm{WR}\right)$. [HHb+Mb], deoxyhemoglobin+myoglobin concentration; $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\text {bsl }}$, baseline $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}] ;[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\mathrm{ee}}$, end-exercise $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}] ;[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\text {amp }}$, amplitude of $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$; TD- $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$, time delay of $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}] ; \tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$, time constant for $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ response; $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$, effective time constant ( $\tau+\mathrm{TD}$ ) for $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}] ; \mathrm{C}_{95}, 95 \%$ confidence interval for $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$.

* difference from FAST ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )
$\dagger$ difference from MOD1 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )

Table 2. $\dot{\mathrm{VO}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ kinetic parameters for lower step (LS) and upper steps (US, HUS) moderate-intensity exercise transitions

|  | FAST (n=6) |  |  |  | SLOW (n=8) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | LS | US | HUS | LS | US | HUS |  |
| $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{psl}}\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)}$ | $0.82 \pm 0.09$ | $1.30 \pm 0.25^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.47 \pm 0.24^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.81 \pm 0.10$ | $1.32 \pm 0.17^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.47 \pm 0.14^{\mathrm{a}} \dagger$ |  |
| $\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{2 \mathrm{ps}}\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $1.31 \pm 0.23$ | $1.86 \pm 0.43^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.96 \pm 0.38^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.30 \pm 0.17$ | $1.91 \pm 0.25^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.93 \pm 0.23^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{p}},\left(\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | $0.49 \pm 0.18$ | $0.56 \pm 0.19^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.49 \pm 0.17$ | $0.48 \pm 0.13$ | $0.59 \pm 0.09^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.45 \pm 0.10$ |  |
| $\mathrm{TD}(\mathrm{s})$ | $15 \pm 2$ | $6 \pm 4^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $8 \pm 8$ | $13 \pm 3$ | $6 \pm 6^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $10 \pm 7$ |  |
| $\tau \dot{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}}(\mathrm{s})$ | $19 \pm 4$ | $30 \pm 4^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $30 \pm 5^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $25 \pm 5$ | $40 \pm 11^{\mathrm{a} *}$ | $28 \pm 8 \dagger$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{95}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $7 \pm 2$ | $7 \pm 2$ | $7 \pm 2$ | $7 \pm 1$ | $7 \pm 2$ | $7 \pm 2$ |  |
| $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~W}^{-1}\right)$ | $8.8 \pm 1.4$ | $10.1 \pm 1.1^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $8.8 \pm 0.9 \dagger$ | $8.8 \pm 1.5$ | $10.9 \pm 1.3^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $8.3 \pm 0.6 \dagger$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2} \operatorname{deficit}(\mathrm{~mL})$ | $273 \pm 80$ | $338 \pm 135^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $300 \pm 93 \dagger$ | $300 \pm 53$ | $441 \pm 116^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $288 \pm 101 \dagger$ |  |

Values are means $\pm$ SD. LS, lower step; US, upper step; HUS, upper step following heavy-intensity; $\dot{\mathrm{VO}}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, pulmonary $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake; $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{pbss}}$, baseline $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}} ; \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { pss }}$, steady-state $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{p}}$, amplitude of $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response; TD, time delay; $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$, time constant for $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ response; $\mathrm{C}_{95}, 95 \%$ confidence interval for $\tau \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$; G , functional gain ( $\Delta \dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{p}} / \Delta \mathrm{WR}$ ).
${ }^{a}$ difference from LS (p<0.05)

* difference from the Fast group ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )
$\dagger$ difference from US (p<0.05)

Table 3. Muscle de-oxygenation ([HHb+Mb]) kinetic parameters for lower step (LS) and upper step (US, HUS) moderate-intensity exercise transitions

|  | FAST (n=6) |  |  |  | $\operatorname{SLOW}(\mathrm{n}=8)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | LS | US | HUS | LS | US | HUS |  |
| $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\mathrm{bsl}}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $22.0 \pm 6.1$ | $26.8 \pm 8.5^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $23.8 \pm 6.7^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $19.7 \pm 3.5$ | $22.5 \pm 4.8^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $22.8 \pm 5.2^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\mathrm{ee}}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $26.1 \pm 8.9$ | $31.1 \pm 11.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $29.0 \pm 10.4^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $22.8 \pm 4.7$ | $25.9 \pm 6.2^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $27.8 \pm 8.1^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}_{\mathrm{amp}}(\mu \mathrm{M})\right.$ | $3.9 \pm 2.5$ | $4.2 \pm 2.0$ | $5.5 \pm 3.3^{\mathrm{a}} \dagger$ | $3.1 \pm 1.4$ | $2.9 \pm 1.4$ | $5.0 \pm 2.9^{\mathrm{a} \dagger}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{TD}-[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}](\mathrm{s})$ | $13 \pm 5$ | $5 \pm 3^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $5 \pm 3^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $12 \pm 3$ | $7 \pm 5^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $4 \pm 2^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}](\mathrm{s})$ | $8 \pm 2$ | $21 \pm 5^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $19 \pm 9^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $10 \pm 4$ | $14 \pm 5^{\mathrm{a} *}$ | $24 \pm 7^{\mathrm{a}} \dagger$ |  |
| $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}](\mathrm{s})$ | $21 \pm 3$ | $26 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 9$ | $22 \pm 4$ | $21 \pm 6$ | $28 \pm 8^{\mathrm{a} \dagger}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{95}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $4 \pm 1$ | $4 \pm 1$ | $3 \pm 2$ | $4 \pm 1$ | $4 \pm 2$ | $3 \pm 2$ |  |

Values are means $\pm$ SD. LS, lower step; US upper step; HUS, upper step following heavy-intensity; $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$, deoxyhemoglobin+myoglobin concentration; $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\text {bsl }}$, baseline $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}] ;[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\mathrm{ee}}$, end-exercise $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$; $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]_{\text {amp }}$, amplitude of $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$; TD-[ $\left.\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}\right]$, time delay for $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}] ; \tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$, time constant for $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$ response; $\tau^{\prime}[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$, effective time constant $(\tau+\mathrm{TD})$ for $[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}] ; \mathrm{C}_{95}, 95 \%$ confidence interval for $\tau[\mathrm{HHb}+\mathrm{Mb}]$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ difference from LS ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )

* difference from the Fast group ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )
$\dagger$ difference from US (p<0.05)
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