



University of Dundee

## Selected papers from the COMMA 2018 workshops Argumentation & Society and Argumentation & Philosophy

Atkinson, Katie; Visser, Jacky

Published in: Argument and Computation

DOI 10.3233/AAC-200910

Publication date: 2021

**Document Version** Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Atkinson, K., & Visser, J. (2021). Selected papers from the COMMA 2018 workshops Argumentation & Society and Argumentation & Philosophy. *Argument and Computation*, *12*(2), 247-248. https://doi.org/10.3233/AAC-200910

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## Selected papers from the COMMA 2018 workshops *Argumentation & Society* and *Argumentation & Philosophy*

Katie Atkinson<sup>a</sup> and Jacky Visser<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Computer Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom E-mail: k.m.atkinson@liverpool.ac.uk <sup>b</sup> Centre for Argument Technology, University of Dundee, Dundee, United Kingdom E-mail: j.visser@dundee.ac.uk

As part of the Warsaw Argumentation Week in September 2018,<sup>1</sup> we organised two new workshops at the 7th International Conference on Computational Models of Argument (COMMA): Argumentation & Society (chaired by Atkinson), and Argumentation & Philosophy (chaired by Visser). Taking place on 11 September 2018, the two workshops attracted 35–40 participants throughout the day, who engaged with a total of 17 presentations, including a keynote by Michał Araszkiewicz and Marcin Koszowy on reasoning and argumentation, and a panel discussion on the opportunities and challenges for exploiting argumentation research in applications for society.

Both workshops were aimed at showcasing ongoing research and work-in-progress, leading to lively debate on topics ranging from the role of argumentation in society to the potential concerns of deployment of Artificial Intelligence applications. The Argumentation & Society workshop was focused on the impact of argumentation research on society at large – creating links with the social sciences such as theoretical and experimental psychology, sociology, media and communication studies, law, medicine, politics and education. The Argumentation and Philosophy workshop brought together computational approaches to argumentation and the traditional sub-disciplines of philosophy, such as ethics, epistemology and logic.

The current special segment of Argument & Computation showcases two selected papers from the ArgSoc and ArgPhil workshops. These papers detail research presented at the workshops that has since been significantly extended and revised, and further peer-reviewed as part of the rigorous process under-taken for their appearance in the journal.

In "Ethical Challenges in Argumentation and Dialogue in a Healthcare Context", Mark Snaith, Rasmus Nielsen, Sita Kotnis and Alison Pease describe the challenges involved in the development and deployment of dialogue-based e-health systems. The challenges they describe centre mostly on the collection and handling of health data, and the level of trust that users have in the system. The authors emphasise the importance of Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) in such high-stakes application domains, where inappropriate intervention by the software can have direct and severe adverse consequences for the (patient-)user. The paper culminates in six practical recommendations focusing on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See http://waw2018.argdiap.pl/.

<sup>1946-2166 © 2021 –</sup> The authors. Published by IOS Press. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

such topics as the consent-gathering process, conflict resolution, referral to medical professionals, and the distinct roles of explanation and argumentation within the context of advice giving in healthcare. As academic research on computational argumentation is increasingly applied in consumer software, the importance of RRI, ethics and domain-specific regulations increases with it. The considerations and recommendations set out in this paper constitute a solid reference point for thinking about such issues in future applications of argument technology.

In "*Ranking Comment Sorting Policies in Online Debates*", Anthony Young, Sagar Joglekar, Gioia Boschi and Nishanth Sastry show how argumentation theory can be used to evaluate the effectiveness of policies for sorting comments in online debates, in terms of displaying the winning arguments to a reader who may not have read the whole debate. They address this challenge by applying argumentation theory, data mining and statistics to build a pipeline that compares comment sorting policies by measuring the number of actually winning arguments each policy displays to a reader who has only read a part of the debate. They then show how this pipeline is applied to evaluate policies on Kialo debates. The paper provides an excellent exemplar of research being undertaken to make use of argumentation techniques in the online debating setting that is highly relevant for today's online societal interactions.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the program committee members and participants of the original workshops for their engagement with the events, to the editors-in-chief of Argument & Computation for their support for the COMMA workshops, and to the reviewers of the selected papers included in this contribution to the journal.