



This is a repository copy of *Bulb Biopsy in Adult Celiac Disease: Pros Outweigh the Cons?*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/103823/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Kurien, M., Mooney, P.D., Cross, S.S. orcid.org/0000-0003-2044-1754 et al. (1 more author) (2016) *Bulb Biopsy in Adult Celiac Disease: Pros Outweigh the Cons?* *Am J Gastroenterol*, 111 (8). pp. 1205-1206. ISSN 0002-9270

<https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2016.173>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Letter to the Editor

Bulb biopsy in adult celiac disease: pros outweigh the cons?

Matthew Kurien, MD¹

Peter D Mooney, MD¹

Simon S Cross, FRCPath²

David S Sanders, FACG¹

¹Academic Unit of Gastroenterology, Departments of Infection and Immunity and Cardiovascular Science, University of Sheffield, Medical School, Beech Hill Road, Sheffield, South Yorkshire, S10 2RX

² Academic Unit of Pathology, Department of Neuroscience, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry & Health, the University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

Corresponding author: Dr Matthew Kurien, P26, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Glossop Road, Sheffield S10 2JF.

Tel: 01142261179 Email: matthew.kurien@sth.nhs.uk

Keywords: Celiac, Biopsy

Word Count: 267 (excluding title page, references and table)

Dear Editor,

We read the excellent study by the Taavela et al (1) suggesting caution when considering the diagnosis of pediatric celiac disease based on a duodenal bulb biopsy. We completely agree and share their concerns. However, we would like to pose a question: what are the 'big issues' in adult celiac disease? We would suggest that they are delays in diagnosis and under-diagnosis. Both US and UK studies have revealed that 5-13.6% of patients with newly diagnosed celiac disease have had a prior endoscopy where a chance to diagnose celiac disease was missed.(2, 3) By advocating a bulb biopsy the diagnostic rate is increased by approximately 10% (Table 1). Caution is required in the selection of patients who should have this performed - weight loss, anaemia, diarrhea, family history or positive serology, however for routine practice a duodenal bulb biopsy may not be necessary. The Finnish group has shown that all their cases of celiac disease had TG-2 IgA deposits within the bulb biopsy, which we believe further supports the merit of a bulb biopsy.(1) We have historically reported that 100% sensitivity for the detection of celiac disease can only be achieved in the presence of a bulb biopsy and more recently that even in ultra-short celiac disease (bulb only) there are systemic consequences.(4, 5) Surely the crucial next step is to enlist the help of our pathology colleagues by providing them with bulb biopsies in a separate pot to the second part of the duodenum samples? This may improve both interpretation and detection for a group of patients who have significant delays in their diagnosis.

Table 1: Studies evaluating the diagnostic yield of taking duodenal bulb biopsies

Year	Authors	Country	Adults / Pediatrics	Number of patients	Number of celiac disease (%)	Number of USCD (%)
2001	Vogelsang H et al	Austria	Adults	51	21 (41.2%)	2 (9.5%)
2004	Bonamico M et al	Italy	Pediatrics	95	95 (100%)	4 (4.2%)
2005	Brocchi E et al	Italy	Adults	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
2008	Hopper AD et al	UK	Adults	56	56 (100%)	1 (1.8%)
2008	Bonamico M et al	Italy	Pediatrics	1013	665(65.6%)	16 (2.4%)
2009	Rashid M et al	Canada	Pediatrics	35	29 (81.6%)	3 (11.4%)
2010	Weir DC et al	USA	Pediatrics	198	198 (100%)	10 (5.1%)
2010	Mangiavillano B et al	Italy	Pediatrics	47	42 (89.4%)	5 (11.9%)
2010	Gonzalez S et al	USA	Adults	80	40 (50%)	5 (12.5%)
2011	Levinson-Castiel R et al	Israel	Pediatrics	87	87 (100%)	6 (7.0%)
2011	Evans KE et al	UK	Adults	376	126 (33.5%)	11 (9.0%)
2012	Kurien M et al	UK	Adults	77	28 (36.4%)	5 (17.9%)
2013	Sharma A	Australia	Pediatrics	101	101 (100%)	8 (7.9%)
2014	Caruso R et al	Italy	Adults	42	25 (59.5%)	0 (0%)
2016	Stoven SA et al	USA	Adults	679	16 (2.4%)	1 (6.2%)

References

1. Taavela J, Popp A, Korponay-Szabo IR, et al. A Prospective Study on the Usefulness of Duodenal Bulb Biopsies in Celiac Disease Diagnosis in Children: Urging Caution. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2016;111:124-33.
2. Sanders DS, Hurlstone DP, Stokes RO, et al. Changing face of adult coeliac disease: experience of a single university hospital in South Yorkshire. *Postgrad Med J* 2002;78:31-3.
3. Lebwohl B, Bhagat G, Markoff S, et al. Prior endoscopy in patients with newly diagnosed celiac disease: a missed opportunity? *Dig Dis Sci* 2013;58:1293-8.
4. Hopper AD, Cross SS, Sanders DS. Patchy villous atrophy in adult patients with suspected gluten-sensitive enteropathy: is a multiple duodenal biopsy strategy appropriate? *Endoscopy* 2008;40:219-24.
5. Mooney PD, Kurien M, Evans KE, et al. Clinical and Immunologic Features of Ultra-Short Celiac Disease. *Gastroenterology* 2016.