



Manchester Metropolitan University

Piacentini, VDQ and Aleixo, A and Agne, CE and Maurício, GN and Pacheco, JF and Brav, GA and Brito, GRR and Naka, LN and Olmos, F and Posso, S and Silveira, LF and Betini, GS and Carrano, E and Franz, I and Lees, AC and Lima, LM and Pioli, D and Schunck, F and do Amaral, FR and Bencke, GA and Cohn-Haft, M and Figueiredo, LFA and Straube, FC and Cesari, E (2015) Annotated checklist of the birds of Brazil by the Brazilian ornithological records committee. *Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia*, 23 (2). pp. 91-298. ISSN 0103-5657

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/628163/>

Version: Published Version

Publisher: Sociedade Brasileira de Ornitologia

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03544294>

Usage rights: Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

Please cite the published version

<https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk>



Annotated checklist of the birds of Brazil by the Brazilian Ornithological Records Committee—second edition

José Fernando Pacheco^{1,2,3} · Luís Fábio Silveira^{1,4,5} · Alexandre Aleixo^{1,4,6} · Carlos Eduardo Agne^{1,4} · Glayson A. Bencke^{1,7} · Gustavo A. Bravo^{1,4,8} · Guilherme R. R. Brito^{1,4,9} · Mario Cohn-Haft^{1,4,10} · Giovanni Nachtigall Maurício^{1,4,11} · Luciano N. Naka^{1,4,12} · Fabio Olmos^{1,4,13} · Sérgio R. Posso^{1,4,14} · Alexander C. Lees^{1,15,16} · Luiz Fernando A. Figueiredo^{1,17} · Eduardo Carrano^{1,18} · Reinaldo C. Guedes¹⁹ · Evaldo Cesari²⁰ · Ismael Franz^{1,21} · Fabio Schunck¹ · Vitor de Q. Piacentini^{1,3,4,22}

Received: 5 April 2021 / Revised: 28 June 2021 / Accepted: 29 June 2021
© Sociedade Brasileira de Ornitologia 2021

Abstract

An updated version of the checklist of birds of Brazil is presented, along with a summary of the changes approved by the Brazilian Ornithological Records Committee's Taxonomy Subcommittee since the first edition, published in 2015. In total, 1971 bird species occurring in Brazil are supported by documentary evidence and are admitted to the Primary List, 4.3% more than in the previous edition. Eleven additional species are known only from undocumented records (Secondary List). For each species on the Primary List, status of occurrence in the country is provided and, in the case of polytypic species, the respective subspecies present in Brazilian territory are listed. Explanatory notes cover taxonomic changes, nomenclatural corrections, new occurrences, and other changes implemented since the last edition. Ninety species are added to the Primary List as a result of species descriptions, new occurrences, taxonomic splits, and transfers from the Secondary List due to the availability of documentation. In contrast, eight species are synonymized or assigned subspecific status and thus removed from the Primary List. In all, 293 species are endemic to Brazil, ranked third among the countries with the highest rate of bird endemism. The Brazilian avifauna currently consists of 1742 residents or breeding migrants, 126 seasonal non-breeding visitors, and 103 vagrants. The category of vagrants showed the greatest increase (56%) compared to the previous list, mainly due to new occurrences documented in recent years by citizen scientists. The list updates the diversity, systematics, taxonomy, scientific and vernacular nomenclature, and occurrence status of birds in Brazil.

Keywords Biodiversity · Classification · Endemism · Species list · Subspecies · Taxonomy

Introduction

The Annotated Checklist of the birds of Brazil (Piacentini et al. 2015) was a watershed publication that culminated a decade of work by the Brazilian Ornithological Records Committee (hereafter CBRO) in revising and updating the accepted list of Brazilian birds. Before then, between 2005 and 2014, CBRO had published 11 previous editions of the list. However, these earlier editions were not published in a scientific journal, nor did they contain explanatory notes

with supporting references. Here, we present the second edition of the Annotated Checklist (thirteenth in the historical series), incorporating changes approved between January 2016 and May 2021 by the CBRO Taxonomy Subcommittee, in addition to adding species recently described or recorded for the first time in Brazil.

The list is an open-access scientific resource made available by CBRO for the benefit of many different potential users, including scientists, birders, wildlife managers, government agencies, educators and students, among others. In recent years, the CBRO checklist has been used as the baseline avian taxonomy in several initiatives carried out in Brazil, such as (i) the Red List assessment of Brazilian fauna (ICMBio 2018); (ii) the largest citizen science data portal on Brazilian birds on the internet (www.wikiaves.com.br); (iii) state-level consolidation of local lists of birds (e.g., Nunes

Communicated by L. Bugoni

✉ José Fernando Pacheco
cbro@cbro.org.br

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

et al. 2017; Franz et al. 2018); and (iv) organization and management of important scientific collections in the country (e.g., Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi (MPEG), Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), Museu de Ciências Naturais (MCN)). In this way, CBRO has provided a service that contributes to the development and dissemination of knowledge about Brazilian biodiversity and fulfills the purpose of facilitating communication between different users, going beyond the limits of scientific and academic circles.

In addition, as highlighted in previous editions of the checklist, the number of bird species recognized in Brazil by CBRO has increased substantially over the last few years. This is mainly due to recognition of species-level taxa previously considered subspecies following publication of studies using modern molecular techniques in combination with traditional morphological and bioacoustic analyses (Piacentini et al. 2015). This trend is expected to continue for years, perhaps decades, to come, as progress is made with ongoing taxonomic studies coupled with intensifying biodiversity inventory efforts, especially driven by contributions of citizen scientist birders. Therefore, it is essential that the checklist undergoes periodic updates to keep up with the dynamics of scientific knowledge about Brazilian avifauna. This way, it will continue to serve as an up-to-date reference on the diversity, systematics, taxonomy, nomenclature, and occurrence status of Brazilian birds.

Methods

Criteria and procedures for inclusion of species and for introduction of taxonomic changes in the list are those described in Piacentini et al. (2015, p. 93), with some adjustments (specified below). The CBRO system for scrutinizing taxonomic cases is based on discussion and subsequent vote by the 11 members of the Taxonomic Subcommittee. An overview of the structure, general procedures and definitions adopted in the list is provided below.

List of species

The CBRO list is structured in three main sections, as follows:

Primary List—Species for which there is at least one Brazilian record with associated documentary evidence. Documentation in this context means evidence available for independent verification, in the form of a complete or partial specimen, or rich media in the form of photographs, audio, or video, which allow the unambiguous identification of the taxon (see also Carlos et al. 2010). Unlike Piacentini et al. (2015), in the present edition,

published records obtained with the use of geolocators or other remote tracking devices (henceforth, RTD; see a review of these devices in Marra et al. 2018) are regarded as acceptable evidence for admission to the Primary List. Such evidence is considered sufficiently robust and reliable as long as the precision of each method is observed, and especially where there is a negligible chance of identification errors arising from tagging birds in mixed breeding colonies of phenotypically similar species.

Secondary List—Species for which published national records exist, but with either unknown or unavailable documentary evidence. All species included in this list are considered to be of probable occurrence in Brazil, as inferred from their distribution and dispersal patterns established based on currently available information.

Tertiary List—Species for which there are specific published national records, but with questionable or invalid documentary evidence, in addition to being of unlikely occurrence in Brazil.

Herein, only the primary and secondary lists are presented as Supplementary Information, whereas the tertiary list can be accessed on the CBRO website at <http://www.cbro.org.br/listas/>.

General criteria and definitions

The current checklist is an update of the last version published by CBRO (Piacentini et al. 2015). Species added to the list are those recently recorded for the first time in the country based on evidence accepted by CBRO, as well as those recently described or validated at the species level based on scientific literature with supporting data considered robust by the CBRO Taxonomy Subcommittee.

The current list follows the systematic order of the South American Classification Committee (SACC) of the American Ornithological Society (Remsen et al. 2021), as of the 19 January 2021 update. This is because both CBRO and SACC use the same basic monophyly criterion in delimitation of large groups of birds (e.g., Orders, Families, and Subfamilies), and which is based on the same phylogenetic studies focused on these large groups (e.g., Tello et al. 2009; Ohlson et al. 2013; Fuchs and Pons 2015). However, regarding species-level taxonomy, we notice that the CBRO and SACC lists differ to some extent, resulting in distinct species totals for Brazil. This discrepancy arises from the use of different species concepts by CBRO and SACC, the latter adhering to the Biological Species Concept and associated criteria for species delimitation (see below for the criteria adopted by the CBRO).

We regularly and systematically searched the scientific and birding literature covering ornithological inventories, species lists, and distributional information for new country

records. Acceptance of new occurrences for the country is generally based on publications in which the circumstances of the original records are presented. Unpublished records with documental evidence deposited in citizen science web portals and online databases (WikiAves, Xeno-canto, and Macaulay Library/eBird) were also accepted when the authors of the media agreed with their incorporation into the list.

National bird records are those considered to be obtained unequivocally in Brazilian territory (Straube 2003) or within the limits of the Brazilian Baseline, which include the territorial sea (12 nautical miles), the contiguous zone (24 nautical miles), the exclusive economic zone (200 nautical miles), and the continental shelf, in accordance with the provisions of Law No. 8617, of 04 January 1993 (Carvalho 1999; Straube 2003).

To assess the limit and validity of species, CBRO adopts the General Lineage Species Concept (de Queiroz 2005; Aleixo 2007). Whenever new evidence that implies taxonomic changes at the species level is published, CBRO seeks to interpret them in the context of recent advances in the fields of speciation genetics, reproductive isolation, directional selection, and hybridization dynamics (Gill 2014; Ottenburghs et al. 2017; Padiál and De la Riva 2020). According to the rationale proposed by Gill (2014), the “null hypothesis” behind the taxonomic decisions at the species level adopted by CBRO can be summarized as follows: sister, distinct, and reciprocally monophyletic populations that are essentially reproductively isolated, i.e., do not interbreed freely if they occur in sympatry. Special care is taken not to implement taxonomic changes that are considered incomplete or probably temporary due to a lack of information about a particular taxon or a set of taxa that has been subject to recent systematic and/or taxonomic review (e.g., Mallet-Rodrigues and Gonzaga 2015; Manthey et al. 2016; Musher and Cracraft 2018).

The basis of the taxonomic decisions and treatments adopted by CBRO are scientific articles published in peer-reviewed journals. In particular, proposals derived from studies conducted under a “multi-character” approach and that incorporate as much phenotypic and genetic evidence as possible are more likely to be adopted by the Committee (Sangster 2018). Regarding molecular characters, studies that focus on several genes at a genomic level take precedence over those that rely on smaller sets of genes or are based on a single locus (e.g., mitochondrial DNA). It is important to note that the adoption of a taxonomic treatment by CBRO does not necessarily imply unanimous approval by members of the Taxonomy Subcommittee. Adoption of a new taxonomic proposal requires at least 70% approval by the voting members. Thus, there are situations in which one or more authors of this work disagree with the treatment adopted here.

Common English names follow those of the eBird/Clements Checklist of Birds of the World (Clements et al. 2019), except in cases where the treatment adopted by CBRO differs from that in this source.

The CBRO list, as traditionally implemented since the first version, continues to indicate the full authorship (even when multiple authors are involved) of taxa at all levels, from higher-level classification through to species and subspecies. In addition, the pattern of seasonal occurrence in the country is indicated for each species on the primary list by a letter code according to the following categories and definitions:

BR = breeding resident (either sedentary or migratory; evidence of regular breeding in the country available);
 VI = regular non-breeding visitor from the south (VI(S)), from the north (including North America, the Caribbean and the northernmost part of South America; VI(N)), from the east (i.e., the Old World; VI(E)), or from areas west of the Brazilian territory (VI(W));
 VA = vagrant (of irregular and incidental occurrence in Brazil; may be a regular migrant in neighboring countries), coming from the south (VA(S)), from the north (VA(N)), from the east (VA(E)), or from the west (VA(W)), or originating from an unspecified direction (VA).

Eventually, species indicated as visitors may be accompanied by “BR.” This denotes that some reproduction has been reported in the country, but that most records are still derived from visitors.

Such abbreviations are eventually combined with the following:

= status assumed but not confirmed.
 Ex = extinct in national territory (at least in the wild);
 En = endemic to Brazil (i.e., not known to occur outside the country’s political boundaries);
 In = introduced and now naturalized exotic or domestic species, within Brazil or from neighboring countries.

Since the concept of endemism depends on the spatial scale considered (Fattorini 2017), it should be noted that we used a regional (i.e., political) rather than an areal definition of endemism to assess the number of endemic bird species in Brazil (see Peterson and Watson 1998).

Subspecies

As in the previous edition (Piacentini et al. 2015), with the purpose of assisting in the understanding of the biological diversity of Brazilian birds, we continue to tentatively list the subspecies of birds in Brazil. This information aims

to point out taxonomic complexes in need of revision and restricted range taxa/populations to be considered in public conservation policies. Inclusion of these subspecies is purely instrumental and should not be understood as a taxonomic validation by CBRO, especially given that concepts of species based on evolutionary lineages (General Phyletic, Phylogenetic, Evolutionary, and the like) do not necessarily attribute any evolutionary meaning to subspecies. Thus, subspecies are included in the list as an indication of potentially valid taxa existing in the country based on their acceptance by at least one of the following recent reference works: Grantsau (2010); eBird/Clements Checklist of Birds of the World (Clements et al. 2019); The Howard & Moore Complete Checklist of the Birds of the World (Dickinson and Remsen 2013; Dickinson and Christidis 2014); Handbook of the Birds of the World (del Hoyo et al. 1992–2013; supplemented by updates in del Hoyo et al. 2014, 2016), and IOC World Bird List 10.2 (Gill et al. 2020).

We included in the list some subspecies omitted from the reference works above but for which no explicit assessment of their validity has been published since their original description. In contrast, we excluded subspecies listed in reference works whenever their connection to Brazil was interpreted as erroneous (e.g., *Dendrocincla fuliginosa ridgwayi*). Similarly, we excluded subspecies cited in the reference works but whose validity has been challenged in recent taxonomic assessments that made use of species-definition criteria directly comparable to those adopted by CBRO (e.g., *Dendrocincla fuliginosa trumaii*; Schultz et al. 2019). For all controversial cases, we produced explanatory notes (see [Supplementary Information](#)).

All subspecies included in the checklist were combined hierarchically with their respective species, sometimes requiring adjustments in taxonomic combinations when the CBRO treatment of a particular species differs from that in the reference works. The order of the subspecies follows the traditional treatment adopted in zoological catalogs, i.e., from north to south and from west to east (e.g., Ridgway 1901; Hellmayr 1927).

Explanatory notes

Explanatory notes were included ad libitum whenever we believe that the taxonomic treatment adopted by CBRO or the acceptance of the occurrence of a certain species in Brazil deserved a more detailed explanation (SI). In general, the notes address new species occurrences, new taxonomic treatments, and nomenclatural corrections published after Sick (1997) and especially after Piacentini et al. (2015). For species in the Secondary List, we provide information on the original record (location, date) or indicate the source that reviews the existing undocumented records ([Supplementary Information S1](#)).

Results

This new edition of the Annotated Checklist of the birds of Brazil recognizes 1971 species on the Primary List (SI). Of this total, 1066 (54%) are monotypic, i.e., without any subspecies or “geographic races,” while 905 (46%) are polytypic, i.e., divided into subspecies (trinomials) in at least one recent reference work. Among the polytypic species, 591 are represented in Brazil by more than one subspecies and 314 by a single one. In total, there are 3064 valid or potentially valid forms (distinct species and subspecies) occurring in the Brazilian territory. A further 11 species, for which records are based on observations only, make up the Secondary List ([Supplementary Information S1](#)). Among the main higher taxa, 33 orders, 102 families, 85 subfamilies, and 732 genera are recognized as occurring in Brazil ([Supplementary Information S1](#)).

A summary of the main changes introduced in this new edition of the checklist is presented below. The sections “Genuine additions,” “Additions due to taxonomic splits,” “Transfers from the Secondary List,” and “Removals” deal with cases that affect the number of species in the Primary List compared to Piacentini et al. (2015). The remaining sections describe changes that do not affect species totals between the current and last versions of the checklist.

Genuine additions (new species and new occurrences)

In this new edition of the checklist, 40 species represent genuine additions to the Primary List. Five additions (*Campylopterus calcirupicola*, *Megascops stangiae*, *M. alagoensis*, *Trogon muriciensis*, and *Sporophila iberaensis*) are new species described after publication of Piacentini et al. (2015), the first four from Brazil and the latter from elsewhere in South America. The remaining 35 species ([Table 1](#)) constitute new distributional occurrences that are either unprecedented or preceded only by speculative or questionable records in Brazil. Most of them represent vagrant individuals (e.g., *Cuculus canorus*, *Porphyrio alleni*, *Tringa glareola*), but some may be regular visitors (e.g., *Calidris mauri*, *Progne dominicensis*, *P. cryptoleuca*) or residents in infrequently visited frontier areas (e.g., *Hydropsalis heterura*, *Lophornis cf. delattrei*, *Urubitinga solitaria*, *Grallaria guatimalensis*, *Grallaricula nana*, *Phyllomyias weedeni*, *Catharus aurantiirostris*).

Additions due to taxonomic splits

Thirty-five species are added to the list based on splits of species already included in Piacentini et al. (2015). Recent

Table 1 Species added to the list of Brazilian birds based on recently reported occurrences. The state or archipelago where these new records were obtained is indicated, along with the type of documentary evidence supporting inclusion in the Primary List. New genera for the country are shown in bold

Species	State/archipelago ^a	Documentary evidence ^b
<i>Cuculus canorus</i> ^c	FN	Photograph
<i>Hydropsalis heterura</i>	RR	Specimen
<i>Chordeiles gundlachii</i>	RR, AM	RTD position
<i>Lophornis cf. delattrei</i>	AC	Photograph
<i>Porphyrio alleni</i> ^c	FN	Photograph
<i>Porzana carolina</i> ^c	RJ	Photograph
<i>Charadrius sp.</i> ^c	RS	Photograph
<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>	CE, MA	Photograph
<i>Calidris minuta</i> ^c	FN	Photograph
<i>Calidris mauri</i> ^c	RJ	Photograph
<i>Phalaropus lobatus</i> ^c	RJ	Photograph
<i>Tringa glareola</i> ^c	FN	Photograph
<i>Chroicocephalus ridibundus</i>	SPSP	Photograph
<i>Leucophaeus modestus</i> ^c	SP	Photograph
<i>Calonectris diomedea</i>	RS	Specimen
<i>Puffinus boydi</i>	AP to RN (offshore)	RTD position
<i>Fregata aquila</i>	FN	RTD position
<i>Morus bassanus</i>	CE	Photograph
<i>Urubitinga solitaria</i> ^c	RR	Photograph
<i>Grallaria guatemalensis</i>	RR	Specimen
<i>Grallaricula nana</i>	RR	Specimen
<i>Sclerurus peruvianus</i>	AM	Specimen
<i>Phyllomyias weedeni</i> ^c	AC	Audio
<i>Contopus sordidulus</i>	RR	Photograph, audio, video
<i>Muscisaxicola maculirostris</i> ^c	PR	Photograph
<i>Muscisaxicola capistratus</i> ^c	RS	Photograph
<i>Progne dominicensis</i>	RR to MG	RTD position
<i>Progne cryptoleuca</i>	PA to BA	RTD position
<i>Catharus aurantirostris</i>	RR	Specimen
<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i> ^c	RS	Photograph
<i>Icterus galbula</i> ^c	RR	Photograph
<i>Parkesia motacilla</i>	RR	Photograph
<i>Mniotilta varia</i> ^c	SP	Photograph
<i>Leiothlypis peregrina</i> ^c	AM	Photograph
<i>Pheucticus ludovicianus</i> ^c	MA	Photograph

^aAbbreviations for Brazilian states and archipelagos: AC, Acre; AM, Amazonas; AP, Amapá; BA, Bahia; CE, Ceará; FN, Fernando de Noronha Archipelago (part of the state of Pernambuco); MA, Maranhão; MG, Minas Gerais; PA, Pará; PR, Paraná; RJ, Rio de Janeiro; RN, Rio Grande do Norte; RR, Roraima; RS, Rio Grande do Sul; SP, São Paulo; SPSP, São Pedro and São Paulo Archipelago (part of the state of Pernambuco)

^bRTD, remote tracking device (or system)

^cRecords resulting from activities involving citizen scientists

published evidence supports the treatment of these former subspecies as species-level taxa (Table 2; SI).

In addition, three species listed in Piacentini et al. (2015) are replaced in the present edition after their accepted split because the nominotypical form is extralimital to Brazil: *Fregata ariel* (replaced with *Fregata trinitatis*), *Megascops guatemalae* (replaced with *Megascops roboratae*), and *Turdus ignobilis* (replaced with *Turdus*

murinus) (see [Supplementary Information](#) for references supporting these taxonomic changes).

Transfers from the Secondary List

Fifteen species are transferred from the Secondary List of Piacentini et al. (2015) to the Primary List in this new

Table 2 Species recognized in this new edition of the checklist of the birds of Brazil based on the split of polytypic species included in Piacentini et al. (2015). See [Supplementary Information](#) for references supporting these taxonomic changes

Current treatment	Treatment in Piacentini et al. (2015)
<i>Crypturellus zabele</i>	<i>Crypturellus noctivagus zabele</i>
<i>Ortalis remota</i>	<i>Ortalis guttata remota</i>
<i>Ortalis ruficeps</i>	<i>Ortalis motmot ruficeps</i>
<i>Phaethornis major</i>	<i>Phaethornis bourcierii major</i>
<i>Campylopterus obscurus</i>	<i>Campylopterus largipennis obscurus</i>
<i>Campylopterus diamantinensis</i>	<i>Campylopterus largipennis diamantinensis</i>
<i>Tringa inornata</i>	<i>Tringa semipalmata inornata</i>
<i>Malacoptila minor</i>	<i>Malacoptila striata minor</i>
<i>Megascops ater</i>	<i>Megascops usta</i>
<i>Trogon chrysochloros</i>	<i>Trogon rufus chrysochloros</i>
<i>Herpsilochmus frater</i>	<i>Herpsilochmus rufimarginatus frater</i>
<i>Myrmoborus berlepschi</i>	<i>Myrmoborus lugubris berlepschi</i>
<i>Myrmoborus stictopterus</i>	<i>Myrmoborus lugubris stictopterus</i>
<i>Pyriglena similis</i>	<i>Pyriglena leuconota similis</i>
<i>Pyriglena maura</i>	<i>Pyriglena leuconota maura</i>
<i>Melanopareia bitorquata</i>	<i>Melanopareia torquata bitorquata</i>
<i>Myrmothera subcanescens</i>	<i>Myrmothera campanisona subcanescens</i>
<i>Dendrocincla atrirostris</i>	<i>Dendrocincla fuliginosa atrirostris</i>
<i>Dendrexetastes devillei</i>	<i>Dendrexetastes rufigula devillei</i>
<i>Dendrexetastes paraensis</i>	<i>Dendrexetastes rufigula paraensis</i>
<i>Dendrocolaptes transfasciatus</i>	<i>Dendrocolaptes picumnus transfasciatus</i>
<i>Chiroxiphia regina</i>	<i>Chiroxiphia pareola regina</i>
<i>Tyrannus monachus</i>	<i>Tyrannus savana monachus</i>
<i>Cyphorhinus transfluvialis</i>	<i>Cyphorhinus arada transfluvialis</i>
<i>Cyphorhinus modulator</i>	<i>Cyphorhinus arada modulator</i>
<i>Cyphorhinus interpositus</i>	<i>Cyphorhinus arada interpositus</i>
<i>Cyphorhinus griseolateralis</i>	<i>Cyphorhinus arada griseolateralis</i>
<i>Poliophtila innotata</i>	<i>Poliophtila plumbea innotata</i>
<i>Poliophtila atricapilla</i>	<i>Poliophtila plumbea atricapilla</i>
<i>Poliophtila parvirostris</i>	<i>Poliophtila plumbea parvirostris</i>
<i>Turdus arthuri</i>	<i>Turdus ignobilis arthuri</i>
<i>Turdus debilis</i>	<i>Turdus ignobilis debilis</i>
<i>Arremon polionotus</i>	<i>Arremon flavirostris polionotus</i>
<i>Agelasticus atroolivaceus</i>	<i>Agelasticus cyanopus atroolivaceus</i>
<i>Caryothraustes brasiliensis</i>	<i>Caryothraustes canadensis brasiliensis</i>

edition because documentary evidence accepted by CBRO has since become available (Table 3).

Removals

Four species (*Picumnus fulvescens*, *Celeus grammicus*, *Sporophila melanops*, and *Lanio nattereri*) are removed from the list due to taxonomic synonymizations (see [Supplementary](#)

[Information](#)). In addition, the following species listed in Piacentini et al. (2015) are treated here as subspecies due to taxonomic “lumps”: *Chaetura viridipennis*, *Caracara cheriway*, *Hylexetastes brigidai*, and *Serpophaga munda* (see [Supplementary Information](#) for references supporting these taxonomic changes).

Changes in the Secondary List

In this new edition, the only addition to the Secondary List is the Black-throated Hermit, *Phaethornis atrimentalis*, which has been recently observed (by an ornithologist) at Tabatinga, Amazonas. Eleven species are now considered on the Secondary List because their occurrence in Brazil is based solely on sight records or reported captures that lack documentary evidence (SI).

The following five species included in the Secondary list in Piacentini et al. (2015) are moved to the Tertiary List following a re-evaluation of the available evidence: *Pterodroma hasitata*, *Puffinus assimilis*, *Vultur gryphus*, *Leucocarbo bransfieldensis*, and *Protonotaria citrea*.

Changes in the genus level

Forty-two genera are added to the Primary List in this new edition to reflect the conclusions of recent phylogenies and traditional taxonomic work, or to accommodate some new occurrences recently documented for the country. Fifteen newly described genera are adopted here following studies that support the treatment of a number of species in a genus different from that in which they appeared in the previous list due to non-monophyly (SI). This resulted in the change of 21 names at the species level (Table 4).

Another 21 genera, described more than three decades ago, are restored as a result of divisions and rearrangements of other genera, resulting in changes to 42 names at the species level (Table 5). At least three of these genera (*Heteroxolmis*, *Leistes*, and *Thraupis*) have been featured in several articles and reference works in recent decades. New documented occurrences for the country account for the other six newly included genera (Tables 1 and 3).

In addition, 11 species are transferred to seven genera already included in the previous edition of the list, expanding the group of species subordinate to them (Table 6).

The adoption of the new combinations above resulted in the exclusion of seven genera from the Primary List: *Leucippus*, *Amazilia*, *Oceanodroma*, *Neoxolmis*, *Procacicus*, *Pyrrhocoma*, and *Hedyglossa*.

Changes in intraspecific arrangement

A number of species had their taxonomic status altered from polytypic (with subspecies) to monotypic (without subspecies) or vice versa (Table 7), due to the splitting, lumping, or synonymization of taxa, or simply to correct previous mistakes (see also Table 2).

Table 3 Species upgraded to the Primary List of the Annotated checklist of the birds of Brazil based on transfers from the Secondary List after acceptance of new documentary evidence. The state or archipelago where new documented records occurred is indicated, along with the type of documentary evidence supporting inclusion in the Primary List. New genera for the country shown in bold

Species	State/archipelago ^a	Documentary evidence ^b
<i>Geotrygon saphirina</i>	AM	Specimen
<i>Cypseloides niger</i>	AM	RTD position, photograph
<i>Chaetura pelagica</i> ^c	AC	Photograph
<i>Limosa fedoa</i>	MA	Photograph
<i>Tringa totanus</i> ^c	SP	Photograph
<i>Pterodroma madeira</i>	CE to PE (offshore)	RTD position
<i>Pterodroma deserta</i>	RS (offshore)	RTD position, photograph
<i>Ardea purpurea</i> ^c	FN	Photograph
<i>Platalea leucorodia</i> ^c	FN	Photograph
<i>Heterocercus aurantiivertex</i> ^c	AM	Audio
<i>Pipreola</i> <i>whitelyi</i>	RR	Specimen
<i>Knipolegus aterrimus</i> ^c	RS	Photograph
<i>Cacicus koepckeae</i> ^c	AC	Audio
<i>Setophaga cerulea</i> ^c	SC	Photograph
<i>Setophaga virens</i> ^c	BA	Photograph

^aAbbreviations for Brazilian states and archipelagos: AC, Acre; AM, Amazonas; BA, Bahia; CE, Ceará; FN, Fernando de Noronha Archipelago (part of the state of Pernambuco); MA, Maranhão; PE, Pernambuco; RR, Roraima; RS, Rio Grande do Sul; SC, Santa Catarina; SP, São Paulo

^bRTD, remote tracking device (or system)

^cRecords resulting from activities involving citizen scientists

Table 4 Newly described genera and the species included therein in this new edition of the checklist of the birds of Brazil. To facilitate comparison, the treatment followed in the last version of the checklist (Piacentini et al. 2015) is indicated. See [Supplementary Information](#) for references supporting changes in intergeneric limits

Current treatment	Treatment in Piacentini et al. (2015)
<i>Paraclaravis geoffroyi</i>	<i>Claravis geoffroyi</i>
<i>Phyllaemulor bracteatus</i>	<i>Nyctibius bracteatus</i>
<i>Elliotomyia chionogaster</i>	<i>Amazilia chionogaster</i>
<i>Paragallinula angulata</i>	<i>Gallinula angulata</i>
<i>Sakesphoroides cristatus</i>	<i>Sakesphorus cristatus</i>
<i>Radinopsyche sellowi</i>	<i>Herpsilochmus sellowi</i>
<i>Cryptopezus nattereri</i>	<i>Hylopezus nattereri</i>
<i>Pseudopipra pipra</i>	<i>Dixiphia pipra</i>
<i>Guyramemua affine</i> ^a	<i>Suiriri affinis</i>
<i>Scotomyias roraimae</i>	<i>Myiophobus roraimae</i>
<i>Syrtdicola fluviatilis</i>	<i>Muscisaxicola fluviatilis</i>
<i>Aemospiza obscura</i> ^a , <i>A. fuliginosa</i> ^a	<i>Tiaris obscurus</i> , <i>T. fuliginosus</i>
<i>Maschalethraupis surinamus</i>	<i>Lanio surinamus</i>
<i>Castanozoster thoracicus</i> ^a	<i>Poospiza thoracica</i>
<i>Stilpnia cyanopectera</i> ^b , <i>S. nigrocincta</i> , <i>S. cyanicollis</i> , <i>S. peruviana</i> , <i>S. preciosa</i> , <i>S. cayana</i>	<i>Tangara argentea</i> , <i>T. nigrocincta</i> , <i>T. cyanicollis</i> , <i>T. peruviana</i> , <i>T. preciosa</i> , <i>T. cayana</i>

^aSpecific name altered to be in grammatical agreement with the new genus

^bSpecific name reverted from *argentea* to *cyanopectera* because homonymy was no longer an issue in the genus *Stilpnia*

Changes at family level

A new family is recognized in this new version of the checklist (Oceanitidae), split off from Hydrobatidae. In Piacentini et al. (2015), this set of pelagic birds was treated as a subfamily. In addition, Pelecanoididae has

been dissolved and incorporated into the Procellariidae. A second family added to the List (Sturnidae) derives from the recent range expansion of *Sturnus vulgaris* from Uruguay. This is an invasive Old World species, which has been introduced in several parts of the Americas.

Table 5 Restored genera and species included therein in this new edition of the checklist of the birds of Brazil. To facilitate comparison, the treatment followed in the last version of the checklist (Piacentiniet al. 2015) is indicated. See [Supplementary information](#) for references supporting changes in intergeneric limits

Current treatment	Treatment in Piacentini et al. (2015)
<i>Spatula versicolor</i> , <i>S. platalea</i> , <i>S. discors</i> , <i>S. cyanoptera</i>	<i>Anas versicolor</i> , <i>A. platalea</i> , <i>A. discors</i> , <i>A. cyanoptera</i>
<i>Mareca sibilatrix</i>	<i>Anas sibilatrix</i>
<i>Thalaphorus chlorocercus</i>	<i>Leucippus chlorocercus</i>
<i>Saucerottia viridigaster</i>	<i>Amazilia viridigaster</i>
<i>Chionomesa fimbriata</i> , <i>C. lactea</i>	<i>Amazilia fimbriata</i> , <i>Amazilia lactea</i>
<i>Rufirallus viridis</i>	<i>Laterallus viridis</i>
<i>Hydrobates leucorhous</i> ^a	<i>Oceanodroma leucorhoa</i>
<i>Ardenna tenuirostris</i> , <i>A. grisea</i> ^a , <i>A. gravis</i>	<i>Puffinus tenuirostris</i> , <i>P. griseus</i> , <i>P. gravis</i>
<i>Hieraspiza superciliosa</i> ^a	<i>Accipiter superciliosus</i>
<i>Cyphos macrodactylus</i>	<i>Bucco macrodactylus</i>
<i>Tamatia tamatia</i>	<i>Bucco tamatia</i>
<i>Dendroma rufa</i> ^a , <i>D. erythroptera</i> ^a	<i>Philydor rufum</i> , <i>P. erythroptera</i>
<i>Heteroxolmis dominicanus</i>	<i>Xolmis dominicanus</i>
<i>Nengetus cinereus</i> , <i>N. coronatus</i> , <i>N. rubetra</i> , <i>N. rufiventris</i>	<i>Xolmis cinereus</i> , <i>X. coronatus</i> , <i>X. rubetra</i> , <i>Neoxolmis rufiventris</i>
<i>Cyanophonia cyanocephala</i>	<i>Euphonia cyanocephala</i>
<i>Leistes militaris</i> , <i>L. superciliaris</i> , <i>L. defilippii</i>	<i>Sturnella militaris</i> , <i>S. superciliaris</i> , <i>S. defilippii</i>
<i>Loriotus luctuosus</i> , <i>L. cristatus</i> , <i>L. rufiventer</i>	<i>Lanio luctuosus</i> , <i>L. cristatus</i> , <i>L. rufiventer</i>
<i>Rauenia bonariensis</i>	<i>Pipraeidea bonariensis</i>
<i>Diuca diuca</i>	<i>Hedyglossa diuca</i>
<i>Ixothraupis varia</i> , <i>I. punctata</i> , <i>I. guttata</i> , <i>I. xanthogastra</i>	<i>Tangara varia</i> , <i>T. punctata</i> , <i>T. guttata</i> , <i>T. xanthogastra</i>
<i>Thraupis episcopus</i> , <i>T. sayaca</i> , <i>T. cyanoptera</i> , <i>T. palmarum</i> , <i>T. ornata</i>	<i>Tangara episcopus</i> , <i>T. sayaca</i> , <i>T. cyanoptera</i> , <i>T. palmarum</i> , <i>T. ornata</i>

^aSpecific name altered to be in grammatical agreement with the new genus

The current edition includes 85 subfamilies, as compared to 56 in Piacentini et al. (2015). Thirty-one subfamilies are added to the present edition of the checklist (Table 8), whereas two subfamilies previously grouped in

Hydrobatidae (Hydrobatinae and Oceanitinae) are removed. Two of the current subfamilies in Laridae (Rynchopinae and Sterninae) were considered at the family level in Piacentini et al. (2015).

Table 6 Species transferred in this new edition of the checklist of the birds of Brazil to genera already included in the last version of the checklist (Piacentini et al. 2015). See [Supplementary information](#) for references supporting changes in intergeneric limits

Current treatment	Treatment in Piacentini et al. (2015)
<i>Chrysuronia versicolor</i> , <i>C. rondoniae</i> , <i>C. brevirostris</i> , <i>C. leucogaster</i>	<i>Amazilia versicolor</i> , <i>A. rondoniae</i> , <i>A. brevirostris</i> , <i>A. leucogaster</i>
<i>Chlorestes cyanus</i>	<i>Hylocharis cyanus</i>
<i>Laterallus flaviventer</i> , <i>L. spilopterus</i> ^a	<i>Porzana flaviventer</i> , <i>P. spiloptera</i>
<i>Myrmothera berlepschi</i>	<i>Hylopezus berlepschi</i>
<i>Limnocites sulphuriferus</i> ^a	<i>Cranioleuca sulphurifera</i>
<i>Cacicus solitarius</i>	<i>Procacicus solitarius</i>
<i>Thlypopsis pyrrhocomma</i> ^b	<i>Pyrrhocomma ruficeps</i>

^aSpecific name altered to be in grammatical agreement with the new genus^bSpecific name replaced to prevent homonymy in the genus *Thlypopsis*

Changes in the systematic sequence

Several changes in the systematic sequence of orders and families between this new edition and Piacentini et al. (2015) can be tracked by comparing the systematic synopses of both editions (see [Supplementary Information](#)). However, the sequence of the first four orders and the final position of the Passeriformes remain unchanged. Within families, changes in the sequence of genera and species are implemented especially in the Columbidae, Trochilidae, Rallidae, Accipitridae, Bucconidae, Picidae, Psittacidae, Tyrannidae (Fluvicolinae), Icteridae, and Thraupidae ([Supplementary Information](#)).

Nomenclatural changes

Certain changes implemented in this list are of a merely nomenclatural nature, as required by the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN 1999), and therefore do

Table 7 Species that had their taxonomic status changed to either polytypic or monotypic in the present edition of the checklist of the birds of Brazil. See [Supplementary Information](#) for references supporting these changes

Species	Current status
<i>Crypturellus noctivagus</i>	Monotypic
<i>Penelope obscura</i>	Monotypic
<i>Ortalis motmot</i>	Monotypic
<i>Chaetura chapmani</i>	Polytypic
<i>Phaethornis bourcierii</i>	Monotypic
<i>Campylopterus largipennis</i>	Monotypic
<i>Numenius hudsonicus</i>	Monotypic
<i>Gallinago paraguaiiae</i>	Monotypic
<i>Tringa semipalmata</i>	Monotypic
<i>Xema sabini</i> ^a	Polytypic
<i>Gygis alba</i>	Monotypic
<i>Thalasseus maximus</i>	Monotypic
<i>Eudytes chrysocome</i>	Monotypic
<i>Pterodroma macroptera</i>	Monotypic
<i>Puffinus puffinus</i>	Polytypic
<i>Malacoptila striata</i>	Monotypic
<i>Celeus undatus</i>	Monotypic
<i>Caracara plancus</i>	Polytypic
<i>Aratinga auricapillus</i>	Monotypic
<i>Dendrexetastes rufigula</i>	Monotypic
<i>Hylexetastes uniformis</i>	Polytypic
<i>Tarphonotus certhioides</i> ^a	Polytypic
<i>Ancistrops strigilatus</i> ^a	Polytypic
<i>Automolus subulatus</i> ^a	Polytypic
<i>Asthenes pyrrholeuca</i> ^a	Polytypic
<i>Chiroxiphia pareola</i>	Monotypic
<i>Procnias albus</i>	Monotypic
<i>Mionectes roraimae</i>	Monotypic
<i>Euscarthmus meloryphus</i>	Monotypic
<i>Tyranniscus burmeisteri</i> ^a	Polytypic
<i>Sirystes sibilator</i>	Monotypic
<i>Tyrannus savana</i>	Monotypic
<i>Tyrannus dominicensis</i> ^a	Polytypic
<i>Agriornis micropterus</i> ^a	Polytypic
<i>Cyphorhinus arada</i>	Monotypic
<i>Poliophtila plumbea</i>	Monotypic
<i>Turdus iliacus</i> ^a	Polytypic
<i>Arremon taciturnus</i>	Monotypic
<i>Arremon flavirostris</i>	Monotypic
<i>Agelasticus cyanopus</i>	Monotypic
<i>Sporophila maximiliani</i>	Monotypic
<i>Poospiza nigrorufa</i>	Monotypic

^aSpecies erroneously listed as monotypic in Piacentini et al. (2015)

not change the sum totals (Table 9; see [Supplementary Information](#) for details).

Table 8 Subfamilies added to this new edition of the checklist of the birds of Brazil, with the respective families to which they are subordinate

Subfamily	Grouping family
Columbinae	Columbidae
Claravinae	Columbidae
Florisuginae	Trochilidae
Polytminae	Trochilidae
Lesbiinae	Trochilidae
Numeniinae	Scolopacidae
Limosinae	Scolopacidae
Arenariinae	Scolopacidae
Scolopacinae	Scolopacidae
Tringinae	Scolopacidae
Anoinae	Laridae
Gyginae	Laridae
Rynchopinae	Laridae
Larinae	Laridae
Sterninae	Laridae
Elaninae	Accipitridae
Gypaetinae	Accipitridae
Accipitrinae	Accipitridae
Chelidopterinae	Bucconidae
Bucconinae	Bucconidae
Picuminae	Picidae
Picinae	Picidae
Herpetotherinae	Falconidae
Caracarinae	Falconidae
Falconinae	Falconidae
Arinae	Psittacidae
Dolichonychinae	Icteridae
Sturnellinae	Icteridae
Cacicinae	Icteridae
Icterinae	Icteridae
Agelainae	Icteridae

Discussion

The Primary List of this new edition of the Annotated Checklist of the birds of Brazil, with 1971 recognized species, represents a net increase of 82 species (or 4.3%) compared to the previous edition (Piacentini et al. 2015). More precisely, 90 species have been added and eight removed. The majority of additions result from new occurrences ($n = 35$) and from the elevation of subspecies to species rank ($n = 35$). Another 15 species added result from transfers to the Primary List due to the availability of new documentary evidence. Finally, five species have been described since the publication of the previous edition of the list.

In recent years, ornithological knowledge in Brazil has benefited from the increasing contribution of citizen

Table 9 Species names changed in this new edition of the checklist according to the rules of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature to amend previous nomenclatural inconsistencies

Current treatment	Treatment in Piacentini et al. (2015)
<i>Porphyrio martinica</i>	<i>Porphyrio martinicus</i>
<i>Nannopterum brasilianum</i>	<i>Nannopterum brasilianus</i>
<i>Pyrrhura palleescens</i>	<i>Pyrrhura snethlageae</i>
<i>Clibanornis watkinsorum</i>	<i>Clibanornis watkinsi</i>
<i>Ramphocelus bresilia</i>	<i>Ramphocelus bresilius</i>

scientists in documenting new occurrences for the country and in obtaining valid documentary evidence for previously unconfirmed species, especially through photographic records. Of the 50 species that represent new occurrences or have recently been transferred to the Primary List, more than half (54%) came from the activity of this group of contributors.

Comparison with the last edition of the list also shows a small change in the number of polytypic species (905 vs 910 in Piacentini et al. 2015) and of valid or potentially valid taxa, including both species and subspecies (3064 vs 3051).

Of the total Brazilian species, 1742 breed or are assumed to breed in the country (293 of which are endemic to Brazil), 126 regularly occur as non-breeding visitors, and 103 have been recorded only occasionally and are regarded as vagrants. The most pronounced increase (56%) was seen in the number of vagrant species, from 66 in Piacentini et al. (2015) to just over one hundred in this updated list. The distinction between vagrants from the north and east, implemented for the first time in this edition of the list, provides a more accurate picture of the origin of these species and shows that more than half of the boreal vagrants recorded in the country come from the Palearctic (29 vs 23 of Nearctic or Caribbean origin, plus five species of uncertain origin).

The number of endemic species in Brazil increased by 19 compared to Piacentini et al. (2015) as a result of taxonomic splits ($n = 15$) and four new species descriptions (*Campylopterus calcirupicola*, *Megascops stangiae*, *M. alagoensis*, and *Trogon muriciensis*), currently standing at 293. This number places Brazil in third place among the countries with the largest number of endemic bird species in the world, behind only Indonesia and Australia, both island countries (IUCN 2020). However, four Brazilian endemics are already extinct, at least in the wild: *Cyanopsitta spixii*, *Glaucidium mooreorum*, *Cichlocolaptes mazarbarnetti*, and *Philydor novaesi* (ICMBio 2018).

As already mentioned in the previous edition, we have not included in the checklist some species that have occurred, or even breed, in the country, but which are known or are assumed to have arrived in Brazil with the assistance of humans, such as *Pycnonotus jocosus* (Pycnonotidae) and

Corvus albus (Corvidae) (Silva e Silva and Olmos 2007; Serpa 2008; Lima and Kamada 2009). Such species may eventually be accepted as belonging to the Brazilian avifauna (as introduced species) if self-sustaining populations become established in the country, as recognized since the last century for species such as *Columba livia*, *Estrilda astrild*, and *Passer domesticus*.

One relatively recent occurrence (*Sturnus vulgaris*) refers to a European species introduced in Argentina, which has now spread into Brazil in Rio Grande do Sul state (Silva et al. 2017). Like the European Goldfinch (*Carduelis carduelis*), another exotic species recently recorded in the country, the European Starling is already expanding northwards and may soon colonize other parts of Brazil. With the addition of this species, the number of alien bird species with naturalized populations in Brazil rises to five.

The steady increase in the Brazilian avian checklist over the years and the considerable leap in species added with this latest installment make it clear that we are still far from cataloging the true bird diversity of the country. New species continue to be described in parallel with numerous taxonomic splits, in addition to a constant stream of new records for the country, which are typically the main source of additions in regions with better-known avifaunas. Thus, there is clearly still a need for responsible collecting and for trained taxonomists, a profession in decline throughout the world. At the same time, an impressive contribution can be recognized from the documentation available on public, collaborative data platforms, especially photographs and voice recordings made by citizen scientists who contribute not only physical evidence of the presence of species, but also the raw materials for future analyses of taxonomic status. Now more than ever, the science of ornithology and the popular activity of bird watching contribute to one another in a positive feedback of increasing knowledge that we hope this list stimulates even more.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43388-021-00058-x>.

Acknowledgements We dedicate this new edition of the Annotated Checklist of the birds of Brazil to the memory of Walter Adolfo Voss (1933–2020), honorary member of the CBRO. We thank the following friends and colleagues who have pointed out inconsistencies in previous versions of the checklist: Bret M. Whitney, Guy M. Kirwan, J.V. Remsen, Jeremy Minns, Luis A. Florit, Normand David, Rafael D. Lima, Weber Girão, and, especially, for her meticulous review, Summer V. Wilson. Many other individuals generously provided unpublished information that significantly contributed to the work of the Subcommittee on Taxonomy and for the preparation of the explanatory notes (the species involved are mentioned after each name): Albano Schulz Neto (*Platalea leucorodia*), Andrew Whittaker (*Muscisaxicola maculirostris*), Antônio C. de Brum (*Chroicocephalus ridibundus*), Arthur Gomes (*Contopus sordidulus*), Brad Winn (*Limnodromus griseus hendersoni*), Bret M. Whitney (*Cacicus koepckeae*, *Leiothlypis peregrina*, *Cypseloides niger*), Carlos O. A. Gussoni (*Icterus galbula*), Chris

Farias (*M. maculirostris*), Dante R. C. Buzzetti (*C. koepckeae*, *Phylomyias weedeni*), Fernanda Hoppen (*Tringa totanus*), Fernando Díaz Segovia (*M. maculirostris*), Gabriel A. Leite (*C. sordidulus*, *Phaethornis atrimentalis*), John Thompson (*I. galbula*), Marcelo Holderbaum (*Limosa fedoa*), Márcio Repenning (*Sporophila iberaensis*), Michael O'Brien (*L. g. hendersoni*), Renata N. Biancalana (*Chaetura pelagica*), Ronaldo Lebowski (*Mniotilta varia*), and Sergio R. Porto (*Calidris mauri*). We also thank Thiago Vernaschi, Summer V. Wilson, and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful corrections and suggestions, which significantly improved the manuscript of this new edition of the checklist. Finally, the following funding agencies supported several of us with both fellowships and research grants, which were essential to complete successfully the present work: Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES).

Funding Some of the authors received support from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES).

Data availability An Excel worksheet of the checklist is publicly available in the Zenodo repository.

Declarations




















Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Aleixo A (2007) Conceitos de espécie e o eterno conflito entre continuidade e operacionalidade: uma proposta de normatização de critérios para o reconhecimento de espécies pelo Comitê Brasileiro de Registros Ornitológicos. *Rev Bras Ornitol* 15:297–310
- Carlos CJ, Straube FC, Pacheco JF (2010) Conceitos e definições sobre documentação de registros ornitológicos e critérios para a elaboração de listas de aves para os estados brasileiros. *Rev Bras Ornitol* 18:355–361
- Carvalho GLC (1999) O mar territorial brasileiro de 200 milhas: estratégia e soberania, 1970–1982. *Rev Bras Polit Int* 42:110–126
- Clements JF, Schulenberg TS, Iliff MJ, Billerman SM, Fredericks TA, Sullivan BL, Wood CL (2019) The eBird/Clements Checklist of Birds of the World: v2019. <https://www.birds.cornell.edu/clementschecklist/download/>. Accessed 30 September 2020
- de Queiroz K (2005) Ernst Mayr and the modern concept of species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:6600–6607. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502030102>
- del Hoyo J, Collar NJ, Christie DA, Elliot A, Fishpool LDC (2014) HBW and BirdLife International illustrated checklist of the birds of the world, vol. 1, non-passerines. Lynx Editions, Barcelona
- del Hoyo J, Collar NJ, Christie DA, Elliot A, Fishpool LDC, Boesman P, Kirwan GM (2016) HBW and BirdLife International illustrated checklist of the birds of the world, vol. 2 Passerines. Lynx Editions, Barcelona
- del Hoyo J, Elliott A, Sargatal J, Cabot J, Christie DA (eds) (1992–2013) Handbook of the birds of the world, 17 vols. Lynx Editions, Barcelona
- Dickinson EC, Christidis L (2014) The Howard & Moore complete checklist of the birds of the world, vol. 2, passerines. Aves Press, Eastbourne
- Dickinson EC, Remsen JV (2013) The Howard and Moore complete checklist of the birds of the world, vol. 1, non-passerines. Aves Press, Eastbourne
- Fattorini S (2017) Endemism in historical biogeography and conservation biology: concepts and implications. *Biogeographia* 32:47–75
- Franz I, Agne CE, Bencke GA, Bugoni L, Dias RA (2018) Four decades after Belton: a review of records and evidences on the avifauna of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Iheringia, Ser Zool* 108:1–38. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4766E2018005>
- Fuchs J, Pons J-M (2015) A new classification of the Pied Woodpeckers assemblage (Dendropicini, Picidae) based on a comprehensive multi-locus phylogeny. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 88:28–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.03.016>
- Gill F (2014) Species taxonomy of birds: which null hypothesis? *Auk* 131:150–161. <https://doi.org/10.1642/auk-13-206.1>
- Gill F, Donsker D, Rasmussen P (eds) (2020) IOC world bird list (v10.2). <https://doi.org/10.14344/IOC.ML.10.2>
- Grantsau R (2010) Guia completo para identificação das aves do Brasil, 2 vols. Vento Verde, São Carlos
- Hellmayr CE (1927) Catalogue of birds of the Americas and the adjacent islands, Part V. Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago
- ICMBio [Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade] (2018) Livro vermelho da fauna brasileira ameaçada de extinção, vol I/1. ICMBio, Brasília
- ICZN [International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature] (1999) International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, 4th edn. ICZN, London
- IUCN [International Union for Conservation of Nature] (2020) The IUCN red list of threatened species. Version 2020–3. <https://www.iucnredlist.org/resources/summary-statistics#Summary%20Tables>. Accessed 27 February 2021
- Lima B, Kamada B (2009) Registros de corvo-bicolor *Corvus albus* (Passeriformes: Corvidae) em território brasileiro. *Atualidades Ornitol* 150:10–11
- Mallet-Rodrigues F, Gonzaga LP (2015) Geographic variation in plumage coloration of Turquoise Tanager *Tangara mexicana* (Linnaeus, 1766). *Rev Bras Ornitol* 23:341–350. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03544303>
- Manthey JD, Campillo LC, Burns KJ, Moyle RG (2016) Comparison of target-capture and restriction-site associated DNA sequencing for phylogenomics: a test in cardinalid tanagers (Aves, Genus: *Piranga*). *Syst Biol* 65:640–650. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syw005>
- Marra PP, Cohen E, Harrison A-L (2018) Migratory connectivity. In: Choe J (ed) Encyclopedia of animal behavior, 2nd edn. Academic Press, Cambridge. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809633-8.20761-9>
- Musher LJ, Cracraft J (2018) Phylogenomics and species delimitation of a complex radiation of Neotropical suboscine birds (*Pachyramphus*). *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 118:204–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2017.09.013>
- Nunes AP, Straube FC, Laps RR, Posso SR (2017) Checklist das aves do estado do Mato Grosso do Sul, Brasil. *Iheringia, Ser Zool* 107:e2017154. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4766E2017154>
- Ohlson JI, Irestedt M, Ericson PGP, Fjeldså J (2013) Phylogeny and classification of the New World suboscines (Aves Passeriformes). *Zootaxa* 3613:1–35. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3613.1.1>
- Ottenburghs J, Kraus RHS, van Hooft P, van Wieren SE, Ydenberg RC, Prins HHT (2017) Avian introgression in the genomic era. *Avian Res* 8:30. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40657-017-0088-z>

- Padiál JM, De la Riva I (2020) A paradigm shift in our view of species drives current trends in biological classification. *Biol Rev* 96:731–751. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12676>
- Peterson AT, Watson DM (1998) Problems with areal definitions of endemism: the effects of spatial scaling. *Divers Distrib* 4:189–194. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-4642.1998.00021.x>
- Piacentini VQ, Aleixo A, Agne CE, Maurício GN, Pacheco JF, Bravo GA, Brito GRR, Naka LN, Olmos F, Posso S, Silveira LF, Betini GS, Carrano E, Franz I, Lees AC, Lima LM, Pioli D, Schunck F, Amaral FR, Bencke GA, Cohn-Haft M, Figueiredo LFA, Straube FC, Cesari E (2015) Annotated checklist of the birds of Brazil by the Brazilian Ornithological Records Committee. *Rev Bras Ornitol* 23:91–298. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03544294>
- Remsen JV, Areta JI, Bonaccorso E, Claramunt S, Jaramillo A, Pacheco JF, Robbins MB, Stiles FG, Stotz DF, Zimmer KJ (2021) A classification of the bird species of South America. American Ornithological Society. Version: 19 January 2021. <http://www.museum.lsu.edu/~Remsen/SACCBaseline.htm>
- Ridgway R (1901) The birds of North and Middle America: a descriptive catalogue of the higher groups, genera, species, and subspecies of birds known to occur in North America, from the Arctic lands to the Isthmus of Panama, the West Indies and other islands of the Caribbean sea, and the Galapagos Archipelago. Pt 1. *Bull US Natl Mus* 50:1–715
- Sangster G (2018) Integrative taxonomy of birds: the nature and delimitation of species. In: Tietze D (ed) *Bird species. Fascinating life sciences*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91689-7_2
- Schultz ED, Pérez-Emán J, Aleixo A, Myiaki CY, Brumfield RT, Cracraft J, Ribas CC (2019) Diversification history in the *Dendrocincla fuliginosa* complex (Aves: Dendrocolaptidae): insights from broad geographic sampling. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 140:106581. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2019.106581>
- Serpa GA (2008) Primeiros registros da introdução e reprodução do Bulbul-de-bigode-vermelho *Pycnonotus jocosus* (Pycnonotidae) em território brasileiro. *Atualidades Ornitol* 141:12–13
- Sick H (1997) *Ornithologia brasileira*. Nova Fronteira, Rio de Janeiro
- Silva FC, Pinto JM, Mäder A, Souza VAT (2017) First records of European Starling *Sturnus vulgaris* in Brazil. *Rev Bras Ornitol* 25:291–292. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03544409>
- Silva e Silva R, Olmos F (2007) Adendas e registros significativos para a avifauna dos manguezais de Santos e Cubatão, SP. *Rev Bras Ornitol* 15:551–560
- Straube FC (2003) Bases legais para a identificação dos limites territoriais do Brasil na fronteira com o Paraguai e suas implicações para a consideração de registros ornitológicos. *Ararajuba* 11:131–135
- Tello JG, Moyle RG, Marchese DJ, Cracraft J (2009) Phylogeny and phylogenetic classification of the tyrant flycatchers, cotingas, manakins, and their allies (Aves: Tyrannidae). *Cladistics* 25:429–467. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2009.00254.x>

Authors and Affiliations

José Fernando Pacheco^{1,2,3}  · Luís Fábio Silveira^{1,4,5}  · Alexandre Aleixo^{1,4,6}  · Carlos Eduardo Agne^{1,4}  ·
 Glayson A. Bencke^{1,7}  · Gustavo A. Bravo^{1,4,8}  · Guilherme R. R. Brito^{1,4,9}  · Mario Cohn-Haft^{1,4,10}  ·
 Giovanni Nachtigall Maurício^{1,4,11}  · Luciano N. Naka^{1,4,12}  · Fabio Olmos^{1,4,13}  · Sérgio R. Posso^{1,4,14}  ·
 Alexander C. Lees^{1,15,16}  · Luiz Fernando A. Figueiredo^{1,17}  · Eduardo Carrano^{1,18}  · Reinaldo C. Guedes¹⁹  ·
 Evaldo Cesari²⁰  · Ismael Franz^{1,21}  · Fabio Schunck¹  · Vitor de Q. Piacentini^{1,3,4,22} 

¹ Brazilian Ornithological Records Committee, Brazilian Ornithological Society, Cuiabá, MT, Brazil

² Oikos Pesquisa Aplicada Ltda, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

³ South American Classification Committee, American Ornithological Society, Chicago, IL, USA

⁴ Taxonomy Subcommittee, Brazilian Ornithological Records Committee, Cuiabá, MT, Brazil

⁵ Seção de Aves, Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁶ Finnish Museum of Natural History, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

⁷ Museu de Ciências Naturais, Secretaria de Meio Ambiente e Infraestrutura, RS, Porto Alegre, Brazil

⁸ Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology and Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

⁹ Laboratório de Ornithologia e Bioacústica Catarinense, LABOAC, Departamento de Ecologia e Zoologia, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, SC, Florianópolis, Brazil

¹⁰ Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus, AM, Brazil

¹¹ Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, RS, Brazil

¹² Laboratório de Ornithologia, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, PE, Brazil

¹³ Permian Brasil, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

¹⁴ Laboratório de Ecologia, Sistemática e Conservação de Aves Neotropicais – LESCAN, Universidade Federal do Mato Grosso do Sul, MS, Campus Três Lagoas, Brazil

¹⁵ Department of Natural Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK

¹⁶ Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

¹⁷ Centro de Estudos Ornitológicos, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

¹⁸ Laboratório de Ecologia e Conservação, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná, PR, Curitiba, Brazil

¹⁹ WikiAves – A Enciclopédia das Aves do Brasil, Juiz de Fora, MG, Brazil

²⁰ Salesforce, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

²¹ Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, RS, Porto Alegre, Brazil

²² Departamento de Biologia e Zoologia e Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, MT, Cuiabá, Brazil