Sex-dependent immune responses to infant vaccination: an individual

participant data meta-analysis of antibody and memory B cells.

Running head: Sex-differences in immune responses.

Merryn Voysey^{a,b*}; Charlotte I. S. Barker^{d,b,e*}; Matthew D Snape^{b,c}; Dominic F Kelly^{b,c};

Johannes Trück^{b,c} and Andrew J Pollard^{b,c}.

aNuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.

^bOxford Vaccine Group, Department of Paediatrics, University of Oxford, UK.

^cNIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, UK.

^dPaediatric Infectious Diseases Research Group, Institute for Infection and Immunity, St George's University of London, UK.

^eOxford University Clinical Academic Graduate School, Medical Sciences Division, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK.

*Both authors contributed equally to the manuscript. Corresponding author: Merryn Voysey

Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford

Gibson Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Oxford, OX2 6GG, UK.

Tel: 01865 289288, Email: merryn.voysey@phc.ox.ac.uk

Abstract

Background

Biological sex can be an important source of variation in infection and immunity and sexdependent differences in immune response to vaccination have been reported in some studies.

Methods

We conducted an individual participant data meta-analysis of vaccine trials from one research centre, in which vaccines were administered to children under three years of age and immunological parameters measured. Log-transformed antigen-specific antibody and memory B cell results were meta-analysed and differences between girls and boys reported as geometric mean ratios.

Results

Antibody and memory B cell data were available from nine trials and 2378 children. Statistically significant differences between girls and boys were observed for diphtheria toxoid, capsular group A, W, and Y meningococcal, and pneumococcal vaccines. No sex-differences were observed for responses to *Haemophilus influenzae* type b, capsular group C meningococcal or tetanus toxoid vaccines.

Conclusions

In young children, immune responses to vaccines were consistently higher or equivalent in girls compared with boys. In no instance were responses in boys significantly higher than girls. While these data do not indicate differences in protection conferred by immunisation in boys and girls, they do support further consideration of biological sex in planning of clinical trials of vaccines. **Keywords**: antibody, memory B cells, sex-differences, infant, vaccine, individual participant data, meta-analysis

Introduction

There is increasing evidence that biological sex influences the immune response to vaccination and infection, however the biological mechanisms underpinning such differences are not well understood [1-3]. Elucidating the precise hormonal, genetic, behavioural and environmental mechanisms which are involved in sex-differential responses is a focus of ongoing research. In vaccinated adults, sex-differences in antibody response to vaccination have been observed to be greater for females after influenza [1], tetanus toxoid [4] and standard titre Schwarz measles vaccines [5] amongst others. Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine effectiveness has been reported to be greater in women than men [6] however other studies have shown pneumococcal antibody responses to be higher in men [7, 8]. Persistence of antibody after diphtheria toxoid vaccination has been observed to be higher in men in cross-sectional studies however such findings may be influenced by widespread vaccination of military recruits [9]. There are few published estimates of sex-specific immune responses for vaccines administered in infancy or early childhood. Sex-specific estimates of immune responses to vaccination in children have been published in a small number of studies, which showed higher antibody titres to measles vaccine in female infants after vaccination with Edmonston-Zagreb measles vaccine but not after vaccination with Schwarz measles vaccine [10]. Additionally, higher anti-rubella titres have been reported in girls in studies of older children and adolescents [11, 12]. Women carry two X chromosomes which contain many genes involved in immune response mechanisms [13, 14], and sex-hormones are believed to influence protection [15]. Oestrogens promote proliferation of B cells and their maturation into plasma cells and are associated with inflammation, whereas androgens are associated with decreased antibody production and increased production of anti-inflammatory cytokines [1, 14].

In only a minority of vaccine studies are immunological responses to vaccination in males and females reported separately. Although attempts have been made to review the available evidence of sex-biases [3, 6, 15], the non-publication of sex-specific trial results, particularly non-significant findings, results in a form of publication bias which may distort conclusions drawn from systematic reviews. However, individual participant data from past vaccine studies can provide a source of information which is unaffected by publication bias. The aim of this meta-analysis was to collate all data from available vaccine studies from one research centre to characterise sex-differences in vaccine-specific humoral and cellular immune responses.

Methods:

Data collection:

Archives at a single study site in Oxford were surveyed to identify studies eligible for inclusion in the analysis. Studies were eligible in which licensed or unlicensed vaccines were administered to children less than three years of age, and vaccine-specific responses were measured.

Statistical analysis:

Immunological data were log₁₀-transformed and analysed using separate linear mixed effects models for each parameter at each time point. Sex, randomised group (where applicable), type of priming vaccine received at two to four months, and type of booster vaccine (for post-booster time points) were included in models as fixed effects. A random intercept for each study was included to allow for variation between studies [16]. The anti-log of the parameter estimate for sex from the model was the estimate of interest presented herein as a geometric mean ratio (GMR) (female/male) with 95% confidence interval.

In order to ensure all estimates of sex-differences were solely comparing vaccine-induced responses, participants were only included if the vaccine received (experimental or routine) contained the antigen for analysis. Control groups receiving no vaccine or an alternative vaccine which did not contain the antigen of analysis were therefore excluded.

Due to the instability of models for binary data, particularly when the proportions of events are very high or very low, analyses of proportions were conducted as unadjusted two-stage random effects meta-analyses [17], with results presented as weighted risk differences.

Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA). Two-stage meta-analyses of proportions were conducted using Stata version 13.0 (StataCorp, Texas, USA).

Results

Included studies

There were nine studies and 2378 children with data available for inclusion in the meta-analysis, of which 47% were female (table 1). Information was available from six studies in which infants and children were randomised to receive different regimens of meningococcal vaccines [18-25], two studies in which pneumococcal vaccines were compared [26, 27], and one study which was designed to assess the effect of different needle sizes in the delivery of routine vaccinations [28]. Seven studies were solely conducted in the UK, one study was conducted in the UK and Malta [22, 23], and one study was conducted in Nepal [26]. Vaccines administered during the studies are detailed in supplementary table 1. All trials which contributed to each analysis are listed in supplementary tables 2 to 4.

The ratio of female to male infants in each study ranged from 0.69 to 1.03 with only one study having more females than males. For studies in which infants were enrolled at two months of age or younger, the ratio of female to male infants enrolled was 0.92, broadly reflecting the sex ratio at birth in the UK which is 0.95 [29] (table 2).

Meningococcal vaccines

Seven trials were available in which capsular group C meningococcal vaccines were administered in prime-boost combinations either as the study vaccine of interest or as a routine vaccine given concomitantly (trials #1 - 6, 8) [18-25, 28]. Immunological parameters (immunoglobulin (IgG), serum bactericidal assay (using rabbit or human complement) (rSBA, hSBA), and memory B cells) were measured post priming (at 5 months of age) and pre-and postboost (12 and 13 months of age). The ratio of responses in girls compared with boys was close to 1.0 for most parameters and time points, and no significant sex-dependent differences were observed (figure 1, supplementary table 2). Geometric mean ratios ranged from 0.91 to 1.18. For capsular group A, W and Y meningococcal vaccines, two trials were available in which hSBA titres were measured (trials #3 and 4) [19, 24, 25]. Female/male response ratios ranged from 1.05 to 1.43 thus all point estimates favoured higher responses in girls. Significant differences were observed for responses to capsular groups A and Y at 5 months (1.33; 95% CI 1.00 - 1.77 and 1.43; 1.02 - 2.00 respectively); W and Y at 12 months (1.34; 1.02 - 1.78 and 1.43; 1.07 - 1.91 respectively); and capsular group A at 13 months of age (1.35; 1.00 - 1.83) (figure 1, supplementary table 2).

Diphtheria toxoid vaccine

Seven trials were available in which IgG or memory B cell responses to diphtheria toxoid vaccination were measured (trials #1-3, 6-8) [18, 20-28]. Antibody responses to diphtheria toxoid were significantly higher in girls compared with boys at 12 months (pre-boost) (1.28; 1.05 - 1.58) (figure 2, supplementary table 2).

Tetanus toxoid and Haemophilus influenzae b vaccine

IgG or memory B cells responses to tetanus toxoid vaccination were available from six trials (#2,3,5-8) [18, 21-25, 27, 28] and responses to *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) vaccination in four trials (#3,5,6,8) [21-25, 28]. There were no significant differences between girls and boys for these antigens at any time point (figure 2, supplementary table 2).

Pneumococcal conjugate vaccines

Serotype-specific pneumococcal antibody concentrations were measured in three studies administering 10- or 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine as either the study vaccine or as a routine vaccine given concomitantly (#6,7,9) [22, 23, 26, 27]. Opsonophagocytic activity (OPA) was measured in two of these studies (#7,9). Response to vaccination was assessed one month following the priming series (at ~4-5 months of age) and at one month post booster vaccination (at ~10-13 months of age). Antibody persistence was measured pre-booster (at 9 or 12 months of age) and one year following the booster (at 24 months of age). Antibody persistence was greater in girls compared with boys for all serotypes, with statistically significant differences observed for eight serotypes prior to boosting and nine serotypes at one year following the booster. Significant differences were also observed for five serotypes at one month after the priming series. The antibody response to only one serotype (6B) was significantly higher in girls compared with boys one month post-booster (figure 3, supplementary table 3). Fewer data were available for assessment of OPA thus confidence intervals for estimates were wide, however a similar consistent pattern of point estimates which were mostly higher in girls was observed (supplementary table 4, supplementary figure 1).

An analysis of the proportions of children with serotype-specific IgG $\ge 0.35 \ \mu$ g/mL showed that the persistence against serotypes 1, 6B, 19F and 23F was greater for females at 10-12 months (pre-boost), with differences of between 6% to 15%. Antibody persistence against serotype 18C was also significantly higher in females at one-year post booster (supplementary table 5). With the exception of serotypes 6B and 23F, proportions of children with IgG $\ge 0.35 \ \mu$ g/mL at one month after vaccination (either prime or boost) were consistently high and therefore differences in proportions were undetectable.

Discussion:

Biological sex has a pervasive influence on immune responses as documented by a large body of literature on infections and autoimmune diseases. The incidence of almost all autoimmune diseases is higher in women [30], with, for example, incidence rates of hyperthyroidism and multiple sclerosis approximately twice as high as for men [30, 31]. Conversely, higher rates of some childhood infections occur in males: for example, incidence of both bacterial and viral meningitis in children is higher in males than females [31, 32]. Furthermore, bacterial meningitis and septicaemia rates (especially Gram negative infection) were higher in male than in female newborns,[33] children,[34] and in boys under five years of age [35, 36]. A meta-analysis of serogroup-specific pneumococcal disease cases revealed that pneumococcal isolates were 1.8 times more frequently found in males across all serogroups [37].

To the extent that biological sex is not practically modifiable in the context of immunisation programs its contribution to variation in immune response has been relatively neglected. However, sex biases can reveal important insights into mechanisms of immunogenicity and have practical implications for vaccine licensing, efficacy and related adverse events. Previous literature reviews on vaccine responses have mainly summarised data from adults or older-children rather than infants receiving a primary course of immunisation and reveal a general pattern of higher humoral responses in females [3, 5, 38, 39]. Our analysis is the first individual participant data meta-analysis of infant vaccine studies undertaken with the specific aim of investigating whether vaccine immunogenicity is affected by biological sex. Overall, for all vaccines and all measures of immunogenicity, female responses were either higher than or equivalent to males and there were no instances where male responses were significantly higher than those in females.

The clinical relevance of such differences in immune response is unclear. Important factors to consider in the current analyses are i) the magnitude of the difference, ii) the relationship between immune response and correlates of protection, iii) the importance of herd immunity in addition to direct protection and iv) that the immune responses as measured by B-cell assays may only be surrogates of more important T-cell responses or responses at mucosal surfaces where infection occurs.

For most outcomes, responses were higher in females than in males. The largest differences were seen in pneumococcal serotype-specific OPA where 2- to 3- fold higher responses in girls were observed for some serotypes. Such differences could be considered clinically relevant; however,

greater responses to vaccination in female infants do not necessarily imply increased direct protection if responses for both sexes are higher than the required thresholds for protection against disease. For some vaccine-induced responses, thresholds have been determined whereby assay values above the threshold are generally thought to provide protection against disease [40, 41] Our analysis of pneumococcal antibodies revealed that there were some significant differences in GMRs that did translate into significant differences in the proportions with IgG \geq 0.35 µg/mL. This provides some insight into differences between the sexes in the proportions with assumed protection against disease however immune correlates of protection tend to vary by serotype and assay, and for some assays there are no agreed thresholds [42].

With the exception of tetanus, all vaccines included in this analysis rely, to some extent, on herd protection rather than direct protection to guard against disease and thus male infants (and the unvaccinated population in general) still benefit from higher humoral responses in females. The relative differences reported here, for a mostly UK population, will have different clinical relevance when applied to other countries.

There is a strong biological basis for sex-based differences in the immune system in response to pathogen exposure, infection and vaccination [14, 15, 43]. In general biological sex is determined by the presence or absence of the Y-chromosome whose expression of the *sry* gene in early foetal development results in the formation of testes rather than the default program which is to produce ovaries. The mechanisms underlying any effect of sexual dimorphism are complex and may arise from i) Y-chromosome genes other than *sry* (around 200 genes are present), ii) the radically different hormonal environment of the male versus the female from foetal life through infancy and beyond, iii) behavioural and environmental differences between

the sexes which may stem from genetic, hormonal or social factors and iv) the potential advantage of a diploid versus haploid state for the X-chromosome in females. Mediators of these differences may operate through a large variety of pathways and on different time scales. For instance the influence of the genetic and hormonal environment *in utero* may leave epigenetic differences on autosomal chromosomes that have effects at more remote time-points postnatally[44].

One of the most well-established mechanisms for differences in immunity is the sex-hormone milieu. Oestrogen and androgen receptors are present in a wide-range of immune cells and the immunosuppressive nature of androgens are established [14, 15]. Due to a variable distribution of oestrogen receptor subtypes on the immune cells and the exposure to different concentrations of oestrogens, the effect of oestrogen on different aspects of immune function can be variable whereas the effect of progesterone on immune function is thought to be mainly suppressive [3]. The concentrations of both hormones increases during pregnancy with an associated shift towards a more T helper cell type 2 (Th2) phenotype by the third trimester and an associated increased susceptibility to viral infections including influenza and varicella.

Previous work has found that immune responses following vaccination are different between the sexes. Following yellow fever vaccination, the number of differentially expressed genes of the innate immune system was much greater in women than men with notable differences in induction of Toll-like receptor-interferon signalling [3]. Sex-dependent differences in the immune response to vaccination might also result from differences in expression of immune genes located on the X chromosome, and are associated with certain X-linked primary immunodeficiencies. Toll-like receptors (TLR) 7 and 8 are both located on the X chromosome

and recognise viral single-stranded RNA. Although it is unknown whether these genes have an effect on the responses to vaccines, it is possible that differential expression of TLR 7/8 through failure of X inactivation may enhance antiviral responses [45].

Whilst there appear to be a variety of mechanisms, there are clearly vaccine antigens for which there are no sex-differences. We observed no sex-differences in response to tetanus vaccines nor in response to Hib vaccines (which are often conjugated to a tetanus toxoid). The majority of meningococcal and pneumococcal vaccines administered in these studies were conjugated to a mutant diphtheria cross-reacting material (CRM₁₉₇). The consistent pattern for greater responses in females seen following immunisation with meningococcal, pneumococcal and diphtheria vaccines, but not seen for tetanus and Hib, may suggest a sex-differential effect of the carrier protein. There exists a complicated interplay of effects of different carrier proteins for different antigens in crowded immunisation schedules administering multiple vaccines in combination [46].

The findings in this report have important implications for future randomised trials. It is important that trials of new vaccines are designed to compare immune responses according to sex, and in situations where vaccine responses have been convincingly shown to be higher in females, demonstrate that sufficient protection is provided in males independently.

There are limitations to the analyses detailed herein. Trials combined in these analyses tested different vaccines and there were variations in trial procedures. Although trials included in these analyses were designed to answer different questions and comparisons between trials would not

be valid, comparisons between sexes within trials can be combined in an unbiased manner. Heterogeneity between trials is more likely to introduce 'noise' into calculations and obscure detection of true differences rather than induce bias.

Multiple comparisons are an issue in meta-analyses as type 1 error rates become inflated and false positive findings can result. However, the findings of this analysis when viewed in their entirety, are generally consistent in direction and magnitude and point to underlying biological effects which result in higher immunological responses in females. Although this is the first meta-analysis designed to assess sex-differences in vaccine-specific responses, limited data were available for some vaccine antigens. This results in low statistical power and wide confidence intervals for some comparisons therefore meta-analyses of larger numbers of studies are still needed.

Reporting bias is an important consideration in this field as characterising differences between female and male responses is not usually an aim of any vaccine trial and the post-hoc investigation of subgroup differences is not good practice due to the lack of statistical power. Thus sex-differential results are either not assessed in most trials or not generally reported which, although appropriate, hampers the relevance of literature-based reviews. Although our policy is to publish all results from clinical trials, the publication of analyses according to subgroups which were not the original intent of the study is not undertaken. Here, we have systematically analysed individual participant data from all our available studies and publish results of significant and non-significant analyses concurrently.

While the possibilities of "personalised vaccination" [47] are currently remote, a detailed understanding of the influence of biological sex on immunogenicity could potentially allow the utilisation of these effects in order to optimise protection through routine immunisations. However, only with sufficient high-quality evidence will it be feasible to fully evaluate whether further improvements can be made to current routine infant vaccination schedules, which have well-established and significant public health benefits [48], preventing an estimated 2 million child deaths every year [49].

Footnotes:

Contributions

CISB wrote the initial draft. MV analysed the data, prepared the figures, and wrote the second draft which was reviewed and edited by MDS, DFK, JT and AJP. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript prior to submission.

Acknowledgements:

The authors are grateful to Linda Diggle for kindly supplying the data from her PhD thesis on the effect of needle size on infant responses to vaccination, Stephen Gerry who assisted with collating initial datasets and preliminary analyses, Pfizer and GlaxoSmithKline for permission to use these data, GlaxoSmithKline for additional courtesy review of the final manuscript.

Declarations of interests

AJP has previously conducted studies on behalf of Oxford University funded by vaccine manufacturers, but currently does not undertake industry funded clinical trials. AJP chairs the UK Department of Health's (DH) Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation (JCVI); the views expressed in this manuscript do not necessarily reflect the views of JCVI or DH. MDS acts as chief or principal investigator for clinical trials conducted by the University of Oxford, sponsored by vaccine manufacturers, but receives no personal payments from them. MDS has participated in advisory boards and industry sponsored symposia for vaccine manufacturers, but receives no personal payments for this work. MDS, DFK and JT have received financial assistance from vaccine manufacturers to attend scientific conferences. The other authors have no conflicts of interest.

Role of the funding source

No specific funding was obtained for this work. Salary support for two authors (MDS, DFK) was provided by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, which had no role in the analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all data and was responsible for the decision to submit the paper for publication. CISB is grateful to the Oxford University Clinical Academic Graduate School for support and is now funded as a Clinical Research Fellow by the Global Research in Paediatrics Network of Excellence (GRiP), part of the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013, Grant Agreement number 261060). MV is funded through a NIHR Doctoral Research Fellowship.

Corresponding author:

Merryn Voysey

Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford Gibson Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Oxford, OX2 6GG, UK. Tel: 01865 289288, Email: merryn.voysey@phc.ox.ac.uk

Table 1: Details of included studies

Study	Full study title	Sponsor	Ref
number			
1	A Phase II Randomised Comparison to Determine the Safety and Immunogenicity of a Conjugate	Chiron S.r.l.	[20]
	Vaccine Combination containing Meningococcal Group C & Pneumococcal capsular Polysaccharide –	Via Fiorentina, 1	
	CRM197 conjugate, given concurrently with DTP/Hib in healthy infants (D139-P502)	53100 Siena, Italy	
2	A Phase IV, Single Centre, Open-label Study to Investigate the Kinetics of the B Cell Response to the C	Chiron S.r.l.	[18]
	Saccharide Component of Chiron's Meningococcal C Conjugate Vaccine (Menjugate) Administered to	Via Fiorentina, 1	
	Healthy Children at least 12 months of Age After Priming with Menjugate at 2, 3 and 4 Months of age. (2004-004962-33)	53100 Siena, Italy	
3	A Phase II, Randomized, Open label, Controlled, Multicenter Study to Evaluate the Safety,	Chiron S.r.I.	[24, 25]
	Immunogenicity and Induction of Immunological Memory after Two or Three Doses of Chiron	Via Fiorentina, 1	
	Meningococcal ACWY Conjugate Vaccine Administered to Healthy Infants at 2, 3, 4 or 2, 4, 6 Months of Age (NCT00262002)	53100 Siena, Italy	
4	A Phase II, Single Centre, Open-label, Randomized Study to Investigate Meningococcal Serogroup A, C,	Novartis Vaccines	[19]
	W-135 and Y Saccharide Specific B Cell Response to a Primary and a Booster Course of the Novartis	and Diagnostics Srl	
	Meningococcal ACWY Conjugate Vaccine in Healthy Infants (NC100488683)	Via Fiorentina, 1 53100 Siena, Italy	
5	Double blind, randomised controlled trial of the immunogenicity and tolerability of a meningococcal group	Wyeth – Lederle	[21]
	C conjugate vaccine (D110-500)	Vaccines	
6	An open label randomised controlled study to evaluate the induction of immune memory following infant	University of Oxford	[22,
	vaccination with a glyco-conjugate Neisseria meningitidis serogroup C vaccine and to assess the immune		23]
	response to the concurrent infant routine immunisations administered in consistent versus alternating limbs (2009-016579-31)		

7	A phase III randomised, open label clinical trial evaluating the immunogenicity of a 10-valent	University of Oxford	[27]
	pneumococcal conjugate vaccine booster compared to the standard 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate		
	vaccine booster given at 12 months of age to healthy children who have received the 13-valent		
	pneumococcal conjugate vaccine at 2 and 4 months of age. (NCT01443416)		
8	Effect of needle size on serum antibody responses and incidence of local reactions following routine immunisation in infants - a randomised controlled trial.	University of Oxford	[28]
9	A randomised open-label immunogenicity study of a 10 Valent Pneumococcal vaccine.	University of Oxford	[26]
	(PCV10) given as part of the routine infant immunisation schedule to children in		
	Kathmandu, Nepal. (ISRCTN56766232)		

Table 2: Details of infants included the analysis

Study	Year enrolment	Number girls/ number	Age at	Ages at vaccination
number	commenced	boys (ratio)	enrolment	
1	2000	51/74 (0.69)	12 months	12 months
2	2005	16/17 (0.94)	2 months	2,3,4 months
3	2004	157/152 (1.03)	2 months	2,3,4 months
4	2007	95/109 (0.87)	2 months	2,3,4 months
5	1997	108/123 (0.88)	2 months	2,3,4 months
6	2010	210/229 (0.92)	2 months	2,3,4 + 12 months
7	2012	50/75 (0.67)	12 months	12 months
8	2002	336/360 (0.93)	2 months	2,3,4 months

9	2010	98/118 (0.83)	6 weeks	6,14 weeks + 9 months, or 6,10,14 weeks
TOTAL		1121/1257 (0.89)		

Figure Legends

Figure 1 Female-male geometric mean ratios (95% CI) of serotype-specific

immunogenicity from meta-analyses of meningococcal vaccines in infants.

Meningococcal capsulargroup Age (i	N male) (f	N emale)				GMR (f/m) 95%C
Group C Neisseria meningitidi	is			1		
IgG - 5 months 12 months 13 months	144 206 279	126 165 234				0.98 (0.84 - 1.15) 0.95 (0.80 - 1.12) 0.91 (0.78 - 1.06)
hSBA - 5 months 12 months 13 months	241 225 226	209 220 216				0.91 (0.68 - 1.23) 1.04 (0.82 - 1.31) 0.94 (0.71 - 1.25)
rSBA - 5 months 12 months 13 months	661 275 401	602 238 353				0.99 (0.84 - 1.17) 1.24 (0.91 - 1.68) 1.03 (0.83 - 1.28)
Memory B cells - 5 months 12 months 13 months	216 179 200	164 154 160				0.91 (0.73 - 1.14) 1.15 (0.92 - 1.43) 1.18 (0.90 - 1.55)
Group A Neisseria meningitidi	is					
hSBA - 5 months 12 months 13 months	198 192 225	170 182 223				1.33 (1.00 - 1.77) 1.05 (0.95 - 1.16) 1.35 (1.00 - 1.83)
Group W Neisseria meningitid	lis					
hSBA - 5 months 12 months 13 months	170 179 193	137 155 195	+ 			1.26 (0.93 - 1.72) 1.34 (1.02 - 1.78) 1.19 (0.89 - 1.58)
Group Y Neisseria meningitidi	s					
hSBA - 5 months 12 months 13 months	127 148 171	115 138 170			•	 1.43 (1.02 - 2.00) 1.43 (1.07 - 1.91) 1.36 (1.00 - 1.86)
			0.7	1	1.5	т 2
		favours	boys G	MR 95%CI	favours	s girls

Each point estimate represents the summary GMR from one meta-analysis. Lines indicate 95% confidence intervals. A GMR of 1.0 represents no difference in responses between females and males. 5 months = one-month post-prime, 12 months = pre-boost, 13 months=one-month post-boost, 24 months = persistence at one year post-boost.

hSBA: serum bactericidal assay (human complement), rSBA: serum bactericidal assay (rabbit complement), IgG: immunoglobulins, GMR: geometric mean ratio (female/male)

Figure 2 Female-male geometric mean ratios (95% CI) of serotype-specific

immunogenicity from meta-analyses of diphtheria toxoid, tetanus toxoid and

Vaccine antigen Age	N (male) (f	N emale)						CMD (f/m) 050/ 01
Age Age		emaie,						GMR (f/m) 95%Cl
Diphtheria toxoid				1				
IgG - 5 months	614	567						1.10 (0.84 - 1.44)
12 months	198	157						1.28 (1.05 - 1.58)
13 months	192	156			-			1.18 (0.94 - 1.48)
Memory B cells - 5 months	136	99	\leftarrow		-			0.76 (0.51 - 1.11)
12 months	172	141						1.27 (0.98 - 1.65)
13 months	173	129			-			1.19 (0.93 - 1.53)
Haemophilus influenzae b								
Anti-PRP IgG - 5 months	833	768						0.99 (0.83 - 1.18)
12 months	335	310						1.04 (0.84 - 1.29)
13 months	319	299			i			1.08 (0.86 - 1.34)
Tetanus toxoid								
IgG - 5 months	827	762						1.04 (0.92 - 1.18)
12 months	332	309			-			0.97 (0.83 - 1.12)
13 months	317	297		·	-			0.95 (0.81 - 1.12)
Memory B cells - 12 months	159	133		, <u> </u>				1.37 (0.97 - 1.94)
13 months	170	127						1.16 (0.83 - 1.63)
			0.7			1.5	2	
		favours	boys	GMR	95%CI	fa	vours gi	rls

Haemophilus influenzae type B vaccine-induced responses in infants.

Each point estimate represents the summary GMR from one meta-analysis. Lines indicate 95% confidence intervals. A GMR of 1.0 represents no difference in responses between females and males. 5 months = one-month post-prime, 12 months = pre-boost, 13 months= one-month post-boost, 24 months = persistence at one year post-boost.

PRP: Polyribosylribitol phosphate, IgG: immunoglobulins, GMR: geometric mean ratio (female/male)

Figure 3 Female-male geometric mean ratios (95% CI) of serotype-specific immunoglobulins from meta-analyses of pneumococcal vaccines in infants.



Each point estimate represents the summary GMR from one meta-analysis. Lines indicate 95% confidence intervals. A GMR of 1.0 represents no difference in responses between females and males. 5 months = one-month post-prime, 12 months = pre-boost, 13 months = one-month post-boost, 24 months = persistence at one year post-boost.

IgG: immunoglobulins, GMR: geometric mean ratio (female/male)

References

[1] Furman D, Hejblum BP, Simon N, Jojic V, Dekker CL, Thiébaut R, et al. Systems analysis of sex differences reveals an immunosuppressive role for testosterone in the response to influenza vaccination. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2014;111:869-74.

[2] Jørgensen TN. Sex disparities in the immune response. Cellular immunology. 2015;2:61-2.

[3] Klein S, Jedlicka A, Pekosz A. The Xs and Y of immune responses to viral vaccines. Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2010;10:338-49.

[4] Marvell DM, Parish H. Tetanus prophylaxis and circulating antitoxin in men and women. British medical journal. 1940;2:891.

[5] Green MS, Shohat T, Lerman Y, Cohen D, Slepon R, Duvdevani P, et al. Sex differences in the humoral antibody response to live measles vaccine in young adults. Int J Epidemiol. 1994;23:1078-81.

[6] Klein SL, Marriott I, Fish EN. Sex-based differences in immune function and responses to vaccination. Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2015;109:9-15.
[7] Brandão AP, De Oliveira TC, de Cunto Brandileone MC, Gonçalves JE, Yara TI, Simonsen V.
Persistence of antibody response to pneumococcal capsular polysaccharides in vaccinated long term-care residents in Brazil. Vaccine. 2004;23:762-8.

[8] Goldblatt D, Southern J, Andrews N, Ashton L, Burbidge P, Woodgate S, et al. The immunogenicity of 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine versus 23-valent polysaccharide vaccine in adults aged 50–80 years. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2009;49:1318-25.

[9] Hasselhorn H, Hofmann F, Nübling M. Effect of a diphtheria booster vaccination in adults with a documented history of an incomplete primary series vaccination. Infection. 2004;32:282-6.

[10] Martins C, Garly M-L, Bale C, Rodrigues A, Benn CS, Whittle H, et al. Measles antibody levels after vaccination with Edmonston-Zagreb and Schwarz measles vaccine at 9 months or at 9 and 18 months of age: A serological study within a randomised trial of different measles vaccines. Vaccine. 2013;31:5766-71.

[11] Michaels RH, Rogers KD. A sex difference in immunologic responsiveness. Pediatrics.1971;47:120-3.

[12] Ovsyannikova IG, Jacobson RM, Vierkant RA, Jacobsen SJ, Pankratz VS, Poland GA. Human leukocyte antigen class II alleles and rubella-specific humoral and cell-mediated immunity following measles-mumps-rubella-II vaccination. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2005;191:515-9.

[13] Giefing - Kröll C, Berger P, Lepperdinger G, Grubeck - Loebenstein B. How sex and age affect
immune responses, susceptibility to infections, and response to vaccination. Aging cell. 2015;14:30921.

[14] Pennell LM, Galligan CL, Fish EN. Sex affects immunity. Journal of autoimmunity. 2012;38:J282-J91.

[15] Cook IF. Sexual dimorphism of humoral immunity with human vaccines. Vaccine. 2008;26:3551-5.

[16] Higgins JPT, Whitehead A, Turner RM, Omar RZ, Thompson SG. Meta-analysis of continuous outcome data from individual patients. Statistics in Medicine. 2001;20:2219-41.

[17] DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Controlled clinical trials. 1986;7:177-88. [18] Blanchard Rohner G, Snape MD, Kelly DF, John T, Morant A, Yu LM, et al. The magnitude of the antibody and memory B cell responses during priming with a protein-polysaccharide conjugate vaccine in human infants is associated with the persistence of antibody and the intensity of booster response. Journal of immunology. 2008;180:2165-73.

[19] Blanchard-Rohner G, Snape MD, Kelly DF, O'Connor D, John T, Clutterbuck EA, et al. The B-cell response to a primary and booster course of MenACWY-CRM(197) vaccine administered at 2, 4 and 12 months of age. Vaccine. 2013;31:2441-8.

[20] Buttery JP, Riddell A, McVernon J, Chantler T, Lane L, Bowen-Morris J, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of a combination pneumococcal-meningococcal vaccine in infants: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association. 2005;293:1751-8.

[21] English M, MacLennan JM, Bowen-Morris JM, Deeks J, Boardman M, Brown K, et al. A randomised, double-blind, controlled trial of the immunogenicity and tolerability of a meningococcal group C conjugate vaccine in young British infants. Vaccine. 2000;19:1232-8.

[22] Iro MA, Khatami A, Marshall AS, Pace D, Voysey M, McKenna J, et al. Immunological effect of administration of sequential doses of Haemophilus influenzae type b and pneumococcal conjugate vaccines in the same versus alternating limbs in the routine infant immunisation schedule: an openlabel randomised controlled trial. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2015;15:172-80.

[23] Pace D, Khatami A, McKenna J, Campbell D, Attard-Montalto S, Birks J, et al. Immunogenicity of reduced dose priming schedules of serogroup C meningococcal conjugate vaccine followed by booster at 12 months in infants: open label randomised controlled trial. Bmj. 2015;350:h1554.
[24] Perrett KP, Snape MD, Ford KJ, John TM, Yu LM, Langley JM, et al. Immunogenicity and immune memory of a nonadjuvanted quadrivalent meningococcal glycoconjugate vaccine in infants. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2009;28:186-93.

[25] Snape MD, Perrett KP, Ford KJ, John TM, Pace D, Yu LM, et al. Immunogenicity of a tetravalent meningococcal glycoconjugate vaccine in infants: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association. 2008;299:173-84.

[26] Hamaluba M, Kandasamy R, Upreti SR, Subedi GR, Shrestha S, Bhattarai S, et al. Comparison of two-dose priming plus 9-month booster with a standard three-dose priming schedule for a tenvalent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in Nepalese infants: a randomised, controlled, open-label, non-inferiority trial. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2015;15:405-14.

[27] Trück J, Jawed S, Goldblatt D, Snape MD, Kelly DF, Voysey M, et al. The antibody response to a 12-month booster dose of 13- or 10-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccines. Poster at the 33rd Annual Meeting of the ESPID. 2015.

[28] Diggle L, Deeks JJ, Pollard AJ. Effect of needle size on immunogenicity and reactogenicity of vaccines in infants: randomised controlled trial. Bmj. 2006;333:571.

[29] Department of Health. Birth Ratios in the United Kingdom, A report on gender ratios at birth in the UK.

https://wwwgovuk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/200527/Gender_bi rth_ratio_in_the_UKpdf. 2013.

[30] Cooper GS, Stroehla BC. The epidemiology of autoimmune diseases. Autoimmunity reviews. 2003;2:119-25.

[31] Pugliatti M, Rosati G, Carton H, Riise T, Drulovic J, Vécsei L, et al. The epidemiology of multiple sclerosis in Europe. European journal of Neurology. 2006;13:700-22.

[32] Brouwer MC, Tunkel AR, van de Beek D. Epidemiology, diagnosis, and antimicrobial treatment of acute bacterial meningitis. Clinical microbiology reviews. 2010;23:467-92.

[33] Washburn TC, Medearis DN, Childs B. Sex differences in susceptibility to infections. Pediatrics. 1965;35:57-64.

[34] Tang L-M, Chen S-T, Hsu W-C, Lyu R-K. Acute bacterial meningitis in adults: a hospital-based epidemiological study. Qjm. 1999;92:719-25.

[35] Anderson E, Begg N, Crawshaw S, Hargreaves R, Howard A, Slack M. Epidemiology of invasive Haemophilus influenzae infections in England and Wales in the pre-vaccination era (1990–2). Epidemiology and infection. 1995;115:89-100.

[36] Ward JI, Lum MK, Hall DB, Silimperi DR, Bender TR. Invasive Haemophilus influenzae type b disease in Alaska: background epidemiology for a vaccine efficacy trial. Journal of infectious diseases. 1986;153:17-26.

[37] Scott J, Hall A, Dagan R, Dixon J, Eykyn S, Fenoll A, et al. Serogroup-specific epidemiology of Streptococcus pneumoniae: associations with age, sex, and geography in 7,000 episodes of invasive disease. Clinical infectious diseases. 1996;22:973-81.

[38] Engler RJ, Nelson MR, Klote MM, VanRaden MJ, Huang CY, Cox NJ, et al. Half- vs full-dose trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine (2004-2005): age, dose, and sex effects on immune responses. Archives of internal medicine. 2008;168:2405-14.

[39] Kramer A, Sommer D, Hahn EG, Riecken EO. German experimental hepatitis B vaccine--influence of variation of dosage schedule, sex and age differences on immunogenicity in health care workers. Klinische Wochenschrift. 1986;64:688-94.

[40] Jódar L, Butler J, Carlone G, Dagan R, Goldblatt D, Käyhty H, et al. Serological criteria for evaluation and licensure of new pneumococcal conjugate vaccine formulations for use in infants. Vaccine. 2003;21:3265-72.

[41] Plotkin SA. Correlates of protection induced by vaccination. Clinical and vaccine immunology :CVI. 2010;17:1055-65.

[42] Andrews NJ, Waight PA, Burbidge P, Pearce E, Roalfe L, Zancolli M, et al. Serotype-specific effectiveness and correlates of protection for the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine: a postlicensure indirect cohort study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2014;14:839-46.

[43] Markle JG, Fish EN. SeXX matters in immunity. Trends Immunol. 2013.

[44] Martino DJ, Tulic MK, Gordon L, Hodder M, Richman TR, Metcalfe J, et al. Evidence for agerelated and individual-specific changes in DNA methylation profile of mononuclear cells during early immune development in humans. Epigenetics. 2011;6:1085-94.

[45] Berghöfer B, Haley G, Frommer T, Bein G, Hackstein H. Natural and synthetic TLR7 ligands inhibit CpG-A-and CpG-C-oligodeoxynucleotide-induced IFN-α production. The Journal of Immunology. 2007;178:4072-9.

[46] Knuf M, Kowalzik F, Kieninger D. Comparative effects of carrier proteins on vaccine-induced immune response. Vaccine. 2011;29:4881-90.

[47] Klein SL, Poland GA. Personalized vaccinology: one size and dose might not fit both sexes.

Vaccine. 2013;31:2599-600.

[48] Pollard AJ. Non-specific effects of vaccines: RCTs, not observational studies, are needed. Arch Dis Child. 2012;97:677-8.

[49] Challenges in global immunization and the Global Immunization Vision and Strategy 2006-2015.Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2006;81:190-5.