provided by University of East Anglia digital repositor

Ann Surg Oncol (2020) 27:3702–3703 https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-020-08525-3 Annals of

SURGICAL ONCOLOGY

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE SOCIETY OF SURGICAL ONCOLOGY



brought to you by

#### ASO AUTHOR REFLECTIONS

# ASO Author Reflections: Improved Perioperative Seroma and Complication Rates Following the Application of a Two-Layer Negative Pressure Wound Therapy System After Inguinal Lymphadenectomy for Metastatic Cutaneous Melanoma

Marc Moncrieff, MD, FRCS(Plast.)<sup>1,2</sup>, and Martin Heaton, MD, FRCS(Plast.)<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital, Norwich, UK; <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

#### **PAST**

Inguinal lymphadenectomy is the current standard of care in the management of macroscopic nodal disease, but unfortunately postoperative complications are very common, especially seroma. Seroma rates from the published literature are, on average, approximately 50%. Multiple studies have investigated interventions designed to either reduce the incidence or reduce the extent of the problem once it has become established. A recent systematic review by the Cochrane Group<sup>2</sup> identified a lack of any useful data and concluded that "... there is a need for high-quality randomized controlled trials to guide clinical practice in this under-researched area". Seromas are important because they affect patients' quality of life postoperatively, invariably cause patients to return repeatedly to the clinic over a period of many weeks for aspirations, and subsequent complications such as infection are common as a result.

### **PRESENT**

In this retrospective study,<sup>3</sup> we employed a two-layer negative pressure wound therapy system (2-LNPWT) as a method to reduce seroma rates and perioperative complications. We compared the outcomes of its use with

traditional closed suction drains (CSDs). The key finding of this study was that there was a significant association with better postoperative outcomes using the 2-LNPWPT system in terms of incidence of seroma formation (26.9% vs. 49.4%; odds ratio [OR] 3.0; p < 0.03), period of drainage (15 days vs. 20 days; p = 0.005), and return to theater rate (0% vs. 15.3%; p = 0.03). When the factors for seroma formation were analyzed, the only significant association was the type of drainage system used (2-LNPWT: 31.2% vs. CSD: 58.3%; p < 0.03; OR 3.0 [1.1–8.3]). Furthermore, once a seroma was established, it was not possible to alter the clinical course of this complication, regardless of the drainage system that was originally employed. Finally, there was no significant difference in overall, diseasespecific, or recurrence-free survival detected between the two groups.

## **FUTURE**

This study<sup>3</sup> has provided evidence that the incidence of seroma, and subsequent complications, can be significantly reduced with a 2-LNPWT system, regardless of disease burden or patient body mass index. Importantly, the data suggest that this is a safe technique in terms of disease-specific outcomes, despite previous theoretical concerns regarding promotion of tumor angiogenesis at the operation site.

The data indicate that a prospective randomized controlled trial is merited, with expansion to include other disease indications, particularly urological and gynaecological tumors. The utility of the technique in previously irradiated wounds would be of particular interest, although one would assume that the efficacy would be significantly

© The Author(s) 2020

First Received: 11 April 2020; Published Online: 27 May 2020 M. Moncrieff, MD, FRCS(Plast.) e-mail: marc.moncrieff@nnuh.nhs.uk diminished in these cases. With the current vogue towards endoscopic groin dissections,<sup>4</sup> the technique would need further modification. In any case, given the relative 'Heath Robinson' design to our system, we would encourage the commercial sector to invest in product design to improve the facility for applying and changing the dressings in both the hospital and primary care settings.

**OPEN ACCESS** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

#### REFERENCES

- Swan MC, Furniss D, Cassell OCS. Surgical management of metastatic inguinal lymphadenopathy. BMJ. 2004;329(7477):1272-6.
- Thomson DR, Sadideen H, Furniss D. Wound drainage following groin dissection for malignant disease in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014;(11):CD010933.
- Moncrieff MD, Sharma RA, Gathura E, Heaton MJ. Improved perioperative seroma and complication rates following the application of a 2-layer negative pressure wound therapy system after inguinal lymphadenectomy for metastatic cutaneous melanoma. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-020-08513-7.
- Jakub JW, Terando AM, Sarnaik A, et al. Safety and feasibility of minimally invasive inguinal lymph node dissection in patients with melanoma (SAFE-MILND): report of a prospective multi-institutional trial. *Ann Surg.* 2017;265(1):192–6.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.