

1 **Title: Sustained impact of drought on wet shrublands mediated by soil physical**
2 **changes**

3 **Authors:** María T. Domínguez^{1,2,*}, Alwyn Sowerby¹, Andrew R. Smith^{1,3}, David A.
4 Robinson¹, Susie Van Baarsel¹, Rob T.E. Mills^{1,4,5}, Miles R. Marshall¹, Eva Koller¹, ,
5 Inma Lebron¹, Jane Hall¹, Bridget A. Emmett¹

6 ¹Centre for Ecology and Hydrology Bangor, Natural Environment Research Council.
7 Deiniol Road, Bangor, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK.

8 ²Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS-CSIC). 10 Reina
9 Mercedes Av, 41012, Sevilla, Spain.

10 ³School of Environment, Natural Resources and Geography, Bangor University. Deiniol
11 Road, Bangor, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK.

12 ⁴WSL Swiss Federal Research Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, Site
13 Lausanne, Station 2, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

14 ⁵École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), School of Architecture, Civil and
15 Environmental Engineering (ENAC), Laboratory of Ecological Systems (ECOS),
16 Station 2, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

17 ***Corresponding author.** Email: maitedn@irnase.csic.es; phone number: +34
18 954624711; fax number: +34 95 462 4002.

19 **Abstract**

20

21 Projected climate warming, and increasingly frequent droughts, may substantially
22 increase carbon emissions from wet organic soils, contributing to the positive feedback
23 between the terrestrial carbon cycle and climate change. Evidence suggests that, in these

24 soils, the stimulation of soil respiration by warming can be sustained over long periods
25 of time due to the large availability of C substrates. The long-term response of wet
26 organic soils to drought remains however uncertain. Organo-mineral soils might be
27 particularly vulnerable, because of their limited soil moisture pool to buffer drought
28 events. Using a whole-ecosystem climate-change experiment in North Wales (UK) we
29 show that wet shrublands on podzolic (organo-mineral) soils are more vulnerable to
30 recurrent drought than to warming, and that the drought impact does not attenuate at
31 decadal time scales. Stimulation of soil respiration by drought was linked to major
32 changes in soil structure that led to a 54 % reduction in water holding capacity
33 compared to control. Bryophyte abundance was found to buffer soil moisture losses,
34 moderating soil CO₂ efflux under warming. As there was no evidence of change in plant
35 productivity to offset the increased soil C emissions under drought, this response may
36 result in a positive climate feedback. The results indicate the potentially critical role that
37 changes in sub-dominant vegetation and in soil physical properties may have in
38 determining climate change impacts on soil C dynamics.

39

40 **Keywords:** soil respiration; acclimation; water retention; warming; bryophyte; *Calluna*
41 *vulgaris*; soil structure

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 **Introduction**

50

51 Global soil respiration has increased by 0.1 Pg C yr^{-1} during the last two decades in
52 response to a warming climate (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson 2010). Based on the
53 kinetic theory of photosynthesis and plant/soil respiration, several models have
54 predicted a positive feedback to climate, resulting from higher increases in respiratory
55 fluxes than in net primary productivity (Friedlingstein et al. 2006; Denman et al. 2007).
56 This climate feedback is, however, critically dependent on the long-term adaptation of
57 the plant and soil processes to warming and other climate change factors, such as rising
58 CO_2 and changing precipitation patterns (Luo 2007).

59 Empirical data suggests that the stimulation of soil respiration by warming decreases
60 over time in some ecosystems. This evidence is mainly confined to well-drained mineral
61 soils, where the initial increase in soil respiration returns to pre-warming rates within a
62 few years (Rustad et al. 2001; Luo et al. 2001; Melillo et al. 2002; Eliasson et al. 2005;
63 Hartley et al. 2007). Two main, non-exclusive types of mechanisms have been proposed
64 to explain the attenuation of respiration rates over time. The first type refers to indirect
65 effects of warming on microbial activity (*apparent thermal acclimation, sensu* Bradford
66 2013) such as the rapid depletion of the labile C pools (Kirschbaum, 2004; Knorr et al.
67 2005; Eliasson et al. 2005; Hartley et al. 2007), while the second type includes direct
68 physiological adjustments of the soil microbial communities (*thermal adaptation*),
69 through changes in the processes involved in microbial respiration and growth
70 (reviewed in Bradford 2013).

71 Wet organic soils, however, may show a different long-term response given that the
72 environmental constraints to soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition are different in
73 these soils. Soil CO_2 efflux in wet organic soils is controlled by water-excess

74 conditions, which restricts oxygen diffusion to decomposition reaction sites, inhibiting
75 the activity of phenol oxidase as well as some hydrolyzing enzymes, and promoting
76 anaerobic decomposition (Freeman et al. 2001; Fenner and Freeman 2011).
77 Consequently, the effect of warmer temperatures on soil respiration is greatly influenced
78 by soil moisture (Shaver et al. 2006; Vicca et al. 2009; Xiang and Freeman 2009). As
79 wet organic soils contain large organic C stocks, much of which becomes accessible to
80 soil microorganisms following natural drying-rewetting events, apparent thermal
81 acclimation might occur more slowly in comparison to soils with a low C content.
82 Several studies have confirmed that this is true for organic arctic soils (Shaver et al.
83 2006; Dorrepaal et al. 2009), where warming-induced respiration stimulation does not
84 attenuate in a range of time scales, due to the large C substrate availability (Shaver et al.
85 2006).

86 Often overlooked are the ecosystems with organo-mineral soils, which could be defined
87 as those mineral soils with a carbon-rich surface horizon (Bol et al., 2011). This
88 definition includes those soils having humose topsoil > 15cm thick, or peaty loam/peaty
89 sand topsoil >15cm thick, as well as those having a peat layer <40cm thick starting at
90 the surface or directly on bedrock (Bol et al., 2011). Organo mineral soils tend to occur
91 within the following WRB (2006) groups: Leptosols, Umbrisols, Podzols, and Gleysols.
92 Soils within these groups are estimated to cover 30.5 % of the European Union land
93 area (Bol et al. 2011), thus containing a large fraction of the vulnerable soil C stocks at
94 the European scale. In British wet shrublands (dominated by *Calluna vulgaris*) organo-
95 mineral soils within this definition occupy 1.96 million ha (Hall et al. 2014). These wet
96 shrublands have one of the highest soil C densities across habitats in this country due to
97 their relatively high C concentrations and intermediate bulk density values (Reynolds et
98 al. 2013). They might be more vulnerable to subtle drought conditions than peatlands,

99 because of a limited soil moisture pool being available to buffer drying events, thus
100 representing a potentially large C pool vulnerable to climate change.

101 Current predictions of climate change include severe and widespread droughts in the
102 next 30–90 years (Christensen et al. 2007; Dai 2013), and the co-occurrence of warming
103 and drying in wet, organic-C rich soils could lead to substantial losses of soil C, as soils
104 are exposed to aerobic decomposition (Shaver et al. 2006; Ise et al. 2008; Fenner and
105 Freeman 2011). Indeed, some hydro–biogeochemical soil models predict a positive
106 feedback between soil drying and SOM decomposition in wet organic soils, leading to
107 an increased sensitivity of these soils to future climate warming (Ise et al. 2008). This
108 positive feedback would result from changes in soil structure leading to a decrease in
109 the soil water holding capacity. Shrinking during soil drying can have a pronounced
110 effect on the size and stability of the pores in organic soils, leading to a destabilization
111 of macropores and macroaggregates (Peng et al. 2007; Boriken and Maztner, 2009). Soil
112 structure might also be altered as plants change their rooting structure in response to
113 drought, seeking water lower in the profile, or in response to warmer temperatures.
114 Despite soil water holding capacity being a key parameter for ecosystem functioning,
115 there is lack of empirical information about the effects of climate change drivers on this
116 soil physical property.

117 The adaptation of plant and soils processes to repeated changes in the soil water balance
118 might attenuate the predictions of large C losses from wet soils. The analysis of
119 different soil inventories across England and Wales revealed that wet organic soils lost
120 carbon an order of magnitude faster than well-drained mineral soils between 1978 and
121 2003, and suggested that these losses are likely to have been offsetting the absorption of
122 C by terrestrial sinks (Bellamy et al. 2005). However, two subsequent studies have not
123 supported this finding for England and Wales (Reynolds et al. 2013) or Scotland

124 (Chapman et al. 2013). To reduce the large uncertainties in the predictions of C losses
125 from wet soils, more empirical data about the relative sensitivity of soil respiration and
126 plant primary productivity to climate change drivers is urgently needed.

127 We investigated the long-term impact of warming and repeated summer droughts on
128 soil respiration from organo-mineral soils in a wet shrubland, using a whole-ecosystem
129 climate-change experiment in North Wales, UK. Previously, Sowerby et al. (2008)
130 showed an ongoing stimulation of soil respiration by drought during the first five years
131 of the manipulation with no evidence of attenuation. In this work, we monitored soil
132 respiration and plant aboveground biomass over 14 years, and measured soil water
133 release curves (WRCs) of the topsoil (0-5 cm) after a decade of experimental
134 manipulation, to determine if the soil structure and water holding capacity had changed.
135 Specifically, we aimed to address the following questions:

136 1) Does the stimulation of soil respiration under warming and drought attenuate
137 over the long-term? We hypothesised that, because soil C decomposition is highly
138 constrained by water-excess conditions in these soils, drought would have a relatively
139 higher impact than modest warming, and that, because of the potentially large
140 availability of C substrates in these soils, the apparent acclimation to warming and
141 drought would occur at relatively long experimental periods.

142 2) Do drought and warming have an impact on soil water holding capacity? We
143 hypothesised that recurrent drought would have a greater impact on soil structure than
144 long-term warming, and that, according to theoretical models (Ise et al. 2008), repeated
145 drying-rewetting events would reduce soil water holding capacity.

146

147 **Materials and Methods**

148 Experimental design

149 Our study was conducted at the Clocaenog site in NE Wales, UK (53° 03' 19"N, 03° 27'
150 55"W), situated at 490m a.s.l. Mean annual air temperature is 8.2 °C, rainfall is 1700
151 mm, and potential evapotranspiration is 302 mm. The ecosystem is an upland Atlantic
152 heathland dominated by *Calluna vulgaris* (L.) Hull, with *Vaccinium myrtillus* L.,
153 *Empetrum nigrum* L. and *Deschampsia flexuosa* (L.) Trin. Total aboveground biomass
154 is around 3500 g m⁻². The soils are organic-rich with 4–10 cm of organic soil (organic
155 matter content 89%), overlaying 18-20 cm of organic-rich mineral soil (organic matter
156 content of 37%). They are classified as Ferric stagnopodzols in the Hafren Series in the
157 Soil Survey of England and Wales (Cranfield University, 2014), and as Endoskeletal
158 Histic Stagnic Albic Podzols in the IUSS Working Group WRB (2007) classification.
159 Mean annual soil temperature is 6.7 °C.

160 Whole-ecosystem simulation of drought and warming started in March 1999 and has
161 been running to date. Three blocks were established at the site, each one with three
162 experimental plots (4 × 5 m) randomly allocated to the drought, warming and control
163 treatment, respectively. Fully automated retractable curtains (see Beier et al. 2004 for a
164 full description) were placed over the plots to achieve air warming (warming treatment)
165 or to exclude the rain from the plots from June to September annually (drought
166 treatment).

167 The warming treatment consisted of a passive night-time warming provided by
168 reflective aluminium curtains covering the vegetation at night. These curtains reflect 96-
169 97 % of infrared radiation, reducing the heat loss during night by 64 % (Beier et al.
170 2004), and producing an increase of 0.2-2.0 °C in monthly air temperature, in the range
171 predicted for Northern Europe for 2010-2100 under three of the four IPCC's RCP

172 scenarios (van Oldenborgh et al. 2013). This warming treatment increased the growing
173 degree-days (based on lower and upper-threshold temperatures between which plant
174 growth occurs) by 9 % on average (see Supplementary Information, Table S1). During
175 the night, the warming curtains are retracted during rain events to prevent the reduction
176 of rain input to the plots. However, there was a small unintended reduction in rain input
177 brought about by the short delay in warming roofs retracting at the onset of a
178 precipitation event (on average, annual rainfall inputs into the warming plots were a
179 13% lower compared to control). Nevertheless, this did not result in an overall
180 significant reduction in soil moisture levels in the warming plots during the experiment.
181 During 2003, soil moisture was significantly lower in the warming (annual average of
182 $0.45 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$) than in the control plots (annual average of $0.53 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$; repeated measures
183 ANOVA, treatment effect $p = 0.0143$). Likewise, during 2005 moisture levels were
184 lower in the warming treatment (annual mean of $0.46 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$) than in the control
185 treatment (annual mean of $0.51 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$; repeated measures ANOVA, treatment effect p
186 $= 0.039$). For the rest of years, moisture levels in the warming treatment were similar
187 (2000-2002 and 2004-2008) or slightly greater (2008 and onwards) in comparison to
188 control.

189 The drought treatment consisted of waterproof polyethylene curtains triggered by a rain
190 sensor. These curtains excluded 54 % of the rainfall from June to September, with the
191 aim of emulating the UK summer drought of 1995, considered to be the most recent
192 significant drought year prior to drought treatment initiation. Annual and growing
193 season rainfall were reduced by on average 21 % and 54 % respectively (Table S1),
194 which resulted in an average reduction of 30 % in soil moisture during the growing
195 season. Outside the drought period (re-wetting period), drought plots are managed in the
196 same way as the control plots.

197

198 Soil respiration, temperature and moisture

199 Soil respiration (*in situ* rates) was measured fortnightly from March 1999 to December
200 2012 between 12:00 and 15:00, in three collars per plot (a total of 9 collars per
201 treatment), using portable infrared gas analysers coupled to a soil respiration chamber
202 (EGM-2, PP Systems until 2008 and LI-8100 automated soil CO₂ flux system onwards).
203 These collars included both heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration. Any aboveground
204 plant growth within the collars was removed regularly. During 2005, measurements
205 were restricted to the spring and summer seasons due to technical limitations. In
206 summer 2002, the diurnal cycle of soil respiration was studied once per month by
207 measuring soil respiration at 14:00, 20:00, 00:30, 05:30 and 10:30 hours. Average
208 diurnal soil respiration rates were found to be 87% of the respiration rates recorded
209 during routine afternoon measurements taken every two weeks. This was used to correct
210 the calculations of daily soil CO₂ emissions from the afternoon respiration rates (see
211 data analysis).

212 Over the 14 years, soil temperature and moisture were also monitored. At the plot level,
213 soil temperature was measured in 0–5 cm soil depth by Reference Thermistor sensors
214 (Probe 107, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA). Soil moisture (0–10 cm depth) was
215 measured fortnightly using a theta probe (ML-2, Delta-T, Cambridge, UK) and a soil
216 moisture meter (HH2, Delta-T) until 2009. In 2009, Time Domain Reflectometer (TDR;
217 CS616, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA) probes were inserted into the soil at 5
218 cm and 20 cm, for a continuous monitoring (hourly recordings) of soil moisture.
219 Rainfall at the plot level was also recorded using open funnels with fortnightly
220 collection to estimate the mean reduction in rainfall due to the treatment curtains.

221

222 Aboveground and root biomass

223 Aboveground composition and biomass was monitored every year (excepting 2001, and
224 2004-2006) at the end of the growing season (August) using the pin-point method in
225 three permanent 0.5 x 0.5 m² subplots per plot. In each subplot a grid of 100 pins was
226 lowered through the vegetation. At 5 cm intervals, every touch of vegetation was
227 recorded, indicating the species, the plant part (leaf, flower, or stem) and its status
228 (green, dry, dead). In 2000 a calibration between pin-point measurements and plant
229 biomass was conducted in the same site but outside the experimental plots, using a
230 destructive sampling. Relationships between pin-point measurements and plant biomass
231 were established for each plant species ($r^2 = 0.70$ for bryophytes; $r^2 = 0.98$ for *D.*
232 *flexuosa*; $r^2 = 0.64$ for *C. vulgaris*; $r^2 = 0.65$ for *E. nigrum*; $r^2 = 0.82$ for *V.myrtillus*).
233 Root biomass was measured in three cores per plot (15 cm depth and 5 cm diameter),
234 collected underneath *C. vulgaris* individuals in September 2011. Cores were sliced from
235 the top into 1cm segments until 10 cm depth, and roots in each segment were washed
236 and extracted. Roots were dried at 70 °C for at least 48 h and weighed.

237

238 Soil water release curves

239 Soil water release curves were measured on 250 cm³ soil cores, 0-5 cm deep, extracted
240 from each plot underneath *C. vulgaris* in September 2011. Water release curves
241 typically took 1 month to determine using the laboratory evaporation method (Schindler
242 et al., 2010) using a HYPROP (UMS, Munchen, Germany).

243

244 Data analysis

245 We estimated the daily average respiration rates as 87% of the rate measured at midday,
246 based on the diurnal data taken in 2002. We then calculated average rates for each
247 season, and finally multiplied by the number of days in each season to obtain the
248 seasonal cumulative CO₂ emissions. Annual emissions (R_{year}) were calculated as the
249 sum of all the seasonal emissions. For each year, the relative impact of warming and
250 drought (ΔR_{year} from control) was calculated.

251 A mixed-model analysis of variance was performed to test for significant differences
252 among treatments in R_{year} for each year separately. Treatments were assigned as fixed
253 factors and block as a random factor. Tukey's HSD multiple comparison test was
254 applied to identify differences among treatments in R_{year} . A similar analysis was applied
255 to test for differences in root biomass. To test for the general effect of the treatments on
256 R_{year} emissions and aboveground biomass over the 14 years of manipulation (time \times
257 treatment interaction), we applied repeated measures analysis of variance, using the
258 Greenhouse–Geisser adjustment. Water release curves were tested for homogeneity of
259 slopes among treatments using ANCOVA test, with soil water volumetric content as
260 dependent variable, soil water tension as a continuous predictor (covariate, log
261 transformed), and treatment as a categorical factor, using Statistica v10 (Stat Soft Inc.).

262

263 **Results**

264 Drought impact on soil respiration

265 Drought stimulated soil respiration rates by 22 % (average for all seasons and years,
266 Fig. 1). Summer drought impact on annual soil CO₂ emissions (R_{year}) was enhanced

267 mainly in drier years (repeated-measures ANOVA, significant year \times treatment
268 interaction, $F = 6.2$, $p = 0.011$; Fig 2a, b), with significant increases in 2004 ($p = 0.004$),
269 2005 ($p = 0.045$), 2009 ($p = 0.050$), 2011 ($p = 0.007$), and 2012 ($p = 0.034$). The mean
270 R_{year} stimulation was $80 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ (17 % of the inter-annual average in the control
271 treatment).

272 Stimulation of respiration was greatest during the summer months (experimental
273 drought period) when it was directly linked to the reduction in soil moisture (Fig. 3a),
274 but persisted into autumn months, the rewetting period, when respiration rates were up
275 to 70 % higher, compared to control (Fig. 3b). No decrease was detected in the
276 magnitude of the stimulation over the years, which would have indicated apparent
277 acclimation of the soils to experimental drought. To the contrary, the relative impact of
278 drought during the summer months increased over the years (Fig. 3b), and since 2010
279 drought produced a continuous stimulation of soil respiration across the seasons (Fig.
280 1).

281

282 Warming impact on soil respiration

283 The impact of warming was lower than that of drought, with a respiration stimulation of
284 13.2 % (average for all seasons and years, Fig. 1). The stimulation of R_{year} by warming
285 was only marginally significant ($0.07 > p < 0.05$, average of $49 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$).

286 Since 2005, the stimulation of respiration by warming decreased, which was associated
287 with an increase in the abundance of bryophytes in the warming plots (Fig. 4), which, in
288 contrast, markedly decreased in the drought plots. This change in bryophyte abundance
289 coincided with a shift in the soil moisture dynamics in the warming plots after the
290 natural drought of 2005 (Fig. 5a). Prior to 2005, the warming and control plots had

291 similar soil moisture frequency distributions, the warming soils being slightly drier,
292 whereas after 2005 the warming plots were consistently wetter than the control or
293 drought, regardless of whether it was a dry year (2010, Fig. 5b) or a wet year (2011,
294 Fig. 5b).

295

296 Soil water release curves

297 After a decade of experimental manipulation, all soils had a porosity between 0.85 and
298 $0.90 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$, but the drought soils released water much more rapidly than the control or
299 warming soils with increasing tension (Fig. 6). Within the range of field capacity, water
300 release curves had the same slope, but different intercept (volumetric water content)
301 among treatments (ANCOVA model; treatment: $F = 13518$, $p < 0.001$; treatment \times soil
302 tension: $F = 1.6$, $p = 0.2$). This meant that, in the estimated range of field capacity (\sim
303 2 kPa), the control soils retained $\sim 0.7 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ of water, whilst the drought and warming
304 soils retained 54 % and 26 % less respectively. This was consistent with an increase in
305 the median pore size from $30 \mu\text{m}$ in the control to $74 \mu\text{m}$ and $248 \mu\text{m}$ in the warming
306 and drought plots, respectively, determined from the conversion of the WRCs to
307 effective pore size.

308

309 Plant biomass

310 Aboveground biomass differed significantly across years, but never among treatments
311 (repeated measures ANOVA: treatment: $F = 0.283$, $p = 0.73$; year: $F = 7.63$, $p < 0.0001$;
312 treatment \times year: $F = 0.509$, $p = 0.94$, Fig S1a). There was a trend of greater root
313 biomass in warming plots compared to control (Tukey HSD test, $p = 0.044$), while root

314 biomass in the drought and control plots where not significantly different (Tukey HSD
315 test, $p = 0.192$; Fig. S1b).

316

317 **Discussion**

318 Warming and drought impact on soil respiration

319 Wet shrublands with organo-mineral (podzolic) soils have one of the highest soil C
320 densities across habitats in the UK, but a limited soil moisture pool to buffer drought
321 events in comparison to peatlands. Therefore, they represent a type of ecosystem with a
322 high vulnerability to the projected increases in the frequency of severe droughts. Our
323 results clearly show that, as we hypothesised, soil respiration in wet shrublands is more
324 sensitive to repeated modest drought than to subtle warming, and that the drought
325 impact does not attenuate at a decadal time scale.

326 Several other experiments have shown that soil respiration or net ecosystem exchange is
327 relatively more sensitive to changes in the precipitation regime than to soil warming in
328 different types of ecosystems (Garten et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2009; Suseela et al. 2012),
329 although in those studies, conducted in well-drained mineral soils, drought conditions
330 typically suppressed respiration. In our study, drought increased summer and autumn
331 CO₂ emissions by a 22 % and a 27 % (on average), respectively, with maximum
332 increases above 60 %. The stimulation of soil respiration during the summer months,
333 when temperature and the supply of plant photosynthates are not limiting, was linearly
334 linked to the reduction in soil moisture, illustrating the importance of the water-excess
335 as an environmental constraint to decomposition in these soils, and contrasting to the
336 response of other mesic and dry shrubland ecosystems across Europe (Sowerby et al.
337 2008).

338 Both autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration may be enhanced by the release of water-
339 excess conditions. Although our measurements did not include the separation of each of
340 the respiration components there is an indication that respiration changes are likely
341 driven by heterotrophic processes, as root biomass was not significantly greater in the
342 drought plots (Fig. S1b). This is consistent with the finding that plant aboveground
343 biomass did not change among treatments (Fig. S1a), suggesting high resilience of plant
344 productivity to the climate treatments. In addition, a ^{14}C pulse-labelling experiment
345 (Gorissen et al. 2004) revealed that C translocation belowground was reduced by 40 %
346 in the drought treatment.

347 The stimulation of the heterotrophic respiration in the drought treatment could be
348 explained by the observed increase in microbial biomass (Sowerby et al. 2005) in
349 combination with changes in some key microbial processes as the aerobic metabolic
350 pathways are favoured against the anaerobic pathways. The increased oxygen
351 availability activates a *biogeochemical cascade*, leading to a decline in inhibitory
352 phenolics and to a stimulation of extracellular hydrolase enzymes, which accelerates
353 SOM decomposition (Fenner and Freeman, 2011). The observed response could be also
354 partly explained by changes in the composition of the soil microbial community.
355 Similar experimental manipulations have shown that in dry shrublands, where summer
356 drought suppressed respiration, a shift towards a higher fungal dominance takes place
357 (Yuste et al. 2011). In our hydric shrubland however, the diversity of fungi was reduced
358 during the summer months in the drought treatment (Toberman et al. 2008) which might
359 also imply a decrease in the fungi to bacteria ratio in the community. Such change could
360 have important implications for SOM decomposition, given that fungi and bacteria
361 differently influence the processes of macroaggregate formation and SOM protection

362 (Six et al. 2006). Further works to explore the mechanisms underlying these increases in
363 soil respiration are in progress.

364 Soil respiration was less stimulated by our experimental warming, with an average
365 stimulation of a 13.2 % of the respiration rates, and an average increase of the annual
366 emissions of $49 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$, although these annual increases were only marginally
367 significant. The impact of warming on soil respiration reported here is very close to the
368 average stimulation of 12 % reported by a meta-analysis of field warming experiments
369 across ecosystems (Wu et al. 2011). In some organic soils both autotrophic and
370 heterotrophic respiration responds similarly to soil warming (Dorrepaal et al. 2009). In
371 our case, there was a trend for greater root density in the warming treatment, which
372 could explain the slight increase in soil respiration, although concurrent increases in the
373 heterotrophic component cannot be excluded.

374

375 Acclimation to warming or drought?

376 Our results supported our hypothesis that the impact of drought and warming on soil
377 respiration does not attenuate at a decadal time scale in wet shrublands. To the contrary,
378 the impact of drought on soil respiration during the summer months increased over the
379 years, which we found was linked to a fundamental change in soil structure resulting in
380 reduced soil water holding capacity. Even though the stimulation of respiration by
381 warming was subtle, it was sustained for more than a decade. The large availability of C
382 substrates in these soils might be the main reason for this lack of apparent acclimation
383 (Kirschbaum, 2004), as found for other organic C-rich soils exposed to sustained
384 warming (Shaver et al. 2006; Vicca et al. 2013). In addition, a recent work by Rousk et
385 al (2013) showed that the rates of microbial growth and respiration were similar across

386 treatments when soils were sieved and incubated under standard conditions of moisture
387 and temperature, suggesting no physiological adaptation to the treatments. In the
388 longer-term, it is likely that respiration rates will decrease as the progressive depletion
389 of the labile C pool takes place or as other nutrients, particularly N, become more
390 limiting (Beier et al. 2008).

391 The impact of warming on soil efflux was attenuated after the bryophyte expansion.
392 Bryophytes acted as both a moisture reservoir and mulch, preventing water loss and
393 partially negating the stimulatory effect of warming on soil respiration, whilst in the
394 drought treatment the decline in bryophytes may further have enhanced respiration
395 losses with the soil remaining in a drier state. Because of their poorly developed
396 conduction systems and the lack of effective cuticles in many species, bryophytes can
397 trap and transport water over the entire plant surface (Turetsky, 2003). In addition,
398 because bryophyte litter decomposes slowly, a thick layer of bryophyte litter is often
399 formed on the soil surface, which buffers soil against temperature changes, thereby
400 influencing C and N mineralisation (Nilsson and Wardle, 2005; Turetsky et al. 2012).
401 Thus, the spread of bryophytes might have also reduced the impact of our experimental
402 warming on soil temperatures. Our results support recent work that suggests that
403 bryophytes might have a key role in the resilience of cold and high latitude ecosystems
404 to climate change (Turetsky et al. 2012; Lindo et al. 2013), despite their relatively small
405 contribution to total aboveground biomass.

406

407 Impacts on soil structure and water holding capacity

408 As we hypothesised, the recurrent summer droughts provoked a substantial change in
409 soil structure, which led to a decrease in the water holding capacity. Water release
410 curves were also different for the warming soils in comparison to the control treatment.

411 In organic soils, shrinking during drying reduces the stability of the aggregates,
412 macroaggregates being more affected (Borken and Maztner, 2009). The effect of
413 drying-rewetting cycles on pore size distribution depends on the intensity of the drying
414 and on the soil type; in organic-rich soils drying increases the volume of large pores and
415 decreases medium and fine pores, while in inorganic soils the volume of medium pores
416 is enhanced (Peng et al. 2007). The response of soil porosity and pore shrinkage
417 capacity to drying is hysteretic and thus depends on the intensity of previous drying
418 events, particularly in organic soils (Peng and Horn, 2007). In addition to the effects on
419 soil porosity, drying also leads to hysteretic changes in the hydrophobicity of the soil
420 surface, increasing the water repellence of the soil surface (Borken and Maztner, 2009).

421 All these effects might result in substantial changes in the processes of water
422 infiltration, distribution through the soil profile upon rewetting, and drainage from soils,
423 which could explain the observed delay in the recovery of soil moisture conditions
424 outside the experimental drought periods (Sowerby et al. 2008) and requires further
425 investigation. As a result of these physical changes, water-excess conditions are likely
426 to become less frequent, which could further intensify aerobic SOM decomposition due
427 to the greater oxygen availability and the higher exposure of SOM to changes in air
428 temperature, particularly during the summertime. Our results are in agreement with
429 some modelling work that predicts a long-term feedback between soil physical
430 conditions and SOM decomposition in organic soils, that eventually intensifies the loss
431 of soil organic C in a changing climate (Ise et al. 2008). Therefore, failing to incorporate

432 information on soil physical conditions will under-estimate feedbacks from the soil
433 system to the atmosphere.

434 In the warming plots, where we observed a trend for greater root density, the increase in
435 mean pore size could be linked to a possible shift in the distribution of root diameters
436 towards larger diameter classes. The lower soil moisture levels recorded in 2003 and
437 2005, before the bryophyte expansion, could have also contributed to the structural
438 change in the warming treatment. Additional explanatory mechanisms might involve
439 changes in soil microbial functional groups due to our treatments. For instance, soil
440 aggregation is highly influenced by fungal diversity and hyphal density (Wilson et al.
441 2009; Rillig and Mummey, 2006), and therefore changes in the relative abundance of
442 fungal species due to warming or drought might also have a pronounced effect on soil
443 aggregation and, by extension, on water holding capacity.

444

445 Implications for soil C losses and climate feedbacks

446 Our results suggest that in wet shrublands the impact of summer drought could lead to a
447 substantial loss of soil C, considering that aboveground biomass was very resilient to
448 warming or drought, and that drought did not have a clear effect on root biomass,
449 therefore indicating that the increases in soil respiration have not been balanced by
450 increases in C inputs to soil. Extreme climate events, such as droughts and floods, are
451 likely to become more frequent under air warming (Dai et al. 2013). Latest IPCC
452 projections also include increases in winter rainfall for many areas in Northern Europe
453 (van Oldenborgh et al. 2013). Under a potential scenario of increased winter rainfall and
454 recurrent summer droughts, understanding soil moisture dynamics is critical to predict
455 soil C losses from these wet ecosystems. The increase in soil moisture might partially

456 negate the stimulation of soil respiration by warming during the winter months, as
457 found in our warming treatment after the bryophyte expansion, while the occurrence of
458 drought events during the summertime, when temperature and the supply of plant
459 photosynthates are not limiting to SOM decomposition, could lead to the destabilization
460 of large amounts of soil C.

461 Wet shrublands in the UK occupy landscape positions that make them very vulnerable
462 to changes in land management (Bol et al., 2011). They usually have a mixed use
463 (grazing, forestry, biodiversity conservation), and an increase in the intensity of some of
464 these uses might have a substantial impact on soil C stocks. For instance, land
465 management practises in these ecosystems often include draining to improve grazing
466 capacity. This practise, leading to a long-term reduction in soil moisture, might provoke
467 a substantial loss of soil C (Peacock et al. 2014), as SOM decomposition is
468 progressively stimulated by the changes in soil physical structure.

469 In conclusion, our work provides compelling evidence that wet shrubland soils have a
470 particular sensitivity to drought that does not attenuate, but increases at a decadal time
471 scale. This was linked to a climate-change induced fundamental change in soil structure,
472 leading to a decrease in soil water holding capacity, which illustrates the importance of
473 including dynamic soil structural information in ecosystem models and projections of
474 soil C losses. Indeed, in these soils the impact of drought could lead to a substantial loss
475 of soil C that should be considered in the prediction of future C fluxes and climate
476 feedbacks at regional and global scales. Our work also suggests that changes in sub-
477 dominant vegetation may have a potentially critical role in determining climate change
478 impacts on soil C dynamics in wet ecosystems.

479

480 **Acknowledgements**

481 We thank all the CEH staff members who have contributed to the experiment
482 establishment and maintenance over the years, in particular David Williams. This
483 research was funded by the EU projects CLIMOOR, VULCAN and INCREASE FP7-
484 INFRASTRUCTURE-2008-1 (Grant Agreement no. 227628) – the INCREASE project.
485 M.T.D was supported by two postdoctoral fellowships awarded by the Spanish National
486 Science and Technology Foundation.

487

488 **References**

- 489 Beier C, Emmett B, Gundersen P, Tietema A, Peñuelas J, Estiarte M, Gordon C,
490 Gorissen A, Llorens L, Roda F, Williams D (2004) Novel approaches to study
491 climate change effects on terrestrial ecosystems in the field: drought and passive
492 nighttime warming. *Ecosystems* 7(6):583-597
- 493 Beier C, Emmett BA, Peñuelas J, Schmidt IK, Tietema A, Estiarte M, Gundersen P,
494 Llorens L, Riis-Nielsen T, Sowerby A, Gorissen A (2008) Carbon and nitrogen
495 cycles in European ecosystems respond differently to global warming. *Sci Total*
496 *Environ* 407(1):692-697
- 497 Bellamy PH, Loveland PJ, Bradley RI, Lark RM, Kirk GJD (2005) Carbon losses from
498 all soils across England and Wales 1978-2003. *Nature* 437(7056):245-248
- 499 Bol R, Blackwell M, Emmett BA, Reynolds B, Hall JA, Bhogal A, Ritz K., 2011.
500 Assessment of the response of organo-mineral soils to change in management
501 practices. Sub-project ii of Project SP1106. UK Department of Environment and
502 Rural Affairs, London. <http://sciencesearch.defra.gov.uk/>. Last accessed 23 June
503 2014.

504 Bond-Lamberty B, Thomson, A. (2010) Temperature-associated increases in the global
505 soil respiration record. *Nature* 464(7288):579-582

506 Borken W, Matzner E (2009) Reappraisal of drying and wetting effects on C and N
507 mineralization and fluxes in soils. *Glob Change Biol* 15(4):808-824

508 Bradford MA (2013) Thermal adaptation of decomposer communities in warming soils.
509 *Front Microbiol* 4:333. Doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00333

510 Chapman SJ, Bell JS, Campbell CD, Hudson G, Lilly A, Nolan AJ, Robertson AHJ,
511 Potts JM, Towers W (2013) Comparison of soil carbon stocks in Scottish soils
512 between 1978 and 2009. *Eur J Soil Sci* 64(4):455-465

513 Christensen JH, Hewitson B, Busuioc A, Chen A, Gao X, Held I, Jones R, Kolli RK,
514 Kwon WT, Laprise R, Magaña-Rueda V, Mearns L, Menéndez CG, Räisänen J,
515 Rinke A, Sarr A, Whetton P (2007) Regional climate projections. In: Solomon S,
516 Qin D, Manning M, Chen MZ, Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL (ed)
517 *Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group*
518 *I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate*
519 *Change* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 848-940

520 Cranfield University 2014. *The Soils Guide*. Available: www.landis.org.uk. Cranfield
521 University, UK (<http://www.landis.org.uk/services/soilsguide/series.cfm?serno=755>).
522 Last accessed 18/11/2014

523 Dai A (2013) Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models.
524 *Nature Clim Change* 3(1):52-58

525 Denman KL, Brasseur G, Chidthaisong A, Ciais P, Cox PM, Dickinson RE,
526 Hauglustaine D, Heinze C, Holland E, Jacob D, Lohmann U, Ramachandran S, da
527 Silva Dias PL, Wofsy SC, Zhang X (2007) Couplings between changes in the
528 climate system and biogeochemistry. In: Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen

529 MZ, Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL (ed) Climate change 2007: the
530 physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment
531 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University
532 Press, Cambridge, pp 500-587

533 Dorrepaal E, Toet S, van Logtestijn RSP, Swart E, van de Weg MJ, Callaghan TV,
534 Aerts R (2009) Carbon respiration from subsurface peat accelerated by climate
535 warming in the subarctic. *Nature* 460(7255):616-619

536 Eliasson PE, McMurtrie RE, Pepper DA, Strömgren M, Linder S, Ågren GI (2005) The
537 response of heterotrophic CO₂ flux to soil warming. *Glob Change Biol* 11(1):167-
538 181 Fenner N, Freeman C (2011) Drought-induced carbon loss in peatlands.
539 *Nature Geosci* 4(12): 895-900

540 Freeman C, Ostle N, Kang H (2001) An enzymic 'latch' on a global carbon store. *Nature*
541 409(6817): 149-149

542 Friedlingstein P, Cox P, Betts R, Bopp L, von Bloh W, Brovkin V, Cadule P, Doney S,
543 Eby M, Fung I, Bala G, John J, Jones C, Joos F, Kato T, Kawamiya M, Knorr W,
544 Lindsay K, Matthews HD, Raddatz T, Rayner P, Reick C, Roeckner E, Schnitzler
545 KG, Schnur R, Strassmann K, Weaver AJ, Yoshikawa C, Zeng N (2006) Climate–
546 carbon cycle feedback analysis: results from the C4MIP model intercomparison. *J*
547 *Climate* 19(14):3337-3353

548 Garten C, Classen A, Norby R (2009) Soil moisture surpasses elevated CO₂ and
549 temperature as a control on soil carbon dynamics in a multi-factor climate change
550 experiment. *Plant Soil* 319(1-2):85-94

551 Gorissen A, Tietema A, Joosten NN, Estiarte M, Peñuelas J, Sowerby A, Emmett BA,
552 Beier C (2004) Climate change affects carbon allocation to the soil in shrublands.
553 *Ecosystems* 7(6):650-661

554 Hall J, Curti C, Dore T, Smith R (2014) Methods for the calculation of critical loads and
555 their exceedances in the UK, draft report to UK Department of Environment and
556 Rural Affairs-DEFRA. <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/505595/>. Last accessed 25 June
557 2014.

558 Hartley IP, Heinemeyer A, Ineson P (2007) Effects of three years of soil warming and
559 shading on the rate of soil respiration: substrate availability and not thermal
560 acclimation mediates observed response. *Glob Change Biol* 13(8):1761-1770

561 Ise T, Dunn AL, Wofsy SC, Moorcroft PR (2008) High sensitivity of peat
562 decomposition to climate change through water-table feedback. *Nature Geosci*
563 1(11):763-766

564 Kirschbaum MUF (2004) Soil respiration under prolonged soil warming: are rate
565 reductions caused by acclimation or substrate loss? *Glob Change Biol*
566 10(11):1870-1877

567 Knorr W, Prentice IC, House JI, Holland EA (2005) Long-term sensitivity of soil
568 carbon turnover to warming. *Nature* 433(7023):298-301

569 Lindo Z, Nilsson M-C, Gundale MJ (2013) Bryophyte-cyanobacteria associations as
570 regulators of the northern latitude carbon balance in response to global change.
571 *Glob Change Biol* 19(7):2022-2035

572 Liu W, Zhang ZHE, Wan S (2009) Predominant role of water in regulating soil and
573 microbial respiration and their responses to climate change in a semiarid
574 grassland. *Glob Change Biol* 15(1):184-195

575 Luo Y (2007) Terrestrial carbon-cycle feedback to climate warming. *Annu Rev Ecol*
576 *Evol Syst* 38(1):683-712

577 Luo Y, Wan S, Hui D, Wallace LL (2001) Acclimatization of soil respiration to
578 warming in a tall grass prairie. *Nature* 413(6856):622-625

579 Melillo JM, Steudler PA, Aber JD, Newkirk K, Lux H, Bowles FP, Catricala C, Magill
580 A, Ahrens T, Morrisseau S (2002) Soil warming and carbon-cycle feedbacks to
581 the climate system. *Science* 298(5601):2173-2176

582 Nilsson MC, Wardle, D. (2005) Understory vegetation as a forest ecosystem driver:
583 evidence from the northern Swedish boreal forest. *Front Ecol Environ* 3(8):421-
584 428

585 Peng X, Horn R (2007) Anisotropic shrinkage and swelling of some organic and
586 inorganic soils. *Eur J Soil Sci* 58(1):98-107

587 Peacock M, Jones TG, Airey B, Johncock A, Evans CD, Lebron I, Fenner N, Freeman
588 C (2014) The effect of peatland drainage and rewetting (ditch blocking) on
589 extracellular enzyme activities and water chemistry. *Soil Use Manage* (doi:
590 10.1111/sum.12138)

591 Peng X, Horn R, Smucker A (2007) Pore shrinkage dependency of inorganic and
592 organic soils on wetting and drying cycles. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 71(4):1095-1104

593 Reynolds B, Chamberlain PM, Poskitt J, Woods C, Scott WA, Rowe EC, Robinson DA,
594 Frogbrook ZL, Keith AM, Henrys PA, Black HIJ, Emmett BA (2013)
595 Countryside Survey: national “soil change” 1978–2007 for topsoils in Great
596 Britain—acidity, carbon, and total nitrogen status. *Vadose Zone J* 12(2)

597 Rillig MC, Mummey DL (2006) Mycorrhizas and soil structure. *New Phytol* 171(1):41-
598 53

599 Rousk J, Smith AR, Jones DL (2013) Investigating the long-term legacy of drought and
600 warming on the soil microbial community across five European shrubland
601 ecosystems. *Glob Change Biol* 19(12): 3872-3884

602 Rustad L, Campbell J, Marion G, Norby R, Mitchell M, Hartley A, Cornelissen J,
603 Gurevitch J, Gcte N (2001) A meta-analysis of the response of soil respiration, net

604 nitrogen mineralization, and aboveground plant growth to experimental ecosystem
605 warming. *Oecologia* 126(4):543-562

606 Schindler, U., Durner, W., von Unold, G., Mueller, L. Wieland, R. (2010) The
607 evaporation method: extending the measurement range of soil hydraulic properties
608 using the air-entry pressure of the ceramic cup. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil
609 Science* 173 (4): 563–572

610 Shaver GR, Giblin AE, Nadelhoffer KJ, Thieler KK, Downs MR, Laundre JA, Rastetter
611 EB (2006) Carbon turnover in Alaskan tundra soils: effects of organic matter
612 quality, temperature, moisture and fertilizer. *J Ecol* 94(4):740-753

613 Six J, Frey SD, Thiet RK, Batten KM (2006) Bacterial and fungal contributions to
614 carbon sequestration in agroecosystems. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 70(2):555-569

615 Sowerby A, Emmett B, Beier C, Tietema A, Peñuelas J, Estiarte M, Van Meeteren
616 MJM, Hughes S, Freeman C (2005) Microbial community changes in heathland
617 soil communities along a geographical gradient: interaction with climate change
618 manipulations. *Soil Biol Biochem* 37(10):1805-1813

619 Sowerby A, Emmett BA, Tietema A, Beier C (2008) Contrasting effects of repeated
620 summer drought on soil carbon efflux in hydric and mesic heathland soils. *Glob
621 Change Biol* 14(10):2388-2404

622 Suseela V, Conant RT, Wallenstein MD, Dukes JS (2012) Effects of soil moisture on
623 the temperature sensitivity of heterotrophic respiration vary seasonally in an old-
624 field climate change experiment. *Glob Change Biol* 18(1):336-348

625 Toberman H, Freeman C, Evans C, Fenner N, Artz RRE (2008) Summer drought
626 decreases soil fungal diversity and associated phenol oxidase activity in upland
627 *Calluna* heathland soil. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 66(2):426-436

628 Turetsky M (2003) The role of bryophytes in carbon and nitrogen cycling. *Bryologist*
629 106(3):395-409

630 Turetsky MR, Bond-Lamberty B, Euskirchen E, Talbot J, Frohking S, McGuire AD,
631 Tuittila ES (2012) The resilience and functional role of moss in boreal and arctic
632 ecosystems. *New Phytol* 196(1):49-67

633 van Oldenborgh GJ, Collins M, Arblaster J, Christensen JH, Marotzke J, Power SB,
634 Rummukainen M (2013) Annex I: Atlas of global and regional climate
635 projections. In: Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner GK, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J,
636 Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V, Midgley PM (ed) *Climate Change 2013: The physical
637 science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of
638 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press,
639 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

640 Vicca S, Fizez L, Kockelbergh F, Van Pelt D, Segers JJR, Meire P, Ceulemans R,
641 Janssens IA (2009) No signs of thermal acclimation of heterotrophic respiration
642 from peat soils exposed to different water levels. *Soil Biol Biochem* 41(9):2014-
643 2016

644 Wilson GWT, Rice CW, Rillig MC, Springer A, Hartnett DC (2009) Soil aggregation
645 and carbon sequestration are tightly correlated with the abundance of arbuscular
646 mycorrhizal fungi: results from long-term field experiments. *Ecol Lett* 12(5):452-
647 461

648 Wu Z, Dijkstra P, Koch GW, Peñuelas J, Hungate BA (2011) Responses of terrestrial
649 ecosystems to temperature and precipitation change: a meta-analysis of
650 experimental manipulation. *Glob Change Biol* 17(2):927-942

651 Xiang W, Freeman C (2009) Annual variation of temperature sensitivity of soil organic
652 carbon decomposition in North peatlands: implications for thermal responses of
653 carbon cycling to global warming. *Environ Geol.* 58(3): 499-508

654 Yuste JC, Peñuelas J, Estiarte M, Garcia-Mas J, Mattana S, Ogaya R, Pujol M, Sardans
655 J (2011) Drought-resistant fungi control soil organic matter decomposition and its
656 response to temperature. *Glob Change Biol* 17(3):1475-1486

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676 **Figure legends**

677

678 **Fig. 1.** Drought and warming impact on soil respiration rates (% from control) over the
679 14 years of climate manipulation (mean of 3 plots per treatment for each date). Average
680 impact across all the years is indicated (mean \pm standard deviation).

681

682 **Fig. 2. a)** Annual soil respiration (R_{year}) across the years (mean of 3 plots per treatment
683 \pm standard error) and **b)** increase in annual emissions (ΔR_{year}) by drought and warming,
684 in relation to the control treatment (mean \pm standard error).

685

686 **Fig. 3. a)** Relationship between the reduction in soil moisture and the stimulation of soil
687 respiration rates (Δ Soil Respiration) in the drought treatment (average of 3 plots) during
688 the summer months (June-September). **b)** Evolution of the drought impact over the
689 years (average stimulation of respiration rates) during the experimental drought periods
690 (summer) and the rewetting periods (October-December). The impact of drought during
691 the summer increased over the experimental period (correlation line).

692

693 **Fig. 4.** Relationship between the impact of the experimental warming on annual soil
694 respiration (ΔR_{year}) and the change in the bryophyte abundance, in relation to the control
695 treatment. The direction of change over time was of increased bryophyte biomass and
696 decreased impact of warming on soil respiration.

697

698 **Fig. 5. a)** Relative change in bryophyte biomass in the warming and drought plots (bars,
699 mean + standard error, left axis), and mean annual treatment soil moisture difference
700 from the control (lines, right axis). **b)** Annual soil moisture frequency distribution for
701 2004, 2010 (dry year) and 2011 (wet year).

702

703 **Fig. 6.** Soil water release curves (WRC's) averaged from all plots (mean \pm standard
704 error) with vertical lines indicating water content range for field capacity, at which soils
705 would have been drained by gravity.