1	PROOST: Object oriented approach to multiphase reactive transport
2	modeling in porous media.
3	P. Gamazo <sup>a</sup> , L.J. Slooten <sup>b,e</sup> , J. Carrera <sup>b,e</sup> , M.W. Saaltink <sup>c,e</sup> , S. Bea <sup>d</sup> and J. Soler <sup>a</sup>
4	a Departamento del Agua, CENUR LINO, Universidad de la República, Gral. Rivera 1350, 50000 Salto, Uruguay,
5	gamazo@unorte.edu.uy, jsoler@unorte.edu.uy
6	b Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research (IDAEA), CSIC, c/Lluis Solè Sabarìs, s/n, 08028 Barcelona, Spain,
7	luitjan.slooten@upc.edu, jesus.carrera@idaea.csic.es
8	c Dept. Geotechnical Engineering and Geosciences, Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya, UPC, c/Jordi Girona 1-3, 08034 Barcelona,
9	Spain, maarten.saaltink@upc.edu
10	d CONICET-IHLLA República de Italia 780, 7300, Azul, Buenos Aires, Argentina, sabea@faa.unicen.edu.ar
11	e Associated Unit: Hydrogeology Group (UPC-CSIC)
12	
13	Abstract
14	Reactive transport modelling involves solving several nonlinear coupled phenomena, among
15	them, the flow of fluid phases, the transport of chemical species and energy, and chemical
16	reactions There are different ways to account this coupling that might be more or less
17	suitable depending on the nature of the problem to be solved. In this paper we acknowledge
18	the importance of flexibility on reactive transport codes and how object oriented programming
19	can facilitate this feature. We present PROOST, an object oriented code that allows solving
20	reactive transport problems considering different coupling approaches. The code main classes
21	and their interactions are presented. PROOST performance is illustrated by the resolution of a
22	multiphase reactive transport problem where geochemistry affects hydrodynamic processes.
23	
~ ^	
24	1. Introduction

Reactive transport models are tools that help to understand the hydraulic and chemicalbehavior of natural and artificial porous media. It has been used to solve a broad range of

problems like groundwater remediation (Loomer et al. 2010), nuclear waste disposal
(MacQuarrie and Mayer 2005) and CO2 sequestration (Zhang et al. 2012) among others, from
micro scale (Trebotich et al. 2014) to field scale problems (Sassen et al. 2012).

Modeling reactive transport in porous media involves simulating several coupled phenomena: phase flow, solute transport, and reactions. It may also involve multiphase flow, heat transport and porous media deformation (Steefel et al. 2014,). These phenomena may be complex to model individually, and modeling together brings on new difficulties associated with coupled effects (Lichtner 1996). Which coupled effects have to be considered and the optimal solution strategy for the coupled equations depend on the nature of the problem to be solved and may vary significantly from case to case (Zhang et al. 2012).

The ideal reactive transport code would have to use an accurate, robust and efficient numerical approach. However, it is difficult to obtain these goals with a single numerical approach. Therefore, concessions have to be made and different coupling alternatives have to be chosen at different levels. Numerical accuracy is generally preferred on other issues when solving modeling research applications. On the other hand, when solving field scale problems, efficiency and robustness have priority while accuracy remains within the bounds of the uncertainty associated with model parameters (Yeh et al. 2012).

44 Two big family of methods were addressed to account for the coupling between solute 45 transport and chemical reaction processes: (1) the Operator Splitting (or Sequential Iterative 46 (or NON-iterative) Approach, and (2) the Global Implicit or Direct Substitution Approach (Saaltink et al. 2001). As regards the first one (i.e., the sequential methods), whether iterative 47 48 (SIA) or not (SNIA) adopt operator splitting techniques that effectively decouple component 49 transport equations. As regards the last one, direct substitution approaches (DSA) solve both 50 transport and chemical reactions simultaneously. A number of authors have studied the 51 numerical performance of these methods (Steefel and MacQuarrie, 1996), and they conclude 52 that in spite of the fact that the DSA is more accurate and robust, there are cases where the

53 SIA is more convenient from an efficiency-accuracy point of view. In addition, SNIA may be 54 appropriated for scenario with Courant number smaller than 1 (Xu et al. 2012). Some reactive transport codes are able to work with both of these approaches (CRUNCHFLOW, Steefel 2009; 55 56 DUMUX, Flemisch et al. 2011; HYDROGEOCHEM, Yeh et al, 2010; PFLOTRAN, Lichtner et al. 57 2013; RETRASOCODEBRIGHT et al. Saaltink et al. 2004.), while others use the fully implicit 58 approach (NUFT, Hao et al. 2012; MIN3P, Mayer et al. 2012), or different variants of operator 59 splitting techniques (CORE, Samper et al 2009; HYDRUS-PHREEQC (HP1), Jacques et al. 2011; 60 HYTEC, Lagneau and Van Der Lee 2010; IPARS, Wheeler et al. 2012; OPENGEOSYS, Li et al. 2014; 61 ORCHESTRA, Meeussen 2003; PHAST, Parkhurst et al. 2010; PHREEQC, Parkhurst and Appelo 62 2013; PHT3D, Prommer and Post 2010; RT3D, Johnson and Truex 2006; STOMP, White and 63 McGrail 2005; TOUGHREACT, Xu et al. 2011).

On a more complex level is the coupling between phase conservation and reactive solute
 transport. Most reactive transport codes decouple phase conservation (i.e. flow equation)
 from reactive transport calculations (RT3D, MIN3P, PFLOTRAN, PHAST, RETRASOCODEBRIGHT,

67 HYTEC, TOUGHREACT).

68 This approach is convenient in most cases, but a numerically coupled solution will generally be 69 more suitable when the phenomena involved are highly physically coupled. One example of 70 this could be found in problems related with the CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration in brine aquifers, which has 71 prompted the development of codes that solve coupled multiphase flow and reactive 72 transport (Fan et al. 2012) and even mechanical deformation (Zhang et al. 2012). Likewise, 73 Wissmeier and Barry (2008) showed that the consumption of water due to hydrated mineral 74 precipitation can have impacts on flow and solute transport for unsaturated flow problems. 75 These impacts can be even more important if gas transport is also considered because water 76 activity, which controls vapor pressure, is affected by capillary and osmotic effects. Moreover, 77 certain mineral paragenesis can fix water activity (producing an invariant point), causing the 78 geochemistry to control vapor pressure, which is the key variable for vapor flow (Risacher and

Clement, 2001). In such cases, decoupling is not appropriated. Formulations that are able to represent these effects are complex to implement since they should consider all coupled phenomenon and a variable number of components in space and time.

While most reactive transport codes consider a single technic for the resolution of the partial differential equation some codes can adopt more than one. In Table **1** the supported discretization method and coupling strategies for different reactive transport codes are detailed.

86

Table 1 – Supported discretization method and coupling strategies for different reactive transport codes

Carla		Transport and reaction	Phase conservation and transport coupling <sup>3</sup>		
Code	PDE discretization	coupling <sup>2</sup>			
CORE	FEM	OS	SEQ		
CRUNCHFLOW	FVM	OS, DS	COU		
DUMUX	FEM, FVM, MFDM	OS, DS	COU, SEQ		
HYDROGEOCHEM	FEM, MMC	OS, DS	ITER		
HYDRUS-PHREEQC (HP1)	FEM	OS	SEQ		
HYTEC	FVM	OS	SEQ		
IPARS	MFEM, DGM	OS	INDP		
NUFT	FVM	DS	SEQ		
MIN3P	FVM	DS	SEQ		
OPENGEOSYS	FEM	OS	SEQ		
ORCHESTRA	MC	OS	INDP		
PFLOTRAN	FVM	OS, DS	SEQ		
PHAST	FDM	OS	INDP		
PHREEQC	MC	OS	INDP		
PHT3D	FVM, MMC	OS	INDP		
RETRASOCODEBRIGHT	FEM	OS, DS	SEQ, ITER		
RT3D	FDM	OS	INDP		
STOMP	FEM	OS	SEQ		
TOUGHREACT	FVM	OS	SEQ		

1 DGM Discontinuous Galerkin Method, FDM Finite Difference Method, FEM Finite Elements Method, FVM Finite Volume Method, MC Mixing Cell, MFDM Mimetic Finite Difference Method, MFEM Mixed Finite Element Method, MMC Modified Method of Characteristic

2 DS Direct Substitution, OS Operator Split

3 COU Coupled, INDP Independent, ITER iterative, SEQ sequentially

87

88 Reactive transport modeling in fractured media might also require flexibility regarding the way

89 the medium is considered. Important changes in fluid pressures and solute concentrations will

90 propagate rapidly through the fracture system, while exchanges with the matrix blocks will

91 occur slowly. To account this, some reactive transport codes have included Multiple
 92 Interacting Continua modeling (TOUGHREACT, PFLOTRAN).

93 In short, for reactive transport modeling the adopted coupling techniques, the partial 94 differential equation discretization method and the way as the domain is considered, may be 95 problem dependent. Therefore, a reactive transport code should include several solution 96 approaches to be used in a broad range of problems. Moreover, in order to ensure its use for 97 present and future problems, it must have an extensible design. A number of authors have 98 pointed out that object oriented (OO) programming facilitates the implementation of these 99 features (Commend and Zimmermann 2001, Filho and Devloo 1991).

100 The scientific community has been adopting OO techniques for problem solving since the end 101 of the last century (Forde et al. 1990, Slooten et al. 2010, Wang and Kolditz 2007). But only in 102 the last decade have OO codes been developed for reactive transport modeling. Meysman et 103 al. (2003) developed an OO reactive transport code for a single fluid phase. Gandy and 104 Younger (2007) developed an OO multiphase reactive transport code for pyrite oxidation and 105 pollutant transport in tailing ponds. Shao et al. (2009) include reactive transport calculations 106 into a Thermo-Hydro-Mechanic OO framework adopting a sequential non iterative approach 107 (SNIA). Bea et al. (2009) developed an OO module capable of solving reactive transport for a 108 single phase considering the SNIA, SIA or DSA approach. However, all of these codes, and most 109 of the procedural reactive transport codes, have a predefined strategy for dealing with 110 coupling effects. Particularly, they do not allow for changing number and definitions of 111 chemical components when solving flow and reactive transport in a coupled way.

The objective of this paper is to present an OO structure for reactive transport that can accommodate different level of physical and chemical processes coupling. The structure presented here is capable to model from single-phase SIA problems to fully coupled multiphase reactive transport problems. In addition, the best of our knowledge, it is the first OO tool capable to account the occurrence of invariant points (e.g., for reference see Risacher

and Clement) in a reactive transport problem. This is an extreme case where geochemical processes significantly affect fluid flow and the number and definitions of chemical components may vary significantly in space and time. This structure has been implemented in PROOST which was programmed in FORTRAN 95 following the OO paradigm, and until now could solve single phase reactive transport by the SIA method and a fully coupled multi-phase reactive transport by the DSA method.

123

# 124 **2.** Equations to solve

Reactive transport modeling implies establishing several conservation principles, like mass or energy conservation, expressed as partial differential equations (PDE), and several constitutive and thermodynamic laws (such as retention curve or mass actions laws) expressed as algebraic equations (AE). Darcy's law is used to represent momentum conservation. In this section we present a generic conservation equation to represent conservation principles in reactive transport problems. We consider in detail the species and component conservation and we briefly present the constitutive and thermodynamic laws.

### 132 2.1. General conservation equation

133 Conservation of a physical entity  $\mathcal{E}$  can be expressed as

134 
$$\frac{\partial A_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} = -\sum_{\nu} \nabla \mathbf{j}_{\varepsilon,\nu} + F_{\varepsilon}$$
(1)

135 Where  $A_{\varepsilon}$  is the amount of  $\varepsilon$  per unit volume of medium,  $\mathbf{j}_{\varepsilon,\nu}$  is the flux of  $\varepsilon$  due to the 136 driving force  $\nu$  (e.g. advection or diffusion), and  $F_{\varepsilon}$  is a sink source term. Since time and spatial 137 derivatives are involved, conservation equations usually take the form of a partial differential 138 equation (PDE).

# 139 2.2. Species and component conservation equation

140 The conservation of a species i belonging to phase  $\alpha$ , which is a particular case of equation 141 (1) has the following expression:

142 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \theta_{\alpha} c_{i,\alpha} \right) = L_{\alpha} \left( c_{i,\alpha} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} Se_{j,i} \cdot re_j + \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} Sk_{j,i} \cdot rk_j + f_i$$
(2)

143 Where  $\theta_{\alpha}$  is the volumetric content of phase  $\alpha$ ,  $c_{i,\alpha}$  is the species *i* concentration in  $\alpha$ 144 phase,  $Se_{j,i}$  is the stoichiometric coefficient of the equilibrium reaction *j* for the specie *i*, 145  $re_{j}$  is the reaction rate of the equilibrium reaction *j*, and *Ne* is the number of equilibrium 146 reactions.  $Sk_{j,i}$ ,  $rk_{j}$  and Nk are analogous to  $Se_{j,i}$ ,  $re_{j}$  and Ne but for kinetic reactions.  $f_{i}$  is 147 an external sink-source term, and  $L_{\alpha}( )$  is the linear transport operator for the mobile phase 148  $\alpha$  involving advective and diffusive-dispersive processes:

149 
$$L_{\alpha}(c_{i,\alpha}) = -\nabla \cdot (c_{i,\alpha} \mathbf{q}_{\alpha}) - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{j}_{D_{\alpha},i})$$
(3)

150 Mobile phase fluxes  $\mathbf{q}_{\alpha}$  are calculated according to Darcy's law:

151 
$$\mathbf{q}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{K}_{\alpha} \left( -\nabla p_{\alpha} + \rho_{\alpha} \mathbf{g} \right)$$
(4)

152 where  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ ,  $p_{\alpha}$  and  $\rho_{\alpha}$  are the conductivity tensor, pressure and density of the phase  $\alpha$ 153 respectively. Diffusive-dispersive fluxes  $\mathbf{j}_{D_{\alpha},i}$  are calculated according to Fick's law:

154 
$$\mathbf{j}_{D_{\alpha},i} = -\mathbf{D}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla (c_{i,\alpha}) = -(\mathbf{D}_{\alpha}^{\text{diff}} \theta_{\alpha} \tau + \mathbf{D}^{\text{disp}}) \cdot \nabla (c_{i,\alpha})$$
(5)

155 where  $\mathbf{D}_{\alpha}^{\text{diff}}$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{\text{disp}}$  are the diffusion and dispersion tensor for phase  $\alpha$  respectively and  $\tau$  is 156 the tortuosity. 157 Note that the general sink source term of equation (1)  $F_{\varepsilon}$  involves several different terms in

158 equation (2):

159 
$$F_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^{Ne} Se_{j,i} \cdot re_j + \sum_{j=1}^{Nk} Sk_{j,i} \cdot rk_j + f_i$$
(6)

There is no explicit expression for the equilibrium reaction rates  $re_{i}$ , their value has to be such 160 that the corresponding mass action law is satisfied. Therefore,  $re_i$  values can be written as a 161 162 function of both transport and chemical processes (De Simoni et al. 2005). A common 163 approach to avoid dealing with these terms is to formulate the conservation of components as 164 a linear combination of species that remain unaffected by equilibrium reactions. As such, 165 equilibrium reactive rates disappear from the conservation equations of components (Steefel 166 and MacQuarrie 1996). However, components may involve species belonging to different 167 phases, therefore conservation equation for components have to be written:

168 
$$\sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \theta_{\alpha} u_{i,\alpha} \right) + \sum_{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \theta_{\beta} u_{i,\beta} \right) = \sum_{\alpha} L_{\alpha} \left( u_{i,\alpha} \right) + k_{u_{i}} + f_{u_{i}}$$
(7)

Where  $u_{i,lpha}$  and  $u_{i,eta}$  are the i component concentration in mobile phases lpha and immobile 169 phases  $\beta$  respectively, and  $k_{u_i}$  is a linear combination of the kinetic terms that affect the 170 171 species composing the component. We consider as immobile phases minerals and fluid-solid 172 interface, despite the fact an interphase is not a phase from a thermodynamic point of view. 173 Note that the component conservation equation (7) has the same structure as equation (2). 174 The main difference is that a component  $u_i$  may be present in more than one phase, while a 175 species  $c_i$  belongs to a single phase. There are several ways of defining components and 176 therefore some freedom in the choice of components. This has led to formulations that try 177 defining components that do not affect each other, such as those proposed by Molins et al. 178 (2004), Kräutle and Knabner (2005) and Hoffmann et al. (2010). Saaltink et al. (1998) 179 introduced a definition that eliminates species whose activities are known and constant. That 180 is the case of minerals, that are considered as pure phases, so that their activity equals unity. 181 Also, the activity of water can be assumed unity for the case of diluted solutions. Minerals, 182 often considered as constant activity species, might appear or disappear from portions of the 183 domain due to precipitation-dissolution processes. Therefore, under equilibrium assumption,

the dimension of the component vector, the number of components, may be different at each
discrete point in space and vary in time. This increases the difficulty of solving equation (7)
since the matrix system to be solved has a dynamic size, which significantly affects the code.
Once all component conservation and geochemical equations have been solved, all species

concentrations are known. Equilibrium reaction rates  $re_j$  are then calculated form species conservation equation (2). If constant activity species have been eliminated from the component definition, their concentration must also to be calculated from equation (2).

191

### 192 2.3. Constitutive and thermodynamic laws

The literature provides several models for density, viscosity and diffusion coefficients of mobile phases. These parameters are usually expressed as an explicit function of phase composition, pressure and temperature. Several models express saturation and relative permeability as an explicit function of capillary pressure and surface tension. All these relations lead to a local system of equations, which is valid at every point of the domain.

Thermodynamic relations also form part of this local system of equations. The most important of these are the chemical equilibrium reactions, which may be expressed by means of mass action laws, as often done in reactive transport. Also required are models for the calculation of activity, such as Debye-Hückel (1923), or Pitzer (1973) and expressions for kinetic rate laws (such as Monod or Lasaga, Mayer et al. 2002).

203 Minor changes on the solid matrix, like porosity changes due to mineral dissolution-204 precipitation or clogging, may also be expressed as algebraic equations (Soleimani et al. 2009). 205 More complex mechanical processes, like deformation or consolidation, involve momentum 206 conservation equation and have to be solved as a PDE (Villar et al. 2008).

207 Constitutive and thermodynamic relationships define a set of algebraic equations (AE) that 208 have to be solved together with the conservation equations (PDE).

209

#### 210 2.4. Numerical solution of the equations

211 Methods such as finite element or finite differences, among others, are normally used to 212 approximate time or space derivative terms in PDEs. Application of such methods leads to a set 213 of equations that represent the conservation principle for discrete portions of the domain 214 (representing nodes or cells). The current version of PROOST supports two methods: the Finite 215 Elements and the Mixed Finite Elements. Contrary to constitutive or thermodynamic laws, 216 these equations are not local, that is, equations at a discrete point are function of variables at 217 other discrete points. As constitutive and thermodynamic models (AEs) involve variables that 218 appear in the PDE, both AEs and PDEs may have to be solved simultaneously. Generally, the 219 resulting set of equations is non-linear, which makes their solution more difficult. As 220 mentioned in the introduction different approaches can be adopted for solving these coupled 221 sets of equation: independently, sequentially, iteratively or coupled.

222

### **3.** OO analysis of reactive transport modeling and PROOST class organization

According to the OO philosophy, the numerical solution of reactive transport can be represented by a group of interacting objects. These objects belong to classes which define common types of data and functionality. According to Filho and Devloo (1991), defining suitable classes is the first and perhaps the most important step in software design under OO.

Our analysis was based on the following abstraction: reactive transport modeling is considered as a set of equations (PDEs and AEs), representing the conservation of chemical species, that need to be solved in a certain domain. These equations involve several variables or fields (such as concentrations, density or porosity) which are also defined over portions of the same domain. The domain is discretized and fields are defined over the discretized space (nodes or cells). Using discretization techniques (such as finite element or finite differences methods) PDEs are converted into a set of algebraic equations which represent a discrete version of the

PDE. For each discretized time interval, this set of equations can simultaneously be solved withthe AE or using an operator splitting technique.

237 The above description points to a natural class structure for our problems. The PDEs share 238 attributes such as terms in the equation, state variables or domain definitions, and also share 239 functionalities such as computing the balance or the matrices for the discretized PDE. 240 Therefore, we find it natural to define a class, termed Phenomenon, to identify PDEs. In the 241 same fashion, we define Process as the class whose instances will be specific terms in the PDE 242 (e.g. advection, dispersion, etc.). The class Meshfields defines objects representing various 243 properties defined over space (and time). To deal the geochemical processes we use the class 244 CHEPROO (CHEmical PRocesses Object Oriented, Bea et al. 2009). All these objects produce the 245 terms for the (non-linear) discretized PDEs, which are solved with the functions of the class 246 Solver. The class organization described above is shown in Figure 1 and its detailed description 247 is given below.



Figure 1 – Organization of main classes in PROOST. Each box represents a class with its attributes and methods. A paradigm is show below each class.

### 248 3.1. Phenomenon class

PDEs are a central ingredient of reactive transport modeling. All PDEs represent a conservation principle. All of them consist of different terms, like storage, flux divergence or source terms and are subject to initial and boundary conditions. Therefore, we define a class for representing PDEs. We term this class *Phenomenon*. Note that a number of authors have also defined similar classes in their analysis (Boivin and Ollivier-Gooch 2004, Kolditz and Bauer 2004, Meysman et al. 2003). But the main difference here is that in our case, the Phenomenon object is composed by several objects of the class Process which represent the different terms of the PDE. This is a key aspect that facilitates code reuse, as will be shown below in the
 *Process* class description.

Beside the *Processes* that define the PDE, the initial and boundary conditions are also the main attributes of the *Phenomenon* class. Methods include the computations of balances or the contribution to matrices comprising the discrete version of the PDEs. The values of the solution variables or unknowns will be obtained from the solution of this matrix system.

Initial conditions and Dirichlet boundary conditions are defined as a *Meshfields* and are handled by the *Phenomenon* Class. The rest of boundary conditions, as can be expressed as different terms of the PDE, are represented by instances of the *Process* class. The Dirichlet boundary conditions has the particularity of imposing the state variable value over different parts of the domain. For this reason there are handled directly by the *Phenomenon*.

A *Phenomenon* object can be used to represent a single conservation principle (such as species mass or energy) or several conservation principles with similar equations, like components concentrations. For this latter case, the *Phenomenon* class makes use of the fact that the same conservation equation applies to all components, and therefore only one PDE has to be defined which applies to all components.

272

273 3.2. Process class

The terms that compose the PDE (e.g., storage or advection) and the boundary conditions that constrain it (e.g. leakage) are represented by the *Process* class. The actual nature of this term is defined via inheritance by specialization classes (Figure 1).

The main attributes of this class are the time and space where the *Process* is applied (for example the location of a pumping well for a sink-source *Process*) and the fields it involves (the pumping rate in this example). Methods include the computation of the process contribution to the system matrix or to the global balance. All these are performed by using methods of the class *Mesh*, where all discretization-integration information and methods are encapsulated.

282 The Processes objects are the terms that constitute the conservation equations. A 283 Phenomenon can be formulated by combining different Processes. This class facilitates the 284 extensibility of the code because only the new terms (new specialization of the class Process) 285 have to be programmed to extend the set of equations that can be solved. It also allows 286 reusing code, since the same type of *Processes* can be used for different conservation 287 equations. For example, a diffusive process for a mass conservation equation and an energy 288 conservation equation are a different instance of the same class. Another example is the 289 extension of the component conservation equation from single phase to multiphase (equation 290 (7)). For this case, all processes have to be replicate for each mobile phase. This can be easily 291 done by considering new instances of the same *Process* objects.

There are certain limitations regarding the kind of *Processes* that can be added to a *Phenomenon,* and are related to the numerical method chosen for solving it. The nature of the considered Process has to be supported by the numerical method. For example, in its current implementation, advective terms cannot be considered when solving a PDE with the Mixed Finite Element Method.

297 Most boundary conditions are represented with objects of the class Process. Imposed fluxes 298 and variable dependent fluxes are considered through a *Sink-Source* objects, which are 299 specialization of the class *Process*. As mentioned before Dirichlet boundary conditions are 300 handled by the *Phenomenon* class.

301

## 302 *3.3. Mesh class*

There are different techniques to solve PDEs numerically. All these techniques share an approach for discretizing the spatial domain (such as nodes, elements or cells) and methods to integrate (or differentiate) the terms (*Process*) of a PDE (*Phenomenon*) to produce a matrix system from which the discrete solution of the PDE can be obtained.

307 Thus, all the data and functionality regarding spatial discretization and the discretization-308 integration methods for solving PDE (such as finite element or finite differences) define a class 309 that we term Mesh. A number of authors have defined similar classes in their analysis. 310 However, most of them separate the domain discretization from the integration methods in 311 different classes (Commend and Zimmermann 2001, Wang and Kolditz 2007). This integration 312 was made because, despite of the fact that both methods can share a mesh (elements and 313 nodes), the mesh topological data required might be different. For example, Mixed Finite 314 Elements and Finite Elements can both use the same mesh, but Mixed Finite Elements needs 315 extra information about edges for 2D problems or sides for 3D. Another difference between 316 these two methods is that while Finite Elements gives a continuum scalar field for the solution 317 over the mesh, Mixed Finite Elements gives a vector field. Therefore, some aspects of the 318 spatial discretization are related to the integration method, and that is why both are consider 319 in a single object in PROOST.

The main attributes of the *Mesh* class are the domain discretization information (such as nodes or cell coordinates and connectivity between these discrete elements). Methods include yielding information of space discretization (such as the number of discrete elements and their geometrical information), integrating the different terms of the conservation equation (*Processes*) over the domain, and evaluating spatial properties of variables such as gradients.

The *Mesh* class allows incorporating new discretization-integration numerical methods by adding new specializations of the class. Two specializations of the class Mesh are currently implemented in PROOST: the Finite Elements and the Mixed Finite Elements.

328

# 329 3.4. Meshfield class

Another important element of reactive transport modeling is the AEs that represent constitutive and thermodynamic laws. Constitutive laws express one field as a function of others. Thus a class termed *Meshfield* is defined to represent the projection of different scalar,

vector or tensor fields (such as pressure, flux or conductivity) in the discrete domain. The main attributes of this class are the values and derivatives of a field for the discrete entities (nodes, elements or cells) and the parameters of the function or constitutive laws they represent. The main methods of the class are to calculate its values and derivatives, and to interpolate its values over any point of the domain. Among others, *Meshfield* is used to represent retention curves, relative permeability curves and dispersion coefficients.

For example a flux *Meshfield* object defined as  $\mathbf{q} = -\mathbf{T}\nabla h$ , can calculate its values and its derivatives to transmissivity  $\mathbf{T}$  and head h fields. When a *Meshfield* represents one of the solution variables of the problems, like head in the previous example, its values are set by the solver class.

The *Meshfield* class facilitates code extension since new constitutive laws can be easily added
to the code by creating new specializations.

345

346 3.5. CHEPROO class

347 Geochemical calculations for the component concentrations and kinetic rate laws of equation 348 (4) are in fact constitutive laws. Hence, we treat them as a specialization of a Meshfield, which 349 we term *Chemical Meshfield*. Many geochemical variables affect the evolution of the system 350 but do not appear explicitly in any PDE (e.g. the activity of aqueous species). For this reason 351 and also because of the complexity of some geochemical calculations, all geochemical models 352 and computations are encapsulated into a single object of a class termed CHEPROO. Only the 353 chemical variables that appear in PDE (such as component concentration or density) are stored 354 in a Chemical Meshfield.

The *CHEPROO* class uses a module with the same name, with an internal class hierarchy including classes like species, phase and reaction (Bea et al.2009). *CHEPROO* attributes include the geochemical models, such as those for activity coefficients, density or kinetic rates laws, and the chemical data associated to each discrete point of the problem, such as concentrations

or components definition. *CHEPROO* includes methods for calculating the values and derivatives of chemical variables (like component concentration) with respect to the solution variables of the PDE, and to dump them into *Chemical Meshfield* objects.

362 *CHEPROO* also controls the number of chemical components. For some formulations, like the 363 one of Saaltink et al. (1998), the number of components may change in time and space. Thus, 364 *CHEPROO* has to provide information about the components in order to establish the 365 dimension of the final matrix system to be solved.

366 3.6. Solver class

A coupling strategy (coupled or decoupled) needs to be chosen when solving several PDEs. A solution technique for non-linear systems (Newton-Raphson or Picard) is also needed. An object of the *Solver* class will be in charge of solving a number of PDEs with a chosen solution strategy:

Independently, there are no crossed influences between *Phenomena* (for example changes
 on porosity due to chemical changes are not considered when solving fluid phase
 conservation)

Sequentially, influences between *Phenomena* are considered lagged in time (for the porosity example, changes due to chemistry in time *t* are considered for flow in time *t* +*dt*)
Iteratively, all *Phenomena* are alternately solved until no significant changes on linking variables occurs (for the porosity example, flow and transport are solved alternately until no significant changes in porosity occurs)

Coupled, all *Phenomena* are solved at once. *Solver* attributes include the set of *Phenomena*, the coupling strategy, the time discretization parameters or the convergence criteria. Methods are required for assembling and solving the discretized PDE system, for time integration. To address these, *Solver* uses other classes. For instances, matrix systems are handled by a class termed *Matrix* that encapsulates matrix data and solution techniques for linear systems.

Solver is the class that contributes most to the flexibility of the code since it can be used to solve several conservation equations following different strategies. For example, it might be used to solve first a steady state phase conservation equation (for phase flow calculation) and then a transient component conservation. Or it can be used to solve simultaneously the component and energy conservation.

389

# 390 3.7. Component conservation Phenomenon for the SIA and DSA approach

391 Despite the fact that the SIA and DSA are two approaches for solving the same *Phenomenon*, 392 (the component conservation equation), the way this *Phenomenon* is formulated in PROOST 393 depends on the chosen approach.

When solving component conservation equations with the DSA approach the input Phenomenon for PROOST should be the same as in equation (7). However, for the SIA approach immobile species storage and kinetic reactions are treated as a sink-source term :

397 
$$f_{SIA_{i}} = -\sum_{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \theta_{\beta} u_{i,\beta} \right) + k_{u_{i}}$$
(8)

398 Thus the component conservation equation is written only in terms of mobile component 399 conservation:

400 
$$\sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \theta_{\alpha} u_{i,\alpha} \right) = \sum_{\alpha} L_{\alpha} \left( u_{i,\alpha} \right) + f_{SIA_{i}} + f_{u_{i}}$$
(9)

401 The Proost class organization allowed implementing the SIA method without many 402 modifications. The SIA sink source term was represented with the preexisting sink-source 403 *Process* class. This process evaluates the values of the sink source term, which are given by a 404 *Meshifield*, and calculates its contribution to the discretized PDE system. By doing this, all the

- 405 complexity of this term is encapsulated in the class Cheproo, which sets the values of the SIA
- 406 source term in a *Chemical Meshfield*.

407

# 408 **4.** Solution procedure scheme for a time step

- 409 The interaction between PROOST objects can be illustrated by the solution of a time interval
- 410 for a reactive transport problem considering the DSA method. The flow diagram is shown in
- 411 Figure 2, from which 15 relevant points have been identified.



Figure 2 – Flow diagram of a time interval resolution for a reactive transport problem in PROOST

Solver establishes the size of the matrix system to be solved. This size depends on the
 number of coupled phenomena and the dimension of each state variable. Recall that
 component conservation dimension can be different for each discrete point and may

415 change among the iterative process.

416 2. *Solver* assembles the matrix system to be solved. To this end, *Solver* requests each
417 *Phenomenon* for its contribution.

- 418 3. *Phenomenon* requests for the contribution of all its *Processes*.
- 419 4. Each *Proceses* request the values of all the *Meshfields* to which it is related.
- 420 5. *Meshfield* computes its values.
- 421 6. CHEPROO calculates Chemical Meshfield values.
- 422 7. *Mesh* computes the contribution of the *Process* to the matrix system.
- 423 8. *Matrix* solves the matrix system.
- 424 9. *Solver* updates the calculated solution variables (concentrations, temperature or
  425 pressures) in *CHEPROO*.

10. CHEPROO calculates the concentration of all species from these values (speciation). If there are significant changes on chemical composition, like complete dissolution of minerals in equilibrium or precipitation of new ones, geochemical calculation might not converge. If that is the case, the length of time interval is reduced and the resolution procedure is restarted. The user sets the ideal time step, but if the resolution of the matrix system (which goes from step 2 to 11) exceeds a certain number of iterations, also set by the user, the time step is reduced.

433 11. Solver controls the convergence of the PDEs linearization and resolution process. When
434 convergence is reached all variables involved in the *phenomena* are known, except
435 equilibrium reactions rates that were eliminated when solving component conservation
436 (equation (7)). These rates can be calculated from the species conservation equations
437 (equation (2)). In order to avoid the formality of formulating both components and species

438 Phenomenon, this is done by considering an alternative component definition; each mobile 439 species is considered a component. Therefore, the result of the balance of the new 440 component conservation will be the product of the stoichiometric coefficient and the 441 equilibrium reaction rates. These aspects are illustrated with an example in the next 442 section.

12. *CHEPROO* changes component definition (each mobile species is considered a component).
This step allows solving species conservation equations with the same structure used for
component conservation equations. This is one of the advantages of Proost class
organization. More details on this particular aspect will be given in section 6.

447 13. *Phenomenon* computes balance (similar to step 3).

448 14. *CHEPROO* calculates equilibrium reaction rates from *Phenomenon* balance. Some reactive
449 transport formulations, like the one of Saaltink et al. (1998), eliminate constant activity
450 species, like minerals, from component composition. These species concentration can be
451 calculated once the equilibrium reaction rates are known.

452 15. If the formulation considered eliminates constant activity species, the number of 453 component is affected by the disappearance or appearance of minerals. Therefore, 454 component definition has to be controlled after the eliminated species were calculated. If 455 component definition changes the resolution procedure has to be started for the new 456 definition, if not the resolution procedure for the time step is finished.

457

## 458 *5.* Code implementation

The code presented results from merging and expanding two existing codes: PROOST and CHEPROO. The original design of PROOST was already capable of solving different phenomenona, in a coupled or decoupled way, by considering different techniques for the resolution of non-linear system (such as Newton-Raphson or Picard). However, such a design only allowed solving Phenomenon objects that had one scalar field as unknown. Also

Phenomenon Processes had to be written explicitly as a function of the unknown variable.
These featured clashed with the resolution of component conservation, especially when the
DSA approach is considered.

467 The solution of component conservation equations involves considering the conservation 468 equation of several components. As the number of components and its definition might 469 change in time and space (because of complete dissolution or appearance of new mineral 470 species), the number of Phenomenon considered would also have to vary. In order to avoid 471 this difficulty, and as the same Processes affect all component concentrations, only one 472 Phenomenon is considered which applies to a vector variable: the component concentration 473 vector. Therefore, Phenomenon and Process classes were expanded to handle a vector variable 474 whose size may change in time and space.

475 Processes where originally designed to represent terms of PDEs that directly involve the 476 unknowns of the problem (i.e. main state variables of the phenomenon: pressure for flow 477 equation, concentration for transport equation). For example, all Processes in a conservative 478 transport problem involve the solute concentration variable, which is also the unknown of this 479 problem. When solving reactive transport by the DSA method Processes are formulated in 480 terms of component concentrations, but the unknowns of the problem are the primary species 481 concentrations. Therefore, Phenomenon and Process classes were expanded so they can be 482 formulated in terms of any variable and not necessarily the unknown.

Originally, CHEPROO was capable of solving single phase reactive transport problems. CHEPROO uses a matrix system calculated by another conservative transport code to formulate and solve the reactive transport problem (Bea et al. 2008). In order to take advantage of PROOST's flexibility we choose to formulate and solve the multiphase reactive transport equations in PROOST instead of CHEPROO. Therefore, CHEPROO was added to the PROOST structure with the only purpose of performing the chemical calculations (speciation) and provide geochemical variables values and derivatives.

Besides adding new services to make chemical variables available outside its module, several improvements were made in CHEPROO. Phase properties like density, viscosity and enthalpy, and capillary effect on water activity were added. The PROOST class organization allowed representing all this chemical variables in the class *Chemical meshfield*. By doing this all the work related to the evaluation, update and dependency of these fields to others (like pressure or temperature) is done by pre existing methods.

496 Also a new speciation algorithm that uses the Newton-Raphson method had to be 497 programmed in CHEPROO due to the high nonlinearity of concentrated solutions. CHEPROO 498 and PROOST were programmed in FORTRAN 95 following the OO paradigm. This language was 499 chosen for its high popularity among hydrogeologists and its excellent performance reputation. 500 Even though FORTRAN is not a full object-oriented language it can directly support many of the 501 important concepts of OO programming. Details about OOP concepts in FORTRAN can be 502 found in Akin (1999), Carr (1999), Decyk et al. (1998), Gorelik (2004), Maley et al. (1996) and 503 Norton et al. (1998).

504

### 505 **6.** Application:

### 506 6.1. Application Description

507 In order to illustrate the classes introduced before, some aspects of the solution procedure 508 scheme for a time step (generically described in section 4) are shown for a concrete 509 application. We present the modeling of a 24 cm column of porous gypsum subjected to a 510 constant source of heat, in which a significant evaporation occurs. We will focus on the 511 component conservation equation. This synthetic example was designed for illustrating the 512 interaction between hydrodynamic and geochemical processes and it is described in detail by 513 Gamazo et al. (2012). Due to this interaction a compositional formulation was adopted and 514 therefore no phase conservation equations are explicitly solved. The finite element method 515 was used for the spatial discretization. One of the most interesting aspect of the application is 516 how the equilibrium reaction rates were calculated. This implies solving a different 517 conservation equation, species conservation instead of components. The PROOST structure 518 allowed to calculate the equilibrium reaction rates by using preexisting methods.

This application example includes gypsum, liquid water and vapor, dissolved and gaseous air, calcium and sulfate (main components of gypsum besides water) and 2 conservative species potassium and chloride (see Table 2). It also considers the occurrence of anhydrite, which may precipitate as a result of gypsum dehydration. Note that the coexistence in equilibrium of anhydrite and gypsum can fix water activity and therefore produce invariant points (Risacher and Clement 2001). As was exposed above, to our knowledge, PROOST is the first multiphase reactive transport capable of modeling this scenario.

526

#### Table 2 – Chemical species and reactions considered

 $h2o_{(l)}, h2o_{(g)}, air_{(l)}, air_{(g)}, Ca, So_4, K, Cl, gypsum, anhydrite$  $H_2O_{(l)} \Leftrightarrow H_2O_{(g)} \qquad \qquad K_{vapour} = 1.36075 \times 10^{11} \exp\left(\frac{-5239.7}{273.15+T}\right) \left[\frac{\text{Pa} \cdot Kgh_2o}{mol}\right]$  $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Pa} \cdot Kgh \ a \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$air_{(l)} \Leftrightarrow air_{(g)}$$
 $K_{air} = 2.9 \times 10^8 \left[ \frac{1 \, a + Kgn_2 o}{mol} \right]$ 
 $Ca^{2+} + SO_4^{2-} \Leftrightarrow anhydrite$ 
 $K_{anhydrite} = 10^{-4.362}$ 

 $Ca^{2+} + SO_4^{2-} + 2H_2O_{(l)} \Leftrightarrow gypsum$   $K_{gypsum} = 10^{-4.581}$ 

#### 527 6.2. Initial component definition

Pore solution was initially considered in equilibrium with gypsum with a mineral volumetric content of 0.6. The incoming energy heats the column, which increases evaporation and reduces saturation degree at the top. This induces an ascending non statured flow of liquid water. At certain point a descending evaporation front appears followed by an also descending gypsum dehydration front in which anhydrite precipitates (see Figure 3). Note that this second front has a significant effect on vapor flow.



Figure 3 – Liquid saturation, mineral mass, evaporation rate and vapor flux for the upper 8 cm of the column. Note that besides the typical evaporation front associated to the drying front there is a second evaporation front associated to hydrated mineral dissolution. This second front has a significant effect on vapor flow.

537 When the simulation starts the whole domain has the same mineral composition and 538 therefore the component conservation equations for all nodes are the same (see Table 3 for 539 component definition):

$$540 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{aq} \begin{pmatrix} c_{K^{*}} \\ c_{ait_{(i)}} \\ c_{Ca^{2*}} - c_{Sa_{4}^{2*}} \\ c_{Car} \\ c_{b2a_{(i)}} - 2c_{Sa_{4}^{2*}} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{g} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} = -\nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} q_{aq} \begin{pmatrix} c_{K^{*}} \\ c_{ait_{(i)}} \\ c_{Ca^{2*}} - c_{Sa_{4}^{2*}} \\ c_{Cr} \\ c_{h2a_{(i)}} - 2c_{Sa_{4}^{2*}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} - \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ait_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0$$

This implies that the number of components is the same for the entire domain. This aspect is controlled by a single object of the *Cheproo* class, and affects almost all classes: from the *Solver*, in charge of calculating the dimension of the system to be solved, to the *Meshfiled*, in charge of storing field values and their derivatives to state variables.

Table 3 – Component definition for different mineral combinations (from up to down: only gypsum, gypsum and anhydrite, only anhydrite) and the "one component per mobile species" component definition  $U_1$ .

	[	$H_2 O_{(l)}$	$air_{(l)}$	$Ca^{2+}$	$SO_{4}^{2-}$	$K^+$	$Cl^{-}$	$H_2O_{(g)}$	$air_{(g)}$	anh	gyp
	$u_{K}$	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
<b>I</b> I –	u <sub>air</sub>	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
O <sub>anhydrite</sub> –	$u_{Ca-SO_4}$	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	u <sub>Cl</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	$u_{h_2o}$	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	_										_
		$H_2O_{(l)}$	$air_{(l)}$	$Ca^{2+}$	$SO_{4}^{2-}$	$K^{+}$	$Cl^{-}$	$H_2O_{(g)}$	$air_{(g)}$	anh	gyp
	$u_{h_2 o_{(l)}}$	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	$u_{air_{(l)}}$	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	$u_{Ca}$	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{U}_1 =$	$u_{SO_4}$	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	$u_{K}$	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	u <sub>cl</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	$u_{h_2 o_{(g)}}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	$u_{air_{(l)}}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

547 Despite of having several components, each with its own conservation equation or 548 phenomenon, PROOST treats components as entities pertaining to one phenomenon. This 549 simplifies the code's internal operability and problem definition, since it allows taking benefit 550 of the fact that several processes affect species in the same way. For example the storage, 551 advection and diffusion-dispersion processes in equation (10) affect all species from a phase in 552 the same way. For these processes the contribution to the system matrix are calculated for all 553 components together. Encapsulation allows confining to the Process class all the complexity 554 associated to the fact that processes can be part of one or a set of partial differential equations. 555 Currently the only process that acts differently over each species is the "sink/source" process.

The Cheproo object also defines which species and variables will be considered as state variables for Newton-Raphson system. When only gypsum is present in the system, the states variables associated to component conservation equations are:  $c_{K^+} c_{air_{(l)}} c_{Ca^{2+}} c_{Cl^-} p_l$ . The rest of species ( $c_{air_{(s)}}$ ,  $c_{h2o_{(s)}}$ ,  $c_{Sa_4^{2-}}$ ) are secondary and its values are calculated by Cheproo by 560 considering mass actions laws. Reaction rates and non-mobile species concentrations are561 calculated in a subsequent step.

In order to understand the physical meaning of component conservation equations, it is 562 563 helpful to associate state variables to specific components. For example, each of the species chosen as state variables (  $c_{K^+}$  ,  $c_{air_{(l)}}$  ,  $c_{Ca^{2+}}$  and  $c_{Cl^-}$  ) can be considered as the constituents of 564 565 the four first components of the conservation equations (10). The association of the liquid 566 pressure ( $p_1$ ) to a specific component is not straight forward. Liquid pressure is related to 567 liquid saturation which affects all components. Since the last component in equation (10) 568 contains all water species and only involves secondary species, liquid pressure can then be 569 associated to its main variable. However, variables like activity coefficients, density, viscosity, 570 gas pressure and liquid saturation, depend on all state variables and make the system fully 571 coupled. Nevertheless, the exercise of defining a main variable for every component provides 572 a more profound knowledge about variables dependency, which may be relevant for some 573 cases as will be shown in section 6.3. For that case water species is eliminated from the 574 component equation and both calcium and sulfate are defined as secondary variables.

575 As can be seen in Figure 2, matrix system assembling is the core of a time interval resolution. It 576 involves all the classes shown in Figure 1.

577 Once the system is solved there are still unknown variables to be calculated: the eliminated 578 species concentration and the equilibrium reaction rates.

These variables can be calculated by considering the species conservation equation. In order to avoid formulating a different phenomenon the PROOST class organization allows using the same structure as used for calculating component conservation for species conservation. This is one of the advantages of the Proost class organization. The same phenomenon is considered

- 583 and only the component definition is changed. The new component definition considers every
- 584 mobile species as a component (see Table 3):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{aq} \begin{pmatrix} c_{K^{*}} \\ c_{ai_{l_{l}}} \\ 0 \\ c_{ca^{2*}} \\ c_{ca^{-}} \\ c_{b2q_{l_{l}}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{g} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{ai_{\bar{t}_{s}}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{ca^{2*}} \\ c_{ca^{-}} \\ c_{b2q_{l_{l}}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{g} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{ai_{\bar{t}_{s}}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} q_{aq} \begin{pmatrix} c_{K^{*}} \\ c_{ai_{l_{l}}} \\ 0 \\ c_{ca^{2*}} \\ c_{ca^{-}} \\ c_{b2q_{l_{l}}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{ai_{\bar{t}_{s}}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{ai_{\bar{t}_{s}}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{ai_{\bar{t}_{s}}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{ai_{\bar{t}_{s}}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{ai_{\bar{t}_{s}}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{ai_{\bar{t}_{s}}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{ai_{\bar{t}_{s}}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{b2q_{s}}$$

Note that all the processes in equation (11) are analogous to equation (10), except the last one. This is the only term in equation (11) that has unknown variables ( $r_{air}$ ,  $r_{h2o}$ ,  $r_{gypsum}$ ), the other terms involve known variables. In order to calculate these unknown variables the  $U_1$ component definition is considered and a general method of the *process* class, balance, is used to calculate all terms at the right hand side of equation (9):

$$591 \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -r_{air} \\ r_{air} \\ -r_{gyp} \\ 0 \\ -r_{gyp} \\ 0 \\ -r_{h2o} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{aq} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_{\kappa^{+}} \\ c_{aif_{(l)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{ca^{2+}} \\ c_{sa^{2}} \\ c_{cr} \\ c_{h2a_{(l)}} \\ 0 \\ r_{h2o} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{air_{(l)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{ca^{2+}} \\ c_{sa^{2}} \\ c_{cr} \\ c_{h2a_{(l)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(s)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(l)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{ca^{2+}} \\ c_{sa^{2-}} \\ c_{cr} \\ c_{h2a_{(l)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(s)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{air_{(k)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{air_{(k)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{air_{(k)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{air_{(k)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{air_{(k)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{air_{(k)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{air_{(k)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{air_{(k)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(k)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\$$

593 The result is used by Cheproo to calculate the reaction rates (evaporation, volatilization of 594 dissolved air and gypsum precipitation). Note that the number of equations exceeds the 595 number of unknowns (eight and three, respectively). In theory, solution of all equations should 596 give the same reactions rates. For simplicity we used the least square method for the solution 597 of equation (9). Once the reaction rates are calculated, the mole variations of mineral species 598 can be computed (gypsum for this case). If a mineral is completely depleted or if the solution 599 has become saturated for a new mineral, components should be redefined and calculations for 600 the time step recalculated.

### 601 6.3. Anhydrite precipitation

As the system evolves over time, water activity decreases at the top of the column due to osmotic and capillary effect, and anhydrite starts to precipitate. When anhydrite and gypsum coexists a singularity, known as "invariant point", occurs and water activity remains constant (Risacher and Clement 2001 and Gamazo et al. 2011). Combining the mass actions law for anhydrite and gypsum (equation (13)) the fixed water activity value can be obtained:

607 
$$\begin{aligned} a_{Ca^{2+}}a_{SO_4^{2-}} &= K_{anhydrite} \\ a_{Ca^{2+}}a_{SO_4^{2-}}a_{h20_{(I)}}^2 &= K_{gypsum} \\ \Rightarrow a_{h20_{(I)}} &= \sqrt{\frac{K_{gypsum}}{K_{anhydrite}}} \end{aligned}$$
(13)

608 Under this scenario gypsum dissolves and anhydrite precipitates at a rate that ensures this 609 fixed water activity:

$$gypsum \Leftrightarrow anhydrite + 2H_2O_{(14)}$$

This implies a singular component definition (see Table 3) which results in the following component conservation equation:

$$613 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{aq} \begin{pmatrix} c_{K^{+}} \\ c_{air_{(l)}} \\ c_{Ca^{2^{+}}} - c_{So_{4}^{2^{-}}} \\ c_{CT^{-}} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{g} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a_{i\bar{\eta}_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} q_{aq} \begin{pmatrix} c_{K^{+}} \\ c_{air_{(l)}} \\ c_{Ca^{2^{+}}} - c_{So_{4}^{2^{-}}} \\ c_{CT^{-}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_{K^{+}} \\ c_{air_{(l)}} \\ c_{Ca^{2^{+}}} - c_{So_{4}^{2^{-}}} \\ c_{CT^{-}} \end{pmatrix} - \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} q_{g} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_{g} \cdot \nabla c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{ai$$

Note that all forms of water have been eliminated from the components conservation equation. For all nodes where anhydrite and gypsum coexists these new components have to be considered, and the states variables associated to conservation equation will be:  $c_{K^+}$ ,  $c_{air_{(i)}}$ ,

617 
$$c_{cl^{-}}$$
 ,  $p_{l}$ 

This conservation equation, and the corresponding states variables, may make it difficult to associate state variables to specific components. As in the previous system, the state variables  $c_{K^+}$ ,  $c_{air_{(1)}}$ ,  $c_{CI^-}$  can be associated to the first, second and fourth component respectively. Hence, the remaining variable, liquid pressure, must be associated to the third component of equation (15). Although this third component only contains Ca<sup>2+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and no H<sub>2</sub>O, it still 623 depends on liquid pressure through volumetric content,  $\theta_{aq}$ , and retention curve. Hence, there is no problem in using it for the calculation of liquid pressure. But since neither  $c_{{\it Ca}^{2+}}$  or 624  $c_{_{So_{a}^{2-}}}$  are state variables, it is not straight forward to understand how the system can manage 625 626 balance of these two species, especially when advective and diffusive fluxes are considered. In 627 nodes where gypsum and anhydrite coexists water activity is fixed. The main mechanism for 628 this is the sink source term of water produced by the interaction of these two minerals as 629 shown in equation (14). But this interaction can also affect calcium and sulfate concentration 630 through differences in precipitation rates. For instance, if the rate of gypsum dissolution is the 631 same as anhydrite precipitation then only water is released. But any differences between these rates can release or consume dissolved Ca<sup>2+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. Hence, gypsum-anhydrite 632 interaction will release the necessary amount of water, calcium and sulfate to keep water 633 634 activity constant and to conform these two species conservation equations. This can be seen 635 by considering the species conservation equation of calcium and sulfate ((16) and (17)), and 636 the sum of liquid and gaseous water (18):

637

$$\begin{aligned} 638 \qquad & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{aq} \left( c_{Ca^{2+}} \right) = -\nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{q}_{aq} \left( c_{Ca^{2+}} \right) \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{D}_{aq} \cdot \nabla \left( c_{Ca^{2+}} \right) \right) - r_{gyp} - r_{anhy} \end{aligned}$$
(16)  
$$\begin{aligned} 639 \qquad & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{aq} \left( c_{Sa^{2-}} \right) = -\nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{q}_{aq} \left( c_{Sa^{2-}} \right) \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{D}_{aq} \cdot \nabla \left( c_{Sa^{2-}} \right) \right) - r_{gyp} - r_{anhy} \end{aligned}$$
(17)  
$$\begin{aligned} 640 \qquad & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{aq} \left( c_{h2a_{(i)}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{g} \left( c_{h2a_{(s)}} \right) = -\nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{q}_{aq} \left( c_{h2a_{(i)}} \right) \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{D}_{aq} \cdot \nabla \left( c_{h2a_{(i)}} \right) \right) - \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{q}_{g} c_{h2a_{(s)}} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{D}_{g} \cdot \nabla \left( c_{h2a_{(s)}} \right) \right) + f_{h2a_{(s)}} - 2 \cdot r_{gyp} \end{aligned}$$
(18)

641

The most interesting aspect of this is that the precipitation dissolution rates were eliminated and therefore were not involved in the component conservation equations. This situation continues as long as the two minerals coexist. If that is not the case, and one mineral is depleted, the component definition is changed and the time step is recalculated.

647 When a mineral content is exhausted during the resolution of a time step, the new component definition considers that this mineral is no longer present. However it was still 648 649 present at the beginning of the time step. In order to keep track of this remaining amount of 650 mineral, this amount is distributed among the species that forms it and treated as a fixed 651 source term. In the present application, when gypsum disappears, a new component definition is considered (  $\mathbf{U}_{\textit{anhydrite}}$  ) and a source term is added for calcium, sulfate and water equal to the 652 653 amount of remaining gypsum times the corresponding stoichiometric constant, 1 for calcium 654 and sulfate and 2 for liquid water.

The resulting component conservation equations are similar to the ones shown in equation (10).

657

$$658 \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta_{aq} \begin{pmatrix} c_{K^{*}} \\ c_{aiq_{(1)}} \\ c_{ca^{2*}} - c_{sa^{2*}_{a}} \\ c_{cr} \\ c_{h2a_{(1)}} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta_{g} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} = -\nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} q_{aq} \begin{pmatrix} c_{K^{*}} \\ c_{aiq_{(1)}} \\ c_{ca^{2*}} - c_{sa^{2*}_{a}} \\ c_{cr} \\ c_{h2a_{(1)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{air_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_{h2a_{(g)}} \\ 0$$

Note that the last component only involves liquid and gaseous water.

660

#### 661 7. Summary and conclusions

662 An object oriented multiphase reactive transport class organization has been presented. It was 663 designed to ensure extensibility and flexibility. Its main classes are: Mesh (contains all the 664 discretization information and integration methods, such as finite elements or finite 665 differences), Meshfield (represent spatial fields and the constitutive laws that relate them, like 666 saturation or concentrations), Phenomenon (represents the conservation of a physical 667 magnitude expressed as a partial differential equation (PDE), such as mass or energy 668 conservation), Process (represents a term of a Phenomenon PDE, like advection or storage, and 669 non-Dirichlet boundary conditions), Solver (controls the coupling strategy for solving all

670 *Phenomena* and assembles the matrix system to solve) and *CHEPROO* (encapsulates all671 thermodynamic date and perform geochemical calculations).

672 The flexibility and extensibility of PROOST come from the following particularities of its design. 673 Several Phenomenon can be formulated by combining the available Process. In order to solve a 674 new kind of Phenomenon, only new Processes have to be programmed. The Solver class can be 675 set to solve all Phenomena independently, sequentially or coupled. New constitutive laws can 676 be easily added to the code by creating new specialization of the Meshfield class, and new 677 numerical methods for discretization-integration of PDE can be added by implementing new 678 specializations of the Mesh class. The main challenging task, for solving reactive transport 679 problems, was to implement the ability of using changing definitions of components. This 680 could be achieved by considering the components as entities pertaining to one *Phenomenon*. 681 The flexibility of the structure allowed the implementation of the SIA method by mainly 682 creating a new specialization of the Messfield class. The performance of PROOST is illustrated 683 by describing the solution procedure for a concrete application: the modeling of a column of 684 porous gypsum subjected to a constant source of heat. The problem involves important 685 interaction between hydrodynamic and geochemical processes like the occurrence of invariant 686 points. The flexibility of the structure is shown in the example. In this regard, it highlights the 687 fact that a single phenomenon object is considered for representing both component and 688 species conservation in two different steps of the resolution procedure. This allows the 689 calculation of the equilibrium reaction rates using pre existing methods.

690

691 References

Akin, J. E. Object oriented programming via Fortran 90. *Engineering Computations*, 16, 26–48,
1999.

694

695	Bea, S.A.,Carrera,	J., Ayora,	C., Batlle,	F. and Saa	altin	ık, M.V	V. Chepro	oo: A fort	ran 90 obje	ct-
696	oriented module	to solve	chemical	processes	in	earth	science	models.	Computers	&
697	Geosciences, 35(6)	:1098–111	2, 2009.							

698

- Boivin, C. and Ollivier-Gooch, C. A toolkit for numerical simulation of pdes. ii. solving generic
- 700 multiphysics problems. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 193(36-

701 38):3891–3918, September 2004.

702

703 Carr, M. Using Fortran90 and object-oriented programming to accelerate code development,

704 IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine, 41, 85–90, 1999

705

Commend, S. and Zimmermann, T. Object-oriented nonlinear finite element programming: a
pimer. Advances In Engineering Software, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 611\_628, Aug. 2001.

708

Clement, T., Sun, Y., Hooker, B. and Petersen J. .Modeling multispecies reactive transport in
ground water. *Ground Water Monitoring & Remediation*, 18(2):79–92, 1998.

711

De Simoni, M., Carrera, J., Sanchez-Vila, X., and Guadagnini, A. A procedure for the solution of
multicomponent reactive transport problems. *Water Resources Research*, 41(11):W11410,
November 2005.

715

Debye, P., Hückel, E., Thetheory of electrolytes. I .Lowering of freezing point and related
phenomena. Physikalische Zeitschrift 24, 185–206, 1923

718

Decyk, V. K., Norton, C. D. and Szymanski, B. K. How to support inheritance and run-time
polymorphism in Fortran90, *Computer Physics Communications*, 115, 9–17, 1998.

722	Fan, Y., Durlofsky, L J. and Tchelepi H.A., A fully-coupled flow-reactive-transport formulation
723	based on element conservation, with application to CO2 storage simulations, Advances in
724	Water Resources 42 47–61, 2012
725	
726	Filho, J., Devloo, P. Object-oriented programming in scientific computations: the beginning of a
727	new era. Engineering Computations, 8:81–87, 1991.
728	
729	Flemisch, B., Darcis, M., Erbertseder, K., Faigle, B., Lauser, A., Mosthaf, K., Müthing, S., Nuske,
730	P., Tatomir, A., Wolff, M. and R. Helmig DuMux: DUNE for Multi-{Phase, Component, Scale,
731	Physics,} Flow and Transport in Porous Media. Advances in Water Resources, 2011, 34(9):
732	1102-1112.
733	
734	Forde, B., Foschi, R.O., Stiemer, S.F. Object-oriented finite element analysis. Computers and
735	Structures, 34(3):355–374, 1990.
736	
737	Gamazo, P., Saaltink, M.W., Carrera, J., Slooten, L., Bea, S.A. A consistent compositional
738	formulation for multiphase reactive transport where chemistry affects hydrodynamics. Adv.
739	Water Resour. 35, 83–93, 2012.
740	
741	Gandy, C. J. and Younger, P. L. An object-oriented particle tracking code for pyrite oxidation

and pollutant transport in mine spoil heaps. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 9 (4):293–304, 2007.

Gorelik, A. M., Object-oriented programming in modern Fortran. *Programming and Computer Software*, 30, 173–179, 2004.

/4/	Hao, Y., Sun, Y., Nitao, J. J. Chapter 9: Overview of NUFT: A Versatile Numerical Model for
748	Simulating Flow. In: Zhang, F., Yeh, G.T., Parker, J.C. (eds.) Groundwater reactive transport
749	models Bentham e-Books. Bentham Science Publishers, 2012. http://www.bentham.org
750	
751	Hoffmann, J, S. Kräutle, P. Knabner, A parallel global-implicit 2-D solver for reactive transport
752	problems in porous media based on a reduction scheme and its application to the MoMaS
753	benchmark problem, Comput. Geosci., 14, 421-433, doi: 10.1007/s10596-009-9173-7, 2010.
754	

Jacques, D., Šimůnek, J., Mallants, D., van Genuchten, M.T., Yu, L.: A coupled reactive transport
model for contaminant leaching from cementitious waste matrices accounting for solid phase
alterations. In: Proceedings Sardinia 2011, Thirteenth International Waste Management and
Landfill Symposium, 2011.

759

Johnson, C.D. and Truex, M.J. RT3D Reaction Modules for Natural and Enhanced Attenuation
 of Chloroethanes, Chloroethenes, Chloromethanes, and Daughter Products, PNNL-15938
 Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington, 2006

763

Kolditz, O. and Bauer, S. A process-oriented approach to computing multi-field problems in
porous media. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 6:225–244, 2004.

766

767 Kräutle, S. and P. Knabner, A new numerical reduction scheme for fully coupled
768 multicomponent transport-reaction problems in porous media, Water Resour. Res., 41,
769 W09414, doi:10.1029/2004WR003624, 2005.

7	7	0
		v

771	Lagneau, V., Van Der Lee, J. HYTEC results of the MoMas reactive transport benchmark.
772	Computational Geosciences, Springer Verlag (Germany), 14, pp.435-449, 2010.
773	
774	Li, D., Bauer, S., Benisch, K., Graupner, B. and Beyer, C., OpenGeoSys-ChemApp: a coupled
775	simulator for reactive transport in multiphase systems and application to CO2 storage
776	formation in Northern Germany, Acta Geotechnica, 9:67–79, 2014
777	
778	Lichtner, P.C. Continuum formulation of multicomponent-multiphase reactive transport in:
779	Lichtner, P.C., Steefel, C.I., Oelkers, E.H. (Eds.), Reactive Transport in Porous Media, Reviews in
780	<i>Mineralogy,</i> vol. 34, pp. 1–81, 1996.
781	
782	Lichtner, P.C., Hammond, G.E., Lu, C., Karra, S., Bisht, G., Andre, B., Mills, R.T., Kumar, J.:
783	PFLOTRAN User manual: A Massively Parallel Reactive Flow and Transport Model for
784	Describing Surface and Subsurface Processes, 2013
785	
786	Loomer, D.B., Al, T.A., Banks, VJ, Parker, B.L., Mayer, K.U. Manganese valence in oxides
787	formed from in situ chemical oxidation of TCE by KMnO4, Environmental Science & Technology
788	Aug 1; 44(15):5934-9, 2010
789	
790	MacQuarrie, K., Mayer K. U. Reactive transport modeling in fractured rock: A state-of-the-
791	science review, Earth-Science Reviews, Vol. 72 Issue 3/4, p189-227. 39p., 2005
792	
793	Maley, D., Kilpatrick, P. L., Schreiner, E. W., Scott, N. S. and Diercksen, G. H. F. The formal
794	specification of abstract data types and their implementation in fortran 90: Implementation

issues concerning the use of pointers. *Computer Physics Communications*, vol. 98, no. 1-2, pp.
167-180, Oct. 1996

797

798 E. O. & Blowes, Multicomponent Mayer, K. U.; Frind, D. W. reactive 799 transport modeling in variably saturated porous media using a generalized 800 formulation for kinetically controlled reactions Water Resources Research, 801 38, 1174, 2002

802

803 Mayer, K. U., Amos, R. T., Molins, S. and Gérard F. Chapter 8: Reactive Transport Modeling in

804 Variably Saturated Media with MIN3P: Basic Model Formulation and Model Enhancements. In:

805 Zhang, F., Yeh, G.T., Parker, J.C. (eds.) Groundwater reactive transport models Bentham e-

806 Books. Bentham Science Publishers, 2012. http://www.bentham.org

807

808 Meeussen, J.C.: ORCHESTRA: An object-oriented framework for implementing chemical 809 equilibrium models, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 37, 1175–1182, 2003.

810

Meysman, F.J. R., Middelburg, J. J., Herman, P. M. J. and Heip, C. H. R. Reactive transport in
surface sediments. i. model complexity and software quality. *Computers & Geosciences*,
29(3):291–300, April 2003.

814

815 Molins, S., Carrera, J., Ayora, C. and Saaltink, M.W. A formulation for decoupling components

816 in reactive transport problems. *Water Resour. Res.*, 40(10):W10301–, October 2004.

817

- 818 Norton, C. D., Decyk, V. and Slottow, J. Applying fortran 90 and object-oriented techniques to
- 819 scientific applications. *Object-Oriented Technology*, vol. 1543, pp. 462-463, 1998

821	Parkhurst, D.L., Appelo, C.A.J.: Description of input and examples for PHREEQC version 3-a
822	computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse
823	geochemical calculations, U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, book 6, chap. A43,
824	2013
825	
826	Pitzer, S. Thermodynamics of electrolytes. I. Theoretical basis and general equations. Journal of
827	Physical Chemistry 77 (2), 268–277, 1973
828	
829	Prommer, H., Post, V: PHT3D, A Reactive Multicomponent Transport Model for Saturated
830	Porous Media. User's Manual v2.10, 2010.
831	
832	Risacher, F. and Clement, A. A computer program for the simulation of evaporation of natural
833	waters to high concentration. Computers & Geosciences, 27(2):191–201, March 2001.
834	
835	Saaltink, M. W., Ayora, C., and Carrera, J. A mathematical formulation for reactive transport
836	that eliminates mineral concentrations. Water Resources Research, 34(7):1649-1656, July
837	1998.
838	
839	Saaltink, M. W., Carrera, J. and Ayora, C. On the behavior of approaches to simulate reactive
840	transport. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, 48(3-4):213–235, April 2001.
841	
842	Saaltink, M. W., Batlle, F., Ayora, C., Carrera, J., and Olivella, S. Retraso, a code for modeling
843	reactive transport in saturated and unsaturated porous media. Geologicaacta, 2, Nº3:235–251,
844	2004.
845	

Samper, J., Xu, T., Yang, C., A sequential partly iterative approach for multicomponent reactive
transport with CORE2D, *Computational Geosciences*, Volume 13, Issue 3, pp 301-316, 2009

848

- 849 Sassen, D. S., S. S.Hubbard, S. A.Bea, J.Chen, N.Spycher, and M. E.Denham, Reactive facies: An
- 850 approach for parameterizing field-scale reactive transport models using geophysical methods,
- Water Recourses Research., 48, W10526, 2012
- 852
- Shao, H., Dmytrieva, S. V., Kolditz, O., Kulik, D. A., Pfingsten, W. and Kosakowski, G. Modeling
  reactive transport in non-ideal aqueous-solid solution system. *Applied Geochemistry*,
  24(7):1287–1300, July 2009
- 856

Slooten, L.J., Batlle, F. , and Carrera, J. An XML based Problem Solving Environment for
Hydrological Problems. XVIII Conference on Computational Methods in Water Resources
(CMWR) http://congress.cimne.com/cmwr2010, 2010.

- 860
- Soleimani, S., Van Geel, P.J., Isgor, O. B., Mostafa, M. B. Modeling of biological clogging in
  unsaturated porous media. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology. Volume 106, Issues 1–2, 15
  Pages 39–50, 2009.
- 864
- Steefel, C. I. and MacQuarrie, K.T.B. Approaches to modeling of reactive transport in porous
  media. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry (Reactive Transport in Porous Media) January 1996; v. 34;1; p. 85-129,* 34:85–129, 1996.

868

- 869 Steefel, C. I., CrunchFlow Software for Modeling Multicomponent Reactive Flow and Transport
- User's guide, Earth Sciences Division Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory 2009.

- Steefel, C. I., Appelo, C. A. J., Arora, B., Jacques, D., Kalbacher, T., Kolditz, O., Lagneau, V.,
- 873 Lichtner, P. C., Mayer, K. U., Meeussen, J. C. L., Molins, S., Moulton, D., Shao, H., Šimůnek, J.,
- 874 Spycher, N., Yabusaki, S. B., Yeh, G. T. Reactive transport codes for subsurface environmental
- simulation. Computational Geosciences, 1-34, 2014
- 876
- 877 Trebotich, D., Adams, M.F., Molins, S., Steefel, C.I., Shen, C., High-Resolution Simulation of
- 878 Pore-Scale Reactive Transport Processes Associated with Carbon Sequestration, *Computing in*
- 879 Science & Engineering, vol.16, no. 6, pp. 22-31, Nov.-Dec. 2014
- 880
- Villar, M.V., Sánchez, M., Gens, A. Behaviour of a bentonite barrier in the laboratory:
  Experimental results up to 8 years and numerical simulation. Physics and Chemistry of the
- Earth, Parts A/B/C, Volume 33, Supplement 1, Pages S476–S485, 2008.
- 884
- 885 Wang, W. and Kolditz, O.Object-oriented finite element analysis of thermo-hydro-mechanical
- 886 (THM) problems in porous mediaInt. J. Numer. Meth. Engng; 69:162-201, 2007
- 887
- 888 White, M. D. and McGrail, B. P., STOMP Subsurface Transport Over Multiple Phases, PNNL-
- 889 15482, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington, 2005
- 890
- 891 Wheeler, M.F., Sun, S., and Thomas, S.G., Chapter 2 'Modeling of flow and reactive transport in
- 892 IPARS'. In: Zhang, F., Yeh, G.T., Parker, J.C. (eds.) Groundwater reactive transport models
- 893 Bentham e-Books. Bentham Science Publishers, 2012. http://www.bentham.org
- 894
- 895 Wissmeier, L. and Barry, D.A. Reactive transport in unsaturated soil: Comprehensive modelling
- 896 of the dynamic spatial and temporal mass balance of water and chemical components.
- 897 *Advances in Water Resources*, 31(5):858–875, 2008.
  - 42

898

- Yeh, G.T., Fang, Y.L., Zhang, F., Sun, J.T., Li, Y., Li, M.H., Siegel, M.D.: Numerical modeling of
  coupled fluid flow and thermal and reactive biogeochemical transport in porous and fractured
  media. Computational Geoscience 14, 149–170, 2010.
- 902

904

- 903 Yeh, G.T., Tripathi, V.J., Gwo, J.P., Cheng, H.P., Cheng, R.J., Salvage, K.M., Li, M.H., Fang, Y.L., Li,
- 905 saturated flow, thermal transport, and reactive biogeochemical transport. In: Zhang, F., Yeh,

Y., Sun, J.T., Zhang, F., Siegel, M.D.: Chapter 1: HYDROGEOCGEM: A coupled model of variably

906 G.T., Parker, J.C. (eds.) Groundwater reactive transport models Bentham e-Books. Bentham

- 907 Science Publishers, 2012. http://www.bentham.org
- 908
- 909 Xu, T., Spycher, N., Sonnenthal, E., Zhang, G., Zheng, L., Pruess, K.: TOUGHREACT Version 2.0: A

910 simulator for subsurface reactive transport under non-isothermal multiphase flow conditions.

911 Computers & Geosciences, 37, 763–774, 2011.

912

Xu, T., Spycher, N., Sonnenthal, Spycher, G., E., Zhang, G., Zheng, L., Pruess, K. Chapter 3
TOUGHREACT: A simulator for subsurface reactive transport under non-isothermal multiphase
flow conditions. In: Zhang, F., Yeh, G.T., Parker, J.C. (eds.) Groundwater reactive transport
models Bentham e-Books. Bentham Science Publishers, 2012. http://www.bentham.org

917

Zhang, R.,Yin X., Winterfeld, P.H. and Wu Y. A fully coupled Model of nonisothermal
multiphase flow, geomechanics and chemistry during CO2 sequestration in brine aquifers,
Proceedings, TOUGH Symposium 2012, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley,
California, September 17-19, 2012