



TITLE: The effect of renovation of long-term temperate grassland on N₂O emissions and N leaching from contrasting soils

AUTHORS: Krol, D.J. Jones M.B., Williams, M., Richards, K.G., Bourdin, F., Lanigan, G.J.

This article is provided by the author(s) and Teagasc T-Stór in accordance with publisher policies.

Please cite the published version.

The correct citation is available in the T-Stór record for this article.

NOTICE: This is the **author's pre-print version** of a work that was accepted for publication in *Science of The Total Environment*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Science of The Total Environment*, 2016, 560–561, 233–240. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.052.

This item is made available to you under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non commercial-No Derivatives 3.0 License.



The effect of renovation of long-term temperate grassland on N₂O emissions and N leaching from contrasting soils.

Krol, D.J.¹, Jones M.B.², Williams, M.², Richards, K.G.¹, Bourdin, F.¹, Lanigan, G.J.¹

1) Teagasc, Johnstown Castle Environmental Research Centre, Wexford, Ireland

2) Botany Department, Trinity College Dublin,

dominika.krol@teagasc.ie

Abstract

Renovation of long-term grassland is associated with a peak in soil organic N mineralisation which, coupled with diminished plant N uptake can lead to large gaseous and leaching N losses. This study reports on the effect of ploughing and subsequent N fertilisation on the N₂O emissions and DON/ NO₃ - leaching, and evaluates the impact of ploughing technique on the magnitude and profile of N losses. This study was carried out on isolated grassland lysimeters of three Irish soils representing contrasting drainage properties (well-drained Clonakilty, moderately-drained Elton and poorly-drained Rathangan). Lysimeters were manually ploughed simulating conventional (CT) and minimum tillage (MT) as two treatments. Renovation of grassland increased N₂O flux to a maximum of 0.9 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ from poorly-drained soil over four days after treatment. Although there was no difference between CT and MT in the post-ploughing period, the treatment influenced subsequent N₂O after fertiliser applications. Fertilisation remained the major driver of N losses therefore reducing fertilisation rate post planting to account for N mineralised through grassland renovation could reduce the losses in medium to longer term. Leaching was a significant loss pathway, with the cumulative drainage volume and N leached highly influenced by soil type. Overall, the total N losses (N₂O + N leached) were lowest from poorly and moderately

draining soil and highest for the well draining soil, reflecting the dominance of leaching on total N losses and the paramount importance of soil properties.

1. Introduction

Managed grasslands require routine renovation every five to ten years due to sward deterioration with age (Necpalova et al., 2013). In Ireland however, grasslands are reseeded on average every 30 years (Humphreys & Casey, 2002) with a substantial associated risk of losing large amounts of accumulated soil organic matter (SOM) (Velthof et al., 2010). The common practice is ploughing followed by fertilisation, both of which can lead to substantial losses of reactive nitrogen (N). These losses occur due to both the incorporation of plants residues and the disruption of soil aggregates which exposes protected SOM to decomposition (Velthof et al. 2010). Losses of reactive N include nitrous oxide (N₂O) and leached nitrogen principally in nitrate (NO₃-N) form, and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) (Velthof et al. 2010). Nitrogen losses due to denitrification as either N₂ or N₂O predominantly occur on heavier soils, due to lower soil redox potentials, while leaching can play a more significant role in losses following ploughing and fertilisation in light structured, well drained soils (Sogbedji et al., 2000; Dennis et al., 2012). While ploughing can cause significant N losses, the extent of these effects remains unclear (Kong *et al.*, 2009). Even if grassland is immediately reseeded, there is negligible plant N uptake for a several weeks following ploughing, and mineralized N is vulnerable to loss via N₂O or leaching during this period (Necpalova et al., 2013). For the same reason nitrogen fertiliser applied shortly after ploughing is also susceptible to loss.

Intensively managed grasslands in temperate climates are hotspots of reactive N emissions due to high fertilisation rates, high rainfall, and compaction (Sutton et al., 2011). Between 0.04 and 21.21 kg N₂O-N is produced annually from agricultural soils in Europe (Rees et al., 2013), agricultural management practices being the largest driver of losses. Similarly, 10-

30% of N in pastoral systems is leached and can result in eutrophication upon entering water bodies, posing a risk to human health (Jahangir et al., 2013; Stark and Richards, 2008). Various conservation tillage practices such as reduced tillage and no-till have been used in both arable crops and grassland renovation, primarily in order to improve yields and mitigate soil organic matter loss (Lal et al., 1999; West and Marland, 2002). However, in the wet temperate climate of Ireland, only a small area of arable land, and no grassland, is under conservation tillage. Possible reasons are that conservation tillage, such as reduced or no-till, is not suitable for crop establishment in a temperate climate as it may lead to increased grass weed populations and topsoil compaction (Maede & Mullins, 2005; Forristal & Murphy, 2009). In soils with high clay content under cool and wet weather conditions reduced tillage stimulated N₂O emissions (Ball et al. 2008, Rochette et al. 2008). MacDonald et al. (2011) also found that in wet weather conditions fallow soils emitted twice the amount of N₂O compared to conventional tillage. There are conflicting reports on the effect of tillage method on N leaching. Some authors reported a reduction in N leaching losses in arable crops (Dinnes et al., 2002; Syswerda et al., 2012) while others did not see this effect in tillage soils in Ireland (Hooker et al., 2008; Premrov et al., 2014). However this might not be true for permanent grassland and the effect of tillage method may also depend on soil type (Hansen et al., 1997) as well as climatic conditions.

Fertilisation remains one of the major N loss risks in managed grasslands (Bouwman et al., 2002; Skiba et al., 2012). Application of synthetic fertiliser introduces available N source which can be taken up by plant or quickly lost through gaseous or leaching losses (Smith et al., 1997; Gu et al., 2009). However, most studies focus on fertilisation of grassland with plant cover present (Smith et al., 1998; Ruser et al., 2006; Flechard et al., 2007) and little is known of the fate of fertiliser applied following ploughing of permanent grassland.

A limited body of research is available on the effects of grassland renovation on N losses. Studies of Velthof et al. (2010) and Necpalova et al. (2013) focused on various aspects of this issue with Velthof et al. (2010) particularly focussing on the impact of timing of ploughing and variable fertilisation rates, while Necpalova et al. (2013) assessed full system N loss. However, both studies exhibited experimental weaknesses such as using soil samples as indicator of leaching instead of direct measurements (Velthof et al., 2010) or using only one soil type and delaying the start of measurements until a few months after reseeded (Necpalova et al., 2013).

In the light of these conflicting results and limited evidence from permanent grassland experiments in temperate climates, this study was set up to evaluate environmental consequences and to assess the fate and quantify reactive N losses upon renovation and fertilisation of long-term temperate grassland with an emphasis on (1) N₂O emissions and (2) N (DON-N/ NO₃-N) leaching to shallow groundwater.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Site characteristics

The experiment was carried out at a field lysimeter facility at Teagasc, Johnstown Castle Environmental Research Centre in Wexford, Ireland (6° 30' W/ 52° 17" N) between May 2009 and July 2010. Eighteen lysimeters (0.8 m diameter, 1 m deep) excavated as intact grass monoliths in 2003, were used. Lysimeters represented three Irish soils of various drainage properties; a poorly draining Rathangan gleysol (WRB: Luvic Stagnosol (Eutric, Siltic)), a moderately draining Elton Brown Earth (WRB: Cutanic Luvisol (Siltic)), and well-draining Clonakilty brown podzol (WRB: Haplic Podzol (Anthric)) (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006). Soil properties were analysed at the time of collection in 2003. Available N and soil bulk density at the top 0-5cm were determined prior to treatment application following

methods of Hoekstra et al. (2010) and the British Standard (2006), respectively. These soil properties are shown in Table 1. Plant cover consisted mostly of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*). Between 2004 and 2008 the lysimeters were used to simulate typical Irish grazed grassland activities and received annual fertiliser amounts of 150-300 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Dennis et al., 2012). The experimental site lies in a mild north Atlantic temperate climate. Rainfall and air temperature were recorded at the meteorological station adjacent to the experimental site.

2.2 Treatments

Lysimeters were laid out in a randomised block design. Treatments were applied to compliment this design. Three replicates of treatments were used on each soil type. During the experiment, lysimeters were cultivated in order to simulate two ploughing techniques, and were subsequently fertilised and reseeded with perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*, variety Portstewart) at a rate of 60 kg ha⁻¹. Soil cultivation was with conventional inversion tillage (CT) to a depth of approximately 30 cm applied manually with spade on 16th June and minimum (conservational) tillage (MT) to a depth of approximately 10 cm applied manually with a rake on 18th June. Fertiliser was applied to all lysimeters on four occasions during the study (Table 2) at a total rate of 130 kg N ha⁻¹. Three replicates of Rathangan control from a nearby experiment were used for N₂O comparison purposes. Leaching losses were compared to control results of Dennis et al. (2012) of the experiment conducted on the same lysimeters in the previous year.

2.3 N₂O sampling and analysis

Gas samples were collected on 16 occasions over 17 weeks of the experimental period between 25th May and 17th September 2009. Samples were collected once a week for three

consecutive weeks prior to ploughing to determine background N₂O emissions. Following ploughing on 16th and 18th June for conventional and minimum tillage respectively, gas sampling was performed daily for the first five days, and then weekly to bi-monthly. Samples were collected using the static chamber method (Mosier, 1989; de Klein and Harvey, 2012), 218 L polyvinyl chloride (PVC) lids painted reflective white fully enclosed the lysimeters fitting into the edges filled with water to ensure the seal of the chamber. Twenty ml samples were drawn 20 and 60 minutes after chamber closure through a rubber septum (Becton Dickinson, UK) using a 20 mL polypropylene syringe (BD Plastipak, Becton Dickinson, UK) with a hypodermic needle (BD Microlance 3, Becton Dickinson, UK) and injected into pre-evacuated (to -1000mbar) 7 mL screw-cap septum glass vials (Labco, UK). Nitrous oxide concentrations were analysed using a gas chromatograph (GC) (Varian CP 3800 GC, Varian, USA) fitted with a ⁶³Ni electron capture detector (ECD) with high purity helium as a carrier gas. Samples were returned to ambient pressure prior to analysis and fed into the system by a Combi-Pal automatic sampler (CTC Analysis, Switzerland). The temperatures of column, injector and detector were 60, 60 and 300 °C, respectively. The GC was calibrated daily and a reference gas standard of known concentration was analysed every eight unknown samples. Areas under N₂O peaks were integrated using Star Chromatography Workstation (Varian, USA). Hourly N₂O emissions were calculated based on the rate of change in N₂O concentration within the chamber during the measurement period and taking into account air temperature, atmospheric pressure, and the ratio of surface area to chamber volume. Hourly N₂O flux was used to calculate daily emissions (Blackmer et al. 1982; de Klein et al. 2003). Cumulative emissions were calculated by integrating the calculated daily fluxes and linear interpolation between measurement points (de Klein and Harvey, 2012). Due to the temporal pattern over the experimental period, N₂O flux has been divided into three separate periods; pre-treatment, post-ploughing and post-fertiliser periods.

2.4 Leachate collection and analysis

Lysimeters were gravity drained, with leachate piped from the lysimeter base to 20 L low density polyethylene (LDPE) containers. Leachate was sampled with weekly to monthly frequency as required by the quantity of rainfall between the 18th May 2009 and 17th July 2010. Leachate volume was recorded and sub-samples collected on each sampling occasion and sub-sampled. Leachate was analysed for total nitrogen (TN) on Shimadzu Total Organic Carbon Analyser using combustion oxidation method with chemiluminescence detection (Ammann *et al.*, 2000) and inorganic N (NO₃-N, NH₄-N and NO₂-N) were analysed colorimetrically using a KON E Aquakem Analyser (600A Module; Labmedics Analytical Solutions). Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) was calculated by subtraction of mineral N fraction from total N.

2.5 Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS 9.3 (© 2002-2010, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All data were tested for normal Gaussian distribution and log transformed where necessary for statistical analysis and back transformed thereafter. A mixed linear model procedure of SAS (PROC GLIMMIX) followed by a Tukey's multiple comparison test was used to determine differences between treatments. The model tested the effects of soil, treatment and their interaction on cumulative N₂O-N losses, cumulative leached N losses, and combined gaseous and leached losses. Additionally, the effects of soil, treatment, experimental period, and their interactions on N₂O following ploughing and fertiliser applications, were tested.

3. Results

3.1 Environmental variables

During the N₂O sampling experimental period mean daily temperatures ranged between a minimum of 8.3°C to a maximum of 18.6°C, with a mean temperature for the experimental period of 14.5°C, which was 0.8°C higher than the 30 year average for the comparison months (Fig. 1). Cumulative rainfall recorded during the N₂O sampling experimental period was 526 mm and this was on average 73% higher than the 30 year average for the comparison months (Fig. 1). Out of 116 days of the experiment rainfall was recorded in 74 cases and there were 22 days with rainfall over 10mm.

The experimental period for leaching collection lasted 428 days. Mean daily temperatures for this period ranged between -1.2°C and 18.6°C, with a mean temperature of 10.5°C compared to 11.2 °C 30 year average for the comparison period (Fig. 1). Cumulative rainfall for the leaching collection period was 1584 mm compared to 1010 mm 30 year average for the comparison period (Fig. 1).

3.2 N₂O fluxes

Ploughing and fertilisations of lysimeter soils produced peak N₂O emissions. Separate peaks could be distinguished post-treatment (Fig. 2 a & b), therefore N₂O from separate ‘ploughing’ and ‘fertilising’ periods was explored as well as the total post-treatment N₂O loss. Prior to ploughing N₂O emissions were consistently low with mean daily values between 0.0, 1.6, and 1.8 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹d⁻¹ for Clonakilty, Elton, and Rathangan soils, respectively (Fig. 2 a & b), there were no significant differences in emissions between soils. Nearby Rathangan control ranged between -2.1 and 7.6 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹d⁻¹, and averaged 2.4 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹d⁻¹ throughout the experimental period (Fig. 2 a & b).

Nitrous oxide increased immediately following ploughing with maximum fluxes recorded for the first 24 hours post-ploughing. Maximum N₂O values ranged between 58.4 and 364.5 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹d⁻¹ for MT Elton, and CT Rathangan, respectively (Fig. 2 a & b). Ploughing accounted for between 7%, 8%, and 11% of cumulative emissions post-treatment from Clonakilty, Elton, and Rathangan soils, respectively, in the MT treatment, whereas in the CT treatment emissions ranged 15%, 23%, and 28% from Clonakilty, Elton, and Rathangan soil, respectively. Fertiliser applications resulted in another peak in N₂O flux which persisted for approximately 50 days and produced the majority of the N₂O flux during the experimental period (Fig. 2 a & b). Largest daily fluxes ranged between 58.0 and 261.8 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ from CT Elton and MT Elton, respectively. The fertilisation period contributed 92%, 93%, and 89% of post-treatment emission for Clonakilty, Elton, and Rathangan, respectively, in the MT treatment, and 85%, 77%, and 72% for Clonakilty, Elton, and Rathangan, respectively, in the CT treatment. Soil had a significant effect on cumulative N₂O emissions following ploughing and fertilising (P<0.05), with emissions being significantly higher from Rathangan > Elton = Clonakilty (Table 3 & 4). Significant interaction for period x treatment was detected (Table 3). There was no difference between MT and CT N₂O emissions during the ploughing, however N₂O from fertilising MT and CT was significantly higher than from ploughing CT and MT, and there was also a significant difference between fertilising CT and fertilising MT. Subsequently, N₂O emissions followed a pattern: fertilising MT > fertilising CT > ploughing CT = ploughing MT (Table 4).

Overall, the cumulative post-treatment (ploughing and fertilisation periods combined) N₂O emission ranged between 2.4 and 9.5 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ from CT Elton and MT Clonakilty, respectively. There was a significant treatment effect (P<0.001) (Table 6). In comparison, nearby Rathangan control yielded 0.25 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹.

3.3 N leaching

The response of N leachate to ploughing and fertilisation was delayed by approximately a month, which concurred with a previous study on the same soils (Dennis *et al.*, 2012). It was not possible to apportion N leached between ploughing and fertiliser applications therefore leaching was integrated over the whole experimental period. Here, results of drainage volume, TN, NO₃-N, NH₄-N and DON are presented (Table 5). Soil had a significant effect on all of the leached N losses (P<0.01 to P<0.001) except for DON, whilst treatment was not significant. Rathangan was significantly different from Elton and Clonakilty with lower drainage volume, lower NO₃-N, DON, and TN, and Rathangan and Elton also had lower NH₄-N. Drainage volume ranged between 105 mm and 238 mm from Rathangan CT and Clonakilty CT, respectively. Between 26% and 60% of the rainfall from the experimental period was recovered in leachate. Cumulative TN losses varied between 2.5 kg N ha⁻¹ for Rathangan CT and 22.9 kg N ha⁻¹ for Clonakilty CT (Table 5). Majority of the N recovered in leachate was in the form of NO₃-N, practically all of which was NO₃-N where between 1.6 kg N ha⁻¹ and 22.1 kg N ha⁻¹ was recorded for Rathangan CT and Clonakilty CT, respectively. Dissolved organic nitrogen was the second highest N fraction in leaching, ranging between 0.3 and 2.5 kg N ha⁻¹ for Elton MT and Clonakilty MT, respectively. Ammonium contributed the smallest fraction of the TN ranging between 0.06 and 0.21 kg N ha⁻¹ for Elton MT and Clonakilty CT, respectively.

3.4 Total N losses

Total losses of combined N₂O and N leaching varied between 6.7 kg N ha⁻¹ for Rathangan CT and 26.3 kg N ha⁻¹ for Clonakilty CT (P<0.05) (Table 6). Soil type had a significant effect on overall N loss (P<0.05), with the poorly draining (Rathangan) soil exhibited lower total N losses compared with the other two soils (P<0.05). Overall, the N losses followed the pattern of Rathangan < Elton = Clonakilty. Treatment had no significant effect on total N loss.

4. Discussion

4.1 Nitrous oxide losses post-ploughing

The ploughing and fertilisation of long-term temperate grassland during renovation was a source of large reactive N losses via both gaseous emissions and leaching. Tillage has been reported to disrupt soil structure leading to release of gas trapped in soil pore space (Ball *et al.*, 1999; Heincke and Kaupenjohann, 1999). Maximum daily fluxes reported in this study were comparable with the lower end of the range of N₂O following ploughing of managed grasslands reported in previous studies which range between 240 and 1200 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹d⁻¹ (Davies *et al.*, 2001; Dobbie & Smith, 2003; Ball *et al.*, 2007; Mori and Hojito, 2007; Necpalova *et al.*, 2013). Poorly draining soils exhibited high fluxes of N₂O with 0.9 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ emitted within four days of ploughing, as well as the highest share of ploughing-derived N₂O. Pinto *et al.* (2004) found N₂O of 0.3 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ over five days following ploughing of perennial grassland on sandy clay loam soil which is comparable with 0.4 and 0.7 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ from moderately and well draining soils respectively, in this experiment. Poor soil drainage and a heavy clay texture can impede N₂O diffusivity, releasing large amounts of N₂O upon ploughing (Heincke and Kaupenjohann, 1999). Clay content is also related to the quantity and quality of soil aggregates protecting SOM (FAO, 2005). However, here N₂O exhibited only a weak correlation with soil clay content, possibly due to high variability in N₂O fluxes.

Previous studies found higher N₂O from minimum versus no-till (Ball *et al.*, 1999; Velthof *et al.*, 2010; MacDonald *et al.*, 2011), and higher N₂O from minimum versus standard tillage (Rochette *et al.*, 2008). These studies attributed higher emissions in no-till and min-till to greater soil compaction, reduced gas diffusivity and less aerobic conditions, however in this study there was no significant difference between CT and MT in the post-ploughing

measurement period. Soil and period x treatment interaction were the main drivers of N₂O emissions after ploughing and fertiliser applications. Although there was no difference between CT and MT in the ploughing period, the treatment influenced subsequent N₂O after fertiliser applications.

Minimum tillage is known to enhance soil water-holding capacity, resulting in higher water-filled pore space and less aerobic soil conditions (Velthof et al., 2010). This effect, although the correlation was weak, was observed here (Fig. 3). It is believed that higher WFPS was responsible for the larger N₂O associated with MT soils in the fertiliser period, as soil conditions favoured loss.

4.2 Nitrous oxide losses post-fertilisation

Whilst N₂O flux following ploughing was believed to be mostly due to degassing, N₂O linked to fertiliser applications was most likely to be caused by microbial activity acting on the new supply of available N and mineralisation of exposed organic matter. Temporal pattern of N₂O exhibited high and short-lived peaks following ploughing, whereas post-fertiliser flux remained enhanced over a long period, firstly due to split application and also environmental conditions favouring loss. Soil and period x treatment interaction were the sources of variation in N₂O in the two separate measurement periods. However treatment was significant on cumulative N₂O for the whole post-treatment period. Dennis (2009) found very low N₂O emissions from control and fertiliser treatment measured from the same lysimeters in 2006, whereas large losses in this experiment are most likely due to soil disturbance through ploughing.

Fertilisation immediately post-cultivation remained the major driver of N losses during land preparation. Long-term temperate grasslands accumulate high levels of SOM which become mineralized upon renovation. Mineralizable N is routinely ignored in intensive agricultural systems (Griffin, 2008) however this pathway is a valuable source of N available for plant

uptake and when included in fertiliser planning could lead to an improved N fertiliser use efficiency and reduced environmental stress (McDonald et al., 2014). Therefore reducing fertilisation rate post planting to account for N mineralised through grassland renovation could reduce the losses.

4.3 Leaching losses post-ploughing and fertilisation

Leaching was a significant loss pathway, with NO₃-N the main leached fraction and the cumulative drainage volume and N leached (TN, NO₃-N, and NH₄-N) highly influenced by soil type. Dissolved organic nitrogen was the second largest N leached fraction however it was not significantly affected by soil, treatment or their interaction. Previous studies have observed that leaching was the main pathway of N loss in free draining soils (Bergstrom & Johansson, 1991; Sogbedji et al., 2000; Beaudoin et al., 2005). In this study, both the free draining and moderately draining (Elton and Clonakilty) soils had cumulative leaching losses up to 22.9 kg TN-N ha⁻¹ during post-treatment. In comparison, Dennis et al. (2012) recorded between less than one and nine kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ from Rathangan and Elton soils respectively, from control and fertiliser treatment based on the same lysimeters in November 2007- November 2008.

Values from this experiment are also on the lower end of the range reported in the literature. Various studies reported NO₃-N leaching after grassland renovation to be between 100 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Davies et al., 2001, Shepherd et al., 2001; Seidel et al., 2007; Velthof et al., 2010). Davies *et al.* (2001) which estimated that 140 kg N ha⁻¹ was lost through N₂O and leaching from ploughed and reseeded Scottish grassland over 18 months, while Ball et al. (2007) recorded 25.7 kg NO₃-N ha⁻¹ from a free draining ploughed sandy loam soil, as opposed to 12.6 kg NO₃-N ha⁻¹ from control over two months. In the current study NO₃-N returned to background within eight months post-renovation.

There is no consensus in the literature on the effect of tillage intensity on N leaching (Oorts et al., 2007; Hansen et al., 2010), with some arguing that greater continuity of large pores under MT would allow greater leaching N loss (Abdalla et al., 2013), and that even with lower NO₃-N concentrations in leachate, the total loss could be higher due to larger volume of water moving through MT soil (Tebrugge & During, 1999). This study adds to the evidence of Hooker et al. (2008) and Premrov et al. (2014) who found no significant difference in leaching from CT and MT soils in Ireland.

4.4 Overall nitrous oxide and leaching losses

Overall, when N₂O and leaching were combined, the total N losses were lowest from poorly and moderately draining soil and highest for the well draining soil, reflecting the dominance of leaching on total N losses (Fig. 4). Additionally, higher WFPS associated with poorly draining soils, and preserved by MT could lead to higher gaseous losses in the form of benign N₂ (McGeough et al., 2012). The proportion of N lost following ploughing and fertilisation from poorly draining soils can reach approximately 30% through leaching and 70% through N₂O, whereas in moderately and free draining soils the leached fraction can be up to 60 to 80%, regardless of the tillage treatment. A shift in the N loss pathway from leaching to N₂O loss was observed on both well and moderately draining soils following adoption of MT, however this trend was not significant. It is commonly perceived that well draining soils are more prone to N leaching (Hansen & Djurhuus, 1997; Beaudoin et al., 2005; Velthof et al., 2010) and poorly draining soils are characterised by large N₂O and N₂ losses (Ball et al., 1999; Davies et al., 2001; Flechard et al., 2007; Harrison-Kirk et al., 2013). Therefore it is deemed important to account for both loss pathways in order to better understand the risk of

N loss through agricultural management.

Timing of renovation also plays an important role in managing risks of leaching and gaseous losses. Velthof et al. (2010) concluded that grassland renovation in autumn increased risk of

Acknowledgements

N leaching while renovation in spring mitigated this risk due to quick establishment of plant cover and plant uptake of mineralised N (Necpalova et al., 2013). Grassland renovation in Ireland is commonly performed in autumn therefore leached N which is recognised here as the main loss pathway could be mitigated through adjusting timing of renovation.

Conclusions

Tillage intensity was an important driver of N₂O emissions from the subsequent fertilisations, however only soil significantly influenced total N loss (N₂O + leaching) from ploughing and fertilisations of perennial grassland. Therefore soil type was concluded to be the most important factor controlling the magnitude of overall N losses. This evidence strongly supports the idea of incorporating soil type in agricultural planning and management. The adoption of minimum tillage known to reduce the risk of SOM loss from renovation of perennial grasslands, did not impact either N₂O or N leaching.

Reducing fertilisation rate post planting to account for N mineralised through grassland renovation could reduce the losses. Similarly, the timing of fertilisation could be altered to a period where plant N demand would match N availability. Finally, altered N fertiliser type, such as stabilised urea could further reduce losses. We conclude that N management along with soil type are of vital importance when planning grassland renovation, especially in the case of permanent grasslands which are vulnerable to large losses through mineralisation of highly accumulated SOM.

The authors would like to thank the laboratory and field staff at both Teagasc Johnstown Castle with their assistance on this project. This research was financially supported under the National Development Plan, through the Research Stimulus Fund, administered by the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (07 RSF 527).

Acknowledgements

References

Abdalla, M., B. Osborne, G. Lanigan, D. Forristal, M. Williams, P. Smith and M. B. Jones (2013). "Conservation tillage systems: a review of its consequences for greenhouse gas emissions." *Soil Use and Management* 29(2): 199-209.

Ammann, A. A., T. B. Ruttimann and F. Burgi (2000). "Simultaneous determination of TOC and TN in surface and wastewater by optimised high temperature catalytic combustion." *Water Research* 34(14): 3573-3579.

Ball, B. C., I. Crichton and G. W. Horgan (2008). "Dynamics of upward and downward N₂O and CO₂ fluxes in ploughed or no-tilled soils in relation to water-filled pore space, compaction and crop presence." *Soil & Tillage Research* 101(1/2): 20-30.

Ball, B. C., A. Scott and J. P. Parker (1999). "Field N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in relation to tillage, compaction and soil quality in Scotland." *Soil & Tillage Research* 53(1): 29-39.

Ball, B. C., C. A. Watson and I. Crichton (2007). "Nitrous oxide emissions, cereal growth, N recovery and soil nitrogen status after ploughing organically managed grass/clover swards." *Soil Use and Management* 23(2): 145-155.

Beaudoin, N., J. K. Saad, C. Van Laethem, J. M. Machet, J. Maucorps and B. Mary (2005). "Nitrate leaching in intensive agriculture in Northern France: Effect of farming practices, soils and crop rotations." *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 111(1-4): 292-310.

Bergstrom, L. and R. Johansson (1991). "Leaching of nitrate from monolith lysimeters of different types of agricultural soils." *Journal of Environmental Quality* 20(4): 801-807.

Blackmer, A. M., S. G. Robbins and J. M. Bremner (1982). "Diurnal variability in rate of emission of nitrous-oxide from soils." *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 46(5): 937-942.

BSI (2006). *Soil improvers and growing media. Determination of physical properties* -Dry bulk density, air volume, water volume, shrinkage value and total pore space.

Davies, M. G., K. A. Smith and A. J. A. Vinten (2001). "The mineralisation and fate of nitrogen following ploughing of grass and grass-clover swards." *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 33(5): 423-434.

de Klein, C. A. M., L. Barton, R. R. Sherlock, Z. Li and R. P. Littlejohn (2003). "Estimating a nitrous oxide emission factor for animal urine from some New Zealand pastoral soils." *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 41(3): 381-399.

de Klein, C. A. M. and M. Harvey (2012). *Nitrous Oxide Chamber Methodology Guidelines*. Retrieved 03.09.2014, from

<http://www.globalresearchalliance.org/app/uploads/2013/05/ChamberMethodologyGuidelines Final-2013.pdf>.

Dennis, S. J., K. C. Cameron, H. J. Di, J. L. Moir, V. Staples, P. Sills and K. G. Richards (2012). "Reducing nitrate losses from simulated grazing on grassland lysimeters in Ireland

using a nitrification inhibitor (dicyandiamide)." *Biology and Environment-Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* 112B(1): 79-89.

Dinnes, D. L., D. L. Karlen, D. B. Jaynes, T. C. Kaspar, J. L. Hatfield, T. S. Colvin and C. A. Cambardella (2002). "Nitrogen management strategies to reduce nitrate leaching in tile-drained midwestern soils." *Agronomy Journal* 94(1): 153-171.

Dobbie, K. E. and K. A. Smith (2003). "Nitrous oxide emission factors for agricultural soils in Great Britain: the impact of soil water-filled pore space and other controlling variables." *Global Change Biology* 9(2): 204-218.

FAO (2005). "The importance of soil organic matter. Key to drought-resistant soil and sustained food and production". *FAO Soil Bulletin* 80.

Flechard, C. R., P. Ambus, U. Skiba, R. M. Rees, A. Hensen, A. van Amstel, A. V. Pol-van Dasselaar, J. F. Soussana, M. Jones, J. Clifton-Brown, A. Raschi, L. Horvath, A. Neftel, M. Jocher, C. Ammann, J. Leifeld, J. Fuhrer, P. Calanca, E. Thalman, K. Pilegaard, C. Di Marco, C. Campbell, E. Nemitz, K. J. Hargreaves, P. E. Levy, B. C. Ball, S. K. Jones, W. C. M. van de Bulk, T. Groot, M. Blom, R. Domingues, G. Kasper, V. Allard, E. Ceschia, P. Cellier, P. Laville, C. Henault, F. Bizouard, M. Abdalla, M. Williams, S. Baronti, F. Berretti and B. Grosz (2007). "Effects of climate and management intensity on nitrous oxide emissions in grassland systems across Europe." *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 121(1-2): 135-152.

Forristal, D. and K. Murphy (2009). Can we reduce costs and increase profits with Min Till? National Tillage Conference, Teagasc, Oak Park, Carlow, Ireland. Hansen, E. M. and J. Djurhuus (1997). "Nitrate leaching as influenced by soil tillage and catch crop." *Soil & Tillage Research* 41(3-4): 203-219.

Griffin, T.S. 2008. Nitrogen availability. In: J.C. Schepers and W.R. Ruan, editors, Nitrogen availability in agricultural systems. *Agron. Monogr.* 49. ASA, CSSA, and SSSA, Madison, WI. p. 613-646.

Hansen, E. M., L. J. Munkholm, B. Melander and J. E. Olesen (2010). "Can non-inversion tillage and straw retention reduce N leaching in cereal-based crop rotations?" *Soil & Tillage Research* 109(1): 1-8.

Harrison-Kirk, T., M. H. Beare, E. D. Meenken and L. M. Condron (2013). "Soil organic matter and texture affect responses to dry/wet cycles: Effects on carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide emissions." *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 57: 43-55.

Heincke, M. and M. Kaupenjohann (1999). "Effects of soil solution on the dynamics of N₂O emissions: a review." *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 55(2): 133-157.

Hoekstra, N. J., S. T. J. Lalor, K. G. Richards, N. O'Hea, G. J. Lanigan, J. Dyckmans, R. P.

O. Schulte and O. Schmidt (2010). "Slurry (NH₄)-N-¹⁵-N recovery in herbage and soil: effects of application method and timing." *Plant and Soil* 330(1-2): 357-368.

Hooker, K. V., C. E. Coxon, R. Hackett, L. E. Kirwan, E. O'Keeffe and K. G. Richards (2008). "Evaluation of cover crop and reduced cultivation for reducing nitrate leaching in Ireland." *Journal of Environmental Quality* 37(1): 138-145.

Humphreys J. and Casey I.A. (2002) Grassland renovation in Ireland. In: Conijn, J.G., Velthof, G.L. and Taube, F. (Eds.): *Grassland Resowing and Grass-Arable Rotations. Proceedings of European Grassland Federation International Workshop on Agricultural and Environmental Issues, Wageningen (The Netherlands) 18 and 19 April 2002. Plant Research International, Wageningen 2002, Report 47, 79-91.*

IUSS Working Group WRB .(2006). *World reference base for soil resources 2006, 2nd edn.* Rome, World Soil Resources Reports, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations.

Jahangir M.M.R., Johnston P., Barrett M., Khalil M.I., Groffman P.M., Boeckx P., Fenton O., Murphy J., K.G. Richards (2013) Denitrification and indirect N₂O emissions in groundwater: Hydrologic and biogeochemical influences, *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology* 152, 7081.

Kong, A. Y. Y., S. J. Fonte, C. van Kessel and J. Six (2009). "Transitioning from standard to minimum tillage: Trade-offs between soil organic matter stabilization, nitrous oxide emissions, and N availability in irrigated cropping systems." *Soil & Tillage Research* 104(2): 256-262.

Lal, R., R. F. Follett, J. Kimble and C. V. Cole (1999). "Managing US cropland to sequester carbon in soil." *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 54(1): 374-381.

MacDonald, J. D., P. Rochette, M. H. Chantigny, D. A. Angers, I. Royer and M. O. Gasser (2011). "Ploughing a poorly drained grassland reduced N₂O emissions compared to chemical fallow." *Soil & Tillage Research* 111(2): 123-132.

Meade, C. and E. Mullins (2005). "GM crop cultivation in Ireland: Ecological and Economic considerations." *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy: Biology and Environment* 105B: 33-52.

McDonald, N. T., C. J. Watson, S. T. J. Lalor, R. J. Laughlin and D. P. Wall (2014). "Evaluation of Soil Tests for Predicting Nitrogen Mineralization in Temperate Grassland Soils." *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 78(3): 1051-1064.

McGeough, K. L., R. J. Laughlin, C. J. Watson, C. Muller, M. Ernfors, E. Cahalan and K. G. Richards (2012). "The effect of cattle slurry in combination with nitrate and the nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide on in situ nitrous oxide and dinitrogen emissions." *Biogeosciences* 9(12): 4909-4919.

Mori, A. and M. Hojito (2007). "Grassland renovation increases N₂O emission from a volcanic grassland soil in Nasu, Japan." *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 53: 813-818.

Mosier, A. R. (1989). Chamber and isotope techniques. Exchange of Trace Gases between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere. M. O. Andreae and D. S. Schimel. Chichester, John Wiley: 175-187.

Necpalova, M., I. Casey and J. Humphreys (2013). "Effect of ploughing and reseeded of permanent grassland on soil N, N leaching and nitrous oxide emissions from a clay-loam soil." *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 95(3): 305-317.

Oorts, K., F. Laurent, B. Mary, P. Thiebeau, J. Labreuche and B. Nicolardot (2007). "Experimental and simulated soil mineral N dynamics for long-term tillage systems in northern France." *Soil & Tillage Research* 94(2): 441-456.

Pinto, M., P. Merino, A. del Prado, J. M. Estavillo, S. Yamulki, G. Gebauer, S. Piertzak, J. Lauf and O. Oenema (2004). "Increased emissions of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide following tillage of a perennial pasture." *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 70(1): 13-22.

Premrov, A., C. E. Coxon, R. Hackett, L. Kirwan and K. G. Richards (2014). "Effects of over-winter green cover on soil solution nitrate concentrations beneath tillage land." *Science of the Total Environment* 470: 967-974.

Rees, R. M., J. Augustin, G. Alberti, B. C. Ball, P. Boeckx, A. Cantarel, S. Castaldi, N. Chirinda, B. Chojnicki, M. Giebels, H. Gordon, B. Grosz, L. Horvath, R. Juszczak, A. K. Klemedtsson, L. Klemedtsson, S. Medinets, A. Machon, F. Mapanda, J. Nyamangara, J. E. Olesen, D. S. Reay, L. Sanchez, A. S. Cobena, K. A. Smith, A. Sowerby, M. Sommer, J. F. Soussana, M. Stenberg, C. F. E. Topp, O. van Cleemput, A. Vallejo, C. A. Watson and M.

Wuta (2013). "Nitrous oxide emissions from European agriculture - an analysis of variability and drivers of emissions from field experiments." *Biogeosciences* 10(4): 2671-2682.

Rochette, P., D. A. Angers, M. H. Chantigny and N. Bertrand (2008). "Nitrous oxide emissions respond differently to no-till in a loam and a heavy clay soil." *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 72(5): 1363-1369.

Seidel, K., J. Muller, M. Kayser and J. Isselstein (2007). "The effect of fertilizer type and level of N fertilization before and after grassland renewal on N leaching losses." *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 193(1): 30-36.

Shepherd, M. A., D. J. Hatch, S. C. Jarvis and A. Bhogal (2001). "Nitrate leaching from reseeded pasture." *Soil Use and Management* 17(2): 97-105.

Smith, P., D. Martino, Z. Cai, D. Gwary, H. Janzen, P. Kumar, B. McCarl, S. Ogle, F. O'Mara, C. Rice, B. Scholes, O. Sirotenko, M. Howden, T. McAllister, G. Pan, V. Romanenkov, U. Schneider, S. Towprayoon, M. Wattenbach and J. Smith (2008). "Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 363(1492): 789-813.

Sogbedji, J. M., H. M. van Es, C. L. Yang, L. D. Geohring and F. R. Magdoff (2000). "Nitrate leaching and nitrogen budget as affected by maize nitrogen rate and soil type." *Journal of Environmental Quality* 29(6): 1813-1820.

Stark, C. H. and K. G. Richards (2008). "The Continuing Challenge of Agricultural Nitrogen Loss to the Environment in the Context of Global Change and Advancing Research." *Dynamic Soil, Dynamic Plant 2*: 1-12.

Syswerda, S. P., B. Basso, S. K. Hamilton, J. B. Tausig and G. P. Robertson (2012). "Long-term nitrate loss along an agricultural intensity gradient in the Upper Midwest USA." *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 149: 10-19.

Tebrugge, F. and R. A. Daring (1999). "Reducing tillage intensity - a review of results from a long-term study in Germany." *Soil & Tillage Research* 53(1): 15-28.

The European Nitrogen Assessment. Sources, Effects and Policy Perspectives (2011). Edited: Sutton, M. A., C. M. Howard, J. W. Erisman, G. Billen, A. Bleeker, P. Grennfelt, H. van Grinsven and B. Grizzetti. USA, Cambridge University Press.

Velthof, G. L., I. E. Hoving, J. Dolfing, A. Smit, P. J. Kuikman and O. Oenema (2010). "Method and timing of grassland renovation affects herbage yield, nitrate leaching, and nitrous oxide emission in intensively managed grasslands." *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 86(3): 401-412.

West, T. O. and G. Marland (2002). "A synthesis of carbon sequestration, carbon emissions, and net carbon flux in agriculture: comparing tillage practices in the United States." *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 91(1-3): 217-232.