**(accepted J Neurology Neurosurgery Psychiatry; jnnp-2016-314279 )**

# The Long Term Health Outcomes after Exposure to Repeated Concussion in Elite Level Rugby Union Players

**McMillan TM[1], McSkimming P[2], Wainman-Lefley J[1], Maclean LM[1], Hay J[3], McConnachie A[2], Stewart W[3,4]**

[1]Institute for Health & Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Gartnavel Royal Hospital, 1055 Great Western Road, Glasgow. G12 0XH, UK

[2] Robertson Centre for Biostatistics, University of Glasgow

[3]Department of Neuropathology, Laboratory Medicine Building, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow G51 4TF

[4]Institute of Neuroscience and Psychology, University of Glasgow

Acknowledgements: Thanks are due to the Scottish Rugby Union for facilitating this research. Thanks also to Ms K Chung for contributing to data checking. Dr L Maclean and Ms J Hay were part funded by the Sackler Foundation. Ms J Wainman-Lefley was funded by the Chief Scientist Office (DTF/12/13). Dr W Stewart is supported by an NHS Research Scotland Career Researcher Fellowship.

**Abstract**

**Background:** There is continuing concern about effects of concussion in athletes, including risk of the neurodegenerative disease chronic traumatic encephalopathy. However, information on long-term health and wellbeing in former athletes is limited.

**Method:** Outcome after exposure to repeated brain injury was investigated in 52 retired male Scottish international rugby players (RIRP) and 29 male controls who were similar in age and social deprivation. Assessment included history of playing rugby and traumatic brain injury, general and mental health, life stress, concussion symptoms, cognitive function, disability and markers of chronic stress (allostatic load).

**Results:** The estimated number of concussions in RIRP averaged 14 (median=7; IQR 5-40). Performance was poorer in RIRP than controls on a test of verbal learning ($p=0.022$) and of fine co-ordination of the dominant hand ($p=0.038$) and not significantly different on other cognitive tests ($p>0.05$). There were no significant associations between number of concussions and performance on cognitive tests. Other than a higher incidence of cardiovascular disease in controls, no group differences were detected in general or mental health or estimates of allostatic load. In RIRP, persisting symptoms attributed to concussion were more common if reporting more than nine concussions ($p=0.028$), although these symptoms were not perceived to affect social or work functioning.

**Conclusions:** Despite a high number of concussions in RIRP, differences in mental health, social or work functioning were not found late after injury. Subtle group differences were detected on two cognitive tests, the cause of which is uncertain. Prospective group comparison studies on representative cohorts are required.

Wc 250

## Introduction

There is growing concern about persisting consequences of concussion or mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) in sports[1], including the potential for repeated mTBI to lead to long term neurodegenerative changes, specifically chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE)[2,3]. The late consequences of mTBI are reported as a cluster of non-specific complaints that include depression, irritability, poorer concentration and memory, and in some, personality change and more widespread cognitive complaints that can be consistent with mild cognitive impairment or dementia[2,4]. One study reported an association between greater concussion exposure and more frequent self-report of memory and post concussional complaints in older and retired American football players, with no effect found on cognitive tests[5]. Again, in retired US National Football League (NFL) players, Guskiewicz et al[6] reported an association between lifetime depression and repeated concussion after controlling for age, years since retirement, years of play and physical comorbidities. Furthermore a 'dose response' effect is reported, where depression was more common in retired players with more than nine concussions[7]. Finally, death rates from neurodegenerative disease in ex-NFL players have been reported to be three times higher than in the general population[8] and greater risk of death late after mTBI has in part, been found to be associated with repeated brain injury[9]. Autopsy studies in former athletes have fuelled anxieties over CTE as a late outcome of exposure to repeated concussion[10,11]. However few studies have addressed long term health in living, retired athletes and evidence to support a causative link between TBI and CTE is awaited[12].

Rugby Union is acknowledged as having a concussion incidence that is amongst the highest for contact sports, with estimates of between 4 and 13.4 concussions per 1000

player hours[13-15]. Despite this and the high levels of participation in rugby internationally, there are few formal studies that objectively investigate long term outcomes in rugby players. The design of the present study takes into account a meta-analysis of studies on cognition after self-reports of repeated concussion[16] and investigates symptom complaint and a range of cognitive and health outcomes including allostatic load (AL), a measure of accumulated physiological damage resulting from natural stress responses across the lifespan which can be associated with lifestyle, disease and mortality[17].

## Methods

**Approvals:** Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University Of Glasgow College Of Medical Veterinary and Life Sciences Research Ethics Committee.

### Participants

Retired International Rugby Players (RIRP) were identified as male former Scottish internationalists on a database of former players held by the Scottish Rugby Union (n~350). Potential RIRP participants were contacted by the Scottish Rugby Union (SRU) by mass e-mail, with information on the study and an invitation to participate. Those agreeing to take part were then contacted by the research team and written consent was obtained. Inclusion criteria were; age 18 or over, fluent in English, capable of giving consent and capable of assessment. Those continuing to play rugby were excluded.

Male controls were recruited from friends or relatives of the RIRP, from community groups or from school teachers. Inclusion criteria were; male, similar to RIRP in age

and Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation 2012 (SIMD)[18] quintile, fluent in English, capable of giving consent to take part and capable of assessment. SIMD ranks deprivation across Scotland and is derived from postcodes, each rank comprising a small section of the population. Exclusion criteria were; female, TBI (including concussion) on more than one occasion with loss of consciousness (LOC) and/or associated symptoms of confusion or disorientation, nausea, dizziness, poor balance, blurred vision or severe headache[19], or any previous moderate or severe TBI (LOC>30 minutes or more or post-traumatic amnesia (PTA) for >1 day) or a diagnosis of chronic and debilitating neurological or psychiatric disorder.

**Assessments**

All assessments were performed in face-to-face interviews between February 2014 and February 2015. The protocol for assessment comprised the following domains:

**Background Information:** This was a brief self-report inventory relating to demographic background, current diagnoses with disease, current medication and a brief history of rugby playing (years, position).

**History of Traumatic Brain Injury:** A form to assess the history of concussion and of injury to the head that might be consistent with TBI. It estimated the number and approximate date of last concussion; details of any hospital admissions with TBI and assessment of PTA associated with any injuries without hospital admission that seem severe. Concussion was defined for participants during the interview as follows: *'a blow or injury to your head where you may or may not have lost consciousness and then had symptoms such as dizziness, blurred vision, nausea, vomiting, headache,*

*poor concentration. It might be that symptoms were not noticeable straight away but you may have noticed them later or have had 'gaps' in your memory for the game that were unusual or you might have remembered little at all about the game*' (see supplementary file 1).

**Cognition:** The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA; screening test of general cognitive function)[20]; Symbol Digit Test (information processing speed)[21]; Trail Making Test (executive function)[22]; Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT; memory and learning)[23]; Sustained Attention to Response Task (SART; sustained attention)[24,25]; Judgment of Line Orientation Test (visual perception)[26] and the Lafayette Grooved Pegboard (fine hand co-ordination) [27].

**Psychological Assessment, Disability Outcome and Alcohol Use:** The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)[28]; Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire (RPQ)[29]; Short Form Health Survey (SF-36; questions 1 and 2)[30]**;** Glasgow Outcome Scale-Extended (GOSE)[31]; the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT)[32].

**Allostatic Load:** An allostatic load score was created using biomarkers from its five components[33]: (i) neuroendocrine (aldosterone, dehydroepiandrosterone); (ii) immune (C reactive protein, interleukin-6, tumour necrosis factor-alpha); (iii) metabolic (triglycerides, creatinine, high-density lipoprotein, albumin); (iv) cardiovascular/ respiratory (blood pressure, heart rate, forced expiratory volume); (v) anthropometric (waist hip ratio, body mass index). Markers for components (i) to (iii) were measured from venous blood taken at the time of the assessment. Values for each biomarker

were transformed, and z scores calculated and averaged for each component; the totals for each component were then summed to create the allostatic load score.

**Statistical Analyses:** Data are summarised using the mean, standard deviation (SD) and range for continuous variables and the number and percentage for categorical data. Continuous outcomes were investigated using linear regression models and non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests. Binary outcomes were compared using logistic regression models and Fisher's Exact tests. Comparisons of ordinal categorical outcomes were tested using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test. Models to compare outcomes between the RIRP group and the Control group were adjusted for the matching/design variables of age and SIMD quintile. Years of education was also adjusted for in models investigating cognitive outcomes.

To investigate effects of repeated concussion within the RIRP group, the number of concussions sustained was included in models of cognitive and psychological outcomes as well as allostatic load outcomes as the only covariate and grouped into 3 levels: no repeat concussions (0-1), moderate repeat concussion (2-9) and high repeat concussion (10 or more). Associations between cognitive and psychological outcomes and number of repeat concussions were also assessed using Spearman's Rank Correlation.

Similar analyses were carried out in relation to the number of international matches in the RIRP group; the only covariate in these models was defined by splitting the RIRP group at the median number of matches played.

All analyses were carried out using SAS for Windows v9.2, and a p-value $< 0.05$ was considered to indicate statistical significance.

## Results

**Recruitment**: Enquiries were received from 76 RIRP, of whom 71 were eligible to take part. Of these, 52 (73%) were recruited and assessed; the remainder did not respond to repeated invitations or were not available over a period of several months. Forty-six controls enquired about the study; data were obtained on 29 (63%); 8 were excluded and 9 did not respond.

**Demographic Characteristics and Rugby History (table 1):** Demographic differences between groups were non-significant with the exception of a higher number of years of education in controls than RIRP ($p=0.025$). In both groups the mean years of education was high. As anticipated, RIRP had played rugby for longer, were older when they stopped playing and had played more recently than those controls that had ever played rugby. The average number of international matches played within the RIRP group was 24 (SD 24) with an inter quartile range from 5 to 40; 95% of RIRP played 77 matches or less.

**Table 1: Demographic and rugby history**

| | RIRP | Controls | P* |
|---|---|---|---|
| Age<br>Mean (SD), [Min, Max] | 53.5 (13.0) [26,79] | 55.1 (9.0) [36,72] | 0.542 |
| Social deprivation (SIMD) quintile<br>1 (high)<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5 (low)<br><br>Not resident in Scotland | <br>0 (0%)<br>2 (4%)<br>7 (16%)<br>8 (18%)<br>28 (62%)<br><br>7 | <br>0 (0%)<br>1 (3%)<br>6 (21%)<br>8 (28%)<br>14 (48%)<br><br>0 | 0.320 |
| Years of Education<br>Mean (SD) [Min, Max] | 16<br>(2.6) [10,21] | 17.3<br>(2.9) [12,24] | 0.025 |
| **Rugby History** | | | |
| Ever played rugby (n %) | 52 (100%) | 19 (63%) | NA** |
| Number years rugby playing<br>Mean (SD) [Min, Max] | 22.4 (5.0) [ 8,33] | 8.4 (8.2) [1,27*] | NA** |
| Age when stopped playing<br>Mean (SD) [Min, Max] | 33.2(4.1) [24,44] | 19.9 (6.7)[9,34*] | NA** |
| Years since stopped playing<br>Mean (SD) [Min, Max] | 20.3(12.8) [1,48] | 34.3(10.53) [0,16*] | NA** |
| Position played [3]<br>Forward<br>Back<br>Not specified | <br>21 (40%)<br>31 (60%)<br>0 | <br>12 (63%)<br>4 (21%)<br>3 (16%) | NA** |

*All regression models were adjusted for age and SIMD except Age which was adjusted for SIMD only

** Statistical comparisons between groups are not appropriate as RIRP are expected to have more rugby playing experience than controls

**History of TBI (table 2):** No participant reported a TBI with loss of consciousness for more than 30 minutes suggesting that all concussion events could have been 'mild'. [34] Almost all RIRP (92%) reported experiencing at least one concussion while playing rugby. The RIRP group experienced symptoms for more than an hour

following a concussion on 2.7 occasions on average. In the RIRP the longest LOC reported for a single event ranged between 3 seconds and 17.5 minutes (median 1 minute; upper and lower quartiles 19 seconds and 4.7 minutes). The history of TBI for controls is given in table 2. Statistical comparisons between RIRP and controls on variables associated with frequency of concussion are not meaningful because of exclusion criteria for potential controls which included having more than one concussion.

**Table 2: Concussions from rugby or other causes***

| | RIRP | Controls |
|---|---|---|
| Ever had a concussion[1] | 48 (92%) | 10 (34%) |
| Rugby Related | 48 (92%) | 3 (10%) |
| Non-rugby Related | 15 (27%) | 7 (24%) |
| Estimated number of concussions[2] | 13.9 (18.9) [0,100] | 0.3 (0.5) [0,1] |
| Estimated number of concussion symptoms lasting more than an hour[2] | 2.7 (5.3) [0,25] | 0.1 (0.3) [0,0] |
| Estimated cumulative loss of consciousness (mins)[2] | 4.7 (10.4) [0,60] | 0.6 (3.3) [0,17.5] |

*Statistical comparisons between groups are not appropriate as controls were excluded if having more than one concussion; [1]n (%); [2]Mean (SD) Min, Max;

**Health Characteristics (table 3):** Current and chronic health diagnoses were categorised as cardiovascular, respiratory, neoplastic, rheumatoid, orthopaedic, neurological, gastric, mental health, sensory, pain, alcohol use, allergic or dermatological. The frequencies in each category did not differ significantly between groups except for a higher frequency of chronic cardiovascular disorder in controls (21%) than in RIRP (2%; p=0.027) and a non-significant trend towards a higher

frequency of chronic orthopaedic problems in RIRP (14%) than in controls (3%; p=0.095). History of smoking did not differ significantly between groups.

RIRP self-reported a less positive rating of health over the past year than controls on question 2 of the SF-36; note that the average health ratings over the past year for both groups translate to health as 'somewhat better' or 'about the same'. One RIRP had a current diagnosis of a deteriorating neurological condition (Parkinson's Disease). Current diagnoses of mental health problems were reported in 4 RIRP (depression (n=2); PTSD; sleep problems) and 1 control (depression). Current medication reflected this picture with psychotropic medication confined to antidepressants prescribed to two RIRP and one control. An opioid analgesic was prescribed to one RIRP.

**Table 3: Health Characteristics**

| | RIRP | Controls | P* |
|---|---|---|---|
| Current diagnosis (any) [1] | 26 (50%) | 14 (48%) | 0.728 |
| Chronic diagnosis (any) [1] | 26 (50%) | 10 (35%) | 0.116 |
| General health now (SF-36 question 1) [1] | | | |
| Excellent | 18 (34%) | 10 (35%) | |
| Very Good | 27 (52%) | 16 (55%) | |
| Good | 5 (10%) | 3 (10%) | |
| Fair | 2 (4%) | 0 (0%) | |
| Poor | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0.848 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| General health compared to a year ago (SF-36 question 2) [1] | | | |
| Much Better | 4 (8%) | 1 (3%) | |
| Somewhat Better | 8 (15%) | 12 (42%) | |
| About the Same | 33 (63%) | 16 (55%) | |
| Somewhat Worse | 6 (12%) | 0 (0%) | |
| Much Worse | 1 (2%) | 0 (0%) | 0.024 |
| Alcohol Use [2] | 7.0 (4.2) [0,25] | 6.0 (4.1) [0,16] | 0.235 |
| Smoking [1] | | | |
| Current | 2 (4%) | 2 (7%) | 0.488** |
| Past | 7 (14%) | 6 (21%) | |
| Never | 43 (83%) | 21(72%) | |

[1]n(%); [2]Mean (SD) [Min, Max]; *Regression Models adjusted for age and SIMD; ** Unadjusted Fisher's Exact test p-value; logistic regression model was not appropriate due to small numbers

**Mental Health Assessment and Cognitive Function (table 4)**: In terms of clinical 'caseness' on the HADS, the average scores were in the 'normal' range and no individual in either group scored in the 'severe' range for depression or anxiety[28]. Note that comparison between groups on the RPQ is not valid. The RPQ specifically asks about symptoms in relation to concussion and would not describe a baseline prevalence of these non-specific symptoms in controls, most of whom had no history of concussion and automatically score zero.

Differences between groups on the HADS and on cognitive tests were non-significant, except for poorer performance in the RIRP group on a test of verbal learning (RAVLT-immediate recall) and on a test of fine motor co-ordination in the dominant hand (Grooved Pegboard Test).. On the MOCA (a screening test for cognitive decline) there was no overall group difference. However, this test is often used

clinically with a cut-off to indicate impairment. One RIRP scored below a conservative cut-off score of <22. If using the more commonly used cut-off of <26, which has a lower specificity[35], 9 RIRP (17%) and 1 control (3%) fell below the cut-off (p=0.087; unadjusted Fisher's Exact Test).

**Table 4: Self-report of mental health and tests of cognition** (total score unless otherwise indicated)

| | RIRP<br>Mean (SD), [Min, Max] | Controls<br>Mean (SD), [Min, Max] | P* |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Mental Health** | | | |
| HADS Depression | 2.8 (2.1) [0,9] | 2.6 (2.8) [0,10] | 0.941 |
| HADS Anxiety | 4.8 (3.0) [0,12] | 5.2 (3.6) [0,12] | 0.157 |
| **Cognition** | | | |
| MOCA | 27.4 (2.3) [21,30] | 28.0 (1.5) [25,30] | 0.806 |
| RAVLT immediate recall | 50.2 (11.1)[26,71] | 56.1 (8.4)[42,72] | 0.022 |
| RAVLT delayed recall | 10.5 (3.6) [2,15] | 11.6 (2.3) [8,15] | 0.165 |
| SART (commission errors) | 10.3 (5.0) [8,13] | 10.0 (6.0) [6,12] | 0.860 |
| SART (reaction time) | 336 (68) [186, 563] | 313 (65) [258, 570] | 0.618 |
| Symbol Digit Test | 50.9 (11.2)[25,76] | 53.0 (7.5)[31,70] | 0.490 |
| Trail Making Test B (secs) | 56.1 (18.5) [4,23] | 51.9 (17.6)[26, 91] | 0.434 |
| Judgement of Line Orientation | 28.2 (1.9)[23,30] | 28.1 (2.3)[21,30] | 0.442 |
| Grooved Pegboard Test (secs) | | | |
| dominant hand | 74.9 (12.3) [54.7,105.0] | 68.7 (14.0) [49.4,108.0] | 0.038 |
| non-dominant hand | 85.4 (15.3) [47.9,118.0] | 80.1 (20.0) [55.9,149.1] | 0.126 |

HADS – Hospital Depression and Anxiety Scale; MOCA – Montreal Cognitive Assessment; RAVLT – Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; SART – Sustained Attention to Response Task; *Linear Regression Model adjusted for Age, SIMD and years of education

**Number of Concussions and Outcomes in Retired International Players (table 5):**

RIRP sub-groups were created on the basis of no repeat concussions (0-1), moderate

(2-9) and high number of repeats (10 or more) [7]. No RIRP sub-group differences were found for mental health (HADS) or general health (SF-36). Persisting concussion symptom scores (RPQ) differed between the three sub-groups (p=0.028; unadjusted Kruskal-Wallis test), with higher scores in 'high' repeat than in no repeat concussion sub-groups. Overall, the average score on the RPQ was 4.6 (SD 8.4; range 0, 28).

There were no significant differences between the three RIRP sub-groups on cognitive tests and no significant correlations with overall frequency of concussion, including for RAVLT-immediate recall (r=0.16; p=0.268), Grooved Pegboard (dominant hand; r= -0.10; p=0.482) and the MOCA (r= -0.08; p=0.554).

There was no significant difference on the GOSE between the three concussion sub-groups. There was a significant correlation between GOSE and concussion exposure overall (r = -0.32, p = 0.020) with lower GOSE ratings (less perfect recovery) associated with higher repeat concussion. Specifically, 11/52 RIRP reported symptoms linked to concussion that had some impact on daily life but were not disabling (Lower Good Recovery; GOSE= 7) and 2 (one moderate and one high frequency of concussion) reported Upper Moderate Disability (GOSE= 6). The remaining 39 were in the Upper Good Recovery category (no persisting effect; GOSE= 8).

**Table 5: Mental health, persisting concussion complaints and disability outcomes in 'no', 'moderate' (2-9) and 'high' (>9) repeat concussion sub-groups**

| | Repeat concussion | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | No (n=7) | Moderate (n=27) | High (n=18) | P* |
| HADS Depression[1] | 3.1 (3.3)[0,9] | 2.6 (1.9)[0,7] | 3.1 (1.7 )[0 ,6] | 0.630 |
| HADS Anxiety[1] | 3.7 (2.9)[1,9] | 4.7 (2.6)[0,10] | 5.4 (3.5)[1,12] | 0.389 |
| RPQ[1] | 0.3 (0.8)[0,2] | 2.3 (5.5)[0,19] | 9.6(11.1)[0,28] | 0.028** |
| GOSE[2] <8 | 0 | 6 (22%) | 7 (39%) | 0.142*** |

HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; RPQ, Rivermead Post-concussion Symptoms Questionnaire; GOSE, Glasgow Outcome Scale, Extended
[1]Mean (SD) [Range] ; *Unadjusted Linear Regression Model p-value; **Unadjusted Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test p-value; linear model inappropriate due to non-normal distribution; [2]n (%); note a score < 8 indicates persisting effect of brain injury on daily life, but may not be disabling (see text); *** Unadjusted Fisher's Exact test p-value; logistic regression model not appropriate due to small numbers

**Influence of Number of International Matches Played on Outcomes in RIRP:** Comparisons were made within the RIRP group using a median split (17 or more matches and less than 17 matches). General health compared to a year before was self-reported to be better in those who had played more than 17 matches (p=0.008; 95% CI 0.12, 0.78). No significant differences in mental health or cognitive function were found.

**Allostatic Load:** There were no significant differences between RIRP and control groups for total allostatic load (AL; p=0.635) or its components (cardiovascular/respiratory p=0.498; neuroendocrine p=0.856; metabolic p=0.624; immune p=0.682). An exception was the anthropometric component (p=0.043; 95% CI -0.94, -0.02); this reflects higher body mass index (p=0.006) and hip circumference (p=0.004) in the RIRP group. Within the RIRP group, no significant associations were found between no, moderate or high repeat concussions and total

AL score (p=0.315) or the components of AL (see supplementary file 2 for further details).

**Discussion**

A high number of repeat concussions/mTBI was associated with participation in rugby union in this cohort of retired international players, given the overall median of seven self-reported concussions and that 34% self-reported at least ten concussions. On the basis of self-report of duration of LOC and of symptom persistence, all TBIs seem to have been mild[34] and this context is important when considering the similarity between RIRP and controls in terms of mental health and cognitive outcome. The average scores in these domains for both groups were generally 'normal' and where differences between groups were found, poorer scores for cognition or concussion symptom reporting in the RIRP group were in the normal range for these tests;[36] furthermore no significant relationship was found between the frequency of concussion, and mental health ratings (depression or anxiety) or cognitive function in the RIRP group. This suggests a detectable but 'non-clinical' effect of concussion in RIRP.

Several other findings are consistent with this interpretation. The average concussion symptom score on the RPQ in the RIRP group was low in relation to base rates for these symptoms; it was about half that found in healthy controls when not associated with concussion and considerably lower than the average in non-sportsmen reporting persisting post-concussion complaints[37,38]. On the GOSE, an association was found between having more repeat concussions and reporting that persisting symptoms had some impact on daily life. The impact caused occasional strain, but did not restrict or

limit social or employment functions, and as such was not disabling. Similarly there were no clear differences in general health between the groups, and in fact cardiovascular disease was more common in controls. Nor was there evidence for an association between exposure to concussion and indicators of accumulated life stress (allostatic load). Systematic studies on AL in people with TBI have not been published, although there is evidence to suggest that this model is associated with chronic health complaints and disease trajectories in other groups[33].

Although there was no statistically significant difference between RIRP and control groups on the MOCA, the difference in the number of participants falling below the cut-off in the RIRP (17%; n=9) versus control (3%; n=1) groups is worthy of comment, as scores below the cut-off indicate that clinical assessment can be warranted. It is of note that 7/9 RIRP with MOCA scores below the cut-off were at maximum on the GOSE (Upper Good Recovery) and the remaining two with Lower Good Recovery. Further, as there was no association between MOCA scores and the number of concussions reported in this RIRP sub-group, these findings also support a view of detectable differences that do not generalise to complaints about disability in daily life.

In a meta-analysis of the neuropsychological effects of exposure to concussion/mTBI, poorer delayed memory recall and executive function was reported in those exposed to repetitive injury versus those reporting a single injury[16]. However, the effect size was small and the clinical significance unclear. The number of mTBI in the repeat group in these studies was also unclear, but where reported averaged three[16]. The

present study is broadly in line with this, despite the median number of reported concussions being higher, and with detectable but non-clinical effects evident.

The picture for retired players is similar in more recent studies and reviews where in general, it is thought that there is an insufficient number of longitudinal studies with a high quality design[1,39,40]. A recently reported study on retired national/international rugby players in France found depression and mild cognitive impairment to be more common than in controls; with depression associated with higher frequency of self-reported concussion[41]. Of interest the mean number of concussions (3) was considerably lower than in the present study (14). In a study on retired NFL players an association between risk of depression and number of concussions was also reported[7], although evidence for this was not found in the present study.

The current study benefits from inclusion of a control group that was similar in age and social deprivation to RIRP and also from a range of outcome data comprising cognitive, mental and general health, disability and assessment of cumulative life stress. Nevertheless, our study is limited by the modest response from the potential pool of approximately 350 retired players. No data are available on the non-respondents and whether the sample presented here is representative of the population is not known. The higher frequency of self-reported cardiovascular disease in controls could raise a question over whether there was associated cognitive impairment which might reduce the likelihood of finding group differences on cognitive tests; however the numbers here are small, and this finding should be seen in its context of the control group all reporting their general health to range between good and excellent (table 3), making such an effect unlikely. Future studies may

however consider including a comparison group of athletes to control for health factors that may be associated with competitive team sport, such as high levels of physical fitness, late impact of orthopaedic injury or drug use (eg opioid analgesics, anabolic steroids) during their playing careers. Undoubtedly large scale prospective studies on representative cohorts of athletes with matching to appropriate control populations are required to further consider any association between exposure to repetitive concussion/ mTBI and longer-term outcomes.

In common with many such retrospective studies, there is an absence of objective information about TBI in terms of actual number and severity; recent evidence suggests that the concordance between recorded incidence of concussion in sports and self-report may be poor[42]. Also of note is that many in the present sample played rugby in the pre-professional era where the impact of 'hits' may have been less than in recent years, as also was the rigour with which concussion was identified and return to play managed. Hence findings may not generalise to the modern professional era of rugby union. Other studies on repeat mTBI and their long term effects vary in terms of their definition of concussion, reporting of the incidence of concussions, exposure period, time since stopped playing and characteristics of controls groups and have not demonstrated that samples are representative of their parent population. Future studies need to overcome these methodological difficulties. Finally, although detectable but 'non clinical' differences were found on some cognitive measures between the groups, the reason for this is uncertain. Although brain pathology could be the cause, these differences could reflect psychological attributions about mTBI and the impact of retrospective recall bias on symptom reporting[12,43,44]. The latter interpretation may even seem more plausible given the absence of significant

relationships between scores on cognitive or mental health measures and the high number of repeat mTBI in RIRP.

## Conclusions

The number of self-reported concussions in retired international rugby players was high relative to many other studies, and although signs of long term effects were detectable they were overall, mild. General health and mental health of the retired international players was not poorer than controls, and on cognitive tests, the retired international players performed in the 'normal range', and where differences were found, they were not associated with a higher number of repeat concussions. More repeat concussions were associated with self-report of persisting concussion symptom complaints, and a poorer outcome on the GOSE, and again although detectable, these effects were mild and did not reflect disability in terms of social or work function. However, given the limitations of a retrospective study with self-reported recall of concussion events and a modest sample size, further work is required utilising a number of the methodological features of this study, but in a larger cohort of retired athletes.

**Author Disclosure Statement**: No competing financial interests exist.

**References**

1. McRory P, Meeuwisse WH, Kutcher JS, et al. What is the evidence for chronic concussion related changes in retired athletes: behavioural pathological and clinical outcomes? Br J Sports Med, 2013; 47, 327-330

2. Smith, DH, Johnson, VE, and Stewart, W. Chronic neuropathologies of single and repetitive TBI: substrates of dementia? Nat Rev Neurol, 2013; 9, 211-21

3. McKee, A, Cairns, NJ, Dickson, DW et al. The first NINDS/NIBIB consensus meeting to define neuropathological criteria for the diagnosis of chronic traumatic encephalopathy. Acta Neuropathol, 2016; 131, 75-86

4. Guskiewicz, KM, Marshall SW, Bailes J, et al. Association between recurrent concussion and late life cognitive impairment in retired professional football players. Neurosurgery, 2005; 57, 719-726

5. Thornton AE, Cox DN, Whitfield K, et al. Cumulative concussion exposure in rugby players: Neurocognitive and symptomatic outcomes. J Clin Exptal Neuropsychol, 2008; 30, 398-409.

6. Guskiewicz KM, Marshall SW, Bailes J, et al. Recurrent concussion and risk of depression in retired professional football players. Med Sci Sports Med, 2007; 39, 903-909.

7. Kerr, ZY, Marshall SW, Harding HP et al. Nine year risk of depression diagnosis increases with self-reported concussions in retired professional football players. Am J Sports Med, 2012; 40, 2206-2212

8. Lehman EJ, Hein MJ, Baron SL et al. Neurodegenerative causes of death among retired National Football League players. Neurology, 2012; 79, 1970-4.

9. McMillan TM, Weir C and Wainman-Lefley J. Mortality 15 years after Hospital Admission with Mild Head Injury: a Prospective Case-Controlled Population

Study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiat, 2014; 85, 1214-1220, doi:10.1136/jnnp-2013-30727

10. McKee AC, Cantu RC, Nowinski et al. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy in athletes: progressive tauopathy after repetitive head injury. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2009; 68, 709-35

11. Hay, J, Johnson, VE, Smith, DH et al. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy: The neuropathological legacy of traumatic brain injury. Annu. Rev. Pathol. 2016; DOI: 10.1146/annurev-pathol-012615-044116

12. Meehan W, Mannix R, Zafonte R, Pascual-Leone A. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy and athletes. Neurology, 2015; 85 1504-1511

13. Hollis SJ, Stevenson MR, McIntosh AS, et al. Incidence, risk, and protective factors of mild traumatic brain injury in a cohort of Australian nonprofessional male rugby players. Am J Sports Med., 2009; 37, 2328-33.

14. Kemp SP, Hudson Z, Brooks JH et al. The epidemiology of head injuries in English professional rugby union. Clin J Sport Med., 2008; 18, 227-34

15. England Professional Rugby Injury Surveillance Project Steering Group (2016). England Professional Rugby Injury Surveillance Project 2014-2015 Season Report.

16. Belanger HG, Spiegel E, and Vanderploeg RD. Neuropsychological performance following a history of multiple self-reported concussions: A meta-analysis. J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc., 2010; 16, 262-267.

17. McEwen, B.S (1998). Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. N. Engl. J. Med; 338*:* 171–179.

18. Scottish Executive (2012). The Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation 2012. The Scottish Government, Edinburgh, ISBN 978-1-78256-258-0. http://simd.scotland.gov.uk/publication-2012/ (accessed 19 February 2016)

19. Kerr, ZY, Marshall SW, Guskiewicz KM. Reliability of concussion history in former professional football players. Med Sci Sports Exerc., 2012; 44:377-82

20. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bedirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: A brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Amer Geriat Soc, 2005; 53, 695-699

21. Smith A (1982). Symbol Digit Modalities Test. SDMT). Manual (revised). Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services

22. Tombaugh T N (2004). Trail Making Test A and B: Normative data stratified by age and education. Archiv Clin Neuropsychol., 19, 203-214

23. Lezak (1983). Neuropsychological Assessment, 2nd edition. Oxford Press, New York

24. Robertson IH, Manly T, Andrade J, et al. 'Oops!': Performance correlates of everyday attentional failures in traumatic brain injured and normal subjects. Neuropsychologia, 1997; 35(6), 747–758.

25. Smilek D, Carriere JSA, Cheyne JA. Failures of sustained attention in life, lab, and brain: Ecological validity of the SART. Neuropsychologia, 2010; 48, 2564–2570

26. Benton AL, Sivan AB, Hamsher K deS, et al. Contributions to Neuropsychological Assessment. Psychological Assessment Resources, 1983; Orlando Florida

27. Matthews CG and Klove K. Instruction battery for the adult neuropsychology test battery. University of Wisconsin Medical School, 1964; Madison Wisc.

28. Snaith R P and Zigmond A S. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. NFER-NELSON, 1984; Berkshire.

29. King NS, Crawford S, Wenden FJ, et al. The Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire: a measure of symptoms commonly experienced after head injury and its reliability. J Neurol., 1995; 242 9, 587-592

30. Ware JE Jr, and Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med. Care, 1992; 30, 473-483

31. Wilson JTL, Pettigrew EL, and Teasdale GM . Structured interviews for the Glasgow Outcome Scale and the Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale: Guidelines for their use. J. Neurotrauma, 1998; 15, 573-85

32. Babor T F, Ramon de la Fuente J, and Saunders J. AUDIT: The Alcohol Use Disorders Test: Guidelines for use in Primary Care. World Health Organisation (WHO), 1992, Geneva

33. Juster, RP, McEwen, BS, and Lupien, SJ. Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and impact on health and cognition. NeurosciBiobehav Rev, 2010; 35(1), 2-16.

34. Carroll, JD, Cassidy, LJ, Peloso,et al. Incidence, risk factors and prevention of mild traumatic brain injury: results of the WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. J. Rehabil Med., 2004; (43 Suppl):28-60.

35. Lees RA, Selvaraiah, J, Fenton, et al. Test accuracy of cognitive screening tests for diagnosis of dementia and multidomain cognitive impairment in stroke. Stroke, 2014; 45, 3008-3018

36. Strauss E, Sherman EMS, and Spreen O. A Compendium of Neuropsychological Tests. Oxford University Press, 2006, Oxford.

37. Chan RCK. Base rate of post-concussion symptoms among normal people and its neuropsychological correlates. Clin Rehabil, 2001; 15, 15: 266–273

38. King NS, and Kirwilliam S. Permanent post-concussion symptoms after mild head injury. Brain Inj, 2011; 25:5, 462-470

39. Randolph C, Karantzoulis S, and Guskiewicz K. Prevalence and Characterization of Mild Cognitive Impairment in Retired National Football League Players. J Int Neuropsychol Soc, 2013; 19, 1–8.

40. Hart J, Kraut MA, Womack KB. Neuroimaging of Cognitive Dysfunction and Depression in Aging Retired National Football League Players. JAMA Neurol., 2013; 70, 323-344

41. Decq P, Gault N, Blandeau M, et al. Long-term consequences of recurrent sports concussion. Acta Neurochir (published online), 2015; DOI 10.1007/s00701-015-2681-4

42. Kerr, ZY, Mihalik JP, Guskiewicz KM et al. Agreement between athlete-recalled and clinically documented concussion histories in former collegiate athletes. Am J Sports Med, 2015; 43: 606-613

43. Gunstad J; Suhr JA. (2001) Expectation as etiology" versus "the good old days": postconcussion syndrome symptom reporting in athletes, headache sufferers, and depressed individuals. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society. 7(3):323-33, 2001

44. Kristman VL, Borg J, Godbolt et al. Methodological issues and research recommendations for prognosis after mild traumatic brain injury: results of the International Collaboration on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Prognosis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2014;95(3 Suppl): S265–77. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.04.026.