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#### Abstract

The barrier to rotation around the $N$-alkenyl bond of 38 N -alkenyl- N -alkylacetamide derivatives was measured ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ rotation varied between $<8.0$ and $31.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). The most important factor in controlling the rate of rotation was the level of alkene substitution, followed by the size of the nitrogen substituent and, finally, the size of the acyl substituent. Tertiary enamides with four alkenyl substituents exhibited half-lives for rotation between 5.5 days and 99 years at 298 K , sufficient to isolate enantiomerically enriched atropisomers. The radical cyclizations of a subset of $N$-alkenyl- $N$-benzyl- $\alpha$-haloacetamides exhibiting relatively high barriers to rotation round the $N$-alkenyl bond ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ rotation $>20 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) were studied to determine the regiochemistry of cyclization. Those with high barriers ( $>27 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) did not lead to cyclization, but those with lower values produced highly functionalized $\gamma$-lactams via a 5 -endo-trig radical-polar crossover process that was terminated by reduction, an unusual cyclopropanation sequence, or trapping with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, depending upon the reaction conditions. Because elevated temperatures were necessary for cyclization, this precluded study of the asymmetric transfer in the reaction of individual atropisomers. However, enantiomerically enriched atropsiomeric enamides should be regarded as potential asymmetric building blocks for reactions that can be accomplished at room temperature.


## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the bond rotational dynamics, asymmetric synthesis, and reactions of nonbiaryl atropisomers have received considerable attention. ${ }^{1-3}$ The majority of work has focused on the chemistry of anilides $\mathbf{1 a}{ }^{4-9}$ and benzamides $\mathbf{1 b},{ }^{10-14}$ where the amide group is perpendicular to the plane of the aryl system (Figure 1). In 2,6-disubstituted anilide derivatives 1a ( $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ or $\mathrm{R}^{4} \neq \mathrm{H}$ ) rotation around the $N$-aryl bond is slow enough for atropisomers to be separated at room temperature, and axially chiral anilides 1a have been shown to undergo a range of reactions with transfer of chirality. ${ }^{3}$ Secondary enamides are valuable synthetic intermediates, ${ }^{15,16}$ but the chemistry of tertiary enamides $\mathbf{1 c}$ has received much less attention. ${ }^{17,18}$ Systems with small substituents 2 (e.g., $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}$ ) may be planar in the ground state, but if substituents $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ are large enough or the alkene is substituted $\left(R^{3}=R^{4}\right.$ $=R^{5} \neq H$ ), then enamides $\mathbf{1 c}$ have the potential to exhibit axial chirality. Theoretically, if the rotation barrier around the N -
alkenyl bond is high enough, then individual atropisomers may be separated and their chemistry studied.

The rotation dynamics of tertiary enamides can be complicated because of restricted rotation around both the amide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}[(E)-\operatorname{syn} 2 \rightarrow(Z)-$ syn 2$]$ and the $N$-alkenyl bonds [(E)-syn $2 \rightarrow(E)$-anti 2] (Figure 1). The barrier to rotation around the amide $N-C O$ bond for $2 a\left(R^{1}=R^{2}=M e\right)$ has been measured at $14.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and is slightly lower ${ }^{19}$ than the general value for amides $\left(15-20 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{20} \mathrm{~N}$ -Cycloalkenyl- $N$-alkylacetamides such a 3 generally prefer the amide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}$ E-rotamer ${ }^{21}$ and exhibit transient axial chirality on the NMR time scale. This makes analysis of the rotational dynamics around the $N$-alkenyl bond relatively easy to study by VT ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{21}$ In this paper we report studies into the effect of substitution ( $1 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{R}^{3}, \mathrm{R}^{4}$, and $\mathrm{R}^{5}$ ) on the barriers to rotation around the $N$-alkenyl bond of tertiary enamides and
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Figure 1. Structures of some axially chiral molecules and conformations of tertiary enamides 2.
show that it is possible to separate individual enamide atropisomers at room temperature with half-lives ( $t_{1 / 2}$ ) of up to 99 years at 298 K . We probe the ability of a subset of sterically congested racemic $\alpha$-halogenated tertiary enamides with relatively high barriers to rotation ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}$ rot $>20 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) to undergo 5-endo-trig radical cyclization. Those with high barriers ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}$ rot $>27 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ ) do not lead to cyclization, but those with lower values $\left(27 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}>\right.$ $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298 \text { rot }}>20 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) mostly lead to highly functionalized $\gamma$-lactams (no $\beta$-lactam formation was observed), where the mode of termination is controlled in part by steric factors and in part by the method of cyclization.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Substitution at the Alkene. Compound $\mathbf{2 b}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}\right.$ $=\mathrm{Bn}$ ) was prepared by acetylation of the $N$-benzylimine of acetaldehyde ${ }^{22}$ (13\%). Computational analysis of $\mathbf{2 b}$ using the TZVP basis set ${ }^{23}$ and the B3LYP-D3(BJ) functional ${ }^{24}$ using PC-GAMESS/Firefly $8.0^{25}$ suggested that three out of the four possible conformations were planar [the exception being $(E)$ -syn-2b] with (E)-anti-2b and (Z)-anti-2b conformations predominating at equilibrium (Figure 2). Electronic energies and zero-point energies for all conformations are provided in the Supporting Information. Two diastereomeric versions of the nonplanar $(E)$-syn- $\mathbf{2 b}$ conformation exist, where either the vinyl group or the phenyl group are angled either in front of the plane of the amide or behind it. The energy and relative equilibrium constant of the more stable conformer are shown. In all cases the minimized energy structures had imaginary frequencies of zero. The E+ZPE energy was used to calculate the relative populations at 298 K .

Experimentally, a $2: 1$ ratio of two conformations was observed in the $600 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 b}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 298 K . The major isomer was confirmed as (E)-anti$\mathbf{2 b}$ and the minor isomer ( $Z$ )-anti- $\mathbf{2 b}$ upon the basis of their calculated theoretical ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts and NOE data. DFT ground-state structures were analyzed using the GIAO method Gaussian03, ${ }^{26}$ with the mPW1PW91 functional ${ }^{27}$ and the $6-311+G(2 d, p)$ basis set and scrf $=$ (solvent $=$ chcl3, cpcm,read) radii $=$ uaks nosymcav options. ${ }^{28}$ NMR shifts


Figure 2. Calculated relative energies, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shifts, and equilibrium contributions of conformers of $\mathbf{2 b}$.
were calculated using parameters specific to the functional, basis set, and option combination described Lodewyk et al. ${ }^{29}$ The $\mathrm{H}-1$ enamide proton resonates at 6.80 ppm in the major conformer and 7.50 ppm in the minor conformer, in good agreement with the theoretical calculations. This data is similar to that reported for the related N -benzyl- N -vinylformamide 2c $\left(R^{1}=H, R^{2}=B n\right)^{30}$ and is consistent with a slow rotation around the amide bond and a fast rotation around the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond of the alkene with a higher population of the (E)-anti conformer at equilibrium at room temperature. Heating 2b $(298 \mathrm{~K} \rightarrow 373 \mathrm{~K})$ in toluene- $d_{8}$ caused a broadening of all signals and coalescence to a single set of peaks, consistent with rapid rotation around the amide bond.
In order to assess the effect of increasing alkene substitution on the barrier to $N$-alkenyl bond rotation, we prepared structures $4 \mathbf{4}-\mathbf{i}$ (Figure 3). We chose to study the $N$-benzyl derivatives, as measurement of rotation rates should be possible using variable-temperature (VT) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Upon cooling, the benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ singlet should broaden and would be expected to decoalesce (caused by the two protons of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group being


Figure 3. Effect of alkenyl substitution on the barrier for rotation around the $N$-alkenyl bond of $\mathbf{4 a - i}\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}\right.$ in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for rotation around the $N$-alkenyl bond is shown in parentheses).
in an asymmetric environment) and ultimately form two doublets due to the diastereotopic nature of the benzylic protons. This behavior is not consistent with amide bond rotation, as this would cause the number of signals to double. Using the WINDNMR 7.1 line shape analysis program, ${ }^{31}$ it was possible to determine the rotational rate constant at each temperature and the thermodynamic parameters via a standard Eyring plot. Compounds $4 \mathbf{a},{ }^{32} \mathbf{4 e}$, and $\mathbf{4 f}$ were prepared by acetylation of the known benzyl imines of acetone, ${ }^{22}$ 2-methyl propanal, ${ }^{22}$ and 2-phenylcyclohexanone, ${ }^{33}$ while $\mathbf{4 b}-\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{4 g}$ i were prepared by benzylation ( $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}$ ) or methylation ( $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{MeI}$ ) of the corresponding $N$-acetyl enamides 5 (16$80 \%) .{ }^{34-37}$ The barrier to rotation around the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond for 4 a and $\mathbf{4 e}$ was too low to measure by VT ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}\right.$ estimated to be $<8.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). On cooling, the benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ singlet began to broaden as expected, but even at 179 K decoalescence was not observed. On the other hand, the values of $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}$ for rotation for the 1,2 -substituted derivatives $\mathbf{4 b}-\mathbf{d}$ in toluene- $d_{8}$ were determined to be $16.3,18.6$, and 9.3 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. As expected, increasing steric congestion around the alkene increases the barrier to rotation due to the inherent difficulty in passing through a hindered planar structure during rotation.

For the derivatives $\mathbf{4 f}-\mathbf{i}$, the barrier to rotation was too high to measure with conventional VT experiments. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed a sharp set of diastereotopic signals for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ benzyl protons, even at 373 K . It was not possible to fully resolve the enantiomers of $\mathbf{4 f}$ and $\mathbf{4 g}$ by chiral HPLC on a variety of columns, indicating that the atropisomers were either inseparable on the columns examined or that they were rapidly interconverting on the HPLC time scale; evidence for the latter is provided vide infra. While compound $\mathbf{4 h}$ was partially resolved, both enantiomers of $4 \mathbf{i}$ were fully resolved on a semipreparative Whelk-O column ( 30.1 and 37.4 min ). The $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}$ for rotation of $4 \mathbf{i}$ was determined to be $25.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ by measuring the kinetics of racemization at $82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . .^{43}$ This suggests that a branching substituent at the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized $\alpha^{\prime}$ position is required to generate suitable barriers to rotation for atropisomers to be successfully separated at room temperature. This is a similar structural motif to that found in anilides $\mathbf{6 b}\left(\mathrm{R}^{3}\right.$ $\neq \mathrm{H}$, shown in red with an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ branching point), where barriers to rotation were greater than $26 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1} .^{40}$ On the other hand, structures $\mathbf{4 b}, 4 \mathrm{f}$, and $\mathbf{4 g}$ with intermediate barriers to rotation structurally resemble anilides $6 \mathbf{a}\left(\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}\right)$ and as a consequence have lower barriers to rotation. ${ }^{39}$ To further study the effects of branching at the $\alpha^{\prime}$-position, we prepared structures $7 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$, investigated their resolution by chiral HPLC, and calculated the barriers to rotation and half-lives of those that could be resolved (Figure 4).

It was possible to fully separate the enantiomers of $7 \mathbf{b}$ (containing both an $\alpha$-methyl group and an $\alpha^{\prime}$-annulated phenyl group) on a semipreparative Whelk-O column (37.09 and 46.47 min ), and a $\Delta G^{\ddagger} 298 \mathrm{~K}$ for rotation of 27.5 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ was determined by measuring the kinetics of racemization of a 99:1 enantiomerically enriched sample at $82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound $7 \mathbf{b}$ is a restricted conformational analogue of the acyclic derivative 7a first prepared by Ahlbrecht (7a $\Delta G^{\ddagger}=$ $21.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). ${ }^{38}$ The difference in the measured barrier to rotation is significant, $\delta \Delta G^{\ddagger}=6.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, and the relatively low value for 7a indicates a likely cooperative gearing of the $\alpha^{\prime}$ phenyl substituent rotation during the key rotation around the N -alkenyl bond in 7a (Figure 4). The related derivative 7d gave a single peak on the Whelk-O column, and its rotation
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Figure 4. Structures 7a-d, X-ray crystal structures for $\mathbf{7 b}$ and $\mathbf{7 d}$, and chiral HPLC traces for $\mathbf{7 b}\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}\right.$ in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for rotation around the $N$-alkenyl bond is shown in parentheses).
dynamics were not further analyzed. On the other hand, 7c provided the highest barrier to rotation $\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}=31.0 \mathrm{kcal}\right.$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}, t_{1 / 2}=99$ years at 298 K , enantiomers separated at 29.0 and 31.9 min ), and this barrier is comparable to published values for $o$-iodoacrylanilides $\mathbf{6 b}$. ${ }^{40}$ We solved the X-ray structures of $7 \mathbf{b}$ and $7 \mathbf{d}$, which confirmed the preference for adoption of the amide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}$ E-rotamer geometry in the solid state (Figure 4). The torsional angle of the key $N$-alkenyl bond $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O})$ in $7 \mathbf{b}$ is $74^{\circ}$, which is similar to that observed for related anilides ${ }^{41}$ and other enamides. ${ }^{21,42}$ The sum of the angles around the nitrogen atom was $359.9^{\circ}$, suggesting that the nitrogen was planar, as expected. For 7d the torsional angle was slightly smaller, $65^{\circ}$. The $400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of both 7 a and $7 \mathbf{d}$ in toluene- $d_{8}$ showed one pair of mutually coupled sharp doublets (for $7 \mathrm{a}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ at 5.27 and 3.48 ppm ; for $7 \mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ at 5.37 and 3.37 ppm ) which did not broaden upon heating. This is consistent with the existence of largely a single $E$-amide rotamer in solution, with a high barrier to rotation around the $N$-alkenyl bond causing the benzyl protons to be diastereotopic, as seen in the crystal structure of 7d. These results suggest that enamides $1 c\left(R^{1}, R^{2}, R^{3}, R^{5} \neq H, R^{4}\right.$ $=$ branched substituent) are likely to have sufficient barrier to rotation around the $N$-alkenyl bond to be resolvable for useful periods at room temperature.

Substitution at Nitrogen. The effect of the size of the nitrogen substituent $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{e}$ on the energy barrier for $N$-alkenyl bond rotation was assessed by VT NMR (Figure 5). Deprotonation of N -1-cyclohexen-1-yl-benzeneacetamide ${ }^{43}$ with NaH in THF followed by the addition of either MeI (8a, $84 \%), \mathrm{BuBr}(8 \mathbf{b}, 70 \%)$, or ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \operatorname{PrI}(8 \mathrm{~d}, 13 \%)$ furnished the desired enamide $\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{8 b}$, or $\mathbf{8 d} .^{44}$ Compound $\mathbf{8 c}$ was prepared by acylation of the $N$-benzylimine of cyclohexanone with phenylacetyl chloride according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{42} \mathrm{We}$ also synthesized compound 8e by reaction of N-1-cyclohexen1 -yl-benzene acetamide with 3 equiv of 2,6-lutidine and TBSOTf (Figure 5). ${ }^{45}$

The moisture sensitivity of 8 e required its preparation in situ in toluene- $d_{8}$ in an NMR tube, and it was not possible to isolate a pure sample. For compounds $\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{8 b}$, and $\mathbf{8 d}$ a characteristic change in the chemical shift of the alkene proton occurred



Figure 5. Effect of alkenyl substitution on the rotation barrier around $N$-alkenyl bond for $\mathbf{8 a - e}\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}\right.$ in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for rotation around the $N$-alkenyl $8 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$ or $N$-aryl $9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$ bond is shown in parentheses).
upon N -alkylation ( $\Delta \delta$ between 0.46 and 0.70 ppm ), highlighting the loss of conjugation with the nitrogen lone pair in $8 \mathbf{a}-\mathrm{d}$. The sense and magnitude of this shift was also observed upon silylation in toluene- $d_{8}$ ( 8 e 4.84 ppm ), providing evidence that silylation to give $8 \mathbf{e}$ had occurred. As expected, the barrier to rotation increased, $8 \mathbf{a}\left(10.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ $<\mathbf{8 b}, 8 \mathbf{c}\left(11.5-11.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)<\mathbf{8 d}\left(15.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$, as the size of the alkyl group increased, in parallel with the trend observed in anilides $9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}^{46}$ In both series there is a relatively small increase on moving from the $N$-methyl to $N-1^{\circ}$ alkyl substituent (enamides $\mathbf{8 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{8 b} \delta \Delta G^{\ddagger}=1.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, anilides $\mathbf{9 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{9 b} \delta \Delta G^{\ddagger}=1.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), whereas there is a significantly larger increase for the isopropyl substituent (enamides 8a $\rightarrow 8 \mathrm{~d} \delta \Delta G^{\ddagger}=4.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, anilides $9 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow$ $9 \mathrm{~d} \delta \Delta G^{\ddagger}=5.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). This is likely due to the R group in the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}$ substituent being able to rotate away from the plane of the amide and alkene during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ alkenyl rotation, while this is not possible for the methyl groups $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}$ in $\mathbf{8 d}{ }^{47}$ No broadening of the geminal protons of 8 e was observed on cooling to 193 K , indicating that rotation is rapid, presumably through the $O$-silyl imidate $\mathbf{8 f}$ (Figure 5). Silylation of related anilides has been reported to proceed to give both $N$ silylated and $O$-silylated structures that are in rapid equilibrium, ${ }^{48}$ and this may be occurring for enamide 8 e.

The addition of radicals onto tertiary enamides (derived from aryl bromides 10) has been reported to give tetrahydroisoquinolines 11 via a 6 -endo-trig radical cyclization. ${ }^{49}$ The bond rotation dynamics of N -2-halobenzyl derivatives has not been investigated. We briefly investigated the effect of $o$-halobenzyl substituents upon the rotation barrier around the $N$-alkenyl bond in related systems 13a-f (Table 1). While the effect of such substituents X and Y might be limited (as they are three

Table 1. N-Alkenyl Bond Rotation Rates from VariableTemperature NMR Experiments for 13a-f
$\left.\begin{array}{|cllc|}\hline \text { compd } & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{Y} & \Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol} \\ \text { 13a }\end{array}\right)^{a}$

[^1]atoms away from the nitrogen atom), the relatively large size of bromine and iodine atoms (typically used to initiate radical reactions or other metal-mediated cyclizations) may be significant. The compounds 13a-g were prepared by alkylating the known acetamide $12^{34}$ with appropriately functionalized benzyl halides using the same approach as for $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{e}$.

The introduction of a single 2 -fluorine ( $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ ) or 2-iodine (13c) substituent had little effect on the rotation barrier; the Xray structure of the related 2-bromide 14 clearly showed the halide orientated away from the alkene group, where it does not interfere with the $N$-alkenyl bond rotation (Figure 6). On the




14

X-ray structure of $\mathbf{1 4}$

Figure 6. Synthesis of compounds 13a-f.
other hand, benzyl substituents containing two ortho substituents increase the barrier to rotation in line with their size $\left(13 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~F}=+0.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} ; \mathbf{1 3 e}, \mathrm{Cl}=+1.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} ; \mathbf{1 3 f}\right.$, $\mathrm{Br}=+2.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) despite their distance from the key $\mathrm{N}-$ alkenyl bond rotation. The size of the ring in which the enamide alkene was constrained also affected the barrier to rotation in the order 6-membered < 7-membered (compare 13 f and $15 \delta \Delta G^{\ddagger}=+1.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), which is a consequence of the bond angles of the different ring systems. ${ }^{21}$

Substitution at the Acyl Group. Previous work with tertiary enamides containing electron-poor and electron-rich aromatic acyl substituents has shown that the electronic nature of the acyl substituent has a negligible effect on the N -alkenyl rotation barrier, suggesting that steric effects alone are important. ${ }^{34}$ It has previously been reported that the size of the acyl group (R) in 3a-i only moderately affects the barrier to rotation in enamides (Figure 7). ${ }^{21,42}$ Hence, replacing an acetyl group (3a) $\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}\right.$ rot $\left.=10.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ with the much

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}(10.1) \\
& 3 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}(10.9) \\
& 3 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}(11.1) \\
& 3 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{R}=\operatorname{Pr}(11.7) \\
& 3 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CHCl}(12.2) \\
& 3 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{RBu}(12.3) \\
& 3 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CHMeBr}(12.0) \\
& 3 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{Br}(13.3) \\
& 3 \mathrm{Bi}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CCl}_{3}(14.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 7. Effect of acyl substituent $\mathbf{3 a - i}$ upon the $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}$ rot barriers around the $N$-alkenyl bond (values in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ shown in parentheses). ${ }^{42}$
larger trichloroacetyl group (3i) $\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}\right.$ rot $\left.=14.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ increases the barrier to rotation by $4.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. This steric effect is less significant for the acyl substituent than the nitrogen substituent [nitrogen substituent $\mathrm{Me}(\mathbf{8 a}) \rightarrow{ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{8 d}), \delta \Delta G=$ $4.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; acyl substituent $\mathrm{Me}(3 \mathbf{3 a}) \rightarrow{ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{3 d}), \delta \Delta G=1.6$ kcal $\left.\mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right] .^{42}$ Similar behavior has also been reported for anilides. ${ }^{47}$

For small R substituents (such as 3a) the barrier to $N$-alkenyl rotation is likely to be lower than amide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CO}$ rotation, but for larger substituents (such as $3 \mathbf{i}$ ), the barriers are likely to be similar. ${ }^{19}$ Consequently, a number of potential mechanisms for enantiomerization ( $E, M-3 \rightarrow E, P-3$ ) are possible, including simple enamide rotation $(E, M-3 \rightarrow E, P-3)$ or a cooperative coupled rotation of both amide and enamide, a geared process $(E, M-3 \rightarrow Z, M-3 \rightarrow E, P-3) .{ }^{50}$ On plotting $\ln k_{\mathrm{rot}}$ values for 3ai against the cone angle ( $\theta_{\mathrm{R}}$ values $)^{51}$ of the acyl substituent, we found a linear correlation $\left(R^{2}=0.958\right)$, which suggests that the mechanism for rotation is likely to be the same for all of the series and that the cone angle of the acyl substituent may be a useful tool in predicting rotational barriers for a given series of enamides (Figure 8).


Figure 8. Correlation between cone angle $\theta_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\ln k_{\text {rot }}$ values for 3ai ( $R^{2}=0.958$ ).

In summary, the most important factors in controlling the rate of $N$-alkenyl bond rotation in enamides is the level of alkene substitution (particularly any branching substituent at the $\alpha^{\prime}$-position), followed by the size of the nitrogen substituent (and the distance of any branching from the nitrogen atom) and, finally, the size of the acyl substituent.

Radical Cyclization Substrate Dynamics. The radical cyclization of $\alpha$-haloenamides $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 8}$ is well-documented and may proceed via a 4-exo or 5-endo cyclization, depending upon the substrate (Figure 9). ${ }^{52-64}$ Rotational features of enamides can dictate the success or failure of radical cyclizations, with the $E$-amide rotamer being required. ${ }^{30}$ In general, 1 -substituted





Figure 9. Regiochemical modes of cyclization of $\alpha$-haloenamides.
enamides 16 cyclize via a 5-endo pathway $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}\right.$ or $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{R}$ ), ${ }^{52-55}$ while 2 - or 2,2-substituted enamides 17 proceed via a 4-exo pathway, ${ }^{56-58}$ although electronic factors and temperature can also play a part in controlling the regiochemistry for these substrates. ${ }^{58-60}$ By far the majority of cyclization reactions reported involve 1,2 -substituted enamides 18, which generally proceed via a 5 -endo pathway. ${ }^{59-70}$ For cyclization of radicals derived from homolysis of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond in substrates $\mathbf{3 c}$ and $3 \mathrm{~h},{ }^{66-70}$ it is necessary for a twisting to occur in the transition state so that the radical SOMO and alkene LUMO orbitals can overlap efficiently, and this has been confirmed by calculations. ${ }^{71}$ As a consequence, the twisted ground state of molecules such as 3 c and 3 h likely facilitate cyclization with a movement toward planarity occurring during the reaction (Figure 9). Far less is known about cyclizations of $1,2,2$-substituted enamides, where movement toward planarity during cyclization may be hindered due to steric effects. ${ }^{59,60,72}$

Cyclization of 19 was reported to be unsuccessful using $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while cyclization of the analogue 21 gave both 4 -exo 23 and 5 -endo products 22 , depending upon the temperature (Figure 10). ${ }^{12}$ This suggests that the 4 -exo cyclization process was reversible, and at the higher temperature, 5 -endo cyclization followed by irreversible loss of the phenylthiyl radical predominated to give 22. From our studies above it is apparent that these substrates are likely to have ground-state $N$-alkenyl bond rotation barriers of less than 20 kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$.

To the best of our knowledge the cyclization of enamides containing further branching at the $\alpha^{\prime}$-position have not been studied in detail (Figure 10). For these substrates, where barriers to rotation around the $N$-alkenyl bonds are likely to be significant ( $>20 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), it is unclear if cyclization would be efficient because steric interactions would develop as the radical and radical acceptor move toward planarity during the cyclization process. In order to address these issues, we prepared enamides 24-28, examined their barriers to rotation and investigated their radical cyclization reactions (Figure 11).




Figure 10. Regiochemical modes of cyclization of 1,2,2-substituted $\alpha$ haloenamides.



Figure 11. Structures $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 8}$ showing the $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}$ rot barriers around the N -alkenyl bond in parentheses (values in kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ).

The most commonly used protocols for mediating radical cyclizations of $\alpha$-haloenamides are (i) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH} /$ AIBN, ${ }^{52-54,62-65}$ where chlorides provide higher yields of cyclized products than bromides or iodides, ${ }^{70}$ and (ii) copper(I) complexes of bipyridine, ${ }^{57}$ hexamethyltriethylenediamine, ${ }^{66}$ or tripyridylamine, ${ }^{56,57,67-69}$ which work for trichloroacetamide or tertiary halide derivatives only $\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}, \mathrm{R}=\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right) .{ }^{64}$ Consequently, we tested $\alpha$-haloenamides 24-28 under a range of conditions and determined the regiochemistry of their radical cyclization reactions (Figure 11). It was not possible to fully resolve the atropisomers of 24-26, despite the large acyl substituents. We believe this is indicative of individual isomers interconverting on the HPLC time scale at room temperature. Evidence for this assumption can be obtained from the HPLC chromatogram for compound 26a, which is indicative of the individual enantiomers interconverting at room temperature (Figure 12d). The $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ value for rotation for 26a was estimated to lie between 21 and $23 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ based upon a half-life of minutes at 298 K . In all these cases, the cooperative gearing of the phenyl substituent during $N$-alkenyl bond rotation is likely to lower the observed barrier to rotation. ${ }^{73}$

For relatively large acyl substituents (25b, 26b, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$; $\left.\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{2 6 c}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right)$ the barrier for $\mathrm{N}-(\mathrm{CO})$ amide bond
a) $\quad 400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{2 5 c}$ in $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-toluene

b)

c)

X-ray structure of (Z)-25c


Chiral HPLC of 26a showing interconversion on HPLC timescale

Figure 12. (a) Expansion of the benzylic region of the $400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 25 c in toluene- $d_{8}$ at 298 and 373 K . (b) Cooperative rotation of amide $\mathrm{N}-(\mathrm{CO})$ bond during $N$-alkenyl bond rotation. (c) X-ray crystal structure of 25c. (d) Chiral HPLC chromatogram of 26a.
rotation was found to be lower than that of $N$-alkenyl bond rotation (Figure 12b).

Analysis of the $400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 25b,c and 26b,c at 298 K showed a doubling of all peaks (Figure 12a), indicative of both ( $E$ )- and ( $Z$ )-amide rotamers at room temperature (while $\mathbf{2 5 a}$ or 26a only showed one set of peaks). The ratio of amide rotamers obtained from the $400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at room temperature was similar for the two trichloroacetyl derivatives (25b $E: Z=1.0: 0.25$ and 26b $E: Z=1.0: 0.26$ ) and the two bromo derivatives (25c $E: Z=1.0: 0.65$ and 26c $E: Z=1.0: 0.62$ ), indicating that the population of the two rotamers was dictated by the acyl substituent. Heating either $\mathbf{2 5 b}, \mathbf{c}$ or $\mathbf{2 6 b}, \mathbf{c}$ at 373 K led to coalescence of the four sets of doublets to two broad singlets, indicative of a rapid interconversion on the NMR time scale between the $(E)$ - and ( $Z$ )-amide rotamers with a slower rotation around the enamide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond (Figure 12a). The barrier to rotation around the $\mathrm{N}-(\mathrm{CO})$ amide bond is known to be influenced by the acyl substituent with sterically demanding ( $t-\mathrm{Bu}$ ) groups lowering the barrier by $4-5 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ compared to simple acetamide derivatives. ${ }^{74}$ The X-ray crystal structure of $\mathbf{2 5 c}$ clearly shows the ( $Z$ )-amide rotamer in the solid state (Figure 12c). A similar doubling of signals was also observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the related structure 27c ( $1: 0.17$ ). Thus, for enamides containing four alkenyl substituents, the $(E)$-geometry is favored in both solution $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and the solid state for relatively small primary acyl groups ( $\mathrm{R}=$ $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$, cone angles $112^{\circ}-130^{\circ}$ ), but mixtures of both $(E)$ - and $(Z)$-amide rotamers can be detected in solution if larger acyl groups or strongly electron withdrawing groups ( R $=\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3}$, cone angles $153^{\circ}-160^{\circ}$ ) are present.

It was possible to fully separate the enantiomers of $\mathbf{2 7 a}-\mathrm{c}$ on a semipreparative Whelk-O column, allowing for barriers to rotation to be calculated (27a, er $=99: 1, \Delta G^{\ddagger}=27.8 \mathrm{kcal}$


Figure 13. Formation of products from 5 -endo cyclization of $\mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{3 h}, \mathbf{3 j}$, and $\mathbf{3 k}$.
$\mathrm{mol}^{-1}, t_{1 / 2}=163$ days at $298 \mathrm{~K} ; \mathbf{2 7 b}$, er $=98: 2, \Delta G^{\ddagger}=29.3 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}, t_{1 / 2}=5.6$ years at $298 \mathrm{~K} ; 27 \mathrm{c}$, er $=87: 13, \Delta G^{\ddagger}=25.6$ $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}, t_{1 / 2}=4$ days at 298 K ), suggesting that these compounds would make interesting substrates with which to investigate chirality transfer during 5-endo-trig radical cyclization reactions at room temperature. If cyclization is significantly more rapid than $N$-alkenyl bond rotation, then chirality transfer from individual atropisomers is theoretically possible. ${ }^{1}$ Radical cyclizations of related axially chiral o-haloanilides have been shown to proceed with high levels of chirality transfer from the chiral axis to the newly formed stereocenter. ${ }^{39,40,75-79}$ If, however, elevated temperatures are necessary for cyclization (e.g., $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), then only bromide $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ or iodide $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ are likely to exhibit a suitable barrier to rotation ( $27 \mathrm{~b} t_{1 / 2}=18.5 \mathrm{~h}$ at 353 K ) compared to $27 \mathrm{a}(2 \mathrm{~h})$ and $27 \mathrm{c}(7 \mathrm{~min})$. It is interesting to observe that 27 c has a lower barrier to $N$-alkenyl bond rotation than either $\mathbf{7 b}$ or $\mathbf{2 7 a}, \mathbf{b}$ despite having a larger acyl substituent. The fact that it exists as a mixture of $(E)$ - and ( $Z$ )-amide isomers in solution suggests that the lower $N$-alkenyl barrier may be due to a cooperative gearing with rotation of the amide functional group. However, in anilides it has been reported that the steric repulsion between bulky substituents causes pyramidalization of amide nitrogen and twisting of the amide bond (destabilization of the ground state) to bring about the decrease in the rotational barrier around an $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ chiral axis. ${ }^{73}$ While we cannot disprove that this is the reason for the lowered barrier of 27 c , it is unlikely, as the related substrate $\mathbf{2 5 c}$ chows no such pyramidization in its X-ray structure (Figure 12c).

Radical Cyclization Reactions. We have previously shown that a slow syringe pump addition of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH} / \mathrm{AIBN}$ to the chloride $3 \mathbf{j}$ produces mainly 33 ( $92 \%$ ) from reduction of the cyclized radical 30 (Figure 13). ${ }^{66}$ Reactions of the bromide 3c and iodide $3 \mathbf{k}$ proceed differently. While the bromide 3 c gave 33 as the major product ( $55 \%$ ), the alkene regioisomers 34 $(11 \%)$ and $35+36(11 \%)$ were also isolated. The iodide $3 \mathbf{k}$ gave the uncyclized material 32 ( $68 \%$ ) as the major product with 34 ( $11 \%$ ) and $35+36$ ( $13 \%$ ). The different product ratios were explained via a competing electron transfer from the intermediate radical 30 to the starting halides 3 c and 3 k , giving the acyl iminium ion 31. Elimination of a proton from 31 produces the three alkene regioisomers $34-36$. The higher ratio of reduced product 32 from the iodide 3 k was shown to be due to a competing nonradical deiodination process. ${ }^{70}$

By analogy, we initially chose to investigate the $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}-$ mediated cyclization of the primary chlorides 26a, 27a, and 28 representing varying levels of hindrance to rotation around the $N$-alkenyl bond. The chlorides were chosen to suppress products arising from electron transfer from the cyclized radical to the starting chloride.

When a 0.02 M solution of 26a ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298 \text { rot }} \sim 21-23 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) was treated with 1.5 equiv of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ and 0.2 equiv of ACN at reflux for 26 h , the major product was the uncyclized compound 7d (30\%), suggesting a relatively slow cyclization, which is in line with that previously reported for 19 . Significant starting material 26a was also recovered ( $21 \%$ ). ${ }^{72}$ The expected cyclized product 37 a was obtained in $27 \%$ yield, arising from a 5-endo-trig cyclization followed by $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$-mediated reduction (no 4-exo products were isolated). A significant amount of the hydroxyl terminated compound $\mathbf{3 7 b}$ ( $17 \%$ ) was also detected. Presumably, alcohol $\mathbf{3 7 b}$ arises via a radical-polar crossover reaction with trapping of the intermediate acyl iminium ion with water (upon workup), there being no elimination pathway analogous to $31 \rightarrow 34-36$ available for 26a (Figure 14). Attempts to cyclize derivatives with higher barriers to rotation (27a, $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298 \text { rot }}=27.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} ; \mathbf{2 8}, \Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298 \text { rot }}>31.0 \mathrm{kcal}$
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Figure 14. Reaction of 26 a and 27 a with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$.
$\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) failed, presumably due to the increased difficulty in moving to planarity during the cyclization. Reaction of the chloro derivative 27a with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.01 \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}^{79}$ at room temperature led to recovered starting material 27 a (44\%) and the reduced substrate $\mathbf{7 b}$ being isolated ( $70 \%$ based upon recovered starting material). On the other hand, addition of 1.5 equiv of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ and $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ AIBN (via a syringe pump addition for 2 h , initial concentration 0.01 M ) led to oxidation to the naphthalene 38a in $19 \%$ yield ( $47 \%$ based upon recovered starting material). Complete oxidation to the naphthalene 38a could also be accomplished if 27a was reacted with 2 equiv of AIBN in the absence of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, indicating that the radical initiator was responsible for the oxidation and that this process was more rapid than homolytic fission of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond. ${ }^{80-82}$ The same oxidation to give $\mathbf{3 8 b}$ was observed for the iodide 27d. In order to enhance the rate of radical initiation over that of oxidation, we investigated the reaction of the bromide 27b. Over $18 \mathrm{~h}, 1.5$ equiv of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ and 0.2 equiv of ACN were added via a syringe pump to a 0.12 M solution of the bromide 27b under nitrogen in dry toluene at reflux. Thin layer chromatography revealed that significant amounts of starting material remained after 24 h , indicative of a slow initiation, so further $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH} / \mathrm{ACN}$ was added. Three further aliquots of the initiator ( 1 equiv in total) over 48 h were required for complete consumption of the starting material. Upon workup, three products were isolated: the uncyclized acetamide $7 \mathbf{b}$ ( $42 \%$ ), the naphthanilide 38 c ( $7 \%$ ), and the ketone 39a ( $10 \%$ ), arising from oxidative cleavage of the tetralone ring (Figure 15). Repeating the reaction using
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Figure 15. Attempted cyclization of $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$.
degassed toluene, in a Schlenk tube under argon, led to suppression of 39a (trace amounts), and only the naphthanilide 38c was isolated (along with recovered starting material). Although the mechanism for the formation of 39a remains unclear, the oxidative $\alpha$ - $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage of 2 -substituted 1tetralones to give 40 under radical conditions (TEMPO) has been reported. ${ }^{83}$ The mechanism has been reported to involve addition of oxygen to give an $\alpha$-hydroperoxide. Radical or anionic fragmentation, Baeyer-Villiger/Criegee fragmentation,
or base-induced fragmentation of the peroxide can all potentially lead to the observed products (although a theoretical study indicates that a radical fragmentation is most likely). ${ }^{83}$

In order to remove the complication of undesired tetralone cleavage, the reaction of 28 was investigated where the analogous oxidation was not possible. Reaction with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ and ACN led to formation of uncyclized 7 c in $88 \%$ yield as the sole product.

While it is most common to carry out $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$-mediated reactions at elevated temperatures (typically benzene or toluene at reflux), efficient 5 -endo radical-polar crossover reactions ( 3 h $\rightarrow 35$ and $36 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ ) mediated by $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes have been reported at room temperature for tertiary halides 3h (Figure 13). ${ }^{66}$ The ability to conduct such cyclizations at lower temperature is attractive if chirality transfer during reaction of individual atropisomers is to be studied. Another advantage of generating the reactive radical from homolysis of a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond via a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-mediated atom transfer is that this initiating process is reversible, effectively providing longer "lifetimes" and less reduction of precyclized radicals than alternative $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ mediated processes. As before, the cyclization of substrates with $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}$ rot $<26 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ proceeded smoothly, albeit at elevated temperatures. Reaction of 24a with either $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6}-\right.$ tren $) \mathrm{Cl}$ or $\mathrm{Cu}($ TPMA $) \mathrm{Cl}$ in toluene or DCM at room temperature only led to trace amounts of 41a ( $<5 \%$ ) after 48 h . On the other hand, heating of 24a with 1 equiv of Cu (TPMA) Cl in toluene at reflux led to complete conversion to 41a in $70 \%$ yield. We next investigated the cyclizations of $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 6 b}$ using the optimum conditions determined for 24a [ $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TPMA}) \mathrm{Cl}]$, Figure 16 .




Figure 16. Cu (TPMA) Cl-mediated 5-endo cyclization of 24a, 25b, and 26b, showing NOE enhancements (in percent) for 43b.

Cyclization of both substrates was complete in 2 h and produced the expected dichlorides 42a and 43a along with the monochlorides 42b and 43b as single diastereomers (assigned from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE difference spectrum of 43b). Both sets of products arose from trapping of the intermediate acyl iminium ion by water (as seen for the $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ reaction of 26a). The monochloride 42b is likely formed by reduction of the $\alpha$-amide radical obtained from a second atom transfer from 42a to $\mathrm{Cu}($ TPMA $) \mathrm{Cl}$ or by electron transfer from the cyclized radical to 42a (the driving force being the relief of the eclipsing
interactions between the gem-dichloride group and the neighboring quaternary center).

Reaction of 25 c with 1 equiv of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TPMA}) \mathrm{Br}$ in toluene at reflux for 10 h produced three products, 44,45 , and 46 , in $36 \%$, $15 \%$, and $4 \%$ isolated yields, respectively (Figure 17). The


Figure 17. $\mathrm{Cu}($ TPMA $) \mathrm{Br}$-mediated 5-endo cyclization of $\mathbf{2 5 c}$.
major product 44 arises via the intermediate acyl iminium ion 47, as previously observed for $\mathbf{2 5 b}$, while the reduced product 45 may arise from abstraction of a hydrogen atom from toluene by the cyclized radical. This would indicate that electron transfer in the radical-polar crossover step was slower than in the trichloroacetyl derivatives $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 6 b}$. The formation of the cyclopropyl compound 46 deserves comment. There is a significant steric clash between the C-3 and C-4 gem-dimethyl groups in the acyl imimium ion intermediate 49. In order to relieve these clashes, torsion of the $\mathrm{C}-3$ to $\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond can occur, which places the C-4 pseudoaxial methyl group almost parallel to the p-orbital of the acyl iminium ion 49, initiating a rearrangement to form a protonated cyclopropane intermediate 48. Formation of a cyclopropane by loss of a proton during a 1,2-migration of a methyl group has been previously reported, ${ }^{84,85}$ and this process would give rise to the observed product 46. More of the cyclopropyl derivative was isolated from the analogous reaction of $26 c(50,51$, and 52 being formed in $33 \%, 21 \%$, and $5 \%$ yields, respectively). Presumably, the more-electron-rich nature of the methylene group in 26 c compared to the methyl group in 25 c is responsible for the greater yield of trapped migration product 51 (Figure 18).

Reaction of the tetralone derivative 27 c was relatively slow compared to the other substrates ( $\mathbf{2 5 c}$ and $\mathbf{2 6 c}$ ) and required heating at reflux for 44 h with 1.2 equiv of Cu (TPMA) Br before all the starting material was consumed. This substrate exhibited the highest barrier to N -alkenyl bond rotation of the




Figure 18. Products from Cu (TPMA)Br-mediated 5 -endo cyclization of 26 c .
substrates cyclized using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TPMA}) \mathrm{Br}$. Two products were isolated, the expected cyclized product 53 and the oxidatively ring-opened compound $39 b$ (in a $2: 1$ ratio). Repeating the reaction in a Schlenk tube with degassed solvent (three freezethaw cycles) suppressed the formation of $\mathbf{3 9 b}$ to trace levels $(<2 \%)$, indicating that dissolved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ was most likely responsible for mediating the unusual ring-opening process to give $\mathbf{3 9 b}$. The reaction was more efficient, requiring less copper reagent ( 0.6 equiv) and a shorter reaction time ( 15 h ). In addition, two further products, 54 and 55, were isolated in $31 \%$ and $7 \%$, respectively (although it was not possible to obtain 55 completely free of impurities) (Figure 19). Unfortunately,



Figure 19. Reactions of 27 c with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TPMA}) \mathrm{Br}$.
attempts to conduct the reaction of 27 c at a lower temperature ( 353 K ) provided trace levels of cyclized products only, while the barrier to rotation around the $N$-alkenyl bond of $\mathbf{2 7}$ c at 383 K was too low ( $t_{1 / 2}=18 \mathrm{~s}$ ) to warrant investigating whether chirality transfer from one atropisomer to the cyclized products was possible.

As with the formation of 39a, from the $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$-mediated reaction of $27 \mathbf{b}$, the mechanism for the formation of $\mathbf{3 9 b}$ remains unclear, although the oxidative $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage of 2-substituted 1-tetralones with either CuCl or $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ and amine bases in the presence of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ has been reported. ${ }^{83}$

## CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have prepared 38 different enamides 1c varying in the substitution around the acyl group $1\left(R^{2} \neq H\right)$, the nitrogen substituent $\left(R^{1} \neq H\right)$, and alkene substituents $\left(R^{3}\right.$, $R^{4}, R^{5} \neq H$ ). The majority show slow rotation around the $N$ alkenyl bond of the enamide with $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}$ rot barriers varying between $<8.5$ and $31.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The most important factor in controlling the rate of rotation is the level of alkene substitution $\left(R^{3}, R^{4}, R^{5}\right)$, followed by the size of the nitrogen substituent ( $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ ) and, finally, the size of the acyl substituent $\left(R^{2}\right)$. Electronic effects are small for substituents positioned on the acyl group ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ), and the rate of rotation was linearly correlated with the cone angle of the substituent for the studied series $3 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{i}$, indicating that the mechanism of rotation was likely to be the same for all the compounds. For $N$-benzyl groups 13a-f, o-halo-substitution has little effect on the barrier to rotation, except where two ortho substituents are present, indicating that cooperative gearing of the nitrogen substituent is likely during the $N$-alkenyl rotation. A similar gearing for freely
rotatable $\alpha$-substituents ( Ph in 7a) is also indicated. Tetrasubstituted alkenes possessing rigid $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$-substituents ( $7 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ ) have high enough barriers to rotation at room temperature to be separated by chiral HPLC with half-lives of up to 99 years at 298 K. This should theoretically enable future investigations into asymmetry transfer from enantiomerically pure enamides in a range of synthetic processes. For enamides $\mathbf{2 5 b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{2 6 b}, \mathbf{c}$, and 27 c containing large or electron-withdrawing acyl groups (cone angles $\theta_{\mathrm{R}}>$ approximately $130^{\circ}$ ) both ( $E$ )- and ( $Z$ )amide rotamers were detected in solution at room temperature. Interconversion of these two rotamers was found to be fast on the NMR time scale at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for all the examples studied. An examination of the barriers to rotation for the series $7 \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{2 7 a}-\mathrm{c}$ shows that while there is a steady increase between with increasing size of the acyl substituent, as expected ( $7 \mathbf{b}, \Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}$ $27.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} ; \mathbf{2 7 a}, \Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298} 27.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} ; \mathbf{2 7 b}, \Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298} 29.3$ $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ ), there is a significant drop for $27 \mathrm{c}\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298} 25.6\right.$ $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ ), indicative of a cooperative gearing effect between rotation around the ( $E$ )- and ( $Z$ )-amide and ( $M$ )- and ( $P$ )enamide rotamers with larger acyl substituents. This provides important temperature constraints when attempting asymmetric reactions of similar enamides.

While radical cyclization of enamides $\mathbf{3 h} \mathbf{- j}$ with relatively low barriers to rotation around the $N$-alkenyl bond ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}$ rot $=13-14 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) can be accomplished at room temperature, ${ }^{66}$ in this study those with higher barriers $\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298 \text { rot }}>\right.$ $\sim 20 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) required elevated temperatures, presumably due to the extra steric crowding hindering these molecules movement toward planarity during cyclization. Molecules with very high barriers to rotation ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger} 298$ rot $\left.>\sim 26 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ did not undergo radical cyclization with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$; instead, precyclization reduction or alternative reaction pathways predominated. For molecules with intermediate barriers to rotation ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298}$ rot $\sim 20-26 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), highly functionalized $\gamma$-lactams were produced via a 5 -endo-trig radical-polar crossover process and terminated either by reduction, an unusual cyclopropanation sequence, or trapping with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, depending upon the reaction conditions. Steric congestion in cyclized products derived from trichloroacetamide derivatives $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ and 26b was relieved by a competing second atom transfer and reduction leading to replacement of one $\alpha$-chloro substituent with a hydrogen atom (42b and 43b). Unfortunately, because elevated temperatures were necessary for cyclization of substrates, in this study it precluded the examination of asymmetric transfer in the reaction of individual atropisomers such as 27 d . However, this report does indicate that enantiomerically enriched atropsiomeric tertiary enamides should be regarded as potential asymmetric building blocks for reactions that can be accomplished at room temperature or below and may be useful functional groups in molecular machines and gears. ${ }^{1}$

## - EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 300,400 , 500 , or 700 MHz and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at $75.5,100$, 125 , or 175 MHz with residual solvent as standard; infrared (IR) spectra were recorded as neat solutions or solids; and low- and highresolution mass spectra were recorded using the electrospray ionization technique and a TOF mass analyzer.

Synthesis of Known Compounds by Literature Methods. Butan-2-one oxime, ${ }^{86} 3$-methylbutan-2-one oxime, ${ }^{87}$ isobutyraldehyde oxime, ${ }^{88}$ 2-methyl-1-tetralone oxime, ${ }^{89}$ 2-methyl-1-phenylpropan-1one oxime, ${ }^{39}$ cyclohexyl(phenyl)methanone oxime, ${ }^{39}$ 2-phenylcyclohexanone oxime, ${ }^{90}$ 2,2,6-trimethylcyclohexanone oxime, ${ }^{91}$ 2,4-dimeth-
yl-3-pentanone oxime, ${ }^{92}$ and $N$-benzyl- $N$-(prop-1-en-2-yl)acetamide $(4 a)^{32}$ were prepared by literature procedures and exhibited ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopic details identical to those previously reported. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR was used to check the purity of all the compounds.

General Procedure for the Formation of Enamides 2b, $24 a, b, 25 b, c, 26 b, c$, via Imines, Method A. Ketone (1 equiv) and benzylamine ( 1.0 equiv) with or without TsOH were dissolved in dry toluene and heated to reflux under Dean-Stark conditions for $4-16 \mathrm{~h}$. The reaction mixture was then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Triethylamine ( 1.2 equiv) was then added slowly, followed by the dropwise addition of the appropriate acid chloride ( 1.1 equiv). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for $12 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(\sim 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Products were purified by column chromatography.
$N$-Benzyl- $N$-vinylacetamide (2b). ${ }^{93}$ Conditions: acetaldehyde (2.0 g, 45.4 mmol ), benzylamine ( $4.96 \mathrm{~mL}, 45.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), toluene ( 45 mL , 90 mL ), triethylamine ( $7.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 54.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acetyl chloride ( 3.6 $\mathrm{mL}, 49.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield $1.3 \mathrm{~g}(15 \%)$; yellow oil; mixture of amide rotamers (ratio 2:1); $R_{f} 0.45$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 4:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}$ (film)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2039, 1670, 1619; discernible data for major rotamer, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.17-7.36(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J} 15.5,9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 169.6,136.9,133.3$, 128.5, 127.0, 126.8, 95.3, 45.4, 22.1; discernable data for minor rotamer, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,9.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.17-7.36(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.38-4.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.18(3 \mathrm{H}$, s); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 169.9,136.0,131.8,128.9,127.4$, 125.6, 94.7, 48.7, 22.4; data for mixture, MS $m / z$ (ESI) 198.1 $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right.$ ).
N-Benzyl-2,2,2-trichloro-N-(2-phenylcyclohex-1-enyl)acetamide (24a). ${ }^{94}$ Conditions: 2 -phenylcyclohexanone ( $2.43 \mathrm{~g}, 13.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylamine ( $1.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), toluene ( 15 mL , then 25 mL ), triethylamine ( $2.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and trichloroacetyl chloride ( 1.71 $\mathrm{mL}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield $1.18 \mathrm{~g}(21 \%)$; white crystalline solid; $\mathrm{mp} 116-$ $118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.52$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 14:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2924, $1671 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.38-7.22(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.17$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.44(1 \mathrm{H}$, apparent d, $J$ $=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}$, apparent $\mathrm{d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.17-2.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.64-1.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.49-1.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.31-1.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.20-$ $1.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.06-1.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 175 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $159.5,140.3,135.4,135.2,134.9,129.5,128.8,128.4,128.3,127.7$, 127.4, 93.7, 53.4, 31.3, 29.0, 22.6, 22.5; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI) 430.0 $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ [found ( $[\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}$ ) 430.0502, $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 430.0503].

N-Benzyl-2-bromo-2-methyl-N-(2-phenylcyclohex-1-enyl)propanamide (24b). Conditions: 2-phenylcyclohexanone ( 2.43 g , 13.9 mmol ), benzylamine ( $1.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), toluene ( 15 mL , then 25 mL ), triethylamine $(2.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 2bromoisobutyryl bromide ( $1.89 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield 716 mg (13\%); white crystalline solid; mp $83-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.33$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 14:1); IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2940,1626 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $700 \mathrm{MHz}) 7.17-7.41(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.47(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.39(1 \mathrm{H}$, apparent d, $J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.32(1 \mathrm{H}$, apparent d, $J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.12-2.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.62-$ $1.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.48-1.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.28-1.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.17-1.27$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.05-1.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 175 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 169.7$, 141.2, 136.3, 136.0, 134.1, 129.5, 129.2, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 127.6, 127.4, 57.4, 52.8, 33.9, 31.6, 31.3, 29.6, 22.7, 22.6; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 434.1087, \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrNNaO}$ requires 434.1090 .

N-Benzyl-2,2,2-trichloro-N-(2-methyl-1-phenylprop-1-enyl)acetamide (25b). Conditions: isobutyrophenone ( $5.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, benzylamine ( $3.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{TsOH}(1.92 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, toluene ( 35 mL then 15 mL ), triethylamine $(1.40 \mathrm{~mL}$, 10.1 mmol ), and 2-bromoisobutyryl bromide ( $1.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield $1.21 \mathrm{~g}(38 \%)$, as a $1.00: 0.26$ mixture of rotamers; colorless crystalline solid; mp $68-69{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.51$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 19:1); IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2913, 1680; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.51-7.08$ ( 10 H minor
+8 H major rotamers, m ), $7.02(2 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, $\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.41$ ( 1 H major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.05(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.13(1 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85$ $(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.80(3 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, s), 1.60 ( 3 H major rotamer, s ), 1.55 ( 3 H major rotamer, s ), 1.29 ( 3 H minor rotamer, s); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 159.4,136.5,135.3$, 134.7, 130.9, 129.7, 129.2, 128.9, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 94.1, 53.5, 21.8, 21.0; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([M]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 404.0346, $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 404.0352 .

N-Benzyl-2-bromo-2-methyl-N-(2-methyl-1-phenylprop-1-enyl)propanamide (25c). Conditions: isobutyrophenone ( $5.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.8$ mmol ), benzylamine ( $3.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TsOH ( $1.92 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, toluene $(35 \mathrm{~mL}$ then 15 mL$)$, triethylamine $(1.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.1$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and 2-bromoisobutyryl bromide ( $1.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield $2.39 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$ as a 1.0:0.6 mixture of rotamers; pale yellow crystalline solid; mp 74-76 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.37$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2989, 1639; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.56-7.10$ ( 10 H minor, 8 H major rotamers, m ), $7.00(2 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.53$ $(1 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.11(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=$ $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18(1 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.22 ( 3 H major rotamer, s), 2.14 ( 3 H major rotamer, s), 2.08 ( 3 H minor rotamer, s ), $2.06(3 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, s$)$, $1.86(3 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, s), $1.64(3 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, s) $1.62(3 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, s), $1.29(3 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, s$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 175 MHz ) mixture $171.9,169.2,137.5,136.5,135.9,134.4,134.1$, 133.3, 131.6, 130.2, 130.2, 129.7, 128.9, 128.4, 128.1, 127.9, 127.6, 127.5, 127.1, 62.3, 57.9, 53.2, 53.1, 33.9, 33.5, 33.4, 32.7, 21.9, 21.8, 21.0, 20.9; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 408.0933, $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrNNaO}$ requires 408.0939.

N-Benzyl-2,2,2-trichloro-N-(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)-2methylacetamide (26b). Conditions: cyclohexylphenyl ketone ( 1.5 g , 8.0 mmol ), benzylamine ( $0.87 \mathrm{~mL}, 38.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TsOH ( $303 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6$ mmol ), toluene ( 9 mL then 15 mL ), triethylamine ( 1.33 mL , 9.6 $\mathrm{mmol})$, and trichloroacetyl chloride $(0.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield 1.46 g (43\%) as a 1.00:0.26 mixture of rotamers; cream crystalline solid; mp $128-129{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.54$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2972$, 1671 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (toluene- $d_{8}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $7.41-6.98(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.31$ ( 1 H major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.06(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=$ 14.5 Hz ), $3.92(1 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.64(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.44(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{br} s), 2.10-2.08$ ( 2 H major rotamer, m ), 1.63-0.90 ( 8 major +8 H minor rotamer, m ), $0.30(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, m$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 159.6$, 141.7, 136.3, 135.0, 130.6, 130.4, 129.8, 129.4, 128.5, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 94.2, 53.3, 31.0, 27.7, 26.6, 26.4; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 444.0659, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 444.0665; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 $(25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ hexanes:iPrOH $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ $t_{\mathrm{R}} 4.09 \mathrm{~min}$.

N-Benzyl-2-bromo-N-(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)-2-methylpropanamide (26c). Conditions: cyclohexylphenyl ketone ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, benzylamine ( $0.87 \mathrm{~mL}, 38.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{TsOH}(303 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, toluene ( 9 mL then 15 mL ), triethylamine ( $1.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.6$ mmol ), and 2-bromoisobutyryl bromide ( $1.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield $2.02 \mathrm{~g}(59 \%)$ as a 1.0:0.6 mixture of rotamers; pale brown crystalline solid; mp 102-104 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.43$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 14:1); IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2973, 1656; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.50-7.21$ ( 10 H minor +8 H major rotamers, m ), 7.01 ( 2 H major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.52 $(1 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.16(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=$ 13.5 Hz ), $4.02(1 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.63(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.70-2.67(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, m$), 2.19(3 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, s), 2.10 ( 3 H major rotamer, s), $2.06(6 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, s), 2.12-1.08 ( 9 H major +8 H minor rotamers, m ), 1.030.80 ( 1 H major rotamer, m ), $0.34-0.26(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, m$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ data for mixture of rotamers 172.2, 169.4, 141.3, 140.5, 137.3, 136.7, 136.4, 136.1, 131.4, 130.1, 129.8, 129.1, 128.6, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.7, 127.2, 62.9, 57.9, 52.9, 33.7, 32.8, 32.2, 31.6, 31.0, 27.8, 27.6, 26.7, 26.5; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 448.1246, \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNNaO}$ requires 448.1252; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 $(25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ hexanes:iPrOH $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) t_{\mathrm{R}} 5.41$ min.

N-Benzyl-2-bromo-2-methyl-N-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphtha-len-1-yl)propanamide (27c). 2-Methyl-1-tetralone (1.00 g, 6.24 $\mathrm{mmol})$, benzylamine $(0.818 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.49 \mathrm{mmol})$, and titanium isopropoxide ( $2.77 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and toluene ( 12 mL ) was added. The resulting solution was then cooled further to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, triethylamine was added ( $1.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by the dropwise addition of 2-bromoisobutyryl bromide ( $0.93 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 14 h . Saturated ammonium chloride ( 15 mL ) was then added, and the phases were separated. The organic phase was diluted with toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product as a brown oil $(1.26 \mathrm{~g})$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $14: 1$, pet. ether: EtOAc ) to give the product as a light brown oil ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$ ) as a $6: 1$ mixture of amide rotamers. $R_{f} 0.17$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 14:1); IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2925, 1656; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.33-7.40$ ( 2 H major rotamer, m), 7.25-7.29 (3H major rotamer, m), 7.16-7.25 (3H major rotamer + 6 H minor rotamer, m ), $7.07-7.14$ ( 1 H major rotamer +2 H minor rotamer, m$), 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.65(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56$ $(1 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90$ ( 1 H major rotamer, $\mathrm{d}, J=$ 13.5 Hz ), 2.75-2.89 ( 2 H major rotamer, m ), 2.52-2.20 ( 1 H minor rotamer, m ), 2.35-2.45 ( 2 H minor rotamer, m ), 2.20-2.33 ( 2 H major rotamer +6 H minor rotamer, m ), $1.97(3 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, s$), 1.89$ $(1 \mathrm{H} \min , \mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.86(3 \mathrm{H}$ major rotamer, s), $1.45(3 \mathrm{H}$ minor rotamer, s), $1.29\left(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$ major rotamer, s); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100 \mathrm{MHz})$ data for mixture of rotamers, $172.0,169.3,137.4,136.8$, 136.4, 136.1, 135.9, 135.2, 132.9, 132.1, 131.7, 130.7, 130.2, 130.0, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.4, 127.3, 126.8, 126.6, 126.4, 123.0, 121.6, 60.3, 57.7, 54.0, 53.3, 34.2, 33.3, 32.9, 29.8, 29.4, 27.6, 27.3, 20.9, 19.3; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 420.0930, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrNNaO}$ requires 420.0933; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 $(25 \mathrm{~cm}$ $\times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ hexanes: $\mathrm{iPrOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) t_{\mathrm{R}} 11.70$ and 12.66 min .

General Procedure for the Formation of Enamides 4b, 4d-i, 7a-d, 8a, 8b, 8d, 8e, 13b-d, 14, 15, 25a, 26a, 27a, 27b, 27d, 28 via Oximes, Method B. This procedure involved preparing acetamides $\mathbf{5 a - j}$ or 2 -chloroacetamides $\mathbf{5 k}-\mathbf{m}$ via an iron-mediated rearrangement ${ }^{37}$ of literature oximes. ${ }^{38,86-91}$ These acetamides were then alkylated with alkyl halides to furnish the enamides $\mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{4 d}-\mathbf{i}, 7 \mathbf{a}-$ d, 8a, 8b, 8d, 8e, 13b-d, 14, 15, 25a, 26a, 27a, 27b, 27d, 28.

General Procedure for the Formation of Acetamides 5a-j. To the oxime ( 1 equiv) was added acetic acid (3 equiv), acetic anhydride (3 equiv), and iron powder ( 2 equiv) in anhydrous toluene. The mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $4-16 \mathrm{~h}$, cooled to room temperature, and filtered through Celite. Dichloromethane was added, and the organic extracts were washed with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and then concentrated in vacuo. Products were purified by column chromatography or recrystallization as reported. $(E)$ - And $(Z)$ - $N$-(but-2-en-2yl)acetamide (5a, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathbf{5 b}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=$ $\left.\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}\right),{ }^{34} \mathrm{~N}$-(3-methylbut-2-en-2-yl) acetamide ( $5 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=$ $\left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right),{ }^{36} \mathrm{~N}$-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)acetamide (5d, X $=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right),{ }^{95} \mathrm{~N}$-(1-phenyl-2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)acetamide (5e, $\left.\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right),{ }^{37} \mathrm{~N}$-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)acetamide $\left(5 g, \quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\right.$ $\left.-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right){ }^{37} \mathrm{~N}$-(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)acetamide $\left[5 h, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}-\right]$, ${ }^{37}$ and $N$ - $(2-$ phenylcyclohexene-1-yl)acetamide $\left[\mathbf{5 i}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right.$-, $\left.\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}\right]^{31}$ exhibited ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopic details identical to those previously reported. They were purified by either column chromatography or recrystallization as stated.
(E)- $N$-(But-2-en-2-yl)acetamide ( $5 a, X=H, R^{1}=R^{3}=M e, R^{2}=H$ ) and (Z)- $N$-(But-2-en-2-yl)acetamide ( $5 b, X=H, R^{1}=R^{2}=M e, R^{2}=$ $H) .{ }^{34}$ Conditions: butan-2-one oxime ${ }^{86}(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 34.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic acid $(5.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 103.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic anhydride ( $9.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 103 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iron powder ( $3.84 \mathrm{~g}, 68.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous toluene $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was used without further purification in the preparation of $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 d}$.

N-(3-Methylbut-2-en-2-yl)acetamide ( $5 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=R^{2}=R^{3}=$ $\mathrm{Me}) .{ }^{36}$ Conditions: 3-methylbutan-2-one oxime ${ }^{87}$ ( $3.20 \mathrm{~g}, 31.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic acid ( $5.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 94.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic anhydride ( $8.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 94.9$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, iron powder ( $3.53 \mathrm{~g}, 63.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous toluene ( 120 mL ). The crude product was purified by recrystallization from hexane:ethyl acetate $10: 1$. Yield 617 mg ( $15 \%$ ).
$N$-(2-Methylprop-1-enyl)acetamide (5d, $X=H, R^{1}=H, R^{2}=R^{3}=$ $\mathrm{Me}){ }^{95}$ Conditions: isobutryaldehyde oxime ${ }^{88}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 22.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic acid ( $3.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 68.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic anhydride ( $6.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 68.9$ mmol ), iron powder ( $2.56 \mathrm{~g}, 45.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous toluene ( 30 mL ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (pet. ether:ethyl acetate $1: 1)$. Yield $113 \mathrm{mg}(4 \%)$.
$N$-(1-Phenyl-2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)acetamide ( $5 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=$ Ph, $\left.R^{2}=R^{3}=M e\right) .{ }^{37}$ Conditions: 2-methyl-1-phenylpropan-1-one oxime $^{89}(4.93 \mathrm{~g}, 30.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic acid ( $5.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 90.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic anhydride ( $8.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 90.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iron powder ( $3.37 \mathrm{~g}, 60.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous toluene ( 120 mL ). The crude product was purified by recrystallization from hexane:ethyl acetate $10: 1$. Yield 3.92 g ( $69 \%$ ).
$N$-(2,4-Dimethylpent-2-en-3-yl) acetamide ( $5 f, X=H, R^{i}={ }^{i} P r, R^{2}$ $\left.=R^{3}=M e\right)$. Conditions: 2,4-dimethyl-3-pentanone oxime ${ }^{92}(4.72 \mathrm{~g}$, 36.3 mmol ), acetic acid ( $6.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 109 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic anhydride ( 10.3 $\mathrm{mL}, 109 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iron powder ( $4.06 \mathrm{~g}, 72.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous toluene ( 120 mL ). The crude product was purified by recrystallization from hexane. Yield $3.17 \mathrm{~g}(56 \%)$; 5:3 ratio of isomers; white crystalline solid; mp 64-66 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.60$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 1:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}$ (film)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3242,2972,1641 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 6.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, minor), $6.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, major), $2.90-2.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, major), $1.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, minor), $1.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ major and minor), $1.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, minor), $1.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, major $), 0.97(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, minor), $0.95(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, major $) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ 168.8, 131.3, 127.7, 29.7, 29.3, 23.4, 20.6, 20.6, 20.1, 19.9, 19.6, 19.3, 19.3; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 178.1202, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 178.1202.
N -(2-Methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl) acetamide ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$, $\left.R^{1}=R^{2}=-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-, R^{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right) .{ }^{37}$ Conditions: 2-methyl-1tetralone oxime ${ }^{88}(980 \mathrm{mg}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic acid $(0.97 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, acetic anhydride ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iron powder $(0.63 \mathrm{~g}$, 72.6 mmol ), and anhydrous toluene ( 20 mL ). The crude product was purified flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexane:EtOAc). Yield 403 mg (36\%).
$N$-(Cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)acetamide [5h, $X=H, R^{1}=$ Ph, $\left.R^{2}=R^{3}=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}-\right]^{31,37}$ Conditions: cyclohexyl(phenyl)methanone oxime ${ }^{88}(1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 7.87 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic acid ( 1.35 mL , 23.6 mmol ), acetic anhydride ( $2.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iron powder ( 879 mg , $15.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, and anhydrous toluene ( 12 mL ). The crude product was purified by recrystallization from petroleum ether:ethyl acetate 10:1. Yield 877 mg ( $49 \%$ ).
$N$-(2-Phenylcyclohexene-1-yl)acetamide [5i, $X=H, R^{1}=R^{2}=$ $\left.-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}-, R^{3}=P h\right]^{35}$ Conditions: 2-phenylcyclohexanone oxime ${ }^{90}$ ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic acid ( $2.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 47.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic anhydride ( $4.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 47.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iron powder ( $1.77 \mathrm{~g}, 31.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous toluene ( 25 mL ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1). Yield $640 \mathrm{mg}(19 \%)$.
$N$-(2,2,6-Trimethylcyclohexen-1-yl)acetamide [5j, $X=H, R^{1}=R^{2}$ $=-\mathrm{CMe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-, R^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$. Conditions: 2,2,6-trimethylcyclohexanone oxime ${ }^{91}(2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 15.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic acid ( $2.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 46.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic anhydride ( $4.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 46.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iron powder ( $1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 30.9$ mmol ), and anhydrous toluene ( 25 mL ). The crude product was purified by gradient column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc, 9:1 to 1:1). Yield 403 mg ( $14 \%$ ); 2:1 ratio isomers; yellow solid; mp 122$124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.50$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 1:1); IR $v_{\max }$ (film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3271$, 2926, 1649; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 6.11$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, minor $), 6.12$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, major), $2.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.03-2.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.62$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.48-1.68(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.00(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ mixture of rotamers, $173.7,168.4$, 134.5, 131.9, 131.6, 130.4, 38.9, 38.8, 35.6, 35.1, 32.0, 31.8, 27.4, 27.2, 27.1, 26.5, 23.4, 19.6, 19.4, 19.3, 19.1, 19.0; MS $m / z$ (ESI) 204.1 $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right.$ ) [found ( $[\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}$ ) 204.1366, $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 204.1364].

General Procedure for the Formation of 2-Chloroacetamides. 2-Chloroacetamides were prepared by the method of Tang et al. ${ }^{33}$ using the following modification: Oxime ( 1 equiv), 2 -chloroacetic
acid (3 equiv), 2-chloroacetic anhydride (3 equiv) and iron powder (2 equiv) were heated to reflux in anhydrous toluene under an inert atmosphere for $6-16 \mathrm{~h}$. The mixture was then filtered through Celite, diluted with DCM and washed with 2 M NaOH and saturated NaCl solution. The organic phase was then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and then concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Products were purified by column chromatography or recrystallization as stated below.
$N$-(2-Methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)-2-chloroacetamide ( $5 \mathrm{k}, X=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ ). Conditions: 2-methyl-1-tetralone oxime ${ }^{89}(2.94 \mathrm{~g}, 16.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 2chloroacetic acid ( $4.76 \mathrm{~g}, 50.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), 2 -chloroacetic anhydride ( $8.61 \mathrm{~g}, 50.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and iron powder ( 1.88 g , $33.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) were heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h in anhydrous toluene $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was then purified by recrystallization from hexane:EtOAc 10:1 to give the 2.09 g , ( $53 \%$ ); pale brown solid; mp $139-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.23$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 3:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3229,2933,1650 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $7.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.22-7.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5), 4.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $2.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0), 2.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0), 1.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 164.8,135.3,135.0,132.5,127.6,127.0,126.6$, 125.2, 121.3, 42.9, 29.8, 27.6, 19.6; MS $m / z$ (ESI) $258\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 258.0656, \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClNNaO}$ requires 258.0656]. Anal. Found: C, $66.2 \%$; H, $5.9 \%$; N, $5.8 \% \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClNO}$. Calcd: 66.2\%; H, $6.0 \%$; N, $5.8 \%$.

2-Chloro- N -(2-methyl-1-phenylprop-1-enyl) acetamide ( $5 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$, $\left.R^{1}=P h, R^{2}=R^{3}=M e\right) .{ }^{96}$ Conditions: 2-methyl-1-phenylpropan-1-one oxime $^{38}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-chloroacetic acid ( $3.47 \mathrm{~g}, 36.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-chloroacetic anhydride ( $6.28 \mathrm{~g}, 36.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iron powder ( 1.37 g , 24.5 mmol ), and anhydrous toluene ( 20 mL ). The crude product was purified by recrystallization (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1) to give 676 mg , (25\%).

2-Chloro- N -(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)acetamide ( $5 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{X}=$ $\left.\mathrm{Cl}, R^{1}=P h, R^{2}=R^{3}=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}-\right)$. Conditions: cyclohexyl(phenyl)methanone oxime ${ }^{89}(4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 19.7 \mathrm{mmol}), 2$-chloroacetic acid $(5.58 \mathrm{~g}$, 59.1 mmol ), 2-chloroacetic anhydride ( $10.1 \mathrm{~g}, 59.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iron powder ( $2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 39.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous toluene ( 80 mL ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc, 4:1) to give $2.26 \mathrm{~g}(48 \%)$; yellow crystalline solid; mp $128-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.64$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 1:1); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 3232, 2917, 1654; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $7.12-7.39(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.17-2.26(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.51-1.69(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 164.2,138.4,137.9,129.2$, 128.3, 127.6, 124.1, 42.8, 31.0, 30.8, 28.0, 27.4, 26.5; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right.$ ) 286.0977, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNNaO}$ requires 286.0969.

General Procedure for the Formation of Enamides (4b, 4di, 7a-d, 8a, 8b, 8d, 8e, 13b-d, 14, 15, 25a, 26a, 27a, 27b, 27d, 28). The acetamide $5 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{j}$ or 2 -chloroacetamide $5 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{m}$ (1 equiv) was added to sodium hydride ( $1.5-5.0$ equiv, $60 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ dispersion in mineral oil) in anhydrous THF and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzyl bromide ( $1.05-1.5$ equiv) was then added and the reaction heated to reflux under an inert atmosphere for $10-16 \mathrm{~h}$. The reaction mixture was then added to water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate or DCM $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and then concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Products were purified by column chromatography or recrystallization as stated below.
(E)-N-Benzyl-N-(but-2-en-2-yl)acetamide (4d) and (Z)-N-Benzyl-$N$-(but-2-en-2-yl)acetamide (4b). Conditions: $N$-(but-2-en-2-yl)acetamide ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium hydride ( $354 \mathrm{mg}, 8.84$ mmol ), benzyl bromide ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield 2:1 (Z:E) ratio of isomers 4d:4b. Purification by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1) gave a pure sample of (Z)-N-benzyl- $N$-(but-2-en-2-yl)acetamide (4d) as a yellow oil ( 57 mg , $16 \%$ ) and an impure sample of (E)-N-benzyl-N-(but-2-en-2-yl)acetamide ( $\mathbf{4 b}$ ) as a $1: 1.8$ ( $Z: E$ ) mixture ( 153 mg ).

Data for 4b: $R_{f} 0.1$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2934, 1655; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.08-7.34(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.30$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 169.7,137.7,136.3,129.3,128.2,127.4,124.2$, 48.5, 21.3, 21.1, 12.6; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 204.1383, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 204.1383.

Data for 4d: discernible data $R_{f} 0.075$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (mixture) 2934, $1655 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.09-7.45(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.64(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 170.0,138.1,137.3,128.7,127.1,126.4,125.9$, 49.4, 21.7, 15.7, 13.2; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 204.1383, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 204.1383.

N-Benzyl-N-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)acetamide (4e). ${ }^{97}$ Conditions: $N$-(2-methylprop-2-en-2-yl)acetamide ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium hydride ( 354 mg , 8.84 mmol ), benzyl bromide $(0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol})$, and anhydrous THF ( 25 mL ). Yield $99 \mathrm{mg}(28 \%)$; colorless oil; $R_{f}$ 0.35 (pet. ether:EtOAc, 4:1); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2914,1647 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.11-7.37(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.74(1 \mathrm{H}$, apparent quintet, $J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 170.9$, 137.5, 136.5, 128.9, 128.4, 127.3, 124.0, 50.7, 22.1, 21.9, 17.5; MS m/z ESI $204.1\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $226.1\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$.
$N$-Benzyl- $N$-(2-phenylcyclohexene-1-yl)acetamide (4f). ${ }^{98}$ Conditions: $N$-benzyl- $N$-(2-phenylcyclo-hexene-1-yl)acetamide ( 300 mg , 1.39 mmol ), sodium hydride ( $279 \mathrm{mg}, 6.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl bromide ( $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous THF ( 30 mL ). Crude product was purified by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc $2: 1$ ). Yield 293 mg (69\%); yellow oil; $R_{f} 0.48$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 2:1); IR $v_{\max }$ (film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2928,1638 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.09-7.31(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.34-2.41(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.94-2.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.57-1.79(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 170.2,140.7,138.3,136.3,135.6,128.9,128.7$, 128.4, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, 50.6, 31.8, 31.4, 23.1, 22.7, 22.2; MS m/z (ESI) $306.1\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$, $328.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 (25 $\mathrm{cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ hexanes iPrOH ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}} 27.55 \mathrm{~min}$.
$N$-Benzyl-N-(3-methylbut-2-en-2-yl)acetamide (4g). Conditions: N -(3-methylbut-2-en-2-yl) acetamide ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium hydride ( $786 \mathrm{mg}, 19.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl bromide ( $0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.13$ mmol ), and anhydrous THF ( 60 mL ). Yield $680 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$; yellow oil; $R_{f} 0.33$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 4:1); IR $v_{\max }(f i l m) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2920,1644$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.23-7.31(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.64$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 375 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 170.2,137.9$, 131.1, 129.6, 129.5, 128.3, 127.4, 49.2, 21.4, 19.6, 19.4, 17.5; MS m/z (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right) 218.1540, \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 218.1539.
$N$-Methyl- N -(2,4-dimethylpent-2-en-3-yl)acetamide (4h). Conditions: $N$-(2,4-dimethylpent-2-en-3-yl)acetamide ( $496 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium hydride $(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 25.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and methyl iodide ( $3.64 \mathrm{~g}, 25.6$ mmol ). Yield 186 mg (33\%); colorless oil; $R_{f} 0.16$ (3:1 pet. ether:EtOAc); IR $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2965,1637 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}) 3.05-2.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, sept, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.91(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.55(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 171.6,140.5,129.5,36.3$, 30.3, 22.9, 21.3, 20.0, 19.8, 19.3; MS m/z (ESI) $192\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right), 170$ $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right)$ 170.1541, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 170.1545]; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 $(25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ hexanes:iPrOH $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} /$ $\min ) t_{\mathrm{R}} 43.67$ and 45.73 min ; Anal. Found C, $69.6 \%$; H, $11.2 \%$; N, $7.9 \% \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}$. Calcd $71.0 \%$; H, $11.3 \%$; N, $8.3 \%$.
$N$-Benzyl-N-(2,4-dimethylpent-2-en-3-yl)acetamide (4i). Conditions: $N$-(2,4-dimethylpent-2-en-3-yl)acetamide (149 mg, 0.96 mmol ), sodium hydride ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzyl bromide $(120 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield $52 \mathrm{mg}(22 \%)$; colorless oil; $R_{f} 0.36$ (3:1 pet. ether:EtOAc); IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2965,1642 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}) 7.40-7.27(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(1 \mathrm{H}$, sept, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.7(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 170.2,137.6,131.7,130.0,128.3,127.4$, 50.7, 30.1, 23.9, 21.7, 20.5, 19.5, 19.4; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found ([M] ${ }^{+} \mathrm{Na}$ ) 268.1672, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 268.1677; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 $(25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ hexanes: $\mathrm{iPrOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) t_{\mathrm{R}} 30.07$ and 37.30 min.

N-Benzyl-N-(1-phenyl-2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)acetamide (7a). ${ }^{38}$ Conditions: N-(1-phenyl-2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)acetamide (3.50 g, 18.5 mmol ), sodium hydride ( 3.70 mg , 92.5 mmol ), benzyl bromide $(2.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and anhydrous THF ( 300 mL ). Yield 3.67 g ( $71 \%$ ); yellow oil; $R_{f} 0.18$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, $6: 1$ ); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2991, 1642; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.28-7.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.19-7.28(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75\right.$ MHz ) 170.8, 137.3, 136.6, 133.8, 133.5, 129.9, 129.4, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 127.4, 48.5, 21.5, 21.4, 20.9; MS $m / z($ ESI $) 280.2\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) hexanes:iPrOH ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} /$ $\min ) t_{\mathrm{R}} 13.70 \mathrm{~min}$.

N-Benzyl-N-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)acetamide (7b). Conditions: $N$-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)acetamide ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium hydride ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl bromide ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ). Yield 99 mg ( $49 \%$ ); white solid; mp $158-159^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.36$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 3:1); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2927,1643 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR} \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 7.30-7.17(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.87-2.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=16.5$, $6.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=16.6,6.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.24$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 170.6,136.5,136.2,135.6$, 135.5, 131.2, 129.6, 127.5, 127.1, 126.8, 126.6, 126.3, 121.2, 48.8, 28.9, 26.8, 20.6, 18.3; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI) $314\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right)$ 292.1696, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 292.1701]; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 (25 $\mathrm{cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ hexanes: $\mathrm{iPrOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) t_{\mathrm{R}} 30.07$ and 37.38 min .
$N$-Benzyl- $N$-(2,2,6-trimethylcyclohexen-1-yl)acetamide (7c). Conditions: $N$-(2,2,6-trimethylcyclo-hexen-1-yl)acetamide ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.65$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, sodium hydride ( $331 \mathrm{mg}, 8.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl bromide ( 0.21 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol})$, and anhydrous THF ( 30 mL ). Yield $0.331 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$ colorless oil; $R_{f} 0.52$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 3:1); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2931, 1641; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.22-7.35(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.00$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.99-2.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.50-1.83(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.06(3 \mathrm{H}$, s); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 172.2,139.5,138.0,133.9,129.5$, 128.2, 127.2, 51.7, 41.2, 36.0, 31.8, 30.5, 27.7, 21.9, 19.4 18.6; MS m/z (ESI) found ([M] ${ }^{+} \mathrm{HNa}$ ) 294.1829, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 294.1828.
$N$-Benzyl-N-(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)acetamide (7d). Conditions: $N$-(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)acetamide ( 600 mg , 2.62 mmol ), sodium hydride ( $520 \mathrm{mg}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl bromide ( $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous THF ( 50 mL ). The crude product was recrystallized from hexane to give $0.721 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%)$; pale orange solid; mp $89-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.45$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 4:1); IR $v_{\max }$ (film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2925,1646 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.23-7.40$ $(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38-$ $2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.77-1.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.60-1.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.43-1.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.22-1.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.42-0.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 170.8,140.8,137.4,136.5,130.7,130.0$, 129.3, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.4, 48.0, 31.4, 31.3, 28.0, 26.7, 26.4, 21.5; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right) 320.2005, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 320.2009; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 $(25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ hexanes: iPrOH $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) t_{\mathrm{R}} 24.10 \mathrm{~min}$.

N-(1-Cyclohexenyl)-N-methylphenylacetamide (8a). Conditions: N -(1-cyclohexenyl)phenylacetamide ${ }^{39}$ ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NaH ( 9.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$, MeI $(57 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at rt for 5 h , purified by column chromatography ( $4: 1$ pet. ether:EtOAc). Yield $48 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%) ; R_{f}(4: 1$ pet. ether:EtOAc) 0.23; IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2926,1648,1378,1102$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.34-6.98(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.18-2.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.99-1.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.75-1.62(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 170.7,140.4$, 136.3, 129.1, 128.4, 126.8, 126.6, 40.7, 34.3, 27.6, 24.7, 22.7, 21.6; MS $m / z($ ESI $) 252\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 252.1361, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 252.1364$]$.
$N$-(1-Cyclohexenyl)- $N$-butylphenylacetamide (8b). Conditions: $N$ -(1-cyclohexenyl)phenylacetamide ${ }^{39}$ ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaH}(10.8$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 1-bromobutane ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.467 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). Yield $66 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$; $R_{f}$ ( $4: 1$ pet. ether:EtOAc) 0.45 ; IR $v_{\max }$ (film)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2930,1640,1400,1126 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.42-$ $7.07(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 2.15-1.84$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.51(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.51-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.14(2 \mathrm{H}$,
m), $1.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 170.3$, 138.6, 136.5, 129.1, 128.4, 128.0, 126.5, 45.4, 41.1, 30.2, 28.0, 24.9, 22.9, 21.7, 20.2, 14.0; MS $m / z($ ESI $) 294\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 294.1831, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 294.1834].
$N$-(1-Cyclohexenyl)-N-isopropylphenylacetamide (8d). Conditions: $N$-(1-cyclohexenyl)phenylacetamide ${ }^{39}(66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{NaH}(11.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 2-bromopropane ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$, $44 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. Yield $12 \mathrm{mg}(13 \%)$; $R_{f}$ (3:1 pet. ether:EtOAc) 0.66 ; IR $v_{\text {max }}$ (film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2929,1642,1391,1229 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $7.36-7.14(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.59(1 \mathrm{H}$, sep, J 7.5 Hz$), 3.57(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.20-1.48(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.19-1.00(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}) 170.3,136.7,136.3,130.1,129.1,128.4,126.5,46.6,41.8$, 31.7, 25.1, 23.1, 21.6, 21.1; MS $m / z$ (ESI) $280\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right.$ ) [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 280.1677, \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 280.1677].
$N$-(1-Cyclohexenyl)-N-t-butyldimethylsilylphenylacetamide (8e). This was prepared in an NMR tube by the reaction of N -(1cyclohexenyl)phenylacetamide ${ }^{43}(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ with 2 equiv of 2,6-lutidine ( $42.8 \mathrm{mg}, 46.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL of toluene $-d_{8}$. The crude mixture was submitted to VT ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR immediately without purification.

N-Cyclohex-1-enyl-N-2-fluorobenzylacetamide (13b). Yield 45\%; clear oil; $R_{f}$ (3:1 pet. ether:EtOAc) 0.45; IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2932$, 1648, 1489; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.40-7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.25-7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.04-1.92(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.72-$ $1.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.59-1.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ 170.3, 161.0 (d, $J=244.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 138.8,131.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J} 3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.25 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.1,124.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.25 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.0$ (d, $J=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $42.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 27.9,24.9,22.8,21.7,21.5$; MS $m / z(E S I) 270\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 270.1263, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FNNaO}$ requires 270.1270].
$N$-Cyclohex-1-enyl-N-2-iodobenzylacetamide (13c). Yield 45\%; yellow oil; $R_{f}$ (6:1 pet. ether:EtOAc) 0.49; IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2928$, $1643 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.37$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.02(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.70-1.62(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 1.57-1.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 170.3$, 140.3, 139.2, 138.6, 129.7, 128.8, 128.4, 99.6, 53.5, 28.1, 24.8, 22.7, 21.5, 21.4; MS $m / z(E S I) 356.05\left([M]^{+} H\right)$ [found $\left([M]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right) 356.0516$, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{INO}$ requires 356.0506 ].
$N$-Cyclohex-1-enyl-N-2,6-difluorobenzylacetamide (13d). Yield $45 \%$; colorless oil; $R_{f}$ ( $3: 1$ pet. ether:EtOAc) 0.45; IR $v_{\max }$ (film)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2933,1651,1470,1387 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.24-$ $7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.96-6.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.99$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.96-1.83(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.63-1.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.48-1.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 169.4,162.0(\mathrm{dd}, J=255.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $137.4,129.5(\mathrm{t}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.7,113.0(\mathrm{t}, J=18.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 111.1(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=17.3,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 35.6(\mathrm{t}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 27.4,24.9,22.8,21.7,21.4 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} /$ $z($ ESI $) 288\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 288.1170, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 288.1176].
$N$-Cyclohex-1-enyl-N-2,6-dichlorobenzylacetamide (13e). Yield $85 \%$; yellow oil; $R_{f}\left(4: 1\right.$ pet. ether:EtOAc) 0.28 ; IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2929, 1647; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30-5.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} s), 2.05$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.95-1.78(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.56-1.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.45-1.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 169.7,137.4,137.2,133.1,129.4$, 129.2, 128.4, 43.5, 28.4, 24.9, 22.8, 21.5, 21.4; MS m/z (ESI) 320 $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)\left({ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$, $322\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)\left({ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 320.0574$, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17}{ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 320.0585 ].
$N$-Cyclohex-1-enyl- N -2,6-dibromobenzylacetamide (13f). Yield $26 \%$; yellow oil; $R_{f}\left(6: 1\right.$ pet. ether:EtOAc) 0.47 ; IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2926, 1648; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.88-$ $1.84(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.53-1.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.42-1.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 169.9, 137.3, 135.7, 132.5, 130.2, 129.5, 127.1, 48.8, 28.7, 24.9, 22.8, 21.4, 21.3; MS m/z (ESI) $385.98\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right) 385.9747, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 385.9750].
$N$-(2-Bromobenzyl)-N-(2-methyl-1-phenylprop-1-enyl)acetamide (14). Conditions: $N$-(1-phenyl-2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)acetamide ${ }^{37}$
( 9 e ) ( 1.82 g ), sodium hydride $(1.92 \mathrm{~g}, 48.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 2bromobenzyl bromide ( $2.52 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF (140 mL ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc, $4: 1$ ). Yield $1.88 \mathrm{~g}(55 \%)$; pale yellow crystalline solid; $\mathrm{mp} 92-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.43$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, $4: 1$ ); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2922, 1640; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25-7.40(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.51(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 171.4,137.1,136.5,134.2,132.7,132.6,129.4$, 129.2, 129.0, 128.2, 127.8, 127.3, 124.4, 48.7, 21.5, 21.5, 21.1; MS m/z (ESI) $358\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right) 358.0800, \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrNO}$ requires 358.0801].

N-Cyclohept-1-enyl-N-2,6-dibromobenzylacetamide (15). Yield $49 \%$; yellow oil; $R_{f}$ ( $6: 1$ pet. ether:EtOAc) 0.45 ; IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2921, 1647; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 5.00$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 2.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.95(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.52-1.32(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 169.7,142.3,135.5,133.4,132.5,130.2,127.1$, 49.7, 34.5, 30.8, 27.0, 26.2, 25.4, 21.9; MS m/z (ESI) $399.99\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right) 399.9909, \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 399.9906].

N-Benzyl-2-chloro-N-(2-methyl-1-phenylprop-1-enyl)acetamide (25a). The general procedure for the alkylation of enamides (6.1.4) was applied using 2 -chloro- N -(2-methyl-1-phenylprop-1-enyl)acetamide ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium hydride ( $447 \mathrm{mg}, 11.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and benzyl bromide ( $278 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 40 mL ). Yield 316 mg ( $42 \%$ ); yellow oil; $R_{f} 0.28$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2933,1659 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR} \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 7.30-7.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.22-7.30(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR} \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 166.6,136.4,135.7,135.2,132.6,139.0,129.5,128.7,128.4$, 127.8, 49.7, 41.9, 21.6, 21.0; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right)$ 336.1126, $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClNONa}$ requires 336.1131 .
$N$-Benzyl-2-chloro- $N$-(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)acetamide (26a). Conditions: $N$-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)-2-chloroacetamide ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 8.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium hydride ( $1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 40.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl bromide ( $1.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous THF ( 150 mL ). Yield $1.1 \mathrm{~g}(46 \%)$; white solid; mp $111-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.4$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 9:1); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2928,1663 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.24-7.41(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45-2.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.75-1.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.64-1.74(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $1.49-1.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.35-1.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.09-1.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $0.51-0.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 166.5,142.0$, 136.4, 135.5, 130.1, 129.8, 129.5, 129.3, 128.6, 128.3, 127.7, 49.0, 41.8, 31.4, 31.3, 27.9, 26.7, 26.2; MS $m / z(E S I)$ found $\left([M]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 376.1435, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClNNaO}$ requires 376.1439 ; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 $(25 \mathrm{~cm} \times$ $4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ hexanes: $\mathrm{iPrOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ partially resolved, $t_{\mathrm{R}} 23.50$ and 27.75 min .

N-Benzyl-2-chloro- $N$-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)acetamide (27a). Conditions: $N$-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-$\mathrm{yl})$-2-chloroacetamide ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 8.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium hydride ( 1.61 g , 40.3 mmol ), benzyl bromide ( $1.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous THF ( 150 mL ). Yield $960 \mathrm{mg}(37 \%)$; white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 99-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}$ 0.18 (pet. ether:EtOAc, 9:1); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2925,1666 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.09-7.42(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.70-2.89 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.32-2.47 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.14-2.24 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.28 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 166.9,138.3,136.4,136.2$, 131.3, 130.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.2, 122.0, 121.8, 50.8, 42.4, 29.6, 27.4, 19.2; MS $m / z(E S I) 348\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ [found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right)$ 326.1313, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClNO}$ requires 326.1306]; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 $(25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ hexanes:iPrOH $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) t_{\mathrm{R}} 24.74$ and 31.70 min.

N-Benzyl-N-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)-2-bromoacetamide (27b). N-Benzyl-N-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)-2chloroacetamide (13b) ( $540 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in acetone ( 35 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and lithium bromide ( $1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 16.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv) added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature
for 60 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give a white solid which was taken up in water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were then washed with cold water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was then purified by recyrstallization from hexane to give an orange crystalline solid. Yield $519 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%) ; \mathrm{mp}$ $94-96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.19$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 9:1); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2926$, 1660; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.09-7.29(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dt}, J=7.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.72-2.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.47-2.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.26-2.11$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 166.3,138.2$, 136.4, 136.2, 131.4, 131.1, 130.4, 128.4, 127.9, 127.7, 127.1, 122.0, 50.9, 29.7, 28.1, 27.4, 19.5; MS $m / z(E S I)$ found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 392.0626$, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNNaO}$ requires 392.0620; HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times$ $4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ hexanes: $\mathrm{PrOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) t_{\mathrm{R}} 28.64$ and 35.10 min .

Benzyl-N-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)-2-iodoacetamide (27d). N-Benzyl-N-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)-2chloroacetamide ( $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ ) $(209 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in acetone $(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and lithium iodide $(830 \mathrm{mg}, 6.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10 equiv) added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 36 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give a white solid which was taken up in water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were then washed with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was then purified by recyrstallization from hexane to give an orange crystalline solid. Yield $191 \mathrm{mg}(74 \%) ; R_{f} 0.60$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 3:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2929,1652 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $7.31-7.18(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.95-6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.4 .0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.83-2.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.50-2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25-2.18(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $1.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 168.5,138.0$ 136.7, $136.4,131.9,131.7,130.6,128.5,128.1,127.9,127.2,122.5,51.3,31.2$, 29.9, 27.6, 20.1, -1.7; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 440.0482$, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{INNaO}$ requires 440.0487 .

N-Benzyl-2-chloro-N-(2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1-enyl)acetamide (28). 2,2,6-Trimethylcyclohexanone oxime ${ }^{87}(750 \mathrm{mg}, 4.83 \mathrm{mmol})$, chloroacetic acid ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), chloroacetic anhydride ( 2.48 g , 14.5 mmol ), and iron powder ( $0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 9.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous toluene $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ were heated to reflux under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 14 h . The mixture was filtered through Celite, diluted with dichloromethane ( 25 mL ), and washed with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give a brown solid ( 390 mg ) which was used without further purification. To this solid was added sodium hydride ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 1.81$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 18 mL ) and the mixture cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzyl bromide ( $0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction heated at reflux for 16 h . Water was added $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the crude mixture extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extractes were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc, 9:1) to give a yellow oil. Yield $250 \mathrm{mg}(17 \%) ; R_{f} 0.26$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2932,1658 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.18-7.39(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.47-1.82(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 167.7$, 138.0, 136.9, 135.8, 129.7, 128.4, 127.6, 52.4, 42.0, 41.0, 35.9, 31.8, 30.5, 27.8, 19.5, 18.5; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right)$ 306.1622, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25}$ ClNNO requires 306.1625 .

Cyclization of N -Benzyl-2-chloro- N -(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)acetamide (26a) with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$. N-Benzyl-2-chloro-N(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)acetamide (26a) (500 mg, 1.49 $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.600 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.23 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{ACN}(72.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.298$ mmol ) in toluene ( 75 mL ) were heated to reflux for 26 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was then partitioned between acetonitrile $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hexane ( 50 mL ), and the acetonitrile phase was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product as a brown oil $(434 \mathrm{mg})$. Purification of the crude mixture ( $14: 1$ to $9: 1$, pet. ether:EtOAC) furnished $N$-benzyl- $N$ -
(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)-acetamide (7d) (132 mg, 28\%), recovered starting material N -benzyl-2-chloro- N -(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)acetamide (26a) ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ ), and an inseparable mixture of 2-benzyl-1-phenyl-2-azaspiro[4.5]decan-3-one (37a) (118 $\mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ) and 2-benzyl-1-hydroxy-1-phenyl-2-azaspiro[4.5]decan-3one ( $\mathbf{3 7 b}$ ) ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ ).

Discernable data for 37a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 6.93-$ $7.45(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.73-1.79(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 342.1825, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 342.1834 .
Discernable data for $\mathbf{3 7 b}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 6.93-$ $7.45(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.73-1.79(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI) found ( $[\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}$ ) 358.1778, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}$ requires 358.1783 .

Data for mixture: IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3325,2924,2854,1664 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 175.1,138.9,128.8,137.0,136.3,128.6$, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 127.4, 127.3, 98.2, 72.1, 45.8, 44.4, 44.0, 41.0, 40.6, 39.5, 37.8, 34.5, 33.8, 30.2, 25.7, 25.6, 23.1, 23.1, 22.8, 22.5.

Cyclization of N -Benzyl- N -(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1$y l)$-2-chloroacetamide (27a) with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH} / E t_{3} B$. To a solution of N -benzyl- N -(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)-2-chloroacetamide (27a) ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry benzene $(21 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(63 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 140 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the flask was stirred open to the atmosphere for 24 h . Another aliquot of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(63 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 140 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.14$ mmol ) was added and the reaction stirred for a further 24 h . The solvent was evaporated and the crude oil purified by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc 4:1) to give recovered starting material 27a (22 mg, 44\%) and $N$-benzyl- N -(2-methyl-3,4-dihydro-naphthalen-1-yl)acetamide (7b) (19 mg, 70\% based upon recovered starting material).

Cyclisation of N-benzyl-N-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1$y l)$-2-chloroacetamide (27a) with $B u_{3} S n H / A I B N$. To a degassed solution of N -benzyl- N -(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)-2chloroacetamide (27a) $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at reflux was added a degassed mixture of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(58 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and AIBN $(5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ via a syringe pump over 2 h . After 48 h the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue partitioned between $8 \% \mathrm{KF}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 4 h . The resulting organic layer was filtered through Celite and concentrated to yield a crude product which was purified by flash chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc 10:1) to give recovered starting material ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ) and N -benzyl- N -(2-methylnaphthalen-1-yl)-2chloroacetamide 38a ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ based upon recovered starting material); yellow oil; IR $v_{\text {max }}$ (film)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2928, 2857, 1667; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}) 7.30-7.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90-7.05(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 167.0,136.2,134.9,134.1,133.4,130.4,129.2$, 128.9, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.8, 126.1, 122.2, 53.3, 42.5, 18.1; MS m/ $z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right), 346.0970 \quad \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}{ }_{18} \mathrm{ClNNaO}$ requires 346.0975.
$N$-Benzyl-N-(2-methylnaphthalen-1-yl)-2-iodoacetamide (38b). To a solution of $N$-benzyl- $N$-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)-2-iodoacetamide ( $\mathbf{2 7 d}$ ) $(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added AIBN ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture heated at reflux for 2 h . Another equivalent of AIBN was added ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture reacted for a further 24 h . Removal of the solvent in vacuo followed by purification by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc, 5:1) gave a yellow oil ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ). IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2923$, 2851, 1649; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-7.05(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 168.5$, 136.3, 135.4, 134.7, 133.4, 130.4, 130.4, 129.1, 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.5, 126.0, 122.8, 53.5, 18.6, -1.7 ; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 438.0325, \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{INNaO}$ requires 438.0331.

Cyclization of N -Benzyl-N-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)-2-bromoacetamide (27b) with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$. To a degassed solution of N -benzyl- N -( 2 -methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)-2-bromooacetamide ( $27 \mathbf{b}$ ) $(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.405 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene ( 20 mL ) at reflux were added $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(163 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.608 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{ACN}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. After 24 h a further aliquot of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(163 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.608 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ACN $(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 20 mL ) was added. For the next 6 h at 2 h intervals, further ACN was added $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{mg})$. After 24 h the reaction mixture was cooled and concentrated in vacuo. The mixture was portioned between acetonitrile and hexane, and the acetonitrile phase was concentrated to give a crude yellow oil which was further purified by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc 9:1), to give $N$-benzyl- $N$-(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yl)acetamide ( $7 \mathbf{b}$ ) ( $42 \%$ ), 1-(benzylamino)-1-oxoethan- 2 -yl 2-(3oxobutyl)benzoate (39a), and $N$-benzyl- $N$-(2-methylnaphthalen-1yl)acetamide (38c).

Data for 39a: yield 14 mg ( $10 \%$ ); yellow oil; $R_{f} 0.23$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 3:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}$ (film)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3067,1730,1707,1664 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J$ $=7.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36-7.24(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 4.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.56$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 208.2,167.3,166.1$, 143.4, 137.9, 132.7, 131.2, 130.5, 128.8, 128.7, 127.7, 127.6, 126.5, 63.5, 44.9, 43.1, 29.9, 28.0; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([M]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 362.1363, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4}$ requires 362.1368 .

Data for 38c: yield (7\%); yellow oil; IR $v_{\text {max }}$ (film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3029$, 2923, 2854, 1652; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.83-7.79(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.57-7.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.37-7.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20-7.05(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 171.3,137.0,136.1,124.4,1233.3,120.4$, 120.2, 128.8, 128.3, 128.2, 127.6, 127.2, 125.7, 122.5, 52.1, 21.9, 17.9; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found ( $[\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}$ ), 312.1357 $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires] 312.1359.

General Procedure for Cyclization Using Cu(TPMA)X. Enamide (1 equiv), either CuCl ( 1 equiv) or CuBr ( $0.6-1.2$ equiv), and TPA ( $0.6-1.2$ equiv) were heated to reflux in toluene ( 0.12 M ) for $2-44 \mathrm{~h}$. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and filtered through a silica plug with EtOAc. The filtrate was then concentrated in vacuo to give the crude products, which were purified by column chromatography.

1-Benzyl-3,3-dichloro-3a-phenyl-3a,4,5,6-tetrahydro-1H-indol-2(3H)-one (41). Conditions: N -benzyl-2,2,2-trichloro- N -(2-phenyl-cyclohex-1-enyl) acetamide ( $24 \mathbf{a}$ ) ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{CuCl}(48.5$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TPA ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and toluene ( 4.2 mL ), for 2 h . The crude product was purified by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc, 9:1). Yield 123 mg ( $70 \%$ ); colorless oil; $R_{f} 0.37$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 9:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}$ (film)/cm ${ }^{-1}$ 2956, 2875, 1730, 1685; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.24-7.43(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.10-7.15(3 \mathrm{H}$, m), $7.06-7.10(2 H, m), 5.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.50-2.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.98-2.13(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 1.70-1.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.05-1.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 100 MHz ) $\delta 166.3$, 139.1, 138.2, 135.1, 129.0, 128.8, 128.1, 128.1, 128.1, 127.8, 105.4, 89.1, 56.8, 45.2, 29.3, 23.1, 18.1; MS m/z (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 394.0732, $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 394.0736.

Cyclization of N -Benzyl-2,2,2-trichloro-N-(2-methyl-1-phenyl-prop-1-enyl)acetamide (25b) with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{TPMA}) \mathrm{Cl}$. Conditions: N -benzyl-2,2,2-trichloro-N-(2-methyl-1-phenylprop-1-enyl)acetamide (25b) ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), CuCl ( $38.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TPA ( 114 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, and toluene $(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc, 10:1) to give 1-benzyl-3-chloro-5-hydroxy-4,4-dimethyl-5-phenylpyrrolidin-2-one (42a) ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ) and 1 -benzyl-3-chloro-5-hydroxy-4,4-dimethyl-5-phenylpyrrolidin-2-one ( $\mathbf{4 2 b}$ ) ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \%$ ) as colorless solids.

Data for 42a: $R_{f} 0.18$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); $\mathrm{mp} 171-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3520,1676 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.31-$ $7.55(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 7.16-7.31(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 167.8,136.9,136.6,129.2,129.1,128.7$,
128.1, 128.0, 128.0, 96.0, 90.8, 52.7, 46.2, 26.7, 18.2; MS m/z (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 386.0691, \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}$ requires 386.0691 .

Data for 42b: $R_{f} 0.10$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); mp 183-185 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3376,1677$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.37-$ $7.48(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.29-7.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.17-7.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.96-7.04$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}) 172.2,137.3136 .8$ 129.3, 129.1, 128.8, 128.34, 128.2, 96.0, 65.9, 48.4, 44.7, 22.1, 19.3); MS $m / z(E S I)$ found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right)$ 330.1255, $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}$ requires 330.1261 .

Cyclization of $N$-Benzyl-2,2,2-trichloro- $N$-(cyclohexylidene-(phenyl)methyl)-2-methylacetamide (26b) with Cu(TPMA)Cl. Conditions: $N$-benzyl-2,2,2-trichloro- $N$-(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)acetamide (26b) ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.355 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{CuCl}(35.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.355$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, TPA ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.355 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and toluene $(3.3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (pet. ether:EtOAc, 10:1) to give 2-benzyl-4,4-dichloro-1-hydroxy-1-phenyl-2-azaspiro[4.5]decan-3-one (43a) (33 mg, 23\%) and 2-benzyl-4-chloro-1-hydroxy-1-phenyl-2-azaspiro[4.5]decan-3-one (43b) (21 $\mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ ) as colorless solids.

Data for 43a: $R_{f} 0.21$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); mp 178-180 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $v_{\max }$ (film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3517,1728 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 6.86-$ $7.86(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $1.94-2.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.72-1.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.41-1.70$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.23-1.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.10-1.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.91-1.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $0.54-0.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 167.9,137.3$, 136.9, 129.2, 129.1, 128.7, 127.9, 96.7, 92.0, 53.7, 46.3, 32.8, 28.7, 24.9, 22.2, 21.8; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found ([M] $\left.{ }^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 426.0998, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}$ requires 426.1004.

Data for 43b: $R_{f} 0.18$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); mp 183-185 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3213,1687 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 6.76-$ $7.81(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48-1.77$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.13-1.42(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.79-0.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=$ $13.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 172.4,137.6,136.2$, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 127.7, 98.6, 60.5, 48.3, 45.3, 33.8, 26.6, 24.8, 22.8, 22.5; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 392.1388, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClNNaO}_{2}$ requires 392.1393.

Cyclization of N -Benzyl-2-bromo-2-methyl-N-(2-methyl-1-phe-nylprop-1-enyl)propanamide (25c) with Cu(TPMAJBr. Conditions: $N$-benzyl-2-bromo-2-methyl- $N$-(2-methyl-1-phenylprop-1-enyl)propanamide (25c) $(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.518 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuBr}(44.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.311$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, TPA ( $90.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.311 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $6: 1$, pet. etherEtOAc ) to yield 1-benzyl-5-hydroxy-3,3,4,4-tetramethyl-5-phenylpyr-rolidin-2-one ( 44 ) as a white solid ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ) and a $4: 1$ inseparable mixture of 1-benzyl-3,3,4,4-tetramethyl-5-phenylpyrrolidin-2-one (45) and 2-benzyl-4,4,5-trimethyl-1-phenyl-2-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-one (46) $(19 \%, 45: 46=5: 1)$.

Data for 44: $R_{f} 0.16$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); mp 117-119 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3221,1666 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.14-$ $7.48(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.01-7.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.03$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.95$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 182.2,139.3$, 138.6, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 127.9, 97.0, 46.9, 46.1, 44.1, 25.6, 25.0, 20.6, 17.1; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([M]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 346.1781, $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}$ requires 346.1783 .

Data for 46: inseparable mixture; $R_{f} 0.19$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2972,2940,1690 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 6.87-7.44(10 \mathrm{H} 45$ and $10 \mathrm{H} 46, \mathrm{~m}), 5.24(1 \mathrm{H} 45, \mathrm{~d}, J=14.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.83(1 \mathrm{H} 46, \mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.97(1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{45}, \mathrm{s}), 3.72(1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{46}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66(1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{45}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.32(3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{46}, \mathrm{s}), 1.18(3 \mathrm{H} 46$, s), $1.08(3 \mathrm{H} 45, \mathrm{~s}), 1.00(1 \mathrm{H} 46, \mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.96(3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{45}, \mathrm{s}), 0.85$ (3H 45, s), 0.79 (3H 46, s), 0.59 (3H 45, s), 0.30 ( $1 \mathrm{H} 46, \mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ mixture 181.2, 179.5, 137.1, $136.3,135.4,134.2,129.5,129.2,128.9,128.5,128.4,128.2,128.1$, 127.8, 127.8, 127.5, 127.3, 68.6, 51.6, 46.7, 45.3, 45.3, 44.8, 42.4, 28.8, 25.0, 24.2, 21.6, 21.3, 21.1, 20.7, 19.2, 14.1; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 330.1832, \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 330.1834.

Cyclization of N -Benzyl-2-bromo- N -(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)-methyl)-2-methylpropanamide (26c) with Cu(TPMAJBr. Conditions: N -benzyl-2-bromo- N -(cyclohexylidene(phenyl)methyl)-2-methylpropanamide ( 26 c ) ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.469 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{CuBr}(40.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.281 \mathrm{mmol})$, TPA ( $81.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.281 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and toluene ( 5 mL ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $9: 1: 0.2$, hexane:diethyl ether:triethylamine), yielding 2-benzyl-1-hydroxy-4,4-dimethyl-1-phe-nyl-2-azaspiro[4.5] decan-3-one (50) as a white solid ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ ) and an inseparable mixture of 2-benzyl-4,4-dimethyl-1-phenyl-2azaspiro[4.5] decan-3-one ( $\mathbf{5 2}$ and 51 ) $(41 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%, 1: 4=\mathbf{5 0 : 5 1})$.

Data for 50: $R_{f} 0.18$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); mp 169-170 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3165,1664 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.30-$ $7.66(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.06-7.30(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.98-7.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.47-1.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.24-1.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.97-1.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.87-0.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.77-0.87(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 182.1,140.0,138.4,128.9$, 128.5, 128.4, 127.8, 97.3, 48.7, 47.1, 44.2, 32.4, 30.5, 27.5, 25.5, 25.2, 23.5, 22.7; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found ( $[\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}$ ) 386.2096, $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}$ requires 386.2096 .

Data for 52 and 51: $R_{f} 0.21$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) /$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2933,2866,1676 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.16-7.39$ ( $8 \mathrm{H} 52,51, \mathrm{~m}$ ), $7.02-7.06$ ( $2 \mathrm{H} 51, \mathrm{~m}$ ), $6.99-7.02$ ( $2 \mathrm{H} 52, \mathrm{~m}$ ), 5.22 $(1 \mathrm{H} 52, \mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.61(1 \mathrm{H} 51, \mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.08(1 \mathrm{H} 52, \mathrm{~s})$, $3.74(1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{51}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.46(1 \mathrm{H} 52, \mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.83-1.93$ $(1 \mathrm{H} 52,51, \mathrm{~m}), 1.78(1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{51}, \mathrm{td}, J=14.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57-1.70(2 \mathrm{H} 51$, 52, m), 1.46-1.54 (2H 52, m), 1.39 (3H 51, s), 1.34-1.41 (3H 52, $\mathrm{m}), 1.15-1.30(3 \mathrm{H} 52, \mathrm{~m}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H} 52, \mathrm{~s}), 1.23$ ( $3 \mathrm{H} 51, \mathrm{~s}$ ), 1.11 ( 3 H 51, s), $1.04-1.15$ ( $1 \mathrm{H} 52,51, \mathrm{~m}$ ), $0.95-1.04$ ( $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{51}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $0.85(1 \mathrm{H}$ 51, dd, $J=8.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.74-0.82(1 \mathrm{H} 51, \mathrm{~m}), 0.57-0.69(1 \mathrm{H} 51$, $\mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 180.1,178.8,137.7,136.7$, 136.3, 132.7, 132.3, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.5, 126.9, 68.5, 52.6, 47.6, 46.5, 44.9, 44.8, 44.7, 34.0, 31.1, 29.7, 28.4, 25.6, 23.9, 23.8, 23.0, 22.5, 21.8, 21.6, 21.2, 21.2, 20.1; MS m/z (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right) 348.2323, \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 348.2327.

Cyclization of N -Benzyl-2-bromo-2-methyl-N-(2-methyl-3,4-dihy-dronaphthalen-1-yl)propanamide (27c) with Cu[TPMA]Br. Conditions: N -benzyl-2-bromo-2-methyl- N -(2-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphtha-len-1-yl)propanamide ( 27 c ) ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.331 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{CuBr}(28.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.199 \mathrm{mmol})$, TPA ( $57.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.199 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and toluene ( 3.3 mL ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $10: 1$ to 5:1, pet. ether:EtOAc) to give 1-benzyl-3,3,3a-trimethyl-3,3a,4,5-tetrahydro- 1 H -benzoindol-2 $(9 \mathrm{bH}$ )-one ( 54 ) ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$ ), 1-benzyl-9b-hydroxy-3,3,3a-trimethyl-3,3a,4,5-tetrahydro-1 H -benzoindol-2( 9 bH )-one ( 53 ) ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%$ ), and 1-benzyl-[3,9b]cyclopropyl-3,3,3a-trimethyl-3,3a, 4,5 -tetrahydro-1 H -benzoindol-2 $(9 \mathrm{bH})$-one $(55)(7 \mathrm{mg}$, $7 \%$ ), and 39b ( $<2 \%$ ).

Data for 54: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.15$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 8:1); IR $v_{\text {max }}$ (film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2925, 2855, 1670; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.21-7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=$ $12.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.68-1.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.24(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.13$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 180.0,137.8$, 137.4, 132.9, 130.1, 129.3, 128.7, 128.6, 127.5, 127.1, 125.5, 61.6, 47.2, 43.3, 41.2, 27.4, 25.9, 20.9, 17.4, 14.8; MS $m / z\left(\right.$ ESI ) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right)$ 342.1828, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NNaO}$ requires 342.1834 .

Data for 53: $R_{f} 0.05$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 8:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) 7.21-7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.59-6.91(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=17.5,11.0,6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=17.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.17-1.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ MHz ) 181.4, 138.6, 136.8, 135.3, 128.9, 128.8, 128.3, 127.5, 126.8, 126.3, $91.6,46.2,45.3,43.2,31.6,25.8,25.5,19.5,13.0$; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{Na}\right) 358.1778, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}$ requires 358.1738 .

Data for 55: $R_{f} 0.26$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 8:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $600 \mathrm{MHz}) 7.08-7.54(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.00-7.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.64(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 180.3,143.3$,
137.5, 137.1, 128.9, 128.4, 127.5, 126.8, 126.5, 125.0, 123.6, 59.6, 52.9, 45.8, 44.5, 32.6, 30.9, 25.2, 21.4, 6.6; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found ([M] ${ }^{+} \mathrm{H}$ ) 318.1860, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 318.1858 .

Data for 39b: $R_{f} 0.14$ (pet. ether:EtOAc, 6:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(600$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J} 8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.27-7.34(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.21-7.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.77(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 208.3, 173.0, 166.2, 142.9, 138.4, 132.3, 131.1, 130.4, 128.7, 127.8, 127.5, 126.3, 81.7, 45.2, 43.6, 30.0, 28.0, 25.0; MS $m / z$ (ESI) found $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+} \mathrm{H}\right) 368.1856, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ requires 368.1862 .

Data for mixture: HPLC (S,S)-Whelk-O1 ( $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) hexanes: $\mathrm{iPrOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}) 53, t_{\mathrm{R}} 18.88$ and $31.03 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathbf{3 9 b}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ $111.01 \mathrm{~min} ; 54, t_{\mathrm{R}} 14.77$ and $52.03 \mathrm{~min} ; 55, t_{\mathrm{R}} 9.87$ and 11.55 min .
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[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Estimated errors $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{298} \pm 0.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; these are in line with related work. ${ }^{b}$ Enamide constrained in a seven-membered ring

