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#### Abstract

We consider an inverse-free Jarratt-type approximation of order four in a Banach space (Argyros et al., 1996). We establish a convergence theorem by using recurrence relations. The purpose of this note is to relax convergence conditions and give an example where our convergence theorem can be applied but not the other ones.
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## 1. Introduction

Although multipoint iteration functions are not used much in practice, one interesting family of this type of functions, studied by Traub [8], is very efficient when the equation to be solved is such that the evaluation of the first derivative is rapid compared to the function. An example of this occurs when the function is defined by an integral.

A modification of Newton's method for solving nonlinear equations of the type $F(x)=0$ was recently introduced by Argyros et al. [3]. They studied a new inverse-free approximation scheme defined, for all $n \geqslant 0$, by

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=x_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{n}\right), \\
& H\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{-1}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}+\frac{2}{3}\left(y_{n}-x_{n}\right)\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right], \\
& x_{n+1}=y_{n}-\frac{3}{4} H\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\left[I-\frac{3}{2} H\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right]\left(y_{n}-x_{n}\right), \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]where $F$ is a nonlinear operator defined on a convex domain $\Omega$ of a Banach space $X$ with values in a Banach space $Y$. It has been assumed that $F$ has a first-order continuous Fréchet derivative on $\Omega, F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}$ exists for $x_{0} \in \Omega$.

A Kantorovich-like convergence theorem is given in [3], where it was shown that the previous method converges locally with order four, under the regularity assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime \prime}(x)\right\| \leqslant L_{1}, \quad\left\|F^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right\| \leqslant L_{2}, \quad\left\|F^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)-F^{\prime \prime \prime}(y)\right\| \leqslant L_{3}\|x-y\|, \quad x, y \in \Omega . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, convergence studies, where the majorant principle [5] is applied for one-point iterations of order three, are based on conditions of the form (see [1, 2, 4, 10])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right\| \leqslant K_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad\left\|F^{\prime \prime}(x)-F^{\prime \prime}(y)\right\| \leqslant K_{2}\|x-y\|, \quad x, y \in \Omega . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [7], Smale presented a new concept of point estimation. Instead of the region conditions in the Newton-Kantorovich theorem, he got the convergence of the Newton method for analytic maps from the data at one point. In Smale-like theorems for iterative methods, it is supposed that the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{j!}\left\|\Gamma_{0} F^{(j)}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|\left\|\Gamma_{0} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{j-1} \leqslant h^{j-1}, \quad j \geqslant 2 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied at $x_{0}$. The $h$ constant is different for each method (see $[7,11]$ ).
In this note, we give another convergence theorem for operator equations. In order to provide the convergence of (1), it is only assumed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(y)\right\| \leqslant K\|x-y\|, \quad x, y \in \Omega, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of (2), (3) or (4). Observe that we can apply a method of order four (1) under the same condition (5) as for Newton's method (see [9]). Finally, an example where the conditions (2)-(4) but not (5) fail is presented.

We denote $\overline{B(x, r)}=\{y \in X ;\|y-x\| \leqslant r\}$ and $B(x, r)=\{y \in X ;\|y-x\|<r\}$.

## 2. A convergence theorem

Theorem 2.1. Let $F$ be a nonlinear once Fréchet-differentiable operator in an open convex domain $\Omega$ of a Banach space $X$ with values in a Banach space $Y$. Let $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and suppose that $\Gamma_{0}=F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}$ exists. Let us assume

$$
\left\|\Gamma_{0}\right\| \leqslant a, \quad\left\|y_{0}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant b, \quad\left\|F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(y)\right\| \leqslant K\|x-y\|, \quad x, y \in \Omega .
$$

If $a_{0}=a b K<s=0.300637 \ldots\left(s\right.$ is the smallest positive root of polynomial $q(x)=2 x^{6}+3 x^{5}+8 x^{4}-$ $\left.5 x^{3}-8 x^{2}-24 x+8\right)$ and $\overline{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)} \subset \Omega$, where

$$
r=\frac{1+\left(a_{0} / 2\right)\left(1+a_{0}\right)}{1-M_{0}}\left\|y_{0}-x_{0}\right\|
$$

$M_{0}=\left(a_{0}\left(8+8 a_{0}+5 a_{0}^{2}+2 a_{0}^{3}+a_{0}^{4}\right)\right) /\left(8\left(1-b_{0}\right)\right)$ and $b_{0}=a_{0}\left(1+\left(a_{0} / 2\right)\left(1+a_{0}\right)\right)$, then sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ given by (1) is well-defined, $x_{n}, y_{n} \in B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$, for all $n \geqslant 0$, and converges $R$-quadratically at
least to a solution $x^{*} \in \overline{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}$ of the equation $F(x)=0$. Moreover, the solution $x^{*}$ is unique in $B\left(x_{0},(2 / a K)-r\right) \cap \Omega$.

Proof. Let us define the following two real sequences for all $n \geqslant 1$ :

$$
a_{0}=a b K, \quad b_{n}=a_{n}\left(1+\left(a_{n} / 2\right)\left(1+a_{n}\right)\right), \quad a_{n}=\frac{a_{n-1}^{2}\left(8+8 a_{n-1}+5 a_{n-1}^{2}+2 a_{n-1}^{3}+a_{n-1}^{4}\right)}{8\left(1-b_{n-1}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Under the hypotheses mentioned above, the existence of $x_{1}$ is guaranteed and $\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant(1+$ $\left.\left(a_{0} / 2\right)\left(1+a_{0}\right)\right)\left\|y_{0}-x_{0}\right\|$. Besides $\Gamma_{1}$ exists and $\left\|\Gamma_{1}\right\| \leqslant a /\left(1-b_{0}\right)$ by the Banach lemma. So $x_{2}$ is defined, and taking into account the Taylor's formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(x_{1}\right)=-\frac{3}{4}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}+\frac{2}{3}\left(y_{0}-x_{0}\right)\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]\left[I-\frac{3}{2} H\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right]\left(y_{0}-x_{0}\right) \\
&+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows from (1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{1}-x_{1}\right\| \leqslant M_{0}\left\|y_{0}-x_{0}\right\|, \quad K\left\|\Gamma_{1}\right\|\left\|y_{1}-x_{1}\right\| \leqslant a_{1} \\
& \left\|x_{2}-x_{1}\right\| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{a_{1}}{2}\left(1+a_{1}\right)\right)\left\|y_{1}-x_{1}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, as $a_{0}<s$, we have $a_{1}<a_{0}$. Therefore, by applying mathematical induction on $n$, we can replace $x_{1}$ by $x_{2}, x_{2}$ by $x_{3}$ and, in general, $x_{n-1}$ by $x_{n}$ to obtain that there exists $\Gamma_{n}=F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{-1}$, $\left\|\Gamma_{n}\right\| \leqslant\left(\left\|\Gamma_{n-1}\right\|\right) /\left(1-b_{n-1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \leqslant M_{n-1}\left\|y_{n-1}-x_{n-1}\right\|=\frac{a_{n-1}\left(8+8 a_{n-1}+5 a_{n-1}^{2}+2 a_{n-1}^{3}+a_{n-1}^{4}\right)}{8\left(1-b_{n-1}\right)}\left\|y_{n-1}-x_{n-1}\right\|, \\
& K\left\|\Gamma_{n}\right\|\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \leqslant a_{n}, \\
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{a_{n}}{2}\left(1+a_{n}\right)\right)\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

$a_{n}<\gamma^{2 n-1} a_{n-1}$, where $\gamma=a_{1} / a_{0}$, and $b_{n}<b_{n-1}$. In addition, $M_{n-1} \leqslant \gamma^{\gamma^{n-2}} M_{n-2}$.
Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| & \leqslant\left(1+\frac{a_{0}}{2}\left(1+a_{0}\right)\right) M_{n-1}\left\|y_{n-1}-x_{n-1}\right\| \\
& \leqslant \cdots \leqslant\left(1+\frac{a_{0}}{2}\left(1+a_{0}\right)\right) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} M_{j}\left\|y_{0}-x_{0}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} M_{j}<\left(1-b_{0}\right)^{n} \gamma^{2^{n}-1}$. Therefore, sequence (1) is a Cauchy sequence, since $b_{0}<1$ and $\gamma<1$ as a consequence of the fact that $a_{0}<s$, and then sequence (1) converges to $x^{*} \in \overline{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}$. We now deduce that $F\left(x^{*}\right)=0$ from the continuity of $F$ and taking into account that $\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \leqslant \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} M_{j} \| y_{0}-$ $x_{0} \| \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It now follows that sequence (1) converges at least with R -order two [6] to $x^{*}$ from the estimation

$$
\left\|x^{*}-x_{n}\right\| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{a_{0}}{2}\left(1+a_{0}\right)\right) \frac{b}{\gamma b_{0}} \gamma^{2^{n}}
$$

Finally, to show uniqueness, let us assume that $y^{*} \in B\left(x_{0},(2 / a k)-r\right) \cap \Omega$ is another solution of $F(x)=0$. Following the technique given by Argyros and Chen in [2], we observe

$$
0=F\left(y^{*}\right)-F\left(x^{*}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}+t\left(y^{*}-x^{*}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t\left(y^{*}-x^{*}\right)
$$

Then we have to prove that the operator $\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}+t\left(y^{*}-x^{*}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t$ is invertible and consequently $y^{*}=x^{*}$. Indeed, from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Gamma_{0}\right\| \int_{0}^{1}\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}+t\left(y^{*}-x^{*}\right)\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \mathrm{d} t & \leqslant a K \int_{0}^{1}\left\|x^{*}+t\left(y^{*}-x^{*}\right)-x_{0}\right\| \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leqslant a K \int_{0}^{1}\left((1-t)\left\|x^{*}-x_{0}\right\|+t\left\|y^{*}-x_{0}\right\|\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& <\frac{a K}{2}\left(r+\frac{2}{a K}-r\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $\left[\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}+t\left(y^{*}-x^{*}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t\right]^{-1}$ exists.

## 3. Example

We provide an example where assumptions (2)-(4) fail but the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled.

Let us consider the system of equations $G(x, y)=0$ where $G:(-1,1) \times(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that

$$
G(x, y)=\left(x^{3} \ln x^{2}+2 y-1 / 16, x(y-2)\right) .
$$

If we choose $x_{0}=(0,0)$, we observe that $G^{(j)}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is not defined for $j \geqslant 3$ and then (4) is not satisfied. Moreover, $G$ does not satisfy conditions (2) and (3).

On the other hand, we can apply Theorem 2.1, since

$$
a=\left\|\Gamma_{0}\right\|_{\infty}=1 / 2, \quad b=\left\|y_{0}-x_{0}\right\|_{\infty}=1 / 32, \quad k=10
$$

and, consequently, $a_{0}=a b K=0.15625<s=0.300637 \ldots$. As a result, we only study the convergence for this system of equations under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1.
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