



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Investigating the genetic architecture of noncognitive skills using GWAS-by-subtraction

Citation for published version:

Demange, PA, Malanchini, M, Mallard, TT, Biroli, P, Cox, SR, Grotzinger, AD, Tucker-drob, EM, Abdellaoui, A, Arseneault, L, Van Bergen, E, Boomsma, DI, Caspi, A, Corcoran, DL, Domingue, BW, Harris, KM, Ip, HF, Mitchell, C, Moffitt, TE, Poulton, R, Prinz, JA, Sugden, K, Wertz, J, Williams, BS, De Zeeuw, EL, Belsky, DW, Harden, KP & Nivard, MG 2021, 'Investigating the genetic architecture of noncognitive skills using GWAS-by-subtraction', *Nature Genetics*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 35-44. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-00754-2>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1038/s41588-020-00754-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-00754-2)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Nature Genetics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 **Investigating the Genetic Architecture of Non-Cognitive Skills Using**
2 **GWAS-by-Subtraction**

3 “It takes something more than intelligence to act intelligently.”

4 – Fyodor Dostoyevsky, *Crime and Punishment*

5

6 Perline A. Demange^{1,2,3*}, Margherita Malanchini^{4,5,6*}, Travis T. Mallard⁶, Pietro Biroli⁷,
7 Simon R. Cox⁸, Andrew D. Grotzinger⁶, Elliot M. Tucker-Drob^{6,9}, Abdel Abdellaoui^{1,10},
8 Louise Arseneault⁵, Elsje van Bergen^{1,3}, Dorret I. Boomsma¹, Avshalom Caspi^{5,11-13}, David
9 L. Corcoran¹³, Benjamin W. Domingue¹⁴, Kathleen Mullan Harris¹⁵, Hill F. Ip¹, Colter
10 Mitchell¹⁶, Terrie E. Moffitt^{5,11-13}, Richie Poulton¹⁷, Joseph A. Prinz¹³, Karen Sugden¹¹,
11 Jasmin Wertz¹¹, Benjamin S. Williams¹¹, Eveline L. de Zeeuw^{1,3}, Daniel W. Belsky^{18,19#}, K.
12 Paige Harden^{6#}, Michel G. Nivard^{1#}

13

14 * contributed equally

15 # Jointly supervised the work

16

17 ¹ Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

18 ² Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam,
19 The Netherlands

20 ³ Research Institute LEARN!, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

21 ⁴ Department of Biological and Experimental Psychology, Queen Mary University of London, UK

22 ⁵ Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatric Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, &
23 Neuroscience, King’s College London, UK

24 ⁶ Department of Psychology, University of Texas at Austin, USA

25 ⁷ Department of Economics, University of Zurich, Switzerland

26 ⁸ Lothian Birth Cohorts group, Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh, UK

27 ⁹ Population Research Center, University of Texas at Austin, USA

28 ¹⁰ Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the

29 Netherlands

30 ¹¹ Department of Psychology & Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

31 ¹² Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham,

32 NC, USA

33 ¹³ Center for Genomic and Computational Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

34 ¹⁴ Stanford Graduate School of Education, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, USA

35 ¹⁵ Department of Sociology and Carolina Population Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel

36 Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

37 ¹⁶ Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA

38 ¹⁷ Department of Psychology and Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Research Unit,

39 University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

40 ¹⁸ Department of Epidemiology, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health, New York,

41 NY, USA

42 ¹⁹ Robert N. Butler Columbia Aging Center, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 **Abstract (149 of 150 words)**

54 Little is known about the genetic architecture of traits affecting educational attainment (EA)
55 other than cognitive ability. We used Genomic Structural Equation Modelling and prior
56 genome-wide association studies (GWASs) of EA (N=1,131,881) and cognitive test
57 performance (N=257,841) to estimate SNP associations with EA variation that is independent
58 of cognitive ability. We identified 157 genome-wide significant loci and a polygenic
59 architecture accounting for 57% of genetic variance in EA. Non-cognitive genetics were
60 enriched in the same brain tissues and cell types as cognitive performance but showed different
61 associations with gray-matter brain volumes. Non-cognitive genetics were further
62 distinguished by associations with personality traits, less risky behavior, and increased risk for
63 certain psychiatric disorders. For socioeconomic success and longevity, non-cognitive and
64 cognitive-performance genetics demonstrated similar-magnitude associations. By conducting
65 a GWAS of a phenotype that was not directly measured, we offer a first view of genetic
66 architecture of non-cognitive skills influencing educational success.

67

68

69

70

71

72

73 **Main Text (3,970 of 4000 Words)**

74 Success in school—and life—depends on skills beyond cognitive ability^{1–4}. Randomized
75 trials of early-life education interventions find substantial benefits to educational outcomes,
76 employment, and adult health, even though the interventions have no lasting effects on
77 children’s cognitive functions^{5,6}. These results have captured attention of educators and
78 policy makers, motivating interest in so-called “non-cognitive skills”^{7–9}. Non-cognitive skills
79 suspected to be important for educational success include motivation, curiosity, persistence,
80 and self-control^{1,10–13}. However, questions have been raised about the substance of these
81 skills and the magnitudes of their impacts on life outcomes¹⁴.

82 Twin studies find evidence that non-cognitive skills are heritable^{3,15–18}. Genetic
83 analysis could help clarify the contribution of these skills to educational attainment and
84 elucidate their connections with other traits. However, lack of consistent and reliable
85 measurements of non-cognitive skills in existing genetic datasets pose challenges¹⁹.

86 To overcome these challenges, we designed a GWAS of a latent trait, *i.e.* a trait not
87 measured in any of the genotyped subjects²⁰. We borrowed the strategy used in the original
88 analysis of non-cognitive skills within the discipline of economics^{21,22}: We defined genetic
89 influences on non-cognitive skills as the genetic variation in educational attainment that was
90 not explained by cognitive skills. We then performed GWAS on this residual “non-cognitive”
91 genetic variation in educational attainment. This approach is a necessarily imperfect
92 representation of the true relationship between cognitive and non-cognitive skills; in human
93 development, cognitive abilities and other skills relevant for educational attainment likely
94 interact dynamically, each influencing the other²³. Our analysis excludes genetic influences
95 on education-relevant skills that also influence measured cognitive abilities. The value of this
96 imperfect approach is to make a quantity otherwise difficult to study tractable for analysis.

97 We conducted analysis using Genomic Structural Equation Modeling (Genomic-
98 SEM)²⁴ applied to published GWAS summary statistics for educational attainment and
99 cognitive performance²⁵. Our analysis used these summary statistics to “subtract” genetic
100 influence on cognitive performance from the association of each single-nucleotide
101 polymorphism (SNP) with educational attainment. The remaining associations of each SNP
102 with educational attainment formed a new GWAS of a non-cognitive skills phenotype that
103 was never directly measured. We call this novel statistical approach GWAS-by-subtraction.

104 We used results from the GWAS-by-subtraction of non-cognitive skills to conduct
105 two sets of analyses. First, we conducted hypothesis-driven analysis using the phenotypic
106 annotation approach²⁶. We used genetic correlation and polygenic score analysis to test the
107 hypothesis that non-cognitive skills influence educational and economic attainments and
108 longevity and to investigate traits and behaviors that constitute non-cognitive skills. Second,
109 we conducted hypothesis-free bioinformatic annotation analysis to explore the tissues, cell-
110 types, and brain structures that might distinguish the biology of non-cognitive skills from the
111 biology mediating cognitive influences on educational attainment.

112 **Results**

113 **GWAS-by-Subtraction Identifies Genetic Associations with Non-Cognitive Variance in** 114 **Educational Attainment**

115 The term “non-cognitive skills” was originally coined by economists studying
116 individuals who were equivalent in cognitive ability, but who differed in educational
117 attainment.²² Our analysis of non-cognitive skills was designed to mirror this original
118 approach: We focused on genetic variation in educational outcomes not explained by genetic
119 variation in cognitive ability. Specifically, we applied Genomic Structural Equation
120 Modeling (Genomic-SEM)²⁴ to summary statistics from GWASs of educational attainment²⁵
121 and cognitive performance²⁵. Both phenotypes were regressed on a latent factor representing

122 genetic variance in cognitive performance (hereafter “*Cog*”). Educational attainment was
123 further regressed on a second latent factor representing the residual genetic variance in
124 educational attainment left over after regressing-out variance related to cognitive
125 performance (hereafter “*NonCog*”). By construction, *NonCog* genetic variance was
126 independent of *Cog* genetic variance ($r_g=0$). In other words, the *NonCog* factor represents
127 genetic variation in educational attainment that is not accounted for by the *Cog* factor. These
128 two latent factors were then regressed on individual SNPs, yielding a GWAS of the latent
129 constructs *NonCog* and *Cog*. A graphical representation of the model is presented **Figure 1**.
130 Parameters are derived in terms of the observed moments of the joint distribution of
131 educational attainment, cognitive performance and a SNP in **Supplementary Note**.

132 The *NonCog* latent factor accounted for 57% of total genetic variance in educational
133 attainment. Using the LD Score regression method²⁷, we estimated SNP-heritability for
134 *NonCog* to be $h^2_{NonCog}=0.0637$ ($SE=.0021$). After conventional GWAS significance threshold
135 correction, GWAS of *NonCog* identified 157 independent genome-wide significant lead
136 SNPs (independent SNPs defined as outside a 250Kb window, or within a 250Kb window
137 and $r^2 < 0.1$). The results from the *NonCog* GWAS are graphed as a Manhattan plot in **Figure**
138 **2**. *NonCog* and *Cog* GWAS details are reported in **Supplementary Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4**, and
139 **Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Note**. In addition, we report a series of
140 sensitivity analyses in the Supplementary Note, Tables, and Figures: analysis of potential
141 biases due to cohort differences, **Supplementary Table 5 and Supplementary Figures 2-4**;
142 analysis of impact of allowing for positive genetic correlations between *NonCog* and *Cog*,
143 **Supplementary Tables 6 and 7, Supplementary Figures 5 and 6**; analysis of impact of
144 allowing for a moderate causal effect of educational attainment on cognitive performance²⁸,
145 **Supplementary Table 8, and Supplementary Figures 7-9**.

146

147 **Phenotypic Annotation Analysis Elucidates Behavioral, Psychological and Psychiatric**
148 **Correlates of Non-Cognitive Skills Genetics**

149 Our phenotypic annotation analyses proceeded in two steps. First, we conducted
150 polygenic score (PGS) and genetic correlation (rG) analysis to test if our GWAS-by-
151 subtraction succeeded in identifying genetic influences that were important to educational
152 attainment and also distinct from genetic influences on cognitive ability. Second, we
153 conducted PGS and rG analyses to explore how *NonCog* related to a network of phenotypes
154 that psychology and economics research suggests might form the basis of non-cognitive
155 influences on educational attainment.

156 ***NonCog* genetics are distinct from cognitive performance and are important to**
157 **education, socioeconomic attainment, and longevity.** To establish if the Genomic-SEM
158 GWAS-by-subtraction succeeded in isolating genetic variance in education that was
159 independent of cognitive function, we compared genetic associations of *NonCog* and *Cog*
160 with educational attainment and cognitive test performance. Results for analysis of education
161 and cognitive test phenotypes are graphed in **Figure 3**.

162 We conducted PGS analysis of educational attainment in the Netherlands Twin
163 Register²⁹ (NTR), National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health³⁰ (AddHealth),
164 Dunedin Longitudinal Study³¹, E-Risk³², and Wisconsin Longitudinal Study³³ (WLS) cohorts
165 (meta-analysis $N=24,056$; cohorts descriptions in **Supplementary Tables 9 and 10** and
166 **Supplementary Note**). PGS effect-sizes were the same for *NonCog* and *Cog* (*NonCog* $\beta=.24$
167 ($SE=.03$), *Cog* $\beta=.24$ ($SE=.02$), $p_{diff}=.702$; all PGS results are reported in **Supplementary**
168 **Tables 11 and 12**). We conducted complementary genetic correlation analysis using
169 Genomic SEM and GWAS summary statistics from a hold-out-sample GWAS of educational
170 attainment (**Supplementary Note**). This analysis allowed us to compute an out-of-sample
171 genetic correlation of *NonCog* with educational attainment. *NonCog* showed a stronger

172 genetic correlation with educational attainment as compared to *Cog* (*NonCog* $r_g = .71$
173 ($SE = .02$), *Cog* $r_g = .57$ ($SE = .02$), $p_{diff} < .0001$; all genetic correlation results are reported in
174 **Supplementary Tables 13 and 14**).

175 We conducted PGS analysis of cognitive test performance in the NTR, Texas Twin
176 Project³⁴, Dunedin, E-Risk, and WLS cohorts (combined $N = 11,351$). The goal of our GWAS-
177 by-subtraction analysis was to exclude, as much as possible, genetic variance in cognitive
178 ability from genetic variance in skills relevant for education. Consistent with this goal, effect-
179 sizes for *NonCog* PGS associations with full-scale IQ were smaller by half as compared to
180 *Cog* PGS associations (*NonCog* $\beta = .17$ ($SE = .02$), *Cog* $\beta = .29$ ($SE = .03$); $p_{diff} < .0001$). But, the
181 non-zero correlation between the *NonCog* PGS and full-scale IQ is a reminder that the
182 cognitive performance GWAS used in our GWAS-by-subtraction analyses does not capture
183 the entirety of genetic influences on all forms of cognitive tests measured at all points in the
184 lifespan. Additional PGS analysis of IQ subscales are reported in **Supplementary Figure 10**
185 and **Supplementary Tables 11 and 12**.

186 We conducted complementary genetic correlation analysis using results from a
187 published GWAS of childhood IQ³⁵. Parallel to PGS analysis, the *NonCog* genetic correlation
188 with childhood IQ was smaller by more than half as compared to the *Cog* genetic correlation
189 (*NonCog* $r_g = 0.31$ ($SE = .06$), *Cog* $r_g = 0.75$ ($SE = .08$), $p_{diff_fdr} < .0001$). Of the total genetic
190 correlation between childhood IQ and educational attainment, 31% of the covariance was
191 explained by *NonCog* and 69% by *Cog*.

192 We next examined downstream economic and health outcomes associated with
193 greater educational attainment.^{36,37} In PGS analysis in the AddHealth and Dunedin cohorts
194 ($N = 6,358$), *NonCog* and *Cog* PGSs showed similar associations with occupational attainment
195 (*NonCog* $\beta = .21$ ($SE = .01$), *Cog* $\beta = .21$ ($SE = .01$), $p_{diff} = .902$). In genetic correlation analysis,
196 *NonCog* showed a similar relationship to income³⁸ as *Cog* (*NonCog* $r_g = .62$, ($SE = .04$), *Cog*

197 $r_g=.62$ ($SE=.04$), $p_{diff_fdr}=.947$) and a stronger relationship with neighborhood deprivation³⁸, a
198 measure related to where a person can afford to live (*NonCog* $r_g=-.51$ ($SE=.05$), *Cog* $r_g=-.32$
199 ($SE=.04$), $p_{diff_fdr}=.001$). In Genomic-SEM analysis, *NonCog* explained 53% of the genetic
200 correlation between educational attainment and income and 65% of the genetic correlation
201 between educational attainment and neighborhood deprivation (**Supplementary Table 15**).

202 We conducted genetic correlation analysis of longevity based on GWAS of parental
203 lifespan³⁹. Genetic correlations were stronger for *NonCog* as compared to *Cog* (*NonCog*
204 $r_g=.37$ ($SE=.03$); *Cog* $r_g=.27$ ($SE=.03$); $p_{diff_fdr}=.024$). In Genomic-SEM analysis, *NonCog*
205 explained 61% of the genetic correlation between educational attainment and longevity.

206 In sum, *NonCog* and *Cog* genetics showed similar relationships with educational
207 attainment and its long-term outcomes, despite *NonCog* genetic having a much weaker
208 relationship to measured cognitive test performance than *Cog* genetics. These findings
209 broadly support the hypothesis that non-cognitive skills distinct from cognitive abilities are
210 an important contributor to success across the life course.

211 We next conducted a series of genetic correlation analyses to explore the network of
212 phenotypes to which *NonCog* was genetically correlated. To develop understanding of the
213 substance of non-cognitive skills, we tested where in that network of phenotypes genetic
214 correlations with *NonCog* diverged from genetic correlations with *Cog*. Our analysis was
215 organized around four themes: decision making preferences, health-risk and fertility
216 behaviors, personality traits, and psychiatric disorders. Results of genetic correlation analyses
217 are graphed in **Figure 4** and in **Supplementary Figure 11**. Results are reported in
218 **Supplementary Table 14**.

219 ***NonCog* genetics were associated with decision-making preferences.** In
220 economics, non-cognitive influences on achievement and health are often studied in relation
221 to decision-making preferences⁴⁰⁻⁴³. *NonCog* was genetically correlated with higher tolerance

222 of risks⁴⁴ ($r_g=.10$ ($SE=.03$)) and willingness to forego immediate gratification in favor of a
223 larger reward at a later time⁴⁵ (delay discounting $r_g=-.52$ ($SE=.08$)). In contrast, *Cog* was
224 genetically correlated with generally more cautious decision-making characterized by lower
225 levels of risk tolerance ($r_g=-.35$ ($SE=.07$), $p_{diff_fdr}<.0001$) and delay discounting ($r_g=-.35$
226 ($SE=.07$), $p_{diff_fdr}=.082$).

227 ***NonCog* genetics were associated with less health-risk behavior and delayed**
228 **fertility.** An alternative approach to studying specific non-cognitive skills is to infer
229 individual differences in non-cognitive skills from patterns of health-risk behavior. *NonCog*
230 was genetically correlated with less health-risk behavior as indicated by analysis of obesity⁴⁶,
231 substance use^{44,47-50}, and sexual behaviors and early fertility^{44,51,52} (r_g range .2-.5), with the
232 exception that the r_g with alcohol use was not different from zero and r_g with cannabis use
233 was positive. Genetic correlations for *Cog* were generally in the same direction but of smaller
234 magnitude.

235 ***NonCog* genetics were associated with a broad spectrum of personality**
236 **characteristics linked with social and professional competency.** In psychology, non-
237 cognitive influences on achievement are conceptualized as personality traits, *i.e.* patterns of
238 stable individual differences in emotion and behavior. The model of personality that has
239 received the most attention in genetics is a five-factor model referred to as the Big-5. Genetic
240 correlation analysis of the Big-5 personality traits⁵³⁻⁵⁵ revealed *NonCog* genetics were most
241 strongly associated with Openness to Experience (being curious and eager to learn; $r_g=.30$
242 ($SE=.04$)) and were further associated with a pattern of personality characteristic of changes
243 that occur as people mature in adulthood⁵⁶. Specifically, *NonCog* showed a positive r_g with
244 Conscientiousness (being industrious and orderly; $r_g=.13$ ($SE=.03$)), Extraversion (being
245 enthusiastic and assertive; $r_g=.14$ ($SE=.03$)), and Agreeableness (being polite and
246 compassionate; $r_g=.14$ ($SE=.05$)), and negative r_g with Neuroticism (being emotionally

247 volatile; $r_g = -.15$ ($SE = .04$)). Genetic correlations of *Cog* with Openness to Experience and
248 Neuroticism were similar to those for *NonCog* ($p_{diff_fdr-Openness} = .040$, $p_{diff_fdr-Neuroticism} = .470$). In
249 contrast, genetic correlations of *Cog* with Conscientiousness, Extraversion, and
250 Agreeableness were in the opposite direction ($r_g = -.25$ to $-.12$, $p_{diff_fdr} < .0005$). PGS analysis of
251 personality traits is reported in **Supplementary Table 12**, **Supplementary Figure 12** and
252 **Supplementary Note**.

253 ***NonCog* genetics were associated with higher risk for multiple psychiatric**
254 **disorders.** In clinical psychology and psychiatry, research is focused on mental disorders.
255 Mental disorders are generally associated with impairments in academic achievement and
256 social role functioning.^{57,58} However, positive genetic correlations with educational
257 attainment and creativity have been reported for some disorders^{59,60}. We therefore tested
258 *NonCog* r_g with psychiatric disorders based on published case-control GWAS of mental
259 disorders⁶¹⁻⁶⁷. *NonCog* was associated with *higher* risk for multiple clinically-defined
260 disorders including anorexia nervosa ($r_g = .26$ ($SE = .04$)), obsessive-compulsive disorder
261 ($r_g = .31$ ($SE = .06$)), bipolar disorder ($r_g = .27$ ($SE = .03$)), and schizophrenia ($r_g = .26$ ($SE = .02$)).
262 Genetic correlations between *Cog* and psychiatric disorders were either smaller in magnitude
263 (anorexia nervosa $r_g = .08$ ($SE = .03$), $p_{diff_fdr} < .001$; obsessive-compulsive disorder $r_g = .05$
264 ($SE = .05$), $p_{diff_fdr} = .002$) or in the opposite direction (bipolar disorder $r_g = -.07$ ($SE = .03$),
265 $p_{diff_fdr} < .001$; schizophrenia $r_g = -.22$ ($SE = .02$), $p_{diff_fdr} < .001$). Both *NonCog* and *Cog* showed
266 negative genetic correlations with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (*NonCog* $r_g = -.37$
267 ($SE = .03$), *Cog* $r_g = -.37$ ($SE = .04$), $p_{diff_fdr} = .947$).

268 In sum *NonCog* genetics were associated with phenotypes from economics and
269 psychology thought to mediate non-cognitive influences on educational success. These
270 associations contrasted with associations for *Cog* genetics, supporting distinct pathways of
271 influence on achievement in school and later in life. Opposing patterns of association were

272 also observed for psychiatric disorders, suggesting that the unexpected positive genetic
273 correlation between educational attainment and mental health problems uncovered in
274 previous studies^{60,68,69} arises from non-cognitive genetic influences on educational
275 attainment.

276

277 **Biological Annotation Analyses Reveal Shared and Specific Neurobiological Correlates**

278 The goal of biological annotation of GWAS discoveries is to elucidate molecular
279 mechanisms mediating genetic influences on the phenotype of interest. Our biological
280 annotation analysis proceeded in two steps. First, we conducted enrichment analysis to test if
281 some tissues and cell-types were more likely to mediate *NonCog* and *Cog* heritabilities than
282 others. Second, we conducted genetic correlation analysis to explore how *NonCog* and *Cog*
283 genetics related to different brain structures.

284 ***NonCog* and *Cog* genetics were enriched in similar tissues and cells.** We tested
285 whether common variants in genes specifically expressed in 53 GTEx tissues⁷⁰ or in 152
286 tissues captured in a previous aggregation of RNA-seq studies^{71,72} were enriched in their
287 effects on *Cog* or *NonCog*. Genes predominantly expressed in the brain rather than peripheral
288 tissues were enriched in both *NonCog* and *Cog* (**Supplementary Table 16**).

289 To examine expression patterns at a more granular level of analysis, we used
290 MAGMA⁷³ and stratified LD score regression⁷⁴ to test enrichment of common variants in 265
291 nervous system cell-type-specific gene-sets⁷⁵ (**Supplementary Table 17**). In MAGMA
292 analysis, common variants in 95 of 265 gene-sets were enriched for association with *NonCog*.
293 The enriched cell-types were predominantly neurons (97%), with enrichment most
294 pronounced for telencephalon-projecting neurons, di- and mesencephalon neurons, and to a
295 lesser extent, telencephalon interneurons (**Supplementary Figure 13 and Table 18**).

296 Enrichment for *Cog* was similar to *NonCog* (correlation between *Z*-statistics *Pearson's*

297 $r=.85$) and there were no differences in cell-type-specific enrichment, suggesting that the
298 same types of brain cells mediate genetic influences on *NonCog* and *Cog* (**Supplementary**
299 **Figure 14**). Stratified LDSC results were similar to results from MAGMA (**Supplementary**
300 **Note, Supplementary Figure 15, and Supplementary Table 19**).

301 The absence of differences in cell-type specific enrichment is surprising given that
302 *NonCog* and *Cog* are genetically uncorrelated. We therefore used the TWAS/Fusion tool⁷⁶ to
303 conduct gene-level analysis. This analysis revealed a mixture of concordant and discordant
304 gene effects on *NonCog* and *Cog* consistent with the genetic correlation of zero
305 (**Supplementary Note, Supplementary Figure 16, and Supplementary Table 20**).

306 ***NonCog* and *Cog* genetics show diverging associations with total and regional**
307 **brain volumes.** EA has previously been found to be genetically correlated with greater total
308 brain volume^{77,78}. We therefore used a GWAS of regional brain volume to compare the r_g of
309 *NonCog* and *Cog* with total brain volume and with 100 regional brain volumes (99 gray
310 matter volumes and white matter volume) controlling for total brain volume (**Supplementary**
311 **Table 21**)⁷⁹. For total brain volume, genetic correlation was stronger for *Cog* as compared to
312 *NonCog* (*Cog* $r_g=.22$ ($SE=.04$), *NonCog* $r_g=.07$ ($SE=.03$), $p_{diff}=.005$). Total gray matter
313 volume, controlling for total brain volume, was not associated with either *NonCog* or *Cog*
314 (*NonCog*: $r_g=.07$ ($SE=.04$); *Cog*: $r_g=.06$ ($SE=.04$)). For total white matter volume, conditional
315 on total brain volume, genetic correlation was weakly negative for *NonCog* as compared to
316 *Cog* (*NonCog* $r_g= -.12$ ($SE=.04$), *Cog* ($r_g=-.01$ ($SE=.04$), $p_{diff}=.04$).

317 *NonCog* was not associated with any of the regional gray-matter volumes after FDR
318 correction. In contrast, *Cog* was significantly associated with regional gray-matter volumes
319 for the bilateral fusiform, insula and posterior cingulate (r_g range .11-.17), as well as left
320 superior temporal ($r_g=.11$ ($SE=.04$)), left pericalcarine ($r_g=-.16$ ($SE=.05$)) and right superior
321 parietal volumes ($r_g=-.22$ ($SE=.06$)) (**Figure 5**).

322 Finally, we tested genetic correlation of *NonCog* and *Cog* with white matter tract
323 integrity as measured using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)⁸⁰. Analyses included 5 DTI
324 parameters in each of 22 white matter tracts (**Supplementary Table 22**). *NonCog* was
325 positively associated with the mode of anisotropy parameter (which denotes a more tubular,
326 as opposed to planar, water diffusion) in the corticospinal tract, retrolenticular limb of the
327 internal capsule, and splenium of the corpus callosum (**Figure 5**). But all correlations were
328 small ($.10 < r_g < .14$) and we detected no genetic correlations that differed between *NonCog*
329 and *Cog* (**Supplementary Note**).

330

331 **Discussion**

332 GWAS of non-cognitive influences on educational attainment (EA) identified 157
333 independent loci and polygenic architecture accounting for more than half the genetic
334 variance in EA. In genetic correlation and PGS analysis, these non-cognitive (*NonCog*)
335 genetics showed similar magnitude of associations with EA, economic attainment and
336 longevity to genetics associated with cognitive influences on EA (*Cog*). As expected,
337 *NonCog* genetics had much weaker associations with cognition phenotypes as compared to
338 *Cog* genetics. These results contribute new GWAS evidence in support of the hypothesis that
339 heritable non-cognitive skills influence educational attainment and downstream life-course
340 economic and health outcomes.

341 Phenotypic and biological annotation analyses shed light on the substance of heritable
342 non-cognitive skills influencing education. Economists hypothesize that preferences that
343 guide decision-making in the face of risk and delayed rewards represent non-cognitive
344 influences on educational attainment. Consistent with this hypothesis, *NonCog* genetics were
345 associated with higher risk tolerance and lower time discounting. These decision-making
346 preferences are associated with financial wealth, whereas opposite preferences are

347 hypothesized to contribute to a feedback loop perpetuating poverty⁸¹. Consistent with results
348 from analysis of decision-making preferences, *NonCog* genetics were also associated with
349 healthier behavior and later fertility.

350 Psychologists hypothesize that the Big Five personality characteristics of
351 conscientiousness and openness are the two “pillars of educational success”^{2,3,82}. Our results
352 provide some support for this hypothesis, with the strongest genetic correlation evident for
353 openness. But they also show that non-cognitive skills encompass the full range of
354 personality traits, including agreeableness, extraversion, and the absence of neuroticism. This
355 pattern mirrors the pattern of personality change that occurs as young people mature into
356 adulthood⁵⁶. Thus, non-cognitive skills share genetic etiology with what might be termed as
357 “mature personality”. The absolute magnitudes of genetic correlations between *NonCog* and
358 individual personality traits are modest. This result suggests that the personality traits
359 described by psychologists capture some, but not all genetic influence on non-cognitive
360 skills.

361 Although the general pattern of findings in our phenotypic annotation analysis
362 indicated non-cognitive skills were genetically related to socially desirable characteristics and
363 behaviors, there was an important exception. Genetic correlation analysis of psychiatric
364 disorder GWAS revealed positive associations of *NonCog* genetics with schizophrenia,
365 bipolar disorder, anorexia nervosa, and obsessive-compulsive disorder. Previously, these
366 psychiatric disorders have been shown to have a positive r_g with EA, a result that has been
367 characterized as paradoxical given the impairments in educational and occupational
368 functioning typical of serious mental illness. Our results clarify that these associations are
369 driven by non-cognitive factors associated with success in education. These results align with
370 the theory that clinically-defined psychiatric disorders represent extreme manifestations of

371 dimensional psychological traits, which might be associated with adaptive functioning within
372 the normal range^{83–85}.

373 Finally, biological annotation analyses suggested that genetic variants contributing to
374 educational attainment not mediated through cognitive abilities are enriched in genes
375 expressed in the brain, specifically in neurons. Even though *NonCog* and *Cog* were
376 genetically uncorrelated, variants in the same neuron-specific gene-sets were enriched for
377 both traits. Although we found some evidence of differences between *NonCog* and *Cog* in
378 associations with gray matter volumes, moderate sample sizes in neuroimaging GWAS mean
379 these results must be treated as preliminary, requiring replication with data from larger-scale
380 GWAS of white-matter and gray-matter phenotypes. Limited differentiation of *NonCog* and
381 *Cog* in biological annotation analyses focused at the levels of tissue and cell type highlights
382 need for finer-grained molecular data resources to inform these analyses and the
383 complementary value of phenotypic annotation analyses focused at the level of psychology
384 and behavior.

385 We acknowledge limitations. Cognitive and non-cognitive skills develop in
386 interaction with one another. For example, the dynamic mutualism hypothesis⁸⁶ proposes that
387 non-cognitive characteristics shape investments of time and effort, leading to differences in
388 the pace of cognitive development^{87,88}. But in Genomic-SEM analysis, the *NonCog* factor is,
389 by construction, uncorrelated with genetic influences on adult cognition as measured in the
390 *Cog* GWAS. Our statistical separation of *NonCog* from cognition is thus a simplified
391 representation of development. Longitudinal studies with repeated measures of cognitive and
392 candidate non-cognitive skills are needed to study their reciprocal relationships across
393 development^{89,90}. Our statistical separation of *NonCog* from cognition is also incomplete. The
394 ability to control statistically for any variable, genetic or otherwise, depends on how well and
395 comprehensively that variable is measured⁹¹. The tests of cognitive performance included in

396 the *Cog* GWAS likely do not capture all genetic influences on all forms of cognitive ability
397 across the lifespan^{92,93}. Despite these limitations, our simplified and incomplete statistical
398 separation of *NonCog* from *Cog* allowed us to test if heritable traits other than cognitive
399 ability influenced educational attainment and to explore what those traits might be.

400 Because our analysis was based on GWAS of educational attainment, non-cognitive
401 genetics identified here may differ from non-cognitive genetics affecting other
402 socioeconomic attainments like income, or traits and behaviors that mediate responses to
403 early childhood interventions, to the extent that those genetics do not affect educational
404 attainment. Parallel analysis of alternative attainment phenotypes will clarify the specificity
405 of discovered non-cognitive genetics.

406 In the case of GWAS of educational attainment, the included samples were drawn
407 mainly from Western Europe and the U.S., and participants completed their education in the
408 late 20th and early 21st centuries. The phenotype of educational attainment reflects an
409 interaction between an individual and the social system in which they are educated.
410 Differences across social systems, including education policy, culture, and historical context,
411 may result in different heritable traits influencing on educational attainment⁹⁴. Results
412 therefore may not generalize beyond the times and places GWAS samples were collected.

413 Generalization of the *NonCog* factor is also limited by restriction of included GWAS
414 to individuals of European ancestry. Lack of methods for integrating genome-scale genetic
415 data across populations with different ancestries^{95,96} requires this restriction, but raises threats
416 to external validity. GWAS of other ancestries and development of methods for trans-
417 ancestry analysis can enable analysis of (*Non*)*Cog* in non-European populations.

418 Within the bounds of these limitations, results illustrate the application of Genomic-
419 SEM to conduct GWAS of a phenotype not directly measured in GWAS databases. This
420 application could have broad utility beyond the genetics of educational attainment. The

421 GWAS-by-subtraction method allowed us to study a previously hard-to-interpret residual
422 value. Our analysis provides a first view of the genetic architecture of non-cognitive skills
423 influencing educational success. These skills are central to theories of human capital
424 formation within the social and behavioral sciences and are increasingly the targets of social
425 policy interventions. Our results establish that non-cognitive skills are central to the
426 heritability of educational attainment and illuminate connections between genetic influences
427 on these skills and social and behavioral science phenotypes.

428

429 **Methods**

430 **Meta-analysis of educational attainment GWAS**

431 We reproduced the Social Science Genetic Association Consortium (SSGAC) 2018
432 GWAS of educational attainment²⁵ by meta-analyzing published summary statistics for
433 $N=766,345$ (www.thessgac.org/data) with summary statistics obtained from 23andMe, Inc.
434 ($N=365,538$). We included SNPs with sample-size $> 500,000$ and $MAF > 0.005$ in the 1000
435 Genomes reference set (10,101,243 SNPs). We did not apply genomic control, as standard
436 errors of publicly available and 23andMe summary statistics were already corrected²⁵. Meta-
437 analysis was performed using METAL⁹⁷.

438

439 **GWAS-by-subtraction**

440 The objective of our GWAS-by-subtraction analysis was to estimate, for each SNP,
441 the association with educational attainment that was independent of that SNP's association
442 with cognition (hereafter, the *NonCog* SNP effect). We used Genomic-SEM²⁴ in R 3.4.3 to
443 analyze GWAS summary statistics for the educational attainment and cognitive performance
444 phenotypes in the SSGAC's 2018 GWAS (Lee et al. 2018²⁵). The model regressed the
445 educational-attainment and cognitive-performance summary statistics on two latent variables,

446 *Cog* and *NonCog* (**Figure 1**). *Cog* and *NonCog* were then regressed on each SNP in the
447 genome. This analysis allowed for two paths of association with educational attainment for
448 each SNP. One path was fully mediated by *Cog*. The other path was independent of *Cog* and
449 measured the non-cognitive SNP effect, *NonCog*. To identify independent hits with $p < 5e-8$
450 (the customary p-value threshold to approximate an alpha value of 0.05 in GWAS), we
451 pruned the results using a radius of 250 kb and an LD threshold of $r^2 < 0.1$ (**Supplementary**
452 **Tables 1 to 3**). We explore alternative lead SNPs and loci definition in **Supplementary**
453 **Table 4**. The parameters estimated in a GWAS-by-subtraction, and their derivation in terms
454 of the genetic covariance are described in **Supplementary Note** (model specification) and
455 practical analysis steps are described in **Supplementary Note** (SNP filtering). The effective
456 sample size of the NonCog and Cog GWAS was estimated to 510 795 and 257 700
457 respectively, see **Supplementary Note**. We investigate biases from unaccounted-for
458 heterogeneity in overlap across SNPs in the EA and CP GWAS and describe possible
459 strategy to deal with it (**Supplementary Note**). We investigate potential biases due to cohort
460 differences in SNP heritability in **Supplementary Note**. We evaluate the consequences of
461 modifying $r_g(\text{NonCog}, \text{Cog})=0$ by evaluating $r_g = 0.1, 0.2$ or 0.3 and we investigate the
462 consequences of a violation of the assumed causation between CP and EA in **Supplementary**
463 **Note**.

464

465 **Genetic correlations**

466 We use Genomic-SEM to compute genetic correlations of *Cog* and *NonCog* with
467 other education-linked traits for which well-powered GWAS data were available (SNP- h^2 z-
468 statistics > 2 ; **Supplementary Table 13**) and to test if genetic correlations with these traits
469 differed between *Cog* and *NonCog*. Specifically, models tested the null hypothesis that trait
470 genetic correlations with *Cog* and *NonCog* could be constrained to be equal using a chi-

471 squared test with FDR adjustment to correct for multiple testing. The FDR adjustment was
472 conducted across all genetic correlation analyses reported in the article excluding the
473 analyses of brain volumes described below. Finally, we used Genomic-SEM analysis of
474 genetic correlations to estimate the percentage of the genetic covariance between educational
475 attainment and the target traits that was explained by *Cog* and *NonCog* using the model
476 illustrated in **Supplementary Figure 17**.

477

478 **Polygenic score analysis**

479 Polygenic score analyses were conducted in data drawn from six population-based
480 cohorts from the Netherlands, the U.K., the U.S., and New Zealand: (1) the Netherlands Twin
481 Register (NTR)^{29,98}, (2) E-Risk³², (3) the Texas Twin Project³⁴, (4) the National Longitudinal
482 Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (AddHealth)^{30,99}, dbGaP accession phs001367.v1.p1; (5)
483 Wisconsin Longitudinal Study on Aging (WLS)³³, dbGaP accession phs001157.v1.p1; and
484 (6) the Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Study³¹. **Supplementary Tables**
485 **9 and 10** describe cohort-specific metrics, **we include** a short description of the cohorts'
486 populations and recruitment in **Supplementary Note**. Only participants with European
487 ancestry were included in the analysis, due to the low portability of PGS between different
488 ancestry populations. Polygenic scores were computed with Plink based on weights derived
489 using the LD-pred¹⁰⁰ software with an infinitesimal prior and the 1000 Genomes phase 3
490 sample as a reference for the LD structure. LD-pred weights were computed in a shared
491 pipeline to ensure comparability between cohorts. Each outcome (*e.g.*, IQ score) was
492 regressed on the *Cog* and *NonCog* polygenic scores and a set of control variables (sex, 10
493 principal components derived from the genetic data and, for cohorts in which these quantities
494 varied, genotyping chip and age), using Stata 14 for WLS, Stata 15 for E-Risk and the
495 Dunedin Study, and R (versions 3.4.3 and newer) for NTR, AddHealth, and the Texas Twin

496 Project. In cohorts containing related individuals, non-independence of observations from
497 relatives were accounted for using ~~mixed linear models (MLM)~~, generalized estimation
498 equations (GEE), or by clustering of standard errors at the family level. We used a random
499 effects meta-analysis to aggregate the results across the cohorts. This analysis allows a
500 cohort-specific random intercept. Individual cohort results are in **Supplementary Table 11**
501 and meta-analytic estimates in **Supplementary Table 12**.

502

503 **Biological annotation**

504 **Enrichment of tissue-specific gene expression.** We used gene-sets defined in
505 Finucane et al. 2018¹⁰¹ to test for the enrichment of genes specifically expressed in one of 53
506 GTEx tissues⁷⁰, or 152 tissues captured by the Franke et al. aggregation of RNA-seq
507 studies^{71,72}. This analysis seeks to confirm the role of brain tissues in mediating *Cog* and
508 *NonCog* influences on educational attainment. The exact analysis pipeline used is available
509 online (<https://github.com/bulik/ldsc/wiki/Cell-type-specific-analyses>).

510 **Enrichment of cell-type specific expression.** We leveraged single cell RNA
511 sequencing (scRNA-seq) data of cells sampled from the mouse nervous system⁷⁵ to identify
512 cell-type specific RNA expression. Zeisel et al.⁷⁵ sequenced cells obtained from 19 regions in
513 the contiguous anatomical regions in the peripheral sensory, enteric, and sympathetic nervous
514 system. After initial QC, Zeisel et al. retained 492,949 cells, which were sampled down to
515 160,796 high quality cells. These cells were further grouped into clusters representing 265
516 broad cell-types. We analyzed the dataset published by Zeisel et al. containing mean
517 transcript counts for all genes with count >1 for each of the 265 clusters (**Supplementary**
518 **Table 17**). We restricted analysis to genes with expression levels above the 25th percentile.
519 For each gene in each cell-type, we computed the cell-type specific proportion of reads for
520 the gene (normalizing the expression within cell-type). We then computed the proportion of

521 proportions over the 265 cell-types (computing the specificity of the gene to a specific cell-
522 type). We ranked the 12,119 genes retained in terms of specificity to each cell-type and then
523 retained the 10% of genes most specific to a cell-type as the “cell-type specific” gene-set. We
524 then tested whether any of the 265 cell-type specific gene-sets were enriched in the *Cog* or
525 *NonCog* GWAS. This analysis sought to identify specific cell-types and specific regions in
526 the brain involved in the etiology of *Cog* and *NonCog*. We further computed the difference in
527 enrichment for *Cog* and *NonCog* to test if any cell types were specific to either trait. For these
528 analyses, we leveraged two widely used enrichment analysis tools: MAGMA⁷³ and stratified
529 LD score regression⁷⁴ with the European reference panel from 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3
530 as SNP location and LD structure reference, Gencode release 19 as gene location reference
531 and the human-mouse homology reference from MGI
532 (http://www.informatics.jax.org/downloads/reports/HOM_MouseHumanSequence.rpt).

533 **MAGMA.** We used MAGMA (v1.07b⁷³), a program for gene-set analysis based on
534 GWAS summary statistics. We computed gene-level association statistics using a window of
535 10kb around the gene for both *Cog* and *NonCog*. We then used MAGMA to run a
536 competitive gene-set analysis, using the gene p-values and gene correlation matrix (reflecting
537 LD structure) produced in the gene-level analysis. The competitive gene-set analysis tests
538 whether the genes within the cell-type-specific gene-set described above are more strongly
539 associated with *Cog/NonCog* than other genes.

540 **Stratified LDscore regression.** We used LD-score regression to compute LD scores
541 for the SNPs in each of our “cell-type specific” gene-sets. Parallel to MAGMA analysis, we
542 added a 10kb window around each gene. We ran partitioned LD-score regression to compute
543 the contribution of each gene-set to the heritability of *Cog* and *NonCog*. To guard against
544 inflation, we use LD score best practices, and include the LD score baseline model

545 (baselineLD.v2.2) in the analysis. We judged the statistical significance of the enrichment
546 based on the p-value associated with the tau coefficient.

547 **Difference in enrichment between *Cog* and *NonCog*.** To compute differences in
548 enrichment we compute a standardized difference between the per-annotation enrichment for
549 *Cog* and *NonCog* as:

550

$$551 \quad Z_{diff} = \frac{e_{Cog} - e_{NonCog}}{\sqrt{se_{Cog}^2 + se_{NonCog}^2 - 2 * CTI * se_{Cog} * se_{NonCog}}} \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

552

553 Where e_{Cog} is the enrichment of a particular gene-set for *Cog*, e_{NonCog} is the enrichment for
554 the same gene-set for *NonCog*, se_{Cog} is the standard error of the enrichment for *Cog*,
555 se_{NonCog} is the standard error of the enrichment for *NonCog*, and CTI is the LD score cross-
556 trait intercept, a metric of dependence between the GWASs of *Cog* and *NonCog*.

557 We investigated the significance of the difference between *Cog* and *NonCog* tau coefficient
558 with Equation 1 as well as by computing jackknifed standard errors. From the jackknifed
559 estimates of the coefficient output by the LDSC software, we computed the jackknifed
560 estimates and standard errors of the difference between *Cog* and *NonCog* tau coefficients, as
561 well as a z-statistic for each annotation.

562 **Enrichment of gene expression in the brain.** We performed a transcriptome-wide
563 association study (TWAS) using Gusev et al.⁷⁶ (FUSION:
564 <http://gusevlab.org/projects/fusion/>). We used pre-computed brain-gene-expression weights
565 available on the FUSION website, generated from 452 human individuals as part of the
566 CommonMind Consortium. We then superimposed the bivariate distribution of the results of
567 the TWAS for *Cog* and *NonCog* over the bivariate distribution expected given the sample
568 overlap between EA and CP (the GWAS on which our GWAS of *Cog* and *NonCog* are based,
569 see **Supplementary Note**).

570

571 **Brain modalities**

572 **Brain volumes.** We conducted genetic correlation analysis of brain volumes using
573 GWAS results published by Zhao et al.⁷⁹. Zhao et al. performed GWAS of total brain volume
574 and 100 regional brain volumes, including 99 gray matter volumes and total white matter
575 volume (**Supplementary Table 21**). Analyses included covariate adjustment for sex, age,
576 their square interaction and 20 principle components. Analyses of regional brain volumes
577 additionally included covariate adjustment for total brain volume. GWAS summary statistics
578 for these 101 brain volumes were obtained from [https://med.sites.unc.edu/big2/data/gwas-](https://med.sites.unc.edu/big2/data/gwas-summary-statistics/)
579 [summary-statistics/](https://med.sites.unc.edu/big2/data/gwas-summary-statistics/). Summary statistics were filtered and pre-processed using Genomic
580 SEM's "munge" function, retaining all HapMap3 SNPs with allele frequency >.01 outside
581 the MHC region. We used Genomic-SEM to compute the genetic correlations between *Cog*,
582 *NonCog* and brain volumes. Analyses of regional volumes controlled for total brain volume.
583 For each volume, we tested if correlations differed between *Cog* and *NonCog*. Specifically,
584 we used a chi-squared test to evaluate the null hypothesis that the two genetic correlations
585 were equal. We used FDR adjustment to correct for multiple testing. The FDR adjustment is
586 applied to the results for all gray matter volumes for *Cog* and *NonCog* separately.

587 **White matter structures.** We conducted genetic-correlation analysis of white-matter
588 structures using GWAS results published by Zhao et al.⁸⁰. Zhao et al. performed GWAS of
589 diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) measures of the integrity of white-matter tracts. DTI
590 parameters were derived for fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), axial
591 diffusivity (AD), radial diffusivity (RD), and mode of anisotropy (MO). Each of these
592 parameters were measured for 22 white matter tracts of interests (**Supplementary Table 22**)
593 resulting in 110 GWAS. GWAS summary statistics for these 110 GWAS were obtained from
594 <https://med.sites.unc.edu/big2/data/gwas-summary-statistics/>. Summary statistics were

595 filtered and processed using Genomic SEM's "munge" function; retaining all HapMap3
596 SNPs with allele frequency $>.01$ outside the MHC region. For each white matter structure, we
597 tested if genetic correlations differed between *Cog* and *NonCog*. Specifically, we used a chi-
598 squared test to evaluate the null hypothesis that the two genetic correlations were equal. We
599 used FDR adjustment to correct for multiple testing. As these different diffusion parameters
600 are statistically and logically interdependent, having been derived from the same tensor, FDR
601 adjustment was applied to the results for each type of white matter diffusion parameter
602 separately. FDR correction was applied separately for *Cog* and *NonCog*.

603

604 **Additional Resources**

605 A FAQ on why, how and what we studied is available here:

606 [https://medium.com/@kph3k/investigating-the-genetic-architecture-of-non-cognitive-skills-
607 using-gwas-by-subtraction-b8743773ce44](https://medium.com/@kph3k/investigating-the-genetic-architecture-of-non-cognitive-skills-using-gwas-by-subtraction-b8743773ce44)

608 A tutorial on how to perform GWAS-by-subtraction: <http://rpubs.com/MichelNivard/565885>

609 Additional resources to Genomic SEM software:

610 - A wiki including numerous tutorials:

611 <https://github.com/MichelNivard/GenomicSEM/wiki>

612 - A Genomic SEM user group for specific questions relating to models and

613 software: <https://groups.google.com/g/genomic-sem-users>

614 - A venue to report technical issues:

615 <https://github.com/MichelNivard/GenomicSEM/issues>

616

617 **Code availability**

618 Code used to run the analyses is available at: [https://github.com/PerlineDemange/non-
619 cognitive](https://github.com/PerlineDemange/non-cognitive)

620 A tutorial on how to perform GWAS-by-subtraction: <http://rpubs.com/MichelNivard/565885>

621 All additional software used to perform these analyses are available online.

622

623 **Data Availability**

624 GWAS summary data for *NonCog* & *Cog* (excluding 23andMe) have been deposited in the

625 GWAS Catalog with accession numbers GCST90011874 and GCST90011875 respectively

626 (*NonCog* GWAS: ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/gwas/summary_statistics/GCST90011874,

627 *Cog* GWAS: ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/gwas/summary_statistics/GCST90011875)

628

629 For 23AndMe dataset access, see <https://research.23andme.com/dataset-access/>.

630 Part of the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health) data is

631 publicly available and can be downloaded at the following link:

632 <https://data.cpc.unc.edu/projects/2/view#public> li. For restricted access data, details of the

633 data sharing agreement and data access requirements can be found at the following link:

634 <https://data.cpc.unc.edu/projects/2/view>

635 The Dunedin study datasets reported in the current article are not publicly available due to lack

636 of informed consent and ethical approval, but are available on request by qualified scientists.

637 Requests require a concept paper describing the purpose of data access, ethical approval at the

638 applicant's university, and provision for secure data access. We offer secure access on the

639 Duke, Otago and King's College campuses. All data analysis scripts and results files are

640 available for review. <https://moffittcaspi.trinity.duke.edu/research-topics/dunedin>

641 The E-Risk Longitudinal Twin Study datasets reported in the current article are not publicly

642 available due to lack of informed consent and ethical approval, but are available on request by

643 qualified scientists. Requests require a concept paper describing the purpose of data access,

644 ethical approval at the applicant's university, and provision for secure data access. We offer

645 secure access on the Duke and King's College campuses. All data analysis scripts and results
646 files are available for review. <https://moffittcaspi.trinity.duke.edu/research-topics/erisk>
647 Netherlands Twin Register data may be accessed, upon approval of the data access committee,
648 email: ntr.datamanagement.fgb@vu.nl.
649 Researchers will be able to obtain Texas Twins data through managed access. Requests for
650 managed access should be sent to Dr. Elliot Tucker-Drob (tuckerdrob@utexas.edu) and Dr.
651 Paige Harden (harden@utexas.edu), joint principal investigators of the Texas Twin Project.
652 Wisconsin Longitudinal study data can be requested following this form:
653 https://www.ssc.wisc.edu/wlsresearch/data/Request_Genetic_Data_28_June_2017.pdf
654

655 **References**

- 656 1. Moffitt, T. E. *et al.* A gradient of childhood self-control predicts health, wealth, and public
657 safety. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108**, 2693–2698 (2011).
- 658 2. von Stumm, S., Hell, B. & Chamorro-Premuzic, T. The Hungry Mind: Intellectual
659 Curiosity Is the Third Pillar of Academic Performance. *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.* **6**, 574–588
660 (2011).
- 661 3. Tucker-Drob, E. M., Briley, D. A., Engelhardt, L. E., Mann, F. D. & Harden, K. P.
662 Genetically-mediated associations between measures of childhood character and academic
663 achievement. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **111**, 790–815 (2016).
- 664 4. Heckman, J. J., Stixrud, J. & Urzua, S. The Effects of Cognitive and Noncognitive Abilities
665 on Labor Market Outcomes and Social Behavior. *J. Labor Econ.* **24**, 411–482 (2006).
- 666 5. Heckman, J. J., Moon, S. H., Pinto, R., Savelyev, P. A. & Yavitz, A. The rate of return to
667 the HighScope Perry Preschool Program. *J. Public Econ.* **94**, 114–128 (2010).
- 668 6. Conti, G., Heckman, J. J. & Pinto, R. The Effects of Two Influential Early Childhood
669 Interventions on Health and Healthy Behaviour. *Econ. J.* **126**, F28–F65 (2016).
- 670 7. Gutman, L. M. & Schoon, I. The impact of non-cognitive skills on outcomes for young
671 people. *Educ. Endow. Found.* **59**, 2019 (2013).
- 672 8. Garcia, E. *The Need to Address Noncognitive Skills in the Education Policy Agenda.*
673 [https://www.epi.org/publication/the-need-to-address-noncognitive-skills-in-the-](https://www.epi.org/publication/the-need-to-address-noncognitive-skills-in-the-education-policy-agenda/)
674 [education-policy-agenda/](https://www.epi.org/publication/the-need-to-address-noncognitive-skills-in-the-education-policy-agenda/) (2014).
- 675 9. Kautz, T., Heckman, J. J., Diris, R., Ter Weel, B. & Borghans, L. Fostering and Measuring
676 Skills: Improving Cognitive and Non-Cognitive Skills to Promote Lifetime Success.”
677 OECD Education Working Papers, No. 110, OECD Publishing, Paris. (2014).
- 678 10. Heckman, J. J. Skill Formation and the Economics of Investing in Disadvantaged Children.
679 *LIFE CYCLES* **312**, 4 (2006).

- 680 11. Heckman, J. J. & Kautz, T. Hard evidence on soft skills. *Labour Econ.* **19**, 451–464 (2012).
- 681 12. Rimfeld, K., Kovas, Y., Dale, P. S. & Plomin, R. True grit and genetics: Predicting
682 academic achievement from personality. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **111**, 780–789 (2016).
- 683 13. Richardson, M., Abraham, C. & Bond, R. Psychological correlates of university students’
684 academic performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol. Bull.* **138**, 353–
685 387 (2012).
- 686 14. Smithers, L. G. *et al.* A systematic review and meta-analysis of effects of early life non-
687 cognitive skills on academic, psychosocial, cognitive and health outcomes. *Nat. Hum.*
688 *Behav.* **2**, 867–880 (2018).
- 689 15. Kovas, Y. *et al.* Why children differ in motivation to learn: Insights from over 13,000 twins
690 from 6 countries. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* **80**, 51–63 (2015).
- 691 16. Loehlin, J. C. *Genes and environment in personality development.* (Sage Publications,
692 1992).
- 693 17. Tucker-Drob, E. M. & Harden, K. P. Learning motivation mediates gene-by-
694 socioeconomic status interaction on mathematics achievement in early childhood. *Learn.*
695 *Individ. Differ.* **22**, 37–45 (2012).
- 696 18. Malanchini, M., Engelhardt, L. E., Grotzinger, A. D., Harden, K. P. & Tucker-Drob, E. M.
697 “Same but different”: Associations between multiple aspects of self-regulation, cognition,
698 and academic abilities. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **117**, 1164–1188 (2019).
- 699 19. Morris, T. T., Smith, G. D., van Den Berg, G. & Davies, N. M. *Investigating the*
700 *longitudinal consistency and genetic architecture of non-cognitive skills, and their relation*
701 *to educational attainment.* <http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/470682> (2018)
702 doi:10.1101/470682.
- 703 20. Liu, J. Z., Erlich, Y. & Pickrell, J. K. Case–control association mapping by proxy using
704 family history of disease. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 325–331 (2017).

- 705 21. Bowles, S. & Gintis, H. *Schooling In Capitalist America: Educational Reform And The*
706 *Contradictions Of Economic Life*. (Basic Books, 1977).
- 707 22. Heckman, J. J. & Rubinstein, Y. The Importance of Noncognitive Skills: Lessons from the
708 GED Testing Program. *Am. Econ. Rev.* **91**, 145–149 (2001).
- 709 23. Ackerman, P. L., Kanfer, R. & Goff, M. Cognitive and noncognitive determinants and
710 consequences of complex skill acquisition. *J. Exp. Psychol. Appl.* **1**, 270–304 (1995).
- 711 24. Grotzinger, A. D. *et al.* Genomic structural equation modelling provides insights into the
712 multivariate genetic architecture of complex traits. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* **3**, 513 (2019).
- 713 25. Lee, J. J. *et al.* Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association
714 study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1112–1121
715 (2018).
- 716 26. Belsky, D. W. & Harden, K. P. Phenotypic Annotation: Using Polygenic Scores to
717 Translate Discoveries From Genome-Wide Association Studies From the Top Down. *Curr.*
718 *Dir. Psychol. Sci.* **28**, 82–90 (2019).
- 719 27. Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from
720 polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 291–295 (2015).
- 721 28. Ritchie, S. J. & Tucker-Drob, E. M. How Much Does Education Improve Intelligence? A
722 Meta-Analysis. *Psychol. Sci.* **29**, 1358–1369 (2018).
- 723 29. Ligthart, L. *et al.* The Netherlands Twin Register: Longitudinal Research Based on Twin
724 and Twin-Family Designs. *Twin Res. Hum. Genet.* 1–14 (2019) doi:10.1017/thg.2019.93.
- 725 30. Harris, K. M. *et al.* Cohort Profile: The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult
726 Health (Add Health). *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **48**, 1415–1415k (2019).
- 727 31. Poulton, R., Moffitt, T. E. & Silva, P. A. The Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and
728 Development Study: overview of the first 40 years, with an eye to the future. *Soc.*
729 *Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **50**, 679–693 (2015).

- 730 32. Moffitt, T. E. & E-risk Team. Teen-aged mothers in contemporary Britain. *J. Child*
731 *Psychol. Psychiatry* **43**, 727–742 (2002).
- 732 33. Herd, P., Carr, D. & Roan, C. Cohort profile: Wisconsin longitudinal study (WLS). *Int. J.*
733 *Epidemiol.* **43**, 34–41 (2014).
- 734 34. Harden, K. P., Tucker-Drob, E. M. & Tackett, J. L. The Texas Twin Project. *Twin Res.*
735 *Hum. Genet.* **16**, 385–390 (2013).
- 736 35. Benyamin, B. *et al.* Childhood intelligence is heritable, highly polygenic and associated
737 with FBNP1L. *Mol. Psychiatry* **19**, 253–258 (2014).
- 738 36. Chetty, R. *et al.* The Association Between Income and Life Expectancy in the United
739 States, 2001-2014. *JAMA* **315**, 1750 (2016).
- 740 37. Case, A. & Deaton, A. Mortality and Morbidity in the 21st Century. *Brook. Pap. Econ.*
741 *Act.* **2017**, 397–476 (2017).
- 742 38. Hill, W. D. *et al.* Molecular Genetic Contributions to Social Deprivation and Household
743 Income in UK Biobank. *Curr. Biol.* **26**, 3083–3089 (2016).
- 744 39. Timmers, P. R. *et al.* Genomics of 1 million parent lifespans implicates novel pathways
745 and common diseases and distinguishes survival chances. *eLife* **8**, e39856 (2019).
- 746 40. Almlund, M., Duckworth, A. L., Heckman, J. & Kautz, T. Personality Psychology and
747 Economics. in *Handbook of the Economics of Education* vol. 4 1–181 (Elsevier, 2011).
- 748 41. Borghans, L., Duckworth, A. L., Heckman, J. J. & Weel, B. ter. The Economics and
749 Psychology of Personality Traits. *J. Hum. Resour.* **43**, 972–1059 (2008).
- 750 42. Rabin, M. A perspective on psychology and economics. *Eur. Econ. Rev.* **29** (2002).
- 751 43. Becker, A., Deckers, T., Dohmen, T., Falk, A. & Kosse, F. The Relationship Between
752 Economic Preferences and Psychological Personality Measures. *Annu. Rev. Econ.* **4**, 453–
753 478 (2012).

- 754 44. Linnér, R. K. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses of risk tolerance and risky behaviors
755 in over 1 million individuals identify hundreds of loci and shared genetic influences. *Nat.*
756 *Genet.* **51**, 245–257 (2019).
- 757 45. Sanchez-Roige, S. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of delay discounting in 23,217
758 adult research participants of European ancestry. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 16–18 (2018).
- 759 46. Yengo, L. *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for height and body
760 mass index in ~700000 individuals of European ancestry. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **27**, 3641–
761 3649 (2018).
- 762 47. Furberg, H., Kim, Y., Dackor, J. & Boerwinckle, E. Genome-wide meta-analyses identify
763 multiple loci associated with smoking behavior. *Nat. Genet.* **42**, 441–447 (2010).
- 764 48. Walters, R. K. *et al.* Transancestral GWAS of alcohol dependence reveals common genetic
765 underpinnings with psychiatric disorders. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 1656–1669 (2018).
- 766 49. Schumann, G. *et al.* KLB is associated with alcohol drinking, and its gene product β -Klotho
767 is necessary for FGF21 regulation of alcohol preference. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **113**,
768 14372–14377 (2016).
- 769 50. Pasma, J. A. *et al.* GWAS of lifetime cannabis use reveals new risk loci, genetic overlap
770 with psychiatric traits, and a causal effect of schizophrenia liability. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**,
771 1161–1170 (2018).
- 772 51. Linnér, R. K. *et al.* Multivariate genomic analysis of 1.5 million people identifies genes
773 related to addiction, antisocial behavior, and health. *bioRxiv* 2020.10.16.342501 (2020)
774 doi:10.1101/2020.10.16.342501.
- 775 52. Barban, N. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis identifies 12 loci influencing human reproductive
776 behavior. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1462–1472 (2016).
- 777 53. Lo, M.-T. *et al.* Genome-wide analyses for personality traits identify six genomic loci and
778 show correlations with psychiatric disorders. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 152–156 (2017).

- 779 54. John, O. P., Naumann, L. P. & Soto, C. J. Paradigm shift to the integrative Big Five Trait
780 taxonomy. *Handb. Personal. Theory Res.* 114–158 (2008) doi:10.1016/S0191-
781 8869(97)81000-8.
- 782 55. de Moor, M. H. M. *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for personality.
783 *Mol. Psychiatry* **17**, 337–349 (2012).
- 784 56. Caspi, A., Roberts, B. W. & Shiner, R. L. Personality development: stability and change.
785 *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* **56**, 453–484 (2005).
- 786 57. Kessler, R. C. *et al.* Social consequences of psychiatric disorders, I: educational attainment.
787 *Am. J. Psychiatry* 1026–1032 (1995).
- 788 58. Breslau, J., Lane, M., Sampson, N. & Kessler, R. C. Mental Disorders and Subsequent
789 Educational Attainment in a US National Sample. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **42**, 708–716 (2008).
- 790 59. Power, R. A. *et al.* Polygenic risk scores for schizophrenia and bipolar disorder predict
791 creativity. *Nat. Neurosci.* **18**, 953–955 (2015).
- 792 60. Bansal, V. *et al.* Genome-wide association study results for educational attainment aid in
793 identifying genetic heterogeneity of schizophrenia. *Nat. Commun. Lond.* **9**, 1–12 (2018).
- 794 61. Wray, N. R. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine
795 the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 668–681 (2018).
- 796 62. Ruderfer, D. M. *et al.* Genomic Dissection of Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia,
797 Including 28 Subphenotypes. *Cell* **173**, 1705-1715.e16 (2018).
- 798 63. Jansen, P. R. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of insomnia in 1,331,010 individuals identifies
799 new risk loci and functional pathways. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 394–403 (2019).
- 800 64. Duncan, L. *et al.* Significant Locus and Metabolic Genetic Correlations Revealed in
801 Genome-Wide Association Study of Anorexia Nervosa. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **174**, 850–858
802 (2017).

- 803 65. Grove, J. *et al.* Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder.
804 *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 431–444 (2019).
- 805 66. Arnold, P. D. *et al.* Revealing the complex genetic architecture of obsessive–compulsive
806 disorder using meta-analysis. *Mol. Psychiatry* **23**, 1181–1188 (2018).
- 807 67. Ripke, S. *et al.* Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature*
808 **511**, 421–427 (2014).
- 809 68. Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* An Atlas of Genetic Correlations across Human Diseases and
810 Traits. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 1236–1241 (2015).
- 811 69. Nieuwboer, H. A., Pool, R., Dolan, C. V., Boomsma, D. I. & Nivard, M. G. GWIS:
812 Genome-Wide Inferred Statistics for Functions of Multiple Phenotypes. *Am. J. Hum.*
813 *Genet.* **99**, 917–927 (2016).
- 814 70. The GTEx Consortium *et al.* The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis:
815 Multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science* **348**, 648–660 (2015).
- 816 71. Pers, T. H. *et al.* Biological interpretation of genome-wide association studies using
817 predicted gene functions. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 5890 (2015).
- 818 72. Fehrmann, R. S. N. *et al.* Gene expression analysis identifies global gene dosage sensitivity
819 in cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 115–125 (2015).
- 820 73. de Leeuw, C. A., Mooij, J. M., Heskes, T. & Posthuma, D. MAGMA: Generalized Gene-
821 Set Analysis of GWAS Data. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **11**, 1–19 (2015).
- 822 74. Finucane, H. K. *et al.* Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide
823 association summary statistics. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 1228–1235 (2015).
- 824 75. Zeisel, A. *et al.* Molecular Architecture of the Mouse Nervous System. *Cell* **174**, 999-
825 1014.e22 (2018).
- 826 76. Gusev, A. *et al.* Integrative approaches for large-scale transcriptome-wide association
827 studies. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 245–252 (2016).

- 828 77. Nave, G., Jung, W. H., Karlsson Linnér, R., Kable, J. W. & Koellinger, P. D. Are Bigger
829 Brains Smarter? Evidence From a Large-Scale Preregistered Study. *Psychol. Sci.* **30**, 43–
830 54 (2019).
- 831 78. Elliott, M. L. *et al.* A Polygenic Score for Higher Educational Attainment is Associated
832 with Larger Brains. *Cereb. Cortex* **29**, 3496–3504 (2019).
- 833 79. Zhao, B. *et al.* GWAS of 19,629 individuals identifies novel genetic variants for regional
834 brain volumes and refines their genetic co-architecture with cognitive and mental health
835 traits. *bioRxiv* 586339 (2019) doi:10.1101/586339.
- 836 80. Zhao, B. *et al.* Large-scale GWAS reveals genetic architecture of brain white matter
837 microstructure and genetic overlap with cognitive and mental health traits (n=17,706).
838 *bioRxiv* 288555 (2019) doi:10.1101/288555.
- 839 81. Haushofer, J. & Fehr, E. On the psychology of poverty. *Science* **344**, 862–867 (2014).
- 840 82. Briley, D. A., Domiteaux, M. & Tucker-Drob, E. M. Achievement-relevant personality:
841 Relations with the Big Five and validation of an efficient instrument. *Learn. Individ. Differ.*
842 **32**, 26–39 (2014).
- 843 83. Smoller, J. W. *et al.* Psychiatric genetics and the structure of psychopathology. *Mol.*
844 *Psychiatry* **24**, 409–420 (2019).
- 845 84. Plomin, R., Haworth, C. M. A. & Davis, O. S. P. Common disorders are quantitative traits.
846 *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **10**, 872–878 (2009).
- 847 85. Meehl, P. E. Schizotaxia, schizotypy, schizophrenia. *Am. Psychol.* **17**, 827–838 (1962).
- 848 86. von Stumm, S. & Ackerman, P. L. Investment and intellect: A review and meta-analysis.
849 *Psychol. Bull.* **139**, 841–869 (2013).
- 850 87. Tucker-Drob, E. M. & Harden, K. P. A Behavioral Genetic Perspective on Non-Cognitive
851 Factors and Academic Achievement. in *Genetics, Ethics and Education* (eds. Grigorenko,

- 852 E. L., Tan, M., Latham, S. R. & Bouregy, S.) 134–158 (Cambridge University Press, 2017).
853 doi:10.1017/9781316340301.007.
- 854 88. Tucker-Drob, E. M. Motivational factors as mechanisms of gene-environment transactions
855 in cognitive development and academic achievement. in *Handbook of competence and*
856 *motivation: Theory and application, 2nd ed.* 471–486 (The Guilford Press, 2017).
- 857 89. Tucker-Drob, E. M. & Harden, K. P. Intellectual Interest Mediates Gene \times Socioeconomic
858 Status Interaction on Adolescent Academic Achievement: Intellectual Interest and G \times E.
859 *Child Dev.* no-no (2012) doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2011.01721.x.
- 860 90. Malanchini, M. *et al.* Reading self-perceived ability, enjoyment and achievement: A
861 genetically informative study of their reciprocal links over time. *Dev. Psychol.* **53**, 698–
862 712 (2017).
- 863 91. Westfall, J. & Yarkoni, T. Statistically Controlling for Confounding Constructs Is Harder
864 than You Think. *PLOS ONE* **11**, e0152719 (2016).
- 865 92. de la Fuente, J., Davies, G., Grotzinger, A. D., Tucker-Drob, E. M. & Deary, I. J. *Genetic*
866 *“General Intelligence,” Objectively Determined and Measured.*
867 <http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/766600> (2019) doi:10.1101/766600.
- 868 93. Tucker-Drob, E. M. & Briley, D. A. Continuity of genetic and environmental influences
869 on cognition across the life span: A meta-analysis of longitudinal twin and adoption
870 studies. *Psychol. Bull.* **140**, 949–979 (2014).
- 871 94. Tropf, F. C. *et al.* Hidden heritability due to heterogeneity across seven populations. *Nat.*
872 *Hum. Behav.* **1**, 757–765 (2017).
- 873 95. Duncan, L. *et al.* Analysis of polygenic risk score usage and performance in diverse human
874 populations. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 3328 (2019).
- 875 96. Martin, A. R. *et al.* Human Demographic History Impacts Genetic Risk Prediction across
876 Diverse Populations. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **100**, 635–649 (2017).

- 877 97. Willer, C. J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G. R. METAL: Fast and efficient meta-analysis of
878 genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2190–2191 (2010).
- 879 98. Willemsen, G. *et al.* The Adult Netherlands Twin Register: Twenty-Five Years of Survey
880 and Biological Data Collection. *Twin Res. Hum. Genet.* **16**, 271–281 (2013).
- 881 99. Highland, H. M., Avery, C. L., Duan, Q., Li, Y. & Harris, K. M. *Quality control analysis*
882 *of Add Health GWAS data.*
883 https://www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/addhealth/documentation/guides/AH_GWAS_QC.pdf
884 (2018).
- 885 100. Vilhjálmsón, B. J. *et al.* Modeling Linkage Disequilibrium Increases Accuracy of
886 Polygenic Risk Scores. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **97**, 576–592 (2015).
- 887 101. Finucane, H. K. *et al.* Heritability enrichment of specifically expressed genes identifies
888 disease-relevant tissues and cell types. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 621–629 (2018).
- 889 102. Klein, A. & Tourville, J. 101 Labeled Brain Images and a Consistent Human Cortical
890 Labeling Protocol. *Front. Neurosci.* **6**, 171 (2012).
- 891 103. Klein, A. Mindboggle-101 manually labeled individual brains. (2016)
892 doi:10.7910/DVN/HMQKCK.
- 893 104. Gorgolewski, K. J. *et al.* NeuroVault.org: a web-based repository for collecting and
894 sharing unthresholded statistical maps of the human brain. *Front. Neuroinformatics* **9**, 8
895 (2015).

896

897 **Acknowledgements**

898 This study was developed with support from the Jacobs Foundation at a meeting organized
899 by DWB and KPH with support from EMTD and CM and also attended by coauthors PB,
900 BWD, and JW. We gratefully acknowledge contributions to the meeting from Katrin Mannik
901 and Felix Tropf, and the Jacobs Foundation Fellowship team who made the meeting possible.

902 DWB, KPH, MGN, EMTD, CM are fellows of the Foundation. JW is a Jacobs Foundation
903 Young Scholar.

904 This study used GWAS summary statistics published by the Social Science Genetic
905 Association Consortium (SSGAC) and additional data obtained from 23andMe. We thank the
906 research participants and employees of 23andMe for making this work possible. We thank
907 the SSGAC and COGENT consortia for sharing their summary statistics of the GWASs of
908 educational attainment and cognitive performance, especially Dr. Aysu Okbay for her quick
909 and repeated help with providing these data. This study used data from the Netherlands Twin
910 Register (NTR), the Texas Twin Study, the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to
911 Adult Health (Add Health), the Dunedin Longitudinal Study, the E-Risk Study, and the
912 Wisconsin Longitudinal Study (WLS).

913 NTR is supported by: ‘Twin-family database for behavior genetics and genomics
914 studies’ (NWO 480-04-004), Longitudinal data collection from teachers of Dutch twins and
915 their siblings (NWO-481-08-011); Twin-family study of individual differences in school
916 achievement (NWO 056-32-010) and Gravitation program of the Dutch Ministry of
917 Education, Culture and Science and the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research
918 (NWO 0240-001-003); NWO Groot (480-15-001/674): Netherlands Twin Registry
919 Repository: researching the interplay between genome and environment; NWO- Spi-56-464-
920 14192 Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure (BBMRI – NL,
921 184.021.007 and 184.033.111); European Research Council (ERC-230374); the Avera
922 Institute for Human Genetics, Sioux Falls, South Dakota (USA) and the National Institutes of
923 Health (NIH, R01D0042157-01A); the NIMH Grand Opportunity grants (1RC2MH089951-
924 01 and 1RC2 MH089995-01). The Texas Twin Project is supported by Eunice Kennedy
925 Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development grants R01HD083613
926 and R01HD092548. Add Health is supported by Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute

927 of Child Health and Human Development grant P01HD31921, and GWAS grants
928 R01HD073342 and R01HD060726, with cooperative funding from 23 other federal agencies
929 and foundations. The Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Study is supported
930 by the NZ HRC, NZ MBIE, National Institute on Aging grant R01AG032282, and UK
931 Medical Research Council grant MR/P005918/1. The E-Risk Study is supported by the UK
932 Medical Research Council grant G1002190 and Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of
933 Child Health and Human Development grant R01HD077482. The Wisconsin Longitudinal
934 Study is supported by National Institute on Aging grants R01AG041868 and P30AG017266.

935 Some of the work used a high-performance computing facility partially supported by
936 grant 2016-IDG-1013 from the North Carolina Biotechnology Center. The Population
937 Research Center at the University of Texas at Austin is supported by NIH grant
938 P2CHD042849.

939 PAD is supported by the grant 531003014 from The Netherlands Organisation for
940 Health Research and Development (ZonMW). PB is supported by the NORFACE-DIAL
941 grant number 462-16-100. SRC is supported by the UK Medical Research Council grant
942 MR/R024065/1 and NIH grant R01AG054628. EMTD is supported by NIH grants
943 R01AG054628 and R01HD083613. AA is supported by the Foundation Volksbond
944 Rotterdam and by ZonMw grant 849200011. EvB is supported by NWO VENI grant 451-15-
945 017. DIB acknowledges the Royal Netherlands Academy of Science (KNAW) Professor
946 Award (PAH/6635). BWD is supported by award # 96-17-04 from the Russell Sage
947 Foundation and the Ford Foundation. HFI was supported by the "Aggression in Children:
948 Unraveling gene-environment interplay to inform Treatment and InterventiON strategies"
949 project (ACTION). ACTION received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework
950 Program (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no 602768. JW is supported by a
951 postdoctoral fellowship by the AXA Research Fund. DWB is a fellow of the Canadian

952 Institute for Advanced Research Child Brain Development Network. KPH and EMTD are
953 Faculty Research Associates of the Population Research Center at the University of Texas at
954 Austin, which is supported by grant, 5-R24-HD042849, from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver
955 National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD). MGN is supported by
956 ZonMW grants 849200011 and 531003014 from The Netherlands Organisation for Health
957 Research and Development, a VENI grant awarded by NWO (VI.Veni.191G.030), and NIH
958 grant R01MH120219.

959

960 **Author Contributions**

961 **Conceived and designed the experiment:** D.W.B., K.P.H., M.G.N., P.D., M.M. conceived
962 the idea for the study with assistance from E.M.T-D., B.W.D., P.B, C.M., J.W. **Analyzed the**
963 **data:** P.D., M.M., T.T.M., P.B., B.W.D., D.W.B., D.C., K.S., S.R.C., M.G.N., A.A., H.I.
964 **Wrote the paper:** D.W.B., K.P.H., M.G.N., M.M., P.D., E.M.T-D. with helpful contributions
965 from P.B., B.W.D., S.R.C. All authors contributed to interpretation of data, provided critical
966 feedback on manuscript drafts and approved the final draft.

967

968 **Competing Interests**

969 The authors declare no competing interests.

970

971 **Figure legends**

972

973 **Figure 1. GWAS-by-subtraction Genomic-SEM model**

974 Cholesky model as fitted in Genomic SEM, with path estimates for a single SNP included as
975 illustration. SNP, Cognitive performance (CP) and Educational attainment (EA) are observed
976 variables based on GWAS summary statistics. The genetic covariance between CP and EA is
977 estimated based on GWAS summary statistics for CP and EA. The model is fitted to a 3x3
978 observed variance-covariance matrix (i.e. SNP, CP, EA). Cog and Non-Cog are latent
979 (unobserved) variables. The covariances between CP and EA and between Cog and NonCog
980 are fixed to 0. The variance of the SNP is fixed to the value of $2pq$ (p = reference allele
981 frequency, q = alternative allele frequency, based on 1000 Genomes phase 3). The residual
982 variances of CP and EA are fixed to 0, so that all variance is explained by the latent factors.
983 The variances of the latent factors are fixed to 1. The observed variables CP and EA were
984 regressed on the latent variables resulting in the estimates for the path loadings: λ_{Cog}

985 CP=.4465; $\lambda_{\text{Cog-EA}}=.2237$; $\lambda_{\text{NonCog-EA}}=.2565$. The latent variables were then regressed on
986 each SNP that met QC criteria.

987
988

989 **Figure 2. Manhattan plot of SNP associations with *NonCog***

990 Plot of the $-\log_{10}(p\text{-value})$ associated with the Wald test (two-sided) of β_{NonCog} for all SNPs,
991 ordered by chromosome and base position. Purple triangles indicate genome-wide significant
992 ($p < 5e10^{-8}$) and independent (within a 250Kb window and $r^2 < .1$) associations. The red dashed
993 line marks the threshold for genome-wide significance ($P = 5 \times 10^{-8}$), and the black dashed
994 line the threshold for nominal significance ($P = 1 \times 10^{-5}$).

995
996

997 **Figure 3. Polygenic prediction and genetic correlations with IQ and educational
998 achievement**

999 **a.** Genetic correlations of *NonCog* and *Cog* with Educational Attainment, Highest Math Class
1000 Taken, Self-reported Math Ability and Childhood IQ. The dots represent genetic correlations
1001 estimated using Genomic SEM. Correlations with *NonCog* are in orange; with *Cog* in blue.
1002 Error bars represent 95% CIs. Exact estimates and p-values are reported in Supplementary
1003 Table 14. For analysis of genetic correlations with educational attainment, we re-ran the
1004 Genomic-SEM model to compute *NonCog* and *Cog* using summary statistics that omitted the
1005 23andMe sample from the educational attainment GWAS. We then used the 23andMe sample
1006 to run the GWAS of educational attainment. Thus, there is no sample overlap in this analysis.
1007 **b.** Effect-size distributions from meta-analysis of *NonCog* and *Cog* polygenic score
1008 associations with cognitive test performance and educational attainment. Outcomes were
1009 regressed simultaneously on *NonCog* and *Cog* polygenic scores. Effect-sizes entered into the
1010 meta-analysis were standardized regression coefficients interpretable as Pearson r . Exact
1011 estimates and p-values are reported in **Supplementary Table 12**. Samples and measures are
1012 detailed in **Supplementary Tables 9-10**. Traits were measured in different samples:
1013 Educational Attainment was measured in the AddHealth, Dunedin, E-Risk, NTR and WLS
1014 samples (N=24,056); Reading Achievement and Mathematics Achievement were measured in
1015 the AddHealth, NTR, and Texas-Twin samples (N=9,274 for reading achievement; N=10,747
1016 for mathematics achievement); Cognitive test performance (IQ) was measured in the Dunedin,
1017 E-Risk, NTR, Texas Twins and WLS samples (N=11,351). The densities were obtained by
1018 randomly generating normal distributions where the meta-analytic estimate was included as
1019 the mean and the meta-analytic standard error as the standard deviation.

1020

1021 **Figure 4. Estimates of genetic correlations with *NonCog*, *Cog* and Educational
1022 Attainment**

1023 Genetic correlations of *NonCog*, *Cog*, and EA with selected phenotypes. The dots represent
1024 genetic correlations estimated in Genomic SEM. Correlations with *NonCog* are in orange; with
1025 *Cog* in blue; with EA in gray. Error bars represent 95% CIs. Red stars indicate a statistically
1026 significant (FDR corrected p-value < 0.05 , two tailed test) difference in the magnitude of the
1027 correlation with *NonCog* versus *Cog*. Exact p-values for all associations are reported in
1028 **Supplementary Table 14**. The FDR correction was applied based on all genetic correlations
1029 tested (including in **Supplementary Figure 11**). The difference test is based on a chi-squared
1030 test associated with a comparison between a model constraining these two correlations to be

1031 identical, versus a model where the correlations are freely estimated. Source GWAS are listed
1032 in **Supplementary Table 13**.

1033

1034

1035 **Figure 5. Genetic correlations with regional gray matter volumes and white matter tracts**

1036 a. Cortical patterning of FDR-corrected significant genetic correlations with regional gray
1037 matter volumes for *Cog* versus *NonCog*, after correction for total brain volume. Regions of
1038 interest are plotted according to the Desikan-Killiany-Tourville atlas¹⁰², shown on a single
1039 manually-edited surface (<http://mindboggle.info>¹⁰³). Exact estimates and p-values are reported
1040 in **Supplementary Table 21**. *Cog* showed significant associations with gray matter volume for
1041 the bilateral fusiform, insula and posterior cingulate, the left superior temporal and left
1042 pericalcarine and right superior parietal volumes. *NonCog* was not associated with any of the
1043 regional brain volumes.

1044 b. White matter tract patterning of FDR-corrected significant genetic correlations with
1045 regional mode of anisotropy (MO) for *Cog* versus *NonCog*. White matter tract probability
1046 maps are plotted according to the Johns Hopkins University DTI atlas
1047 (<https://identifiers.org/neurovault.image:1401>¹⁰⁴). Exact estimates and p-values are reported
1048 in **Supplementary Table 21**. *Cog* was not associated with regional MO. *NonCog* showed
1049 significant associations with MO in the corticospinal tract, the retrolenticular limb of the
1050 internal capsule and the splenium of the corpus callosum.

1051