



University of Warwick institutional repository: http://go.warwick.ac.uk/wrap

This paper is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please scroll down to view the document itself. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our policy information available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this paper please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published version may require a subscription.

Author(s): Brace, Samantha; Perkins, Gavin D

Article Title: Celebrating 50 years of cardiopulmonary resuscitation

Year of publication: 2010 Link to published article:

http;//dx.doi.org/10.1097/MCC.0b013e3283399afc

Publisher statement: None

Celebrating 50 years of cardiopulmonary resuscitation

Samantha J Brace, Research Fellow

Gavin D Perkins, Associate Clinical Professor

University of Warwick, Warwick Medical School Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick, CV4 7AL

Corresponding author:

G D Perkins, g.d.perkins@warwick.ac.uk; Tel: + 44 24761 50925

Funding: GDP is funded by a Department of Health (UK) National Institute of Health Research Clinician Scientist Award

It is now fifty years since Kouwenhoven, Jude and Knickerbocker published their seminal paper describing the use of closed chest cardiac massage for the resuscitation of patients in cardiac arrest[1]. The widespread adoption of these techniques by both laypersons and healthcare professionals has seen many tens of thousands of lives saved over the years. The science supporting the practice of resuscitation has grown substantially since these early descriptions. It has also become increasingly recognised that advances in science alone are insufficient to improve patient outcomes unless they can be integrated into clinical practice[2]. The International Liaison Committee for Resuscitation (ILCOR) (http://www.ilcor.org) was formed in 1992 with the aim of providing a forum for liaison between resuscitation organisations worldwide. ILCOR has been leading on an appraisal of existing evidence over the last four years. This process will culminate with the simultaneous publication of the International Consensus on Science and Treatment recommendations in the journals Resuscitation and Circulation on 18th October 2010. In parallel with these publications, Resuscitation Councils from around the world will publish new and updated resuscitation guidelines.

This themed edition of Current Opinion in Critical Care is dedicated to providing an update on key areas of resuscitation research over the last 2 years. The papers are arranged to flow through the "Chain of Survival" concept which identifies the four central pillars to improving outcomes from cardiac arrest (cardiac arrest prevention; CPR, defibrillation and post resuscitation care)[3-4].

Cardiac arrests, particularly in the hospital setting are rarely an un-heralded event. In 30-80% of inhospital arrests evidence of physiological deterioration is recorded in the medical and nursing charts in the hours prior to the arrest. Establishing system-wide approaches to minimising these risks have focused on combinations of risk prediction, surveillance and emergency response systems. Risk prediction systems seek to identify, early during an admission, those patients most at risk of adverse outcomes such as cardiac arrest, critical care admission and death. Surveillance systems aim to identify the deteriorating patient, prior to cardiac arrest whilst response systems provide the mechanisms for ensuring the right clinicians are called in a timely manner to provide appropriate treatment for the patient. Whilst these advances have undoubtedly improved the process of care for the critically ill patient, their impact on patient outcomes has been more difficult to determine [5-6].

Jansen and Cuthbertson report on an extensive review of the literature focusing on one type of physiological surveillance systems – the so called track and trigger systems. These systems combine the periodic observation of physiological signs (the "tracking") with predetermined calling or response criteria (the "trigger") for requesting the attendance of more experienced or skilled staff. Perhaps contrary to the widely held perception, they found that the formal statistical evaluation of these systems were often limited. They found that most systems have low sensitivity, low positive predictive values and high specificity meaning that they often fail to identify patients who need additional care. This may explain some of the reason why it has been difficult to clearly delineate positive effects on patient outcomes from introducing these systems[7]. The authors appropriately make a plea for the validation work to be undertaken urgently. Fortunately, an international, multi professional consensus conference has recently taken place to tackle these very issues. The proceedings from this conference provide a pathway for undertaking these validation steps which will help ensure these systems are optimally configured[8].

Our understanding of the importance of the quality of CPR has expanded significantly over the last 5 Chest compression depth[9-10], rate[11], failure to release pressure between years. compressions(leaning)[12], interruptions in CPR[13], pauses prior to defibrillation[14] and ventilation rate[15] all impact the effectiveness of resuscitation. Despite this, CPR is still frequently performed sub-optimally by both laypersons[16] and healthcare providers[17-19]. Building on the theme that science alone without translation into practice cannot improve outcomes, a number of strategies have emerged to support implementing best practice. Researchers from the University of Pennsylvania note that technologies have been developed that are able to prompt CPR providers to undertake specific actions and provide real time feedback on the quality of chest compressions and ventilations. There is good evidence that the use of these devices can improve CPR skill acquisition and retention during training and some evidence they improve CPR performance in real life[20]. Complimentary to the use of these devices, simulation training is noted to improve resuscitation performance in actual cardiac arrests[21-22] and the importance of frequent targeted training sessions is explored[23]. Focused debriefing, which allows resuscitation team members to revisit and learn from actual cardiac arrests also shows promise for improving the quality of CPR and survival[24].

Mechanical chest compression devices offer an alternative to manual chest compression. The devices have several potential advantages over manual chest compression. Mechanical compression devices compress the chest to specified depths/rates, compressions are uniform and efficacy does not decline due to fatigue. Despite good evidence from animal models suggesting superiority over manual chest compressions, the evidence supporting their use in patients is either lacking or equivocal[25]. There is an urgent need for definitive studies to examine the clinical and cost effectiveness of these technologies.

Defibrillation is life saving for patients in ventricular fibrillation (VF) / pulseless ventricular tachycardia (VT). The time from the onset of VF / VT to shock delivery is critical; with survival falling by approximately 5% for every minute defibrillation is delayed [26-27]. The introduction of Public Access Defibrillation (PAD) programmes in the early 90's facilitated significant reductions in the times taken to deliver the first shock. There is now convincing evidence for both the clinical [28-29] and cost effectiveness [30] of these programs. Having established effectiveness, the next step is to consider where technology can best be deployed. Dianne Atkins from the University of Iowa undertakes an in depth appraisal of PAD programs, exploring in detail how, where and when they can be best utilised. She identifies that placing devices in public places with the highest frequency of cardiac arrests, ensuring appropriate supportive infrastructure is provided and integrating with local EMS systems are the key elements for success.

The Universal Advanced Life Support algorithm prompts resuscitation teams to identify and treat potentially reversible causes of cardiac arrest during CPR[31]. Of the potentially reversible causes of cardiac arrest it is possible with simple bedside examination / tests to identify hypoxia, electrolyte disturbances and hypothermia. The remaining reversible causes (hypovoleamia, tamponade, pulmonary embolism, myocardial infarction and tension pneumothorax, toxin) are dependent upon subjective clinical assessment with or without supportive diagnostic imaging (pneumothorax) and laboratory tests (toxins, haemoglobin). The increasing availability of portable ultrasound /

echocardiograph equipment has opened up the possibility of using these diagnostic techniques during CPR. Price *et al* review the role that echocardiography can play during advanced life support both in terms of diagnosis of underlying heart rhythm[32], identification of potentially reversible causes[33-34] and targeted treatment[35]. The authors raise the importance of further studies in this area and in ensuring that competency based training programmes are developed in parallel with changes in guidelines in order to ensure that the full potential of this technology can be realised.

The return of spontaneous circulation heralds the commencement of the final link of the chain of survival – post resuscitation care. Since therapeutic hypothermia was shown in 2002 to improve neurological outcome after cardiac arrest[36], renewed focus and attention has been applied to the post resuscitation phase of care. The International Liaison Committee for Resuscitation published a full evidence appraisal of the epidemiology, pathophysiology, treatment, and prognostication after return of spontaneous circulation in 2008[37]. Nolan and Soar provide a succinct yet state of the art review of how to manage patients during this phase of their care. They highlight the potential benefits of institutions developing post resuscitation bundles of care[38] comprising control of glucose, therapeutic hypothermia and percutaneous coronary intervention and cite the latest evidence of the potential efficacy of this approach[39].

Beyond the concept of post resuscitation care bundles – the question becomes where can care most effectively be delivered? Given the marked regional variation in outcomes from cardiac arrest[40] and well-defined relationship between patient volume and outcomes in several other diseases the question arises as to whether outcomes would be improved if care was centralised into regional cardiac arrest centres[41]. Nichol and Soar explore the evidence for and against cardiac arrest centres and come to the conclusion that the successful implementation and maintenance of cardiac resuscitation centres would have a significant and important impact on outcomes.

So fifty years after the first description of chest compressions we should celebrate the success of how the science of resuscitation has grown and the success of how CPR has spread to all corners of the globe. At the same time we need to recognise the limitations to our current practice and strive to see the next fifty years evolve to conquer these limitations and eliminate morbidity and mortality from cardiac arrest.

References

- 1. Kouwenhoven WB, Jude JR, Knickerbocker GG. Closed-chest cardiac massage. JAMA 1960; 173:1064-7
- 2. Chamberlain DA, Hazinski MF. Education in resuscitation. Resuscitation 2003; 59:11-43
- 3. Nolan J, Soar J, Eikeland H. The chain of survival. Resuscitation 2006; 71:270-271
- 4. Perkins GD, Soar J. In hospital cardiac arrest: missing links in the chain of survival. Resuscitation 2005; 66:253-255
- 5. Introduction of the medical emergency team (MET) system: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 2005; 365:2091-2097
- 6. Chen J, Flabouris A, Bellomo R et al. The Medical Emergency Team System and Not-for-Resuscitation Orders: Results from the MERIT Study. Resuscitation 2008; 79:391-397

- 7. Chan PS, Jain R, Nallmothu BK et al. Rapid Response Teams: A Systematic Review and Metaanalysis. Arch Intern Med 2010; 170:18-26
- 8. Devita MA, Smith GB, Adam SK et al. "Identifying the hospitalised patient in crisis"-A consensus conference on the afferent limb of Rapid Response Systems. Resuscitation 2010;
- 9. Edelson DP, Abella BS, Kramer-Johansen J et al. Effects of compression depth and pre-shock pauses predict defibrillation failure during cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2006; 71:137-145
- 10. Kramer-Johansen J, Myklebust H, Wik L et al. Quality of out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation with real time automated feedback: a prospective interventional study. Resuscitation 2006; 71:283-292
- 11. Abella BS, Sandbo N, Vassilatos P et al. Chest compression rates during cardiopulmonary resuscitation are suboptimal: a prospective study during in-hospital cardiac arrest. Circulation 2005; 111:428-434
- 12. Zuercher M, Hilwig RW, Ranger-Moore J et al. Leaning during chest compressions impairs cardiac output and left ventricular myocardial blood flow in piglet cardiac arrest. Critical Care Medicine 9000; Publish Ahead of Print:10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181ce1fe2
- 13. Christenson J, Andrusiek D, Everson-Stewart S et al. Chest Compression Fraction Determines Survival in Patients With Out-of-Hospital Ventricular Fibrillation. Circulation 2009; 120:1241-1247
- 14. Perkins GD, Lockey AS. Defibrillation-Safety versus efficacy. Resuscitation 2008; 79:1-3
- 15. Aufderheide TP, Lurie KG. Death by hyperventilation: a common and life-threatening problem during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Crit Care Med 2004; 32:S345-S351
- 16. Rea TD, Stickney RE, Doherty A et al. Performance of chest compressions by laypersons during the Public Access Defibrillation Trial. Resuscitation 2010; 81:293-6
- 17. Hunt EA, Vera K, Diener-West M et al. Delays and errors in cardiopulmonary resuscitation and defibrillation by pediatric residents during simulated cardiopulmonary arrests. Resuscitation 2009; 80:819-25
- 18. Arshid M, Lo TY, Reynolds F. Quality of cardio-pulmonary resuscitation (CPR) during paediatric resuscitation training: time to stop the blind leading the blind. Resuscitation 2009; 80:558-60
- 19. Leary M, Abella BS. The challenge of CPR quality: improvement in the real world. Resuscitation 2008; 77:1-3
- 20. Yeung J, Meeks R, Edelson D et al. The use of CPR feedback/prompt devices during training and CPR performance: A systematic review. Resuscitation 2009; 80:743-751
- 21. Wayne DB, Didwania A, Feinglass J et al. Simulation-based education improves quality of care during cardiac arrest team responses at an academic teaching hospital: a case-control study. Chest 2008; 133:56-61
- 22. Perkins GD. Simulation in resuscitation training. Resuscitation 2007; 73:202-11
- 23. Niles D, Sutton RM, Donoghue A et al. "Rolling Refreshers": a novel approach to maintain CPR psychomotor skill competence. Resuscitation 2009; 80:909-12
- 24. Edelson DP, Litzinger B, Arora V et al. Improving in-hospital cardiac arrest process and outcomes with performance debriefing. Arch Intern Med 2008; 168:1063-9
- 25. Jacobs I. Mechanical chest compression devices--will we ever get the evidence? Resuscitation 2009; 80:1093-4
- 26. Larsen MP, Eisenberg MS, Cummins RO et al. Predicting survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a graphic model. Ann Emerg Med 1993; 22:1652-1658
- 27. Eftestol T, Sunde K, Steen PA. Effects of interrupting precordial compressions on the calculated probability of defibrillation success during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Circulation 2002; 105:2270-2273
- 28. Hallstrom AP, Ornato JP, Weisfeldt M et al. Public-access defibrillation and survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med 2004; 351:637-46
- 29. Sanna T, La Torre G, de Waure C et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation alone vs. cardiopulmonary resuscitation plus automated external defibrillator use by non-healthcare

professionals: a meta-analysis on 1583 cases of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2008; 76:226-32

- 30. Nichol G, Huszti E, Birnbaum A et al. Cost-effectiveness of lay responder defibrillation for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Ann Emerg Med 2009; 54:226-35 e1-2
- 31. Nolan JP, Deakin CD, Soar J et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2005 Section 4. Adult advanced life support. Resuscitation 2005; 67 Suppl 1:S39-S86
- 32. Querellou E, Meyran D, Petitjean F et al. Ventricular fibrillation diagnosed with transthoracic echocardiography. Resuscitation 2009; 80:1211-3
- 33. Hernandez C, Shuler K, Hannan H et al. C.A.U.S.E.: Cardiac arrest ultra-sound exam--a better approach to managing patients in primary non-arrhythmogenic cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2008; 76:198-206
- 34. Kaplan A, Mayo PH. Echocardiography performed by the pulmonary/critical care medicine physician. Chest 2009; 135:529-35
- 35. Silvestry FE, Kerber RE, Brook MM et al. Echocardiography-guided interventions. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2009; 22:213-31; quiz 316-7
- 36. HACA. Mild therapeutic hypothermia to improve the neurologic outcome after cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med 2002; 346:549-56
- 37. Nolan JP, Neumar RW, Adrie C et al. Post-cardiac arrest syndrome: Epidemiology, pathophysiology, treatment, and prognostication A Scientific Statement from the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation; the American Heart Association Emergency Cardiovascular Care Committee; the Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia; the Council on Cardiopulmonary, Perioperative, and Critical Care; the Council on Clinical Cardiology; the Council on Stroke. Resuscitation 2008; 79:350-79
- 38. Nolan JP, Soar J. Post resuscitation care--Time for a care bundle? Resuscitation 2008; 76:161-162
- 39. Sunde K, Pytte M, Jacobsen D et al. Implementation of a standardised treatment protocol for post resuscitation care after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2007;
- 40. Nichol G, Thomas E, Callaway CW et al. Regional variation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest incidence and outcome. JAMA 2008; 300:1423-31
- 41. Nichol G, Aufderheide TP, Eigel B et al. Regional systems of care for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A policy statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2010; 121:709-29