animal

Severe feed restriction increases permeability of mammary gland cell tight junctions and reduces ethanol stability of milk

M. T. Stumpf[1†], V. Fischer[1], C. M. McManus[1], G. J. Kolling[1], M. B. Zanela[2], C. S. Santos[3], A. S. Abreu[1] and P. Montagner[4]

[1]Departmento de Pós Graduação em Zootecnia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Avenida Bento Gonçalves 7712, 91540-000 Porto Alegre, Brazil; [2]Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (Embrapa), Rodovia BR 392, Km 78, 96010-971 Pelotas, Brazil; [3]Faculdade de Agronomia, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Rua Gomes Carneiro, 1, 96010-610, Pelotas, Brazil; [4]Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Centro de Biotecnologia/Campus Universitário s/n, 96010-900 Pelotas, Brazil

A total of twelve lactating Jersey cows were used in a 5-week experiment to determine the effects of severe feed restriction on the permeability of mammary gland cell tight junctions (TJs) and its effects on milk stability to the alcohol test. During the first 2 weeks, cows were managed and fed together and received the same diet according to their nutritional requirements (full diet: 15 kg of sugar cane silage; 5.8 kg of alfalfa hay; 0.16 kg of mineral salt and 6.2 kg of concentrate). In the 3rd week, animals were distributed into two groups of six cows each. One group received the full diet and the other a restricted diet (50% of the full diet). In the 4th and 5th weeks, all animals received the full diet again. Milk composition and other attributes, such as titratable acidity, ethanol stability, pH, density and somatic cell count (SCC) were evaluated. Cortisol levels indicated the stress condition of the cows. Plasma lactose and milk sodium were measured to assess mammary TJ leakiness. Principal factor analysis (PFA) showed that the first two principal factors (PFs) contributed with 44.47% and 20.57% of the total variance in the experiment and, as feeding levels increased, milk stability to the ethanol test became higher and plasma lactose levels decreased, which indicates lower permeability of the mammary gland cell TJ. Correspondence analyses were consistent with PFA and also showed that lower feeding levels were related to reduced milk stability, high plasma lactose, high sodium in milk, low milk lactose (another parameter used to assess TJ permeability) and higher cortisol levels, indicating the stress to which animals were submitted. All observations were grouped in three clusters, with some of the above-mentioned patterns. Feeding restriction was associated with higher permeability of TJ, decreasing milk stability to the ethanol test.

Implications

Milk that shows stability to the ethanol test below the expected standards is considered unsuitable for industrialization procedures and is rejected by the dairy industry, reducing the income to farmers. In many cases these are unaware of the problem. Stability may be affected by milk properties and natural and uncontrolled variations. Management factors such as feeding level influence milk stability through mechanisms yet unclear. As feeding shortages are common in underdeveloped countries, the elucidation of the link between feeding levels and milk stability reduction can help milk farmers and technical assistants to choose the adequate management procedures to minimize this problem.

Introduction

The alcohol test may be used as a reliable indicator of raw milk stability for ultra-high temperature and milk powder processing (Boumpa *et al.*, 2008; Omoarukhe *et al.*, 2010). Usually, excessive acidity has been considered as the main factor leading to reduced stability, but as 40% to 50% of milk samples presenting acidity within acceptable values (pH: 6.6 to 6.8 or titratable acidity: 14 to 18°D) still precipitated to the alcohol test, other issues may be acting on this (Oliveira *et al.*, 2011). Ethanol stability is related to physical–chemical properties, such as pH, saline balance and divalent cation content (White and Davies, 1958; Horne and Parker, 1981; Chavez *et al.*, 2004). It has been reported that some factors decrease milk stability such as sudden changes in animals' diet and underfeeding (Zanela *et al.*, 2006; Marques *et al.*, 2010) as well as metabolic acidosis

† Present address: Dom Joaquim Avenue, 78, 96920-260, Pelotas, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. E-mail: marcelostumpf2003@yahoo.com.br

(Marques *et al.*, 2011). In underdeveloped countries, underfeeding is quite prevalent because of low resource input in animal production systems, with variable but often little use of irrigation, food conservation (silage or hay), soil correction and fertilization, and these might be relevant causes of milk instability. However, trials performed to assess the relation between underfeeding and milk stability have not evaluated how this relation occurs. In most of these countries, milk suitability for industrial processing is still performed with an alcohol test at farm and dairy industry platform level. Milk that precipitates in this test should not be transported to the industry (Ministério da Agricultura Pecuária e Abastecimento, 2011), impairing dairy competitiveness and sustainability.

On the basis of studies that evaluate the relationships of stress with mammary gland physiology and histology, we hypothesize that severe feed restriction is a stressful factor that increases the permeability of the mammary tight junctions (TJ) and decreases ethanol stability. TJs are structures located in the cell's apical domain, surrounding both endothelial and epithelial cells (Stelwagen *et al.*, 1998a), with the so-called fence and barrier functions. They play an important role in maintaining cell–cell contact (Pitelka, 1978; cited by Zettl *et al.*, 1992), separating its basolateral (interstitial fluid) and apical (alveolar lumen) sides (Schneeberger and Lynch, 1992) and controlling the paracellular movement of ions and small molecules between two fluid compartments (Stelwagen *et al.*, 1998a). TJs are impermeable when in perfect condition, not allowing the influx of components from blood into milk, and vice-versa (Stelwagen *et al.*, 1998a). In spite of this, several factors, such as stress (Stelwagen *et al.*, 2000), mammary involution at the end of lactation (Fleet and Peaker, 1978), longer milking intervals (Stelwagen *et al.*, 1994) and mastitis (Leitner *et al.*, 2004) can alter TJ impermeability. By changing this impermeability, the main characteristics of TJ are lost, altering milk basic composition, especially saline balance, which can eventually lead to modifications in milk stability. Lacy-Hulbert *et al.* (1999) did not find increased TJ permeability after 50% feed restriction, but these authors used cows in an advanced milking stage (over 210 days in milk) and measured the effects over 25 days after the beginning of the restriction.

The present study was conducted to establish the relationships between severe feed restriction, TJ permeability and milk stability to the alcohol test with cows in mid-lactation.

Material and methods

Local description, animals and management
The experiment was conducted in 2011 at Capão do Leão, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil and was approved by both the Research Committee and Ethical Committee for Animal Use of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul. Average daily temperature and relative humidity ranged from 19.8°C to 29.8°C and from 58.2% to 91.54%, respectively.

Twelve Jersey cows were housed during the 5 weeks of experiment. They received diets that met their nutritional demands (full diet, according to National Research Council, 2001) during weeks 1, 2, 4 and 5. During the 3rd week (experimental days 15 to 21) they were allocated into two groups of six cows each: (1) full diet and (2) restricted diet: cows were fed 50% of previous feed levels. At the beginning of the 3rd week, before feed restriction, cows on the full diet showed 372 ± 33 kg BW; 2.6 ± 0.12 body condition score (BCS); milk stability at alcohol $77.0 \pm 4\%$ v/v; 145 ± 44 days in milk, 12.3 ± 2.5 l/day milk production and 3.2 ± 1.7 lactation periods. Cows in the restricted feed group showed an average BW of 372 ± 39 kg; 2.7 ± 0.10 of BCS; milk stability at alcohol $75.2 \pm 4\%$ v/v; 145 ± 39 days in milk; 12.1 ± 2.4 l/day milk production and 3.3 ± 1.5 lactation periods. Full diet was composed of (per animal and per day) 15 kg sugar cane silage; 5.8 kg alfalfa hay; 0.16 kg mineral salt and 6.2 kg concentrate (3.3 kg soybean; 2.6 kg corn; 240 g bicalcic phosphate and 14 g $CaCO_3$). Cows were fed twice a day, after the morning and evening milkings, and had free access to fresh water.

BW and BCS
BCS was attributed on a 1 to 5 scale (Wildman *et al.*, 1982), and cows were weighed on experimental days 1, 14, 21 and 35, immediately after morning milking but before being fed.

Milk collection and analysis
Cows were milked twice daily (at 0700 and 1630 h) in a 2×8 herringbone milking parlor equipped with Westfalia milking units. Daily milk yield was recorded electronically. Milk was collected on days 14, 15, 17, 18, 21, 28 and 35 after the beginning of the experiment. A mixture of milk from morning and evening milkings from each cow composed the individual samples. Acidity was determined by titration with 0.1 N NaOH solution and by potentiometry; density was measured with the use of lactodensimeter corrected for milk temperature of 15°C; ethanol stability checked by mixing 2 ml of milk and 2 ml of alcoholic solutions with ethanol concentration varying from 68% to 84% v/v in a Petri dish; results were expressed as the minimal ethanol concentration in the alcoholic solution that induced milk coagulation. To determine the permeability of mammary gland cell TJs, sodium in milk was measured by atomic absorption spectrophotometry from milk collected in 45 ml Falcon tubes. Concentrations of fat, protein and lactose in milk were determined by an infrared analyzer (Bentley 2000® Equipment (Chaska, Minnesota, USA)). Somatic cell count (SCC) was determined by flow cytometry with Somacount 300® (Bentley Instruments, Chaska, Minnesota, USA).

Blood collection and plasma analysis
Blood samples were collected on days 14, 15, 17, 18, 21, 28 and 35 after the beginning of the trial via jugular puncture in 10 ml heparinized and non-heparinized vacutainers. Sampling was performed after the morning milking and before feeding procedures. Blood samples were cooled on wet ice, centrifuged (Fanem, model 204NR) at $2000 \times$ **g** for 15 min. Plasma was aliquoted into 2.0 ml Eppendorf tubes

and stored at −20°C until analysis. The permeability of the TJ was determined using plasma from heparinized vacutainers to determine lactose using enzymatic assay (Lactose Assay Kit – BioVision Research Products, Mountainview, CA, USA) in a microplate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments, model EL808 Microplate Reader (Winooski, USA)). To assess the stress level of the animals, plasma from non-heparinized vacutainers was analyzed for cortisol levels by chemiluminescence.

Statistical analysis

Individual cows were considered the experimental units and were allocated to one of two treatments (full amount of food or 50% restriction) in a completely randomized design. Data (84 observations for milk productions and composition, 48 observations for blood composition, BW and BCS) were analyzed with multivariate analyses using the statistic program SAS (Statistical Analysis Systems, 2008) including principal factor (PF) and cluster analysis, canonical discriminant analysis of clusters and multiple correspondence analysis. In this last analysis, the threshold values used to categorize each animal into the 'high or low' categories were: 0.52 μg/dl for cortisol; 150 for days in milk; 10 l per day for milk production; 4 g/100 g, 3.46 g/100 g, 4.4 g/100 g and 493 ppm for milk fat, protein, lactose and sodium, respectively; 100 000 cell/ml for SCC; 230 μM for plasma lactose; 72% v/v ethanol for milk stability. Clusters were submitted to ANOVA to highlight the differences for all attributes among them. As one of the clusters formed was basically composed of three observations of the same cow, its values were not used in the statistical analysis for cluster comparison. Univariate ANOVA was performed with attributes not included into multivariate analyses, whenever they were considered necessary to support further discussion, for example BCS.

Results

The univariate analysis of BCS showed that cows from restriction group lost BCS between the first and the last day of feeding restriction (days 15 and 21, respectively; 2.70 *v.* 2.45, respectively; *P* < 0.05), whereas cows receiving full diet did not substantially change their BCS during the same period (2.75 *v.* 2.66, respectively; *P* > 0.05).

When examining data by the multivariate analysis, seven PFs were identified and the first two explained 44.47% and 20.57%, respectively, of the total variance observed in the experiment. Considerations can be made through the analysis of the angle between vectors in the PF figure (Figure 1). Angles of 0° and 180° mean that the correlations between variables are high, positive in the first case and negative in the second; 90° between variable vectors represents low or null correlations (Smith *et al.*, 2002).

Feeding level presented highly positive correlation with milk stability and titratable acidity. Plasma lactose, on the other hand, showed negative correlation with feeding level, but positive with milk sodium. Milk lactose presented some positive correlation with feeding level and milk stability,


Figure 1 Variables (plasma lactose, somatic cell count, milk sodium, milk stability, milk lactose, feeding level and titratable acidity) projected in principal factors 1 and 2.


Figure 2 Correspondence graphic relating high (G100) or low feeding levels (G50) with high (H_MS) or low milk stability to the ethanol test (L_MS), high (H_SCC) or low somatic cell count (L_SCC), high (H_ML) or low lactose in milk (L_ML), high (H_MNa) or low sodium concentration (L_MNa), high (H_PL) or low plasma lactose (L_PL) and high (H_CO) or low cortisol levels (L_CO).

as the angle between them is ∼45°; the angle of ∼180° between milk lactose and plasma lactose indicates their high negative correlation. SCC presented very low correlation with level of feeding and milk stability, high correlation with milk sodium and moderate correlation with plasma lactose.

In Figure 2, in the first quadrant, feeding restriction (G50) was highly related to ethanol stability < 72% v/v (L_MS) and cortisol levels above 0.52 μg/dl (H_CO), whereas in the second quadrant plasma lactose higher than 230 μM (H_PL) was associated with SCC above 100 000 cell/ml (H_SCC), milk sodium higher than 493 ppm (H_MNa) and milk lactose below 4.4 g/100 g (L_ML). In the third quadrant, full diet (G100) was associated with ethanol stability higher than 72% v/v (H_MS) and cortisol below 0.52 μg/dl (L_CO), whereas in the fourth quadrant low plasma lactose – below 230 μM (L_PL) was associated with milk lactose higher than 4.4 g/100 g (H_ML), milk sodium below 493 ppm (L_MNa) and SCC lower than 100 000 cell/ml (L_SCC).

Another way to interpret those results is by the description of the axes. In this case, and in accordance with PFA, feeding restriction (G50) was associated with low ethanol stability (L_MS) and milk lactose concentration (L_ML), high plasma lactose concentration (H_PL), sodium in milk (H_MNa), SCC (H_SCC) and cortisol levels (H_CO) (Figure 2, quadrants 1 and 2). A full diet (G100) was associated with high ethanol stability (H_MS) and milk lactose concentration (H_ML), low milk sodium (L_MNa) and plasma lactose concentration (L_PL) (quadrants 3 and 4). Low SCC (L_SCC) and cortisol levels (L_CO), because of its proximity to the vertical axis,

Figure 3 Correspondence graphic relating high (G100) or low feeding levels (G50) with high (H_MP) or low milk production (L_MP), high (H_ML) or low lactose in milk (L_ML), high (H_MF) or low milk fat (L_MF), high (H_MPr) or low milk protein (L_MPr) and high (H_DM) or low days in milk (L_DM).

Figure 4 Discrimination of clusters 1, 2 and 3, with 17, 64 and 3 observations, respectively, according to plasma lactose, stability to the alcohol test and milk pH.

present weak association with G100. Although less important, different correspondences can be made when analyzing quadrants 1 and 4; 2 and 3 together. Feeding level influenced a smaller number of animals in a distinctive way: feeding restriction (G50) (quadrants 1 and 4) was associated, besides high cortisol levels (H_CO) and low ethanol stability (L_MS), with some cows presenting SCC below 100 000 cell/ml (L_SCC), low concentration of milk sodium (L_MNa) and plasma lactose (L_PL). Some cows producing milk with high ethanol stability (H_MS) presented cortisol levels lower than 0.52 μg/dl (L_CO), high plasma lactose (H_PL), milk sodium (H_MNa) and SCC (H_SCC) (quadrants 2 and 3).

In Figure 3, in the first quadrant, feeding restriction (G50) was highly related to low milk lactose (L_ML), daily milk production below 10 l (L_MP), milk fat concentration higher than 4 g/100 g (H_MF), whereas in the second quadrant cows with more than 150 days in milk (H_DM) were associated with milk protein higher than 3.46 g/100 g (H_MPr). In the third quadrant, cows fed full diet (G100) were associated with milk yield higher than 10 l per day (H_MP), fat concentrations below 4 g/100 g (L_MF) and high milk lactose (H_ML). The fourth quadrant shows association between animals below 150 days in milk (L_DM) with milk protein lower than 3.46 g/100 g (L_MPr). When analyzing the information in Figure 3 by axes, it could be noticed that there is an association between feed restriction (G50), low milk lactose (L_ML) and milk production (L_MP), high milk protein (H_MPr), fat (H_MF) and days in milk (H_DM) when analyzing quadrants 1 and 2. In quadrants 3 and 4, cows receiving full diet (G100) were associated with reduced number of days in milk (L_DM), low fat (L_MF) and protein in milk (L_MPr), high milk production (H_MP) and milk lactose (H_ML). In quadrants 1 and 4, some cows submitted to the feeding restriction (G50) showed low concentrations of milk protein (L_MPr) and lower number of days in milk (L_DM) and in quadrants 2 and 3 some cows receiving the full diet (G100) produced more than 10 l (H_MP), presented higher values for milk protein (H_MPr) and number of days in milk (H_DM).

Observations were grouped into clusters 1, 2 and 3, with 17, 64 and 3 observations, respectively (Figure 4). All 84 observations were grouped with 100% accuracy. Attributes chosen by discriminant analysis for cluster discrimination were plasma lactose, stability to the alcohol test and milk pH.

Table 1 *Mean values for diet, milk and plasma attributes in cows grouped into Clusters 1 and 2 according to values of plasma lactose, stability to the alcohol test and milk pH with corresponding significance levels*

	Means			
Attribute	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	RMSE	Significance
Feeding level	79.41	89.06	21.82	ns
Days in milk	163.82	146.04	37.84	0.089
Milk production (l)	10.09	11.53	2.74	0.056
Milk pH	6.69	6.70	0.05	ns
Density (g/l)	1030.05	1030.39	0.93	ns
Milk fat (g/100 g)	4.18	4.06	0.88	ns
Milk protein (g/100 g)	3.52	3.47	0.29	ns
Milk lactose (g/100 g)	4.27	4.41	0.17	*
SCC (cell/ml)[†]	5.03	4.57	0.44	*
Milk sodium (ppm)	540.06	560.61	239.64	ns
Milk stability[‡]	71.76	74.22	4.60	0.054
Titratable acidity (°D)	15.88	16.20	0.95	ns
Plasma lactose (μM)	328.23	226.91	32.64	*
Cortisol (μg/dl)	0.65	0.58	0.26	ns

ns = non-significant; SCC = somatic cell count.
*$P < 0.05$.
[†]SCC; values in log10 transformation.
[‡]Concentration of ethanol capable of causing coagulation of milk proteins.

Cluster 3 was basically composed of three observations of the same cow. Although this animal responded to the feeding restriction as expected, it might not be reliable to build a discussion based on the results of a single animal; thus, the values from Cluster 3 were not used in the statistical analysis for cluster comparison.

There were no differences in feeding levels between clusters 1 and 2 (Table 1). Cluster 1 grouped cows with larger SCC ($P < 0.05$), higher plasma lactose levels (328.23 *v.* 226.91 μM, $P < 0.05$) and with a tendency to present larger number of days in milk (163.82 *v.* 146.04, $P = 0.089$) than Cluster 2. On the other hand, milk lactose concentration (4.41 g/100 g *v.* 4.27 g/100 g, $P < 0.05$) was higher and there was a tendency to greater mean daily production (11.53 l *v.* 10.09 l, $P = 0.056$) and stability to the ethanol test (74.22% v/v *v.* 71.76% v/v, $P = 0.054$) in Cluster 2. No other tendencies ($P > 0.10$) or significant differences ($P > 0.05$) were found between clusters.

Discussion

Multiple correspondence analysis relating feeding level to milk composition and yield (Figure 3) showed that underfeeding reduced milk production, which was followed by an increase in fat concentration. This might be due to a concentration effect, caused by a lower reduction in the rate of milk fat synthesis compared with milk production (Lacy-Hulbert et al., 1999). However, reduction of BCS from 2.70 to 2.45 following the 1-week feed restriction period may also have contributed to these higher values, as fat mobilized from adipose tissue could enter into the mammary gland, increasing its concentration in milk, as noted by Marques et al. (2010). On the other hand, Guinard-Flament et al. (2007) reported a trend for decrease in fat concentration in the milk from cows fed 30% restricted diets. In the present study, the concentration effect evidenced for milk fat also occurred for protein content, as lower milk production was correlated with higher protein levels. Contrary to this, Guinard-Flament et al. (2007) observed a decrease in total protein and casein in the milk of restricted-fed cows. According to those authors, feed restriction may reduce the synthesis of milk components as it lowers blood flow and mammary gland uptake of nutrients.

Principal factor analysis (PFA; Figure 1) and multiple correspondence analysis (Figure 2) consistently showed lower feeding levels associated with reduced milk stability, increased plasma lactose and milk sodium concentrations. The association between feed restriction and milk stability has already been reported by Zanela et al. (2006) and Marques et al. (2010). On the other hand, reduced stability is reversed by an increase in food supply (Zanela et al., 2006). It is worth pointing out that cows showed differences in lag time to decrease milk stability as feeding restriction advanced; the same observation can be made for time needed for stability recovery after resumption of the diet (results not presented).

The elevation of plasma lactose is a reliable indicator of the increased permeability of mammary gland cell TJ (Stelwagen et al., 2000). As lactose is produced essentially in the mammary gland (Kuhn and Linzell, 1970) and is not secreted basolaterally (Stelwagen et al., 1998b), any trace of this carbohydrate in the blood suggests its outflow from milk into the blood stream, due to increased TJ permeability. Following its elevation in plasma lower lactose concentration is expected in milk. Although plasma lactose baseline levels were restored rapidly, milk stability and yield remained depressed (results not presented), paralleling the results reported by Stelwagen et al. (1994) for plasma lactose and milk yield. Another parameter used to access the permeability of mammary gland cell TJs is the elevation in the concentration of milk sodium. Working with cows from days 1 to 90 after calving, Tsioulpas et al. (2007) registered a gradual decrease in sodium concentration of milk, with high levels on day 1 (leaky TJ), decreasing thereafter (TJ closure).

Relationships between milk stability to the ethanol test and milk composition are not yet well established, but the reduction of lactose and increase in sodium in milk samples presenting lower stability to the test are reported (Chavez et al., 2004; Tsioulpas et al., 2007). As the reduction in the levels of lactose in milk – partially due to its outflow from milk to blood – can be, together with plasma lactose, used to establish the higher permeability state of TJ, and Figure 2 showed correspondence between low milk stability and reduced milk lactose levels, TJ opening might be one of the explanations for the reduction in milk lactose concentration in cows producing milk with reduced ethanol stability. Hence, as feeding restriction was associated with lower milk stability to the ethanol test, higher sodium in milk, plasma lactose levels and lower milk lactose concentration, a relation between higher TJ permeability and lower milk stability to the ethanol test, which is the main proposition of this experiment, could be sustained.

The present experimental results are apparently not in agreement with those presented by Lacy-Hulbert et al. (1999), who did not find differences in TJ permeability (evaluated with plasmatic lactose levels) when feeding restriction was imposed to cows in an advanced milking stage (over 210 days in milk). These authors measured plasma lactose and other attributes only at the end of the trial, 25 days after the beginning of the restriction, and therefore the dynamic and transient response of TJ (Stelwagen et al., 1994) might be responsible for the absence of difference in TJ permeability in that experiment.

The TJ's change to a leaky state might be linked initially to a stress condition (underfeeding), as attested by the existence of some correspondence between G50 and higher plasmatic cortisol levels, which tend to be elevated in stressed animals (Verkerk et al., 1998). Stelwagen et al. (2000) found pronounced TJ leakiness in cows after provoking social isolation stress, and high stress-responsive cows tended to present higher plasma lactose and cortisol levels.

Although in the present study most of the animals presented low values for SCC (85% of milk samples presented <200 000 cell/ml), it is worth noticing that cows under feed restriction presented an increase in SCC from baseline 70 000 to 208 500 cell/ml at the end of restriction period, lowering to 120 000 cell/ml 2 weeks later, whereas animals fed the full diet maintained SCC around 70 000 cell/ml. Lacy-Hulbert et al. (1999) also reported increased SCC values after feed restriction. This increase may be due, in part, to a concentrating effect as milk yield decreased (Kamote et al., 1994) during feeding restriction. Even with this increase, SCC stayed at low values and this parameter was not related with the reduction in the stability of milk, as shown by the PFA.

Some of the relations encountered in principal components and correspondence analysis were sustained by the comparison between clusters. Cows with reduced milk stability presented elevated plasma lactose levels and reduced milk lactose concentration. Although not significant, feeding level from animals grouped in Cluster 1 was reduced. Sodium in milk was similar between clusters, which is in discordance with results from PF and multiple correspondence analysis.

Nevertheless, there was a tendency that cows with some reduction in feed intake presented higher permeability of mammary gland cell TJs, leading to a decrease in the stability of milk to the ethanol test. Elevated SCC and mastitis may increase TJ permeability (Moussaoui et al., 2004), but this did not seem to be the case in Cluster 1, as the mean value of SCC was low ($\sim$100 000 cell/ml), indicating that feeding level was probably the main factor responsible for the findings.

Conclusions

Severe feeding restriction triggers the elevation in the levels of cortisol in cows. This event is followed by the increase in the levels of plasma lactose, which indicates the higher permeability of mammary gland cell TJs, and in its turn promotes the reduction in the levels of lactose and elevation of sodium in milk. Elevated permeability of mammary gland cell TJs is positively related to reduction in the stability of milk to the ethanol test due to saline unbalance. Milk stability can decrease to levels that are unacceptable to the dairy industries, which can impair economic return to milk farmers. Summarizing, loss in milk stability is mediated by increased TJ permeability, caused, in this experiment, by feeding restriction. Other stressful factors may have the same effects on TJ and milk, but further studies are required to verify this hypothesis.

Acknowledgments

References

Boumpa T, Tsioulpas A, Grandison AS and Lewis MJ 2008. Effects of phosphates and citrates on sediment formation in UHT goats' milk. Journal of Dairy Research 75, 160–166.

Chavez MS, Negri LM and Cuatrín A 2004. Bovine milk composition parameters affecting the ethanol stability. Journal of Dairy Research 71, 2001–2006.

Fleet IR and Peaker M 1978. Mammary function and its control at the cessation of lactation in the goat. Journal of Physiology 279, 491–507.

Guinard-Flament J, Delamaire E, Lamberton P and Peyraud JL 2007. Adaptations of mammary uptake and nutrient use to once-daily milking and feed restriction in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 90, 5062–5072.

Horne DS and Parker TG 1981. Factors affecting the ethanol stability of bovine milk: the origin of the pH transition. Journal of Dairy Research 48, 285–291.

Kamote HI, Holmes CW, Mackenzie DDS, Holdaway RJ and Wickham BW 1994. Effects of once daily milking in later lactation on cows with either low or high initial somatic cell count. Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production 54, 285–287.

Kuhn N and Linzell JL 1970. Measurement of the quantity of lactose passing into the mammary venous plasma and lymph in goats and in a cow. Journal of Dairy Research 37, 203–208.

Lacy-Hulbert SJ, Woolford MW, Nicholas GD, Prosser CG and Stelwagen K 1999. Effect of milking frequency and pasture intake on milk yield and composition of late lactation cows. Journal of Dairy Science 82, 1232–1239.

Leitner G, Chaffer M, Shamay A, Shapiro F, Merin U, Ezra E, Saran A and Silanikove N 2004. Changes in milk composition as affected by subclinical mastitis in sheep. Journal of Dairy Science 87, 46–52.

Marques LT, Fischer V, Zanela MB, Ribeiro MER, Stumpf Jr W and Manzke N 2010. Supply of supplements with different levels of energy and protein to Jersey cows and their effects on milk instability. Brazilian Journal of Animal Science 39, 2724–2730.

Marques LT, Fischer V, Zanela MB, Ribeiro MER, Stumpf Jr W and Rodrigues CM 2011. Milk yield, milk composition and biochemical blood profile of lactating cows supplemented with anionic salt. Brazilian Journal of Animal Science 40, 1088–1094.

Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento 2011 . Alteração do caput da Instrução Normativa MAPA n. 51, de 18 de setembro de 2002 (Instrução Normativa n°62). Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento, Brasília, Brazil.

Moussaoui F, Vangroenweghe F, Haddadi K, Le Roux Y, Laurent F, Duchateau L and Burvenich C 2004. Proteolysis in milk during experimental Escherichia coli mastitis. Journal of Dairy Science 87, 2923–2931.

National Research Council 2001. Subcommittee on Dairy Cattle Nutrition. Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 381pp.

Oliveira CAF, Lopes LC, Franco RC and Corassin CH 2011. Composition and physical–chemical characteristics of unstable non-acid milk received in a dairy plant of the State of São Paulo, Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Saúde e Produção Animal 12, 508–515.

Omoarukhe ED, On-Nom N, Grandison AS and Lewis MJ 2010. Effects of different calcium salts on properties of milk related to heat stability. International Journal of Dairy Technology 63, 504–511.

Schneeberger EE and Lynch RD 1992. Structure, function and regulations of cellular tight junctions. American Journal of Physiology 262, 647–661.

Smith RR, Moreira LVH and Latrille LL 2002. Characterization of dairy productive systems in the Tenth Region of Chile using multivariate analysis. Agricultura Técnica 62, 375–395.

Statistical Analysis Systems Institute (SAS) 2008. Statistical analysis systems, version 9.2. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.

Stelwagen K, Davis SR, Farr VC and Eichler SJ 1994. Effect of once daily milking and concurrent somatotropin on mammary tight junction permeability and yield of cows. Journal of Dairy Science 77, 2994–3001.

Stelwagen K, Hopstert H, Van Der Werf JTN and Blokhuist HJ 2000. Short communication: effects of isolation stress on mammary tight junctions in lactating dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 83, 48–51.

Stelwagen K, Van Espen DC, Verkerk GA, McFadden HA and Farr VC 1998a. Elevated plasma cortisol reduces permeability of mammary tight junctions in the lactating bovine mammary epithelium. Journal of Endocrinology 159, 173–178.

Stelwagen K, Mclaren RD, Turner SA, McFadden HA and Prosser CG 1998b. No evidence for basolateral milk protein secretion in the lactating goat mammary gland. Journal of Dairy Science 80, 434–437.

Tsioulpas A, Grandison AS and Lewis MJ 2007. Changes in physical properties of bovine milk from the colostrum period to early lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 90, 5012–5017.

Verkerk GA, Phipps AM, Carragher JF, Matthews LR and Stelwagen K 1998. Characterization of milk cortisol concentrations as a measure of short-term stress responses in lactating dairy cows. Journal of Animal Welfare 7, 77–86.

White JCD and Davies DT 1958. The relation between the chemical composition of milk and the stability of the caseinate complex: II. Coagulation by ethanol. Journal of Dairy Research 25, 255–266.

Wildman EE, Jones GM, Wagner PE, Boman RL, Troutt Jr HF and Lesch TN 1982. A dairy cow body condition scoring system and its relationship to selected production characteristics. Journal of Dairy Science 65, 495–501.

Zanela MB, Fischer V and Ribeiro MER 2006. Unstable nonacid milk and milk composition of Jersey cows on feed restriction. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira 41, 835–840.

Zettl KS, Sjaastad MD, Riskin PM, Parry G, Machen TE and Firestone GL 1992. Glucocorticoid-induced formation of tight junctions in mouse mammary epithelial cell in vitro. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 89, 9069–9073.