



THE AGA KHAN UNIVERSITY

eCommons@AKU

Department of Anaesthesia

Medical College, Pakistan

6-1-2021

Ratio of height to thyromental distance: A comparison with mallampati and upper lip bite test for predicting difficult intubation in Pakistani population

Saman Tauheed Ali

Khalid Samad

Syed Amir Raza

Muhammad Qamarul Hoda

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_anaesth

Part of the Anesthesiology Commons

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Ratio of height to thyromental distance: a comparison with mallampati and upper lip bite test for predicting difficult intubation in Pakistani population

Saman Tauheed Ali, Khalid Samad, Syed Amir Raza, Muhammad Qamarul Hoda

Abstract

Objective: To compare the accuracy of three diagnostic tests in predicting difficult laryngoscopy using Cormack and Lehane grade as the gold standard.

Methods: The cross-sectional study was conducted at the Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, from August 2014 to August 2015, and comprised patients who required endotracheal intubation for elective surgical procedures. The primary investigator used ratio of height to thyromental distance, upper lip bite test and the modified Mallampati test for assessing the airway correlated with laryngoscopic view based on Cormack and Lehane grading. Data was analysed using SPSS 19. **Results:** Of the 383 patients, 59(15.4%) were classified as difficult cases of laryngoscopy. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy of ratio of height to thyromental distance were 84.7%, 90.1%, 60.9%, 97%, 89.3%; and those the corresponding values for the upper lip bite test were 83.1%, 89.2%, 58.3%, 96.7% and 88.3%. The values for the modified Mallampati test were 30.5%, 84.3%, 26.1%, 86.9% and 79.9% respectively. The area under receiver-operating characteristic curve for the first two tests was significantly more than for the modified Mallampati test (p<0.01).

Conclusion: RHTMD and ULBT both are acceptable alternatives for prediction of difficult laryngoscopy as a simple, single bed-side test.

Keywords: Ratio of height to thyromental distance, Upper lip bite test, Mallampati, Difficult laryngoscopy. (JPMA 71: 1570; 2021) DOI: https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.1215

Introduction

During general anaesthesia, the significance of successful intubation in airway management can be compared to the letter "A" in the English language; both are a vital initial step. Failure to intubate and secure the airway can lead to devastating consequences. Among the reasons of difficult or failed intubation, inability to anticipate a challenging airway remains one of the most important causes.1 Reported incidence of challenging laryngoscopy varies between 1.5% and 18%² and represents 27% of harmful respiratory events.³ Cormack and Lehane (CL) grading of laryngoscopic view has been used as the gold standard as it provides direct visualisation of glottic structures. It has four grades, with grades 1 and 2 indicating easy laryngoscopy and intubation, while grades 3 and 4 indicate difficult laryngoscopy.⁴ According to a study⁵ sensitivity, specificity and positive predicted values (PPVs) for CL grades 1 and 2 were 100%, 22.3% and 83.2%, whereas for grades 3 and 4 the corresponding values were 100%, 96.7% and 30.4%.

The Mallampati test originally described had shown sensitivity up to 50% and specificity of 100% to predict a difficult airway.⁶ However, there were some controversial issues viewed in some of the subsequent larger studies.^{7,8} Lundstrom et al. conducted an extensive meta-analysis on

Department of Anaesthesiology, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan. **Correspondence:** Khalid Samad. e-mail: khalid.samad@aku.edu 177,088 patients in 55 studies and concluded that the prognostic value of modified Mallampati test (MMT) was worse than that previously estimated with sensitivity of 35% and specificity of 91% thus proving it to be inadequate as a stand-alone test.⁹ Furthermore, accuracy of this test may potentially vary in different ethnic groups, like Asian versus Caucasian,¹⁰ gender or pregnancy.¹¹

The upper lip bite test (UBLT), as the name suggests, is a manoeuvre that enables the lower incisors to bite and cover mucosa of upper lip. It is a relatively newer bedside test that simultaneously assesses jaw subluxation and buck teeth.¹²

Recently, the ratio of height to thyromental distance (RHTMD) test, introduced by Schmitt¹³ has been found to have higher sensitivity (65%) and specificity (82%).¹⁴

The current study was planned to compare the diagnostic accuracy for RHTMD, MMT and ULBT in predicting difficult laryngoscopy, taking the CL grading system as the gold standard.

Patients and Methods

The cross-sectional study was conducted at the Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, from August 2014 to August 2015. The sample was raised using consecutive sampling techniques after approval from the institutional ethics review board. Those included were adult patients classified as American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) grade I-III planned for elective surgeries under general anaesthesia requiring endotracheal intubation. Patients who had limited mouth opening, past record of burns or airway injury, inability to stand erect or sit, edentulous, tumour or mass in cervical, facial regions, limited cervical movement, like rheumatoid arthritis and cervical disc disorders, pregnancy, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, morbid obesity, incomplete fasting or requiring rapid sequence induction, or those requiring intubation method other than conventional laryngoscopy, like fibre-optic intubation, and emergency surgeries were excluded.

Preoperatively, the primary investigator, who was not involved in performing laryngoscopy or intubation, performed MMT, ULBT and measured the thyromental distance (cm) and height (cm) in the wards or in the preoperative holding area.

MMT was used to identify the oropharyngeal structures for grading purposes. It was performed while having the patients seated in a neutral position and asking them to open their mouth wide and to protrude their tongue as much as possible without producing phonation. Based on the findings of MMT, patients were graded into four groups.¹⁵ Grade I meant complete visualisation of soft palate along with fauces, uvula, and pillars; grade II meant complete visualisation of the uvula; soft palate along with major part of uvula and faucial pillars clearly visualised; grade III meant visualization up till base of uvula only with soft palate visible; and grade IV meant only hard palate was visualised and no part of the soft palate was seen.

Easy laryngoscopy was expected in patients with grade I and II, whereas grades III and IV were predicted to have a difficult laryngoscopy.

To assess the degree of jaw protrusion, ULBT was performed in all patients by asking them to clasp the upper lip with their lip incisors. Based on the findings, the patients were categorised into three grades.

Grade I meant lower incisors can completely hide the mucosa of the upper lip, meaning bite above or up to vermilion line; grade II meant lower incisors can partially hide mucosa of upper lip, meaning bite below vermilion line; and grade III meant upper lip cannot be bitten.

Based on the findings, easy laryngoscopy was expected in patients with grades I and II, whereas grade III predicted difficult laryngoscopy.¹²

RHTMD was formulated as height / thyromental distance (TMD). The height, in centimetres was measured with measuring tape as the vertical distance from head to heel

in standing upright position. TMD was measured while the patient was sitting with neck fully extended and mouth closed. TMD was measured with a graduated (cm) scale or measuring tape from the bony point of mentum to thyroid cartilage. RHTMD more than or equal to 23.5 predicted difficult laryngoscopy.¹⁶

All assessment findings of each patient and demographic variables were documented on a study proforma.

Routine monitoring was performed in an operating room (OR) with non-invasive blood pressure, along with electrocardiogram and oxygen saturation. The patient was pre-oxygenated with 100% oxygen for 3 minutes. An anaesthetist, excluding the primary investigator, performed laryngoscopy and intubation. The induction technique and type of non-depolarising muscle relaxant were at the discretion of the primary anaesthesiologist. Anaesthesia was maintained by using oxygen and nitrous oxide with isoflurane, and ventilation of the lungs was done by bag-mask for 3 minutes until the patient was completely paralysed. Laryngoscopy was done with a size 3 or 4 Macintosh laryngoscope blade by an experienced anaesthesiologist. The structures seen on the preliminary laryngoscopic attempt were categorised as per CL grading,⁴ without any external laryngeal manipulation. The findings were documented on the proforma as grades I to 4. Grade 1 meant completely visualised glottis; grade 2 meant partially visible glottis with anterior commissure not being visualised; grade 3 meant no structures other than epiglottis were visualised; and grade 4 meant no structures were seen, meaning the epiglottis was not visualised.

Grade I-II were documented as easy laryngoscopy, whereas III-IV were marked as difficult laryngoscope.

The sample size was calculated while assuming the incidence of difficult laryngoscopy to be 15%, power of the test >95% to detect and calculate the discriminating power based on area under receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) curve of an absolute value of 15% from 50% to 65% along with 5% type I error at two-sided substitute supposition.^{16,17} The sample size was calculated using the OpenEpi online calculator.¹⁸

Data was analysed using SPSS 19 and PASS 11.04. Quantitative findings, like age, weight, height and TMD, were presented as mean and standard deviation.¹⁷ Frequency and percentage were computed for qualitative variables, like gender, ASA status, and difficult laryngoscopy. Normality of the continuous variables were checked by Kolmogrove-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk test. An independent sample *t*-test was employed to compare the mean difference, while chi-square test was applied to compare the proportion difference between difficult and easy laryngoscopy intubation. Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, negative predictive value (NPV) and accuracy were computed for MMT, ULBT and RHTMD while keeping CL grades as the gold standard. The specific area under the curve (AUC) analysis was also performed, with a value of 0.5 under the ROC curve showing the variable performs no better than chance, and a value of 1.0 implying perfect discrimination. Stepwise multivariate logistic model was used to predict adjusted odds ratio (AOR) and significant contribution of the predictors. P<0.05 was taken as significant.

Results

Of the 383 patients, 59(15.4%) were classified as difficult cases of laryngoscopy, with all the 59(100%) patients being categorised as CL III. Easy laryngoscopy was found in 324(84.6%) patients; 283(73.9%) CL I and 41(10.7%) CL II. There were significant differences in mean age, weight, height and body mass index (BMI) between difficult and easy laryngoscopy groups (p<0.05), while gender and ASA status were not significant (p>0.05) (Table 1).

RHTMD, ULBT and MMT predicted difficult intubation in 82(21.41%), 84(21.93%) and 69(18.01%) patients respectively.

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy of RHTMD were 84.7%, 90.1%, 60.9%, 97%, 89.3%; and the corresponding values for the ULBT were 83.1%, 89.2%, 58.3%, 96.7% and 88.3%. The values for MMT were 30.5%, 84.3%, 26.1%, 86.9% and 79.9% respectively (Table 2).

The AUC of ROC for ULBT and RHTMD was significantly higher compared to MMT (p<0.01) (Figure).

After adjustment for age, gender, BMI and ASA status in multiple stepwise logistic regression, AOR of RHTM ≥23.5

Table-1: Demographic data.

Variables	Laryngoscopy			<i>p</i> -value
	Overall	Difficult	Easy	-
	(n=383)	(n=59)	(n=324)	
Age (Years)	42.51(12.78)	47.67(11.3)	41.56(12.82)	0.001*
Weight (kg)	68.49(13.92)	76.56(15.50)	68.26(13.27)	0.0005*
Height (cm)	159.73(8.39)	161.79(8.82)	159.34(13.27)	0.039*
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.20(5.18)	29.30(5.23)	26.85(5.10)	0.001*
Gender				0.65
Male	133(34.7%)	22(37.3%)	111(34.3%)	
Female	250(65.3%)	37(62.7%)	213(65.7%)	
ASA				0.074
I	121(31.6%)	14(23.7%)	107(33%)	
II	225(58.7%)	35(59.3%)	190(58.6%)	
III	37(9.7%)	10(16.9%)	27(8.3%)	

Data are presented as mean (SD) and number (%); *significant (p < 0.05); Grade I & II are easy laryngoscopy and III & IV are difficult laryngoscopy.

Table-2: Predictive values for RHTMD, ULBT and MMT to predict difficult laryngoscopy according to Cormack-Lehane Grade Classification

Predictive values	RHTMD	ULBT	MMT
	Estimates	Estimates	Estimates
	[95%Cl]	[95%Cl]	[95%CI]
Accuracy (%)	89.3[85.8-92.0]	88.3[84.6-91.1]	79.9[71.5-79.9]
Sensitivity (%)	84.7[73.5-91.8]	83.1[71.5-90.5]	30.5[20.3-43.2]
Specificity (%)	90.1[86.4-92.9]	89.2[85.4-92.1]	84.3[79.9-87.8]
Positive Predictive Value (%)	60.9 [50.2-70.8]	58.3[47.7-68.3]	26.1[17.2-37.5]
Negative Predictive Value (%)	97.0 [94.4-98.4]	96.7[93.9-98.2]	86.9[82.8-90.2]
Likelihood ratio of a	8.6 [8.0-9.2]	7.7[7.2-8.2]	1.9[1.5-2.6]
Positive Test			
Likelihood ratio of a	0.17[0.14-0.21]	0.19[.16-0.23]	0.82[0.78-0.86]
Negative Test			
Unadjusted OR	50.7 [22.8-112.6]	40.5[18.8-86.9]	2.35[1.25-4.41]
Cohen's kappa	0.65[0.55-0.74]	0.62[0.52-0.72]	0.14[0.04-0.24]

ULBT: Upper lip bite test; MMT: Modified Mallampati test; RHTMD: Ratio of height to thyromental distance; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval.



Table-3: Predictors of difficult intubation by stepwise logistic regression.

Predictor	<i>p</i> -value	AOR[95%CI]		
RHTM				
≥ 23.5	0.0005	19.82[7.13-55.13]		
<23.5		1.00		
ULBT				
Grade III	0.0005	14.29[5.04-40.48]		
Grade I-II		1.00		
MMT				
Grade III –IV	0.002	0.18[0.064-0.52]		
Grade I-II		1.00		

Model Summary: Nagelkerke R Square =61.9%; Model Accuracy = 90% .1 Constant = -3.8.; Age, gender, body mass index (BMI) and American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grades were excluded from the model due to insignificant contribution.

ULBT: Upper lip bite test; MMT: Modified Mallampati test; RHTMD: Ratio of height to thyromental distance; AOR: Adjusted odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval. independently predicted difficult intubation followed by ULBT grade II-IV and MMT III-IV (Table 3).

Discussion

Since the inception of anaesthesia, maintenance of oxygenation and the establishment of a secure adequate airway has been the most important task for anaesthetists. Despite advances in airway management, unexpected difficult laryngoscopy or failed intubation can not only lead to life-threatening, anaesthesia-related mortality and morbidity, but also prove to be one of the main sources of legal issues and economic burden for hospitals.³

In literature, difficult laryngoscopy frequency varies from 1.5% to 18%.^{1,12,17,19} and this variation has been proposed to be multi-factorial, like differences in patient's race or ethnicity,¹⁰ non-uniformity in reference standard for grading the difficulty of laryngeal view, laryngoscopic attempts²⁰ and the use of backward upward rightward pressure (BURP) manoeuvre.¹²

As the current study exhibited, the frequency to be 15% although comparable to some studies^{17,21} yet it is higher compared to others with frequency of 5%, 6.8%,¹⁶ and 10.9%.¹⁸ The probable reasons for higher frequency in the current study can be attributed to our restriction of laryngoscopic view to the first attempt only, and that is also without application of any external manoeuvre or BURP.

Methods¹⁷ used to predict difficult laryngoscopy and intubation have their own limitations and not one test alone has shown 100% sensitivity and specificity. The most commonly used MMT has been reported to have low sensitivity and specificity as was shown in a meta-analysis of 55 studies.⁹

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy of RHTMD and ULBT were comparable in our study, and they were higher than MMT. Overall, the results are comparable to similar studies.^{17,22}

RHTMD is based on patient's height and TMD. Low interobserver variations have been shown for RHTMD test¹⁶ compared to MMT and ULBT. The ULBT has the superiority of assessing jaw subluxation and the presence of buck teeth concomitantly, thereby enhancing its predictive value and reliability. An ideal preoperative evaluation for airway assessment and challenging laryngoscopy should be highly sensitive and specific with increased PPV. This was reflected in RHTMD and ULBT in the current study.

The anaesthetists recording CL grade in our study were intentionally kept uninformed of the ULBT and RHTMD assessment done by the primary investigator prior to laryngoscopy. The reason for using the blinded method was to reduce observer bias.

The ULBT score has certain limitations, as it cannot be performed on edentulous patients and it may not be applicable to some population groups²³ because of racial variation in craniofacial structure as well as mandibular and maxillary morphology and morphometry.

Regarding MMT, the sensitivity in the current study was low at 30.5% compared to a few earlier studies^{12,17} but it was comparable to others^{24,25} that were done in Asian populations. The absence of inter-observer variability. which is a strength of the current study, may also be attributed as the cause of decreased sensitivity in the investigation. Even though the internal validity and reliability of the current study seem adequate as all three preoperative airway assessment tests were done by the primary investigator, but the study was carried out in elective surgical patients, and emergency patients were excluded. As such, the results may not be applicable to patients presenting for emergency caesarean sections, edentulous patients, and, particularly, to those who present with obvious airway difficulties in emergency situations. We recommend the need for further studies to be done in specific population groups to validate the current findings, and to determine an optimum cut-off value of RHTMD specific to Pakistani population.

Conclusion

RHTMD and ULBT showed more accuracy compared to MMT. RHTMD was comparable with ULBT and can be used reliably as a bedside screening test for preoperative prediction of difficult laryngoscopy in the general population.

Disclaimer: None.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Source of Funding: None.

References

- 1. Crawley SM, Dalton AJ. Predicting the difficult airway. Br J Anaesth. 2015;15: 253–57.
- Farzi F, Mirmansouri A, Forghanparast K, Abdolahzade M, Nahvi H. Difficult laryngoscopy; the predictive value of ratio of height to thyromental distance versus other common predictive tests of upper airway. Prof Med J 2012; 19: 6.
- Raymond Bailie, Karen L. Posner; New Trends in Adverse Respiratory Events From ASA Closed Claims Project. ASA Newsletter 2011; 75: 28–9.
- 4. Cormack RS, Lehane J. Difficult intubation in Obstetrics. Anaesthesia 1984:39: 1105-11
- Cook TM. A new practical classification of laryngeal view. Anaesthesia 2000; 55: 274-9.
- 6. Mallampati SR, Gatt SP, Gugino LD, Desai SP, Waraksa B, Freiberger D, et al. A clinical sign to predict difficult tracheal intubation; a prospective study. Can Anaesth Soc J 1985; 32: 429-34.

- Lee A, Fan LT, Gin T, Karmakar MK, Ngan Kee WD. A systematic review (meta-analysis of the accuracy of the Mallampati tests to predict the difficult airway. Anesth Analg 2006; 102: 1867-78.
- Adamus M, Fritscherova S, Hrabalek L, Gabrhelik T, Zapletalova J, Janout V. Mallampati test as a predictor of laryngoscopic view. Biomed Pap Med FacUnivPalacky Olomouc Czech Repub 2010; 154: 339-43.
- Lundstorm LH, Anderson MV, Moller AM, Charuluxananan S, Hermite JL, Wetterslev J. Poor prognostic value of modified Mallampatti score: a meta-analysis involving 177088 patients. Br J Anaesth 2011; 107: 659-67.
- Prakash S, Kumar A, Bhandari S, Mullick P, Singh R, Gogia AR. Difficult laryngoscopy and intubation in the Indian population: An assessment of anatomical and clinical risk factors. Indian J Anaesth 2013; 57: 569–75.
- Boutonnet M, Faitot V, Katz A, Salomon L, Keita H. Mallampati class changes during pregnancy, labour, and after delivery: can these be predicted? Br J Anaesth 2010; 104: 67-70.
- 12. Khan ZH, Kashfi A, Ebrahim Khani E. A comparison of the upper lip bite test (a simple new technique) with modified Mallampati classification in predicting difficulty in endotracheal intubation. A prospective blinded study. Anesth Analg 2003; 96: 595-9.
- Schmitt HJ, Kirmse M, Radespiel-Troger M. Ratio of patient's height to thyromental distance improves prediction of difficult laryngoscopy. Anesth Intensive Care 2002; 30: 763-5.
- 14. Honarmand A, Safavi M, Yaraghi A, Attari M, Khazaei M, Zamani M. Comparison of five methods in predicting difficult laryngoscopy: Neck circumference, neck circumference to thyromental distance ratio, the ratio of height to thyromental distance, upper lip bite test and Mallampati test. Adv Biomed Res 2015; 4: 122.
- 15. Samsoon, GL, Young, JR. Difficult tracheal intubation: a retrospective study. Anaesthesia 1987; 42: 487–90.
- Safavi M, Honarmand A, Zare N. A comparision of the ratio of patient's height to thyromental distance with the modified Mallampati and the upper lip bite test in predicting difficult laryngoscopy. Saudi J Anaesth 2011; 5: 258-63

- Shah PJ, Dubey KP, Yadav JP. Predictive value of upper lip bite test and ratio of height to thyromental distance compared to other multivariate airway assessment tests for difficult laryngoscopy in apparently normal patients. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 2013; 29: 191-5.
- Dean AG, Sullivan KM, Soe MM. Open Epi: Open Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health, Version. [Online] [Cited 2013 April 15]. Available from: URL: http://www.openepi.com/Menu/OE_ Menu.htm).
- Siddiqui R, Kazi WA. Predicting difficult intubation, a comparison between Mallampati classification and Wilson Risk-Sum. J Coll Physician Surg Pak 2005; 15: 253-6.
- 20. Fowler RA, Pearl RG. The airway emergent management for nonanesthesiologists. West J Med 2002; 176: 45–50.
- Ali MA, Qamar-ul-Hoda M, Samad K. Comparison of upper lip bite test with Mallampati test in the prediction of difficult intubation at a tertiary care hospital of Pakistan. J Pak Med Assoc 2012; 62: 1012-5.
- 22. Badheka JP, Doshi PM, Vyas AM, Kacha NJ, Parmar VS. Comparison of upper lip bite test and ratio of height to thyromental distance with other airway assessment tests for predicting difficult endotracheal intubation. Indian J Crit Care Med 2016; 20: 3-8.
- Myneni N, O'Leary AM, Sandison M, Roberts K. Evaluation of the upper lip bite test in predicting difficult laryngoscopy. J Clin Anesth 2010; 22: 174-8.
- 24. Hashim K V, Thomas M. Sensitivity of palm print sign in prediction of difficult laryngoscopy in diabetes: A comparison with other airway indices. Indian J Anaesth 2014;58: 298-302.
- Patel B, Khandekar R, Diwan R, Shah A. Validation of modified Mallampati test with addition of thyromental distance and sternomental distance to predict difficult endotracheal intubation in adults. Indian J Anaesth 2014; 58: 171–5.